

## TROIANI/KIVITZ, L.L.P.

- ATTORNEYS AT LAW -

DOLORES M. TROIANI, ESQUIRE BEBE H. KIVITZ, ESQUIRE

#### 38 NORTH WATERLOO ROAD DEVON, PA 19333

(610) 688-8400 FAX (610) 688-8426

January 4, 2006

(Hand-Delivered)

Office of the Clerk of Court Eastern District of Pennsylvania U.S. Courthouse 601 Market Street, Room 2609 Philadelphia, PA 19106

#### RE: <u>Andrea Constand vs. William H. Cosby, Civil Action No. 05-CV-1099</u> Plaintiff's Memorandum Of Law In Support Of The Lifting Of the Seal Established By Case Management Order 2

Dear Sir/Dear Madam:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned matter, please find an original and a disk.

Thank you for your anticipated cooperation.

Respectfully submitted,

Bike HKeng

Bebe H. Kivitz

BHK:m

Enclosure

cc: Patrick J. O'Connor, Esquire (w/enclosure-first class mail) Andrew D. Schau, Esquire w/enclosure -first class mail) Andrea Constand (w/enclosure - first class mail)

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ANDREA CO	-	CIVIL ACTION
	v.	NO. 05-CV-1099
WILLIAM H.	COSBY, JR.,	
	Defendant	:

#### PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF THE LIFTING OF THE SEAL ESTABLISHED BY CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER 2

Plaintiff Andrea Constand submits the following Memorandum of Law in support of Lifting the Seal Established by Case Management Order 2.

#### **FACTS**

Defendant asserts that any pretrial publicity is an abuse of the discovery process and would embarrass him. What Defendant really means, however, is that any pretrial publicity that he has disseminated should be condoned, but any pretrial publicity not orchestrated, shaped, and disseminated by him should be prevented. Defendant's ability to manipulate publicity in this matter should not be permitted.

When Plaintiff's allegations became public -- through no actions taken by Plaintiff, but rather through a "leak" from the Philadelphia Police Department to members of the press -- Defendant immediately began to generate self-serving publicity. First, his agents referred to Plaintiff's claims, then being investigated criminally, as "bizarre and utterly

preposterous". <u>See</u>, Exhibit "A". This characterization was repeated multiple times and in multiple publications throughout the nation.

Next, Defendant's representative, Martin Singer, Esquire, made statements to Celebrity Justice on February 7, 2005, and February 9, 2005, including that Plaintiff's allegations represented a "classic shakedown" of Defendant, and that "Plaintiff's intention ... was of requesting money from Mr. Cosby". <u>See</u>, Exhibit "B", Celebrity Justice publications.

Indeed, Defendant made these statements at a time when he knew that Plaintiff and her mother had requested <u>only an</u> apology when they had spoken to him in January 2005. In fact, Defendant admitted to Pennsylvania law enforcement officers as early as January 26, 2005, that Plaintiff and her mother had requested only that he apologize, and it was <u>Defendant's idea</u> to contact them again to offer, instead, an "educational trust". Defendant also knew before February 7, 2005, that Plaintiff <u>had not accepted</u> his offer of money.

Around this time, however, other women had begun to come forward to report that Defendant had committed similar assaults upon them, including that he gave them "medication" or spiked their drinks, and then sexually assaulted them when they were under the influence of the drug he gave them. The first woman's account to be published was Tamara Lucier Green, Esquire, <sup>1</sup> who revealed that Defendant had given her what he represented to be "Contac" medication when she felt ill. The "Contac" given to Ms. Green, just as the "Benadryl" Defendant alleges he gave to Plaintiff here, turned out, instead, to be some other type of substance, which rendered Ms. Green semiconscious. Defendant then attempted to assault Ms. Green sexually. When she refused and resisted, Defendant left her residence, leaving two \$100 bills on her table. <u>See</u>, Exhibit "C", February 8, 2005, Philadelphia Daily News account.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Now a Rule 415 witness in this litigation

#### Case 2:05-cv-01099-ER Document 67 Filed 01/04/06 Page 4 of 44

Another woman, Beth Ferrier, before she became known to Plaintiff's counsel, contacted the National Enquirer after the Tamara Lucier Green, Esquire, account was published. Beth Ferrier, too, had been drugged and sexually assaulted by Defendant. The National Enquirer asked her to be polygraphed as a pre-condition to publishing her account. She agreed to the paper's polygraph -- and then passed its test. The National Enquirer next contacted Defendant and/or his agents to advise him that the Ferrier account would be published.

At his deposition, Defendant admitted that he offered his February 21, 2005, "Exclusive Interview" to the paper in exchange for its express agreement <u>not to publish</u> the Ferrier account. Indeed, Defendant testified that he did not want the public to hear of the Beth Ferrier account, because that coupled with the Tamara Green and Andrea Constand claims would lend credibility to the fact that he had committed these assaults. In fact, he testified that he wanted the public to believe that Plaintiff was <u>not</u> telling the truth, and he feared that publication of the Ferrier story would lead the public to believe that Plaintiff was telling the truth. (National Enquirer Interview and Cosby deposition, 9/29/05, p.p. 221- 222, attached as Exhibit "D".)

Once given this public forum, Defendant used the National Enquirer to continue the defamation of Plaintiff he had initiated with Celebrity Justice, claiming that he was "hurt" by Plaintiff's allegations; [people] were trying to "soil" him because of who he was; and, he would stand his ground against [anyone] who tried to "exploit" him. <u>See</u>, Exhibit "D", National Enquirer interview. Although Defendant now claims that Plaintiff's identity could not be gleaned from his comments, this argument is totally devoid of merit.

Not content, however, with his use of just Celebrity Justice and the National Enquirer to generate pro-Cosby publicity, defendant also appeared on the television show, Nightline, on June 29, 2005. In that interview, in which Defendant was discussing his views on

#### Case 2:05-cv-01099-ER Document 67 Filed 01/04/06 Page 5 of 44

morality and values, Defendant was asked whether Plaintiff's allegations would hurt his standing on morality issues. He responded, "If they are not true, what happens if they are not true?" Exhibit "E", summary of Nightline interview. Thus, Defendant affirmatively used the media forum to cast doubt on the truth of Plaintiff's claims against him.

Defendant's suggestion, therefore, that Plaintiff seeks to "sully his reputation with pretrial publicity" is completely disingenuous. Defendant has solicited and invited publicity repeatedly since Plaintiff's allegations were reported, and has shaped and manipulated his own published or televised accounts. Defendant objects only to a contrary version of events --Plaintiff's -- from becoming public. Thus, where Defendant's own testimony or his prior actions against other women support Plaintiff's allegations, he wants such corroboration kept secret.

Further, Defendant's counsel claims that Defendant will be very embarrassed if details of his deposition testimony surface. He has not submitted an Affidavit to the Court, and his claim is without any factual basis. See, eg., Constand v. Cosby, C.A. No. 05-1099, July 6, 2005, Order, N.1 (Robreno, J.) Moreover, defense counsel's claim of embarrassment is not only waived by Defendant's previous acts, it is disingenuous. Defendant opened the door to publicity by inviting the media to entertain his version of events. It is simply unfair to allow Defendant to have curried press favor, and not to allow response, particularly where, as here, fair coverage will allow Plaintiff to publicly vindicate her name in this defamation action. See, Sprague v. American Bar Association, 276 F. Supp. 2d 365, 374 (E.D. Pa. 2003). See also, Gaetano v. Sharon Herald, 426 Pa. 1791, 231 A.2d 753 (Pa. 1967); Moyer v. Phillips, 462 Pa. 395, 341 A.2d 441 (Pa. 1975); Grahm v. Today's Spirit 503 Pa. 52, 468 A.2d 454 (Pa. 1983),

Finally, Defendant cannot seriously contend he will be embarrassed, when he has continued to joke about this case. Not only did Defendant joke at a public appearance in New

#### Case 2:05-cv-01099-ER Document 67 Filed 01/04/06 Page 6 of 44

Brunswick, New Jersey, on February 26, 2005, about whether a female audience member who came up on the stage, would say he "put anything into [her] drink," he joked more at his deposition. <u>See, e.g.</u> Philadelphia Daily News account of New Jersey appearance, March 8, 2005; Cosby deposition 9/28/05, p.24, pp. 104-105, attached as Exhibit "F".

Accordingly, Defendant's "embarrassment" is not and never was the real issue. Defendant is simply attempting to continue to manipulate media coverage by picking and choosing which portions of his account may be revealed. The fact that Defendant has admitted breaking the law in the 1970's by purchasing Quaaludes to dispense them illegally to women with whom he hoped to "party" is particularly relevant. Not only does it support Plaintiff's claims that Defendant gave her a substance more potent than Benadryl, as he had given to other women before, it is evidence of Defendant's state of mind. Moreover, having told the public himself that he gave Plaintiff Benadryl, the public has a right to know the contradictory information. The National Enquirer agreement and supporting documentation are relevant to Defendant's credibility, or lack thereof, his manipulation of the media, and Plaintiff's defamation claim. The public has a right to know that Defendant deliberately gave his interview to suppress the Beth Ferrier account, and to prevent the public from believing Plaintiff's claims against him.

The facts are no less embarrassing to Plaintiff or the Jane Doe witnesses. That they were duped by Defendant, believing him to be their friend and mentor, when he was grooming them to be his victims, is simply a fact. They have come forward, however -- whether embarrassed or not. The Court denied anonymity to the Jane Doe witnesses, holding that disclosure of their names was important to the public's right to access. The test here should be no different. Defendant's actions, especially in light of his earlier published

or televised statements, should not be kept a secret, particularly where he has deceived and sexually assaulted women, and at least in this case, defamed one.

#### ARGUMENT

# A. The Right of Public Access Extends to Discovery Material Appended to Discover Motions In this case.

Defendant's lopsided view turns on the assumption that, as a matter of law, the public has no right of access to discovery materials appended to discovery motions and, hence, the seal protecting such materials in this case should remain in place. Defendant, relying upon *Leucadia*, *Inc. v. Applied Extrusion Technologies, Inc.*, 998 F.2d 157 (3d Cir. 1993), characterizes the principle as an unbending doctrine of Third Circuit jurisprudence that bars public access. A careful review of the case law, however, does not lead in that direction. The *Leucadia* court carefully contrasted the "presumptive" public right of access to documents filed with the court with the "non-presumptive" public right of access to discovery materials, but left open the more germane issue of when the right of access to discovery materials arises. The Court wrote that "[w]e need not decide here whether we would interpret the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to permit a member of the public to challenge an overly protective sealing order." *Id.* at 165. Significantly, the Court held:

> We must rely in the first instance on the district courts to protect the legitimate public interest in filed materials from overly broad and unjustifiable protective orders agreed to by the parties for their self-interests. *See United States v. Corbitt*, 879 F.2d 224, 228 (7th Cir.1989) ("[T]he public's right to inspect judicial records may not be evaded by a wholesale sealing of court papers. Instead, the district court must be sensitive to the rights of the public in determining whether any particular document, or class of documents, is appropriately filed under seal").

*Id.* Clearly, the *Leucadia* court's holding opens the door for litigants and interveners to obtain access to discovery materials if it is determined that court imposed protections are over broad and unwarranted.

Contrary to Defendant's suggestion, the United States Supreme Court in *Seattle Times Co. v. Rhinehart*, 467 U.S. 20, 33 (1984), does not hold that there is no absolute right of public access to discovery materials, only that "restraints placed on discovered, but not yet admitted, information are not a restriction on a traditionally public source of information." *Id.* The Court does not address the issue here, which is whether the a defendant has a right to be protected from public disclosure of documents that are protected by an overly broad order to seal discovery documents. Similarly, other precedents relied upon by Defendant do not extend to that question or acknowledge that the right of access is not absolute but governed by a "good cause" standard. *See, e.g., Anderson v. Cryovac, Inc.*, 805 F.2d 1, 13 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1986) ("good cause" standard applied to question of whether the public may have access to sealed discovery materials); *Chicago Tribune Co. v. Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc.*, 263 F.3d 1304, 1313 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001) (common-law right of access to discovery documents governed by Rule 26 good cause balancing test).

As a consequence, the analysis of whether the seal protecting discovery materials should be lifted turns upon whether Defendant has shown good cause to deserve such protection and not whether there is a presumption of public access. *See Pansy v. Borough of Stroudsburg*, 23 F.3d 772, 786 (3d Cir. 1994).

# B. Defendants Allegations of Public Embarrassment are Neither Supported by his Own Conduct nor by any *Per Se* Legal Standard.

7

Defendant contends that "private" matters related to his health, medical history, and business and financial practices should be protected from disclosure because of the embarrassment that would cause of him if they were made public, an implicit acknowledgement of his public stature and the interest that his circumstances engender among the public. These kinds of matters are, of course, the subject of everyday litigation, and hardly distinguish the Defendant's situation from that of any other civil litigant. The distinguishing factor, from Defendant's point of view, is that defendant is a celebrity. Yet, courts do not give celebrities special consideration and Defendant cites to none that do.<sup>2</sup> But see Willie Nelson Music Co. v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 85 T.C. 914, 916 (1985) (motion for protective order denied despite assertions by singer/celebrity that criminal liability may subject him to embarrassment and emotional distress); Condit v. Dunne, 225 F.R.D. 113 (S.D. N.Y. 2004) (court refused to impose protective order on discovery where former Congressman sued television commentator about statements made about possible involvement in a woman's disappearance); Flaherty v. Seroussi, 209 F.R.D. 295, 300 (legitimate public interest to have access to court proceedings outweighed embarrassment that might be caused by release of video-taped deposition).

The real issue here is not Defendant's financial and health "secrets," it is Plaintiff's allegations concerning drugs and sexual misconduct Following *Shingara v. Skiles*, 420 F.3d 301 (3d Cir. 2005) and *Pansy v. Borough of Stroudsburg*, 23 F.3d 772, 786 (3d Cir. 1994), a party seeking protection from potential embarrassment must show it to be "particularly serious." *Shingara*, 420 F.3d at 307. Other than to vaguely restate the facts contained in discovery, Defendant fails to specify the serious harm that will occur from their disclosure. How serious can such facts be if he pokes fun of the Plaintiff's allegations in stand-up comedy routines?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Defendant reliance upon *Jones v. Clinton*, 993 F. Supp. 1217 (E.D.Ark.1998) and *People v. Jackson*, 27 Cal. Rptr. 3d 596 (Cal. Ct. App. 2005) is misplaced. In *Clinton*, the issue was the protecting of the Jane Doe witnesses, not the Defendant; and in *Jackson*, a criminal matter, the court was concerned about harm to child victims.

