

From the Library of
Professor Samuel Misser
in Memory of
Judge Samuel Misser Greckinridge
Presented by
Samuel Misser Greckinridge Long
to the Library of
Princeton Theological Seminary

BSH97 -1.556 +3 411,624 THE Sant Miller

SACRED and PROPHANE

HISTORY

OF THE

WORLD

CONNECTED,

From the CREATION of the WORLD to the Dissolution of the Assiran Empire at the Death of Sardanapalus, and to the Declension of the Kingdoms of Yudah and Israel, under the Reigns of Ahaz and Pekah.

By SAMUEL SHUCKFORD, M. A. Chaplain in Ordinary to His MAJESTY.

VOLUME the THIRD.

LONDON:

Printed for R. KNAPLOCK, and J. and R. TONSON.

M DCC XXXVII.



CHARLES

Lord Viscount TOWNSHEND,

Baron of Lynn-Regis, Knight of the most Noble Order of the Garter, &c.

This VOLUME is

most humbly Dedicated

By His LORDSHIP'S

most Obedient and

most humble Servant,

SAMUEL SHUCKFORD.





THE

PREFACE.

H E First and Second Volumes of this History, which I some Years ago offered to the Publick, do so fully explain the Nature and Design of my Undertaking, that there can

be no need of any further Account of it: This Third Volume contains the facred History from the Israelites having passed the Red-Sea to the Death of Joshua, and I have, as in the former Volumes, offered in it, not only such Observations, as I thought might obviate or answer Objections to, or Difficulties in the Scripture Accounts of some Facts of these Times; but also such Hints of the Heathen Nations, as can belong to this Period, and may conduce to my being able to deduce the prophane History in a clear Light, when I shall come down to an Age, which may afford Plenty of Materials for a Relation of the Affairs of it.

I am fenfible the Reader may expect from me fome Account of the Jewish Year, which

A 3 he

he will not find in the enfuing Volume: If the Ifraelites, when they came into Canaan, had not been instructed to compute such a Number of Days to a Year, as might come very nigh to the true Measure of it, they could not long have continued to keep their fet Feafts in their proper Seafons: The Heathen Nations had as yet no Notion of the Year's containing more than 360 Days (a): But fuch a Year falling short five Days, and almost a quarter of a Day of a true folar Revolution, it must be evident, that the stated Feasts of Mojes's Law, if they had been observed in a Course of such Years, would have returned five Days and almost a quarter of a Day, in every Year, fooner than the true Season of the Year for observing them could have returned with them, and this in a very few Years must have brought them into a great Confusion (b): Moses appointed the Paffover to be killed and eaten on the fourteenth Day of the first Month at Even (c): On the same Evening they began to eat unleavened Bread (d), and continued the eating it unto the Evening of the one and twentieth Day(e): The Wave-Sheaf was to be offered on the fecond Day of unleavened Bread (f): Fifty Days after (g), or on the fifth Day of the third Month. two Wave-Loaves were to be offered for the

⁽a) See Pref. to Vol. I. (b) They must in a few Years have come to celebrate the Passover, before they could have had Lambs sit to be eaten: the Wave-sheaf-offering would have come about, before the Barley was ripe to be reased, and the Pentecost before the Time of Wheat-Harvest. Prideaux Pref. to Part I. of his Connection. (c) Exod. xii. 6—8. Levit. xxiii. 5. (d) Exod. xii. 18. (e) Ibid. (f) Joseph, Antiq. Iib. 3, c. 10. (g) Levit. xxiii. 15, 16. Wheat-

Wheat-harvest (b); and on the fifteenth Day of the feventh Month (i), they were to celebrate their ending the gathering in all the Fruits of their Land (k): Moses lived almost forty Years after his giving the Israelites these Institutions: And if all this while 360 Days had been computed to be a Year, it is evident, that the Feafts of the Law would by this Time have gone backwards almost two hundred and ten Days, from what was the real Seafon of the Year, at which they were at first appointed; for forty Times five Days and almost a quarter of a Day amount to near that Number: But we find that, when the Israelites came into Canaan, and were to keep the Passover there on the fourteenth Day of the Month Abib (1), the Corn was ripe in the Fields (m); Jordan was in that Flow over all his Banks, which that River was annually remarkable for, all the Time of Harvest (n); so that the Passover, and confequently the other Feasts fell this Year at about the Times, to which Moses at first stated them: And therefore the Israelites must have had some Method to adjust their computed Year to the true Measure of a real one, or otherwise the Observation of their set Festivals would in sewer Years have remarkably varied from their true Seafons.

⁽b) Exod. xxxiv. 22. (i) Levit. xxiii. 39. (k) In Canaan the Produce of the Earth seems to have come on in the same Course as in Egypt: In Egypt the Barley was in the Ear, when the Wheat and the Rye were not grown up, Exod. ix. 31, 32; so in Canaan the Barley-Harwess came on first; then the Wheat-Harwess, and after these, the gathering their other Fruits, the Fruits of their Vineyards and Olive-yards, &c. (l) Josh. v. 10. (m) Ibid. See Book XII. p. 420. (n) Josh. iii. 15.

By what particular Method the ancient *Ifraelites* regulated their Year in this manner, may perhaps be difficult to be afcertained: However, I would endeavour to offer, what I think may be gathered from fome Hints in *Moles*'s In-

stitutions relating to this Matter.

Moses, for the calculating and regulating the facred Festivals, directed the Israelites to observe the Month Abib (rr): This Month was to be unto them the Beginning of Months, it was to be the first Month of the Year(s): On the fourteenth Day of this Month at Even, they were to kill and cat the Paffover (t): The Day after, or the fifteenth, was the first Day of unleavened Bread(u), and, which ought to be particularly remarked, the first Day of unleavened Bread was always to fall upon a Sabbath: This I take to be hinted, Levit. xxiii. 11. The Wave-Sheaf was to be waved on the Morrow after a Sabbath (w); but the Wave-Sheaf was thus offered on the fecond Day of unleavened Bread (x), and confequently if that Day was the Morrow after a Sabbath, then the Day preceding or first Day of unleavened Bread was a Sabbath: It this Point be rightly stated, it will be to be remembred, that the Sabbaths in this first Month will fall thus; the first Day a Sabbath, the eighth Day a Sabbath, the fifteenth a Sabbath, the twenty fecond a Sabbath, and the twenty ninth a Sabbath: A Month was ordinarily computed to be thirty Days, neither more, nor

⁽rr) Deut, xvi. 1. (s) Exodus xii. 2. (t) Ibid. 6—8. Levii. xxiii. 5. (u) Ver. 6. (w) Ver. 11. The Hebrew Words are, השניה היהודים, i. e. crastino Sabbati, on the Day after the Sabbath. (x) Joseph. Antiq. lib. 5. ubi top.

fewer (y): Accordingly, if we go thro' the fecond Month, the Sabbaths in it must be thus: The fixth Day a Sabbath, the thirteenth a Sabbath, the twentieth a Sabbath, and the twenty feventh a Sabbath (z). In the third Month the Sabbaths will

(y) Moses thus computes the Months in his Account of the Flood: From the seventeenth Day of the second Month to the seventeenth Day of the seventh Month; for sive whole Months be reckons one hundred and fifty Days, Gen. vii. 11. 24. viii. 3, 4, which is exactly thirty Days to each Month; for five times thirty Days are one hundred and (z) Scaliger intimates the twenty second Day of this second Month to have been a Sabbath. Lib. de Emendat. Temp. p. 153, which, iff true, would overthrow the Order of the Sabbaths I am offering. But, 1. If the twenty fecond of this Month had been a Sabbath, then the fifteenth must have been a Sabbath also, and the People would have rested in their Tents upon it, Exod. xvi. 30. But the fifteenth was a Day of Travel; the Israelites took their Journey from Elim unto the Wilderness of Sin, on the fifteenth Day of the second Month, Exod. xvi. 1. fo that this Day was not a Sabbath, and confequently neither was the twenty fecond. 2. Scaliger's Opinion is founded upon an Imagination that the Quails were given in the very Evening, and the Manna on the Morning after the Israelites came into this Wilderness: If this were the Fact, the Ifraelites gathering Manna for fix fuccessive Days, before Moses observed to them that to morrow is the Sabbath, (See ver. 22, 23.) would indeed suggest the Sabbath to fall on the truenty second: But how improbable is it that the Israelites should have fixed their Camp, explored the Country, found that they could not be supported in it, mutinied, obtained a miraculous Supply from God, and all this in the remaining Part of a Day almost spent in Travel? A Supply given thus instantaneously would hardly bave been known to be a Miracle: They could not so soon have judged enough of the Country they were in, to determine whether it might not be the natural Produce of it: In the Wildernels of Shur they travelled three Days, before they came to high Complaints for want of Water, Exod. xv. 22. In like manner they came into the Wilderness of Sin, on the fifteenth Day

will fall thus: The fourth Day a Sabbath: And the Day after this Sabbath was the Day of Pentecost, or the fiftieth Day from the Day of bringing the Sheaf of the Wave-offering (a); for from the Day of waving it, on the Day after a Sabbath, they were to count feven Sabbaths complete; unto the Day after the feventh Sabbath fifty Days, and upon that fiftieth Day they were to offer the two Wave-Loaves and their New Meal-Offering (b); accordingly, from the fixteenth Day of the first Month to the fifth Day of the third Month, counting inclusively, are fifty Days, and the fiftieth Day falls regularly on the Morrow or Day after a Sabbath, as Moses calculates it (c): The other Sabbaths in this third Month fall thus: The eleventh Day a Sabbath, the eighteenth a Sabbath, and the twenty fifth a Sabbath: In the fourth Month the Sabbaths fall as follows: The fecond Day a Sabbath, the ninth a Sabbath, the fixteenth a Sabbath, the twenty third a Sabbath, and the thirtieth a Sabbath: In the fifth Month, the feventh Day will be a Sabbath, the fourteenth a Sabbath, the twenty first a Sabbath, and the

Ewenty

of the Month, on a fecond Day of the Week: In about four Days they had ect up all that could be provided for them, and found abfolutely that the Land they givere in could not fupport them: In this Extremity they givere ready to mutiny; on the fifth Day, the truetniteth Day of the Month, and the fewenth Day of the Week at Even, Moses obtained them the Quails, and on the next Morning the Manna: They gathered Manna for fix Days, and then the Sabbath was on the twenty fewenth: In this way of computing given the Affairs transacted a necessary space of Time, and this will fix the Sabbaths to the Days I have supposed to belong to them.

(a) Levitic, xxiii, 15, XiII. 16.

twenty eighth a Sabbath: In the fixth Month, the fifth Day is a Sabbath, the twelfth Day a Sabbath, the nineteenth a Sabbath, and the twenty fixth a Sabbath. We are now to begin the feventh Month: And here I must observe, that Moses was order'd to speak unto the Children of Ifrael, faying, In the feventh Month, in the first Day of the Month shall ye have a Sabbath (cc): It may be here queried, whether this Sabbath was to fall feven Days after the last Sabbath, and be one of the weekly Sabbaths of the Year, or whether it was to be a common Day of the Week in it felf, but ordered to be kept as a Sabbath by a fpecial Appointment: And an Answer to this Query is easy to be collected from considering the Appointments of this Season: The tenth Day of this feventh Month was to be a Day of Atonement to afflict their Souls, and they were specially ordered to do no Work on that fame Day: There could have been no need of that particular Order, if this tenth Day had been a Sabbath; for upon account of its being a Sabbath Day, no manner of Work must have been done therein (d): This tenth Day therefore did not fall upon a weekly Sabbath. But it is to be observed, that it would have been a weekly Sabbath, if some special Appointment had not here taken place to prevent it; for as the twenty fixth Day of the fixth Month was a Sabbath, the Days going on in their common Order, the third Day of the feventh Month would have been a Sabbath, and confequently the tenth; but the tenth Day thus appearing not to have been a Sabbath, it must be allowed that the third al-

⁽cc) Levit. xxiii. 24. (d) Exod. xx. 10.

fo was not a Sabbath Day, and confequently, that here must have been some particular Appoint ment, to cause the Sabbaths not to go on in the Course in which they would otherwise have proceeded: And the Injunction of the first Day of the feventh Month's being a Sabbath appears very plainly to have been this Appointment, and would always cause the tenth Day not to fall on a Sabbath, but on a Week-day, pertinently to the Injunction of having no Work done therein; fo that I should think, there can remain nothing further to be confider'd, than at what Distance this Sabbath Day, on the first Day of the feventh Month, was to be kept from after the last preceding Sabbath: And I think we cannot but conclude that feven Days must have been the Interval; for I think this was the Law of the Sabbath without Variation: Between Sabbath and Sabbath Six Days they were to labour and do all their Work; but the seventh Day was to be the Sabbath (e), and if this be allowed me, it will be plain, that the Israelites must have here added two Days to the End of the fixth Month to make the fixth Day of the Week the last Day of it; for the twenty fixth Day of this Month was, as I have observed, a Sabbath (f); confequently, if this Month, like other Months, had contained thirty Days only, the last Day of it would have been the fourth Day of the Week, and the first Day of the feventh Month could not have been a Sabbath in the manner which Moses appointed: Here therefore the Israelites kept two Week-days more than this Month would otherwise have afforded, and

began the feventh Month with a Sabbath, according to the Injunction. But to go on: The first Day of the seventh Month being thus a Sabbath, it will follow, that in this Month the eighth Day would be a Sabbath, the fifteenth a Sabbath, the twenty fecond a Sabbath, and the twenty ninth a Sabbath. The tenth Day of this Month was the Day of Atonement (g), the fifteenth Day began the Feast of Tabernacles (b), a Feast to be kept for the gathering in the Fruits of the Land (i): This Feast was thus to begin with a Sabbath (k), and after feven Days Celebration, it was ended on the eighth Day, namely on the twenty fecond Day of this Month with another Sabbath (1). The twenty ninth Day of the feventh Month being a Sabbath, the Sabbaths in the eighth Month will fall thus: The fixth Day will be a Sabbath, the thirteenth a Sabbath, the twentieth a Sabbath, and the twenty feventh a Sabbath: In the ninth Month, the fourth Day will be a Sabbath, the eleventh a Sabbath, the eighteenth a Sabbath, and the twenty fifth a Sabbath: In the tenth Month. the fecond Day will be a Sabbath, the ninth a Sabbath, the fixteenth a Sabbath, the twenty third a Sabbath, and the thirtieth a Sabbath: In the eleventh Month, the feventh Day will be a Sabbath, the fourteenth a Sabbath, the twenty first a Sabbath, and the twenty eighth a Sabbath: In the twelfth Month, the fifth Day will be a Sabbath, the twelfth a Sabbath, the nineteenth a Sabbath, and the twenty fixth a Sabbath, and the thirtieth Day of this Month would be the

⁽g) Levit. xxiii. 27. (b) ver. 34. (i) ver. 39. (k) ibid. (l) ibid.

fourth Day of a Week: But here it must be remembred, that the first Day of the ensuing Year, the first of the Month Abib, must fall upon a a Sabbath (m); fo that here, as at the End of the fixth Month two Days must be added, to make the Week and the Year end together; that the first Day of Abib may be regularly a Sabbath after a due Interval of fix Days between the last foregoing Sabbath and the Day of it. In this manner Moles's Appointments appear to carry the Israelites thro' the Year in fifty two complete Weeks, amounting to 364 Days, and this would be a great Approximation to the true and real folar Year, in comparison of what all other Nations at this Time fell short of it: But still it must be remarked, that even a Year thus settled would not fully answer; for the true length of the Year being, as I have faid, 367 Days and almost fix Hours; Moses's Year, if thus constituted, would still fall short, one Day and almost fix hours in every Solar Revolution, and this would have amounted to almost fifty Days in the forty Years, which he was with the Ifraelites, and therefore, had the Israelites began and continued computing their Year in this manner, they would have found at their entring into Canaan on the tenth Day of their Month Abib, that they were come thither, not just at the time of Harvest, as they might have expected, nor when Jordan overflowed his Banks, as he did annually, but rather they would have been there almost fifty Days before the Season; so that we must endeavour to look for some further Direction in Moses's Appointments, or we shall be yet

⁽m) Vid. quæ sup.

at a loss to say how the *Israelites* could keep their Year from varying away from the Seasons:

I would observe, that there are several Hints, in the Injunctions of Moses, that may lead us thro' this Difficulty: The Feafts of the Lord were to be proclaimed in their Seasons (n), and it is remarkable, that the Season for the Wavesheaf-offering is directed in some measure by the time of Harvest: When ye be come into the Land, which I give unto you, and shall reap the Harvest thereof, then shall ye bring a sheaf (o) thus again: Seven Weeks shalt thou number unto thee, begin to number the seven Weeks from such time as thou beginnest to put the Sickle to the Corn (p): the numbring these Weeks was to begin from the Day of bringing the Sheaf of the Wave-offering (q), and therefore the Wave-sheafoffering and the Pentecost at the End of the Weeks appear evidently to have been regulated by the Corn-Seafon, which was fure to return annually after the Revolution of a true Year, however the computed Year might vary from, or not come up to it: And the only Question that can now remain is, whether the Israelites were to keep all their other Feasts on their set Days, exactly at the Return of their computed Year, or whether their other Feasts were regulated along with these of the Wave-sheaf and Pentecost; so as to have their computed Year corrected and amended, as often as the Return of Harvest shewed them there was reason for it: And this last Intimation appears plainly to me

⁽n) Levit. xxiii. 4. (o) ver. 10. (p) Deut. xvi. 9. (q) Levit. xxiii. 15.

to have been the Fact; for I observe, that the fifteenth Day of the feventh Month is supposed never to fall before they had gather'd in the Fruits of their Land; for on that Day they were always to keep a Feast for the ending all their Harvests (r): But if the computed Year had gone on without Correction, the fifteenth Day of the feventh Month, every Year falling short a Day and almost a quarter of a true Solar Year, would in a Number of Years have come about, before the Time for beginning their Harvest: And Moses lived long enough to have seen it very fenfibly moving towards this Abfurdity, and confequently cannot be supposed to have left it fixed in such a manner: Rather the whole computed Year was to be regulated by the Seafon of Harvest: When the Year was ended, the Israelites were to proclaim for the enfuing Year the Feafts of the Lord (s), and they were, I think, to be kept at their Times according to this publick Indiction of them, and in order to fix their Times right, they were in the first place to observe the Month Abib (u); the Harvest Month (w), to appoint the Beginning of that to its true Season; and this they might do [as often

⁽r) Levit. xxiii 39. (s) ver 4. (u) Deut. xvi. 1. I need not, I think, observe that the Weather in Judza was not so variable as in our Climate, and consequently, that Seed time and Harvest were Seasons more fixed with the Inhabitants of this Country than with us.

⁽w) It may be queried, whether Abib be the Name of a Month: The Itraelites in these Times, seem to have named their Months no otherwise than sirst, seem to have named minim mensium ab initio nulla suere, says Scaliger. The Hebrew Word Abib signifies ripening, and perhaps Moses did not mean by Chodesh ha Abib, the Month Abib, intending Abib as a proper Name, but the Month of ripening, or of the Corn being sit for the Sickle.

as they found it varying from it, by the Corn not growing ripe for the Sickle at or about the fixteenth Day of this Month, the fecond Day of unleavened Bread (x), on which they were wont to offer their Wave-sheaf (y)] in the following manner: When, I fay, they found at the End of the Year, from the Experience of two or three past Years, as well as the Year then before them, that Harvest was not so forward as to be fit to be begun in about fixteen Days, they might then add fo many Days to the End of their Year, as might be requisite, that they might not begin the Month Abib until, upon the fixteenth of it, they might expect. to put the Sickle to the Corn, and bring the Wave-sheaf in their accustomed Manner: This, I think, might be the Method in which the ancient Israelites adjusted their Year to the Seasons; and I conceive, that when they added to their Year in this manner, the Addition they made was of whole Weeks, one, two, or more, as the appearing Backwardness of the Season required, that the first of Abib might fall upon . a Sabbath, and the other Sabbaths of the Year follow in their Order, as I have above fixed them. We may observe of this Method of adjusting the Year, that it is easy and obvious; no Depths of human Science, or Skill in Aftronomy, are requifite for the proceeding according to it: The Israelites could only want once in about twenty Years to lift up their Eyes, and to look into their Fields (2), and to confider before they proclaimed the Beginning of their Month Abib, whether, or how much they wanted of being white to Harvest, and this with the

⁽x) Exod: xii. Levit. xxiii. ubi fup. (y) Joseph. ul-t fup. (z) John iv. 35.

observing their Sabbaths as above related, would furnish them with a Year fully answering all the Purposes of their Religion or civil Lite: And this Method being thus capable of answering all Purposes, without leading them to a necessity of fixing Equinences, estimating the Motions of the heavenly Bodies, or acquainting themselves with any of those Schemes of human Learning, by which the heathen Nations were led into their Idolatries, I am the more apt to think, that this was the Method which God was pleased by the Hand of Moses to

fuggest to them.

I am aware of but one Point that can furnish any very material Objection to what I have offered: The Israelites were ordered by Moses to keep the Beginnings of their Months as solemn Feasts, on which they were to offer special Sacrifices (a), and they were to celebrate them like their other high Festivals with blowing of Trumpets (b): And they feem to have carefully observed this Appointment in their worst, as well as in their best, from their earliest to their latest Times: In the days of Saul, these Days were kept as High-seasts, on which a Person who used to sit there, was sure to be miffed, if absent from the King's table (c): They are mentioned as held by David and Solomon amongst the solemn Festivals (d). As such Hezekiab afterwards provided for the Observance of them (e): The Prophets mention them in like manner (f), and $E \approx ra$ took care to revive them at the Return from the Captivity (g); and it appears to have been the Custom of all the

Israelites

⁽a) Numb. xxviii. 11. (b) x. 10. (c) 1 Sim. xx. 5. (d) 1 Chron. xxiii. 31. 2 Chron. ii. 4. viii. 13. (c) 2 Chron. xxxi. 3. (f) Ifa. i. 13, 14. lxvi. 23. Ezek. xlvi. 1. Hof. ii. 11. Amos viii. 5. (g) Lzra iii. 5.

Israelites who feared God, to observe these Days amongst the Feasts of the House of Israel, as is evident from the Character given to Judith, amongst other things, for her Care in this matter (b). In their later Days the Jows fixed the Days of these Feasts, by the Appearance of the New-Moon (i), and great pains were taken to begin the Month and the Moon together (k): and this was the Practice, when the Author of the Book of Ecclefiaflicus wrote; for he tells us, that from the Moon is the Sign of Feafts (m); and the Jewish Writers say, that Moses appointed this Practice, and that the Israelites proceeded by it, from the Beginning of the Law (n): The LXX indeed feem to have been of this Opinion, and accordingly, except in three or four Places only (0), in their Translation of the Hebrew Scriptures, they render the Expression for the Beginnings of the Months by the Greek Word νεμενία or (p) νεομενία, the Term conftantly used by the heathen Writers for the Festival of the New-Moons observed by them (q): And we have followed the LXX, and do generally call the first Days of the Months, the New-Moons in our English Bibles: But if the ancient Israelites fixed these Festivals in this manner, they could not

⁽b) Judith viii, 6. (i) Talmud in Trast. Rosh. Hasshanh. Maimonides in Keddush. Hachod Selden de anno civili veterum Judæorum. Scaliger. Can. Isagog. Lib 3. p. 222. Clem. Alexand. Stromat. Lib. 6. p. 760. Edit. Oxon. (k) The English Reader may fee the Translation of Jurieu's History of the Doctrines and Worship of the Church. Vol. I. p. 2. c. 8. Prideaux Connect. Pres. to Vol. I. (m) Ecclus. xliii. 7. (n) Vid. Spen. de Leg. Heb. p. 810. (o) Vid. 2 Chron. viii. 13. Isa. kxi. 23. Arnos. 8. 5. (p) Numb. x. 10. xxviii. 11. 1 Sam. xx. 5. 2 Kings iv. 23. 1 Chron. xxiii. 31. Pfalm lxxxi. 3. & pallim. (q) Vid. Herodot. Lib. de Vit. Homer. c. 33. Plutarch. de vitand. ære alieno. p. 828 Theophrash. Character. Ethic. iv. Lucian. in Icaro Menip. p. 731.

compute their Months and Year, as I have intimated; for in a Calendar formed according to what I have offered, the New-Moons and first Days of the Months would fall in no Agreement to one another. The most learned Dean Prideaux has given a full Account of the manner of the Jewish Year in their later Ages: It confifted of twelve lunar Months, made up alternately of twenty nine or of thirty Days, and brought to as good an Agreement as fuch a Year could have with the true Solar Year, by an Intercalation of a thirteenth Month every fecond or third Year (r): And some Year of this fort the Israelites must have used, in and from the Times of Moles, if they had observed the New-Moons from his Times, making them the Directors of the Beginnings of their Months, and keeping their Feafts according to them.

But I would observe, I. That it cannot be conceived, that Moses had any Notion of computing Months according to this lunar Reckoning; five successive Months in his Account were deemed to contain one hundred and fifty Days (s); but had he computed by lunar Months, 148 Days would have been the highest Amount of them: In like manner twelve Months only made a Jewish Year until, at least, after the Times of David and Solomon; for had there been in their Times a thirteenth Month added to the Year, and that so frequently as in every fecond or third Year, neither would twelve Captains in David's, nor the same Number of Officers of the Houshold in Solomon's Time have been fusficient, by waiting each Man his Month, to have gone throughout all the Months of the Year in their Waitings (t): No Man of them waited

⁽r) Prideaux's Connect. Pref. to Part I. (s) Gen. vii. 12, 24. viii. (t) 1 Kings iv. 5. 1 Chron. xxvii.

more than one Month in any one Year (u), and therefore no Years at this Time had more than twelve Months belonging to them: But the best Writers feem fully fatisfied in this Point: " It " can never be proved, fays Archbishop Usher, " that the Hebrews used lunary Months before " the Babylonian Captivity(w)": Petavius feems to think, not till after the Times of Alexander the Great, when they fell under the Government of the Syro-Macedonian Kings (x). 2. It is not probable, that God should command the Ifrae. lites to regulate their Months by the Moon, or to keep a Feast upon the particular Day of the new Moon; for the Law, if this had been & Constitution of it, would have been calculated rather to lead them into Danger of Idolatry, than to preserve them from it: The Practice of the later Jews in this Matter prompted an Author cited by Clemens Alexandrinus, to charge them with Idolatry (y); which Charge, tho' I cannot think it well grounded, yet abundantly hints to me, that a Feast of New-Moons is not likely to be a Precept of Moles's Law: I should think God would not have directed him to institute any thing, that could carry fuch an Appearance of Evil, especially when one great Design of the Manner of giving the Law is declared to be, that the Israelites when they lifted up their Eyes to Heaven, and faw the Sun and the isloon and the Stars, even all the Host of Heaven, should not be

⁽u) 1 Kings iv. 7 (w) Chronol. Pref. to the Reader. Vid. Scaliger. Emend. Temp. p. 151. (x) Petav. Rationar. Temp. Part. 2. lib. 1. c. 6. (y) Mud's nord ไรร์ ales ซะเรียนั้ง, หู & eneros plovas dibution tor Gedi yea νώσκων, εκ επιταντα, λατρεύον ες άξγελοις η άρχαςγέλοις, μηνὶ κὴ σελίωη, κὴ ἐὰν μὰ σελίων φανῆ, σάδδα-τον ἐκάγεσι τὸ λεγόμενον σρώτον, ἐδὲ νεομηνίαν άγνσιν; έτε άζυμα, έτε έορτίω, έτε μεγάλιω ήμεςα». Clem. Alexand, Stromat. lib. 6. p. 270. dringeri

driven to wership them (z): The Nations, whom the Israelites were to drive out, seem to have ferved these Gods, and in this manner; and it is not likely the Israelites should be required to do fo unto the Lord their God (a); rather it might be expected, that they should be instructed in a Method of beginning their Months opposite to any Shew of Agreement with the heathen Superflitions: They were commanded not to use Honey in any of their Sacrifices(b); not to fow their Fields with mingled Seed (c); not to round the Corners of their Heads, nor mar the Corners of their Beards (1); these were Things practifed by the Heathens as Rites of Religion, and therefore the Israelites were not allowed to do them: The Israelites were to be a peculiar People unto the Lord their Ged, and whilst there runs thro' the whole Law a visible Design of many of the Institutions of it, to separate them from other Nations for this great Purpole, is it likely there should be a Direction for them to begin their Months with the Moon, which was worshipped by the Heathens as an high Deity? I dare fay, this Beauty of Heaven (e), lucidum Cali Decus, fays Horace (f), Queen of Heaven (g), Glory of the Stars (b), Horace expresses it, Siderum Regina (i), was not a Regulator or Director of the religious Festivals of the God of Israel; rather his chosen People were led into some plainer Method of computing their Months, and that fuch a Method, as might fo vary the Beginnings of them from a determined Relation to any Light of Heaven, as to evidence, that the appointed Holy-Days, which they kept, they did indeed keep only unto the Lord: The Author of the

Book

⁽z) Deut. iv. 19. (a) Deut. xii. 31. (b) Levit. ii. 11. (c) xix. 19. (d) Ver. 27. (e) Ecclus. xli i. 9. (f) Carm feculare. (g) See Jer. vii. 18. (b) Ecclus. ubi fup. (i) Horat. ibid.

Book of Ecclefiasticus observes of the Moon, that the Month is called after her Name (k); but this was not fo to an ancient Israelite: In our English Language the Words Moon and Month may have this Relation, and a like Thought is to be supported in the Greek Tongue, in which the Author of Ecclesiasticus wrote his Book: Min, the Month, may be a Contraction from Myvn, the Moon; tho' I think it more natural to derive $M_{\eta \nu \eta}$ from $M_{\eta \nu}$, than $M_{\eta \nu}$ from $M_{\eta \nu \eta}$: However, in the *Hebrew*, \mathcal{J} areach (l), or Lebanab (m) are the Words, that fignify Moon; and Chodesh (n) is the Word for Month; and these have no fuch Affinity to one another: And indeed, 4. In the Hebrew Bible, there is, I think, no one Text either in the Books of Moses, or in any other of the Books of the Old Testament, that can intimate the Israelites to have observed the Day of the New-Moon in any of their Festivals. The Israelites were to offer their Burntofferings unto the Lord in the Beginnings, not of their Moons but בראשי הדשינם be-Rashei Chadsheicem, on the Beginnings of their Months (0), and the Expression is the same, Numb. x. 10. The Israelites are there commanded to blow with the Trumpets --- on the Beginnings of their Months; nothing relating to the Moon is fuggested to them. And this is the Expression, which runs thro' all the Texts of Scripture, in which the LXX have used the Word vsunvia or vecunvia, or we in English, the New-Moons: When the Shunamite would have gone to the

⁽k) Ecclus. xliii. 8. (1) TI. Vid. Gen. xxxvii. 9. Deut. iv. 19. Jofh. x. 12. Job. xxv. 5. Pfalm viii. 4. Feclus. xii. 2. Ifa. xiii. 10. Jer. viii. 2. Ezek. xxxii. 7. Joel ii. 10, &c. (n) Cantic. vi. 10. Ifaiah xxiv. 23. xxx. 26. (n) Gen. viii. 4. Exod. xii. 2. Levit. xxiii. 24. Deut. i. 3. 1 Kings iv. 7, &c. (o) Numb. xxviii. 11.

Prophet, her Husband said unto her, Wherefore wilt thou go to him to-day? It is neither, we render the Place, New-Moon nor Sabbath, the LXX say & vectoria sois cast care; but the Hebrew Words are loa Chodesh re loa Shabbath (p), it is not the Month-day, nor the Sabbath. Thus again, the Pfalmist directs, to blow up the Trumpet, not as we render it, in the New-Moons, nor as the LXX & vectoria; but, ba chodesh, upon the Month-day (q). In none of the Texts that suggest

(p) 2 Kings iv. 23. (q) Pfalm lxxxi. 4. The latter Part of the Verse is thought by some Writers to intimate something contrary to what I am offering: Blow up the Trumpet, fars the Pfalmist, on the Month-day, after which follows [ונסה ליום חגנו] bacceseh lejom chaggenu. The Word ceseh, they say, is derived from the Verb casah to cover, so that bacceseh may signify at the covering, or when the Moon is in Conjunction with the Sun, covered, as it were, so as to give no Light: Thus these Writers think this Verse to intimate the New Moon to have been a solemn Festival: But I would observe the Expression thus taken is so singular, unlike any thing to be met with, in any other Place of Scripture, notwithstanding the frequent mention of the Festival bere intended, that I should think we cannot safely build upon it. Others derive the Word cofch from DDI cafas to number out, and accordingly render bacceseh upon the appointed Day; but were this the Sense of the Place, the Word avereld perhaps have been avritten not ADD bacceseh but NDDI baccesea, see Proverbs vii. 21. The Reader may see what has been offered upon this Text in Scalig. de Emendat. Temp. Lib. 3. p. 153. Cleric. Comment. in loc. and will, after all find the Passage to be obscure, at most but doubtfully explained by those who have wrote upon it. is the fame as Did: Se Proverbs vii. 21. In is the known Expression for the Feast of Tabernacles. Deut. xvi. 13. And I have been apt to suspect that Transcribers have misplaced the Letter D in the Word caseh, and wrote 7001 instead if IDDA i. e. bacceseh for hassuccoth: In the Hebrew the Letters of the one Word might readily be wrote for the Letters of the other: And if we may make this Emendation, haluccoth lejom haggenu, will fignify on the Day of our Feat of Tabernacks; and the Pialmit will appear to recommend the observing two folema Feasts, which fell algest this Festival, is there any mention ba Jareach or hal Lebanah of the Moon; for not the first Day of the Moon, but the first Day of the Month was the Day observed by them: It is remarkable that this Signification of the Hebrew Texts was fo undeniable to the Jewish Rabbins, that they could not but own, that their observing the first Days of Months upon New-Moons did not arife from any Direction of the Words of the Law (s), they fay it was one of the Matters which Mofes was taught in the Mount, and by Tradition was brought down to them (t): It is, I think, undeniable, that the Jews did admit the Use of a new Form of computing their Year some time after the Captivity, which differ'd in many Points from their more ancient Method, and which obliged them in Time to make many Rules for the Translation of Days and Feafts, an Account of which we may find in the Writers of their Antiquities (u); but the Law, as Moses or Joshua left it to the Observance of their Fathers, or as it was observed until after David's Times or Solomon's, feems to have been a Stranger to all these Regulations: I might perhaps fay, that the Jews in following these were in many Points led contrary to Moles's Directions: When our Saviour was betrayed, he was apprehended on the Night of the Passover after he had eaten the Passover with his Disciples (w), and carried early in the

27, &c. Luke xxii. 7. -- 34, &c.

most together in the same Month; the one the Month Day or first Day of the seventh Month. 'n audich was to be a Menorial of blowing of Trumpets. Levir. xxiii. 24. the other, the sirst Day of the Feast of Tabernacles. See Ver. 34.

⁽s) Maimonid. more Nevoch. P. 3. c. 46. (t) Abarb. in Parasch. (u) See Godwin's Moses and Aaron, Lib. 3. c. 7. (w) Mat xvii. 17—31, &c. Mark xiv. 12—

Evening to the High-Priest's House first (x), and afterwards before Pilate into the Judgment-Hall (y); for the Jews who profecuted, had not then eat the Passover (a), and upon this Account could not go into the Judgment-Hall: They intended our Saviour's Accufation should be capital; the Law had appointed, that Perfons defiled with the dead Body of a Man should be kept back, and not eat the Passover until the fourteenth Day of the fecond Month (b); they judged the Perfons, who were to accuse our Saviour, fo as to bring him unto the Death, would be under the Restriction of this Law. and therefore they left off their Profecution until they should go home and eat the Passover: On the next Morning, on the Day after the Paffover, they affembled, and carried him again to Pilate, and took Counfel against him to put him to death (c), and in this Morning passed the feveral Matters that are related to have preceded our Saviour's Crucifixion; namely Pilate's fending him to Herod (d), Pilate's Wife's Meffage to Pilate upon account of her Dreams (e), Herod's remanding Jesus back again to Pilate (f), Pilate's then delivering him to the Jews to be crucified (g), upon which they immediately led him away and crucified him (b), and the next Day was the Sabbath (i); fo that in this Year, the Yews had at least a Day between the Evening of eating the Paffover and the Sabbath; but had they at this time proceeded according to Moles's

⁽x) Mat. xxvi. 57. Mark xiv. 53. Luke xxii. 57. John xviii. 13. (y) Ibid. ver. 28. (a) Ibid. (b) Numb. ix. 10, 11. (c) Mat. xxvii. 1. Mark xv. 1. Luke xxii. 66. (d) Luke xxiii. 7. (c) Mat. xxvii. 19. (f) Luke xxiii. 11. (g) Luke xxiii. 21—24. (b) Mat. xxvii. 27—35. Mark xv. 16—24. Luke xxiii. 26—33. John xix. 16—18. (i) Mark xv. 42. Luke xxiii. 54. John xix. 31.

Inftitutions, I should think the first Day of unleavened Bread, the Day immediately following the Evening of the Passover, would have been

the Sabbath (k).

I have now offered the Reader what I have for some Time apprehended, the Institutions of Moses's Law do hint to have been the first and most ancient Method used by the Israelites for computing and regulating their Year: I have much wished to find some one learned Writer directing me in this Matter; but as I cannot fay I do, I hope I have expressed my self with a proper Diffidence: If the Reader shall think what I have offered may be admitted; a fmall Correction must be made in what I have suggested concerning the ancient Jewish Year in my Preface to my first Volume: And if I shall find my felf herein miftaken, I shall be hereafter better able to retract what I have thus attempted in a Preface only, than if I had given it a Place in the following Books amongst the Observations upon the Law of Moses. I have taken no notice of a Sentiment of Scaliger's, which feems to be admitted by Archbishop Usher; that the ancient Israelites computed their Year in 12 Months of 30 Days each, adding five Days at the End of the twelfth Month yearly, and a fixth every fourth Year (kk); because it is a Thought for which I find no Shadow of Proof from any

⁽k) According to the Jewish Calculation of the Year, after they used lunar Years, the Interval between the Paffiver and the Sabbath following it, was different in different Years: For Inflance, there was a Day between in the Year of our Saviour's Crucifixion, the Day of the Paffover falling that Year as on our Thursday: But it is evident, a Jewish lunar Year ordinarily containing but 354 Days, that the Paffover in the next Year would fall as on a Yuesday, and consequently there would be three Days between the Paffover and the Sabbath, &c. (48) Scaliger lib. de Emendat. Temp p. 151. Uther's Chronol. Epijle to the Reader.

Hint of Scripture or Remain of Antiquity: Sealiger indeed attempts to compute the Year of the Flood to have been reckoned up by Moses to contain 365 Days (l); but in order to give Colour to his Supposition, he represents the Raven and the Dove fent by Noab out of the Ark, to fee; if the Waters were abated, to have been fent out at forty Days Interval the one from the other (m); but Moles's Narration intimates nothing like it ; nor will any Reader allow it to be probable, that collects and duly compares the Particulars related by Moses of the Rise and Fall of the Waters, and of Noah's Conduct and Observations. The Raven and the Dove here spoken of, were undoubtedly fent out, both upon one and the fame Day: As to Archbishop Usher's seeming to be of Opinion, that the ancient Jewish Year was in this manner made up of 365 Days, with an Allowance for about a quarter of a Day in every Year; he had computed, and found that a Number of Years of the Israelites were capable of being made to answer to a like Number of Julian Years; and this led him to think they were, as to Length, of much the fame Nature: I need only observe that, if the Israelites computed their Years in the Manner abovementioned by me, a Number of fuch Years will not much vary in the Sum of them, from the Sum of a like Number of Julian.

I intended to attempt in this Place to answer the Objections of some Writers, who would atgue Mess not to have composed the Books we ascribe to him; but having in many Parts both of this and the former Volumes obviated the Difficulties, which seem to at ife from some short Hunts and Observations now interspersed in the sacred Pages, which the learned are apprized.

⁽¹⁾ Scaliger. p. 152, &c. (m) Gen. viii. 7, 8.

not to have been inferted by the Authors of the Books, they are now found in (a), I should in a great measure only repeat what I have already remarked, were I to refute at large what is offered upon this Topic: If the Reader has a mind to examine it, he may find the whole of what can be pretended on the one fide in Spinoza (b), and Le Clerc's third Differtation prefixed to his Comment on the Pentateuch may furnish Matter for a clear and distinct Answer on the other: We have indeed an Hint or two upon this Argument in some Remains of a very great Writer: "The Race of the Kings of Edom, it is observed, before there reigned any "King in Israel, is fet down in the Book of Ge-" nesis; and therefore that Book was not writ-" ten entirely in the Form now extant, before "the Reign of Saul." The Reader may find this Difficulty attempted to be cleared in its proper Place, I shall therefore only refer to what is already faid upon it (c).

"The Hiftory [in the Pentateuch] hath been collected, we are told, from feveral Books, fuch as were the Hiftory of the Creation composed by Moses, Gen. ii. 4. the Book of the Generations of Adam, Gen. v. 1. and the Book of the Wars of the Lord, Numb. xxi. 14." It is something difficult to form any Notion of the Force of the Argument here intended: St Matthew writes; The Book of the Generation of Jesus Christ (d): Can we hence argue, that the Gospel we now have and ascribe to him, was collected from a Book of the Generation of Jesus Christ written by him? Spinoza indeed offers the Point, which may perhaps be here intimated

⁽a) See Book xii. p. 502, 503, 504. p. 290. et in al. loc. (b) Tract. Theologico-polit. in part. alter. c. 8. (c) See Vol. II. B. 7. (d) Matt. i. 1.

to this Purpose. The Books which Moses wrote, are expressly named, and sometimes cited in the Pentateuch; consequently the Pentateuch is a different Work from the Books cited in it (e): But the Fact is this: Moses has in some Parts of his Books told us expressly, that he wrote them, and this Writer would inser the direct contrary from

these very Intimations. In the xxxiiid Chapter of Numbers ver. 1, 2. We have these Words: These are the Journeys of the Children of Ifrael, which went forth out of the Land of Egypt, with their Armies under the Hand of Moses and Aaron: And Moses wrote their Goings out according to their Journeys, by the Commandment of the Lord: And these are their Journeys according to their Goings out, &c. Let us now suppose, that these Words, and what follow them to the End of the 49th Verse of this Chapter, were perhaps Moses's Conclusion of the Book he wrote upon this Subject, whether he called it Motzab, a Word answering to Exodus, or Shemoth, i. e. The Book of Names, as the Tews feem afterwards to have nominated it, or whether he really affixed no Title to it. Let us suppose it to have began from the first Chapter of Exodus, and to have contained all the Journeyings of the Israelites, with the historical Circumstances, that led to them or attended them, and that it ended with the Recapitulation of them that is offered us in this Chapter: In the xxivth Chapter of Exedus, it may feem to be intimated, that Moses wrote another Book called the Book of the Covenant (f): Let us now suppose, that Moses at first wrote in this Book, no more than what Godhad commanded, and the People folemnly engaged themselves to perform, at their entring

⁽e) Tractat, Theologice-polit, ubi fup. (f) Exod.

into Covenant with God; namely, what is offered us in the xix, xx, xxii, xxiv Chapters of Exodus; it may still be reasonably concluded, the Covenant being not limited to the Obfervance of the few Commandments contained in these Chapters, but obliging the Israelites to obey God's Voice, to observe, and to do all the Statutes and Judgments which God should give them (g), that the Commandments afterwards given unto Moses, were also written in this Book in the following Order; First, The Laws given in Mount Sinai, towards the End of which might be thus written, These are the Statutes, and Judgments, which the Lord made between him, and the Children of Israel in Mount Sinai, by the Hand of Moses (b): After which Words we may pos-fibly imagine he added the Laws contained in the xxviith Chapter of Leviticus, and concluded with these Words. These are the Commandments which the Lord commanded Moses for the Children of Israel in Mount Sinai (i): Next to these might be added the Laws, which God gave out of the Tabernacle of the Congregation (k): And in this manner we may imagine the Book of the Covenant to have confifted of all the Laws which God gave the Israelites both from Sinai, and from the Tabernacle of the Congregation. In the xxixth Chapter of Deuteronomy, we are told of a Covenant which the Lord commanded Moses to make with the Children of Israel in the Land of Moab, beside the Covenant which he made with them in Horeb (kj): And we find these Words at the End of one of his Chapters: These are the Commandments and the Judgments, which the Lord commanded, by the Hand of Moses, un-

⁽g) See Exod. xxxiv. 27. (b) Levit. xxvi. 46. (i) xxvii. 34. (k) Levit. i. 1. Numb. i. 1. (kj) Deut. xxix. 1.

to the Children of Israel, in the Plains of Moab, by Jordan near Jericho (l): It will not be doubted, but that Moses wrote all the Words of this Law also in a Book (m): Let us suppose that the Words above-cited were the Conclusion of it: Let us suppose farther, that unto all these Moses added in another Book the Words which he spake unto all Israel on this side Jordan (n) in the Wilderness; and all these together with the Book of Genesis make the Pentateuch, or sive Books, which we call the Books of Moses.

It will here be faid, that if we look for the Books of Moses in the Pentateuch in this manner, we must allow some Paragraphs and even Chapters not to follow now, exactly in the Places where Moses at first put them: But in Answer to this, I apprehend, that it will not be thought a very material Question, whether any of the Leaves, Sheets, Rolls, or Skins, that were written by Moses have, or have not, by some Accident, been discomposed, and are not perhaps put together again, every one in its proper Place;

⁽¹⁾ Numb. xxxvi. 13. (m) Deut. xxxi. 24. (n) Deut. i. I. I might here answer a trifling Cavil offered concerning the Book of Deuteronomy, raised from the Words here cited. It is pretended that be neber ha Jarden, which we translate on this fide Jordan, do rather signify beyond, or on the other fide Fordan, and consequently, that these Words imply Moses not to have wrote the Book of Deuteronomy, for that the Book so called was wrote by a Person, who had passed over Jordan, and could, according to the Intimation of these Words, remark, that the Words of Moies overe spoke on a different side the River from the Place where the Book was written: But were there no other, the 10 and 13 Verfes of the L. Chapter of Genesis are sufficient to show the Word beneber to have the Signification we here take it in. When Joseph went up out of Egypt to bury his Father, they journeyed from Gothen into Canaan, and came to the Cave of Machpelah before Mamre, in their way to which they Ropped at the Threshing-sloor of Atad, beneber ha Jarden not beyond, but on this lide fordan; for they did not travil into Canaan, fo far as to the River Jordan. but

but the Point is, whether in the present Pentateuch we have all, and nothing but all, that Mo-Ses wrote in the Books that were penned by him: And of this a ferious Examinant may fufficiently fatisfy himfelf: If we must suppose, that Moses wrote his Books under such Titles as I have mentioned, yet under these the whole of all the Books of Moses may be collected, and perhaps fome Passages and Sections which now seem to be misplaced, may be hereby put into an Order, that may add a Clearness and Connection, which they may be suspected to want in their present Situation: And if we collect and examine the feveral little Notes, Remarks and Observations, which, tho' now found in feveral Places of the Pentateuch (o), were undoubtedly not written by Moses, but added by fome later Hand, a judicious Examiner will fee of these, 1. That they are not so many as they are hastily thought to be. 2. That they are all of them inconfiderable; none of them fo neceffary in the Places they are found in; but that, if they were omitted, the Text would be full, clear, and connected without them: In this manner we may make the utmost Allowance to the feveral Objections offered against the Books of Moses, and have a clear Conviction, that there is no Weight in any of them. That the Pentateuch contains the Books of Moses, has been constantly believed and testified by the Jews in all Ages: Spinoza himself confesses, that Aben Ezra only, a very modern Writer, pretended to have Doubts of it, and that his Intimations are but dark and obscure: Josephus tells us, as a Truth never questioned, that five of their facred Books were the Books of Moses (p), and our Saviour explains to us in what Sense they were Moses's Books,

⁽e) Vid. Clerici Differtat, de Scriptore Pentateuch.
(p) Joseph. contra Apion. lib. i. c. 8.
Vol. III. b they

they were, he tells us, Moses's Writings: Had ye believed Moses, says he, ye would have believed me, for he wrote of me; but if ye believe not his Writings, how shall ye believe my Words (q)? If it were possible to show, that the Books we now read for Moses's, were not the Books alluded to by our Saviour, something might be offered upon this Subject: But whoever will attempt this, will find himself not able to propose any thing, that can want a Resultation.

When Moses had made an End of writing what he was to leave the Ijraelites, He commanded the Levites, saying, Take this Book of the Law, and put it in the Side of the Ark (r) of the Covenant of the Lord your God, that it may be there for a Witness against thee (s): It is here queried, what the Book was which Moles here gave the Levites; whether all his written Works in one Code or Volume, or whether it was the Words of this Law (t); tome one fingle Book, which he had just then finished, a Part only of his Writings. Spinoza is for this latter Opinion, this best suiting his Purpose, to infinuate that the Levites had charge only of a small Part of what Moses wrote, and consequently, that all, except what was committed to their Keeping, was foon loft (u): But I should think 1. that the Words, Dibrei battorab bazzaoth, do not perhaps fignify the Words of this Law (w) limited to a fingle Book or Part of Moles's Writings: The Particle nx; zaoth is, I think, fometimes used as the at (x), and the Expression above is probably o this Import; when Moses had made an end of writing the Words of the Law, even all

⁽⁴⁾ John v. 46, 47. (r) See Prideaux Connect. B. 3.
Part. 1. Account of the Ark. (s) Deuteron. xxxi. 26,
(t) See ver. 24. (u) In Tract. Theology polit. ubi sup.
(w) Deut. xxxi. z4. (x) See Judg. xiii. 23.

these [Words or Things]: The Fact might be thus: Moles wrote his Books thus far, to this Place; and then gave the Levites the Charge of them. 2. The Words used by Mojes to the Levites are general: He delivered to them, not The Book of this Law; not any particular Part of his Writings, but this Book of the Law in general (y); the Particle this was here used, because Moses had the Book then in his Hand, which he delivered to them: Seper ba Torab(z), or feper Torab (a), was the Name of the whole Code or Volume of the facred Writings, never once given by Moses to any fingle Part of his Works, but imposed here as a general Title of the Book, that contained the Whole of them: The Law was that Part of the Code for an Introduction to, Illustration, History, or Confirmation of which, all the other Parts were written, and therefore the whole might well be called the Book of the Law, the Law being the principal and most important Part of the Code called by this Title. As Moses gave the facred Volume which he left to the Israelites this general Title; fo we find it used in all After-ages for the Title of this Book, even when not only the Works of Moses, but also the Psalms and the Prophets were contained in it. Joshua wrote his Book in the Book of the Law (b), and yet in Fosial's Time the Volume found in the Temple, which undoubtedly contained all that Joshua had written in it, as well as Moses, was called by its general Name, The Book of the Law, only: In our Saviour's Time the Books of Scripture were of three forts, as Josephus afterwards reckoned them (c); namely, the Books of Moses, the Prophets, and

⁽y) THE THEM TWO IN (z) 2 Kings xxii. 8.
(a) John xxiv. 26. 2 Chron. xxxiv. 1 + . (b) John. xxiv. 26. (c) Johnh. contra Apion. lib. 1. c. 8.

the Psalms (d): And our Saviour, who thus distinguishes them, when he intended to speak of the Particulars, that made up the facred Code, vet in the general not only calls all the Books of Moses, The Law (e); but cites the Book of *Pfalms* as Part of the Law (f), as the *Jews* alfodid in his Age (f), and St. *Paul* afterwards cited Isaiab in like manner (g): Moses, at delivering his Writings, called the whole Tome, The Book of the Law, and this continued to be the general Title of the whole Volume of the facred Books in all Ages, whatever particular Books were annexed to or contained in it. As to the Book of the Wars of the Lord, we have no Reason to think any fuch Book was written by Moses: It is indeed cited in a Book of Moses (k); but so is the Book of Jasher in that of Joshua (1), and yet the Book of Jasher was a Composure more modern and of far lefs Authority than the Book of Toshua: The Reader may see what is offered concerning the Citation of the Book of Jasher in Joshua (m), and will find it reasonable perhaps to account for the Citation in Numbers of the Book of the Wars of the Lord in like manner: In what is above offered the Reader will fee the greatest Liberty taken by me in the Suppositions I have made concerning the original Divisions or Titles of the Books of Meses, and the Dislocations or Transpositions that may be conceived now to be in fome Chapters or Paragraphs of them: I was willing to allow, for the fake of Argument, the utmost that could with any Shew of Reason be pretended; being fure, that after all, nothing could be concluded to prove Moles not to have

writ-

⁽d) Luke xxiv. 44 (e) Ibid. (f) John xv. 25. (ff) xii. 34. (g) 1 Cor. xiv. 21. (k) Numb. xxi. 14. (l) John x. 13. (m) See B. xii. p. 502.

written what we ascribe to him; but I must not leave this Topic without observing, that I cannot fay, that Moses did actually divide his Writings into Books in the manner above supposed, or that the Chapters, which we may imagine not to be now found in their proper Places, were Originally otherwise disposed by Moses than we now find them: Of all the Books written by Moles, the Book of Genefis only could be composed by him in the Opportunity of a great Leisure (n): He must have lived in the Hurry of a Variety of Engagements in the Management of a most reftless People, all the time he was writing his Accounts of them, and confequently, what is contained in what we now call the Books of Exodus, Leviticus, Numbers, and Deuteronomy, might be at first minuted down, and put together, as Works generally are, which are composed and finished in such Circumstances: The historical Parts were registered as the Occurrences arose that were the Matter of them. The Laws given were recorded when, and as it pleased God to direct Moses to write them; fometimes immediately at their being given, at other times not until Occasions arose, that demanded a Recollection of them: Some things were repeated, added to, or explained, as Circumstances required, and Moses had no time to go over and methodize anew what he had wrote in this manner, but put the whole together and gave it to the Levites, still adding a few Matters that were to be recorded after his ordering the Levites the Charge of his Books; namely, what we find from the 24th Verse of the xxxist Chapter of Deuteronomy to the End of the xxxiiid Chapter, as Joshua afterwards added to what was left by Moles, the Occurrences of the Times that succeeded. In this manner, perhaps, we may fully account for all that can feem in any wife to intimate to us, that we have not now the Books of *Mofes* in the Order and Form in which he left them; and this Account of his Books feems to me most likely to be the true one, and consequently most reasonable to be admitted.

As to the Particulars contained in the enfuing Volume, I must submit them to the Reader: I hope they may be received with the Candour that has been shewed to my former Volumes: What is now published might have been more various and entertaining, had it reached down to an Age that could have afforded more matter of prophane History to be interspers'd in it: But divers of the Scripture Occurrences herein treated of, were not to be paffed over curforily, and the entring into these more largely obliged me to conclude this Volume fomething fhort of the Period at which I proposed to my self to end it. I am abundantly fenfible of the Obligations I am under to many of my Superiors for the Reputation they give me by their Favour. The truly Great find a real Pleasure in cherishing any well-intended Endeavours of their Inferiors: And if my Abilities, as an Author, were equal to the Gratitude and Inclination of my Mind, I should well deferve the Continuance of that good Opinion which many Perfons, who are in Stations above my being otherwife known to them, are pleafed to conceive of me themselves, and to create of me in others: But I am afraid I should appear guilty of an Act of Vanity rather than of Gratitude, if I were to proceed in Intimations of this Nature, or to fay, how much the Right Honourable Mr. ONSLOW, the Speaker of the House of COMMONS, has been a Patron of my Studies in this manner.

My Thanks are acknowledged to be due to a learned Divine of a foreign University, Mr. Wolle of Leipfick, and also to Mr. Arnold Professor of the English and French Tongues there, for my Reputation in their Country. I am forry I am not able to read the Translation of my Books, which the one of them has fome Years ago published in the German Tongue, and the very learned Differtation prefixed to that Translation by the other. Hopes were at one time given me of feeing this Differtation in English, and from the short Extract of it in our Republick of Letters (0), I cannot but think I should have Satisfaction in every Part of it, except in that which relates to my own Character. I have not those Abilities, which this learned Divine afcribes to me: I may have been happy in the Choice of a Subject, which, if I could manage fuitably, might afford a Work very ufeful even to the learned World. I can only endeavour to go thro' it with as much attention as my Situation in Life will allow me; but am able to perform no Part of it without many Imperfec-My Procedure in it must be by slow Steps; being obliged many times to lay afide my Studies upon account of Avocations, which in my Circumstances must be attended to, and oftentimes to defer, or intirely to drop Subjects that might be confidered, as I can or cannot get a Sight of Books that would conduct my Enquiries. However, if I find my Endeavours continue acceptable to the Publick, I shall, as soon as I can, in one Volume more, offer the remaining Part of this Undertaking.

⁽o) Republick of Letters for September 1731.

Shelton, Norfolk, Od. 21, 1736.





The SACRED and PROPHANE

HISTORY

Of the WORLD Connected.

BOOK X.



OSES and the *Ifraelites* joined in a Song of Thanksgiving for their Deliverance from the *E*gyptians (a), after which they

moved from the Red-Sea into the Wilderness of Shur (b): They wandered three Days in the Wilderness and could find no Water (c): at Marab they found Water, but could not drink it, for it was bitter (d); And the People murmured against Moses, saying, What shall we drink? And

⁽a) Exodus xv. (b) Ver. 22. (c) Syncell. Chron. p. 128. Philo de vitâ Moss l. 1. Joseph. Antiq l. 3. c. 1. (d) Exodus xv. 23.

he cried unto the Lord, and the Lord shewed bim a Tree, which when he had cast into the Waters, the Waters were made sweet (e). We are informed (f) that God at this Time gave Moses some particular Command, and proved him, or made trial of his Obedience; for this must be the Sense of the Place: Our English Translators have evidently mistaken the Words of Moses: They render the Passage, There He made for THEM a Statute, and an Ordinance, and there he proved THEM. This Translation seems to hint, that some Laws were here given to the Israelites, and that they were the Persons here proved; but the Commentators are at a loss to ascertain any Laws given at this Time (g): If we attend to the Hebrew Text, the Affix used by Moses does not signify THEM, but HIM, and Moses himself was the Person here applied to, and not the Israelites, and the Statute and Ordinance here given was to him, and not to them; and this agrees with the 26 Verse, where the Text is justly translated, not, If Ye will hearken; but, If THOU wilt diligently bearken, &c. When the Israelites were got over the Red-Sea, We do not read, that the Pillar of the Cloud and of Fire went before them into the Wilder-

⁽ε) Exodus xv. 25. (f) Ver. 26. (g) See Pools Symops. in loc.

ness of Shur: Moses very probably led them thither, without any special Direction from God; They travelled here three Days without Water; and when they found Water, it was bitter, and they could not drink it: In their Distress they murmured, and Moses prayed to God for Affistance: God accepted his Prayer, and gave him [chok ve Mishpat], a special Order and Appointment what to do; namely, to take a Bough from a Tree which he was directed to, and to put it into the Waters, and by this He proved or tried him (b); He gave him an Opportunity to shew his Readiness strictly to perform whatever Orders should be injoined him; and hereupon God promifed him, that if He would thus punctually observe all his Appointments, that then He would continually extricate him out of every Difficulty.

We read of no Place called Marah in the Prophane Authors; for indeed the

⁽b) We meet many Instances in the Scriptures of God's appointing Persons applying to him for Favours, to do some Ast as a Proof of their intire submission and obedience to him. Jacob was ordered to use peeled Rods, Gen. xxx. Naaman to wash in the River Jordan, 2 Kings v. And in Exodus xvi. the Israelites were proved in this manner. They were ordered to gather of the Manna a certain Rata every Day, that God might prove them, whether they avould walk in his Law or no: Thus was Moses here proved, he was ordered to put a Bough into the Water; a Thing in it self insignificant, but his doing it tessified his Readiness to observe any Injunction which God spould think sit to give him.

B 2

4

Israelites gave the Place this Name, because the Waters they found here were bitter, the Word March in their Language fignifying to be bitter; but the best Heathen Writers agree, that there were Lakes of bitter Waters, in the Parts where the Israelites were now travelling: Diodorus informs us, that there were fuch Waters at some little Distance from the City Arfinoe (i), Strabo fays the fame Thing (k), and Pliny carries on Trajan's River from the Nile to the bitter Fountains (kk), and these bitter Fountains, and the bitter Lakes mentioned by Strabo and Diodorus, and the bitter Waters which the Israelites found at Marab, may easily be conceived to be the same: The City Arsinoe, agreeably to both Strabo's and (1) Diodorus's Polition of it, was lituate near the Place of the present Suez, and not far from the Neighbourhood of this Place reached Trajan's River, which was carried on to the bitter Lakes, and hither the Israelites may be conceived to have wandered. They went from the Red-Sca into the Wilderness of Shur, they could not pass thro' towards Canaan, for want of Water, they turned about towards Egypt where they hoped to find a Plenty, and

came

⁽i) Diodor. Sic. l. 3. p. 120. (k) Strabo, Geog. l. 17. p. 804. (kk) Plin. Nat. Hift. l. 6. c. 29. (/) Diodor. & Strabo ubi sup.

came to *Marah* upon the Coast of Suez.

Josephus gives a very idle Account of the change of the Taste of the Waters of Marab (m): He supposes, that the Country they were now in, afforded no Water naturally; that the Israelites funk Wells, but could not find Springs to supply enough for their Occasions; and that what they did find was so bitter, that they could not drink it; that they fent out every way to fearch, but could hear of no Water; that there was indeed a Well at Marah, which afforded some Water, but not a Quantity sufficient for them, and that what it supplied them with, was so bitter, that even their Cattle could not drink it; that upon the Israelites Uneasiness with Moses, He prayed to God, and took his Rod, and split it down in the middle, and perfuaded the People that God had heard his Prayers, and would make the Water fit for them to drink, if they would do as he should order them: Upon their asking what he would have them do, He directed them to draw out of the Well, and pour away the greatest Part of the Water; the doing this, He fays, stirring and dashing about the Waters by the Buckets they drew with, purged, and by Degrees made them pota-

⁽m) Josephus Antiq. 1. 3. c. 1.

6

ble. But 1. This Account of Josephus differs from what the prophane Writers, as well as Moles, relate of the Country where the Israelites now were: Josephus represents it as a Place where no Water was to be had; but according to Moses, the People were in Extremity at Marah, not for want of Water, but of good Water, and to this Strabo agrees; he supposes Water enough in this Place, many large Lakes and Fosses (n), tho' he tells us they were in ancient Days bitter, until by a communication (0) of the River, the later Inhabitants of the Country found out a way to meliorate the Taste of them. 2. Had the Israelites found a Well, as Josephus supposes, if the Supply of Water it afforded was too scanty for their Occasions, what Relief would it have been to them, to draw off and throw away the greatest Part of their defective Supply, in order to sweeten a fmall Remainder? Or 3. How could the dashing Water about at the Bottom of a Well, fufficiently purify it from its mineral Taste, which most probably was given it from the very Earth, against which they must thus dash it? But it must be

needless

⁽n) διώρυγες τλάσς κ) λίμναι πλησιάζεσαι ἀυταῖς. Strabo l. 17. p. 804. (e) Τών πικοῶν καλεμένων λιμιῶν, αι πεότεων μέν ισαν πικεαί, πμηθάσης ή τ διώσυγες μετεβάλλοντο τῆ κεάσει τὰ ποπαμά. Id. ibid.

needless to refute at large this Fancy of

Fosephus.

The Writer of the Book of Ecclesiasticus hints a different Reason for the Cure of those bitter Waters: He suggests, that the Wood which Mojes was directed to use, had naturally a Medicinal Virtue to correct the Taste of the Waters at Marab: Was not, fays he, the Water made fweet with Wood, that the Virtue thereof might be known? (p) But I cannot think, that the Opinion of this Writer can be admitted: for 1. It does not feem probable, that Moses here used a whole and large Tree; rather he took a little Bough, such as he himself could put into the Water, and immediately the Taste of the Waters changed. 2. If it could be thought, that Moses employed the People to take down a very large Tree and convey it into the Water, can we suppose, that even the largest Tree, steeped in a Lake, should immediately communicate a fufficient Quantity of its natural Sweetness, to correct the Taste of Water enough for the occasions of so many hundred thousands of People? But 3. We have great Reason to think, that there was no Tree in these Parts of this Virtue: Had there been fuch an one, after the Virtue of it was thus known, especially Moses having record-

⁽p) Ecclus. xxxviii. 5.

ed this his use of it, it would certainly have been much used by others, and as much inquired after by the Naturalists; but tho' Strabo, Diodorus Siculus, and Pliny have all remarked, that there were bitter Waters in these Parts of the World, yet they knew of no Trees of a Medicinal Quality to correct the Taste of them. Pliny tells us of a Method afterwards invented to meliorate the Tafte of fuch Waters (9); but tho' he has treated largely of the Powers and Virtues of Trees and Plants, (r) and of the Trees in these Parts of the World particularly (s); yet he never heard of any of this fort, and therefore undoubtedly there were not any. The Author of Ecclefiasticus was a very learned Man, and had much given himself to the reading the Writings of his Fathers, and had carefully collected their Sentiments, and added some Obfervations of his own to them (t), and this feems to have been his own; had it been a received opinion of the Jewish Writers, I should think Josephus would have had it, or had there really been a Tree of this Nature, the Heathen Naturalifts would have observed it; but from their intire filence, I imagine, that the

, Author

⁽q) Nitrosæ aut amaræ aquæ polentå additå mitigantur, ut intra duas horas bibi posint. Piin Nat Hist. 1. 24. c.1. (r) Plin. Nat. Hift. 1. 24. per tot. lib. (s) Ibid. c. 12. (1) Prologue to Ecclus.

Author of Ecclesialticus speculating in the Chapter, where we find this Hint, upon the Medicines which God hath created out of the Earth (u), offered this Hint purely from his own Fancy, without any Authority for it. The Book of Eccle-siasticus is but a modern Composure in comparison of Moses's Writings, it was first published in Egypt about 132 Years before Christ (w), and being published in Egypt was much read by the Jews of Alexandria, and accordingly Philo, who lived there about our Saviour's Time, was acquainted with the Opinion of this Author, but He very justly doubts the Truth of it, and queries whether the Wood here used, had naturally, or whether God was not pleased to give it its Virtue for this particular Occasion (x).

From Marab the Israelites removed to a Place, where they found twelve Fountains of Water, and threescore and ten Palm Trees: A Place not unlike this, is described by Strabo (2), the Israelites called it Elim. From hence after some Days Rest, they marched first to the Red-Sea (a), perhaps to the very Place,

⁽u) Ecclus. xxxyiii. 4. (w) Prideaux Connect. P. ii.B. 1. Vol. 3. p. 6.2. (x) Philo de Vità Mosis I. (α) Φουνκῶνα εῖναι ἔνοθερν, τιμᾶᾶς τε κομμθῖ, διὰ τὸ πᾶσαν των κύκλω καυματικόν τε, κ) ἀνοθερν, κ) ἀσκιον ὑπάρχειν. Strabo, Geog. I. 16. p. 776. (a) Numbers xxxiii. 10.

where they came over out of Egypt, and from thence they went into the Wilderness of Sin, on the fifteenth Day of the fecond Month after their departing out of the Land of Egypt (b) i. e. exactly a Month after their leaving Egypt; for they left Egypt foon after Midnight of the fourteenth Day of the first Month (c): The Wilderness of Sin was a barren Desart, not capable of supplying them with Provisions, which as foon as they felt the want of, they were ready to mutiny, and most passionately wished themselves in Egypt again (d): But God was here pleased miraculously to relieve them by great Flights of Quails, a fort of Birds very common upon the Coasts of the Arabian or Red-Sea (e), and besides sending these, Herained them Bread from Heaven: Every Morning, when the Dew was off, there lay a small round Thing as small as the hoar Frost upon the Ground (f); it was like Coriander Seed of a white Colour, and the Taste of it was like Wasers made with Honey (g): the Israelites when they saw it,

senting with like my of counter

diff

⁽b) Exodus xvi. 1. (c) Exodus xii. (d) xvi. 3. (e) Joseph. Antiq. 1. 3. c. 1. Athenaus Deipnol. 1. 9. (f) Exodus xvi. 13, 14. (g) The Hebrew Writers have had various Conceits about the Taste of the Manna, some of them perhaps deduced from some Expressions in the Book of Wildom. That Apocryphal Author says of the Manna, that it was able to content every Man's Delight, agreeing to every Taste, and that serving to the Appetite of the Fater it tempered it self to every Man's liking.

Wisdom

knew not what it was, and therefore asked one another NT O Man hua, for they are two Hebrew Words, and fignify what is this? Man fignifies what and Hua this, and not knowing what Name to give it, they called it Man, or What, i. e. is it, ever after (b).