#### Case 2:05-cv-01099-ER Document 67 Filed 01/04/06 Page 10 of 44

Defendant contends that he deserves special protection from disclosure because his "reputation is his livelihood," as if to trivialize the Plaintiff's reputation. Underlying his rhetoric is not the issue of "embarrassment", it is "control." Defendant wants to control – personally and with his public relations machine – how and what is said about him to the public, leaving the plaintiff without a voice. These arguments do not form the grounds for maintaining a seal on discovery. To the contrary, they demand that the seal be lifted.

Defendant also makes a sweeping and unsubstantiated claim that release of discovery papers could make it impossible for him to receive a fair trial. Defendant's Brief at 11. The law does not support his contention and even *Shingara*, relied upon by Defendant, rejects the notion that such assertions are dispositive of "good cause". The court held

> To start with, the concern that the disclosure of discovery materials to the media could unduly prejudice the public is exactly the type of broad, unsubstantiated allegation of harm that does not support a showing of good cause. See Glenmede Trust Co., 56 F.3d at 483. We ordinarily are confident that a district court will be able to select a fair and impartial jury in cases even where there has been pre-trial media attention to the case and we see no reason to believe that this case would present an exception to the usual case. See United States v. Gilsenan, 949 F.2d 90, 96 (3d Cir.1991). Therefore, we fail to see how jury selection will be a serious concern, let alone good cause for a broad and sweeping protective order, in this case. After all, the defendants did not present any evidence to support their argument, drawn from the information already published, that there will be difficulty selecting a jury in this case or evidence that if additional information is published there would be such difficulty.

Shingara at 307. On these grounds, the defendant's request should be rejected.

#### C. Under Defamation Law, Plaintiff has a Right to Vindicate Her Reputation

Defendant wants to maintain a seal of secrecy of discovery materials that relate directly

to the public comments he has made about the Plaintiff and her motivations. His contacts with

Celebrity Justice and his exclusive agreement with the National Enquirer, is a case in point. Defendant has testified that he agreed to speak to the National Enquirer so it would "kill" a similar story about Beth Ferrier, another accuser, and publish his story instead. The intent, of course, was to suggest Plaintiff was trying to "exploit" Defendant and to prevent the Ferrier story from being made public, thereby, undermining the credibility of plaintiff's own story. Maintaining the seal on discovery documents, including the Defendant's deposition, undermines one of the basic principles of Pennsylvania defamation law, that is, public vindication. The Supreme Court of Pennsylvania has held:

> Moreover, in determining where a cause of action for libel arises, we must keep certain fundamental points in mind. The most important function of an action for defamation is to give the innocent and injured plaintiff a public vindication of his good name. Its primary purpose is to restore his unjustly tarnished reputation, and 'reputation is the estimation in which one's character is held by his neighbors or associates. 'Restatement, Torts s 577, comment b (1938).

Gaetano v. Sharon Herald Co., 426 Pa. 179, 183, 231 A.2d 753, 755 (1967). See also Sprague v. American Bar Association, 276 F. Supp.2d 365, 374 (E.D. Pa. 2003)

Defendant argues that this principle is irrelevant to the issue before the Court because a trial will determine whether Plaintiff is vindicated or not. Courts, however, do not limit the principle of public vindication to the results of trial – and Defendant cites to none – rather, it is through the litigation process that public vindication is obtained. Notwithstanding, in view of the Defendant's continued efforts at public damage control, sealing the documents renders the Plaintiff powerless to publicly demonstrate her good name, while permitting the Defendant to continue to manipulate the press and public opinion for his own benefit. This should not be countenanced by permitting the seal to remain in place.

# D. Defendant has Failed to Show "Good Cause" for keeping His Deposition Under Seal.

Defendant claims this deposition testimony provides the required nexus between his concern with embarrassment and public disclosure that this Court requires under *Pansy, supra*, to maintain the seal of confidentiality. He speculates that disclosure of his testimony would be "terrible" because his social, sexual, and medical history would be revealed leading to inevitable "scandal". He claims that these broad, speculative and unsubstantiated claims rise to the level of specificity required under *Pansy, supra*. Plaintiff vehemently disagrees because: (1) this Court has already held that such broad assertions do not rise to the level of the required specificity; (2) Defendant's privacy interest is not the same as that of a general member of the public; (3) by his own conduct, he has waived his expectation of privacy; and (4) his privacy interest is reduced by the likelihood that it will be eventually disclosed.

This Court, following *Pansy, supra* and *Cipollone v. Liggett Group, Inc.*, 785 F.2d 1108, 1121 (3d Cir. 1986), has held that "[b]road allegations of harm, unsubstantiated by specific examples of articulated reasoning, do not support a good cause showing." *Constand v. Cosby*, C.A. No. 05-1099, Order, July 6, 2005, Document 37, filed 7/7/05 at n. 1 (E.D. Pa.) (Robreno, J.). In earlier briefing in this case, on the motion of the Jane Doe witnesses to protect their identities, the witnesses submitted to the Court examples of how each would suffer harm by the disclosure of their relationships with the Defendant. *See* Motion of Jane Doe Witnesses to Protect Disclosure of Their Names Outside of this Litigation and Supporting Memorandum of Law *Constand v. Cosby*, C.A. No. 05-1099, Document 33, filed 6/13/05 (E.D. Pa.). The witnesses asserted that disclosure would adversely effect health and mental problems and conditions – bipolar disorder in one case; effect their employment and careers; and cause humiliation and harassment by media attention. These assertions are not unlike those of the

Defendant who alleges harm from the media and damage to his career which "would quickly become the subject of scandal." With regard to the Jane Doe witnesses, this Court held that

> the allegations of harm by each of the Jane Doe witnesses are unsubstantiated broad allegations insufficient to establish good cause. First, no affidavits have been provided by any of the Jane Does to support their counsels' description of the possible harm from disclosure. Thus, the allegations are factually unsupported. Second, while disclosures may prove annoying and potentially embarrassing, these factors do not supply the requisite quantum to trump the public interest in access to court proceedings.

*Constand v. Cosby*, C.A. No. 05-1099, Order, July 6, 2005, n. 1 (E.D. Pa.) (Robreno, J.) The Defendant has provided less support for his broad allegations of harm than the Jane Doe witnesses. His assertions of scandal are at best speculative and his motion is unsupported by either specific reference to the testimony or by affidavit alleging specific harm He provides no basis for continuing protection of his deposition transcript or other documents.

Defendant claims that his is not a "public figure" merely because he is a celebrity and entertainer. He neglects, however, to point out that he is much more than just a celebrity. It is a matter of public record that he is a community leader, serves on boards of major universities, takes public stands on important issues, and is frequently interviewed by the press for his opinions, not his jokes. Albeit he is not an elected official, it is beyond dispute that he is a public figure who generates intense and legitimate public scrutiny and interest. As a result, his expectation of privacy cannot be equivalent to that of a private citizen. *Pansy*, 23 F.3d at 787 (privacy interests of a public person are diminished). The cases cited by Defendant are limited to individuals who are *only* entertainers and have not risen to the heights of public service either by choice or by election. *See, e.g., Paisley Park Enters. v. Uptown Prods.*, 54 F. Supp. 2d 347 (S.D.N.Y. 1999) (Court protects Prince, a musician and recording artist); *Condit v. Dunne*, 225 F.R.D. 113 (S.D.N.Y. 2004). The court's words in *Condit v. Dunne*, 225 F.R.D. 113, 120

#### Case 2:05-cv-01099-ER Document 67 Filed 01/04/06 Page 14 of 44

(S.D.N.Y. 2004) – quoted by Defendant – are, indeed relevant here: "[m]ore than mere celebrity interest is involved in this case." By injecting himself into the public eye, Defendant must accept the consequences. *See Leverton v. Curtis Pub. Co.*, 192 F.2d 974 (3d Cir. 1951) (right of privacy is waived by individual voluntarily getting into the public eye). Defendant is more than just a celebrity and as a consequence, he has diminished privacy interest.

Defendant's expectation of privacy has necessarily been diminished by his own conduct. His contacts with *Celebrity Justice* and his exclusive contractual interview with the *National Enquirer* were all attempts at using his public stature to persuade the public about his side of the story. It is not just the Plaintiff who has placed his conduct at issue. Defendant, on his own behalf, has reached out to the media about his "innocence" at the same time defaming his accuser. Now he wants the Court to protect him from publicity, which is not under his control. This simply is not "good cause" for protecting his deposition from disclosure.

It is also important to recognize that the matters of concern to the Defendant, to the extent that facts are not already the subject of public knowledge, will eventually be disclosed, a fact that also diminishes his privacy interest. In a case very close on point, *Koster v. Chase Manhattan Bank*, 93 F.R.D. 471, 472 (D.C.N.Y. 1982), a former bank employee commenced an action against Chase Manhattan Bank and a former vice-president alleging that while she and former vice-president were employed by bank, he forced her to engage in a sexual relationship with him, abused her, and interfered with her career. The lawsuit generated a considerable amount of publicity in newspapers, television, and radio. The *Koster* court wrote that "[a]ccording to the defendants, the widespread and, at times, somewhat sensationalized coverage has resulted in injury to the reputations of Ross, the Bank and its employees." *Id.* at 472-73. As a consequence the defendants moved for a protective order to seal information obtained through

discovery. The court performed a detailed "good cause" analysis, denied the defendant's motion,

and held the following about the his concerns of embarrassment:

The relative importance to this lawsuit of information concerning any sexual relationship between Koster and Ross is another factor that leads us to deny the defendants' motion. Ordinarily, one's privacy interest in preventing the public disclosure of the details of a sexual relationship might be viewed as a reason for granting a protective order. In this instance, however, the information is not irrelevant matter that was revealed as a by-product of the liberal discovery rules. Rather, the facts underlying the plaintiff's allegations that Ross forced her to have sex for the purpose of "safeguarding her career" and that he abused her when she terminated the relationship must be proven if the plaintiff is to prevail on her cause of action. In other words, this information is highly relevant to the issues in the lawsuit and will be revealed at a trial on the merits, assuming that there is one. Thus, the defendants' privacy interest in the information is significantly reduced by the likelihood that the information will eventually be disclosed. See Protective Orders, supra, at 1663 ("If the information will be disclosed eventually in any case, there is no reason to prevent disclosure at the discovery stage." (footnote omitted)); cf. Lucido v. Cravath, Swaine & Moore, supra, 25 F.R.Serv.2d at 1051 (protective order covered only that information that "would, or at a minimum, might not be admissible at trial").

*Id.* at 482 (emphasis added). Similarly, the issues raised in the Defendant's deposition are at the heart of Plaintiff's claims. As a practical matter, placing the deposition and other discovery materials under seal now will not protect the Defendant from its eventual disclosure, nor is it fair to Plaintiff or the public. As a consequence, the Defendant's expectation of privacy is both diminished and ephemeral. Accordingly, his request to that his deposition and other documents be kept confidential before trial should be denied.

Case 2:05-cv-01099-ER Document 67 Filed 01/04/06 Page 16 of 44

#### **CONCLUSION**

For all the above reasons, Defendant's Opposition to Lifting of the Seal Established by

Case Management Order 2 should be rejected, and the seal lifted.

Respectfully submitted, TROIANI/KIVITZ, L.L.P.

By: Bebe H. Kivitz

I.D. No. 30253 Dolores M. Troiani I. D. No. 21283 Attorneys for Plaintiff 38 North Waterloo Road Devon, Pennsylvania 19333 (610) 688.8400 Case 2:05-cv-01099-ER Document 67 Filed 01/04/06 Page 17 of 44

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on January 4, 2006, the undersigned were served in the following

manner, a true and correct copy of : Plaintiff's Memorandum Of Law In Support Of the Lifting

Of The Seal Established By Case Management Order 2.

#### <u>NAME</u>

New York, NY 10036

#### MANNER

Via United States First Class Mail

Patrick J. O'Connor, Esquire Cozen O'Connor 1900 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Andrew D. Schau, Esquire Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler, LLP 1133 Avenue of the Americas

Via United States First Class Mail

Respectfully submitted, TROIANI/KIVITZ, L.L.P.

By: Bebe H. Kivitz

I.D. No. 30253 Dolores M. Troiani I.D. No. 21283 Attorneys for the Plaintiff 38 North Waterloo Road Devon, Pennsylvania 19333 (610) 688.8400 NBC10.com - Investigators - Investigators Uncover New Information In Cosby Case Case 2:05-cv-01099-ER Document 67 Filed 01/04/06 Page 18 of 44

Page 1 of 3



Cosby's attorneys say the allegations are "utterly preposterous and plainly bizarre... and we'll vigorously defend."

Copyright 2005 by <u>NBC10.com</u>. All rights reserved. This material may not be published, broadcast, rewritten or redistributed.



LowerWyBillS.com Easy Comparisons. More Choices. Digger Savings.\*\*

#### Two Great Weather Tools From NBC 10!

Weather Plus Get the latest weather updates 24 hours a day with NBC Weather Plus! Watch Now!

My-Cast Find out what the weather is doing outside your home! Zipcode level forecasting. Sign up for NBC 10 My-Cast!

🖾 EMAIL THIS STORY | 🖨 PRINT THIS STORY

Most Popular Stories Black-Tie Party Turns Ugly In Philly Andy Reid Out As Eagles' GM Woman Charged With Fatally Scalding Son Reaction Mixed To Dick Clark's TV Return Teen Killed While Walking To Party





# Woman: Cosby groped and drugged me

BY LEO STANDORA DAILY NEWS STAFF WRITER

A female acquaintance has accused Bill Cosby of drugging and groping her in his suburban Philadelphia home a year ago, the comedian's lawyer said yesterday.

Attorney Walter Phillips called the allegation "utterly preposterous" and said Cosby denied it.

A law-enforcement source said the unidentified woman claimed the comedian knocked her out with drugs, touched her breasts and put her hand on his genitals, according to one published account.

When the woman awoke she found her bra undone, the source said.

Philadelphia cops began investigating after the women told her story to police in Ontario, where she now lives, and they passed the charges on.

The woman is said to be a former athletic department employee of Temple University, Cosby's alma mater.

Phillips said the 67-year-old Cosby hasn't talked to police yet.

But the lawyer called the allegations "truly bizarre" and noted they come a year after the alleged incident occurred.

"I would say they're false and utterly preposterous," he said.

Cosby, who's been married to his wife, Camille, for 41 years and has five kids, has faced scandalous allegations before.

In 1997, Autumn Jackson, a 22-year-old Los Angeles woman, claimed to

DAI

Me

1

be Cosby's illegitimate daughter. She and two cohorts eventually were convicted of trying to extort \$40 million from the entertainer to buy his silence.