The Israelites were ordered, every Head of a Family, to gather as many Omers (i) of this Manna every Morning as He

Wisdom xvi. 20, 21. Lyra, from the Rabbins, represents, that it had the Tafte of any fort of Fish or Foul according to the Wish of him that eat it; but then with St. Augustin he restrains the Privilege of finding in the Manna the Taste of what they most loved, to the Righteous only. The Authors of Talmud Joma and Lib. Zohar fay, the Manna had all forts of Tastes, except the Tastes of the Plants and Sallads which greew in Egypt; but there is no End of pursuing or refuting the Fancies of these Writers. Moses says of the Manna here in Exodus, that its Taste was like Wasers made with Honey. In Numbers xi. 8. he fays, the Cakes made of it had the Taste of fresh Oil; so that we may conjecture, that it had a sweetness when gathered, which evaporated in the grinding, beating and baking: It tasted like Honey when taken off the Ground, but the Cakes made of it, were as Cakes of Bread kneaded with Oil. The Ifraelites usedit as a fort of Bread, they had the Quails instead of Flesh, Exodus xvi. 12. Numb. xi. The Manna is represented to have had no high Taste, Numbers xi. 5. and we have not any Hint from Moses of its being so variously delightsome to the Palate, as the Author of the Book of Wisdom seems to sug-(b) Our English Word Manna, Exod. xvi. 15. geft. feems to intimate, that the Israelites put the two Words Man hua together, as the Name of this Food; but they used but one of them; for they called it Man and not Manhua. See Exod. xvi. 15, 31, 35. Numb. xi. 6, 7, 9. Deut. viii. 3, 16. Joshua v. 12. Nehem. ix. 20. Psalm lxxviii. 24, &c. (i) An Omer is the tenth Part of an Ephah, probably about three Pints and an half of our Measure.

had

had Persons in his Family (k); but as they went out to gather without taking Measures with them, it so happened, that some gathered more than their Quantity, and some less; but they corrected this before they carried their Gatherings home; for they measured what they had gathered with an Omer, and he that had gathered more than his Quantity, gave to him that had gathered less, so that every one had his just Quantity made up, and no more: The Words of the 18th Verse, as our English Version renders them, seem to imply, that God was here pleafed miraculously to adjust the several Quantities that were gathered. We translate the Place, The Children of Israel gathered fome more, some less, and when they did mete it with an Omer, he that gathered much had nothing over, and he that gathered little had no lack; which Words may be thought to hint, that God was pleased miraculously so to order it, that when they came to measure, the Store of him that had gathered too much was diminished to the exact Number of Omers which he was to have, and the defective Quantity of him, that had not gathered his due Quantity, was miraculously increased to the just Measure of what He was to have gathered; so that he that had

⁽k) Exod. xvi. 16.

gathered much had nothing over, and he that had gathered little had no lack, the divine Providence causing the Quantity which every one had gathered, to answer exactly to the appointed Measure. Josephus, I think, took this to be the Fact (kk); But 1. to what Purpose could it be for God to command the People to gather an Omer for each Person, if He designed miraculously so to order it, that let them gather what they would, they should find their Gatherings amount to an exact Omer, neither more nor less? 2. The Words of Moses, if rightly translated, express the Fact to have been very different from this Representation of it. The Word, which we translate bad nothing over, should be rendered (1) He made to have nothing over, and in like manner the Word translated had no Lack, should be rendered He caused to have no Lack, and Moses was the Person who thus ordered it, and the 17, 18 and 19 Verses should be word for word thus translated.

Ver. 17. And the Children of Israel did fo, and gathered some more, some less.

⁽kk) Joseph. Antiq. lib. 3. c. 1, § 6. (l) This is the true Sense of the Hebrew Verbs in the Conjugation they are bere used in. אין in the Conjugation Kal signifies to abound or to have over, but אין זו in Hiphil is to cause to abound: Thus שון in Kal signifies, to fall short or to want, but אין in Hiphil is to diminish, or to cause to want. See Isaiah xxxii. 6.

Ver. 18. And they measured with an Omer, and Moses (m) caused him that had more, not to abound, and him that had less, not to fall short, [for they gathered, each one according to (n) his eating.]

Ver. 19. And Said, Let no man leave of

it till the morning.

So that the Fact here was, that Moses directed them to give to one another, they that had more than their measure, to make up what was wanting to them that had less, that all might have their full Quantity, and no more. 3. St. Paul very plainly intimates this to have been the Fact, by alluding to what the Israelites here did with their Manna, in order to induce the Corinthians to contribute a Relief to the poorer Christians, fuch as the Corinthians could at that Time well spare out of their Abundance. I mean not (says he), that other Men be eased and you burthened, but by an Equality, that now at this Time your Abundance may be a Supply for their Want, that their Abundance also may be a Supply for

⁽m) In the Hebrew Text, Moses the Nominative Case to three Verbs, is put after the Last, a Construction very common in the ancient Languages. (n) The Words, They gathered each one according to his eating, are a Remark by way of Parenthesis, to give a Reason for what Moses directed. He caused them that had over much, to give to them that had less than they were to have, because they gathered, as we say, from Hand to Mouth, and it would have been of no Service to have laid up what they had to spare.

your Want, that there may be an Equality, as it is written, He that had gathered much, had nothing over, and He that had gathered

little, had no Lack (o).

Another order given the Israelites about the Manna was, that they were every Day to eat what they had gathered, and to leave none all Night for the next Day's Provision (p). Some of the People were not strictly careful in this Point, but left some of their Manna until the Morning, and [it bred Worms and stank (q). Every fixth Day, they were to gather twice as much as on any other Days, because the seventh Day was the Sabbath, and on that Day they were to gather no Manna, nor do any fort of Work (r), and accordingly on the feventh Day there fell no Manna; for there went out fome of the People to gather, but they found none (s); and what remained of the double Quantity, which the People gathered on the fixth Day, and referved for the seventh, did not stink, neither was there any Worm therein, tho' if any Part of any other Day's Gathering was not eaten on the Day it was gathered in, it would not keep nor be fit to be eaten on the Day following (t): Thus

⁽a) 2 Corinth. viii. 13, 14, 15. (p) Exodas xvi. 19. (q) Ver. 20. (r) Ver. 23. (s) Ver. 27.

miraculously did God feed the People in the Wilderness for about forty Years; for they had this supply of Manna, until they came unto the Borders of the Land of Canaan (u). Aaron, directed by Moses, in Obedience to God's express Command, put an Omer of Manna into a Pot, in order to keep it in memory of the wonderful supply of Food, which

God had thus given them.

From the Wilderness of Sin, Moses led the Israelites to Rephidim, making two short Halts by the Way, which are not mentioned here in Exodus, one of them was at Dophkab, the other at Alush (x). From their Encampment in the Wilderness of Sin to Rephidim might be, I imagine, about twenty Miles: At Rephidim they were distressed for want of Water, and murmured against Moses, for bringing them into Extremity. Moses cried unto the Lord, and received Directions to smite a Rock at Mount Horeb with the Rod, which he had used in performing the Wonders wrought in Egypt; and upon his doing this in the

fight

⁽u) Exodus xvi. 35. Joshua v. 12. (x) I may here hint once for all, that these and the other Names we have of the several Places where the stractives made their Encampments in the Wilderness, are generally Names given by them to the Places they slope at, and that the Places were not called by any particular Names, except by the Israelites upon account of their Encamping at them.

fight of the Elders of *Ifrael*, God was pleased to cause a River of Water miraculously to flow out of the Rock, to sup-

ply their Necessities (y).

The most learned Archbishop Usher remarks, that the Rock out of which Moses thus miraculously produced the Water, followed the Israelites throughout the Wilderness (z): Tertullian is said to have been of this Opinion (a): The Jewish Rabbins were fond of it: The most learned Primate fays expresly, that the Rock, which Moses smote, followed them; but some other Writers foften the Prodigy, and affert, that the Water from the Rock became a River, and was made to flow after the Camp, wherever the Israelites journeyed, until they came to Kadesh. The Reasons given for this Opinion are, 1. It is remarked, that from the Time of this Flow of Waters from the Rock at Horeb, until they came to Kadesh, the Israelites are not faid to have ever wanted Water (b); and it is argued, that they must continually have wanted it in their Passage thro' the Wilderness, if God had not thus miraculously supplied them. 2. Some Pasfages in the *Pjalms* are thought to imply, that a River from the Rock attended

⁽y) Exodus xvii. 5, 6. (z) Usher's Annals. (a) Hace est Aqua, quæ de comite Petra Populo desfucbat. Tertullian. de Baptismo. (b) Numbers xx.

Vol. III. C them

18

them in their Journeyings. 3. It is hinted, that a Text in *Deuteronomy* confirms this Opinion: And lastly, it is pretended, that St. *Paul* says expressly, that the Rock followed them.

I. " It is faid, that the Israelites never " wanted Water, after this Supply from " the Rock at Horeb, until they came " to Kadesh; tho' the Wilderness they " travelled thro' was fo dry a Place, that " they could not have found Water in it, " without some continual Miracle." To this I answer. 1. We are no where told in Scripture, that God wrought this particular Miracle upon the Rock, in order to cause a continued Supply of Water for the Ifraelites, during the whole Time of their journeying in the Wilderness; and if a Miracle was really necessary, why this rather than some other? The Israelites knew how to dig Wells when they wanted Water, and it is probable that they digged many in their Passage thro' the Wilderness, as we read they digged one at Beer (c); and it is more reasonable to imagine, that God might frequently give them Water (d), by causing them, when they digged for it, to find Water-Springs in a dry Ground (e), than to suppose that a mountainous Rock moved after them

⁽c) Numbers xxi. 18. (d) See Ver. 16. (e) Pfalm.

in their Journeyings, or that any Streams from it, became a River, and was made to form it self a Channel to flow to them in all their Movements. But 2. Tho' the Wilderness was indeed a dry Place, and may in general Terms be called, a dry and thirsty Land, where no Water is (f); Tho' the Israelites complained of it as fuch (g), and the Heathen Writers give it this Character (b); yet we must not take their Expressions so strictly, as to imagine, that no Water was to be found in any Parts of it. Strabo speaks of Fosses of Water in the driest of these Defarts (i), and from Diodorus we may collect, that in the most unpromising Parts of this Country, there were proper Places to fink Wells in, which would afford Abundance of Water (k). The Ifraelites might be reduced to Difficulties in many Places, but unquestionably in others they found Receptacles of Water of divers Sorts (1); fo that the true Reafon, why we read of no miraculous Supply of Water, from the Time of their leaving Horeb until they came to Kadesh,

⁽f) Pſalm lxiii. 1. (g) Numbers xxi. 5. (b) ξερμος η ἀνυθρός δετ, Diodor. Sic. l. 2. p. 95. vid. Strab. Geog. l. 16. (i) Δίαμμος γλη η λυπεσ ορίνικας έχεσα όλιτως τη δερυκία υθατα. Strab. Geog l. 16. (k) καία το του αυυθερν χώραν λεη ομενίω καίασκου αξουτες δίκαισα ορέατα γρώνται θα μιλέτι πότοις, Diodor. 1. 2. p. 92. (l) πολλαχέ συσάθες τῶν διιδείων υθάσταν.

may be their not necessarily wanting such

a Supply in that Interval. But

II. It is represented, that from Psalms 1xxviii. 16---20. cv. 41, it may be justly inferred, that Rivers of Water flowed from the Rock after the Israelites in their several Marches. I answer: The Expresfions cited from the Pfalmist prove only, that the Rock smote by Moses poured forth a large Quantity of Water. God brought Streams out of the Rock, and caused Waters to run down like Rivers: He opened the Rock, and the Waters gushed out, they ran in the dry Places like a River. Philo the Jew relates, that upon Moles striking the Rock, the Water poured out like a Torrent, affording them not only a fufficient Quantity for the allaying their present Thirst, but to fill their Water-Veffels, in order to carry away Water with them, when they marched forwards (m): A very confiderable Supply must be wanted by so large a Multitude, and the Words of the Pfalmist do well describe such a Supply; but they do in no wife intimate, that Rivers from the Rock followed them, when they left the

⁽m) Πείει σέτραι, ή η κριπδεν εκχεται, ώς μη τότε μό ον παρασχείν άκθ Δίθες, άλλα κη πεός πλείω χρόνον τοσευτως μυειάσιν άφθονίαν πότε τα χάς ύθρεια πάτα επλήκωσαν, ώς κη περέτερον λώ των πηγών, αί πικει μεν ήσαν φίσει, μετεβάλοντο η έπιροσωίν θέα πες, το χλύκιον. Philo de vit. Moss. 1. 1.

Place

Place where the Supply was given

them. But,

III. Moses, Deut. ix. 21. mentions a River, or Brook, which descended out of the Mount, and flowed near the Camp, after the Israelites were departed from Rephidim, and were encamped at Mount Sinai (n). Now if this Brook was a River which flowed from Mount Horeb, it could be none other, than that which was caused by Moses striking the Rock; for before that Miracle, there was no Water; and if it came from hence, it seems evident, that the Stream of this Water flowed near the Camp, after they had left Rephidim, the Place where the Supply was first given. But a few Observations will fet this Fact in a clear Light: And 1. I think it evident, that no Supply of Water was given to the Israelites from any Rock at Rephidim: The Direction to Moses, when he cried unto the Lord, was, to take the Elders of Israel with him, and to go from Rephidim, the Place where the Israelites were encamped, unto Horeb, and there to smite a Rock in order to obtain Water (0); fo that the Supply of Water was not obtained at Rephidim where the Israelites were encamped, but at a Place some Distance from Rephidim, whither not the People but the Elders of I/-

⁽n) Ezodus xix. 2. (o) Exodus xvii. 5, 6.

rael accompanied Moses, and where what he did was done, not in the fight of the Congregation, but in the fight of the Elders of Ifrael (p). 2. Horeb and Sinai were near and contiguous to one another, being only different Cliffs of one and the fame Mountain. This appears evident from feveral Passages in the Books of Moses: When God delivered the Commandments in an audible Voice from Mount Sinai (q), He is faid to speak unto them in Horeb (r): And when the People stood before the Lord their God, under the Mountain, and the Mountain burned with Fire (s), which Mountain was unquestionably Mount Sinai (t), they stood before the Lord at Horeb (u): And in the Day of their Assembly, when they defired not to hear the Voice of the Lord any more (w), which Petition was made, when they were affembled at Mount Sinai (x), they are faid to be at Horeb (y); so that from these and many other Passages, that might be cited, it appears, either according to St. Jerom, that Horeb and Sinai were but two Names for one and the fame Mount (2), or rather they

⁽t) Exod. xvii. 5, 6. (g) Ibid. xx. (r) Deut. i. 19. (s) Deut. iv. 10, 11. (t) Exodus xix. 18. (u) Deut. iv. 10, (xt) Deut. xviii. 16. (x) Exod. xx. 19. (y) Deut. xviii. 16. (a) Mihi autem videtur, quòd duplici nomine idem Mons, nunc Sina, nunc Choreb vocetur. Hieron. de locis Heb.

were two Mountains fo contiguous, that whilst the People lay encamped at the Foot of them, they might be said to be at either: And therefore 3. The Water which Moses obtained from the Rock at Horeb, might supply the Camp, all the Time the Israelites were at Sinai, without the Rock's moving from its Place; for they were encamped very near the Rock from whence this Supply of Water was given, all the Time they were at Sinai. 4. We need not suppose, that the Water which God was pleased to give at Horeb, ceased to flow, as soon as the Israelites were relieved by it. It is more reasonable to imagine, that God directed Moses to strike a Place, where there was naturally a Spring, tho' until the Rock was opened, the Water was bound down to fubterraneous Passages; but after it had taken Vent, it might become a Fountain, and continue to flow, not only whilst the Israelites continued in these Parts, but to suture Ages: It might cause the Brook, which descended out of the Mount, and fupplied them with Water all the Time they lay encamped here, and the Brook caused by it may perhaps run to this Day (a); but tho' this may be true, yet it will C 4

(a) We find from the Accounts of modern Travellers, that there runs now a Brook from Mount Horeb, which supplies Water to the Monastery called St. Saviour's, being a Greek Convent

not hence follow, that the Streams of this Brook flowed after the Camp, when they departed from Horeb, and took their fourneys out of the Wilderness of Sinai in-

to the Wilderness of Paran.

But IV. The chief Argument for fuppoling the Rock to have followed the Ifraelites in their Journeys thro' the Wilderness, is taken from the Words of St. Paul, 1 Corinthians x. 4. The Apostle says, Our Fathers did all drink the same spiritual drink (for they drank of that spiritual Rock, which followed them, and that Rock was Christ.) But I think it is very evident, that the Apostle here speaks not of the Rock of Horeb, but of Christ, who tho' invisible, was the spiritual Support of the Israelites in the Wilderness. In ver. 3. He alludes to the Manna which was given them; but then treats of the spiritual Meat which fustained them, defigning to turn the Thoughts of the Corinthians from the Manna to God, who gave the Manna and made it a sufficient Nourishment to his People: Man liveth not by Bread alone (b): The Manna of it felf had been but a very flender Provision; but by the Direction of God, the Morning Dew

would

Conven: fivete at the Foot of the Mountain. Chorebus, fass Belonius, Lilt. II. c. 63 commedicino Fonte initructus ett. and in c. 62. iplaking of the Convent, he feys, Monatherium aqua abundat: Rivus enim ex monte defluens Monachorum conternam replet aqua limpuda, frigida, dulci, deniq; optima, &c. (b) Matt. iv. 4. Deut. viii. 3.

would have been an abundant Supply, or He could, if he had pleased, as well have fustained them the whole forty Years without any Food at all, as he did Moses in the Mount forty Days and forty Nights without his eating Bread or drinking Water: We must not therefore look at the Manna, as if that was sufficient to (c) nourish the People, but confider the Power of God, who was their Spiritual Meat, and invisibly supported them. In the same manner we must consider the Supply they had of Drink: The Rock at Horeb struck by the Rod of Moses, sent forth Waters, but the Benefit was not owing to the Rock, but to Christ, who was the spiritual and invisible Rock of his People; who by his Power gave them this Supply, and whose Presence was with them, not at this Time only, but in all their Journeyings: The meaning of St. Paul is very plain and eafy, and we evidently play with the Letter, instead of attending to the Design of his Words, if we infer from them, that the Rock at Horeb, or any Water from it, followed the Ifraelites thro' the Wilderness. Upon the whole, if we had any Authority from Scripture to fay, that the Rock at Horeb followed the Camp; or that the Waters from Horeb flowed after the Israelites, we should have no Reafon to question the Fact: The Power of

⁽c) Deut. viii. 3. xxix. 6.

God could have caused either; but neither Moses nor any other facred Writer says any thing like it, nor was any such Fact known to either Philo or Josephus; so that I should think it a mere Fiction (d) of the Rabbins, and that it ought to be rejected: A due Application will enable every sober Querist to vindicate the Miracles recorded in Scripture: But it is an idle Labour, and will prove of differvice to Religion, to add Miracles of our own making to those which the Scriptures set before us.

Whilst the Israelites were at Rephidim, the Amalekites, near unto whose Country they then encamped (e), attacked them (f), whereupon Moses ordered Joshua to choose out a Number of the ablest Men to sustain the Assault, and He himself went up the Hill with his Rod in his Hand, and Aaron and Hur with him (g). The Bat-

Hur, don of baleb - grandron of Hegron, & harband

⁽d) The Rabbins were fruitful Inventors of this fort of Miracles. Jonathan B. Uziel Jays of the Well, which the Ifraelites digged at Beer, That Abraham and Ifaac and Jacob first digged it; but that Mose and Aaron drew it after them into the Wilderness by the Rod, and that it solved them up high Hills, and down into low Vallies, and went round about the Camp of the Israelites, and gave every one Drink at his Tent-Door, and that it followed them until they came to the Borders of the Land of Moab, but that they lost it upon the Top of an Hill over against Bethleshimon. See Targum Jonathan on Numbers xxxi. (e) The Country of the Amalekites lay next to Seir. Gen. xiv. 7. (f) See Deut. xxv. 18. (g) Exodus xvii. 9, 10.

tle had many Turns: Whilst Moses held up his Hand, the Israelites had the better: but whenever Moses let his Hand fall, the Amalekites prevailed (b): Upon observing this Event, Aaron and Hur, Moses being quite tired, caused him to fit down upon a Stone, and they, one on each fide of him, supported his Hands all the Remainder of the Day until the Evening, and upon this Joshua obtained a complete Victory over the Amalekites (i): And the Lord ordered Moses to leave it upon Record, and to remind Joshua of it, that it was his Defign utterly to extirpate the Amalekites (k); and this Purpose of God was afterwards revealed to Balaam (1); and Moses, according to the Directions given him to write it in a Book (a), took care to record it in his Book of Deuteronomy, in the most express Terms (b): And because God had vouchsafed the Israelites this Victory upon the holding up his Hands, He, in order to give God the Glory, and not to take the Honour to himself, built an Altar in Memory of it, and called it Jehovah Nissi, or the Lord is He who exalteth me(c); and he declared to the Ifraelites, that for this base Attempt against them, the Lord would war against the A-

⁽b) Exodus xvii. 11. (i) Ver. 11, 12, 13. (k) Ver. 14. (l) Numbers xxiv. 20. (a) Exodus xvii. 14. (b) Deut. xxv. 17, 18, 19. (c) Exod. xvii. 15.

malekites from Generation to Generation

(d); for

This certainly must be the Meaning of the 16th Verse of the xviith Chapter of Exodus: The Hebrew Words are difficult to be translated, and I think none of the Versions express clearly the Sense of them. We render the Place, For He faid, Because the Lord bath sworn, that the Lord will have War with Amalek, &c. The Vulgar Latin Translation runs thus, Quia manus solii Domini, et Bellum Domini erit contra Amalek: i. e. Because the Hand of the Throne of the Lord, and the War of the Lord will be against Amalek (e): This Version rather shews the Translators to have been at a loss how to render the Place intelligibly, than expresses the true Meaning of it: The LXX fay, ὅτι ἐν χειρὶ πρυφάιο, πολεμῆ ὁ ઝεςς ἐπὶ Αμαλήκ. i. e. That the Lord fights [with an hidden Hand] i. e. secretly against Amalek: The Sense here is clear and plain; but there are no Words in the Hebrew Text to answer to or xuel upuquia, with

⁽d) Exod. xvii. 16. Deut.xxv. 17, 18, 19. (e) Ecce manus fuper sedem, Bellum Domini cum Amalek, &c. Vers Syriac. Nunc eit mihi quod jurem per Solium, quod erit Deo Bellum in Amalektas. Vers. Arabic. Cum Juramento dictum est hoc à Facie terribilis, cujus Majettas est super Solium Glorier, fore, ut committatur Præsium à Facie Domini contra Visos domus Amalech. Targum Onkelos.

an hidden Hand (ee). The Hebrew Words are, Ci jad nal Ces Jah Milcamah Lahovah ba Namalek; which verbally translated are, Because the Hand upon the Throne of the Lord, War to the Lord against Amalek (f). The Place has evidently the following Difficulties. 1. There must be fome Words understood to fill up the Sentence. The Hand upon the Throne of the Lord war against Amalek, must be supposed to be the same as, The Hand of the Lord is upon his Throne, that there shall be War against Amalek. The Sentence must be thus transposed and filled up to make it bear any Sense. 2. In order to its bearing the Sense which our English Version puts upon it, The Hand of the Lord is upon his Throne, must be fupposed to fignify God has sworn, his laying his Hand upon his Throne must im-

כו יד אל כם וה מלהמה ליהוה בעמלק Amalek contra Jehovah Bellum Domini Thronum fupra manus quia.

⁽ee) It has been suggested to me by a very learned Friend, that the two Words it DD, which in the present Hebrew Fext stand next to one another, might perhaps be taken by the LXX to have been originally but one Word, it DD, and they might derive such a Word from it DD Casah to cover, and imagine that it DD 70 might be rendered in secret covertly: But if this may be a just Correction and Translation of the Text, the LXX should have rendered the Verse to this Purpose, rather than as they have translated it. Because his si. e. Amalek's Hand has been covertly agains you, the Lord will have War with Amalek, &c. (f) The Hebrew Words are,

port his taking an Oath: But 3. In all the old Testament, tho' the Expression of God's having fworn occurs almost thirty Times, yet it is not, I think, once expressed in Words like what we here meet with, but always by the Verb [yaw] Shaban. The Lord hath fworn is [נשבע יהוה] Nishban Jehovah (g). The Annotators are at a loss to ascertain the Sense of the Place; and certainly the Hebrew Words, as our present Copies run, are very hard to be reconciled to any Sense whatsoever, unless we admit a very unusual Expresfion for God bath sworn, not to be met with in any other Place of Scripture. As to the LXX, they might perhaps think the Place corrupted by Transcribers, and by putting in on xeigh upopala instead of rendring the Hebrew Words, they rather gueffed what might make the Paffage good Sense, than had Authority for their Translation. If I may be indulged the Liberty, I could conjecture what would give the Place a clear Meaning without varying much from the present Hebrew Text. The Reason given in Deuteronomy why Amalek should be utterly destroyed is, because He here attacked the Ijraelites: The Words of Moses are, Remember what

⁽g) Gen. xxii. 16. Judges ii. 16. 1 Sam. iii. 14. 2 Sam. iii. 9. Pfalm. cx. 4. Ifaiah xiv. 24. lxii. 8. Amos iv. 2, &c.

Amalek did unto thee by the Way - how He met thee, and smote the hindmost of thee, &c. Therefore it shall be, when the Lord thy God bath given thee Rest-that thou shalt blot out the Remembrance of Amalek from under Heaven: Thou shalt not forget it (b). This was the Reason, why God determined to have War with Amalek; because He here basely assaulted the Israelites; and now suppose the true Reading of the Passage before us should be thus: Ci Jad nal Cem, jehi Milchemah Lahovah be Namalek (i), which translated Word for Word is, Because his Hand has been against you, the Lord will have War with Amalek, &c. The Emendation of the Text is very little: Do might be easily written for , the Letters are fo fimilar, that the Difference is scarce perceptible: m might be written for 'n'; for the final ' might eafily be

(b) Deut. xxv. 17, 18, 19.

כי יד על כבו יהי מלהמה ליהוה בעמלק (יו) Amalek contra Jehovah Bellum erit vos contra manus quia.

is Vobis, Exodus xvi. 23. In like manner by fignifying contra may be contra vos, or perhaps it was written more agreeably to the Hebrew Regimen. It may perhaps be here remarked, that Milchemah is a Noun feeminine, that I put the Verb Jehi in the Macculine Termination, contrary to true Syntax: But to this I think I may answer, that the Hebrew Language is not always critically exact in this particular. Vid. Capell. Crit. Sac. 1. 3, c. 16, et 1. 6. c. 8.

omitted by no very careless Transcriber: And this very small Emendation will reftore the Text to admit an easy and clear meaning, and supposes Moses to hint here the very Thing which he expressed afterwards more copiously, when he came to write, what he was directed to transmit to Posterity upon this Occasion (k)

Soon after this Victory over the Amalekites, Jethro the Priest of Midian, Mofes's Father-in-law, came with Zipporah his Daughter, the Wise of Moses, and her two Children, Gershom and Eliezer, into the Wilderness to the Camp at Mount Horeb (1): Moses received him with the utmost Respect, and told him all the wonderful Works, which had been wrought for their Deliverance (m). Jethro full of Joy gave Praise to God for his Favours to

them

⁽k) Deut. xxv. 17, 18, 19. (1) Exodus xviii. I find some Writers imagine, that Jethro's coming to Moses was not thus early: F. Simon Jays, that Jethro Jeems not to have come till the Jecond Year after the finishing of the Tabernacle, as may be proved out of Deuteronomy. The learned Father has not cited any Paffage in Deuteronomy to Support his Opinion, and I cannot find any, which oppears to me to favour it. Aaron and the Elders of Ifrael's coming to Jethro's Sacrifice, bints to me, that the Law was not yet given, nor Aaron consecrated to the Priesthood; for if it had been given. Jethro might perhaps have been admitted to Aaron's Sacrifice, but Aaron and the Israelites would not, I think, have partook of Jethro's, and therefore Jethro's coming to Moses must have been just after the Victory over the Amalekites, as foon as they came to Sinai: and to this Time, I think, the Account of Moses, Exodus xviii. 5. does well fix it. (m) Exodus xviii. 8.

them (n), and offered a Sacrifice of Thanksgiving, and invited Aaron and the Elders of Israel to it (0). The Day after, seeing Moses engaged all Day long in determining little Controversies, he observed to him, that he was fallen into a way, that would be full of Fatigue to himself, and not give a due dispatch to the pub-lic Business; and therefore he advised him to range the People in Classes of Thousands, Hundreds, Fifties, and Tens, and to appoint proper Officers over the feveral Classes, and referve only Matters of Appeal and of the highest Moment to his own Decision (p). Moses approved of this Advice of Jethro, and according to it appointed fuch Officers as he had directed, to hear and decide the lesser Controversies, and to dispense Justice under him unto the People (q).

Reflection upon 'fethro's Advice here given to Moles: He fays, that " the great "Founder of the Hebrew State had not " perfected his Model, until he confulted " the foreign Priest his Father-in-law, " to whose Advice he paid such remar-" kable Deference"(r). The Reflection infinuates, that a Part of the Yewish Po-

A noble Author makes the following

⁽a) Exod. xviii. 9. (b) ver. 12. (c) ver. 13.--24. (d) ver. 25. (r) Lard Shaftsbury's Charaft. Vol. III. Vol. III.

lity was a Contrivance of 'Jethro's, and therefore that the whole cannot be pretended to be a divine Institution. In Anfwer hereto, I would observe, 1. That the Advice which Jethro gave Moses, and what Moses did upon it, was not to perfeet his Model, as this Noble Writer is pleased to call it; for the Advice was given and first executed, before there were any Steps at all taken towards forming the Tewish Polity; before God had given Moses any Laws at all for the Constitution of the fewish State. But, 2. What Jethro here advised Moses to, tho' Moses followed the Advice at the Time it was given, nay and afterwards made use of it again, when Circumstances required, was yet never made an essential Part of the Tewish Constitution. If we look for the Institutions, which Moses has delivered down to us as dictated by God, for the Government of the People, we shall find these only: Moses was at first their sole Leader and Governor, and Jethro found him acting without Affistant in this Capacity (s): When Moses was called up into Mount Sinai, Aaron and Hur were to fupply his Place (t): After this, Aaron and his Sons were appointed to the Priefts Office (u); some Time after, twelve Per-

⁽s) Exod. xviii. 14. (s) xxiv. 14. (u) xxviii.

fons were named, one out of every Tribe, to be Princes of the Tribes of their Fathers, Heads of Thousands in Israel, and Affistants to Moses and Aaron in the Government of the People (w): The Levites were felected to be over the Tabernacle, and to minister unto it (x), and upon Moses's Complaint, that his Burthen was too great, and that he wanted more Affiftants, God appointed feventy Elders, and put his Spirit upon them, that they might bear the Burthen of the People with Moses, that he might not bear it himself alone (y). These all were indeed appointed to their respective Offices by divine Institution, and these were all the Officers that were really so appointed. As to the Rulers of Thousands, of Hundreds, of Fifties, and of Tens, when Jethro advised Moses to appoint them, he indeed intimated to him to confult, if God would command him to institute (a) them; but we are not told that Moses did so; but that he hearkned to the Voice of his Fatherin-law, and did all that he had faid, and chose able Men, and made them Rulers of thousands, Rulers of hundreds, Rulers of fifties, and Rulers of tens(b); fo that the Text evidently suggests to us, that Moses first instituted these Officers, not by divine

⁽w) Numbers i. 4.—16. (x) ver. 50. See chap iii. (y) xi. 16, 17. (a) Exod. xviii. 23. (b) ver. 24, 25.

Command, but by Jethro's Direction: In like manner, when Moses afterwards revived these Officers; (for upon God's giving the Law, and appointing Priests and Levites, Heads of Tribes, and Princes of the Congregation, the People must have been new modelled, and whatever Appointments Moles had before made prudentially, must of course have gone out of use, and been abolished by the newer Institutions;) I fay, when Moles found it expedient to revive the Offices of the Rulers of Thoufands, of Hundreds, of Fifties, and of Tens, he in no wife hints that he had any Direction from God for fo doing, but intirely represents it as a Scheme agreed upon by himself and the People: Moses found the People fo multiplied, as to be too many (c) to be well managed in the Hands of those he had to affist him; This he represented to the People, and recommended to them to choose proper Persons for him to make Rulers over them(d): The People approved of what he had recommended (e), and accordingly with their Confent he appointed these Officers (f): Moses spake unto the People, saying, I am not able to bear you my felf alone: The Lord your God bath multiplied you-How can I bear your Cumbrance, and your

Burthen

⁽c) Deut. i. 9, 10. (d) ver. 12, 13. (c) ver. 14. (f) Ibid.

Burthen and your Strife? Take ye wife Men and understanding and known among your Tribes, and I will make them Rulers over you. And ye answered me and said, The Thing which thou hast spoken, is good for us to do. So I took the Chief of your Tribes, wife Men and known, and made them Heads over you, Captains over thousands, and Captains over bundreds, and Captains over fifties, and Captains over tens, and Officers among your Tribes. And I charged your Judges at that Time, saying, Hear the Causes between your Brethren, and judge Righteoufly, &c. Mofes has pretty well fixed for us the Time of his thus re-instituting these Officers. It was upon the Removal of the Camp from Sinai to go into the Wilderness of Paran(g). The Lord spake unto him, saying (b), Ye have dwelt long enough in this Mount; turn you and take your Journey, and go to the Mount of the Amorites, and unto all the Places nigh thereunto: and at that Time (i) Moses spake unto the People about appointing these Officers. A few Days after this, the LXX Elders were appointed, for they were appointed at Tabberah, or Kibroth Hattaavab (k), and the Camp had marched three Days successively, before they came hither (1). Moses sound the Appoint-

⁽g) Compare Deut. i. 6, 7. with Numbers x. 11, 12, &c. (h) Deut. i. 6, 7. (i) ver. 9. (k) Numbers xi. (l) x. 33.

ment of the Officers agreed upon by the People not fully to answer their Occasions, and that he wanted not only Officers under himself to execute his Orders and determine smaller Matters, but Assistants of more Influence, that might with himself direct in Matters of greater Moment: But for these he does not apply to the Congregation as he did for the others, but immediately to God, and these were not instituted upon the People's approving the Thing he had fpoken to be good for them to do (m); but here God expresly ordered him to gather to him feventy Men of the Elders of Israel, and told him, that he would come down and talk with him, and give them of his Spirit to make (n) them fufficient for the Employment they were to be appointed to. And thus we may fee a very remarkable Difference in the Institution of the Officers our Noble Author has remarked upon, if compared with those who were appointed by divine Direction. I might go further and obferve, that the feveral Officers whom God had appointed, continued to have their Name, Title and Authority thro' all the Changes of the Fewish State: The Priests, the Levites, the Heads of Tribes, the LXX Elders had, all of them, their stated and respective Offices and Employ-

⁽m) Deut. i. 14. (n) Numb. xi. 16, 17.

ments, not under Moses only, but under Joshua, in the Time of the Judges, under the Kings, in all Times, and under all Revolutions: But as to the Captains of Thousands, of Hundreds, of Fifties, and of Tens; as their Institution was not of divine Authority, fo their Office was not thus fixed nor lasting. Moses did not bind his Successors to the use of them: God had not prescribed them to him, neither did he prescribe them to them; for he only gave the Israelites a general Rule, to make for themselves Judges and Officers in all their Gates throughout their Tribes, to judge the People with just Judgment (0): and accordingly, tho' indeed we find Officers of these Names in every Age, yet we shall not find that the I/raelites kept them up in the Manner, and to the Purpose, for which Moses appointed them, but rather that they varied both their Number, and their Office, as the Circumstances of the State required, or the Persons who had the appointing these Officers, thought fit to employ them. Here therefore is the Failure of our noble Author's Reflection: He defigned to prove some Part of the Yewish Polity to be a Contrivance of Jethro's, and consequently a mere human Institution; but his Instance is a Point, which was indeed

⁽o) Deut. xvi. 18.

40

an human Institution, but not an essential and established Part of the Jewish Polity. There are indeed some learned Writers, who have thought these Officers of divine Appointment (p); but whoever will carefully examine, will find no good Foundation for their Opinion, and may thereby essectually silence a Cavil, which our modern Deists, from the Hint I have considered, think to raise against the Jewish Polity. Jethro made but a short Stay with Moses; for before they departed from Rephidim, He went his way into his own Land (q).

The *Ijraelites*, on the fifteenth Day of the third Month after their leaving *Egypt*, marched from *Rephidim* into the Wilderness of *Sinai*, and pitched their Camp at the Foot of Mount *Sinai* (r): They stay'd

almost

⁽p) Vid. Sigon. de Rep. Heb. 1. 7. c. 7. XVIII. 27. (r) xix. 1, 2. The Words of Moles feem to me to intimate, that the Israelites came to Sinai on the 15th Day of this Month. They came hither Moses favs, in the third Month of their Exit from Egypt [fill [11]] bejom hazzeh, on the very Day, i. e. of their Exit, or on the 15th; for on that Day of the first Month they came out of Egypt. The most learned Archuishop Usher indeed took the Words otherwise. He supposes begoin hazzen to refer to the Month, and to intimate that the Ifraelites came to Sinai on the Day of the Month the same in Number with the Month, or on the 3d Day of the 3d Month, See his Annals. Other Writers imagine the Words bejom hazzeh to fignify no more, than that they came to Sinai, on the very Day they left Rephidim, and that the Intimation here intended is, that from Rephidim to Sinai was the Journey of but one Day

almost a Year in this Place (s). In the first three Days was transacted what is recorded in the xix. xx. xxi. xxii. xxiii. Chapters of Exodus (t). And Moses probably spent some Days in writing down the Laws and the Judgments which God had given them (u), after which he built an Altar, offered Sacrifices, and read what he had written in the Book (w), and the People entred into the most solemn Engagement to perform what was written in it)ww). After this, Moses and Aaron, Nadab and Abibu, and seventy of the Elders of Israel went up some Part of the Mountain (x), and they saw the God of Israel (y) and

Vid. Pool's Synop. in loc. There are some, who would render the Verse to this purpose, On the third New-Moon after the Exit, on the very Day. i. e. of the Moon, &cc. so as to fix the coming to Sinai to be on the first Day of this third Month. But to this it is obvious to answer: The Word WIT must be here translated Month, and not new Moon; for 1. The Israelites coming out of Egypt in the Middle of the first Month, the first Day of the third Month could be only the second, and not the third new Moon after their Exit. 2. The facred Writers never use such an Expression, as is here before us; for on the first Day of a Month, beachad lachdesh is on the first Day of the Month. See Gen. viii. 5, 13. Exodus xl. 2. Levit. xxiii. 24. Numbers i. 1. xxix. 1. xxxiii. 38. Deut. i. 3. Ezra iii. 6. Nehem. viii. 2. Ezek. xxvi. 1. xxxi. 1. xlv. 18, &c. and thus Moses would most probably have here written, if the first Day of the Month had been here intended by him. (s) They came to Sinai on the 15th of the third Month, in the first Year of the Exit, and they left Sinai on the 20th Day of the second Month of the second Year; so that they stayed here 11 Months and 5 Days. (t) Exod. xix. 11. (u) xxiv. 4. (w) ver. 7. (ww) ver. 7, 8. (x) ver. 9. (y) ver. 10. worshipped

worshipped him (z): And Moses upon God's commanding it, having given Aaron and Hur the Charge of the People, went with Joshua up to the Top of the Mount, and was on the Mount forty Days and forty Nights (a); during which Time he received the Directions, and Commands contained in Exodus xxv, and in the following Chapters to the End of the xxxi.

It may be here asked, How and in

what Sense, did Moses, Aaron, Nadab and Abibu, and the Elders see the God of Israel? No Man bath seen God at any Time (b). It feems hard to imagine, how the infinite God can be cloathed in Shape, and bounded within the Limits of a Form or Figure; fo as to become the Object of Sight to a mortal Eye: The wife Heathens apprehended insuperable Difficulties in any fuch Supposition (c); and it must be confessed, that some of the Versions of the Bible do not render the Passage literally. The LXX translate it, They faw the Place, where there stood the God of Is-rael (d); and Onkelos, They saw the Glory of the God of Israel (e): And the Commentators, from what Moles in ano-

⁽²⁾ Exod. xxiv. 11. (a) 12.—18. (b) 1 John iv. 12. (c) δς ζ χ) σώματος ἀνθρωπίνα χ) ὅ૯૯κ ἐς ἱ τις θεῷ χ) δαίμονι κοινωνία χ) χάρις, ἔςγον ἴιδη χ) τῶτο πειδίωα. Plut. in Numâ, p. 62. (d) ἴδον τὸν τίπον δ είτίπει ἐλεῖ ὁ Θεὸς τὰ Ισεμήλ. MS. A. (ε) Targum

ther Place remarks to the Israelites, that they had seen no manner of Similitude, do generally conclude, that he did not intend here to intimate, that he or the Nobles of Israel did really and visibly see God. But I would beg leave to offer to the Reader some Thoughts that occur to me, whenever I read this Passer.

fage.

I. I cannot but observe, that Moses does not fay, that he and the Nobles of Ifrael faw the invisible God; the Expression is, that they faw the God of Israel (f): No Man indeed bath ever seen the invisible God (g), nor can see him (h); but the God of Israel, the divine Person, who is many Times stiled in the Old Testament the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob (i) frequently appeared to them, and was in After-ages made Flesh (k), and for about three and thirty Years dwelt on Earth among st Men. 2. That this Person appeared to the Patriarchs of old in a real Body, was evident to them by the same infallible Proofs as those, by which he shewed himself alive to his Disciples after his Passion (1). After he was risen from the Dead, he was seen of the

⁽f) Exod. xxiv. 10. (g) Coloff. i. 15. (b) 1 Tim. vi. 16. (i) Gen. xxvi. 24. xxviii. 13. Exod. iii. 6. See Vol. II. B. IX. See Acts vii. 2. and Gen. xvii. 1. (k) See Vol. I. B. V. John i. 14. (l) Acts i. 3.

Disciples, speaking to them (m): And so he was in divers Places, and at fundry Times to Abraham (n), to Isaac (o) and to Jacob (p). The Disciples not only beheld him, but they felt him, and handled him, and were as fure that he was really with them, as they were that a Spirit bath not Flesh and Bones, as they faw him have (q). In like manner facob experienced as fensible a Presence, when he wrestled with him (r). Whilft the Disciples believed not, but wondered, He faid unto them, Have ye here any Meat? And they gave him a Piece of a broiled Fish, and of an Honeycomb, and he took it, and did eat before them (s): And agreeably hereto, when THE LORD(t) with two Angels accompanying him appeared unto Abraham in the Plains of Mamre, after Abraham had the Calf dreffed, and fet it before them, whilft he flood by them under the Tree, They did eat (u). And now from all these Passages, I think, I see it to have been real and indisputable Fact, that the Person, who is here stiled the God of Ifrael, did frequently for a short or a longer Space of Time, according to his own Good-will and Pleafure, affume and unite himself to a real Body, and

⁽m) Acts i. 3. (n) Gen. xii. 7. xvii. 1. xviii. 1. (o) xxvi. 24. (f) xxxii. 30. xxxv. 9. (g) Luke xxiv. 39. (r) Gen. xxxii. (s) Luke xxiv. 41, 42, 43. (t) Gen. xviii. 1. (u) ver. 8.

thereby appear visible to such Persons, as he thought fit to manifest himself to in this manner, and consequently that he might be thus seen by Moses and the Elders on the Mount. His Appearance on the Mount was indeed glorious (x), attended with a Splendor he had not before been feen in to Man, and perhaps fomething like it afterwards was his Transfiguration before the three Disciples (a): But the Text of Moses does in no wise suggest, that he and the Elders saw the God of Israel in all his Glory: Moses indeed did afterwards defire thus to fee him (b); but was answered, that he was not capable of it (c), and accordingly at that Time, while the Glory of the Lord passed by him, Moses was put in a Clift of the Rock, and the Lord covered him with his Hand, while he passed by (d): But here upon the Nobles of Israel he laid not his Hand (e): They had an unintercepted View of his Appearance, and consequently he appeared to them, with a leffer Degree of Glory, fuch as Men might fee and live.

As to what may be pretended of the wife and learned Heathens; that they by the Light of Nature would have judged such an Appearance, as is here spoken of, ab-

⁽x) Exod. xxiv. 10. (a) Matt. xvii. Mark ix. (b) Exod. xxxiv. 18. (c) ver. 20. (d) ver. 22. (e) xxiv. 11.

furd and impossible; I would observe of them. That it is indeed true, that their earliest Philosophy led them to think, that the Lights of Heaven were the Gods that governed the World (f), and to ascribe no human Shape to these Divinities, nor to fet up Idols of human Form in their ancient Image-worship, but rather to confecrate facred Animals, and to dedicate their Images; the Images of Birds, and four-footed Beafts, and creeping things (g): These they imagined to be proper Objects or Directors of their Worship, and they have left us, what they thought a philofophical Reason for the Use they made of them (b): But notwithstanding all this, in Time a newer Theology succeeded among them, and in all Nations, except the more Eastern, which had but little Knowledge of, or Concern in what happened in Canaan and the Countries adjacent to it, or which were instructed from it, Gods of human Form were introduced into every Temple, and human Images were erected to them: And yet in After-times, when their Philosophers came to speculate upon this Subject, both this Worship and Theology was thought by them to have

⁽f) Wisdom xii. 34. See Vol. I. B. V. B. I. Vol. II. B. VIII. (g) Vol. II. B. VIII. (b) 'Αγαπητέου δυ ταύτα τιμώντας, άλλα δια τάτων το δείον, ως εναιμές των ετότ |ραν χὸ φύσει γεγονότων. Plut. de Iside et Ositide, p. 382.

been the Invention of Fabulists and Poets, and not to have been derived from Reason and Truth (i); They thought it mythic or popular, but in no wife agreeable to their Notions of the Nature of divine Beings (k), but rather contrary to them. It is remarkable, that this their later Theology was never thought of in any Nation, until after the Lord had appeared unto Abraham, unto Isaac, unto facob, unto Moses, until after an Angel had appeared unto Balaam (1), unto Joshua (m), and to divers other Persons, not until after the Fame of these Appearances had spread into, and obtained Credit in divers Countries. From all which I am apt to conclude, that not Science or Speculation, but a Belief of Facts well attested, led the Heathens into this their newer Theology (n). What was faid of the Appearances of Angels unto Men amongst the Hebrews, and to some other Persons of other Nations,

⁽i) Vid. Plat. de Rep. l. 2. (k) Tria sunt genera Theologiæ, eorumgi unum Mythicon appellatur, alterum physicon, tertium civile. Mythicon appellatur, quo maximè utuntar Poetæ, physicon, quo Philosophi; primum quod dixi, in eo sunt multa contra dignitatem et naturam immortalium ficta, &c. Varro in Fragment. p. 31. (l) Numbers xxii. (m) Josh. v. 13. (n) There are many Passages in the Heathen Writers, which intimate them to bave thought it a Fast, which could in no wise be denied, that the Gods had appeared unto Men. Thus, Sæpe visæ Formæ Deorum quemvis non hebetem aut impium Deos præsentes esse confiteri coegetunt. Tullius de Nat. Deor. l. 2. c. 2. Again, Præteres ipsorum Deorum præsentiæ, quales supra commemoravi, declarant, ab his et civitatibus, et singulis hominibus consuli. Id, ibid. c. 66.

was known to have been Fact, beyond a Possibility of Contradiction: and hence it came to pass, that tho' Philosophy suggested no such Innovation; yet the Directors of the Sacra of Heathen Kingdoms could not well avoid an Imitation, of what, as Fact, could not be denied to have happened in the World; and this by Degrees led them to their New-Gods. And thus if we confult the ancient Heathens, instead of finding from their Philofophy Objections sufficient to weaken the Credibility of what the Scriptures record concerning the Appearances of divine and superior Beings, we may, from the Alteration which they made in their facred Institutions, be induced to think, these Scripture Facts to have been fo well attested to the World, that even Nations not immediately concerned in them, could not but admit the Truth of them, and think them of weight enough to cause them to vary from what they had before esteemed the Principles both of their Science and Religion. But

Moses is said to have remarked to the Israelites, that they had seen no manner of Similitude. I answer, Nothing can, I think, be concluded from the Passage alluded to (o), to contradict what Moses relates Exod. xxiv. that he, and the El-

⁽⁰⁾ Deut. iv. 15.

ders faw the God of Ifrael. The Paffage cited from Deuteronomy expresly refers to the Day in which God delivered, in an audible Voice, the ten Commandments from the Mount to the People: And Mofes's Design in it was, to caution them, by a due Regard to that Day's Transactions, to be exceeding careful not to fall into Idolatry. He exhorts them Ver. 9, 10, never to forget the Things which their Eyes had seen, on the Day that they stood before the Lord in Horeb: He reminds them, Ver. 12, that in that Day the Lord Spake unto them out of the midst of the Fire, that they heard the Voice of the Words, but saw no Similitude, only they beard a Voice: He then again charges them to take good heed to themselves, left they should make the Similitude of any Figure, by observing again to them, Ver. 15. that they saw no Similitude, on the Day that the Lord spake unto them in Horeb, out of the midst of the Fire. On this Day it was, that God instructed them how he would be worshipped, and commanded them to make to themfelves no manner of Image (p); and therefore to this particular Day's Transaction Moses might well appeal, in order to charge them in the strictest manner to be

⁽p) Exod. xx. 4, 5.

careful to observe this Commandment: and accordingly, what he here offers is by his own express Words limited and confined to the Transactions of the Day here referred to; and I do not fee, how any thing can be concluded from what is here faid, against what he may have suggested to have happened on any other

Day whatfoever.

About these Times Lelex, who was the first King of Laconia, sourished in that Country: Lelex feems to have been fomewhat elder than Mojes: He came originally from Egypt (a), made divers Settlements in many Places; in Caria (b), in Ionia, (c), at Ida near Troy (d), and afterwards in Greece, in Acarnania (e), in Ætolia (f), in Bæotia (g), and last of all in Laconia. When Lelex began his Travels, he took the fame Rout, that Cecrops and the Father of Cadmus had before taken: He went up into Phanicia, thence into the leffer Afia, and from thence he croffed over into Greece, and made Settlements in many Places, until at length he came into La-conia: In all Parts where he made any Stay, he endeavoured to form and civi-

⁽a) Λέρ αστι εἰ Μεγαρῶς Λέλερ α ἀρικόμενον εξε Αἰ-γύπ]ς Εαστιλόσαι. Paulan. in Atticis. c. 39. (b) Vid. Śtrab. Geog. lib. γ. p. 321. lib. 13. p. 611. Hom. II. φ. v. 86, 87. (c) Strab. lib. 14. p. 640. (d) Id. lib. γ. p. 321. (e) Id. ibid. (f) Id. ibid. (g) Id. ibid. et in lib. 9. p. 401.

lize the uncultivated People, and probably, when he removed, he left some of his Followers to complete his Defigns, and upon every Procession to a new Country, he took with him fuch new Affociates, as had a mind to accompany him from the Places where he had last resided. By these Means the Company he commanded, would in a few Years be a mixed Multitude gathered out of different Nations: And his Followers having been of this Sort, feemed to Strabo, to be the Reason for the Greeks calling him Lelex, and them Leleges (b): It was found in Writing in the Times of the Maccabees, that the Lacedamonians and the Yews were Brethren, and that the Lacedamonians were descended of the Stock of Abraham (i): I should imagine, that this Lelex was an Israelite, and that as divers eminent Perfons of the Egyptians, upon the Conquest the Pastors made of their Country, fled with as many as would follow them into foreign Lands (k); so some of the Hebrews, when they were pressed with Slavery, might do the same thing, and this Lelex might be one of them, and when he had obtained a Settlement in Laconia, both what we find in Pausanias of his

⁽b) Vid. Strab. lib. 7. p. 322. (i) 1 Maccabees chap. xii. 21. (k) See Vol. II. B. 8.

coming out of Egypt (1), and this Hint of his Relation to the Hebrews might be recorded of him. Some of the Greek Writers mistake the Time of his coming into Greece: They report it to have been about thirteen Generations after Phoroneus King of Argos(m), but we must not imagine it so late; for from Menelaus who warred at Troy up to Lelex, we find ten fuccessive Kings of this Country exclusive of Menelaus (n), and in Castor's List we have but fourteen Successions from Phoroneus down to Agamemnon the Leader of the Greeks, contemporary with Menelaus (o); fo that Lelex cannot have been at most above three or four Reigns later than Phoroneus. We find an Hint in Strabo, which may well fix for us the Time of Lelex's entring Laconia: He records, that the Leleges were in Baotia, when Cadmus came thither, and that Cadmus expelled them that Country (p): They were hereupon compelled to a further Travel, and therefore at this time, they and their Leader marched to Laconia, and began the Kingdom of Lacedæmonia. Cadmus came into Baotia, A.M. 2486 (q), and therefore to this Year I should fix Lelex's going into Laconia; and according to this Computation he came into Laconia in

⁽¹⁾ Paufan in Attic. c. 39. (11) Id. ibid. (12) Id. in Laconic. (12) Eufeb. in Chronico. (13) Strab. Geog. I. 9. p. 401. (13) See Vol. II. B. 8.

the Reign of Triopas or Crotopus the fourth or fifth King of (r) Argos from Phoroneus; and agreeably to this Computation, we may well suppose ten Kings of Lacedæmonia from Lelex to Menelaus; but if we place Lelex lower, there can be no room for fuch a Succession. I might add, that it further appears, that Lelex lived about these Times, from what Pausanias records of Polycaon his younger Son, that he married Messene the Daughter of Triopas (s); fo that Lelex and Triopas were about Contemporaries: I suppose Lelex fomewhat elder than Moses; his coming into Laconia after so many Travels, must have been towards the End of his own Life; but the Year 2486 in which he entred that Country, falls about the middle of Moses's Days, in Moses's 53d Year, 27 Years before he led the Israelites out of Egypt: We are no where told how long Lelex governed his new Settlement; his eldest Son Myles succeeded him (t), and at Myles's Death, Eurotas Son of Myles became King(u): Eurotas at his Death left no male Heirs (w), and Polycaon the younger Son of Lelex was fettled in another Country (x); and hence it

⁽r) Triopas was noted by the ancient Writers, to live about the Times of Cecrops, See Vol. II. B. 8. (s) Paufan. in Laconic. c. 1. et in Messenic. c. 1. (t) Id. in Laconic. ubi sup. (u) Id. ibid. (vu) Id. ibid. (x) Id. ib Messenic. ubi sup.

happened at the Demise of Eurotas, that the Crown of Laconia went into another Family, and Lacedæmon Son of Jupiter and Taygete was promoted to it (y). Paulanias has recorded the Names of the Lacedæmonian Kings (z), and from Lelex to Menelaus who warred at Troy, they are as follows; Lelex, Myles, Eurotas, Lacedamon, Amyclas, Argalus, Cynortas, Oebalus, Hippocoon, Tyndareus and Menelaus. Caftor and Pollux were the Sons of Tyndareus (a), and engaged in the Argonautic Expedition (b); but they were never Kings of Lacedamonia, but died before their Father (c); and upon their Deaths Tyndareus sent for Menelaus to fucceed him in his Kingdom (d).

The famous Jupiter of the Greeks was aiso contemporary with Moses. He was Son of Saturn, a King of Crete (e): The Remains we now have of the ancient Writers feem to give but a confused Account of the early History of the Cretans, tho' it is remarkable, that the Cretans were formerly fo famous for their History, as to have the wifeft of Men think it worth while to travel to them to peruse their Records (f): But of what now

⁽r) Id. in Laconic. (2) Id. in Laconic. (2) Apollod. Eibl. L. 3 c. 9. (b) Apollon. Argon. et Val. Flace. (c) Apollod. 1. 3. c. 10. (d) Id. ibid. (e) Diodor. Sic. i. 5. p. 232. Apollod. Biblioth. 1. 1. (f) E. 20 TE. EDDOR 6 ADMINISTRATION RATA วิบัน หลือเ isopiav Diogen. Laert, in vit. Thalet.

remains about them, almost all is Fable; tho' I cannot but think, a careful Inquirer may still collect Particulars, and give them more Light, than they are generally thought capable of receiving. Cres was King of Crete, about the 56th Year of Abraham (g), Talus was Son of Cres, Vulcan of Talus, and Rhadamanthus of Vulcan(h): About the Times of this Rhadamanthus (i), we may place the Dactyli Idei (k): They were five Brothers, as many in Number as the Fingers of a Man's Hand, and for that reason called Dactyli (1). One of these Dactyli was probably named Jupiter; for there was a more ancient Jupiter than the Son of Saturn (m), who was Father of the Curetes (n), and Brother of Ouranus (o); fo that Ouranus might be another of the Dactyli: Saturn was Son of Ouranus (p), and Jupiter was Son of Saturn (9): From Abraham to Moses are seven Descents; Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, Levi, Cohath, Amram, Moses; and there are about as

E 4

⁽g) Euseb. in Chronic. (b) Cinethon. in Pausan. Arcad. c. 53. (i) We are not to suppose the Rhadamanthus bere spoken of, to be the same Person with one of that Name, aubo was Brother of Minos; nor the Vulcan here mentioned to be the same with Vulcan Son of Jupiter: Persons of later Ages frequently had the Names which their Ancestors had born Ages before them. (k) Diodor. Sic. p. 230. (l) Id. ibid. Strabo Geog. 1. 10. p. 437. (m) Diodor. 1. 3. p. 136. (n) Id. ibid. (o) Id. ibid. (p) 1d. 1. 5. p. 231. Apollod. Biblioth. 1. 1. (q) Diodor. p. 233. Apollod. ubi sup.

many from Cres to Jupiter, namely, Cres, Talus, Vulcan, Rhadamanthus, Ouranus, Saturn, Jupiter: If Ouranus and the rest of the Dactyli were of the same Descent with Rhadamanthus, we have but fix: But if they were in the Descent next after him, we have exactly feven, as in the Family of Abraham. Diodorus Siculus mentions no Kings of Crete between Cres and the Dastyli; but it is observable, that he does not fay that the Dactyli flourished in or next after the Times of Cres: Diodorus reckoned up the Worthies that lived between Cres and Saturn, whom the Ages which fucceeded, had mentioned with Honour; and it is eafy to imagine, that there might be two or three Descents between the Times of Cres and the Dactyli, in which nothing memorable was done, in the way of either great Actions or useful Inventions, to bear their Fame down to Posterity, and so the Names of those that lived in these Generations, might either not come to Diodorus, or he not think it worth while to mention them. If Cres himself had not excelled those that lived before him, in teaching his Countrymen many Things conducive to their public Welfare (r),

⁽r) Τὸν μὲ Β σιλέα Κεῆτα καλυμενον πλείςα καὶ μέχιςα κατά τη νήπονεύρεν τὰ δυνάμενα τον κοινὸν τῶν ὰ Τζάπαν Gio ἀφολήται. Diodor. Sic. I. 5. p. 230. Diodorus

Diodorus had probably taken no notice of him: And had his Successors been as eminent as he was, their Names perhaps had been recorded by him. But after the Death of Cres (s), no Advance being made either in Arts or Government, until the Daetyli, the Names between Cres and their Times, were omitted by Diodorus.

Ouranus lived in the eastern Parts of Crete; for his Son Saturn afterwards removed westward (t): Our anus married Titæa (u), who, according to the Custom of these Times, which was, to give the Names of the Elements and Lights of Heaven (they being the Deities now worshipped) to eminent Persons, took the Name of Terra or Tellus, as her Husband was called Cælum or Ouranus. The Children born of these two Parents were first the Centimani; namely, Briareus, Gyes, and Cœus (w): The fabulous Writers fay, these Men had an hundred Hands and fifty Heads apiece (x): They were of bigger Size, of greater Strength (y), and per-haps of more Cunning and Contrivance than common Men; and Fable has given

⁽s) Perhaps Cres baving none to second him, the useful Designs be attempted might drop at his Death, and the head the Descendants we have mentioned, yet none of them might be Kings, nor any Government set up in Crete in their Names. (t) Diodor. p. 231. (u) Id. ibid. (w) Apollod. Biblioth. 1. 1. c. 1. (x) Id. ibid. (y) Μεγέθει ανυπέρεωντοι κ) δυνάμει καθεις ύκεσαν. Id. ibid.

them the Hands and Heads of Multitudes, for their being superior to single Men in their Wisdom and their Valour. Our anus sent them to inhabit the Land of Tartarus; for here we find them in Power and Command in the Days of Jupiter (z): What or where the Country was, which was thus named, may be difficult to determine: Pluto was afterwards King of it (a), and I should imagine it to be no Part of Crete; for when Pluto took away Proserpine from her Mother Ceres, Ceres fought her, nala masav rlui ylui i. e. all Crete over, but could not find her (b); afterwards the heard that the was with Pluto; fo that Pluto's Dominions were not in Crete, but in some foreign Country: We are told by Apollodorus, that the Cyclopes were fent into this Land of Tartarus (c), and Homer appears to think they lived in the Island of Sicily (d); and Strabo imagined him in this Point to have given us not Fiction, but true History (e); and we find Thucydides, tho' he had nothing to offer about the Rife or Exit of this Set of Men; whence they came hither, or whither they removed; yet not doubting but that they were of the most

⁽²⁾ Apollod. Biblioth, l. 1. c. 2. (a) Id. ibid. (b) Δήμητρα ή μετά λαμπάθαν ευνήθετε κὶ ήμέρος κατα πάσαν του γιῶ ζηνόσα περιήθε. Apollodor, Biblioth. l. 1. c. 5. (c) Id. ibid l. 1. c. 1. (d) Odyff. 9. e) Strabo Geogr. l. 1. p. 20.

ancient Inhabitants of this Island (f); and agreeably hereto, Tartarus the Father of Typhon appears from Apollodorus, to have lived in Sicily in the Age I am treating of (g); and in these Days probably this Island was called after his Name. This Land of Tartarus was said to be as far distant from the Earth, as the Earth is from Heaven (b): This might be the ancient Cretan Account of it, and by the Earth they might mean their own Island, and intend only to affert that Tartarus was at an unmeasurable Distance from their Shore; and unquestionably from Crete to Sicily was a confiderable Voyage in those Ages. As Pluto, from his having been the Person who invented the Rites and Ceremonies that were (i) used at Funerals, came in After-ages to be called the God of the Dead; fo the Country where he had been King, was reputed to be their Region, and all the gloomy Fictions imagined to belong to the State of the Departed, were related to have their Place in this Land of Tartarus; but it is obvious to remark, that these Fables were not invented, until Ages after the Times of the

Gentimani,

⁽f) Thucyd. Hift. I. 6. (g) Id. ibid. I. 1. c. 6. §. 3. (b) Τόπος ἢ ἔτος τοσᾶτον και γῶς ἔχων διάς κημα, ὅσον ἀτ' ἀρμα γῶ. Apollod. I. 1. c. 1. (i) Τὸν ἢ 'Α΄ ἐΓων, λέγεται, τὰ πεεὶ τὰς ταράς, ἢ τὰς ἐκξορὰς, ἢ τικός τῶν τεθνεώτων καὶ αδαξαι— διὰ ἢ τῶν τετελότικότον καὶ αδαξαι υικιάθειν. Diodor. Sic. I. 5. p. 233..

Centimani, and not until long after Sicily ceased to be called by this its ancient Name. 2. The Cyclopes were also Sons of Ouranus and Tellus (k): Their Names were Harpes, Steropes, and Brontes: They were faid to have but one Eye apiece, and that fituate in the middle of their Foreheads (1): These Men were the Archers of their Times, and they usually shut one Eye, to take their Aim in shooting (m); and this occasioned the Fable of their having one Eye only: Ouranus fent them to Tartarus unto their Brethren (n). 3. Our anus and Tellus were the Parents of the Titanes also, whose Names were Oceanus, Caus, Hyperion, Crius, Japetus and Saturn (o), and of the †Titanides, who were Tethys, Rhea, The-

⁽k) Apollod. l. 1. c. 1. (l) Id. ibid. (m) I bave forgot, from whom I had this Conjecture: I think it is Eutlathius's. But I would observe, that the ingenious Annotator upon the English Homer, whose real Worth, as well as Learning, makes it a pleasure to me to say, I have a Friendship with him, gives a better Account of this Fable of the Cyclopes; ascribing it to their wearing an Headpiece or martial Vizor, that had but one Sight thre' it. "The wulgar, says he, form their Judgments from Appear-"ances; and a Mariner who passed these Coasts at a di-"slance, observing the Resemblance of a broad Eye in the "Forehead of one of these Cyclops, might relate it accord-"ingly, and impose it as a Truth upon the Credulity of the "ignorant. It is notorious, that Things equally monstrous "have found Belief in all Ages." See Dr. Broome's Notes upon Homer's Odysey. B. IX. ver. 119. (n) Apollodor. ubi sup. (o) Apollodor. ibid. Diodor. 1. 5, p. 231.

mis, Mnemosyne, Phæbe, Dione and Thia (a). Tellus the Wife of Our anus had also other Children, namely, Phorcus, Thaumas, Nereus, Eurybæa, and Ceto, by a Person named Pontus, who perhaps after Ouranus's Death was her fecond Husband (b); and Our anus had feveral Children by a Concubine named Ops; they were Porphyrion, Halcyoneus, Ephialtes, Clytius, Enceladus, Polybotes, Gratian and Thoon. Tellus made a Voyage into Sicily, and stayed there some time, until she had a Son named Typhon, by Tartarus a Person of the highest Eminence in Sicily, in these Ages (c). Ops was no Cretan, but a Foreigner; the came into Crete out of a more northern Nation (d): She is often taken to be the same Person as Tellus; but it is evident she was not so, probably she was the Cybele of the Ancients.

At the Death of Ouranus, his Son Saturn had his Kingdom: It is faid that Saturn castrated and deposed his Father (e): But we have no reason to imagine, that he did so, or that what is told us of the Birth of the Furies from Ouranus (f) was real Fact: Varro judiciously thought these

⁽a) Apollodor. Bibl. I. i. c. i. Diodorus mentions only five, and calls them, Rhea, Themis, Mnemofyne, Phebe and Thetis, I. 5. p. 231. (b) Apollodor. c. 2. (c) Id. c. 6. (d) Ω⁵πιν, μίαν των ἐξ ὑδρθος ων παραγενομένων παρθένων 1d. c. 4. (e) Apollodor. c. i. (f) Id. ibid.

Relations to be Parts of what he calls the Mythic Theology (g), which afforded many Narrations of imaginary Actions never really done, but founded upon the ancient Philosophy and Religion historically put together (b): Saturn married his Sifter Rhea, and had by her tree Sons and three Daughters, Jupiter, Neptune, Pluto, Vesta, Ceres and Juno (i). It is faid of Saturn, that he eat up his Children as foon as they were born, that Jupiter only escaped, by a Contrivance of his Mother Rhea, who bundled up a Stone in his Clothes, and fent it to Saturn, which, he not doubting but that it was his new-born Son, took and eat up instead of him. Jupiter, they tell us, was put out to Nurse by his Mother, to the Curetes: In Time, they bring Saturn's Children all upon the Stage again, and represent Jupiter as compelling his Father by a Drink, to discharge his Stomach of them, and of the Stone with them (k). Varro has given us a philosophic

⁽g) Vid. Varron. Frag. p. 13. (b) See what I have offered upon this Subject, Vol. II. B 8. Saturnus—falcem habet ob Agriculturam. Quòd Cœlum patrem Saturnus caltraffe in Fabulis dicitur, hoc fignificat, penes Saturnum, non penes Cœlum, femen esse divinum; hoc propeterea quantum intelligi datur, quia nihil in Cœlo de ieminibus nascitur. Varro in Frag. p. 42. (i) Diodor. Apollodor, ubi sup. (k) Apollodor. Bibl. l. 1.

Solution of this Fable also (1); but I would observe, that Saturn was the first in these Parts, that introduced a Regularity of Diet amongst his People (m), and he might perhaps think it a Matter of Moment to begin from the first with his own Children: We find the nurfing and feeding Infants with proper Food became a fort of Science in the Generation next after him, and had Directors appointed to take care of it (n): If Saturn had formed any Scheme of this Sort, and upon this Account took his Children as foon as born from their Mother; if as foon as they were fit for it, he fent them abroad for Education into some foreign Land; and the Figure they all afterwards made in Life, renders it highly probable, that they had had better Instruction, than Crete was at this time able to give them; this might be a fufficient Foundation for the Fable handed down to us concerning Saturn: Rhea sent Jupiter to the Curetes, and a Bundle of Clothes, with a Stone wrapped up in them to make them heavy, was carried where Saturn ordered instead

⁽¹⁾ Saturnum dixerunt, quæ nata ex eo essent, devorare solitum, quòd eò semina, unde nascerentur, redirent; et quòd illi pro Jove Gleba objecta est devoranda significat, manibus humanis obrui cœptas serendo fruges, antequàm subtilitas arandi essent inventa. Varro in Fragment. p. 42. (m) Diodor. l. 5. p. 231. (n) Αρτεμιν η φατίν ως είν των των νιπίων παιδίων θεράπαιν, η προφάς τινας άρμιζότας τη φύσει των βρεφώγ. Diodor. p. 235.

of him, and when Jupiter was grown up, and came home to his Father, and Saturn thought fit to have his other Children recalled from their foreign Education; as he was before faid to have eat them, so now he might be represented to have vomited them up again: The Fancy of the Mythologists was extravagant beyond measure, and no Representation could appear so monstrous or ridiculous, but they could think it ingenious to dress up and disguise the plainest and most common Transactions of Life in it (0).