#### Originally published on January 21, 2005

**XML** Fresh stories hot off the site every day via RSS!

- Have stories like this emailed right to your inbox!
- Email this story
- Printer-friendly version



LEFT

Home News & Views Sports Entertainment Business Boroughs City Life Services

All contents © 2006 Daily News, L.P. Disclaimer and Copyright Notice | Our Privacy Policy

Page 1 of 3 Trouble for the Cos? - Jan 21, 2005 - E! Online News Case 2:05-cv-01099-ER Document 67 Filed 01/04/06 Page 22 of 44



### Trouble for the Cos?

by Sarah Hall Jan 21, 2005, 11:00 AM PT

Television's favorite sweater-wearing dad may be in hot water.

An allegation made against Bill Cosby by a female acquaintance who claims she was drugged and groped by the entertainer has touched off a police probe in Pennsylvania, where Cosby owns a home.

The alleged victim is said to be a former athletic department employee of Temple University, Cosby's alma mater, who moved back to Canada nine months ago after living in Pennsylvania for two years.

According to published reports, the woman claims that she met Cosby for dinner last January at a Pennsylvania restaurant where he gave her pills that made her dizzy after she complained of stress and tension.

The woman said she accompanied Cosby home where he allegedly proceeded to fondle her breast and place her hand on his genitals.

Though the woman reportedly admits that her memory of the





night's events is fuzzy, she claims she woke at 4 a.m. the next morning with her clothing in disarray and her bra unfastened.

101 Sexies Celeb Bodi Mmmm, mn good! 8-10r

TODAY'S

FIRST LOOK News in Brid

"Narnia" Us "Kina"

"Lost" Stars for DUIs

"South Park by Complair

#### Trouble for the Cos? - Jan 21, 2005 - E! Online News Case 2:05-cv-01099-ER Document 67 Filed 01/04/06 Page 23 of 44

She allegedly told police that she did not immediately come forward about the incident because of Cosby's fame and fear for her position at Temple University. She reportedly resigned from her job in April.

Cosby's attorney, Walter M. Phillips Jr., called the allegation "utterly preposterous" and "truly bizarre" and noted that it came a year after the alleged incident occurred.

"It will be vigorously defended," Phillips told E! Online Friday.

Phillips said he did not know if the woman had been contacted by authorities.

Pennsylvania law officials picked up the case after the woman made her complaint to police in Ontario on Jan. 13.

Canadian authorities passed the information on to Philadelphia police who directed the case to the police in Cheltenham Township, where Cosby's home is located.

The Cheltenham Township Police Force had no comment Friday on the allegation or any pending investigation.

Perhaps bowing to pressure, Cosby canceled a raft of personal appearances, including a town hall appearance in Cleveland, Ohio Thursday night and three upcoming appearances in Florida--two in Fort Lauderdale and one in Daytona.

Cosby's rep would not say whether the cancellations were related to the allegation against the comedian.

The Jell-O-loving Cosby, who has been married to his wife, Camille, for 41 years, has faced scandal before. In 1997, Autumn Jackson, a 22-year-old Los Angeles woman, claimed to be the entertainer's illegitimate daughter and forced Cosby to admit in court to having an affair with her mother.

However, Cosby denied that he was Jackson's father, and she and two cohorts were eventually convicted of trying to extort \$40 million from him in order to buy her silence.

🖸 email this story

\*! message board

print this story

**Related Links** 

- News: Bill Cosby strikes again
- News: Bill Cosby on witch-hunt?
- E! Online's fact sheet for Bill Cosby

all news stories 🕏

FRESH F Answer Bite new Hollywor trend, plus p for 2006! Tab Fab: Ch hot box of in people please

Party Girl: I gossip, glam, greed of soin 2005

Fashion Pol Lindsay's in a mood, Nicole more pin thir

) XML



• help • about E! Online • site map • membership • newsletter

Use of this site signifies your acceptance of the Privacy Policy and

Terms of Use. Copyright © 2006 E! Entertainment Television, Inc. All rights reserved.

Bill Cosby accused of raping woman Case 2:05-cv-01099-ER Document 67 Filed 01/04/06 Page 25 of 44 Page 1 of 3



When asked about the allegations, Montgomery ( District Attorney Risa V. Ferman refused to c

A Philadelphia native and Temple graduate, Co. the university's sporting events. He has been Camille, for 41 years.

The 67-year-old father of five has faced sala

In July 1997, a jury in U.S. District Court i: 22-year-old woman, who claimed to be Cosby's trying to extort \$40 million from Cosby to bu

Autumn Jackson and two co-conspirators were consell the story of a waif spurned by a famous tabloid unless they were paid millions.

On the witness stand at Jackson's trial, a so Las Vegas tryst in the mid-1970s with Jackson He acknowledged having provided more than \$10 support to the mother and daughter.

Cosby said he did not believe the young woman refused to take a blood test.

But the tryst with Jackson rocked Cosby's rep who dispenses sage fatherly advice on and off

5 Posts in Topic:	
<u>Bill Cosby accused of raping</u>	ienjball@[EMA]
woman	PROTECTED
Re: Bill Cosby accused of raping	Jon Green <jons;< th=""></jons;<>
woman	Jerre,
Re: Bill Cosby accused of raping	"Michael Rosen'
woman	
Re: Bill Cosby accused of raping woman	"Giuditta" <
<u>Re: Bill Cosby accused of</u> raping woman	"Michael Rosen'

Post A Reply:

IST OF NUM and receive benefits of the talkab

Post replies, new topics, bookmark post, email post to friends and much more.

<u>About</u> - <u>Advertising</u> - <u>Contact</u> - <u>Frequently Asked Quest</u> of Use - <u>Signup</u> ä,

All trademarks and copyrights on this page are owned by their respective owners. The of the author of the post and are not necessarily the view of the <u>Talk About Network</u>. If message is objectionable, you are encouraged to <u>contact us</u> immediately by email.

Developed and Powered by <u>MediaGlitch.com</u> Copyright © 2003-2005 Talk About Netw reserved. Talk About Network® is a registered trademark.

tan5V112 Wed Jan 4 9:51:57 CET 2006.



## Woman Accuses Cosby; Cops Investigating

Friday, January 21, 2005

Associated Press

LOS ANGELES — A female acquaintance of comedian **Bill Cosby** (<u>search</u>) has leveled an allegation against him that has prompted a police investigation in Philadelphia, the entertainer's attorney said Thursday.

Attorney Walter Phillips (search) wouldn't discuss the specifics of the allegation but said it amounts to, at the most, "inappropriate touching."

No charges have been brought against Cosby, but Phillips said authorities have begun an investigation. He said the accuser, who lives in Canada, knows Cosby and the alleged incident in question happened about a year ago. He called the allegation "utterly preposterous."

"I know the person making the accusation hasn't been contacted by authorities," Phillips said in a telephone interview with The Associated Press. "We are hopeful and optimistic that no charges will be brought forward."

Philadelphia police and Canadian authorities declined to comment.

Meanwhile, Cosby postponed a town hall meeting in Cleveland on Thursday and has postponed three upcoming shows — two in Ft. Lauderdale and one in Daytona, both in Florida, Cosby's publicist, David Brokaw, said.

Brokaw wouldn't say whether the postponements have anything to do with the recent allegation.

About 3,600 people, including many Cleveland public school students and their parents, received free tickets for the event at the downtown Music Hall, part of the **Cleveland Convention Center** (search).

"I feel awful about it," said Sam Fulwood, a (Cleveland) Plain Dealer columnist, who said he received a personal call from Cosby requesting help organizing the event.

Fulwood said he has not heard from Cosby directly since learning of the postponement Wednesday night.

"He's not talking right now," Fulwood said.

SEARCH

GO

#### **Click here for FOX News RSS Feeds**

Advertise on FOX News Channel, FOXNews.com and FOX News Radio Jobs at FOX News Channel. Internships at FOX News Channel (Accepting Fall Applications Now). Terms of use. Privacy Statement. For FOXNews.com comments write to foxnewsonline@foxnews.com; For FOX News Channel comments write to comments@foxnews.com © Associated Press. All rights reserved. Copyright © 2005 ComStock, Inc. This material may not be published, broadcast, rewritten, or redistributed.

> Copyright 2005 FOX News Network, LLC. All rights reserved. All market data delayed 20 minutes.

CJ - Cosby Accuser's Alon Contacted Cosby Before Collision 67 Filed 01/04/06 Page 29 of 44

#### Page 1 of 1



Cosby's Attorney Charles Active Tash Document 67 Filed 01/04/06 Page 30 of 44

Page 1 of 1



http://celebrityjustice.warnerbros.com/news/0502/09b.html

12/2005



By NICOLE WEISENSEE EGAN weisenn@phillynews.com

CALIFORNIA LAWYER says she has told Montgomery County investigators who are probing sex allegations against Bill Cosby that the entertainer drugged her and tried to force himself on her about 30 years ago.

Tamara Green, a longtime criminal and civil lawyer and former fashion model, said she decided to tell her story after Cosby's lawyer and the Montgomery County district attorney publicly cast doubt on a former Temple University women's basketball executive's allegations that Cosby drugged and groped her at his Elkins Park mansion last year.

"I realize that him doing it to me 30 years ago doesn't prove he did it to this girl today, but when I heard the circumstances, I felt compelled to call up and say, 'He did exactly the same thing to me,' "said Green, 57, in a phone interview from her Ventura, Calif., home.

"Do I want everybody to know that he had his dirty paws all over me? No," she said.

"But I don't think it's right that they're going to disregard this woman and her allegations. I feel like they should look into it more seriously than I thought they were going to."

The *Daily News* usually withholds the identity of alleged sexual assault victims, but Green said she wants her name used.

What she said convinced her to go public was not any desire for justice for herself or for money or even for publicity. She said she believes it was her "civic duty and moral obligation" to come forward after Cosby's lawyer denounced the Temple woman's claims as "bizarre and preposterous" and Montgomery County D.A. Bruce L. Castor Jr. characterized the case against Cosby as weak.

"I heard his lawyer said her claims were preposterous and basically I thought, 'My eye. He did exactly the same thing to me,' " said Green. "It set my teeth on edge and made my hair stand up.

"Then I heard a press release from the district attorney saying he thought the case was weak and why did she wait so long to come forward?" she said. "I worked in a D.A.'s office and that's D.A.speak for 'We're not filing charges.' I felt compelled to come forward after I heard that."

Cosby's lawyer, Walter Phillips Jr., denied Green's allegations. He would not answer questions about her story.

"I've spoken with my client," Phillips

See **COSBY** Next Page



Bill Cosby's lawyer; Walter Phillips Jr., denied Green's allegations. He would not answer questions about her story. "I've spoken with my client," Phillips said. "Mr. Cosby [above] does not

recognize the names Tamara Green [right] or Tamara Lucier (her maiden name). In any event, the incident you described did not happen in any way, shape or form."



Photos: DAVID MAIALETTI/Daily News & LA Time

#### Case 2:05-cv-01099-ER Document 67 Filed 01/04/06 Page 32 of 44



#### COSBY

Continued from Preceding Page

said. "Mr. Cosby does not recognize the names Tamara Green or Tamara Lucier [her maiden name]. In any event, the incident you described did not happen in any way, shape or form."

Cosby has also denied the former Temple executive's allegations. She claims Cosby gave her some pills, then groped her while she was immobilized at his Montgomery County mansion in January 2004.

Castor has said his office would decide this week whether to charge Cosby "or anyone else" in the probe of the ex-Temple employee's complaint.

Green said she gave a statement by phone to a Montgomery County detective on Jan. 28 and told him she would testify if necessary. She also said she spoke to Risa Vetri Ferman, Castor's first assistant, yesterday. Green also spoke to lawyers who represent the former Temple employee.

Neither Castor nor Ferman would comment.

Dolores Troiani, the Devon lawyer who represents the former Temple employee, said she found Green "credible."

"She's an attorney and she seemed to still be angry after all of these years, which is only an indication of how much an attack like this affects somebody's life," Troiani said.

If Cosby is charged with sexually assaulting the former Temple worker, the testimony of someone making claims like Green's might be useful to prosecutors if a judge allows it into evidence,

PAGE 4

said Wendy Demchik-Alloy, a retired Montgomery County sex crimes prosecutor.

"It helps to fortify the prosecution because instead of just having one victim, in effect on an island, all by herself, with no other evidence, you have two," said Demchik-Alloy.

Green is a longtime criminal and civil lawyer. She said she is mostly retired now, handling only appeals. In 2002, she represented the elderly Lee Minnelli, Liza Minnelli's stepmother, in a lawsuit that accused Liza Minnelli of elder abuse and breach of contract after Liza tried to sell the house out from under her. Lee Minnelli eventually dropped the lawsuit after she was allowed to remain in her home.

Green said she has told her Cosby story to various friends through the years. But she said she never went to law enforcement because, soon after the encounter, Cosby showed up at Children's Hospital in Los Angeles where her 16-year-old brother, Marc Lucier, was dying from cystic fibrosis.

"My brother just thought it was the bee's knees that the great Bill Cosby was my friend and had come to the hospital to see him and made him a hero and made the other terminal kids happy," she said. "He gave autographs and 'Attaboys' and I just couldn't take that away from my brother."

Her brother died several months after that, she said, but she still didn't go to law enforcement.

"By then I'm in another relationship with a film director," she said. "And there was something in me that didn't want people to know that that man had his paws all over me."

Three friends, including an estranged second husband, confirmed that Green had told them about the alleged drugging and groping many times over the last 30 years. The husband, Ben Housouer, an attorney, said Green "is not a bulls----er" and wouldn't lie.

Before she became a lawyer, before her first marriage to Walon Green, an Academy Award and Emmy winning Hollywood writer and producer, she was Tamara Lucier.

Back in the early-1970s, she was a model and a starlet, doing television commercials for Coca-Cola, Pontiac and Maybelline, among others, she said, and met Cosby when she auditioned as a singer for his production company. She said Cosby hired her to help him open a club in Los Angeles.

"My function was going to be to go through Cosby's personal phone records and call everyone he knew to get memberships for the club," she said.

She'd had the job only about a week when, one day, she felt sick and called him at Figero, a restaurant in Los Angeles that she said Cosby owned, to tell him she was going home.

"I said, 'I can't work today. I am so sick. I think I've got the flu," she said. "He said, 'Come over to Figero's and have lunch. Maybe you'll feel better."

She said she drove to the restaurant and sat at a table with Cosby and six or eight others.

See COSBY Page 22

## By SIMONE WEICHSELB

simone@phillynews.com

An unknown savior, dress an Eagles jersey and math hat, saved a woman from a p ble rape just hours after team won the NFC cham ship game last month, p said yesterday.

Cops said they are tryi find the mystery Eagles' porter — described as a h set white man, 5-foot-8 or who tackled a man in the i of an attempted kidnappin 20-year-old woman at 11:30 p.m. Jan. 23 on Sj Street near Broad.