When Saturn died, Jupiter succeeded to his Kingdom (p): Here again the Mythologists give us Fable, and suggest to us, that Jupiter deposed his Father, and parted his Dominions between himself and his Brethren (q); but Diodorus informs us, that there were other Accounts of him; that he came to his Crown at Saturn's Death as his rightful Heir, without Attempts of his own to obtain a Succession, or Endeavours of others to prevent it (r). He married his Sister

⁽a) See Vol. H. B. 8. Εν τῷ πα]ὶ ἀιᾶνι πολλὰ μὲν πάλα συμεσι]α ἀπιςα ἐναι πετοιικασιν ἐς τὰς πολλὰς, εἱ τοῖς ἀληθεσιν ἐποικοδιμῦντες ἐλοθομένα. Paufan. (p) Diodor. Sic. 1. 5. p. 233. (q) Apollod. 1. 1. c. z. (r) Τιτὰς μὲν αποίν αυτὰτ μετὰ τωῦ Τὰ ἀνθρώπων τὰ Κερίνε μετάσασιν ἐς θὰςς διαθεζωδος τωῦ Βατικέαν, ἐ δία καθιοχύσαντα τὰν πατερα, νομιμώς ἢ κὴ δικαίως ἀξιωθέντα παύτης ἢ τιμῆς. Diodor. 1. 5. p. 233.

Juno (s), and by her had Children, Hebe, Ilithya, Argos, Mars and Vulcan (t). He had feveral other Wives, 1. Metis, by whom he had Pallas(u). 2. Themis, who bare him Irene, Eunomia and Dica, who were called the Hora, and Clotho, Lachefis and Atropos, who were called the Fates (x). 3. Euronome was the Mother of Aglaia, Euphrosyne and Thalia (y). 4. Of Styx, or rather Ceres was born Proferpine (2). 5. Of Mnemofyne were born the Muses, who have commonly been said to be nine in Number: Varro thought they were originally three only (a). 6. Latona

⁽s) Diodor, ibid. Apollod. Eibl. 1. r. c. 3. Hefiod Ozovov. (1) Iid. ibid. (n) Hefiod, ibid. Apollodorus Supposes Thetis the Daughter of Nereus to have born him Pallas. Bibl. l. 1. c. 3. (x) Hessod. Apollod. (y) lid. (z) Diodor. p. 199. Hessod. θεοχον. Apollod. l. 1. c. 3 et c. 5. (a) Apol. l. 1. c. 3. Varro dicit, Civitatem nescio quam (neg; enim recorder nomen) locasse apud tres Artifices terna fimulachra Musarum, quæ in Templo Apollinis, Deo poneret, ut quisquis Artificum pulchriora tormasset, ab illo potissimum electa emeret. Itaq; contigisse, ut opera sua quoq; illi Artifices æque pulchra explicarent, et placuisse civitati omnes novem, atq; omacs emptas esse, ut Apollinis Templo dicarentur, quibus posteà dicit Hesiodum Poetam imposuisse Vocabula. Non ergo ait, Jupiter novem Musas genuit, sed tres fabri ternas secerunt. Tres autem non propterea civitas illa locaverat, quia in somnis eas viderat, aut tot se cujusquam illorum oculis demonstraverant, fed quia facile erat animadvertere omnem fonum, qui materies cantilenarum est, triformem esse Natura; aut enim editur voce, ficut est eorum, qui faucibus fine instrumento canunt, aut flatu ficut tubarum et tibiarum, aut pulsu ficut in Cytharis, et Tympanis, et quibusdam aliis, que percutiendo fonora fiunt. Varro. in Fragment. p. 207. vid. Augustin. de Doctrin. Christian. 1. 2. c. 17.

bare him Diana and Apollo (b). 7. Venus was born to him of Dione (c). 8. Mercury of Maia (d). 9. Bacchus of Semele (e): and he had feveral other Children, both Sons and Daughters by divers other Women: But let us endeavour first to fix with a little more Certainty the Times in which Jupiter lived, and after that we may take a further View of the Transactions of his Life.

Jupiter lived about 8 or 9 Generations before the Trojan War: This may be very clearly computed by going thro' the Genealogies of those who are recorded to be his Descendants. Thus Æthlius King of Elea in Greece, was Son of Jupiter, and of Protogenia, the Daughter of Deucalion (f); his Son Endymion succeeded him (g): Epeus Son of Endymion succeeded him (b): Ætolus brother to Epeus was his Successor (i), and after Ætolus reigned Eleus his Nephew (k): At Eleus's Death, Augeas Son of Eleus had the Kingdom (l):

⁽b) Apollodor, I. I. C. 4. Δήμετεςς ζ΄ Αρτεμίν Διγατεςα ξίναι, ή δ΄ Απτές, όγια Αιγυπτίων τὸν λόγον, Αιονίλ Θ΄ ἐρίδαξεν Ένουσείωνος τὸς Ελληνος. Paufan. in Arcad. C. 37. (c) Apollod. C. 3. (d) Id. I. 3. C. 10. (ε) Vid. quæ füp. (f) Diodor. Sic. I. 5. p. 230. Strab. Geog. I. 10. p. 473. (f) Paufan. I. 5. C. 1. Apollod. Bibl. I. 1. C. 7. It ought to be hereremarked that Æthlius was by some of the Antients thought the Son of Æolus. See Paufan. I. 5. C. 8. (g) Apollod. ibid. § 5. Paufan. ubi sup. (h) Paufan. ibid. (/) Paufan. ibid.

Agasthenes Son of Augeas, succeeded his Father (m), and Polyxenes Son of Agasthenes, Grandson of Augeas, commanded at Troy (a); and thus if we count from Jupiter to the Trojan War, we find nine Successions, or computing Epeus and Ætolus, who were Brothers, to be in the fame Line of Descent, 8 Generations. In the Family of Thoas the Son of Andramon, who commanded the Ætolians in the Trojan War (b), there are ten Descents; for Thoas was fix from Atolus (c), and Atolus as above was four from Jupiter. In like manner we find 10 Descents from Jupiter to Diomedes, four to Atolus as before; Pleuron was Son of Ætolus (d), Azenor of Pleuron (e), Oeneus of Azenor (f), Tydeus of OEneus (g), and Diomedes of Tydeus (h). If we go into another Branch of Fupiter's Family, we shall find Accounts much the same. Areas was Son of Jupiter, born of Callistho Daughter of Lycaon (i): Areas succeeded Nyctimus the eldest Son of Lycaon in the Kingdom of Arcadia (k): Azanas Son of Arcas fucceeded him (1): Clitor Son of Azanas fucceeded his Father (m): Epitus a Nephew

⁽m) Pausan. ibid. c. 3. (a) Pausan. ibid. Hom. II. 2. v. 623. (b) Pausan. ubi sup. Hom. II. C. v. 638. (c) Pausan. ub. sup. (d) Apollod. B. 6. 1, 1. c. 7. (e) Id. ibid. (f) ibid. (g) ibid. (b) ibid. (i) Hyg. Fab. 155. Apoll. Bibl. 1, 3. c. 8. Pausan. in Arcad. c. 3. (k) Pausan. ibid. c. 4. (l) Id. ibid. (m) ibid.

Connection of the Sacred Book X.

68

of Azanas succeeded Clitor (n), and Aleus another Nephew succeeded Epitus (o); at Aleus's Death his Son Lycurgus had the Crown (p), and at his Death he left it to Echemus (q): Agapenor Grandson of Lycurgus succeeded Echemus (r), and led the Arcadians to Troy: And thus from Nyctimus who may be supposed to be coætaneous with Jupiter, to Agapenor are 9 Successions, and, counting Clitor, Ipitus, and Aleus, who were Brother's Children, to be in the same Line of Descent, at least 7 Generations. In Laconia we find Lacedæmon King of that Country was Son of Jupiter and of Taygete Daughter of Atlas (s), Amyclas the next King was his Son (t): Argalus succeeded his Father A-myclas (u): and Cynortas Argalus (x); and Cynortas left his Crown to OEbalus (y); when Oebalus died, Hippocoon gat possession of the Throne, and for a Time defeated Tyndareus the Son of OEbalus (a), but after some Years Tyndareus ejected him (b) and recovered the Kingdom. Tyndareus had two Sons, Castor and Pollux (bb), but they both died before him (c): he married his Daughter Helen to Menelaus the

^(**) Paufan. in Arcad. c. 4. (**) ibid. (**) ibid. (**) ibid. (**) 1d. c. 5. Hom, II. £.v. 609. (**) Hygin. Fab. 155. Apollod. Bibl. i. 3. c. 10. Paufan. in Laconic. c. 1. (**) Paufan. ibid. (**) Id. ibid. (**) Id. ibid. (**) Id. ibid. (**) Id. ibid. (**) Paufan.i. †. (**) Id. ibid. (**) Apollod. Bib. 1. 3. c. 9. (**) Id. c. 10.

Son of Atreus (d), and at his Death Menelaus succeeded him in his Kingdom (e); and thus from Lacedæmon the Son of Jupiter to Helen and Menelaus, for whom the Greeks warred at Troy, are 8 Reigns and 7 Descents; or 8 Descents from Jupiter. Again, Dardanus King of Troy was Son of Jupiter and Electra, Daughter of Atlas (f), Erichthonius of Dardanus (g), Tros of Erichthonius (b), Ilus of Tros (i), Laomedon of Ilus (k), Priamus of Laomedon (l): Priamus was an old Man when the Greeks warred against him, his Son Hector was then in his full Strength, and about the Age of the Greek Commanders, and from Jupiter to Hector are eight Descents. We might examine the Accounts we have of other Families, and in all, of whom we have sufficient Remains, we should find Jupiter about 8 or 9 Generations before the Trojan War: Successions in Families vary enough to cause this Difference of a Descent or two, but we have no Genealogies that will allow us to place him later than the Times of Moses; for Moses lived from A.M. 2433 to A.M. 2550 (m), take the middle of his Life A.M. 2493,

⁽d) Apolled, Bib. I. 3, c. 9. (e) c. 10. (f) Apolled, Bib. I. 3, c. 11, Diodor, Sic. Hift. I. 5, p. 223. Hom, II. 7, v. 215. (g) Diodor, I. 4, p. 192. Hom, II. v. v. 219. (b) Diodor, bid fup. Hom, II. 7, v. 230. (f) Diodor, Hom, ibid. (k) Iid, ibid. (i) Iid, ibid. (m) See Vol. II. B. 9, Deut, xxxiv. 7.

from thence to the War at Troy are about 300 Years, supposing Troy to have been taken about A.M. 2796 (n) and if we count 8 or 9 Descents in this Space of Time, we go between 30 and 40 Years to a Descent, and the Generations we have examined being for the most part by the elder Sons, this may pretty well agree with the Length of such Generations in these Times.

As what I have offered does abundantly hint, that Jupiter lived about the Age of Mojes; so the Particulars of his Life do further confirm it, and may perhaps enable us to fettle more exactly the Time when he flourished: For, I. Jupiter visited Lycaon King of Arcadia (o), and had a Son named Arcas born of Callifto Lycaon's Daughter (p): Now Lycaon was contemporary, and of about the same Years with the elder Cecrops (q): Cecrops reigned in Attica from A.M. 2423 to A.M. 2473 (r): Lycash was advanced towards Old-age when Jupiter visited him, for his Children were all grown up, and of Age to build Cities and govern Nations (s): fupiter therefore visited him about the

⁽n) See Vol. II. B. 8. (e) Hygin. Fab. 176. Apolledor. Blolioth. 1. 3. c. 8. (f) Iid. ibid. Pausan. in Arcadic. c. 3, 4. (g) Δοχώ ζέγωγε Κεκροπινλικίαν τη Γανί Ασαγί. Αθνικίαν χ, Αυχάνι εί αι των δυτων. Pausan. in Arcad. c. 2. (r) See Vol. II. B. 8. (s) Vid. Pausan. in Arcad. c. 3.

End of the Life of Cecrops, and not earlier than the 40th Year of Moses's Age: But we may fix this Matter, with still greater Certainty: Lycaon died by the Hand of Jupiter (t), at his Death Nyc-timus his eldest Son had his Crown (u): Nyctimus was made King of Arcadia just upon the Time of Deucalion's Flood (w), and the Ancients imagined that Flood to have happened A.M. 2476 (x), fo that about this Year Jupiter was in Arcadia, namely 3 Years after the Death of Cecrops, and in the 43d Year of Moses. Jupiter was undoubtedly of Years of Wisdom, Authority, and Experience of the World, when he transacted the Affairs of Lycaon's Kingdom, and to this agrees, 2. What we further find from the Marble, that Mars the Son of Jupiter was tried at A-thens for the Death of Halirrothius the Son of Neptune, A.M. 2473 (y); fo that before Jupiter's Expedition to Arcadia, his Sons were grown up and engaged in the World. 3. Epaphus was Son of Jupiter, born of Io(z): Here indeed some of the Genealogist's make a Mistake; for they suppose Io to be the Daughter of Inachus; this would argue Jupiter to have

⁽t) Apollod. ubi fup. (u) Paufan. ubi fup. Apollod. ibid. (w) Νυπτίμε ή βασιλέαν παεσλαβίνη ς δ όπι Δάκαλίωνος κατακλισμός έγνετο. Apollod. ubi fup. (x) Marmor Arundell. Ep. 4. (y) Id. Ép. 3. (z) Hygin. Fab. 155. Apollod. 1. 2. c. 1.

F 4. lived

lived 300 Years earlier than the Times we are treating of, for Inachus reigned at Argos about A.M. 2154.(a). But Apollodorus has observed and corrected this Error: He remarks, that Io the Mother of Epaphus was not Daughter of Inachus, but of Jasus (b): Jasus the Father of Io, was Son of Triopas King of Argos(c), so that Io was Triopas's Grand-daughter. Triopas was the fixth King of Argos from Inachus (d); for Apis ought not to be inferted amongst the Argive Kings (e), and if we count the Number of Years from the first Year of Inachus to the last Year of Triopas, we shall find them to amount to 315(f); compute then 315 Years from A.M. 2154 the first Year of Inachus, and we come down to A. M. 2469, and in that Year Triopas died: If Triopas lived to fee his Grand-daughter matched to Jupiter, as certainly he well might, then Io might marry to him about 7 or 8 Years before Jupiter's Expedition into Arcadia, or if the was not grown up until fome Years after her Grandfather's Death, yet Jupiter's Acquaintance with her proves very well his living in these Times. 4. Minos is faid to have been the Son of Jupiter,

⁽a) See Vol. II. B. VI. (b) Apollodor. Bib. l. z. c. 1. (c) Paulan. in Corinthiac. c. 16. (d) Caftor in Eufeb. Chron. (e) See Vol. II. B. S. p. 267. (f) Vid. Ceftor, in Chron. Eufeb.

born of Europa Daughter of Agenor(g): This I am sensible is a false Account of Minos, and therefore tho' it might eafily be made to coincide with the Times of fupiter, as Europa is generally faid to have been the Sister of Cadmus; yet, as it would not be a true Account of Minos's Ancestors, it would be trifling to offer any thing about it: The Minos so much talked of amongst the Greeks was contemporary with Dædalus (b), and Dædalus was the Son of Eupalamus (i), who had a Daughter that was married to the fecond Cecrops (k), and his Son Dædalus with Minos flourished about the Times of Ægeus (1), who reigned at Athens from A.M. 2697 to A.M. 2745 (m), so that this Minos lived about 150 Years after Moses's Death: The placing this Minos about these Times agrees perfectly well with the Accounts we have of his Descendants down to the Trojan War; for he was in the third Generation before that Expedition; for the Sons of Minos were Deucalion and Molus, and their Sons Idomeneus and Meriones warred at Troy (n).

⁽g) Apollod. Bibl. l. 3. c. 1. Hygin. Fab. 155. (b) Apollod. Bib. l. 3. c. 14. Diodor. Sic. l. 4. (i) Apollod. Bib. l. 3. c. 14. (ii) Apollod. Bib. l. 3. c. 14. (iii) Cecrops began his Reign in Attica A. M. 2423. See Vol. II. B. 8. Count the Years of the several Reigns of the Attic Kings in Chronic. Euleb. down to Ageus, and Ageus's Reign will fall in the Years I have allotted to it. (ii) Diodo. Sic. l. 5. p. 238. Homer. II. y. v. 450. II. E. v. 650.

Sir John Marsham very judiciously observes from the Hints of the ancient Writers, that there were two Minos's; that the former was the Grandfather of the latter; that Length of Time and the Inaccuracy of Writers had caused them to be both taken for but one Man, and that their Genealogy rightly flated would fland thus. Tellamus Son of Dorus, Afterius Son of Tellamus, Minos of Afterius, Lycaflus of Minos, the second Minos of Lycaflus, Deucalion of Minos, Idomeneus of Deucalien (a): This is the true Account of this Family, and according to this Account the full Mines flands five Generations before the Trojan War; in the fame Line of Descent before Idomeneus who warred at Troy, as Tros King of Troy does before Hetter: And this agrees with what is related of this Minos, that he flole Ganymedes from Tres his Father; for not Jupiter, but this Minos was anciently recorded to have committed that Rape (/): Further; this Time of Alinos agrees to what the Marble records, that he reigned at Apollona, A. M. 2573 (9): Hellen, who was Father of Divis (1) and therefore Grandfa-

⁽¹⁾ Marthani Cai Chiona p 43 (A) 1 robust 1 robust Administration Athenres Department I. 1. 2. 1 robust Administration Problem (1) Apollode Bill I s. c. . (2) Apollode

ther of Testamus the Progenitor of this Family, was about 'Jupiter's Age; for Amphiction, who was Brother of Hellen(s), fucceeded Cranaus, and reigned at Athens in the Year 2484(t) i. e. about 8 Years after Jupiter's being in Arcadia: now count down from Hellen to Idomeneus, who warred at Troy, and we have Hellen, Dorus, Lestamus, Afterius, Minos, Lyca-Rus, Minos the fecond, Deucalion and Idomeneus, that is, nine Generations from Hellen who was contemporary with Jupiter, to the Trojan War: We find a Generation more in the Families of Theas and of Diomedes abovementioned, and a Generation less in the Family of Agasthenes: In the Arcadian Roll of Kings we have but 7 Descents from NyElimus to Agapenor; but agreeable to this in another Line of Hellen's Descendants we have exactly 7 down from Hellen to Glaucus, who exchanged Armour with Diomedes in the Fields of Troy (1), namely, Hellen, Eolus, Sifephus, Glaucus, Bellerophon, Hippolochus, and Glaucus (w), who commanded the Lycians (x): And thus allowing the Difference ariting from Detcents happening by the elder or the younger Children, the true Account of Minos's Genealogy

⁽a) Apollod Bill. 1 1, 6, 7, (c) See Vol. 11. B. 8.
(b) Homer II = v = 35, (b) Id. II. cad. v, 150 - 205. (s) II. c. v = b. b.

fynclito-

Connection of the Sacred Book X.

76

fynchronizes with the Descents in other Families, and confirms the Times of Jupiter agreeably to them. 5. Lacedæmon was Son of Jupiter and Taygete Daughter of Atlas(y): According to the Marble Lacedamon reigned at Laconia about A.M. 2489 (a). The Marble joins Eurotas and Lacedæmon together (b), but Eurotas was really Lacedamon's Predecessor: Whether the Composer of the Marble Chronicon apprehended his Exoch fomething too early for the Reign of Lacedamon, and by joining Eurotas with him intended to hint, that the Year he fixed on, fell in Lacedæmon's, or at most in Eurotas's Reign; or whether he imagined that Eurotas, at the Time he mentions, took Lacedamon into Partnership of his Kingdom, I cannot say: But take it either way, and the Time of Lacedamon's Birth must prove Jupiter to have lived in these Times. If Lacedamon was taken Partner with Eurotas in his Kingdom A. M. 2489, he might be a young Man, when thus admitted to reign with him, perhaps not 30, and so might be born about A. M. 2460, and this Year falls 16 Years before Jupiter's Expediti-

⁽y) Apollod. Bib. 1. 3. c. 10. Hygin. Fab. 155. Paufan. in Lacenic. c. 1. (a) Marm. Arundell. Ep. 8. (b) 'A2' 3' Έυντια, χ' Λακεθαίμων Λακοτικής εξασιλάστι έτη ΧΗΗ, ΔΗΙ. Εασιλώσης 'Αξητών' Αμφικβύστος, Marmor. ibut.

on to Arcadia: If the Epoch rather belongs to Eurotas, than to Lacedamon's Reign, Lacedæmon still must have been born about the Time above mentioned, tho' he waited fome Years, and was of riper Age, when Eurotas left him his Kingdom (c): 6. Bacchus was Son of Jupiter and of Semele, Daughter of Cadmus(d): Now Cadmus came to Thebes, A.M. 2486 (e): Cadmus did not marry Harmonia the Mother of Semele until after he was fettled there (f): Apollodorus fuggests 8 Years to have passed before he married (g): Semele born of these Parents could not be grown up for Jupiter, until above 20 Years after: Suppose her 21 when Jupiter fell in love with her, and we shall fix the Time of this Amour to about 30 Years after Cadmus came to Thebes, to A. M. 2516. Jupiter was now an old Man; for his Son Mars was grown up, and tried, as has been faid, before the Court of Areopagus, 43 Years before this Time: Jupiter therefore must now have been above 90, perhaps about 95; an Age, we may think, too advanced for fo gay an Amour; but

⁽c)— Ευρώται, ότε ή, ει όντων δυτώ παίθων ἀρήςνων, βασιλάων καταλώπα Λακεδαίμονα. Paulan. in Lacon. c. 1. (d) Hygin. Fab. 155. Apollod. Bibl. 1. 3. c. 4. Diodor. Sic. l. 3. p. 186. l. 4. p. 147. (e) See Vol. II. B. 8. (f) Diodor. Sic. l. 4. p. 147. (g) Apollod. Bibl. l. 3, c. 4. p. 157.

we must recollect the Length of Men's Lives in these Ages, and consider, that when Moses, who was Jupiter's contemporary died at 120 Years of Age, he had not lived until either his Eye was dim, or his natural Force abated (b). And thus we find Reason to imagine Jupiter to have been about 95 Years old A. M. 2516, i.e. in the third Year after the Ifraelites Exit out of Egypt, and confequently, that he was born about A. M. 2421; that he was about 52, when his Son Mars was tried at Athens; about 55, when he made his Expedition into Arcadia; about 48, when he courted the Mother of Epaphus, and about 38, when he addressed Taygete, of whom was born Lacedæmon: And these Particulars are all fo probable in themselves; so consistent with one another; and supported by concurrent Hints from such different Writers, that instead of imagining a Want of Proof of the Times of Jupiter, we have rather Reason to be surprized, that fo many, fuch reasonable and concurring Intimations, can be picked up, to fix with any Appearance of Probability, the Epoch of a Man, whose whole Life and Actions have been for Ages disguised, by an almost infinite Heap of Fable blen-

⁽b) Deut. xxxiv. 7.

ded with them, not to mention the Defects of the ancient prophane History, and the thousands of Years that are between us and him.

I know of nothing that can be objected to the placing Jupiter in this Age, but some Accounts we have in the Mythological Writers of Persons said to be descended from him, who lived Ages later. Thus Jupiter is said to be the Father of <u>Hercules</u>, born of Alcmena Wife of Ambbitryon (b), of Castor and Pollax born of Leda Wife of Tyndareus (bj), of Perseus born of Danae Daughter of Acrisius (i), of Æacus the Father of Telamon and Peleus (k), of Arcefius the Ancestor of Ulysses (1), and of many others: Now if he really was the Father of any of these Persons, he must have lived about three Generations only before the Trojan War: Perseus was indeed about five Descents before that Expedition; but the other Heroes I have named, were Grandfathers or Contemporaries with the Grandfathers or Fathers of the Warriors at Troy. But let us observe, that the Mythologists recorded many of their Heroes to be descended of the Gods, tho' other Persons were their real Parents: Thus Autolycus was said to be the Son of Mercury, when in truth Dædalion was

⁽b) Hyg. Fab. & al. (bj) Id. ibid. (i) Id. ibid. (k) Apollod. 1, 3. Ovid. Metam. (l) Ovid. ibid.

30 Connection of the Sacred Book X.

his Father (m), and this happened either, I. When an Hero had born the Name of one, who had lived Ages before him: In length of Time, the Father of the former came to be reputed the Father of the latter, both being taken for but one and the fame Man. This was the Case of Hercules: There were two of that Name, one indeed a Son of Jupi-ter(n): He lived Ages before the Son of Alcmena (o); but the latter Hercules having copied after the illustrious Actions of the former, in length of Time both were taken for one and the same Person, and the History and Parentage of both ascribed to him (p), and a Fable was eafily invented for the Wife of Amphitryon's being with Child by Jupiter (q). Or, 2. When Jupiter, Neptune, Mercury, and the other Persons ranked with them, came to be deified, Princes and Rulers thought it not only an Honour, but good Policy, and conducive to the Management

⁽m) `Αυτίλυκος — λεγόμενος δ' Ερμέ τῶς Ενω, Δωβαλίωνος β' εν τιβ ἀληθε λύγω Paulan in Arcad. (n) Diodor. 1. 5. p. 236. (e) Η΄ εμκλία ἐμ Διὸς γενέδζ παμπόλλοις ἔτεσι πείττερεν τὰ γεινηθείνος ¾ Αλκιμύνης. Id. ibid. (p) Τὸν β Ἡ ᾿Αλκιμήνης Ἡ εσκλέα παι[ελῶς νεώτερν τό/ω, ἢ ζηλωτίμι γειόμειον τῆς τὰ παλαίε περαιρέσεως, διὰ τὰς ἀυτὰς ἀιτίας τυχών τε τῆς ἀλαινασίας, ἢ χείνων ἐγγειρίκον, διὰ τὶμί ὁμωνυμίαν τὸν ἀυτὸν ἐκαι, ἢ τος τα πειτερε περξείς εἰς τῶτον μεταπεσείν, ἀγνούκρον τῶν πολλών Τὰληθες. Id. ibid. (p) Vid. Apoll. Bibl. 1. 2. c. 4

of their Affairs, to derive their Pedigree from some of them. Alexander the Great would have done it in his Day (r), and Reasons of State were his Motives to it (s), and Arrian thought him to have as good a Title to it as the more ancient Heroes (t), and if the Matters were rightly confidered, not to be blamed for attempting it (u): It raised them high in the common Estimation, and they were reputed to have the greater Influence, Powers, and Protection, the greater the God was they could derive their Descent from (w); thus Paulanias thought that he might affert, that the Son of Pho-roneus would never have been esteemed equal to the Son of Niobe, upon a Suppofition that Jupiter was Niobe's Son's Father (x), and this was Homer's Reason for

⁽w) — χαλεπόν τοι ἐξιθενέος Κερνίωνος Παισίν ἐξιζεμεναι Ποταμοίο, περ ἐκγεγαϊστι. Τῷ κρείων μὲν Ζώς Ποταμῶν ἀλιμυρνέντων, Κρείων δ' αὖτε Διὸς γενεὴ Ποταμοῖο τέτυκ).

Hom, II. ¢. v. 184. (x) Ένω ή εῦ δ΄ εῖλα ὡς ἐν ξικελλεν ὁ πάς ἀντῷ Νιόξης παιθὶ Γσα ὁ τος δ. Διός τε εἶναι δοκῦντι. Paulan in Ccrinthiac. c. 34.

Vol. III. G Asteropæus

82 Connection of the Sacred Book X.

Asteropæus not being able to cope with Achilles: Asteropæus was faid to be the Descendant of a River-God only, but Achilles's Pedigree was deduced from Jupiter (y): It is easy to imagine, that when these Opinions were in Repute, Kings and Governours would be fond of ennobling themselves by the Divinity of their Ancestors, and they might find it no hard matter to fucceed in their Claims, when their Statesmen and Officers in the highest Employments might think Pretences of this Sort, how ill-grounded soever, yet capable of promoting the public good, by the Effect they might have upon both Prince and People (z): Their Vates or their Oracles could fecure them their Title (a), or History and Genealogies being but little known in these Times, it was easy to infert a God at the Head of a Family, and there might be no Necessity

⁽y) Homer ubi sup. (z) Utile esse civitatibus, ut se viri sortes, etiamsi falsum sit, ex Diis genitos esse credant: ut eo modo animus humanus, velut divinæ stirpis siduciam gerens, res magnas aggreciendas præsumat audaciūs, agat vehementiùs, et ob hoc impleat ipsas securitate seliciùs. Varro in Fragment. p. 45. Λεγως χή νεν άτος δ λεγος αλλάστις χρωμένος, ώς σουν αι πλεων μη θεδε αλλάστις άγκονης κώνητης, κών σουν αι πλεων μη θεδε αλλάστις άγκονης. Είναι μη θεδε αλλάστις αλμάστις κών κώνητης κών το και πλεων μη θεδε αλλάστις αλμάστις κών και τημασιες είναις είναι αμάστιστες είναι τημασιες είναι είναι αμάστιστες είναι τημασιες είναι ε

of going far back to do it with Security, and some Families were so fortunate, as to be divine this way by both Parents; Ulyffes's Descendants shone with this double Lustre (b). Or 3. The Gods were introduced into Families, to preferve their Honour, to prevent the Infamy of their Ancestors coming down to Posterity. Thus Tyro the Daughter of Salmoneus had two Children before she married, namely Pelias and Neleus the Father of Nestor (c), she loved to walk upon the Banks of Enipeus (d), but we are not told, who the Gallant was, she so often met there: When she came to be delivered, she took care to be in private (e), and got rid of the Children in the best manner she could (f), and was afterwards reputably married (g); thus she behaved in every step, like a Person sensible of having exposed her self to Infamy, but desirous to avoid it. Posterity derived Honour to her Descendants from the Accident: Neptune was faid to have been in Love with her, and in the Shape of the River Enipeus to have been the Father of her two

⁽c) Apollod. Bibl. I. r. c. g. (d) Ibid. (e) Γεινήτ σασα κρόσε Ανθόμας. ibid. (f) Παίνες δατιδησιibid. (g) Id. ibid.

Children (b). Thus again Danae the Daughter of Acrifius play'd the Harlot with Prætus (i), and her Father enraged at the Dishonour done his Family, would admit of no Excuse for her Misbehaviour, but exposed at Sea both her and the Infant (k): In After-ages a Fable was sufficient to clear her Character: Jupiter was faid to have been the Father of her Child, and to have wrought a Miracle to gain Access to her (1): The Greeks were not Historians in the early Times, and when their Poets and Mythologists began to dip into the Registries of Families, it would not have been born to have had the Vices of the Ancestors of the Great brought into open View, especially when Writers of Genius could readily, from the Theology then in Vogue and the Fable of the Age, find a reputable and fecure Cover for them: And one or other of these Reafons may evidently be affigned for the Instances to be met with of any of the reputed Gods of the Heathens being engaged in Gallantries with the Ladies of later Ages, than about the Times of Moses, and in particular for the several Pretences of Jupiter's having Descendants later than can be confistent with the Time of Life above supposed to belong to him.

⁽b) Apollod. Bibl. l. 1. c. 9. (k) Ibid. (/) Ibid.

⁽i) Id 1. 2. c. 4.

There is, I think, one Instance, which should not be intirely passed over without taking notice of it: It would place Jupiter not later, but a great deal earlier than his true Age. Jupiter is faid to have been the Father of Argus by Niobe Daughter of Phoroneus (m). This Argus fucceeded Phoroneus, and was King of Argos (n), and he began to reign there 110 Years after the first Year of Inachus (0) i. e. A. M. 2264 (p), which are 169 Years before the Birth of Moses; so that to suppose Jupiter to be the Father of this Argus, would be to place him above a Century and half earlier than the Times we have contended for: I might observe, that the most exact Writers took this Account of Argus's Descent to be rather common Opinion than real Fact (q): But there were two Argus's, one a King of Argos, who reigned there Ages before Jupiter was born; the other was furnamed Panoptes, and lived in Jupiter's Times, and Juno is faid to have committed Io to his Custody (r), but neither of them were descended from Jupiter: The former Argus was the Son of Arestor, and hence Ovid was probably led into a Mif-

⁽m) Hygin. Fab. 155. (n) Apollod. Bibl. 1. 2. c. 1. (a) Vid. Caftor, in Eufeb. Chronic. (p) For the first Year of Inachus's Reign was A. M. 2154. See Vol. II. B. 6. (q) Vid. Paulan. in Corinthiac. c. 22. c. 34. (r) Apollod. ubi 10p.

take, to think Panoptes Argus, whom he calls Arestorides (s), the Son of this Parent.
Arestor married Inachus's Daughter (t), and by her had Argus, who upon Phoroneus leaving no Son (u), succeeded to his Kingdom. The latter Argus was Son of Agenor, the Son (w), or perhaps Brother (x) of Jasus: Jasus, as has been said, was Father of Io, one of Jupiter's Concubines; fo that this Argus and Jupiter were indeed Contemporaries; tho' Argus was not descended from him. We must expect to meet some seeming Contrarieties in the Genealogies of these Times (y): But whoever will fearch may find fuch a Concurrence in the Accounts of fo many different Families, for the placing Jupiter where we have supposed him, and the Solution is so easy of most, if not of all, that can be offered to contradict it; that if this of Argus or any other fingle Instance could not be clearly refuted, yet it would not weigh against the Number that agrees to it.

When Jupiter succeeded his Father in his Kingdom, he found his People in some measure disposed for civil Life. Saturn had reduced them to some Regularity,

⁽i) Ovid, Metam. I. i. v. 624. Aristoridæ fervandam tradidit Argo. (g. Paulan, in Corinth. c. 16. (u) Id. c. 34. (u) Apollod. Bibl. I. 2. c. i. (x) Paulan, in Corinth. c. 16. (y) Οί μεν ἢ Ἑλλύνων λόγοι διάσοερι τὸ πλωονα, κὴ ἐχ πκισα ἐπὶ τοῖς γένεσιν εἰσί. Paulan, in Arcad. c. 53.

both of Diet and of Manners (z): Rites of religious Worship were instituted, and Rules thought of to promote the Peace of Society (a): Care had been taken to form their Language and their Sentiments (b), and by these means a Sense of Duty to their Gods, and a good Understanding, and Spirit of Justice and Integrity were promoted amongst them towards one another (c), and all this Saturn had done, not by Rigour of Power and Compulsion, not by Laws established with penal Sanctions (d), without Magistrates to enforce his Dictates (e), or to execute Vengeance upon, or restrain Offenders. He had trained them to a Simplicity of Manners, and they were led by the Influence and Authority of his Direction only, to pursue and practife what he dictated for the publick good, (f), and the great Peace and

⁽²⁾ Tis na 3' éaulir de sportes It direct status es clor que est para sur a. Diodor. Sic. 1. 5. p. 231. (a) Martelas il suotas il seguès tès meet top seur etanyinais, il tà meet top desur etanyinais, il tà meet top direct sur atablicat. Il ibid. (b) Arytouis diplir, il tae tor direct sur sees. Id. p. 232. (c) Etanyinais arabi tuite direct sur il top il top

⁽e) ——— nec supplex Turba timebat
Judicis ora sui, sed erant sine Judice tuti. Id. ibid.

(f) Δια των άρειλω τ δυνομίας, αδίκημα μεν μπόνο δλως όπο μπόνος συντελεώζ, πάι]ας η τες όττ των προμονίαν τότα τεταγμένας μαπάσιον δίον εζηπένας, τάσης ηδούης ανεμποδίτως αποπαύον]ας. Diodor. Sic. 1.5. p. 231.

Quiet, Ease and Content in which they lived, fenfible of no Wants, but what they had a Supply for, induced Posterity to call their Times the golden Age (a). When Jupiter became King, he brought in a new Scene of Life and Action: He taught his People to build Houses (b); to gather Corn, which till then had grown wild amongst the other Fruits of the Earth (c); and to preferve and use it for Food, and afterwards to fow and reap it in its Season (d): He introduced a Sense of Property, appointed Magistrates to dispenie Justice, and directed his Subjects to bring their Differences and Disputes before them, and to submit to their Determinations (e): Under his Encouragement, the Arts of working divers Sorts of Me-

Ovid. Metam.

หวกรณ, ม รสต์คุณ หลาสวิติรูณ. Diodor, ubi fup. Semina tum primum longis Cerealia fulcis Obruta funt. Ovid.

⁽a) Ovid. Metam. Hefiod. Έργ. ε) 'Husp' Diodor. ubi (up. (b) Γων δικιδη κα] απαλείο Δράν. Diodor. Tum primum fubiere domos, domus antra fuerunt, Et denfi fruites, et junctæ cortice virgæ.

 ⁽d) Diodor. I. 5. p. 232. In Saturn's Days.
 Contenti cibis nullo cogente creatis,
 Arbuteos fœtus montanaq; fraga legebant,
 Cornaque, et in duris hærentia mora rubetis,
 Et quæ deciderant patula Jovis arbore glandes. Ovid.
 (d) Και των καβεργασιαν ἀντα [Σίτν], & συλακων ἐπιστορίου καθεργασιαν ἀντα [Σίτν], & συλακων ἐπιστορίου καθεργασιαν ἀντα [Σίτν].

⁽ε) Πρώτον μεν η δάτόντων καταθάζαι αξί των άδικηκατων το δικανον ελλήλοις διόθυαι τες ανθρώτες, κ) το δία τι πράθων απος ήσωι κείσει η κ) δικας πείφ τάς άμφισδητήσως διαλύως. Diod. p. 233.

tals were attempted (f), Arms were invented for a Soldiery, and Men were trained and disciplined for War (g): Shooting with the Bow was much practifed (b), Improvements were made in Navigation (i), and Endeavours used for the taming and managing of Horses (k): Rules were agreed upon for the nursing and educating of Children (1), Musick and Phyfick were confiderably advanced (m), and decent Rites appointed for the Funerals of the Dead (n): And thus by a Variety of useful Designs he was adding Strength and Beauty, Ornament and Politeness to his Kingdom; for the Increase of which he in the next Place attempted a Correspondence with foreign States, and to this End affigned to one of his Sons the Office of Embassies, and made him his He-

⁽f) Λέγκοιν δ'ρετω γενέδζ τ΄ σεὶ τὰν σίδηςον ἐργασίας ἀπάσης ὰ τῆς πεὶ τὰν χαλκόν ὰ χευσὰν ὰ ἄργυσεν, ὰ τῶν ἀλλον ἔσα τω ἐκ τῶν εἰς ἐργασίαν ἐπθεχεται. Diodor. 1. 5. 235. (g) Πρῶτον κατατκάσαι πανοπλίαν, ὰ ἐρεσιώτας καθοπλίσαι, ὰ τω ἐν ταῖς μάχαις ἐναγώνιον ἐυἐργωαν ἀσηγήσαις. Id. ibid. (δ) Ἐυρετω ἢ ὰ πὰς γενέμενν διδάξαι τὰς ἐβγασίας τὰς ἐβγασίας τὰς ἐβγασίας. Id. ibid. (δ) Ἡ τοξέαν. Id. ibid. (δ) Ἡ μοῦτον χρήσαις τὰς καὶ δαλαμαν ἐργασίαις. Id. p. 233. (κ) Πεσσάπωσι ἢ ἀυτο ὰ ἡ τὸ ἱππες δαμάσει πρῶτον. Ibid. () Ἐυρεν τω των νητίων παιογίων δεριπτίαν. P. 235. (m) Τῆς κιθάσεις ἀρετὴν ἀναγοράνοι, ὰ τῆς κατ ἀυτω μεσικῆς ἔτι ἢ τω ἐπτακολο ἐπριμω ἐξενεῖκῶν. Ibid. (n) Λέγεται τὰ τὰς τας ρὰς ὰ τὰς ἐκροκὸς, ὰ τιμάς τῶν τεθνεώτων καταδεξαι, τὸ πὸ πεὸ ῆς χεθνον, μεθεμίας ἔσης ἐπημελείας πεὸ ἀυτώς. Ibid.

rald to proclaim Peace or War, and to conduct his Treaties and Alliances with the neighbouring Kingdoms (o). Thefe were the Arts, by which Jupiter endeavoured to cultivate his People; tho' we must not imagine that any of them were in his Days carried up to a Perfection, like what they were brought to in Afterages, nor that fo many and fuch divers Designs could be at once set on foot by him. The Persons, that are recorded to have been Affistant to him, and to have presided in their respective Provinces over the Designs committed to their Management, were Nettune and Pluto his Brothers, Juno his Wife, Vesta and Ceres his Sifters, Vulcan, Mars, Apollo, Mercury, Venus, Diana, and Minerva his Children (t), and afterwards Bacchus became the Author of Inventions, which caused his Name to be added to them (q): Jupiter must have been of Years of Maturity, before he could be ripe for the forming fuch a Kingdom as he projected, and confequently his Children must be grown up

⁽⁶⁾ το β προσώτησοι τὰς ἐν τοῦς πολύμεις γικομάνας επικερικώας κὰ διαλλαγοὰς κὰ σποκθαὰς. Diodor. Sic. L. g. 256. (γ) Δε μυσος το μυθος οργότη καρετιώ γεικοῦς τὰ ἀμπέκε κὰ τὰ τάθτην εργασίας, ἔτι β ὁποκοιῖας, κὰ τὰς πολλες των ἐκ τὰ ἀπάκεας καρτών ἐπθυσανείζου, κὰ τας χράας, κὰ τας τορράς παρεχεῶς τοῦς ἀνθρώποις επὶ ποι ἀν χρόιοι. Id. p. 236.

for the Employments he defigned them; and we must imagine him not to have asfigned them their Provinces, and confequently the Arts, which they were the Directors of, not to have been remarkably advanced, until they were of Age to cultivate and conduct them; and if we examine, we may find, that a due Time for all these particulars may be very well pointed out in the Term of Jupiter's Life, as we have above fettled it. Pluto one of Jupiter's Brothers was appointed not only to direct what Rites and Ceremonies should be used at Funerals, but also to declare what Honours should be paid to Persons deceased (r), in order to convey their Names, according to their Deferts, down to Posterity. And as 7upiter took care himself to settle the Meafure of his own Fame (s), and of the illustrious (t) Persons engaged with him in the Execution of his Designs, as well as to determine, what fort of Honours should be decreed to those who should come after them (u), it might well happen, that Jupiter and his Affociates should come down to After-ages in a Degree of

Honour

⁽r) Λέγεται τιμάς τῶν τεθτεώτων καταθέζαι. Id. p. 233. (s) Vid. Diodor. p. 234. (t) Eund. ibid. 4u) Τὸν ἔν Δία, λέγεται, τοῖς ἀξίςοις τῶῖ[ε] ἐξεῶν κὸ πρῶων, ἔτι ἢ ἀνθέῶν τὰς ἀζίας ἀπονῶμαι τιμάς, &c. Diodor. p. 234.

Connection of the Sacred Book X.

92

Honour higher than what any that lived after them could attain to, or than what would be given to any of his Ancestors or other Contemporaries, he having thus fettled both his own and their Fame in fuch manner and measure, as he and the Person under his Direction thought fit to record it. And from hence it might happen, that when the ancient Greek Heroes came to be reputed Gods, twelve only attained the highest Honours. They had their one common Altar at Athens (w), and it was usual to swear by them (x); the Romans called them the Di consentes (y), which Word is supposed to mean the same as consentientes, and to intimate, that these Gods consulted and agreed together about what was to be done, and fo, as has been hinted, the twelve Cretan Worthies did about their publick Inftitutions. The Cretan Worthies abovementioned were fix Men and fix Women, and thus the Di consentes were generally distinguished, as Varro suggests to us (z). Ennius has put the Names of the twelve Di confentes into the following Distich,

⁽²⁰⁾ Πεθὶ τὸν Εσμέν τῶν Δώθεκα Θεῶν. Plut. in Nicia. p. 531. (x) Μα τις Δώθεκα Θεῶς. Ariftoph. (y) Et quoniam (ut aiun.) Dei facientes adjuvant, priùs invocabo cos: nec ut Homerus et Ennius, Muias, fed xii Deos confenes. Varro de re Ruftica 1. 1. c. 1. p. 3. (z) ---Eos urbanos, quorum Imagines ad Forum auratæ flant, fex mares et forminæ totidem — Id. ibid.

Juno, Vesta, Minerva, Ceres, Diana, Venus, Mars, Mercurius, Jovis, Neptunus, Vulcanus, Apollo.

and these are the very Names of the twelve illustrious Persons, by whose joint Endeavours the ancient Cretan (aa) Polity was formed. They were enrolled with, and subordinate to Jupiter their President, in the Roll of Fame, settled for him and them in the Age they lived in; and hence it came to pass, that when he in After-ages, came to have divine Honours paid to him, they also, next to him, were revered above other Deities.

We must not imagine, that Jupiter found a ready and universal Concurrence of all the Cretans to submit to his Institutions: Undoubtedly he met with many Oppositions, tho' in Time he surmounted all: This, I think, we may well imagine, from the Character of his Times handed down to us. He was at the Head of but the silver Age (bb): The Commotions that were in his Days, gave the

⁽aa) For Juno is the Person, whom Diodorus calls Ε'ιλέθηα or Lucina. 'Ειλέθθηαν ή λαθεν σεν τάς τίκτεσας ἐπμέλειαν. Diodor. p. 235. Juno Lucina, ser opem. Ter. in Andria. Ad. 3. Scen. 1.

⁽bb) Sub Jove mundus erat, subility; argentea Proles.
Ovid. Metam.

Connection of the Sacred Book X.

94

Poets a Pretence to paint, in the best of Colours, the great Peace of his Father's Reign, when Wars and Fightings (a) were not heard of, and to fay of Jupiter's Times, that the former Days were better, tho' they did not judge wifely concerning this Matter (b). After-ages felt still greater Troubles; so that Jupiter's Times were happier than what followed (c), tho' they were not thought to be without Alloy: The ancient Writers hint to us, that many of the Descendants of his Ancestors lived under his Government, or were in Alliance with him: The Curetes, who were descended from his Grandfather's Brother (d), lived with their Families in his Kingdom: Their Dwellings were in the Groves and shady Valleys: They were Shepherds and Managers of Cattle (e): He had part of his Education amongst them (f), and we may suppose them well affected to him, and ready to support him with all their Influence and Strength in executing the Defigns, for which they in some measure

⁽a) In Saturn's Reign, Non Tuba directi, non æris cornua flexi,

Non Galeæ, non Ensis erat, sinc Militis usu Molha securæ peragebant otia Gentes. Ovid. Met.

(b) Eccles vii. 10. (c) Tho' Jupiter's Age was thought to be auro deterior, yet it was sulvo pretiosor ære. Ovid. whis sup. (d) Diodor. l. 3. p. 130. (e) Id. l. 5. p. 231. (f) Id. p. 233. Apollod. Bibl. l. 1. c. 1.

had perhaps formed him (g): The Centimani lived, as I have observed, in Tartarus (b): They were in Alliance with Jupiter; for he sent his Captives in War to them, and they fent him out (i) of their Dominions fuch Perfons as he might want, or could be of Service to him: The Cyclopes were his Artificers, and made him Armour, and Instruments of War, for his Soldiery (k): The only confiderable Families that opposed him, were the Titanes, who were Brothers of his Father Saturn (1), and their Dependants, and the Children of Ops, who were the Giants of their Age and Country (m): With the *Titanes*, we are told, he had a ten Years War (n); but that at length he took them Prisoners, and sent them to Tartarus (o): Diodorus Siculus gives an excellent Character of these Men (p), and Homer feigns them to have

become

⁽g) The Pastoral Life was in high Esteem in the early Times, and it was thought not foreign to the Education of a Prince, for him to be in some measure acquainted with the Arts of it. Xenophon says, Παραπλισια έργα εναι νοικών αγαθές δασιλέως έγαθε πίντε 30 νοικών χρηναι, έρη, διθαίμοια τα κίμηνη ποιέντα χρηνα αυτοίς, τύντε δατιλέα ώταυ ως διαμονας πόλεις κ) ανθρώπας ποιείθα χειόλ αυτοίς. Χεοπορh de Institut Cyri 1. 8. (b) Vid. quæ sup (i) Apoll. 1. 1. c. 2. (k) Ibid. (l) Diodor, p. 131. Apoll. 1. 1. (m) Apollod. 1. τ. c. 6. (n) Apoll. 1. 1. c. 2. (o) Ibid. (p) — ων έχασν τινών δίρτην γενέδη τοις ανθρώποις, κ) δια την είς απανίας δεργεσίας τυχών τιμών κ) μνημης αξεγνάς. Diodor, p. 231.

become the Gods of the Country (q) into which they were thus fent as Captives: Pausanias indeed remarks, that Homer was the first that said this of them (r); but probably he might be led to it by fome Opinion of their having been useful Persons in the Place they lived in, agreeable to what Diodorus afterwards thought of them: When the Titanes were no longer able to head the Opposition, Jupiter soon composed Matters with their Children: He married several of their Daughters; and their Sons removed out of Crete, and planted Kingdoms in other Lands. With the Giants Jupiter had several Engagements: These Men would not be tied down to any focial Laws; They took for their Subfiftence what the Earth afforded, where-ever they could find it, and the Improvements made in Jupiter's Dominions invited them to frequent Incursions, to plunder the Inhabitants: They would come under no Direction of Jupiter's Appointments for the Preservation of Property; but took away from those, who lived near their Dwel-

^{(9) -} Θεὰς δ' διόμητεν ἄπαν] 25 Τὰς ὑποταρταρέυς, δι Τιτήγες καλέονται.

Ιί. ξ. ν. 279. (γ) Τιτάνας ή πρώτος ἐς στίπσιν ἐσήγαγεν "Ομερες, θεὸς ἄναι σοᾶς ὑπὸ τιβ καλυμέν Ταρταρφ. Paulan, in Arcad. c. 37.

lings, whatever they had a (a) mind to; fo that there could be no publick Safety, until a stop could be put to this Licentiousness, which in a little Time was effected by the Deaths of these Men, who were all slain by Jupiter and his Associates (b).

When Jupiter had settled his Affairs in Crete, he and his Worthies obtained themselves great Fame in foreign Lands: Diodorus says, they travelled over almost all the World (c); but their visiting the Cities and States of Greece was enough to cause this Report of them: There were feveral Kingdoms growing up in these Countries at this Time; but the political Arts were here but in their Infancy, and fo great a Master of them as Jupiter, from what has been faid of him, must appear to have been, may very well be supposed to be capable of instructing others in many Points conducive to their public Welfare: He and his Agents were at all Times ready to affift, with their Persons or Advice, any Kingdom that thought fit to apply to them, and they always acquitted themselves so honourably, to the feveral States that had made

VOL. III.

⁽a) Σώματος τώροχαϊς κ) βώμαις πεποιθύτας κατα-θεκτώς μέν πλησιοχώρες, απειθείν ή τε δικαίε τιθεμένους νόμοις, Diod. 1. 5. p. 234. (b) Apollod. Biblioth. 1. 1. c. 6. (c) Επεκθείν τιω δικομέντω χερδιν άπαeav. Diodor. 1. 5. p. 234. H

them Application, and were fo fignally useful and beneficial to them, that a great Sense of the good they had done went down to Posterity, and in After-ages when they were deified, each City took for its tutelar Divinity fome one of these Cretans, him or her, to whom their Ancestors had been obliged in this manner: And this is what Apollodorus fuggests to us: He fays the Gods chose their Cities, in which each was to have their particular Honours (d); and thus Minerva became the Deity of the Athenians (e), Juno of Samos (f) and others of them the Gods of other Cities: I would observe that the Time, which Apollodorus fixes for this Choice of their favourite Cities, fuits exactly with the Age in which we place Jupiter: He says it was in the Days of Cecrops (ff), probably a little before his Death, about A. M. 2472 (g). Neptune and Minerva went at this Time to Attica, but they differed when they came there in their Advice to the Athenians: Neptune thought their Situation ought to direct them to Sea-affairs: Minerva was for having them lay the Foundation of

⁽d) Έλοξε τοις θεοις πόλεις καθαλαδέδλ, δυ αις έμελλου έχειν τικάς 181ας έκοςος. Apollod. I. 3. c. 13. (ε) Id. ibid. Plutarch. Sympof. 1. 9. Qu. 6. (f) Plutarch. ibid. (f) Apoll. bli (p. (g) Cecrops died A. M. 2473. See Vol. II. B. 8.

their Prosperity upon other Arts: We are told that Neptune and Minerva were fo warm in this Contest, that Jupiter came over to decide it (b); and that Minerva's Advice was at length agreed to be taken, and thus Athens came to be reputed to be her City (i). Mars at this time was probably amongst other Attendants upon Jupiter, as Halirrothius the Son of Neptune might come with his Father: Agraulos one of the Daughters of Cecrops was given to Mars to be his Wife, and Halirrothius attempted to force her, upon which Mars killed him (k), and this was the Crime for which Mars was tried in the Court of Areopagus A. M. 2473 (1), and thus as to Time, the feveral Hints we have of the Lives and Actions of these Men do perfectly well agree to what is above fixed for the Epoch of them.

About the Year of the World 2476, Jupiter, as has been before hinted, made an Expedition into Arcadia: Lycaon was King there, a Prince of some Fame, and surrounded with a numerous Offspring (m), but of most savage Manners: He shed human Blood at his Sacrifices (n): He re-

⁽b) Apollod, ubi fup. (i) Id. ibid. (k) Apollod. ibid. (l) Id. ibid. Marm. Arundell. Ep. 3. See Vol. II. B. 8. (m) Paufan. in Arcadicis. Apollod. Bibl. 1. 3. c. 8. (n) Iid. ibid.

ceived Jupiter with an Appearance of Hospitality, but at the Entertainment the Body of a Child was ferved up to the Table (0): Jupiter moved at the fight of fuch a Preparation, with the Help of his Attendants attacked Lycaon (p): Lycaon is faid to have been turned into a Wolf(q), and fome learned Writers have imagined, that a frantic Madness seized him, and that he died of a Distemper, that might countenance this Fiction (r): I should rather think, that he fell by the Hand of (s) Jupiter, and that the Fable of his being turned into a Wolf was invented Ages after his Death. By an Hint we have in Paujanias, it looks as if the Arcadians did not leave off their barbarous Custom of eating human Flesh, at the Death of Lycaon; for he tells us of a Man, fome Years after Lycaon, who was turned into a Wolf for ten Years, upon his partaking of a Banquet of human Flesh; and adds, that if in that ten Years he had not entirely abstained from such Food, he must have continued a Wolf all his Life after (t): Plato treats the Representation of this Person being turned into a

⁽o) Pausan. in Arcad. Apol. ibid. (p) Apollod. ubi sup. (q) Pausan. ubi sup. (r) The learned Writers who were of this Opinion, are cited by the present Lord Bishop of Durham, in his most excellent Vindication of his Desence of Christianity, p. 25. (s) Vid. Apollod. (t) Pausan in Arcadicis. c. 2.

Wolf as a Fable, and moralizes it to express his having been a Tyrant, such an one being indeed as a Wolf to his People (u). In Length of Time the Arcadians extinguished from amongst their People the favage Appetite abovementioned; and perhaps the Method by which they reformed them was by an annual Commemoration of the Benefits O they had received from the Hands of Jupiter. In After-ages they erected an Altar to him by the Name of Lycaus, and instituted the Lupercalia to his Honour, and when they performed the Services appointed at this Solemnity, perhaps the Barbarities of Lycaon, and of some other Person, who was afterwards for ten Years not unlike him, might be recited to the People in fuch a manner as to occasion the Fable, that was told afterwards of both of them. Paulanias, as well as Apollodorus, imagined Jupiter to have really been a Deity at the Time of these Transactions (w): Paufanias supposes Lycaon himself to have at this time been a Worshipper of Jupiter; that he had dedicated the Altar, and instituted the Lupercalia (x): But the Marble fuggefts a more probable Time for the Rife both of the Games and Altar; namely, in the

⁽a) Plato de Repub. 1. 8. p. 724. Arcadic. Apollod. Bibl. 1. 3. c. 8. (cv) Paufan, in (x) Ibid. Reign

102 Connection of the Sacred Book X.

Reign of Pandion the Son of the second Cecrops, who was King of Athens above 200 Years after the Times of Lycaon (y): Paufanias and Apollodorus had neither of them formed a true Judgment of the Progress of the Heathen Idolatries, nor were they apprized, that the Greeks did not worship Heroe-Gods in these Ages; but that the Elements and Lights of Heaven were at this Time the Objects of their Devotion (z): fupiter himself paid his Worship to these Gods: He offered his Sacrifices to the Sun, to the Heaven, and to the Earth (a); fo that it must be impossible, that whilst Jupiter was alive, and known to be but a mortal Man, and was himself a Worshipper of Divinities_ of a superior Nature, any King or People whatever could imagine him a God, and erect Altars and offer Sacrifices to him. We cannot at this distance of Time form any certain Judgment of the then State of the Arcadians: But from the Stay 'fupiter made in this Country, from the appearing good Understanding between him and Lycaon's Children, and from

^(*) Marmor. Arundell. Ep. 18. (2) Φαίνοι μεν δι πωτοι τῶν α. διώπων ἀεὶ των Γελάδα τότες μένες δενς την Επιδάραν, πλιον, κη σκλωίν κη γυη, κη άρεση κη ένασο. Plat. in Cratylo. (a) Ποι ή τι μαχές τος τὸς 212 απας τὸς εν Κείτη, λεγέταν τη Δία δίδενα πλιω κη εκριό κη γη. Diodor. 1. ς. p. 224.

the Honour which the Arcadians paid to his Memory in After-ages, we may justly imagine, that Lycaon's Cruelties had made both his Children and Subjects weary of him; that they were all ripe for a Revolt, and that Jupiter found it no hard matter to deliver his Subjects out of his Hand, and to fettle their Affairs to their universal Satisfaction. Apollodorus indeed reports all the Sons of Lycaan, except Nyctimus, to have been killed by Jupiter (b); but from Pausanias this appears not to have been Fact; for after Lycaon's Death they separated into divers Parts of the Country, and built each his City, except Oenotrus, who went away with a Colony into Italy (c). NyEtimus succeeded Lycaon in his Kingdom (d), and Jupiter stayed fome time with him, and probably affifted him in the fettling his Affairs, and during his Stay courted Callifto Sister of Nyctimus (e), of whom was born Arcas, who, at the Death of Ny Etimus, was made King of Arcadia(f).

Jupiter and his whole Family were at Thebes in Baotia at the Wedding of Cadmus (g). Jupiter then gave Harmonia to Cadmus to be his Wife; for Harmonia was not the Daughter of Mars and Ve-

⁽b) Apollod, ubi fup. (c) Paufan, in Arcad. (d) Ibid. (e) Ibid. (f) Paufan, et Apollod. (g) Apollod. 1. 3. c. 4.

nus, as many of the ancient Writers fuggest (b); but the Daughter of Jupiter and Sister of Dardanus (i): Cadmus married about 8 Years after he came to Thebes (k); fo that his Wedding was celebrated about A. M. 2494, and in this Year therefore Jupiter and his Cretan Worthics made him this Visit: About one or two and twenty Years after, when Semele, who was born of this Marriage, was grown up, Jupiter came to Thebes again, and grew enamoured of Semele: The Mythologists say of Semele, that she wished to find Jupiter's Embraces such as Juno had experienced them (1): Semele was very young when Jupiter address'd her; but Jupiter was above ninety Years old (m): Semele might not be fond of the Disparity of his Years; but would have liked him better, if he had been no older than when he married Juno: However, the was with Child by him, and probably died of hard Labour at the Birth of Bacchus, and her being thus loft, and the Child preserved, added to some such

⁽b) Apollod. l. 3. c. 4. Paufan in Bootic c. 5. Hygin. Fab. 148. (i) Vid. Diodor. Sic. l. 5. p. 223. (b) Vid. Apollod. l. 3. c. 4. (b) Vid. Diodor. Sic. l. 3. p. 138.

Qualcm Saturnia, dixit,
Te folet amplecti, Veneris cum scedus initis,
Da mihi te talem Ovid. Metam.
(m) Vid. quæ sup.

Story, as I have suggested, about the Difference between her Age and Jupiter's, was ground enough for the Mythologists to invent all they offer about the Death of Semele, and the Birth of the Grecian

Bacchus (n).

We are not told how long Jupiter lived, nor who fucceeded him in his Cretan Dominions; and I am apt to imagine, that when he died, no one Person became King of the whole Island: The brasen Age came next after the silver Times of Jupiter (f); an Age of great Wars and Commotions in the then known World (gg): Colonies about this Time marched from many Countries to find Settlements; and Crete seems to have been invaded by fome of them (bb), and not to have been united again under one Head until the Days of Minos (ii): and the unsettled State the Island might come into by this new Scene, might occasion a Failure of its History as to the Deaths of Jupiter, and the illustrious Persons who had acted with him; tho' the Records of their great Exploits fettled before their Deaths might come down to all Posterity. After-ages took Jupiter for a God, nay for the supreme God of both Hea-

⁽n) Diodor, ubi sup. Ovid. Metam. (fine py 2) Halls, lib. 1. (gg) Ibid. (bit Sic. 1. 5. p. 238. (ii) Ibid.

⁽ff) Hefiod. (bb) Diodor.

ven and Earth (0), and when these Notions of him took place, whatever Memoirs there might have been sound of his having once been a mere Man, would of course be difregarded, and in Time lost: The Cretans pretended, that they had in their Country the Tomb of Jupiter (p); but Callimachus thought the Divinity of Jupiter to be a sufficient Consutation of all they had to offer about it: He says,

Κρητες αὰ ψόνται ὰ ηδ τάφον, ὧ ἄνα, σείο Κρητες ἐτεκίἡνανῖο σὐ Ν' ἐ ઝάνες, ἐσσὶ ηδ ἀιὰ (q).

Whether the *Cretans* had really fuch a Monument as was pretended; or whether what the *Scholiast* writes, was the Fact, we cannot say: The *Scholiast* upon *Callimachus* remarks, that the Inscription of the Monument was originally $MIN\OmegaO\Sigma$ TOY $\Delta IO\Sigma$ TA $\Phi O\Sigma$. i. e. *The Tomb of Minos Son of Jupiter*; that Length of Time had worn out the Word $MIN\OmegaO\Sigma$, so that the remaining Part was only, TOY $\Delta IO\Sigma$ TA $\Phi O\Sigma$, or what we in *English* should render, *The*

⁽a) Vid. Hefiod. Homer, et al. (b) Cretenfem, Saturni Filium, cujus in illä Infulå fepulchrum oitenditur. Cic. de Nat. Deor. l. 3. c. 21. (c) Callimach. Hymn. 1. in Jovem. v. 8.

Tomb of Jupiter, and that the unobserving Reader, not taking notice of the Word, which Time had defaced, took it for fupiter's Sepulchre, when it was only Minos's, who had the Honour to be thought to be descended from him (r).

If we consider Jupiter's Politics, we must allow him to have been a Man of as great natural Wisdom and Sagacity, as perhaps any Age ever produced: His Father Saturn had taken some Steps towards civilizing the People: In Saturn's Days, the forming a Language and introducing a Method of Reasoning was made a Science (s), and undoubtedly a rational Foundation might be thus laid for Government and Society: Good Maxims (t) might be agreed upon for a right way of Thinking; or in other Words, good Principles instilled, and an uninformed Populace led infenfibly to Sentiments conducive to Peace and good Order: But all the Happiness that might this way be promoted, would not, without further Methods to establish and support it, have been either of a large Extent or a long Continuance. When Saturn opened to his People the Prospects of the

⁽r) Vid. Marsham. Can. Chronic. p. 243. (1) Φασὶ Μνημοσύνων λογισμικ δύρεν, 2) τὰς τῶν ὁνομάτων θέσεις ἐκάς ω τῶν ὁνομάτων θέσεις ἐκάς ω τῶν ὁνομάτων θέσεις. Δίσθος. Sic. 1. 5. p. 232. (1) Αἱ τὸ ἄμισοι περτάσεις ἀρχαὶ. Aristot, Analyt. post. 1. 1. c. 32.

golden Age, the Scene was new, and it was a Scene of Plenty without Trouble, and I can apprehend no great Difficulty there could be, to lead Men to like it: He reduced them from a favage to an human Diet (u),

He persuaded them, not to eat and devour one another; but to live in Peace and Security, and enjoy the Plenty, which from the living (x) Creatures, and the natural Fruits of the Earth, their Island would afford in abundance for all of them: But this Happiness must have had an End. As their Numbers increased, their Flocks and Herds not duly managed would have failed; the natural Produce of the Isle, not improved by Tillage, would have been eat up, and the Land

Metam.

 ⁽u) Ανθρώπες Ιξ αγείε διαίτης εις είνν ημετοενισαι, Diodor. p. 231.
 (w) Horat. lib. de Arte Poetic.
 (x) The Poets imagine that Men eat no Flish in their golden Age: Thus Ovid,

At vetus illa Ætas, cui fecimus Aurea nomen, Fœtibus arbòreis, et quas humus educat herbis Fortunata fuit, nec polluit ora cruore.

but I should imagine, that this was not true of the Days of Saturn: The Heathen Writers found Memoirs of Men's having anciently lived on a wegetable Diet, and for want of true History they assumed of many subsequent Ages, what perhaps was Fast only until the Days of Noah.

in Time would not have been fufficient to bear them: This was what Jupiter had to provide against, and in order to it he fettled Property; introduced Arts; brought his People to be willing to quit the Ease and Inactivity of Saturn's Halcyon-Days, and to engage in a Variety of Cares and Labours each in his own Province, that Improvements might be made; a Plenty produced of all the Conveniences of Life, and a due Course settled, for their circulating in a proper Method to all Sorts and Ranks of Men: And this was a Scene of Life, which tho' Reason would clearly point to, yet Argument alone would not have been able to maintain against Opposers. We find that, when the Limitations of Property were introduced into Society, the aceless and the hyral (y), Men, that would not be tied down to them, appeared in every Country: These Men would have argued themselves to have had Natural Rights to the Common Life, and all Saturn's Art of reasoning and persuading might not have prevailed upon them to depart from it. But Jupiter had a Genius for Business as well as for Speculation, and knew how both to project, what was proper to be agreed upon, and to give his Schemes a full Effect amongst the People, and in order

⁽y) Diodor. Sic. 1. 5.

hereto, 1. He married the Lady, who had the Province of forming the Reasonings of the Cretans (2), and this undoubtedly was a wife Step; for hereby he fecured himself, that nothing should proceed from her Art to oppose or contradict him; rather he became able to dispose all her Influence and Art to promote the Purpofes which he intended. 2. In the next Place, he gathered a Soldiery, and disciplined them for War (a). He provided himself a Power, to give weight to his Directions, to protect all that would come into them, and to discourage and suppress those that might oppose him. But 3. He did not exercise this Power so as to render himself odious, but rather he gained the Affections of his People by his Use of it: He appointed Magistrates, and communicated a Share of his Authority, and this in a manner fo popular, that tho' he was the first that appears in this Country to have had any true Power to govern, yet he obtained the Character of an Opposer of Tyranny, and was thought not to advance the Prerogative of Kings, but to be a Promoter of the Liberties of the People (b):

⁽²⁾ Diodor. 1. 5. p. 232. Apollod. Bibl. 1. 1. c. 3. Heliod. βεοχει· (a) Diodor. p. 235. (b) Ἐπεκδείν ὅ ἀντὸν χς των δικεμένων φεδόν ἄπασαν — Ισότντα χς τω δημοκεστίαν εσηγάμενο. Diodor. 1. 5. p. 234. Κερίκ ὅ γενόμενον ζών Δία τὸν ἐναυτίον τῶ πατεί 61ον ζηκῶσαν, χς παρεχόμενον ἐαυτὸν πᾶσιν ἐπωκῶ χς ριλάι-βρωπον, &c. Diodor. 1. 3. p. 136.

4. Jupiter

4. Jupiter appointed his Wife Juno and his Children to teach the feveral Arts and Sciences that were necessary for the Improvement of his People, and Diodorus Siculus has recounted to us the feveral Provinces that belonged to each of them (e). 5. His Brother Neptune (f) had the Care of his Navy. 6. Pluto had the Province of determining what Ceremonies should be used at Funerals, what Honours should be decreed to dead Persons, who had deferved well of the Public (g); fo that hereby all were excited to endeavour to promote the public Welfare, and by Rewards of the greatest Influence over the most active Spirits (b), and the most likely to raise an Emulation, to support the Government (i), rather than to be a means to enable any to weaken and undermine it. 7. He diligently watched over and feverely punished every Attempt that might be made by any private Man, to disengage his People from a strict Adherence to the public Institutions, and therefore made an Example of the unhappy Pro-

⁽e) Diodor. l. 5. (f) Ibid. p. 233. (g) Τὸν το Α΄ Δ΄ Μω, λέγεται τιμάς τῶν τεθνεώτων καταθάξαι. ibid. Τὸν τὰν Δια λέγκσι μὰ μόνον ἀρθω ξε ἀνθρεώτων ἀρανίσαι τὰς ἀσεθάς τὰ πονηρές, ἀλλὰ τὰ τοῦς αφίσοι τῶν ἀνθρεών τὰς ἀξίσε ἀπονάμαι τιμάς. ld. p. 234. (b) Οἱ μὰν ἐν πολλοὶ ἀνθεαποθώθαις φαίνοι αι θοσκημάτων είον περακμενοι. οἱ τὸ χαείεν εκ το πρακτικοὶ τιμίο. Ariftot. de Morib. l. r. c. 3. (i) Vid. Polyb. Hist. l. 6. p. 633.

metheus, who ventured to teach Men the Arts he was Master of, without having obtained a publick Appointment for his

teaching them.