Police said they are also i tigating whether the susp abductor is the same mar attacked three other C City women in the past months.

Lt. Tom McDevitt, of the cial Victims Unit, said would like to talk to the he a press conference, Mcl said. "We are hoping to fin brave male."

The "brave male" was a s er to the woman he was w behind that night, police The woman walked past a van driver who was be down near his tire. He po on her and shoved her in faded blue vehicle with gra rior and no back seats said.

But the driver had troubling the van's door and tried to slam it shut the fan wrestled him to the ment, cops said.

"He tackled the male a was able to get away," Mc said.

The man broke away a caped in the van, police sa

Cops escorted the  $\tau$  back to the scene but  $c_{\tau}$  find the suspect or the fan.

The woman's descript her assailant led a police to draw a sketch simile drawing of a wanted rapi broke into a 21-year-old

PHILADELPHIA DAILY NEWS

#### Filed 01/04/06 Page 33 of 44





#### Continued from Page 5

#### to face."

FIRE

The children waited at the South Philadelphia Older Adult Center, 1430 E. Passyunk Ave., to be picked up by their parents.

"I'm just glad they're safe," sighed Melony Merritt, 24, as she looked at her daughter Lela Dowdy, 3, and son Elijah Dowdy, 11/2. "I was so worried."

Anthony Bonocore raced to the senior center to get his stepson, Nicholas Severino, 2. He praised the day care center staff and mourned the loss of a neighborhood institution.

"I can't say a single bad thing about them. It's a very family-oriented atmosphere and they've been great to everyone," said Bonocore, 39.

The day-care center was fully licensed and met all the fire and zoning code regulations, said Dominic Verdi, the deputy commissioner of Licenses and Inspections.

The fire did underscore the critical need for local ladder companies, according to First District Councilman Frank DiCicco, including one company that responded to the day care fire.

Ladder 11, at 12th and Reed streets, is one of eight companies targeted by Mayor Street for closure because of budgetary constraints.

"I think this was a reality check for all of us," DiCicco said. "] don't want to politicize this, but God only knows what kind of situation we would have had if they weren't here." ★

Staff writer Catherine Lucey contrib uted to this report.

#### COSBY

Continued from Page 4

"I sat down and said, 'I really feel sick,' " she said. "Cosby said, 'Would you like some Contac?' I thought, 'Well, sure. Contac can't hurt.'

Cosby disappeared into a restaurant office area and returned with two gray-and-red capsules, which he gave to her, she said. She took them.

"In about 20 minutes I felt like a million bucks," she said. "Well about 10 minutes after that, I'm face-down in my salad. I was really just stoned, I mean, smashed."

Cosby told her she must be sicker than she thought and said he'd drive her home in his car, she said. When they got to her apartment, she said he came inside and began trying to take off her

"I started fighting him and he's kissing on me, peeling off my clothes," she said. "I'm starting to freak and I'm telling him, 'You're going to have to kill me.'

She said she screamed, picked up a lamp and threatened to throw it through her window to get somebody's attention. He finally let go of her, she said.

Cosby then dropped two \$100 bills on her end table and left.

That infuriated me," she said. "I put my clothes back on and ran out of my apartment. I fell off my own front porch and was all cut up and beat up. Then I staggered into the street and stopped a car."

She said she convinced the stranger to drive her back to Figero, where she thought she could confront Cosby. She said she was enraged and intended to "rip him up" if she saw him, but he and the other people at the lunch were gone.

The next day, she felt awful.

"I'm hungover from whatever he gave me. I feel terrible and I'm all beaten up from falling off my porch," she said. "And I'm sick because I'd been sick to begin with.'

She went to the hospital to visit her brother either that day or the next and discovered that Cosby had been there, she said.

She never returned to her jol working for Cosby. She said she saw him about a week later.

"You're lucky you went to see my brother," she said she tok him. "I'm not going to make a fus about this, but I'm going to tell ev eryone I know what you did to me."

Through the years, she said sh told many people, "depending o the company I was in," she said.

She later married, had a child got divorced and remarried. I 1987 she graduated from Sant Barbara College of Law.

Green said she realizes she'll b attacked for bringing up he story after so much time but sai she feels strongly that she shoul support the former Templ employee.

"Even though she took a lon time to do it, she still had to mu ter up the courage to take on th great Bill Cosby," Green said.

"I never came forward," -sł added. "It doesn't mean it didr happen. It just means he we and bought me off — by going the children's terminal ward." 🗲





Plus tax (\$.91/copy in Phila.,

Plus shipping & handling = \$

\$.78/copy in Pa./NJ) = \$

3.95

n/store. PNI Books, P.O. Box 42949, Philadelphia, PA 19101 Make checks payable to:

s for

o get

clothes.

Case 2:05-cv-01099-ER Document 67 Filed 01/04/06 Page 34 of 44



# **Bill Cosby ends his silence:**



BARRY LEVINE By BARRY LEVINE 0 2005 The National ENQUIRER, inc. N A blockbuster exclusive in-Nerview with The ENQUIRER, Bill Cosby has spoken out for Bill



was wrong, but I apologize to my loving wife, who has stood by my side for all these years, for any pain I have caused her," the 67year-old entertainer told The ENQUIRER.

"These allegations have caused my family great emotional stress." The soul-baring interview took place on February 21 in a hotel suite in Houston, Texas, during Cosby's concert tour.

Reacting to the prospect of a civil action from the young Canadian woman, furious Cosby vowed to The ENQUIRER that he would stand his ground against anyone who tried to "exploit" him because he is a celebrity.

And about the California

woman who publicly supported his accuser and claimed Cosby had acted inappropriately with her, too, Cosby told The ENQUIRER: "She is a wrecking ball."

Responding to the charge by the Canadian woman, Cosby declared: "No man wants to see his family put in the position of having (Continued on next page) 29

NE1129A1 FINAL & ROLL 25 30 35 40 5 50 55 50 65 70 75 80 85 90 95

# **BILL COSBY — MY STORY** 'I apologize to my loving wife for any pain I have caused her'

(Continued from Page 29) these kinds of allegation come out and for your loved ones to suffer em tional stress.

"The charge can influence the view that family and friends have of him as good person, a person to be trusted

"That's what happen with this.

"Looking back on it, I re alize that words and actions can be misinterpreted by another person, and unles you're a supreme being, you can't predict what another individual will do.

"But that's all behind me now, and I'm looking only Cos toward a bright future.

Cosby became a real-life father figure to countless Americans with his portrayal of doting dad Cliff Huxtable on "The Cosby Show" - and the sexual molestation charge from the Canadian woman came as a bombshell.

On January 13, the allegations. woman filed a complaint with police saying she was drugged and attacked by



NE1130A0

COSBY ACCL

ary 2004. The Looing to give in woman told police that after she complained of stress and tension, Cosby gave her status."

pills that made her dizzy. She said she recalled him touching her and when she awoke at 4 a.m., her clothing was in disarray and her and the cobra was undone. He vigormedian "was ously denied the woman's under the

impression" Cosby told The EN-QUIRER that when he she was ofter hush money. heard police had launched Because an investigation, "My heart the woman sank. I was at home, and claimed she these claims hurt me." was the vic-And following a five-week investigation, Montgomery crime.

he told The ENQUIRER.

But he did say: "I am not

tim of a sex The ENQUIRER County, Ps., District Attorney Bruce L. Castor Jr. said is continuing there was "insufficient to withhold credible and admissible" evher name until she goes idence to support a charge. public in a civ-Following the prosecutor's February 17 announcelaction. ment, the woman's attorncy She is a 31-Dolores Trolani said a civil year-old lawsuit would be filed former pro basketball against the star. player who met Cosby while she Cosby, who has been the victim of an extortion plot in worked in the athletic dethe past, did not want to speculate as to whether mon-



who try to exploit r cause of my celebrity

kicked her.' A published report states that the woman's mother called Cosby before her daughter went to police

'Sometimes

you try to help people and it backfires on you'

surfaced, the accuser's family described Cosby as a friend and "mentor" to partment at Philadelphia's Temple University, Temple the woman. But Cosby told The graduate Cosby is one of the school's biggest boostcrs. Because of the looming

civil lawsuit, Cosby streased to The ENQUIRER that he could speak only in the term speak only in broad terms about the case. But in citing an example, Cosby suggested that the woman might have left out

important facts when she made her allegations to au-Take a kid who comes home from school with a note from the principal," he "The note reads, 'We

would like to see you to-'My problem is now it morrow to discuss your appeared Miss Green was allowed to be a wrecking ball' child's behavior.' So the parent says to the child. What did you do?' "The child says, "The teacher slapped me, and I

"The parent goes to the school and is angry with the positions where their roles authorities. But as the discusas mentors can lead to sion unfolds, we find that the trouble.

student has "Sometimes you try to left out the reahelp people and it backfires son for the slap on you and then they try to take advantage of you," he picked up a said.

stick in the "People can soil you by taking advantage." Cosby admitted that the classroom and tried to strike the teacher. recent scandal intensified when a California lawyer, "The teach-57-veer-old Tamara Green er stepped made additional allegaaway and slapped the tions against him. child in self-The one-time actress

defense. and former model told a newspaper that she met "The child, in talking to Cosby at an audition and the parent, has left out a worked at his Los Angeles nightclub 30 years ago. She crucial part sald one day she fell ill and Cosby gave her two drug tablets that left her "stoned." After the allegations Back at her apartment,

Cosby allegedly tried to take advantage of her. She claims Cosby then dropped two \$100 bills on a table and fled.

Green said she told ENQUIRER that cclcbri-ties are often put in family and friends about

Cosby's alleged assault but didn't go to police. She finally called police on January 28 and told them her story, and said she was speaking out now because she feared prosecutors would dismiss the claims made by the former Temple University employee. Cosby's lawyers insisted

that he did not know Green, and directed the media to important information about the woman's credibility.

According to the State Bar of California, Green entered a program for lawyers with substance abuse or mental health problems in October. The bar had lodged disciplinary charges against her in March 2004, alleging 12 counts of misconduct involving three clients, spokeswoman Kathleen Beltiks said.

Among the allegations were failure to perform with competence, failure to maintain client funds in a trust account, and failure to refund unearned. fees.

"My problem is with some media and how it appeared that Miss Green was allowed to be a 'wrecking ball,' " Cosby "When Miss Green spoke,

they pointed out that she was a lawyer. This gives her credibility

"Anybody could have checkod out her credibility and credentials. But it appears that they never checked her --- or did check her and found it was convenient to not mention it. "It's bothersome that when my side revealed her background, we were blamed for throwing dirt.

Then I was blamed for having a humungous amount of lawyers. That's unfair. "I guess that a

celebrity trying to protect himself is not supposed to

use every ounce of protection." Cosby added that he doesn't regret having his lawyers reveal information about Green, saying if he didn't, the media onslaught "could have been even worse.

"We're not bringing up something that a cadre of special investigators would have needed to go underground with trench coats and mustaches to find!"

6 10 13 20 25 30 s

nese allegations

M Maia K

have caused my family great emotional stress'

FINAL & R

BILL COSBY'S WIFE CAMILLE

NE1131A0

Case 2:05-cv-01099-ER Document 67 Filed 01/04/06 Page 36 of 44

William Cosby, Jr. William Cosby, Jr. 3 1 INDEX IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA WITNESS PAGE NO. 2 ANDREA CONSTAND :CIVIL ACTION WILLIAM H. COSBY, JR. 3 - VS -:NO. 05-CV-1099 4 By Ms. Troiani 11 WILLIAM H. COSBY, JR.: VOLUME II 5 Oral deposition of WILLIAM H. COSBY, 6 JR., taken pursuant to notice, held at the Rittenhouse Hotel, 210 West Rittenhouse 7 Square, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, on Thursday, September 29, 2005, beginning at approximately 9:20 a.m., before Jen Marchesani, a Certified Professional Reporter 8 - - -9 EXHIBITS 10 and a Commissioner of the Commonwealth of DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. 11 NO. Pennsylvania. NONE MARKED 12 KAPLAN, LEAMAN AND WOLFE The Bourse Building, Suite 970 13 111 South Independence Mall East 14 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106 15 (215) 922-7112 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 KAPLAN, LEAMAN AND WOLFE KAPLAN, LEAMAN AND WOLFE (800) 295-7571 (800) 295-7571 William Cosby, Jr. William Cosby, Jr. 4 2 INSTRUCTION NOT TO ANSWER APPEARANCES : 1 1 2 TROIANI/KIVITZ, LLP 2 PAGE NO. LINE NO. BY: DOLORES M. TROIANI, ESQUIRE 3 3 31 15 4 BEBE H. KIVITZ, ESQUIRE 4 54 6 18 5 38 North Waterloo Road 5 54 6 Devon, Pennsylvania 19333 60 16 6 7 72 (610) 688-8400 7 16 8 Counsel for the Plaintiff 8 74 18 9 9 85 4 9 10 COZEN O'CONNOR 10 - 90 11 90 11 BY: PATRICK J. O'CONNOR, ESQUIRE 15 12 GEORGE GOWEN, ESQUIRE 94 12 1 13 104 13 1900 Market Street 13 18 14 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 14 112 15 (215) 665-2024 15 131 12 16 Counsel for the Defendant 16 145 15 17 148 17 15 PATTERSON, BELKNAP, WEBB & TYLER, LLP 18 149 18 1 19 BY: JOHN P. SCHMITT, ESQUIRE 19 152 6 20 ANDREW SHAW, ESQUIRE 20 153 8 21 1133 Avenue of the Americas 21 155 8 22 New York, New York 10036 22 158 22 23 23 159 13 (212) 336-2849 24 24 202 1 Counsel for the Defendant KAPLAN, LEAMAN AND WOLFE KAPLAN, LEAMAN AND WOLFE (800) 295-7571 (800) 295-7571