I am fensible that the Mythologists have so disguised the Story of Prometheus, by their manner of telling it, that it may be thought impossible to ascertain what was in Fact either his Crime or his Punishment: But let us examine and then judge of what they fay about it. Hyginus relates, that before Prometheus, Men were wont to ask for Fire from Heaven, and did not know how to keep it from going out, when they had it; that Prometheus brought it down to the Earth on a Ferula (k), and taught Men to preferve it in Ashes; that Mercury hereupon at the Command of Jupiter nailed him down to Caucasus, and set an Eagle to eat his Heart, which grew by night as the Eagle eat it by Day; that after 30 Years (1) Hercules killed the Eagle, and set Prometheus at Liberty. Thus Hyginus relates

⁽k) The Commentators upon the Greek Poets seem to have thought the vapon of Perula a sort of Tinder Box, εξι γο πεταλα α sort of Tinder Box, εξι γο πυρος ενίμος ενίμος ελ ναρολης, η πίαν έχων μαλαχώστητα η τέρων το πέρ, η μια αποσεννύναι δυναμένω. Procl. ad Hesiod. έργ. η ημερ. and perhaps Hyginus was of this Opinion. He says that Prometheus, after he had got the Fire, lettus volare non currer videretur, ferulam jactans ne spiritus interclusus vaporis extingueret in angustia lumen. Poetic. Astronom. c. xv. (1) In another Place he says 30000 Years. Astronom. c. xv.

the Fable of Prometheus (m); he has enlarged it, in some Circumstances, in his Astronomy (n): According to this Account, the teaching Men how to kindle Fire feems to have been what Prometheus was famous for, and this Opinion may feem to be countenanced by an Hint of Diodorus Siculus (o); by the Account we have in Pausanias of an Altar erected in the Academy at Athens (p); and by what Plato said of Prometheus (q): But I cannot think this was the Fact; for 1. The ancient Greek Mythologists, and those who copied from them, tell the Story quite another way (r): Their Accounts are, that he made Men and animated them with Fire. 2, The supposed Fact upon which Hyginus's Fable depends, was not true, for it was not Prometheus, but Phoroneus who first taught the Greeks to kindle Fire(s). 3. The Altar at Athens mentioned by Pau-Janias was either of no Note, very modern, or more probably, what was faid of it in Paufanias's Time relating to Prome-

 ⁽m) Hyg, Fab. 144.
 (n) Poetic. Astronom. c. xv.
 (o) Πρὸς ἀλιθθιαν δ'εὐρετω γενόμενον τῶν πυρέων, ἐξ ἔν ἐνκαθεται το πῦρ. Diodor. Sic. l. ς. p. 232.
 (p) Ἡν ἀλιθθιαν διὰ τὶρομηθεως Εωμός, κ) θέωνιν απ ἀυτὰ πρὸς τω πόλιν ἐχρηθες καιομένας λαμπάθας' τὸ ἢ ἀγράνισμα ἐμοῦ το βρόμο φυλάζαι τὴν βάθα ἔτι καιομένην, ἐς ἐν. Pausan. in Atric. c. 30.
 (q) Πῦρ μὲν παρά Πομηθεως - (γ) Αροllodor. l. 1. c. γ. Fulgentii Mythol. l. 2. c. 9. Tatian. Orat. ad Grac. Clem. Alex. Strom. l. 5.
 (s) Pausan. in Corinthiac.

theus, was not true; for Lucian is express, that Prometheus never had Temple or Altar any where dedicated to him (t). 4. What Plato fays of Prometheus's giving Men Fire, was not meant in the literal Sense; but in Allusion to the Greek Fable of his having made Men (u). 5. If his teaching Men how to kindle Fire had been the Fact committed by him, how could this have deferved Punishment? Lucian's Ridicule of this Notion is fufficient to induce any one to think, that the Ancients could never have imagined a Man condemned for an Invention of fuch Use and Service to Mankind. And for these Reasons I should think, that this Account of Hyginus was not the true ancient Mythos about Prometheus; but rather an Opinion of some later Fabulists, who thought they could this way find an easier Solution of what was said about him. The Soul of Man was thought, by Philosophers more ancient than the Stoics, to confift of Fire: It was an ancient Opinion that the Hebrew Word [Aish] for Man, was derived from [Aesh], which in that Language fignifies Fire (w), and very probably the Philosophy of the Times, in which what is faid of Prometheus was first recorded, led those, who

⁽¹⁾ Vid. Lucian. in Prometheo. (1) Vid. Platon. Protag. p. 224. (w) Euleb. Præp. Evangel. l. xi. c. 6.

framed the Mythos of him, to fay he gave Fire to his Men; but not in that low and vulgar Sense, in which some Writers of later Ages imagined (x). But let us see what the Greek Writers say of him. They tell us, that having made Men of Water and Earth, he gave them Fire, without Jupiter's Knowledge; that Jupiter for this Fact ordered Vulcan to nail him down upon Mount Caucafus, where an Eagle for many Years preyed upon his Liver, until at length Hercules delivered him (y): This is their Account of him: Let us now examine, what they could defign to intimate by it. Lucian indeed tells us, that the Athenians called the Potters, who made earthen Vessels and hardned them with Fire, Prometheus's (z); but then he owns them to be the Wits that talked thus (a), and this is indeed making a Jest of, but not explaining the ancient Fables: The Philosophers treated these Matters in a more ferious Way (b): We have in Eusebius what one of them would have

⁽x) Nec vero Atlas sustinere cœlum, nec Prometheus affixus Caucaso—traderetur, nisi cœlestium divina cognition nomen eorum ad Errorem Fabulæ traduxisset. Cic. Tusc. Disput. 1. 5. c. 3. (y) Apollod. Bibl. 1. 1. c. 7. (z) Lucian. in Prometneus. (a) They were the Jesters upon Prometheus's Materials, the ἐπισκόπ] ντες ες τον πηλον, κ) την ἐν στυεὶ οπησιν. ibid. (ξ) Vid. Platon. in Protag. Cic. ubi sup.

faid upon the Subject (c): Prometheus, he fays, was fabuloufly reported to have made Men, because being a wise Man, he reformed by his Instructions Men, who were in a State of the groffest Ignorance, and Plato tells us, what the Fire was, which he stole and added to them; namely the Arts, which Vulcan and Minerva taught the People(d). Science is the Fire, the Life of Man, and tho' none but God did ever form Man of the Dust of the Earth, and breathed into him the Breath of Life, so as to cause Man to become a living Soul(e); yet, what is faid of Prometheus, taking it in the Sense we have now offered, is not inelegant; tho' Fables and Similitudes are not to be too strictly taken; nor can instructing Men be absolutely said to be making, and giving Life to them. And now we may fee how Prometheus offended Jupiter, and why Jupiter put a stop to him: Jupiter had appointed proper Perfons to instruct his Cretans, and agreeably to what was the Sense of Joshua, who attended upon Mojes (f), he thought it po-

⁽c) Προμήθευς—δς πλάτζεν ἀνδρώπες ἐμυθάετο. σοξὸς βὸ ἀν εἰς παιθείαν ἀυτὰς δπό τὰ ἀχαν ἰδιατείας μετέπλατζεν. Eufeb. in Can. Chronic. an. 332. (d) Ανδραπος σοςίαν τω πολιτικώ ἐκ ἐχεν—ἐς β τὸ τὸ ᾿Αθνοάς κὰ Η'φαίς κοικημα τὸ κοινὸν ἐν ὡ ἐφιλοτεχνείτην, [Προμήθευς] λαθών ἐσέρχεται, κὰ κλέψας τωθε ἔμπυρον τέχνην τω τε Η φαίς κα λιω ἀλλην τω της ἔμπυρον τέχνην τω τε Η βαίς κα λιω ἀλλην τω της «Αθνοάς, δίδαση ἀνδεράφω. Plat. in Protag. p. 224. (e) Gen. ii, τ. (/) Numbers xi. 28.

litically unfafe to permit any to be their Teachers, but those who derived their Authority from him, and therefore Prometheus, who had no fuch Authority, was treated by him as a Corrupter and Seducer of the People. It is not so easy to fay, what the Punishment was, which Jupiter inflicted on him: What is told of the Eagle preying upon his Heart or Liver, is indeed a mere Fable, and we have Hints, that lead to the Rife of it: Herodotus remarks, that the Greeks had the Names of almost all their Gods out of Egypt (g), and Diodorus observes, that there had been Men in Egypt of all the several Names, which the illustrious Greeks were afterwards distinguished by: Sol, Saturn, Rhea, Jupiter, Juno, Vulcanus, Vesta and Mereurius were Names, that had been given to famous Egyptians (b), and thus the Egyptians had their Prometheus (i), and he

⁽g) Herod. 1. 2. c. 50. (b) Diod. Sic. 1. 1. p. 8. We must not understand either Herodous to mean, that the Greeks took the Egyptians Words for the Names of their Gods, or Diodorus, that the Egyptians had called their Heroes by the Greek Names; the Fast was this, the Greeks formed Names for their Cods and Heroes of the same Import in their Language, as the Egyptian Names were in the Egyptian, as Homo the Latin Word for Man expresses in Latin, what Adam the Hebrew Word does in Hebrew, both being of a like Analogy to the Word, which in each Language signifies the Ground, and this is wahat Herodous and Diodorus intended of the Greek and Egyptian Names; viz. that, as Diodorus expresses it, µedequivent heroedous and Diodorus intended of the Greek and Egyptian Names; viz. that, as Diodorus expresses it, µedequivent heroedous and Diodorus intended of the Greek and Egyptian Names; viz. that, as Diodorus expresses it, µedequivent heroedous another. (i) Diodor ibid.

118

was one of their Kings (k): In his Time the River Nile was called the | Eagle (1), and great Inundations happened in his Reign from the overflowing of the River, and the Concern he had for his-Country threw him into the deepest Melancholy (m). But Hercules, an Egyptian so called; for there were three Hercules's, and the first and most ancient was an Egyptian (n); Hercules, I say, embanked the River, retrieved the Country, and hereby (0) relieved the King from the Grief and Concern that preyed upon him; and from what was mentioned in the Egyptian Records of this Fact, the Greek Fabulists took occasion to say, that an Eagle preyed upon the Heart or Liver of Prometheus, until Hercules delivered him (p). And thus this Part of the Mythos was not originally intended of the Greek Prometheus; nor does it at all belong to him. However, he was bound down to Mount Caucasus: I imagine 7upiter banished him to some uncultivated

⁽k) Diodor. ibid. (1)—Διὰ των ὀξύτητα, κὸ των Καν το καξενεχθένζος ράζματος, τὸν μὲν ποταμὸν Αετὸν ὀγοκαθωία. Diodor. p. 11. (m) Τὸν ἢ Προμεις Θέα, διὰ των λύπων κινθυνόμεν, ἐκλιπέν τὸν Είον εκεσίως Id. ibid. (n) Diodor. l. 3. p. 145. (o) Lib. 10 p. 11. (p) Διὸ κὸ τῶν παρ Ἦλλησι ποιητών τινὰς εἰς μῦθον αγαγεῖν τὸ πραχθέν, ὡς Ἡρακλέως τὸ ᾿Αετὸν ἀγηγικότος τὸν τὸ τὰ Προμηθέως ἡπαρ ἐβίον]α. Diogor. p. 11.

Mountain called by that Name (q), where he was obliged to confine himself to live, until after some Years Jupiter recalled

him again (r).

The Hints we have in the ancient Writers, are too short to enable us to pretend to give a large Account of the respective Lives of the several Persons, that engaged with Jupiter in the Scenes, of Action, that made him and them confpicuous to the Age they lived in, and created them that Fame, which has come down to all Posterity: Fable has told us many Particulars of all of them; but a deal of this may be fet aside, by considering what can, and what cannot belong to the Age they lived in. I imagine they did not all fettle in Crete for their whole Lives. Apollo was a great Traveller, he vifited divers Parts of Greece.

⁽q) The Mountain Caucasus is generally placed by Geographers between the Euxine and Caspian Seas: Apollodorus calls it a Mountain of Scythia; but we cannot conceive Jupiter fould dispatch Prometheus to such a Distance from Crete: I should rather think some Mountain of Crete was called by this Name. As in After-ages very distant Nations received the Names of their Deities from this Island, so they might the Names of Mountains, Cities and Rivers also. We find, the Fable of Prometheus travelled almost all the World over. In Alexander's Time, Mount Caucasus, the Scene of his War, was said to be in India. See Strabo 1.15, p. 688. as before it had been placed in Asia. The Fable of one Age perhaps removed it from Crete into Pontus. a still later, with as much Truth, might carry it thence into India.

(r) Apollod. 1.2. c. 4. § 11.

120

endeavouring to form all he conversed with to an orderly and focial Life (s). Whether he began his Travels before or at the Death of *Jupiter*, I cannot determine: He came to Athens (t), went thence to Panopaus a City of Phocis(u), where he killed Tityus, a Man of huge Stature and Strength (w), and who oppressed and domineer'd over that Neighbourhood (x). From hence he went to Delphos, where Themis then lived (y): She was the Oracle of that Place (z), being probably a very wife Woman, capable of instructing the common People, in many useful Arts of Life. Python governed here with Violence and Cruelty (a), and would not have had Apollo admitted amongst his People: but Apollo prevailed against him and killed him (b): Python was also surnamed Draco (c), and hence the Fabulous Writers might take occasion to invent what they offer to us of Apollo's killing the huge Serpent called Python (d). Apollo feems to have lived the rest of his Life chiefly at Delphos; to have formed

and instructed the People here; and to have been so much respected and admired by them, that Posterity afterwards fixed him a Temple in this Place, and supposed him the God that gave the Oracles here, which were so much sought

to in After-ages.

We read of Pluto that he left Crete and went to Tartarus, and carried away Proserpine the Daughter of Ceres with him (e): Ceres her felf after her Travels in fearch of her Daughter (f), fettled in Attica (g), where she became so famous for the Method she taught for nursing Deiphon the Son of Celeus King of Eleusis, as to be faid by a particular Regimen to have made him immortal (b): By Agreement with Pluto, her Daughter Proserpine was to live with her two thirds of the Year, and the other third Part in Tartarus; and this occasioned the Fable that Proferpine lived a third Part of the Year with Pluto, and the rest of her Time with the Gods above (i). The Arundel Marble may feem to fix the Time of Ceres's being in Attica fomething late, namely to A.M. 2596 (k), which is about 80 Years after the 95th Year of Jupiter (l): But

⁽e) Apollod. 1. 1. c. 5.

nin. lib. Metamorph. c. 23.

(i) Id. ibid. (k) Ep. xii, (l) Vid. quæ sup.

Ceres was Sifter of Jupiter (m), and therefore can hardly be supposed to have come into Attica fo many Years after Jupiter must have been dead. But I would observe that the Marble Epoch records that Ceres taught Triptolemus the Son of Celeus to fow Corn, and fent him to teach other Nations. It is not likely. that Triptolemus began his Travels before he was two or three and thirty, and his Father Celeus might be born forty Years before Triptolemus: Now Ceres nursed Celeus when an Infant (n): Let us count back from Triptolemus's Travels to teach the fowing Corn, to the Infancy of Celeus, when Ceres came into Attica 73 Years, and we shall fix her coming into that Country A.M. 2523. i.e. near the Time of Jupiter's Death, 7 Years after his 95th Year; and about this Time she may indeed be thought to have settled in Attica: Perhaps nothing more was intended in the Marble Epoch than to fix the Time of Triptclemus's Travels, and it feems to have fixed them agreeably enough to what might be the true Time of his Life, and Ceres might be faid to teach him his Art, merely because at the Composing the Marble Epoches,

⁽m) Apoll. l. 1. c. 1. Diodor. Sic. l. 5. p. 232. (n) Apollod. l. 1. c. 5.

Ceres was esteemed the Goddess, that prefided over this Part of Husbandry. Neptune was the great Master of the Seas with fupiter and his Family; and we may suppose he managed and conducted all the Voyages made by any of them. Plato tells us, that he settled and planted his Children in the Island Atlantis (0), which feems from Strabo to have been either an Island near Eubwa (p) or in the Ionian Sea near to Elis (q) a City of Peloponnesus. In these and the adjacent Seas Neptune had exercifed his Skill in failing, and in some Isle of these Seas we may well suppose him to have lived, when he gave over a Seaman's Life. Mars and Minerva were frequently at Athens, if they did not constantly live there (r): Vulcan is supposed to have gone to Lemnos (s); Ops, who was also called Rhea, removed from Crete to Phrygia, and dwelt on Mount Cybelum, and became famous there (t), and the Arundel Marble fixes the Time of her appearing there to A. M. 2499 (u), which falls towards the latter End of Jupiter's Life, and very well agrees to the Times we have supposed him to live in. Ops was afterwards called Cybele from the Moun-

⁽a) Plato in Critiâ. p. 1103. (b) Strab. Geog. l. 1. p. 60, 61. (c) Lib. 7. p. 346. (c) Apollod. l. 3. c. 4. c. 13. (c) Apollod. l. 1. c. 3. (c) Diod. l. 3. Strabo l. 10. (a) Epoch. x.

tain she lived in: She brought Arts and Sciences from Crete into these Parts, and hence it came to pass, that in After-ages divine Honours were paid to her in this Country, tho' in Crete no Rites were ever instituted for her Worship (w). Cybele's travelling from Crete into Phrygia might, occasion some Places as well as Persons in Phrygia to have Names given them, the fame that had before been the Names of Persons and Places in Crete. Thus we read of a Mount Ida (x), and of the Idai Dactyli in both Countries. Juno, Vesta, Venus, Diana and Mercurius were occasionally in divers Parts of Greece, and celebrated in all for the Arts they excelled in: And thus, although I do not find it to have ever been Fact, that Crete obtained an universal Empire over all the States of Greece, tho' Aristotle thought it well situated and qualified for the acquiring such Dominion (y); yet it appears, that its ancient Inhabitants were most fignally instrumental in introducing the first Rudiments of Polity into many of these Nations, instructing both their Kings and People to know how to be useful and beneficial to one another.

⁽w) Φησὶ ἢ πάλιν ὁ Σκή Τος ἐν τῷ Κοήτη τὰς τὰ Ρεας τιμὰς μὴ νομίζεδζ μηθὲ ἀπχωειόζων. Strab. 1. 10. p. 472. (x) Τόμη γο τὸ ἐρος τὸ τε Τραικέν, κὶ τὸ Κρητικέν. Ιδία. (y) Δοκε δ' ἡ νῆσος [ἡ Κρητική] κὴ σεὸς τὶ ὑ ἀρχωὶ τὶω Ἡ λλιωικὶω περυκέναι κὴ κεῖος καλῶς. Ariftot de Rep. 1. 2. c. 10.



The SACRED and PROPHANE

HISTORY

Of the WORLD Connected.

BOOK XI.

HEN the Ifraelites faw, that

Moses did not come down to
them out of the Mount, they
were greatly surprized, and ga-

thered about Aaron, and required him to make them a God to be carried before them (a): Aaron asked them for their Ear-rings, which they forthwith brought him, and Aaron had them melted down, and a golden Calf was made of them, and the People made Acclamations, This is thy God, O Israel, who brought thee up

⁽a) Exodus xxxii. 1,

out of the Land of Egypt (c): Aaron, when he faw the Image received with fuch Applause, built an Altar before it. and proclaimed a Feast unto the Lord (d), and accordingly next Day they met, and ofmustinfered Sacrifices to their Idol, and celebrated ainen their Feast, and rose up to the Games with which they were to end it (e): Moses at this time came down from the Mount (f), and when he entred the Camp, and faw the Calf, and the People dancing before it, he was exceedingly moved, and throwing down the two Tables of the Law, which he had in his Hands (g), he took the Idol and melted it; then he reduced the Lump of Gold to Powder, and mixed the Powder with Water, and made the Children of I/rael to drink it (b). After this he expostulated with Aaron, what could induce him to lead the People into so great a Sin (i): Aaron made the best Excuse he could; represented the perverse Disposition of the People; that they would not believe they

la this have been done? Lee

⁽c) The Hebrew Expression ver. 4. rendred by our Translators, These be thy Gods, O Israel which brought thee up out of the Land of Egypt, may at first sight seem to bine that the Israelites bad made Gods in the Plural Number; but the Word Elohim is known to be often taken as a Noun Singular, and the Image here alluded to was but one, namely the Calf, and it was dedicated to but one God, the Lord; so that the Words ought to have been translated in the Singular Number.

(d) Exodus xxxii. 5. (e) ver. 6. (f) ver. 15. (g) ver. 19. (h) ver. 20. (i) ver. 21. Should within a last made of the cast thin?

4- 600

should ever see *him* more, and that he could not avoid yielding to their Importunity (*l*).

The Rabbins think they can intirely excuse Aaron (m): They say, that he was forced to a Compliance; that the People had massacred Hur for opposing their Demands, and would have killed Aaron, if he had not yielded to them. What Authority they had for these Affertions, I cannot fay; I think we no where read of Hur as alive after the time of this Affair: But if what they offer be true; yet I cannot fee, that Aaron was innocent: No Obstinacy of the People could have forced him without his own Fault (n), and he should have been willing to die. rather than to have confented to, and been Partaker of their Sins. It may perhaps be imagined, that Aaron's Compliance was attended with fome Circumstances that mitigated the Fault of it, from Mojes not replying to the Apology he made (0), and from what is faid of the People in relation to the making of the Calf; that they made the Calf, which

they did ? people make choice of figure for ? God? nec: they he

⁽¹⁾ Exodus xxxii. 22-24. (m) Vid. Poole's Synopf.

⁽n) Justum et tenacem Propositi Virum, Non civium Ardor prava jubentium, Non vultus instantis Tyranni Mente quaris Gidia 800 Hor. Cor

Mente quatit folidâ, &c. Hor. Car. L. 3. Ode 3.

or pestin Aaron made (p); as if the making of it was imputed rather to them, than to him. Aaron indeed endeavours to clear realishimself of having had an Hand in the the actual making the Idol: I cast it, says he, i. e. the Gold, which they gave me, into the Fire, and there came out this Calf (q): Rolut The Expression is somewhat obscure, and the Rabbins tell us, that Aaron only cast the Gold into the Fire; that the Calf came out by magic Art; the melted Gold being formed into the Shape of an Idol, not by Aaron, but by some invisible Agent: This was one of their Fancies; but Aaron could intend no fuch Intimation: He defigned only to plead that he was not actually the Maker of the Image; but that other Persons, and not he, were the Founders of it. He reprefents, that they required him to make them a God; that hereupon he asked them for Materials; that they brought him their Gold; then, fays he, I cast it into the Fire, I delivered it out of my Hands to the use it was designed for, into the Furnace in which it was to be

melted, and there came out this Calf (r), i. e. I was no further concerned in what was done, the next thing I faw was the Calf: What was done further was done by others, not by me: The Workmen

⁽p) Exod. xxxii. 35. (q) ver. 24. (r) Ibid. mad

made the Calf and brought it to me: And to this Account, I should think, what is related in the 4th Verse of this Chapter, should be agreeable: We render the Verse, And he received them at their Hand, and fashioned it with a graving Tool, after he had made it a molten Calf, and they said, These be thy Gods - &c. The present Hebrew Text does indeed require a Translation to this Purpose: But if the Fact was as this Verse feems to represent it, furely Aaron was the Person chiefly concerned in the Workmanship of the Image, and there could be no room for him to pretend to plead, that not himself but other Persons were the Makers of it: Upon this Account I am apt to suspect, that the present Hebrew Text in this Verse has suffered a little, thro' the Mistake or want of Care of very ancient Transcribers; that Moses most probably wrote the Verbs, which we translate, and he fashioned it, and he made it, not in the fingular, but in the plural Number, like the Verb [vejaomeen], and they faid, which follows them: The Variation of the Words thus miswritten is not fo confiderable, but that it might eafily be made, without any great Inattention in Writing, especially, when the first Verb in the Period, [and be took them,] being fingular, might lead to it. And if we may take the Liberty to make this Vot. III. Correction,

Correction, the Verse would run thus. And he received [it, i.e. the Gold] at their Hands: and they formed it in a Mould (s), and they made a molten Calf, and they faid, This is thy God O Ifrael (t). And thus this Verse would agree to what is fuggested in other Places, that Aaron indeed received the Gold that was brought him; but that the forming it in the Mould, and the making it into a Calf, and proclaiming it a God, was not done by Aaron, but by others, by the Workmen or Artificers, and the People. But notwithstanding all this, whatever may hence be offered in mitigation of Aaron's Fault, yet certainly all will be too little to prove him innocent; and agreeably hereto we find a great share of the Guilt was imputed to him: The Lord was very angry with him to have destroyed him, but that Moses prayed for bim(u).

⁽s) I should take the Word Will to signify here not a graving Tool, as we render it: That is indeed its general Acceptation; but it is used in a very different Sense, 2 Kings v. chap. ver. 23. It there signifies a Bag, or little Cheit, and by an easy Metaphor from this use of it, it may denote a Mould made to shut up like a Chest, to contain and form the Metal to be poured into it.

⁽t) The Words of the Text would be

ויקח מירם ויוזרו אתו בחרט ויעטו עגל מסכה ` זיאמרו אלה אלהיך ישראל:

⁽u) Deut. ix. 20.

Moses was commanded to punish the People for the Wickedness they had committed: And upon finding them unarmed, and upon no Guard, incapable of making Opposition, he stood in the Gate of the Camp, and said, Who is on the Lord's side? Let him come unto me: And all the Sons of Levi gathered themselves together unto him: And he faid unto them: Thus faith the Lord God: Put every Man his Sword by his side, and go in and out from Gate to Gate throughout the Camp, and flay every Man his Brother, and every Man his Companion, and every Man his Neighbour: And the Children of Levi did according to the Word of Moses, and there fell of the People, that Day, about three thousand Men (x).

Our English Version does not intirely come up to the Hebrew Expression in the 25th Verse: We render the Verse, When Moses saw, that the People were naked (for Aaron had made them naked to their shame, amongst their Enemies): The Metaphor is indeed easy, to say they were naked, as being unarmed, and the Hebrew Verb [Paran] is capable of being thus used; but this is not its whole Signification, and it hints more than this in the Place before us. The first and natural Signification of the Verb [Paran] is, to

⁽x) Exodus xxxii. 26, 27, 28.

free or to set at liberty (y): It is thus used by Moses (z): The King of Egypt faid unto them, Wherefore do ye, Moses and Aaron, staprinu æth hanam mimmanashaiv (a) let the People, or fet them free from their Works. From this Sense the Word was easily applied to express the Freedom or Liberty that People had on Holy-days, or came to fignify in general to keep Holy-day, and we find it thus used in Judges v. 2. for a true Translation of that Verse would be, Praise the Lord in [or at] keeping the Feasts, [or Holy-days] of Israel (b): To these the People willingly offered themselves (c): They came [behithnaddeb nam (d)], every one as his Spirit made him willing (e), i. e. every one without Compulsion, just as his Inclination led him, and they behaved at them with the same Freedom; for we must not imagine, that the public Games of any Nation were at first under the Regulations which time introduced; but rather, they were a fort of voluntary

Meetings,

⁽y) Vid. Avenar. et al. Lexicograph. in Verbo 979.

⁽z) Exod. v. 4.
(a) חפריטו אח־ העם ממעטיו. Heb. Text.

⁽b) The Hebrew Words are בפרע פרעות בישראר lfrael in ferias feriando in.

⁽c) Judges v. 2. (d) Text Heb. (D) בחודום. (e) This is the Signification of the Verb בחני It is thus used Exodus xxxv. 21. 29. where the People came voluntarily to make their Oserings, every one giving, without any exaction, just what his Inclination led him to.

Meetings, where Authority of Magistrates and Subjection of Inferiors were laid afide; and every one headed a Party, or acted his Part, or took his Place to fee the Diversion as it happened, or as his Fancy led him: And in an high Scene of fuch Diversion Moses found his People, [ci paran hua] (f); for they were keep-ing high Holy-day, and at full Liberty: The Expression is remarkable: It is not [ci paran], which had been enough to express, that they were at Liberty, or keeping Holy-day, but [ci paran bua (g)]: In the Hebrew Tongue the use of this Pronoun [Hua] has fometimes a Peculiarity, which I think has not been taken notice of: Generally it fignifies no more than THIS or THAT, or HE or THE emphatically; but it is sometimes used to denote a Person's doing a thing, of his own Head, as we say in English, or without regard to the Direction of any other. Thus in the Case of Balaam, when God had allowed him to go with the Messengers of Balak, if they came in the Morning to call him (b), because he was more hafty than he ought to have been, and went to them, instead of staying until they should come to him (i), it was faid of him, not [ci balak],

⁽f) איל. Heb. Text. Exod. xxxii. 25. (g) Ibid. (b) Numbers xxii. 20. (i) ver. 21. K 3 that

that he went, but [ci holek hua (k)] i.e. that he went of his own Head, or without being called: And thus in the Plural Number [hem] is used in xcv Pfalm: We translate the Place, It is a People that do err in their Hearts (1); but the Hebrew Words express more: In his Heart had been [belibbo (m)] or [bilbabo (n)]: In their Hearts had been [bilbabam (0)], or [belibbam (p)]; but the Words here used are [lebab hem] which suggest, that People erred in Heart, from afting of their own Heads; from pursuing their own Ways, or following their own Imaginations; for this was the perpetual Crime of the Israelites, and this was what the Psalmist here intended, as appears by the close of the Verse, for they have not known my Ways. And thus the Word [Hua] is here used in the Passage before us: The People [paran bua] were at loofe Hand, under no Command or Controul: Distinctions and Authority were laid aside, and every one at the Games was his own Man, and confequently the Camp must have been in no Condition of being called to Order and a Posture of Defence, if a fudden Exigence had required it.

⁽k) Numbers xxii. 22. (/) Pfalm xcv. 10. (m) Pfalm xiv. 1. (n) xv. 2. (e) xxviii. 3. (p) lxxiv. 8.

From what I have faid about the use of the Word [Paran] it is easy to see, what the Verse I am treating of expresses to us, namely, I. That the People were upon no Guard; in no Posture of Defence; under no Direction or Command of their proper Officers; but were scattered up and down the Plain at their Games, as their Fancy led them. And this the LXX took to be the meaning of the Place, and accordingly translate it, 'Ιδών Μωϋσης τον λαον, ότι διεσκέδας αι (s), i. e. Moses seeing the People to be scattered, or dispersed. They were in no formed Body to be able to make Head against an Enemy; and 2. They were free of their Armour, or unarmed, naked in this Sense, not cloathed to defend themselves against any Violence that might be offered to them (t). This was the

⁽s) Exodus xxxii. 25. (t) The Word Paran, as I have observed, primarily signifies, to free or set at Liberty, and from hence by an easy Metaphor it denotes to free our felves from, or put off any Dress which are had upon us. Thus (paran Rosh) to free the Head is the Expression for the High Priest's putting off the Attire he wore upon his Head, Levit. xxi. 10. and likewise for Womens putting off their Head-dreffes, Numb. v. 18. And this use of the Word intimates to us, whence St. Paul took an Expression in his Epistle to the Corinthians. The Woman, he says, ought to have Power on her Head, he means ought to be covered; for to have the Head free, under no Restraint, Authority, Power, is the Hebrew Expression for being uncovered, and therefore not to have the Head free, Exolar Exer on & xecanis. 1 Corinth. xi. 10. to

\$ 36

the Condition in which Moses found them exposed to their shame (a), or in a shameful manner amongst their Enemies: And certainly Aaron's Conduct was very inconsiderate in this particular; for their Enemies were not far distant. The Amalekites had not long before attacked them (b). And what might have been the Fate of the whole People, if any considerable Attempt had been now made, when they were so unguarded, that a small Body of Men, such as Moses here appointed from amongst the Levites, might go in and out (bb) from Gate to Gate of the Camp, and without Difficulty kill as many as they would of them?

Some learned Writers have wandred far from what Moses intended here to hint to us, by taking the Expression of the Peoples being naked in too strict a Sense, as if the People were indeed litterally so, when Moses came to them. Monceius imagines, that Aaron had stripped them of their Cloaths; but the Reasons he gives for the doing it are very whimsical: He supposes, that the Persons,

have Power on the Head may denote the contrary, or to be covered. The Apolile seems to have put an Hebrew Idiom into Greek Words, which, unless we consider what a like Expression in Hebrew would suggest to us, do not at first sight express very clearly, what he intended by teem. (a) Exodis xxxii 25. (b) Exodus xxii. (bb) Exod xxii. 27.

who had been guilty of the Idolatry, had a Tumor upon their Groin, occafioned by their drinking of the Water, into which Moses had strowed the Powder of the Idol (c), and that Aaron had stripped them, either, I, to prevent an Increase of their Infection, or, 2, to discover to Moses, who were guilty, and who were innocent, or 3, to cause the innocent to separate from the guilty, that they might escape their Punishment. But the whole of this Fancy is without Foundation. It is like a Whim of some of the Fathers, who imagined, that the Beards of those who drank of the Water abovementioned, turned Yellow. Bochart mentions a Version made in the XIIIth Century, wherein the 27th Verse of this Chapter of Exodus is thus rendred. Slay ye every one his Brother, his Friend, his Neighbour, even all those who have golden Beards.

And the Gloss upon the Text adds, that those who worshipped the Calf, had their Beards turned into a gold Colour; for the Powder fluck to the Hair miraculoufly: And Saurin tells us, that he had a Bible printed at <u>Antwerp</u> in the Year 1531 with this Gloss in it (d): But the Reader may be furnished with many Fancies of this fort, if it can be worth while to

(d) Differt. 53.