have the accusations to the district 112 044a-

nouse, one, two. Let's say Andrea's τU

	William Cosby, Jr.		William Cosby, Jr.
	-	221	223
-			
1	gave this article; is that correct?	1	was talking about, counsel for the
2 3	A. Yes.	3	Constands had made it known in print that they were going to go for a civil
	Q. And you knew that if Beth	4	suit.
4	Ferrier's story was printed, that	5	
5 6	would add credence to not only	6	Q. At the time that you gave this interview to the Enquirer, you
	Andrea's story but also to Tamara	7	wanted the public to believe that
7 8	Green's story? A. You can't put words in my	8	Andrea or has mother had as a los
° 9	A. You can't put words in my mouth.	9	Andrea or her mother had asked you for money in that phone call when you
9 10		10	
11	Q. You can say no. This is what is called cross-examination.	11	
12		12	
12		13	
13 14	Q. Did you ever think that if Beth Ferrier's story was printed in	14	
14 15	the National Enquirer, that that would	15	
16	make the public believe that maybe	16	
10	Andrea was also telling the truth?	17	
18	Andrea was also tening the truth? A. Exactly.	18	
10	<b>Q.</b> So that you knew when this	19	
20	article was printed, when you told the	20	
21	· · ·	21	
22	Enquirer this, that you had to make	22	
22	the public believe that Andrea was not	23	scholarship for Andrea in trying to
23 24	telling the truth? A. Yes.	23	
27		24	
	KAPLAN, LEAMAN AND WOLFE		KAPLAN, LEAMAN AND WOLFE
	(800) 295-7571		(800) 295-7571
-	William Cosby, Jr.		William Cosby, Jr.
-	-	222	William Cosby, Jr. 224
1	2	222	224
1	Q. And part of doing that was	1	224 Q. If Andrea had not – if that
1 2 3	Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she		224 Q. If Andrea had not – if that phone call had not occurred between
2	Q. And part of doing that was	1	224 Q. If Andrea had not – if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you
2 3	Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she wanted money from you? A. I believe that your hometown	1 2 3	224 Q. If Andrea had not – if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you have out of the blue called up her
2 3 4	Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she wanted money from you?	1 2 3 4	Q. If Andrea had not – if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you have out of the blue called up her mother and said, let me pay for
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she wanted money from you?</li> <li>A. I believe that your hometown newspaper upon the time the time that the announcement came that a firm</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5	224 Q. If Andrea had not – if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you have out of the blue called up her
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she wanted money from you?</li> <li>A. I believe that your hometown newspaper upon the time the time</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6	Q. If Andrea had not – if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you have out of the blue called up her mother and said, let me pay for Andrea's schooling?
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she wanted money from you?</li> <li>A. I believe that your hometown newspaper upon the time the time that the announcement came that a firm representing Andrea said we may have</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. If Andrea had not - if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you have out of the blue called up her mother and said, let me pay for Andrea's schooling?</li> <li>A. No. However, wait one</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she wanted money from you?</li> <li>A. I believe that your hometown newspaper upon the time the time that the announcement came that a firm representing Andrea said we may have to go to the civil suit.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. If Andrea had not if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you have out of the blue called up her mother and said, let me pay for Andrea's schooling?</li> <li>A. No. However, wait one second. I did return the call. I did return the call. I did return the call. It was a call to my</li> </ul>
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she wanted money from you?</li> <li>A. I believe that your hometown newspaper upon the time the time that the announcement came that a firm representing Andrea said we may have to go to the civil suit.</li> <li>Q. You wanted the public to</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. If Andrea had not - if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you have out of the blue called up her mother and said, let me pay for Andrea's schooling?</li> <li>A. No. However, wait one second. I did return the call. I did return the call. It was a call to my house, one, two. Let's say Andrea's</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she wanted money from you?</li> <li>A. I believe that your hometown newspaper upon the time the time that the announcement came that a firm representing Andrea said we may have to go to the civil suit.</li> <li>Q. You wanted the public to believe that at the time that Andrea</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. If Andrea had not – if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you have out of the blue called up her mother and said, let me pay for Andrea's schooling?</li> <li>A. No. However, wait one second. I did return the call. I did return the call. It was a call to my house, one, two. Let's say Andrea's mother is calling, I did return the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she wanted money from you?</li> <li>A. I believe that your hometown newspaper upon the time the time that the announcement came that a firm representing Andrea said we may have to go to the civil suit.</li> <li>Q. You wanted the public to believe that at the time that Andrea made the accusations to the district</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. If Andrea had not – if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you have out of the blue called up her mother and said, let me pay for Andrea's schooling?</li> <li>A. No. However, wait one second. I did return the call. I did return the call. I did return the call. It was a call to my house, one, two. Let's say Andrea's mother is calling, I did return the call.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she wanted money from you?</li> <li>A. I believe that your hometown newspaper upon the time the time that the announcement came that a firm representing Andrea said we may have to go to the civil suit.</li> <li>Q. You wanted the public to believe that at the time that Andrea made the accusations to the district attorney that she did that her</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. If Andrea had not if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you have out of the blue called up her mother and said, let me pay for Andrea's schooling?</li> <li>A. No. However, wait one second. I did return the call. I did return the call. I did return the call. It was a call to my house, one, two. Let's say Andrea's mother is calling, I did return the call.</li> <li>Q. You're talking about the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she wanted money from you?</li> <li>A. I believe that your hometown newspaper upon the time the time that the announcement came that a firm representing Andrea said we may have to go to the civil suit.</li> <li>Q. You wanted the public to believe that at the time that Andrea made the accusations to the district attorney that she did that her motivation for doing that was money?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. If Andrea had not if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you have out of the blue called up her mother and said, let me pay for Andrea's schooling?</li> <li>A. No. However, wait one second. I did return the call. I did return the call. I did return the call. I t was a call to my house, one, two. Let's say Andrea's mother is calling, I did return the call.</li> <li>Q. You're talking about the phone call?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she wanted money from you?</li> <li>A. I believe that your hometown newspaper upon the time the time that the announcement came that a firm representing Andrea said we may have to go to the civil suit.</li> <li>Q. You wanted the public to believe that at the time that Andrea made the accusations to the district attorney that she did that her motivation for doing that was money?</li> <li>A. Excuse me, when I went to</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. If Andrea had not if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you have out of the blue called up her mother and said, let me pay for Andrea's schooling?</li> <li>A. No. However, wait one second. I did return the call. I did return the call. I did return the call. It was a call to my house, one, two. Let's say Andrea's mother is calling, I did return the call.</li> <li>Q. You're talking about the phone call?</li> <li>A. That Andrea's mother made.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she wanted money from you?</li> <li>A. I believe that your hometown newspaper upon the time the time that the announcement came that a firm representing Andrea said we may have to go to the civil suit.</li> <li>Q. You wanted the public to believe that at the time that Andrea made the accusations to the district attorney that she did that her motivation for doing that was money?</li> <li>A. Excuse me, when I went to the district attorney?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. If Andrea had not if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you have out of the blue called up her mother and said, let me pay for Andrea's schooling?</li> <li>A. No. However, wait one second. I did return the call. I did return the call. I did return the call. I t was a call to my house, one, two. Let's say Andrea's mother is calling, I did return the call.</li> <li>Q. You're talking about the phone call?</li> <li>A. That Andrea's mother made.</li> <li>Q. In January?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 6 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she wanted money from you?</li> <li>A. I believe that your hometown newspaper upon the time the time that the announcement came that a firm representing Andrea said we may have to go to the civil suit.</li> <li>Q. You wanted the public to believe that at the time that Andrea made the accusations to the district attorney that she did that her motivation for doing that was money?</li> <li>A. Excuse me, when I went to the district attorney?</li> <li>Q. When she went to the</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. If Andrea had not – if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you have out of the blue called up her mother and said, let me pay for Andrea's schooling?</li> <li>A. No. However, wait one second. I did return the call. It was a call to my house, one, two. Let's say Andrea's mother is calling, I did return the call.</li> <li>Q. You're talking about the phone call?</li> <li>A. That Andrea's mother made.</li> <li>Q. In January?</li> <li>A. To me.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	<ul> <li>Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she wanted money from you?</li> <li>A. I believe that your hometown newspaper upon the time the time that the announcement came that a firm representing Andrea said we may have to go to the civil suit.</li> <li>Q. You wanted the public to believe that at the time that Andrea made the accusations to the district attorney that she did that her motivation for doing that was money?</li> <li>A. Excuse me, when I went to the district attorney?</li> <li>Q. When she went to the district attorney.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. If Andrea had not – if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you have out of the blue called up her mother and said, let me pay for Andrea's schooling?</li> <li>A. No. However, wait one second. I did return the call. It was a call to my house, one, two. Let's say Andrea's mother is calling, I did return the call.</li> <li>Q. You're talking about the phone call?</li> <li>A. That Andrea's mother made.</li> <li>Q. In January?</li> <li>A. To me.</li> <li>Q. Did you ever tell the National Enquirer that the only thing</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 6 17 18 9 20	<ul> <li>Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she wanted money from you?</li> <li>A. I believe that your hometown newspaper upon the time the time that the announcement came that a firm representing Andrea said we may have to go to the civil suit.</li> <li>Q. You wanted the public to believe that at the time that Andrea made the accusations to the district attorney that she did that her motivation for doing that was money?</li> <li>A. Excuse me, when I went to the district attorney?</li> <li>Q. When she went to the district attorney.</li> <li>A. You're changing something,</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. If Andrea had not – if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you have out of the blue called up her mother and said, let me pay for Andrea's schooling?</li> <li>A. No. However, wait one second. I did return the call. It was a call to my house, one, two. Let's say Andrea's mother is calling, I did return the call.</li> <li>Q. You're talking about the phone call?</li> <li>A. That Andrea's mother made.</li> <li>Q. In January?</li> <li>A. To me.</li> <li>Q. Did you ever tell the National Enquirer that the only thing</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 101 12 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 101 12 3 14 5 6 7 18 9 20 2 1	<ul> <li>Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she wanted money from you?</li> <li>A. I believe that your hometown newspaper upon the time the time that the announcement came that a firm representing Andrea said we may have to go to the civil suit.</li> <li>Q. You wanted the public to believe that at the time that Andrea made the accusations to the district attorney that she did that her motivation for doing that was money?</li> <li>A. Excuse me, when I went to the district attorney.</li> <li>A. You're changing something, but it's the same question.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. If Andrea had not – if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you have out of the blue called up her mother and said, let me pay for Andrea's schooling?</li> <li>A. No. However, wait one second. I did return the call. I did return the call. I did return the call. I t was a call to my house, one, two. Let's say Andrea's mother is calling, I did return the call.</li> <li>Q. You're talking about the phone call?</li> <li>A. That Andrea's mother made.</li> <li>Q. In January?</li> <li>A. To me.</li> <li>Q. Did you ever tell the National Enquirer that the only thing that Andrea's mother had asked for in</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 17\\ 18\\ 9\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she wanted money from you?</li> <li>A. I believe that your hometown newspaper upon the time the time that the announcement came that a firm representing Andrea said we may have to go to the civil suit.</li> <li>Q. You wanted the public to believe that at the time that Andrea made the accusations to the district attorney that she did that her motivation for doing that was money?</li> <li>A. Excuse me, when I went to the district attorney.</li> <li>Q. When she went to the district attorney.</li> <li>A. You're changing something, but it's the same question.</li> <li>Q. Yes, I am changing</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. If Andrea had not if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you have out of the blue called up her mother and said, let me pay for Andrea's schooling?</li> <li>A. No. However, wait one second. I did return the call. It was a call to my house, one, two. Let's say Andrea's mother is calling, I did return the call.</li> <li>Q. You're talking about the phone call?</li> <li>A. That Andrea's mother made.</li> <li>Q. In January?</li> <li>A. To me.</li> <li>Q. Did you ever tell the National Enquirer that the only thing that Andrea's mother had asked for in that conversation was an apology?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she wanted money from you?</li> <li>A. I believe that your hometown newspaper upon the time the time that the announcement came that a firm representing Andrea said we may have to go to the civil suit.</li> <li>Q. You wanted the public to believe that at the time that Andrea made the accusations to the district attorney that she did that her motivation for doing that was money?</li> <li>A. Excuse me, when I went to the district attorney?</li> <li>Q. When she went to the district attorney.</li> <li>A. You're changing something, but it's the same question.</li> <li>Q. Yes, I am changing something.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. If Andrea had not – if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you have out of the blue called up her mother and said, let me pay for Andrea's schooling?</li> <li>A. No. However, wait one second. I did return the call. I t was a call to my house, one, two. Let's say Andrea's mother is calling, I did return the call.</li> <li>Q. You're talking about the phone call?</li> <li>A. That Andrea's mother made.</li> <li>Q. In January?</li> <li>A. To me.</li> <li>Q. Did you ever tell the National Enquirer that the only thing that Andrea's mother had asked for in that conversation was an apology?</li> <li>A. I didn't mean the only thing</li> </ul>
$     \begin{array}{r}       2 \\       3 \\       4 \\       5 \\       6 \\       7 \\       8 \\       9 \\       101 \\       12 \\       13 \\       14 \\       15 \\       17 \\       19 \\       21 \\       22 \\       22 \\       22     $	<ul> <li>Q. And part of doing that was to make the public believe that she wanted money from you?</li> <li>A. I believe that your hometown newspaper upon the time the time that the announcement came that a firm representing Andrea said we may have to go to the civil suit.</li> <li>Q. You wanted the public to believe that at the time that Andrea made the accusations to the district attorney that she did that her motivation for doing that was money?</li> <li>A. Excuse me, when I went to the district attorney.</li> <li>A. You're changing something, but it's the same question.</li> <li>Q. Yes, I am changing something.</li> <li>A. You've used district</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. If Andrea had not – if that phone call had not occurred between you and Andrea's mother, would you have out of the blue called up her mother and said, let me pay for Andrea's schooling?</li> <li>A. No. However, wait one second. I did return the call. I did return the call. It was a call to my house, one, two. Let's say Andrea's mother is calling, I did return the call.</li> <li>Q. You're talking about the phone call?</li> <li>A. That Andrea's mother made.</li> <li>Q. In January?</li> <li>A. To me.</li> <li>Q. Did you ever tell the National Enquirer that the only thing that Andrea's mother had asked for in that conversation was an apology?</li> <li>A. I didn't mean the only thing she asked for. I was coming off of</li> </ul>

\$



Please <u>Sign up</u> to receive our FREE, weekly Food and Dining newsletter or <u>Log in</u>

Real Estate VAuto Listings V Jobs V Calendar V Contact Us V Home Page





**Cosby says allegations won't stop activism** 

41° F, Clear 5 Day Forecast Radar Hurricane Watch Pollen forecast Traffic Trains

#### Search:



#### Site Contents Features

- Movies
- ٠TV
- Restaurants
- Lottery
- Travel
- Entertainment
- Wedding Forms
- Astrology

#### Classifieds

- Find a Mortgage
- Personals

#### News

- Local
- National & World
- New York City
- Around the State
- Obituaries

Your Health

■ M Er By Associated Press

Published June 29 2005

television

NEW YORK -- Bill Cosby doesn't believe allegations of infidelity prevent him from speaking out about moral issues.

In an interview that aired Wednesday on ABC's "Good Morning America," Cosby said he should be able to teach others to learn from his mistakes. He likened his mistakes to hitting a pothole while driving on a rainy road and trying to warn others to avoid the same fate.

Cosby, 67, best known as a warm, wisecracking TV dad, sparked debate last year with blunt remarks on personal responsibility aimed at the black community. In 1997, the long-married Cosby acknowledged a brief affair with the mother of Autumn Jackson, a young woman convicted of extorting him.

The entire ABC interview was set to air Wednesday on "Nightline."

Cosby was asked if his own failures of judgment disqualify him from speaking about others.

"No," he replied. "I couldn't care less what you think of me as long as you begin to execute that which will save your children."

Cosby faces a civil lawsuit filed by a former Temple University employee who alleges that he drugged and fondled her at his home in suburban Philadelphia. The woman, who now lives in her native Ontario, Canada, sued Email this story



http://www.stamfordadvocate.com/entertainment/tv/sns-ap-people-bill-cosby,0,2859963.s... 12/28/2005

Cosby says allegations won't stop activism - The Advocate Case 2:05-cv-01099-ER Document 67 Filed 01/04/06 Page 39 of 44

Cosby in March. She went to Canadian BRUC Disc authorities on Jan. 13, about a year after her Opinions SP( alleged encounter with the comedian. Editorial Columnists When asked in the interview whether those allegations would hurt his Letters from 661 brucepar standing on moral issues, Cosby said, "If they are not true, what happens readers if they are not true?" **Business** Lawyers for Cosby, a Temple University alumnus and booster, have said Your Money Ha Cosby considered himself a friend and mentor to the woman. Cosby National Business denied the assault allegation but acknowledged giving her over-the- Computer & fall in low counter medication after she complained she was stressed and couldn't right Technology Investing sleep. Sports Scoreboard On the Net: Baseball Yankees NFL http://abcnews.go.com/ Giants Ads by Google Jets Copyright © 2005, The Patriots Zicam-Wrongly Attacked? Associated Press NBA Zicam sees 49% sales increase in Q3 Visit Zicam Nets for the whole story! www.zicam.com Knicks Hockey Comedians For Any Event UConn Comedians for Corporate Events, Clubs, College Fundraisers, Colleges, etc. Tennis www.CharterTalent.com Jewelry pricing frauds Community Law firm recovers price scams for Lord & Taylor, Directions Saks, May Company Maps www.AttorneyAnnMiller.com/ Schools & Kids Seniors **Bill Cosby Tickets** Bill Cosby Comedy Tickets. Where Fans Buy & Newspaper Sell Tickets.â,¢ www.StubHub.com Services To Subscribe **Comedians For Hire**  Advertise With Us Corporate Events, Holiday Parties, Clubs, Private Vacation Stop Parties, Colleges Restarts www.summitcomedy.com Make a Payment Change of Address

- Delivery Concerns
- Mail Subscriptions
- Newspapers In Education
- Contact Us

#### Online Services

- Advertise With Us
- FAQ/Help
- Register
- Contact Us

© 2005 Southern Connecticut Newspapers, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without permission is prohibited. CLIC

Case 2:05-cv-01099-ER Document 67 Filed 01/04/06 Page 40 of 44 William Cosby, Jr. William Cosby, Jr. 3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT INDEX 1 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA PAGE NO. 2 WITNESS ANDREA CONSTAND :CIVIL ACTION WILLIAM H. COSBY, JR. 3 - VS -4 By Ms. Troiani WILLIAM H. COSBY, JR.:NO. 05-CV-1099 5 Oral deposition of WILLIAM H. COSBY, 6 JR., taken pursuant to notice, held at the 7 Rittenhouse Hotel, 210 West Rittenhouse Square, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, on Wednesday, September 28, 2005, beginning at 8 EXHIBITS 9 approximately 1:00 p.m., before Jen Marchesani, a Certified Professional Reporter 10 and a Commissioner of the Commonwealth of 11 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Pennsylvania. 12 Cosby-1 Investigation Report 56 KAPLAN, LEAMAN AND WOLFE Cosby-2 Photograph 82 The Bourse Building, Suite 970 13 111 South Independence Mall East Cosby-3 Investigation Report 82 14 15 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106 16 (215) 922-7112 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 KAPLAN, LEAMAN AND WOLFE KAPLAN, LEAMAN AND WOLFE (800) 295-7571 (800) 295-7571 William Cosby, Jr. William Cosby, Jr. 2 4 INSTRUCTION NOT TO ANSWER 1 APPEARANCES: 1 2 TROIANI/KIVITZ, LLP PAGE NO. LINE NO. 2 3 BY: DOLORES M. TROIANI, ESQUIRE 3 24 6 4 BEBE H. KIVITZ, ESQUIRE 29 4 1 5 5 40 38 North Waterloo Road 11 6 Devon, Pennsylvania 19333 91 21 6 7 (610) 688-8400 7 93 24 8 Counsel for the Plaintiff 8 98 11 9 9 101 17 10 COZEN O'CONNOR 102 10 10 BY: PATRICK J. O'CONNOR, ESQUIRE 11 11 183 23 12 GEORGE GOWEN, ESQUIRE 185 11 12 13 1900 Market Street 13 14 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 14 15 (215) 665-2024 15 16 Counsel for the Defendant 16 17 17 18 PATTERSON, BELKNAP, WEBB & TYLER, LLP 18 19 BY: JOHN P. SCHMITT, ESQUIRE 19 20 ANDREW SHAW, ESQUIRE 20 21 1133 Avenue of the Americas 21 22 New York, New York 10036 22 23 (212) 336-2849 23 24 Counsel for the Defendant 24 KAPLAN, LEAMAN AND WOLFE KAPLAN, LEAMAN AND WOLFE (800) 295-7571 (800) 295-7571

05-cv-01099-ER Document 67 Filed 01/04/06 Page 41 of 44

	William Cosby, Jr.		William Cosby, Jr.	
	21			23
			<b>M</b> 1. <b>1</b> 1.	
1	BY MS. TROIANI:	1	albeit consensual in some respect,	
2	Q. Did you have Gladys Rodgers	2	also involved the aspect of drugging.	
3	sign a confidentiality agreement?	3	MR. O'CONNOR: I will allow	
4	MR. O'CONNOR: Mr. Cosby,	4	415 questions.	1
5	I'm instructing you not to answer.	5	MS. TROIANI: I understand	
6	Frankly, you can do your offer of	6	your position. However, I believe at	
7	proof later. If the judge disagrees,	7	this point I have the right to explore	·
8	as he may with mine, I intend to do	8	it. And if you want to make	
9	the same with respect to the	9	objections, go ahead.	
10	instructions. We'll deal with this	10	MR. O'CONNOR: I'll make an	
11	another day. You don't have to go	11	instruction, not an objection. I will	
12	through the questions in the effort to	12	allow you to explore the 415 witnesses	
13	save time. If you can connect any	13	with Mr. Cosby.	
14	relevance to Gladys Rodgers to this	14	MS. TROIANI: Who are you	
15	case or the circumstance and offer	15	instructing, sir?	
16	that on the record now, I will	16	MR. O'CONNOR: I'm	
17	entertain it.	17	instructing the witness not to answer	
18	BY MS. TROIANI:	18	any questions that are a fishing	
19	Q. Did you ever tell Gladys	19	expedition on your part. I'm not	
20	Rodgers about any relationship you had	20	going to allow fishing in this area	
21	with a woman other than your wife?	21	with respect to his personal life.	1
22	MR. O'CONNOR: Are you	22	BY MS. TROIANI:	
23	saying the one thing I'm going to	23	Q. Back to my question, sir.	1
24	put some dampers on here, with all due	24	Can you answer that question, or are	
	KAPLAN, LEAMAN AND WOLFE		KAPLAN, LEAMAN AND WOLFE	
	(800) 295-7571		(800) 295-7571	
	William Cosby, Jr.		William Cosby, Jr.	
	William Cosby, Jr. 22		William Cosby, Jr.	24
1	- 22	1	-	24
1 2	22 respect, if you have information of	1 2	William Cosby, Jr. you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR:	24
	22 respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which	i	you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR:	24
2	22 respect, if you have information of	2	you directing him not to answer?	24
2 3	22 respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore	2 3	you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR: Confidentiality as to what?	24
2 3 4 5 6	22 respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore it. I'm not going to allow you to	2 3 4 5 6	you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR: Confidentiality as to what? MS. TROIANI: A	24
2 3 4 5 6 7	22 respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore it. I'm not going to allow you to delve into his personal life with	2 3 4 5	you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR: Confidentiality as to what? MS. TROIANI: A confidentiality agreement, period. MR. O'CONNOR: 1'm instructing him not to answer.	24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	22 respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore it. I'm not going to allow you to delve into his personal life with consensual relations. I will test that with the court. There are women involved, if there were such, whose	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR: Confidentiality as to what? MS. TROIANI: A confidentiality agreement, period. MR. O'CONNOR: 1'm instructing him not to answer. BY MS. TROIANI:	24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore it. I'm not going to allow you to delve into his personal life with consensual relations. I will test that with the court. There are women involved, if there were such, whose names should not be disclosed. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR: Confidentiality as to what? MS. TROIANI: A confidentiality agreement, period. MR. O'CONNOR: I'm instructing him not to answer. BY MS. TROIANI: Q. The employees that you	24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore it. I'm not going to allow you to delve into his personal life with consensual relations. I will test that with the court. There are women involved, if there were such, whose names should not be disclosed. I'm not going to allow that. You can go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR: Confidentiality as to what? MS. TROIANI: A confidentiality agreement, period. MR. O'CONNOR: 1'm instructing him not to answer. BY MS. TROIANI: Q. The employees that you employ, do you have all of them sign	24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore it. I'm not going to allow you to delve into his personal life with consensual relations. I will test that with the court. There are women involved, if there were such, whose names should not be disclosed. I'm not going to allow that. You can go into any of your Jane Does, any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR:</li> <li>Confidentiality as to what? MS. TROIANI: A</li> <li>confidentiality agreement, period. MR. O'CONNOR: I'm</li> <li>instructing him not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. The employees that you</li> <li>employ, do you have all of them sign confidentiality agreements?</li> </ul>	24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore it. I'm not going to allow you to delve into his personal life with consensual relations. I will test that with the court. There are women involved, if there were such, whose names should not be disclosed. I'm not going to allow that. You can go into any of your Jane Does, any non-consensual relationships. We're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR: Confidentiality as to what? MS. TROIANI: A confidentiality agreement, period. MR. O'CONNOR: I'm instructing him not to answer. BY MS. TROIANI: Q. The employees that you employ, do you have all of them sign confidentiality agreements? MR. O'CONNOR: Currently?	24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore it. I'm not going to allow you to delve into his personal life with consensual relations. I will test that with the court. There are women involved, if there were such, whose names should not be disclosed. I'm not going to allow that. You can go into any of your Jane Does, any non-consensual relationships. We're not going into the man's history.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR: Confidentiality as to what? MS. TROIANI: A confidentiality agreement, period. MR. O'CONNOR: I'm instructing him not to answer. BY MS. TROIANI: Q. The employees that you employ, do you have all of them sign confidentiality agreements? MR. O'CONNOR: Currently? MS. TROIANI: At any time	24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore it. I'm not going to allow you to delve into his personal life with consensual relations. I will test that with the court. There are women involved, if there were such, whose names should not be disclosed. I'm not going to allow that. You can go into any of your Jane Does, any non-consensual relationships. We're not going into the man's history. MS. TROIANI: With all due	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR:</li> <li>Confidentiality as to what? MS. TROIANI: A</li> <li>confidentiality agreement, period. MR. O'CONNOR: I'm</li> <li>instructing him not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. The employees that you</li> <li>employ, do you have all of them sign confidentiality agreements? MR. O'CONNOR: Currently? MS. TROIANI: At any time</li> <li>between say the year 1980 to present.</li> </ul>	24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore it. I'm not going to allow you to delve into his personal life with consensual relations. I will test that with the court. There are women involved, if there were such, whose names should not be disclosed. I'm not going to allow that. You can go into any of your Jane Does, any non-consensual relationships. We're not going into the man's history. MS. TROIANI: With all due respect, Mr. O'Connor, I think the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR:</li> <li>Confidentiality as to what? MS. TROIANI: A</li> <li>confidentiality agreement, period. MR. O'CONNOR: I'm</li> <li>instructing him not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. The employees that you</li> <li>employ, do you have all of them sign confidentiality agreements? MR. O'CONNOR: Currently? MS. TROIANI: At any time</li> <li>between say the year 1980 to present. THE WITNESS: We ask them</li> </ul>	24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore it. I'm not going to allow you to delve into his personal life with consensual relations. I will test that with the court. There are women involved, if there were such, whose names should not be disclosed. I'm not going to allow that. You can go into any of your Jane Does, any non-consensual relationships. We're not going into the man's history. MS. TROIANI: With all due respect, Mr. O'Connor, I think the court already ruled that the 415	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR:</li> <li>Confidentiality as to what? MS. TROIANI: A</li> <li>confidentiality agreement, period. MR. O'CONNOR: I'm</li> <li>instructing him not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. The employees that you</li> <li>employ, do you have all of them sign</li> <li>confidentiality agreements? MR. O'CONNOR: Currently? MS. TROIANI: At any time</li> <li>between say the year 1980 to present. THE WITNESS: We ask them</li> <li>they have a choice. And the answer is</li> </ul>	24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore it. I'm not going to allow you to delve into his personal life with consensual relations. I will test that with the court. There are women involved, if there were such, whose names should not be disclosed. I'm not going to allow that. You can go into any of your Jane Does, any non-consensual relationships. We're not going into the man's history. MS. TROIANI: With all due respect, Mr. O'Connor, I think the court already ruled that the 415 witnesses are relevant and that we can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR:</li> <li>Confidentiality as to what? MS. TROIANI: A</li> <li>confidentiality agreement, period. MR. O'CONNOR: I'm</li> <li>instructing him not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. The employees that you</li> <li>employ, do you have all of them sign</li> <li>confidentiality agreements? MR. O'CONNOR: Currently? MS. TROIANI: At any time</li> <li>between say the year 1980 to present. THE WITNESS: We ask them</li> <li>they have a choice. And the answer is</li> <li>yes, but they have a choice.</li> </ul>	24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore it. I'm not going to allow you to delve into his personal life with consensual relations. I will test that with the court. There are women involved, if there were such, whose names should not be disclosed. I'm not going to allow that. You can go into any of your Jane Does, any non-consensual relationships. We're not going into the man's history. MS. TROIANI: With all due respect, Mr. O'Connor, I think the court already ruled that the 415 witnesses are relevant and that we can explore those areas.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR:</li> <li>Confidentiality as to what? MS. TROIANI: A</li> <li>confidentiality agreement, period. MR. O'CONNOR: I'm</li> <li>instructing him not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. The employees that you</li> <li>employ, do you have all of them sign confidentiality agreements? MR. O'CONNOR: Currently? MS. TROIANI: At any time</li> <li>between say the year 1980 to present. THE WITNESS: We ask them</li></ul>	24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	22 respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore it. I'm not going to allow you to delve into his personal life with consensual relations. I will test that with the court. There are women involved, if there were such, whose names should not be disclosed. I'm not going to allow that. You can go into any of your Jane Does, any non-consensual relationships. We're not going into the man's history. MS. TROIANI: With all due respect, Mr. O'Connor, I think the court already ruled that the 415 witnesses are relevant and that we can explore those areas. MR. O'CONNOR: I agree. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR:</li> <li>Confidentiality as to what? MS. TROIANI: A</li> <li>confidentiality agreement, period. MR. O'CONNOR: I'm</li> <li>instructing him not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. The employees that you</li> <li>employ, do you have all of them sign</li> <li>confidentiality agreements? MR. O'CONNOR: Currently? MS. TROIANI: At any time</li> <li>between say the year 1980 to present. THE WITNESS: We ask them</li></ul>	24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore it. I'm not going to allow you to delve into his personal life with consensual relations. I will test that with the court. There are women involved, if there were such, whose names should not be disclosed. I'm not going to allow that. You can go into any of your Jane Does, any non-consensual relationships. We're not going into the man's history. MS. TROIANI: With all due respect, Mr. O'Connor, I think the court already ruled that the 415 witnesses are relevant and that we can explore those areas. MR. O'CONNOR: I agree. I will allow you to do that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR:</li> <li>Confidentiality as to what? MS. TROIANI: A</li> <li>confidentiality agreement, period. MR. O'CONNOR: I'm</li> <li>instructing him not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. The employees that you</li> <li>employ, do you have all of them sign confidentiality agreements? MR. O'CONNOR: Currently? MS. TROIANI: At any time</li> <li>between say the year 1980 to present. THE WITNESS: We ask them they have a choice. And the answer is yes, but they have a choice.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. What is that choice?</li> <li>A. They can sign or not sign.</li> </ul>	24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	22 respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore it. I'm not going to allow you to delve into his personal life with consensual relations. I will test that with the court. There are women involved, if there were such, whose names should not be disclosed. I'm not going to allow that. You can go into any of your Jane Does, any non-consensual relationships. We're not going into the man's history. MS. TROIANI: With all due respect, Mr. O'Connor, I think the court already ruled that the 415 witnesses are relevant and that we can explore those areas. MR. O'CONNOR: I agree. I will allow you to do that. MS. TROIANI: As you know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR:</li> <li>Confidentiality as to what? MS. TROIANI: A</li> <li>confidentiality agreement, period. MR. O'CONNOR: I'm</li> <li>instructing him not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. The employees that you</li> <li>employ, do you have all of them sign</li> <li>confidentiality agreements? MR. O'CONNOR: Currently? MS. TROIANI: At any time</li> <li>between say the year 1980 to present. THE WITNESS: We ask them</li> <li>they have a choice. And the answer is</li> <li>yes, but they have a choice.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. What is that choice?</li> <li>A. They can sign or not sign.</li> <li>Q. And if they don't sign, what</li> </ul>	24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore it. I'm not going to allow you to delve into his personal life with consensual relations. I will test that with the court. There are women involved, if there were such, whose names should not be disclosed. I'm not going to allow that. You can go into any of your Jane Does, any non-consensual relationships. We're not going into the man's history. MS. TROIANI: With all due respect, Mr. O'Connor, I think the court already ruled that the 415 witnesses are relevant and that we can explore those areas. MR. O'CONNOR: I agree. I will allow you to do that. MS. TROIANI: As you know from certain of the testimony or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR:</li> <li>Confidentiality as to what? MS. TROIANI: A</li> <li>confidentiality agreement, period. MR. O'CONNOR: I'm</li> <li>instructing him not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. The employees that you</li> <li>employ, do you have all of them sign</li> <li>confidentiality agreements? MR. O'CONNOR: Currently? MS. TROIANI: At any time</li> <li>between say the year 1980 to present. THE WITNESS: We ask them</li> <li>they have a choice. And the answer is</li> <li>yes, but they have a choice.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. What is that choice?</li> <li>A. They can sign or not sign.</li> <li>Q. And if they don't sign, what happens?</li> </ul>	24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore it. I'm not going to allow you to delve into his personal life with consensual relations. I will test that with the court. There are women involved, if there were such, whose names should not be disclosed. I'm not going to allow that. You can go into any of your Jane Does, any non-consensual relationships. We're not going into the man's history. MS. TROIANI: With all due respect, Mr. O'Connor, I think the court already ruled that the 415 witnesses are relevant and that we can explore those areas. MR. O'CONNOR: I agree. I will allow you to do that. MS. TROIANI: As you know from certain of the testimony or certain of the documents that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR:</li> <li>Confidentiality as to what? MS. TROIANI: A</li> <li>confidentiality agreement, period. MR. O'CONNOR: I'm</li> <li>instructing him not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. The employees that you</li> <li>employ, do you have all of them sign</li> <li>confidentiality agreements? MR. O'CONNOR: Currently? MS. TROIANI: At any time</li> <li>between say the year 1980 to present. THE WITNESS: We ask them</li> <li>they have a choice. And the answer is</li> <li>yes, but they have a choice.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. What is that choice?</li> <li>A. They can sign or not sign.</li> <li>Q. And if they don't sign, what</li> <li>happens?</li> <li>A. We kill them. No, they just</li> </ul>	24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	222 respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore it. I'm not going to allow you to delve into his personal life with consensual relations. I will test that with the court. There are women involved, if there were such, whose names should not be disclosed. I'm not going to allow that. You can go into any of your Jane Does, any non-consensual relationships. We're not going into the man's history. MS. TROIANI: With all due respect, Mr. O'Connor, I think the court already ruled that the 415 witnesses are relevant and that we can explore those areas. MR. O'CONNOR: I agree. I will allow you to do that. MS. TROIANI: As you know from certain of the testimony or certain of the documents that you have, some of these relationships, we're relationships.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR:</li> <li>Confidentiality as to what? MS. TROIANI: A</li> <li>confidentiality agreement, period. MR. O'CONNOR: I'm</li> <li>instructing him not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. The employees that you</li> <li>employ, do you have all of them sign confidentiality agreements? MR. O'CONNOR: Currently? MS. TROIANI: At any time</li> <li>between say the year 1980 to present. THE WITNESS: We ask them they have a choice. And the answer is yes, but they have a choice.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. What is that choice?</li> <li>A. They can sign or not sign.</li> <li>Q. And if they don't sign, what happens?</li> <li>A. We kill them. No, they just don't sign.</li> </ul>	24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	respect, if you have information of non-consensual relationships, which this case is about, you can explore it. I'm not going to allow you to delve into his personal life with consensual relations. I will test that with the court. There are women involved, if there were such, whose names should not be disclosed. I'm not going to allow that. You can go into any of your Jane Does, any non-consensual relationships. We're not going into the man's history. MS. TROIANI: With all due respect, Mr. O'Connor, I think the court already ruled that the 415 witnesses are relevant and that we can explore those areas. MR. O'CONNOR: I agree. I will allow you to do that. MS. TROIANI: As you know from certain of the testimony or certain of the documents that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>you directing him not to answer? MR. O'CONNOR:</li> <li>Confidentiality as to what? MS. TROIANI: A</li> <li>confidentiality agreement, period. MR. O'CONNOR: I'm</li> <li>instructing him not to answer.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. The employees that you</li> <li>employ, do you have all of them sign</li> <li>confidentiality agreements? MR. O'CONNOR: Currently? MS. TROIANI: At any time</li> <li>between say the year 1980 to present. THE WITNESS: We ask them</li> <li>they have a choice. And the answer is</li> <li>yes, but they have a choice.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. What is that choice?</li> <li>A. They can sign or not sign.</li> <li>Q. And if they don't sign, what</li> <li>happens?</li> <li>A. We kill them. No, they just</li> </ul>	24

, <u>t</u>er, **e**r

)

		1		
	William Cosby, Jr.		William Cosby, Jr.	
	101			103
1	ticket and flight and hotel room?	1	now. You guys have a rhythm and I'm	
2	A. No.	2	not in it.	
3	Q. How often have you done that	3	MS. TROIANI: Why don't we	
4	in the past?	4	have the question read back so that	
5	A. Many.	5	you understand it. Please read the	
6	Q. And what would be your	6	question back.	
7	relationship with these women who you	7	(At this time, the court	
8	had come to your concerts, booked	8	reporter read back from the record as	
9	rooms for, provided tickets for?	9	requested.)	
10	MR. O'CONNOR: Let's stick	10	MR. O'CONNOR: If you	
11	within this time frame of the	11	restrict this question to Andrea, I'll	
12	incident.	12	allow him to answer it.	
13	MS. TROIANI: This is my	13	MS. TROIANI: He already	
14	deposition. I believe this is	14	said he did not think it was	
15	absolutely pertinent. The time frame	15	appropriate for an unmarried woman to	
16	is enormous.	16	come to his house.	
17	MR. O'CONNOR: Then I'm	17	MR. O'CONNOR: That was in	
18	instructing him not to answer. Sorry	18	response to a specific question of	
19	you want to broaden it that way. I	19	Andrea. Unmarried women could be his	
20	wish you'd stick to the time frame.	20	daughters for crying out loud. That's	
21	BY MS. TROIANI:	21	why the question, with all due	
22	Q. As I recall your counsel	22	respect, I'm not trying to make it	
23	asked Andrea if she thought it was	23	absurd, but you have to be specific.	
24	appropriate for an unmarried woman to	24	You asked him about Andrea and he	
	KAPLAN, LEAMAN AND WOLFE		KAPLAN, LEAMAN AND WOLFE	
1	(800) 295-7571		(800) 295-7571	
	William Cosby, Jr.		William Cosby, Jr.	
	102			104
1	go to the home of a married man alone.	1	answered that question. You want to	
2	Do you believe it's	2	explore that, explore it.	
3	appropriate for an unmarried woman to	3	MS. TROIANI: I believe that	
4	come to your house when she would be	4	he understands the question.	
5	alone with you?	5	BY MS. TROIANI:	
6	A. No.	6	Q. Do you understand the	
	a			
7	Q. And have you in the past	7	question?	
7 8	asked unmarried women to come to your	8	question? A. No. I would like her to go	
7 8 9	asked unmarried women to come to your house?	8 9	<b>question?</b> A. No. I would like her to go back and maybe we can clean it up. We	
7 8 9 10	asked unmarried women to come to your house? MR. O'CONNOR: Don't answer	8 9 10	<b>question?</b> A. No. I would like her to go back and maybe we can clean it up. We can start with Andrea.	
7 8 9 10 11	asked unmarried women to come to your house? MR. O'CONNOR: Don't answer the question.	8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>question?</li> <li>A. No. I would like her to go back and maybe we can clean it up. We can start with Andrea.</li> <li>Q. I understand you want to do</li> </ul>	
7 8 9 10 11 12	asked unmarried women to come to your house? MR. O'CONNOR: Don't answer the question. BY MS. TROIANI:	8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>question?</li> <li>A. No. I would like her to go back and maybe we can clean it up. We can start with Andrea.</li> <li>Q. I understand you want to do what - you're taking the clues from</li> </ul>	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	asked unmarried women to come to your house? MR. O'CONNOR: Don't answer the question. BY MS. TROIANI: Q. Why don't you think it's	8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>question?</li> <li>A. No. I would like her to go back and maybe we can clean it up. We can start with Andrea.</li> <li>Q. I understand you want to do what - you're taking the clues from your lawyer.</li> </ul>	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	asked unmarried women to come to your house? MR. O'CONNOR: Don't answer the question. BY MS. TROIANI: Q. Why don't you think it's appropriate?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>question?</li> <li>A. No. I would like her to go back and maybe we can clean it up. We can start with Andrea.</li> <li>Q. I understand you want to do what you're taking the clues from your lawyer.</li> <li>A. You're kidding?</li> </ul>	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	asked unmarried women to come to your house? MR. O'CONNOR: Don't answer the question. BY MS. TROIANI: Q. Why don't you think it's appropriate? A. Wait a minute. What	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>question?</li> <li>A. No. I would like her to go back and maybe we can clean it up. We can start with Andrea.</li> <li>Q. I understand you want to do what you're taking the clues from your lawyer.</li> <li>A. You're kidding?</li> <li>Q. You are, aren't you? And</li> </ul>	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	asked unmarried women to come to your house? MR. O'CONNOR: Don't answer the question. BY MS. TROIANI: Q. Why don't you think it's appropriate? A. Wait a minute. What question are we still on?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>question?</li> <li>A. No. I would like her to go back and maybe we can clean it up. We can start with Andrea.</li> <li>Q. I understand you want to do what - you're taking the clues from your lawyer.</li> <li>A. You're kidding?</li> <li>Q. You are, aren't you? And you think this is so funny?</li> </ul>	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	asked unmarried women to come to your house? MR. O'CONNOR: Don't answer the question. BY MS. TROIANI: Q. Why don't you think it's appropriate? A. Wait a minute. What question are we still on? Q. Your counsel said don't	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>question?</li> <li>A. No. I would like her to go back and maybe we can clean it up. We can start with Andrea.</li> <li>Q. I understand you want to do what - you're taking the clues from your lawyer.</li> <li>A. You're kidding?</li> <li>Q. You are, aren't you? And you think this is so funny? MR. O'CONNOR: Don't get</li> </ul>	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	asked unmarried women to come to your house? MR. O'CONNOR: Don't answer the question. BY MS. TROIANI: Q. Why don't you think it's appropriate? A. Wait a minute. What question are we still on? Q. Your counsel said don't answer the question. What's going to	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>question?</li> <li>A. No. I would like her to go back and maybe we can clean it up. We can start with Andrea.</li> <li>Q. I understand you want to do what - you're taking the clues from your lawyer.</li> <li>A. You're kidding?</li> <li>Q. You are, aren't you? And you think this is so funny? MR. O'CONNOR: Don't get sarcastic.</li> </ul>	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	asked unmarried women to come to your house? MR. O'CONNOR: Don't answer the question. BY MS. TROIANI: Q. Why don't you think it's appropriate? A. Wait a minute. What question are we still on? Q. Your counsel said don't answer the question. What's going to happen is we're going to file a motion	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>question?</li> <li>A. No. I would like her to go back and maybe we can clean it up. We can start with Andrea.</li> <li>Q. I understand you want to do what - you're taking the clues from your lawyer.</li> <li>A. You're kidding?</li> <li>Q. You are, aren't you? And you think this is so funny? MR. O'CONNOR: Don't get sarcastic. THE WITNESS: I'm not making</li> </ul>	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	asked unmarried women to come to your house? MR. O'CONNOR: Don't answer the question. BY MS. TROIANI: Q. Why don't you think it's appropriate? A. Wait a minute. What question are we still on? Q. Your counsel said don't answer the question. What's going to happen is we're going to file a motion with the court and attach this	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>question?</li> <li>A. No. I would like her to go back and maybe we can clean it up. We can start with Andrea.</li> <li>Q. I understand you want to do what - you're taking the clues from your lawyer.</li> <li>A. You're kidding?</li> <li>Q. You are, aren't you? And you think this is so funny? MR. O'CONNOR: Don't get sarcastic. THE WITNESS: I'm not making fun of you.</li> </ul>	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	asked unmarried women to come to your house? MR. O'CONNOR: Don't answer the question. BY MS. TROIANI: Q. Why don't you think it's appropriate? A. Wait a minute. What question are we still on? Q. Your counsel said don't answer the question. What's going to happen is we're going to file a motion with the court and attach this deposition.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>question?</li> <li>A. No. I would like her to go back and maybe we can clean it up. We can start with Andrea.</li> <li>Q. I understand you want to do what you're taking the clues from your lawyer.</li> <li>A. You're kidding?</li> <li>Q. You are, aren't you? And you think this is so funny? MR. O'CONNOR: Don't get sarcastic. THE WITNESS: I'm not making fun of you. MS. TROIANI: I don't think</li> </ul>	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>asked unmarried women to come to your house?</li> <li>MR. O'CONNOR: Don't answer the question.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. Why don't you think it's appropriate?</li> <li>A. Wait a minute. What question are we still on?</li> <li>Q. Your counsel said don't answer the question. What's going to happen is we're going to file a motion with the court and attach this deposition.</li> <li>A. I wanted to know what</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>question?</li> <li>A. No. I would like her to go back and maybe we can clean it up. We can start with Andrea.</li> <li>Q. I understand you want to do what you're taking the clues from your lawyer.</li> <li>A. You're kidding?</li> <li>Q. You are, aren't you? And you think this is so funny? MR. O'CONNOR: Don't get sarcastic. THE WITNESS: I'm not making fun of you. MS. TROIANI: I don't think you're making fun of me. I think</li> </ul>	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>asked unmarried women to come to your house?</li> <li>MR. O'CONNOR: Don't answer the question.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. Why don't you think it's appropriate?</li> <li>A. Wait a minute. What question are we still on?</li> <li>Q. Your counsel said don't answer the question. What's going to happen is we're going to file a motion with the court and attach this deposition.</li> <li>A. I wanted to know what question are you asking me now? It</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>question?</li> <li>A. No. I would like her to go back and maybe we can clean it up. We can start with Andrea.</li> <li>Q. I understand you want to do what you're taking the clues from your lawyer.</li> <li>A. You're kidding?</li> <li>Q. You are, aren't you? And you think this is so funny? MR. O'CONNOR: Don't get sarcastic. THE WITNESS: I'm not making fun of you. MS. TROIANI: I don't think you're making fun of me. I think you're making light of a very serious</li> </ul>	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>asked unmarried women to come to your house?</li> <li>MR. O'CONNOR: Don't answer the question.</li> <li>BY MS. TROIANI:</li> <li>Q. Why don't you think it's appropriate?</li> <li>A. Wait a minute. What question are we still on?</li> <li>Q. Your counsel said don't answer the question. What's going to happen is we're going to file a motion with the court and attach this deposition.</li> <li>A. I wanted to know what</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>question?</li> <li>A. No. I would like her to go back and maybe we can clean it up. We can start with Andrea.</li> <li>Q. I understand you want to do what you're taking the clues from your lawyer.</li> <li>A. You're kidding?</li> <li>Q. You are, aren't you? And you think this is so funny? MR. O'CONNOR: Don't get sarcastic. THE WITNESS: I'm not making fun of you. MS. TROIANI: I don't think you're making fun of me. I think</li> </ul>	

	William Cosby, Jr.		William Cosby, Jr.	
	105			107
1	THE WITNESS: That may very	1	A. I have no idea.	
2	well be.	2	Q. How were you introduced?	
3	MS. TROIANI: It is, sir.	3	A. Joan Ballast I believe who	
4	THE WITNESS: But the	4	is a friend of mine at the university.	
		5	Q. Did you ask her to introduce	
5	question the questions that happen to be coming between the two of you	6	you to Andrea?	
7	•	7	A. I don't remember.	
1	happen to be about my answers also.	8		
8	And I'm asking her and I have asked	9	Q. Did you develop this	
9	for her to go back as far as Andrea so that I can understand. And it hasn't	10	romantic interest just from seeing her?	
10		11	A. Yes.	
11	happened. I'm not a lawyer. I don't	1		
12	have the power to tell you what to do,	12	Q. And did you tell her that	
13	but I did ask.	13	you had a romantic interest in her?	
14	And in fairness, I think I	14	A. No.	
15	did tell you earlier that this is a	15	Q. Why not?	
16	situation for me that has some sort of	16	A. Can't do it right away.	
17	tension and I want to respect	17	Q. Why?	
18	everything here. So, if we can, I	18	A. I don't know her. She	
19	would like to go back so that we can	19	doesn't know me.	
20	hear what it is so I can understand	20	Q. Everybody knows you, Mr.	
21	when you get to, do you think it's	21	Cosby.	
22	appropriate.	22	A. Not really.	
23	BY MS. TROIANI:	23	Q. What was the plan when you	
24	Q. I'll tell you what I'll do	24	first saw her?	
	KAPLAN, LEAMAN AND WOLFE		KAPLAN, LEAMAN AND WOLFE	
	(800) 295-7571		(800) 295-7571	
	William Cosby, Jr.		William Cosby, Jr.	
	106			108
1	for you. I will rephrase the	1	A. To meet her.	
2	question.	2	Q. And then what?	
3	Do you believe it is	3	A. And then perhaps to have	
4	appropriate for you, you as a married	4	some moments with her that would have	
5	man, to invite an unmarried woman who	5	to do with some sort of friendship.	
6	is not related to you to have dinner	6	Q. In other words, you had to	
7	with you alone in your house?	7	build her trust up first?	
8	A. Yes.	8	A. I think you're trying to put	
9	Q. Why is it appropriate?	9	words in my mouth.	
10	A. Because it depends on what	10	Q. Oh, no. You can say no.	
			A. If you're married, and I'm	
11	business I would have with said	11	aura you are I'm aura you didn't the	
11 12	unmarried woman.	12	sure you are, I'm sure you didn't, the	
11 12 13	unmarried woman. Q. Why would it be	12 13	man you met, want to build up his	
11 12 13 14	unmarried woman. Q. Why would it be inappropriate for you to invite Andrea	12 13 14	man you met, want to build up his trust in you.	
11 12 13 14 15	unmarried woman. Q. Why would it be inappropriate for you to invite Andrea to your house?	12 13 14 15	<ul><li>man you met, want to build up his trust in you.</li><li>Q. I didn't? I did not want</li></ul>	
11 12 13 14 15 16	unmarried woman. Q. Why would it be inappropriate for you to invite Andrea to your house? A. Because Andrea was a	12 13 14 15 16	<ul><li>man you met, want to build up his trust in you.</li><li>Q. I didn't? I did not want to?</li></ul>	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	unmarried woman. Q. Why would it be inappropriate for you to invite Andrea to your house? A. Because Andrea was a romantic issue.	12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>man you met, want to build up his trust in you.</li> <li>Q. I didn't? I did not want to?</li> <li>A. To build up his trust in</li> </ul>	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>unmarried woman.</li> <li>Q. Why would it be inappropriate for you to invite Andrea to your house?</li> <li>A. Because Andrea was a romantic issue.</li> <li>Q. When did you first develop a</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>man you met, want to build up his trust in you.</li> <li>Q. I didn't? I did not want to?</li> <li>A. To build up his trust in you, that doesn't go. You want to</li> </ul>	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>unmarried woman.</li> <li>Q. Why would it be inappropriate for you to invite Andrea to your house?</li> <li>A. Because Andrea was a romantic issue.</li> <li>Q. When did you first develop a romantic interest in Andrea?</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>man you met, want to build up his trust in you.</li> <li>Q. I didn't? I did not want to?</li> <li>A. To build up his trust in you, that doesn't go. You want to meet someone to get to know them.</li> </ul>	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>unmarried woman.</li> <li>Q. Why would it be inappropriate for you to invite Andrea to your house?</li> <li>A. Because Andrea was a romantic issue.</li> <li>Q. When did you first develop a romantic interest in Andrea?</li> <li>A. Probably the first time I</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>man you met, want to build up his trust in you.</li> <li>Q. I didn't? I did not want to?</li> <li>A. To build up his trust in you, that doesn't go. You want to meet someone to get to know them.</li> <li>Q. Well, you're married,</li> </ul>	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>unmarried woman.</li> <li>Q. Why would it be inappropriate for you to invite Andrea to your house?</li> <li>A. Because Andrea was a romantic issue.</li> <li>Q. When did you first develop a romantic interest in Andrea?</li> <li>A. Probably the first time I saw her.</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>man you met, want to build up his trust in you.</li> <li>Q. I didn't? I did not want to?</li> <li>A. To build up his trust in you, that doesn't go. You want to meet someone to get to know them.</li> <li>Q. Well, you're married, correct?</li> </ul>	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>unmarried woman.</li> <li>Q. Why would it be inappropriate for you to invite Andrea to your house?</li> <li>A. Because Andrea was a romantic issue.</li> <li>Q. When did you first develop a romantic interest in Andrea?</li> <li>A. Probably the first time I saw her.</li> <li>Q. Where was that?</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>man you met, want to build up his trust in you.</li> <li>Q. I didn't? I did not want to?</li> <li>A. To build up his trust in you, that doesn't go. You want to meet someone to get to know them.</li> <li>Q. Well, you're married, correct?</li> <li>A. 41 years.</li> </ul>	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>unmarried woman.</li> <li>Q. Why would it be inappropriate for you to invite Andrea to your house?</li> <li>A. Because Andrea was a romantic issue.</li> <li>Q. When did you first develop a romantic interest in Andrea?</li> <li>A. Probably the first time I saw her.</li> <li>Q. Where was that?</li> <li>A. Liacouras Center.</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>man you met, want to build up his trust in you.</li> <li>Q. I didn't? I did not want to?</li> <li>A. To build up his trust in you, that doesn't go. You want to meet someone to get to know them.</li> <li>Q. Well, you're married, correct?</li> <li>A. 41 years.</li> <li>Q. You certainly didn't want</li> </ul>	ų
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>unmarried woman.</li> <li>Q. Why would it be inappropriate for you to invite Andrea to your house?</li> <li>A. Because Andrea was a romantic issue.</li> <li>Q. When did you first develop a romantic interest in Andrea?</li> <li>A. Probably the first time I saw her.</li> <li>Q. Where was that?</li> <li>A. Liacouras Center.</li> <li>Q. When was it?</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>man you met, want to build up his trust in you.</li> <li>Q. I didn't? I did not want to?</li> <li>A. To build up his trust in you, that doesn't go. You want to meet someone to get to know them.</li> <li>Q. Well, you're married, correct?</li> <li>A. 41 years.</li> </ul>	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>unmarried woman.</li> <li>Q. Why would it be inappropriate for you to invite Andrea to your house?</li> <li>A. Because Andrea was a romantic issue.</li> <li>Q. When did you first develop a romantic interest in Andrea?</li> <li>A. Probably the first time I saw her.</li> <li>Q. Where was that?</li> <li>A. Liacouras Center.</li> </ul>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>man you met, want to build up his trust in you.</li> <li>Q. I didn't? I did not want to?</li> <li>A. To build up his trust in you, that doesn't go. You want to meet someone to get to know them.</li> <li>Q. Well, you're married, correct?</li> <li>A. 41 years.</li> <li>Q. You certainly didn't want</li> </ul>	

Case 2:05-cv-01099-ER Document 67 Filed 01/04/06 Page 44 of 44

#### Cosby jokes on stage about doping drink

Page 1 of 1

Posted on Tue, Mar. 08, 2005

ζε α

- 1

### Cosby jokes on stage about doping drink

By NICOLE WEISENSEE EGAN weisenn@phillynews.com

A little over a week after being cleared of doping and groping a former Temple University women's basketball executive, Bill Cosby joked during a performance at the State Theater in New Brunswick, N.J., about whether he had slipped drugs into a woman's drink.

"He brought this woman up from the audience," said Stuart Zakim, a spokesman for the National Enquirer, who happened to be in the audience for the Feb. 26 show. "He said, 'Before 1 get started, let me ask you: Did I put anything into your drink? She said, 'No.' "

She was laughing and the audience was hysterical, Zakim said.

"I laughed, too," Zakim said. "It was very smart on his part. Obviously the people who went to see him are fans and are aware of what's been going on and that the charges were never filed."

On Feb. 17, Montgomery County District Attorney Bruce Castor announced he wasn't filing criminal charges in connection with the Temple woman's complaint that Cosby had drugged and groped her at his Cheltenham Township mansion. The woman quit her Temple job after the alleged assault and returned to her native Canada. A year after the incident, she reported it to the police.

The Canadian woman's lawyers say she'll soon file a civil lawsuit against Cosby.

Zakim took Cosby's joke to be a reference to the Canadian woman's allegations. Cosby attorney Marty Singer and Cosby spokesman David Brokaw took no issue with Zakim's account of what Cosby had said. But they said Cosby's joke had not been about the Canadian woman.

Brokaw said Cosby's joke referred to a Feb. 14 story in the National Enquirer in which Shawn Upshaw was quoted as saying that during an affair she had with the comedian, he had given her "a funny-tasting drink."

"And then she says she woke up, knowing that something funny had happened, knowing she was pregnant. The morning after, so to speak," Brokaw said, ridiculing Upshaw's story.

Singer said Cosby had been joking "about a woman who sold a story to a tabloid" - not about the Canadian woman.

"He's never treated it in a joking or light manner," Singer said, referring to the allegations raised by the Canadian woman. He added that Upshaw's story was false.

Upshaw's daughter is Autumn Jackson, who threatened to tell the world she was Cosby's daughter if the entertainer didn't pay her \$24 million. Autumn Jackson was convicted of extortion and sentenced to 26 months in jail. Cosby admitted having had an affair with Upshaw in the early-1970s, but denies having fathered Autumn Jackson.

In a phone interview last week, Upshaw said Cosby's joke had been "in poor taste."

With regard to her story, she said, "He's making light of it as damage control. He's trying to make the community think he didn't do it; that's why he can joke about it."

© 2005 Philadelphia Daily News and wire service sources. All Rights Reserved. 4 http://www.phifty.com



ttp://www.philly.com/mld/dailynews/news/local/11078265.htm?template=contentModules/printstorv.isp

5/12/2005