⁽c) Vid. Pol. Synopf. Critic. in loc.

fearch for them (w). There are indeed other Writers who contend, that the Israelites were found by Moses really naked, and they endeavour to defend their Opinion with a better Appearance both of Argument and Learning. They suppose that the Israelites were dancing naked before their Idol, and that the Egyptians had very ancient Rites in their religious Institutions, in Imitation of which the Israelites might celebrate their Feast with this lewd Diversion: They remark, that the Egyptians had dedicated a golden Calf (x) to one of their Deities, from whence possibly the Israelites might. take their Pattern, and that both Plutarch (y) and Diodorus (z) hint very indecent Practices in the Egyptian Sacra, and that there is a Passage in Herodotus (a), which fuggests them to have solemnized Games, such as might lead the Israelites into the naked Dance here alluded to. This is the utmost that can

⁽av) Vid. Targ. Jonath. et Hierofolymit. (x) Βἕν Διάχρυσον — 'ἐπὶ πένθη ἢ θεᾶ δεκκύνσι. Plut. in link de Hid. et Olirid. p. 366. We may be allowed to translate Εῶν here by our English Word Calf, if it be confidered, that Herodotus called the Egyptian Apis fo. ἐχει ἢ ὁ μόχος ἔτος ὁ "Απις καλεόμενος. Herod. l. 3. c. 28. (γ) in lib. de Hid. et Osirid. p. 358. (α) Diod. Sic. l. 1. p. 13. (α) Τύπθονται μὲν γὰρ ὧη μετὰ τω θυσίων πάντες κ) πᾶσα, μυςιάθες κάρτα πολλαὶ ἀνθρώτων. τὸν ἣ [οττῷ ἢ] τύπθενται, ἐ μοὶ ὅσίεν ἐςι λίγεν. Herodot. l. 2 c. 61.

be offered for imagining Aaron to have really stripped the People. But to all this it is easy to answer: for, 1. The Passage in Herodotus does indeed feem to hint some Obscenity, which the Historian thought it not decent to give a full Narration of (b): but we must suppose a great deal more than is hinted by him, to make it come up to the Purpose for which it is cited (c): But 2. If what we find in Herodotus could be supposed to describe such a Dance as the Ifraelites are by these learned Writers said to have practised, yet it must be remarked, that what the Historian alludes to, as well as the obscene Sacra in the Isiaca and Osiria of the Egyptians, were all of later date, than the Times of Moses: They were said to be the Institutions of Isis (d), and they were not introduced until after the Egyptians worshipped Heroe Gods, and that happened not,

+ I think Warberton prover (D. L. M.) that Oring was at hart as old as Mos

⁽b) Suspicetur aliquis rem turpem et obscenam, quam aures honestæ, vix etiam in rebus profanis nominandam audire sustineant: Quùm Typhon inventum Ostridis Cadaver in Partes XIV. divisum disjecisset, Isis perquirendo singulas reperit præter pudendam, quæ in Fluvium projecta mox a Phagro et Oxyryncho piscibus devorata suerat: illius igitur loco ad ejus similitudinem sæstum των φαλλών consecravit, cui etiam nunc Diem sæstum Ægyptii celebrant: Hæc Plutarchus. Hoc etiam Phallo percuti solitos in sacris illis execrandis Ægyptios probabile est. Vid. Gronov. Not. in Herodot. 1. 2. p. 111. (ε) Quod opinantur aliqui, Ægyptios in his sacris saltâsse nudos et nudas, ut pudenda Phallo percuterentur, hoc videtur gratis slictum. (d) Plutarch. in lib. de Isid, et Osirid.

until many Years after the Death of Moses (e). And therefore, 3. Tho' the heathen Nations, when they had deferted that Knowledge of God, which by Revelation God himself had shewed unto them, did in time become vain enough in their Imaginations to admit shocking Turpitudes into their religious Institutions, yet they funk into these things by Degrees, and we have no Reason to think the Egyptians were thus early fo far gone, as to afford a Precedent in any of their facred Games, for fuch a Dance as these Writers imagine; nor can I see, if they had, how Aaron can be conceived to have been so lost to all sense of Decency, as to have copied after fuch a Pattern.

In order to punish the Israelites for the Idolatry they had been guilty of, we are told, that all the Sons of Levi gathered themselves together unto Moses (f), and we cannot but think, from the strict Order that was given them (7), that they must have killed every one a Man; and yet the number of all that fell that Day, were but about three thousand (b): The Levites, Men and Children, were above two and twenty thousand (i): The Children indeed could not ferve in the Employment; but they were more than eight

⁽e) Vol. II, B. 8, (f) Exod. xxxii. 26. (g) ver. 27. (b) ver. 28. (i) Numbers iii.

thousand of them from thirty Years old to fifty (k); and if but every one of these had killed a Man, there must have fallen near three times the Number abovementioned. The vulgar Latin Translation has the Number three and twenty thousand; but this is a Variation from the Hebrew Text, for which there is no Colour from any Copy or other Version: Some learned Men have indeed imagined, that St. Paul suggested the same thing; but they mifrepresent the Design of the Place they refer to (1): St. Paul intended in the Verse they cite, to give the Number, not of those who were flain for this Idolatry, but who died of the Plague for their Fornication (m) in the matter of Peor and of Cozbi (n). There is I confess a difficulty in supposing, that but three thousand should fall, if so many Hands, as the whole Tribe of Levi afforded, had took up Arms against them. But the real Fact feems to have been this: Not the whole Tribe of Levi, but only all the Sons of Levi, who were amongst those unto whom MoJes called, came together to this Service. That the whole Tribe were not engaged in it, is evident from the Charge which Moses gave them: They were to Play every Man his Brother (o), and every

⁽k) Numbers iv. 48. (l) 1 Corinth. x. 8. (m) Numb. xxv. (n) iv. 18. (o) Exod. xxxii. 27. Man

Man his Son (p), if any so nearly related came within their reach; but this could not have been supposed, if all the Levites had taken up the Sword; for then all their Brethren and Children would have been with them, and there could have been none at the Games fo nearly related as a Son or a Brother, to have been slain by them. But further; Moses stood in the (pp) Gate of the Camp, and called to the Persons, whom he employed, and the Persons he called to were not within the Camp; for he directed them to enter the Camp, to go in and out from Gate to Gate of it.(q): had he called to those who were at the Games, he needed not have gone to the Gate of the Camp, he would rather have called upon the Spot where they were playing them: I should therefore think, that there were Numbers out of every Tribe, who had retired from the Camp, whilft this Idolatry was acting in it: Unto these Moses called from the Gate, and from amongst these all the Levites, to about fuch a Number as might, in executing what he directed, kill about three thousand Men, gathered themselves together unto him: Moses had injoined them to take up the Sword (r) for God's

⁽p) Exod. xxxii. 29. (pp) ver. z6. (q) ver. 27. (r) The Commentators feem to suspect a difficulty in this Place, imagining the Verb 2712 to be here used not

God's Service, and, if they defired to acquit themselves, so as to be accepted by him, to be careful not to make the Work they were engaged in a Scene of their own private Passions and Partialities, But to execute the Vengeance strictly and indifcriminately upon all that should happen in their Way, how near and dear foever they might be to them. On the next Day Mojes remonstrated to the People the greatness of their Sin; but promised to endeavour to intercede for them (s): God was pleased so far to admit his Intercession, as to order him to prepare the People to march for Canaan (t), telling him, that he would fend an Angel before them to put them in possession of the Land (u); but that himself would not go up any further in the midst of them (w): upon Moses's acquainting the People herewith, they were greatly dejected (x): God hereupon appointed them a folemn Humiliation to avert his Displeasure (y), and Moles erected a Tent without the

not in its common Acceptation; but I am at a loss to find out what could lead them to any such Imagination. The Hebrew Text verbally translated would run thus: For Moses said, fill your Hands [i. e. with the Sword, or take up your Sword] to Day for the Lord, sor each Man is to be against his Son and his Brother, that he [God] may give you a Blessing; the meaning of the Verse must be obvious to every Reader.

(1) Exodus XXXII. 30.

(1) ver. 34.

(2) Ibid. ch. XXXIII. 2.

(2) ver. 4.

(3) Camp,

Camp, and called it the Tabernacle of the Congregation (z), and upon this the cloudy Pillar descended, in the fight of all the People, and here the Lord talked with Moses (a), and at length promised him, that his Presence should go with

them, and give them Rest (b).

It cannot but at first fight feem a very monstrous thing to us, that the Israelites in the midst of what God was doing for them; whilst his Presence amongst them was so visible to them; whilst the Sight of his Glory was like a devouring Fire on the Top of the Mount; I fay, whilst God was thus marvelloufly and evidently near to them, it may be thought very frange and unaccountable, that they should so presently fall away from what had been commanded them (c), and fall into what must appear to us a most gross and senseless Folly: To set up a Calf; to make it a God; to pay worship to it. It is generally faid, that the I/raelites dedicated the Calf in Imitation of what the Egyptians practifed in their Religion: This was Philo's Opinion (d), and St. Stephen confirms it (e), and therefore what some have supposed, that Aaron formed the Calf to represent a Cherubim (f), is not

⁽z) Exodus xxxiii, 7. (a) ver. 9, 10, 11. (b) ver. 14. (c) xx. 4. (d) Phil. Jud. de vit. Moss l. 3. p. 677. (e) Acts vii. 39, 40. [f) Spencer. de Leg. Heb. l. 1. c. 1. § 1. Witsi Ægyptiac. l. 2. c. 2. only

only a mere groundless Fancy, but contradicts what the facred Writer hints to us; namely, that their turning their Hearts back to Egypt, their inclining to have fuch Sacra as were there used, was what led them to fet up this Object for their Worship (g). It has been argued by fome, that the Israelites intended here to fall intirely into the Egyptian Religion, and that the Deity they made the Calf to, was some God of the Egyptians (b); but I think it plain that this was not the Fact: The I/raelites evidently defigned to worship in the Calf, the God who had brought them out of the Land of Egypt (i), and their Feast was accordingly proclaimed, not to any Egyptian Deity, but to the Lord; to Jehovah (k); to their own God; so that their Idolatry confifted, not in really worshipping a false Deity; but in making an Image to the true and living God: And this being the Fact, and this Fact being expressly condemned as Idolatry by the Apostle (1), the Papils are from hence unanswerably charged with Idolatry for their Imageworship, and they can in no wife justify themselves; for what they can offer, if it might be admitted, would vindicate the Israelites as well as them: It will be still

⁽g) Acts vii. ubi sup. (b) Spencer, ubi sup. (i) Exod. xxxii. 4. (k) ver. 5. (l) 1 Corinth x. 7. Vol. III. faid,

faid, What if the Egyptian Religion was indeed full of these senseless Superstitions, is it not strange that the Israelites should be fo fond of continually imitating the Rites and Usages of that Nation? I anfwer: This must indeed seem strange and unaccountable, if we can imagine, that they were for copying after these Patterns merely because they were Egyptian; but the Fact appears in another Light; if we consider that the Wisdom of Egypt was in these Days of the highest Repute of any in the World, and that the Egyptian Institutions were not at this Time fuspected to be absurd, unreasonable, or superstitious (n); but on the contrary Reason and Philosophy were thought incontestably to support the Practice of them (0): I cannot imagine the Israelites to have been such servile Imitators of Egypt, as fome learned Writers are apt to represent them: We see in Fact they had rejected their Gods; being convinced, that the God who had brought them out of the Land of Egypt, was the only God to be

⁽n) Οὐθεν γὰρ ἄλογοι, ἐθε μυθώθες, ἐθε ὑπο θεισιβαιμονίας (ἄσπερ ἔνιοι νομίζετιν) ἐγκατεςοιχειθτο
ἐροκργίαις. Plutarch. in lib. de Iúd. et Οθιτία: p. 353.
(ο) Καλῶς οἱ νόμοι τὰ πεεζ, τὰς θυσίας ἔταξαν' θιὸ
Θῶ μάλιςα πεὸς ταῦτα λόγον ἐα οιλοσοφίας μυσαγωγὸν ἀναλαβόντας ὀσίως θιανοῖιζ τῶν λεγομένων κὸ
θεωμένων ἔκαςον. Id. ibid. p. 378.

worshipped by them (p); and had they been as sensible that the Calf they made, was a real Absurdity, they would, I dare fay, not have been at all induced to make it by any Knowledge or Imitation of the Sacra of the Egyptians; but according to the Rudiments of the World in these Ages, Reason was thought very clearly to dictate, that Images were neceffary to a lively and fignificant Service of the Deity (q), and fuch a fort of Image as the Israelites now used, was accounted to be by Nature designed for this very Purpose (r); and the wise and the learned thought they worshipped φυσικώς; and esteemed it a Part of natural Religion to dedicate these Sacra. And thus I should think, I might justly say of the Israelites, that in all they did in this Matter, there had no Temptation taken them, but what is common to Man (s): It is indeed true, God had made a Covenant with this People (t), and the Import and Design of it, was to engage them to obey his Voice indeed (u), and to walk in the Ways which he should command them (w), that they might not

walk L 3

⁽p) Exod. xxxii. 4, 5. (q) Plutarch. ubi fup. Antiquos fimulachra Deorum conanxifie, quæ cum oculis animadvertissent, hi, qui adissent divina Mysteria, possent Animam Mundi ac Partes ejus, id est, Deos veros videre. Varro in Fragment. p. 40. (r) TIMWYTAS SIX TETEN το δείον ώς εναργετέρων εσόπηρων ελ φύσει γεγιούτων. Plut. ubi sup. (s) 1 Corinth. x. 13. (t) Exod. xxiv. 5.—8. Deut. v. 3. (u) Exod. xix. 5. Jerem. vii. 22. 23. (20) Jerem. ibid.

walk in the Counsels of their own Hearts (x), but that they should trust in the Lord with their whole Heart, and not lean to their own Understanding (y): This was to have been their Wisdom, this their Understanding in the fight of all Nations (z); if they would have bowed their Hearts to adhere to it: But when or where has mankind been truly ready to pay unto God this Obedience of Faith? Our first Parents would not be restrained by a divine Command from what they thought in Reason was to be defired to make them wife (a): And thus the Israelites would have Images, when they thought Reason and natural Science to be for them, tho' God had faid expressly, Make no Image (b): In the same Spirit and way of thinking, the learned Greeks in their Day would not admit the Doctrine of the Cross, tho' attested to come from God, by the Demonstrations of the Spirit and of Power (c), because it seemed Foolishness to them (d): And I need not remark how difficult it is at this Day, to persuade Men to have their Faith stand, not in the Wisdom of Man, but in the Power of God (e): Vain Man would be wife, though Man be born as the wild

⁽x) Jerem. vii. 24. (y) Proverbs iii. 5. (z) Deut. iv. 6. (σ) Gen. iii. 6. (b) Exod. xx. 4. (e) 1 Corinth. i. 24. (d) ch. i. 23. (ε) ch. ii. 5.

Asses Colt (f): A restless Inclination to purfue what seem the Dictates of human Wisdom, rather than strictly to adhere to what God commands, has ever been the παρασμός ἀνθερώπινος (g), I might fay the human Foible, the Seducement, which has been too apt to prevail against us: Our modern Reasoners think they argue right, when they contend, that " if we " find any thing in a Revelation, that " appears contrary to our Reason, no " external Evidence whatfoever will be " fufficient to prove its divine Original; " but that upon observing any thing in " it so opposite to our natural Light and " Understanding, we ought to give up " fuch a Revelation as abfurd, and there-" fore false, whatever extrinsic Proofs " may be offered in support of it." But was not this the Part which the Israelites here acted? To have no Images to direct their Worship, was according to the then Theory of human Knowledge contrary to what they called Science and Reason: As foon therefore as Moses was gone from them, they regarded not the Commandment that had been given them. The external Proof, which they had of its divine Authority, weighed but little with them, in comparison of what they ima-

⁽f) Job. xi. 12. (g) 1 Corinth, x. 13.

gined Reason to dictate very clearly in this Matter.

Some learned Writers endeavour to argue, that if the Israelites had not fallen into Idolatry by fetting up the Calf, God would not have given them the ri-tual or ceremonial Part of the Law (g): They fay, that at first God spake not unto them, nor commanded them concerning Burnt-Offerings or Sacrifices (b), but gave them his Statutes, and shewed them his A: 20,11 Judgments, which if a Man do, he shall even live by them (i), adding to these only his Sabbaths, to be a Sign between him and them, that they might know him to be the Lord (k). They observe, that the ten Commandments, and the Statutes which follow to the End of the xxxiiid Chapter of Exodus, do well answer to these Accounts of the Prophets, and were indeed fuch a Law of moral Righteousness, as that the Man which doth those things, shall live by them (1), without any further Observances to recommend him unto God. But when the Israelites would not walk

⁽g) Antequam offenderent Dominum, Idolum illud erigentes, Decalogum tantum acceperunt; post Idololatriam verò et Blasshemias, ceremonias legales multas dedit, ad nihil aliud utiles, quam ut eos remorarentur à Dæmonum cultu et sacrilega superstitione Gentium. Isidor. Clar. Sebol. in Ezek. Vid. Spencer. de legib. Heb. 1, 1, c, 4, § 4, (b) Jerem. vii. 22, (i) Ezek. XX. 11, (k) Ver. 12. (f) Rom. x, 5.

in God's Statutes, but despised his Judgments (m), and had their Eyes after their Fathers Idols (n), that then the ceremonial Law was added because of their Transgressions (o), then God gave them also, or over and above what he had before commanded them, Statutes, that were not good, South and Judgments whereby they should not live (p); namely the positive and ritual Precepts, which Moses was then directed to deliver to them. We may find this Opinion at large in the Work called the Apostolical Constitutions (q), and there is an Appointment in the xxth Chapter of Exodus, which perhaps may be thought to favour it: An Altar of Earth, or of rough unhewn Stone, was commanded at the giving the Law, for all their Sacrifices (qq); but at the Institution of the ritual Injunctions a different Altar was appointed,

 ⁽m) Ezek. xx. 24.
 (n) Ibid.
 (δ) Gal. iii. 19.
 (φ) Δέβωρεν νόμων ἀπλῶν ἐξο δοίτ δικαν τε φυσικέ, καθαερι, σωτήριου, άγιου, δυ ώ κ) το είνου διομα έγκατέθετο, τελοιου, ανελλειτώ, θένα λογίων πλήρη, α΄μωμον, δπιτρέφονθα 4υχάς— Νόμος ή ετην ή δεκαλογος, ην σες τα τον λαόν μοχοποιήσα— Βεδς αυτοίς δνομοθέτησεν ακετή φωνή, έτος ή Γίκανος co, did n) vouos λέγεται did τό φύσει divadas τας κείσους ποιετός. Conft. Apoft. 1. 6. c. 19, 20. O τότε ή οί το λαι τάτε καίνους να τότε ή οί το λαι τάτε άμνημονες υπηρέαν, κό μόρον ανθί το δείδε επεκαλέσανο τότε όργισκις ό δείς εδησεν αυτίς δεσμοίς αλύτοις, ειδώσει φορτισμές, κή σκληρότητι κλοίζ. ibid. - 5 78 328 405 - Ta ETHTAKTA TELANSV. C. 22. έκ ἀνελών του φυσικόν νόμον, άλλα παύσας τὰ δια τ δευτερώσεως επώσακτα. ibid. (77) Exod. xx. 24.

of much Workmanship and of another Nature (r), which may seem to hint to us, that the Observances belonging to it, were not a Continuation of what was at first intended, but rather an Addition of new Rites, like the Altar they belonged to, and of a different Composition. But

I answer,

I. What is contended for, that God did not intend and command the ritual Part of the Law of Moses, before the Israelites set up the Calf, is not true in Fact: The xxv, xxvi, xxvii, xxviii, xxix, xxx and xxxist Chapters of Exodus shew us undeniably, that the Tabernacle was ordered; the Utenfils and Furniture of it directed; the Order of the Levitical Priesthood was appointed; the Persons defigned for the Offices of it were named; their Vestments and Rites of Consecration, the Altars, and the daily Offerings were prescribed; in a word, the Foundation and Frame of the whole Jewish Law was laid and formed by the immediate Defignation of God to Moses, before the People had corrupted themselves by their Idolatry: Had these Chapters followed after the making of the Calf; or had we any Reason to imagine that the Contents of them were not dictated

⁽r) Exod, xxvii.

to Moses until his second going up into the Mount (s), after he had made Intercession for the People (t); there would be some Appearance in Favour of the Argument above stated: but since the feveral Directions contained in these Chapters, were all evidently given to Moses, before the Lord intimated to him to get him down from the Mount, for that the People had corrupted themselves (a); whatever Men of Learning may think to offer, to prove the ritual Law not to have been intended until the Israelites fell into Idolatry, it is indisputably plain, that the Fact was otherwise; and that God was delivering to, and instructing Moses in all the Parts of it, before the Idolatry of the Calf was contrived or intended by the People. And agreeably hereto we may observe,

II. That, after Moses had made Intercession for the Israelites, and was commanded to renew the Tables (b); to erect the Tabernacle (c); and had a visible Sign of God's approving it, by the Clouds covering it, and the Glory of the Lord filling it, and God's speaking unto him out of it (d); we may, I say, observe that in all these things nothing new or before undesigned was done; but the very Law

⁽¹⁾ Exod. xxxiv. 4, 28. (1) xxxii. 31. xxxiii. (a) xxxii. 7. (b) xxxiv. 1. (c) xxxv. xxxvi. xxxvii. xxxviii. xxxix. (d) xl. 34. Levit. i. 1.

was now further completed, which God before the Sin of the Calt had in part delivered to them, and it was completed exactly according to, and without any Deviation from the Directions, which had before the Commission of that Sin been given unto Moses; and the visible Signs of God's Presence upon the erecting the Tabernacle, were exactly according to what God promised him, the first Time of his being with him on the Mount, namely, that He would meet him at the Door of the Tabernacle of the Congregation, and speak there unto him, and there meet with the Children of Israel, and santify the Tabernacle by his Glory, to sanctify the Tabernacle and the Altar, and Aaron and his Sons, and to dwell amongst the Children of Israel, and to be their God (c): All these things were promised, before the Israelites set up their Idol, exactly according to what was afterwards performed; and therefore if there be indeed any Passages in Scripture, which reprefent the ritual Part of the Law to have been given upon Account of the Idolatry of the People, we must find some way to new-model the History of Moses, or it will not agree with them. But

III. There are no Texts of Scripture, which intimate the ritual Law to have

⁽c) Exod. xxix. 42, 43, 44, 45.

been given, because of the Israelites Idolatry. The Abettors of this Opinion do indeed commonly cite the Words of St. Paul (d), or of the Prophets Jeremiah (e), and Exekiel (f) to countenance their Affertion; but it is easy to shew, that the Passages they refer to, have no such meaning, as they would put upon them. For, I. St. Paul indeed says, The Law was added because of Transgressions (g); but he does not here treat of the ritual Part of the Law in opposition to the moral, nor fuggest, that any one Part of the Law was added for the *Ifraelites* not having punctually observed some other Part of it, but he speaks of the whole Mosaical Dispensation, and argues it to have been instituted upon Account of the Wickedness and Corruption of the World: When God brought the Ifraelites out of Egypt, true Religion was almost perished from the Face of the Earth; Men in all Nations were greatly corrupted both in Faith and Manners; hereupon God was pleased to choose to himself the House of Jacob, to be a peculiar Treasure unto him above all People (b), and he revealed himself unto them, and gave them a Law, to recal, and to preserve them, from going after the Heathens to learn their Ways,

⁽i) Gal. iii. 19. (e) Jerem. vii. 22. (f) Ezek. xx. 11—26. (g) Galat. ubi sup. (b) Excd. xix. 5. until

until the Seed should come (i), and to shut them up unto the Faith, which should afterwards be revealed (k), and to bring them unto Christ (1): This is the Argument of the Apostle in the Place cited, and it suggests to us, not that God gave the I/raelites first a moral Law, just and boly and good, and afterwards when they would not observe this, then a ritual, weak and unprofitable Law, to punish them for their Wickedness and Folly; but it represents, that God gave them the Law, the whole Law, as Moles has related, confifting indeed of divers Precepts, and various Commands, but all excellently adapted to have had a great Effect, if the Jews had not behaved themselves strangely, and defeated the Benefits which they might have received from it. But 2. The Prophet Jeremiah remarks, that God spake not unto the Israelites, nor commanded them, in the Day that he brought them out of the Land of Egypt, concerning Burnt-Offerings or Sacrifices (m), and from hence it is argued, that these were not a Part of the Religion, that was at first injoined them: But we shall best see the Meaning of the Prophet by confidering, what it was that God spake unto them at the Time he refers to. And we find, that when Mofes went up unto God, the Lord called un-

⁽i) Gal. iii. 19. (k) Ver. 23. (l) Ver. 24. (m) Jerem. vii. 22.

to him out of the Mountain, saying, Thus shalt thou say to the House of Jacob, and tell the Children of Israel; Ye have seen what I did unto the Egyptians, and how I bare you on Eagles Wings, and brought you unto my self. Now therefore, if ye will obey my Voice indeed, and keep my Covenant, then shall ye be a peculiar Treafure unto me above all People: for all the Earth is mine. And ye shall be unto me a Kingdom of Priests, and an holy Nation: These are the Words, which thou shalt fpeak unto the Children of Israel. And Moses came, and called for the Elders of the People, and laid before their Faces all these Words, which the Lord commanded bim (n). And thus it was indeed Fact as the Prophet represents, that God did not speak unto them nor command them in that Day concerning Sacrifices or Burnt-Offerings; I might add, nor concerning the not being guilty of Idolatry, of Murder, Theft, or any other Wickedness; but this thing he then commanded them, saying, Obey my Voice, and ye shall be my People; for the Covenant was not limited to particular, or to any Set of Precepts, but it was a general Engagement to obey God's Voice indeed, and to do and perform all the Statutes, and Judg-

⁽n) Exod. xix. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7.

ments, and Laws, which God should think fit to give them. When Jeremiah prophefied, the Jews were guilty of the highest Abominations (0), and yet they came regularly to the Worship at the Temple, but without a Reformation of their Lives (p): Hereupon the Prophet's Message to them was, that if they continued in this Course, they might put their Burnt-Offerings to their Sacrifices, and eat the Flesh(q); they might even break thro', and not pretend to observe, the legal Institutions for their Burnt-Offerings (r); for that God would not accept them for an exact Performance of one Part of his Law only, when what he required of them was to obey his Voice, and to walk in all the Ways that he had commanded them (s). And thus the Design of Jeremiah in the Words before us, appears evidently to be, not to fuggest to the Jews that Burnt-Offerings and Sacrifices were originally no Part of their Religion, but to remonstrate to them, that Sacrifice and Offering was but one

⁽o) Jerem. vii. 8, 9. (p) Ver. 10. (q) Ver. 21. (r) The Law of the Burnt-Offering was, that it was none of it to be eaten, but the whole burnt and confumed upon the Altar, so that if the Jews had done what the Prophet bids them ver. 21. they had acted contrary to the Law for the Burnt Offering; and his directing them so to do, is only hinting to them, that it was of no Moment to be exact in their Sacrifices, without amending their Lives. (s) Jerem. vii. 23.

Part, and that a Regularity of their Lives and Manners was another; and that a due Care, not of one or either, but of both these Parts of their Duty, was injoined them, in the general Command given to them, to obey God's Voice in order to be his People. There remains to be confidered, 3. A Passage in Ezekiel (t). Ezekiel represents that God gave the Yews, first his Statutes and his Judgments, which if a Man do, he shall even live in them (w), and afterwards, because they had not executed these Judgments, but despised bis Statutes, that therefore, he gave them Statutes, that were not good, and Judgments, whereby they should not live (x). The former of these Statutes and Judgments are faid to be the moral Law, and the Commands of the ritual Law are supposed to be the latter (y). But I would observe, 1. That whatever the Statutes were, which are thus faid to have been not good, whatever were the Judgments, whereby they (hould not live, it appears evidently from the Prophet, that they were not given to that Generation of Men, who received the ritual Law, and confequently the ritual Law could not be any Part of these Statutes. The Prophet remarks, that the Israelites after receiving

⁽t) Ezek, xx. 10. (w) xx. 11. (x) Ver. 24, 25. (y) Spencer, de legib. Heb. l. 1. c. 1. § 2. c. 14. § 3.

the Law, rebelled against God in the Wilderness (z); that God had said, he would pour out his Fury upon them to destroy them (a); but that for his Name's sake he had not executed this Vengeance (b); yet, that he did determine not to bring THEM into the Land of Canaan (c), tho' his Eye had spared them from deftroying and making an end of them (d):
And thus in five Verses he sums up what had happened in God's Dispensations to the Israelites, from the giving the Law, unto the Punishment of their Misbehaviour at the Return of their Spies out of Canaan (e), during which Interval, how oft did they provoke God (f)? Yet many a Time turned he his Anger away, and did not stir up all his Wrath (g), until at length, tho' his Eye spared them (b), and he would not kill all the People as one Man (i), which had indeed been to destroy and make an end of them in the Wilderness (k), yet he lifted up his Hand, that he would not bring them into the Land, which he had given them (1), but denounced against them, that all those that had feen his Glory and his Miracles, and had tempted him now ten Times, and not

⁽²⁾ Ezek. xx. 13. (a) Ibid. (b) Ver. 14. (c) Ver. 15. (d) Ver. 17. (e) Numb. xiv. (f) Pfalm lxxviii. 40. (g) Ver. 38. (b) Ezek. xx. 17. (i) Numb. xiv. 15. (k) Ezek. xx. 17. (l) Ver. 15.

bearkned to his Voice, should surely not fee the Land, but fall in the Wilderness; but that their little ones should be brought into it (m): After this the Prophet proceeds to relate what happened to their Children; that God said unto them, Walk ye not in the Statutes of your Fathers— but walk in my Statutes, and keep my Judgments, and do them (n): But the Children rebelled against God (o), and because they had not executed his Judgments, but had despised his Statutes, therefore he gave them Statutes that were not good, and fudg-ments whereby they should not live (p). And thus it must be undeniably plain, that the Prophet could not, by the Statutes not good, mean any Part of the ritual Law; for the whole Law was given to the Fathers of those, whom the Prophet now speaks of; but these Statutes for were not given to the Fathers, but to their Descendants. 2. If we go on, and compare the Narrative of the Prophet with the History of the Israelites, we shall see further, that the Statutes and Judgments not good are so far from being any Part of Moses's Law, that they were not given earlier than the Times of the Judges. On the first Day of the eleventh Month of the fortieth Year after the Exit from Egypt, (9) Moses, after he had

⁽m) Numb. xiv. (n) Ezek. xx. 18, 19. (o) Ver. 21. (p) Ver. 24. 25. (q) Deut. i. 3. Vol. III. M numbred

numbred the People in the Plains of Moab by Fordan near Fericho (r), and found that there was not left a Man of those, whom he had almost forty Years before numbred in the Wilderness of Sinai, fave Caleb and Joshua (s), by the Command of God made a Covenant with the Israelites in the Land of Moab, besides the Covenant which he made with them in Horeb (t). The Fathers who had so often provoked God, were now all dead, and here it was, that God said unto their Children, Walk ye not in the Statutes of your Fathers, neither observe their Judgments, nor defile your felves with their Idols—but walk in my Statutes, and keep my Judgments and do them (u); here it was that God commanded them, not to be, as their Fathers, a stubborn and rebellious Generation, but to set their Hearts aright, and to have their Spirit stedfast with God (w); for this was the Purport of what Moses gave in Charge to them, that they might teach their Children the same, that it might be well with them, and that they and their Children might hear, and learn to fear the Lord their God, as long as they lived in the Land, whither they were going over Jordan to pos-

⁽r) Numb. xxvi (s) Ver. 64, 65. (t) Deut. xxix. 1. (u) Ezek. xx. 18, 19. (v) Pſalm lxxviii. 8.

fess it (x): We do not find, but that from this Time to the Death of Moses, the Israelites were punctual in observing what he commanded, and after Mojes was dead, they served the Lord all the Days of Joshua, and all the Days of the Elders, that over-lived Joshua (y); but when all that Generation were gathered unto their Fathers, then the Children of Israel did evil in the fight of the Lord, and followed other Gods of the Gods of the People, that were round about them, and provoked the Lord to Anger, and ferved Baal and Ashteroth (z); so that here the Scene opens, which Moses had forewarned them of (a), and which Ezekiel alludes to (b), and accordingly what Ezekiel mentions as the Punishments of these Wickednesses (c), began now to come upon them. The Prophet remarks, that God faid, he would pour out his Fury upon them, and accomplish his Anger against them (d), and agreeably hereto we find, that the Anger of the Lord was hot against Israel, and he delivered them into the Hands of Spoilers, that spoiled them, and he fold them into the Hands of their Enemies round about; so that they could not any longer stand before their Enemies. Whither soever

⁽x) Deut. xxxi. 12, 13. (y) Josh. xxiv. 31. Judges ii. 7. (z) Ver. 10, 11, 12, 13. (a) Deut. xxxi. 29. (b) Ezek. xx. 21. (c) Ibid. (d) Ibid. M 2 they

164

they went out, the Hand of the Lord was against them for Evil, as the Lord had said, and as the Lord had sworn unto them (e). The Prophet observes, that nevertheless God withdrew his Hand (f): He did not proceed intirely to extirpate them; and thus the Historian: Nevertheless the Lord raised up Judges which de-livered them (g): Many Times indeed did he deliver them, but they went on to provoke him with their Behaviour; fo that he determined, for their transgressing his Covenant, and not hearkning unto his Voice, that he would not henceforth drive out any from before them, of the Nations which Joshua left when he died (b); and hereby the I/raelites became mingled with the Heathen (i), or as the Prophet expresses it, they were scattered among the Heathen, and dispersed through the Countries (k); they had not a contiguous and united Possession of the whole Land, but dwelt among the Canaanites, Hittites, and Amorites, and Perizzites, and Hivites and Jebusites (1): And thus what preceded the giving the Statutes that were not good, brings us down to the Days of the Judges, and therefore these Statutes were not given earlier than these Times. But, 3. Let us examine what these Statutes and Judgments really were,

⁽e) Judges ii. 14, 15. (f) Ezek. xx. 22. (g) Judges ii. 16. (b) ii. 20, 21. (i) Pialm cvi. 35. (k) Ezek. xx. 23. (l) Judges iii. 5.

and when and how God gave them to the Israelites; and in order hereto let us observe, 1. That God does in no wife give these Statutes and Judgments the Appellation by which he called the Appointments he had made and defigned for his People: Of these he says, I gave them my Statutes, and shewed them my Judgments (m); these were indeed God's Laws, intended for the Use and Observance of his People; but of the Statutes not good, and Judgments whereby they should not live, he fays, I gave them also Statutes [not my Statutes] and Judgments [not my Judgments] whereby they should not live (n); fo that these Statutes and Judgments were not God's Statutes or God's Judgments, tho' they are faid to have been given by him. But, 2. The 26th Verse suggests, that in giving them these Statutes and Judgments, God polluted them in their Gifts, in that they caused to pass thro' the Fire all that openeth the Womb, that he might make them defolate: What the Prophet here means, is fully fuggested by himself in another Place. Thou hast slain my Children, and delivered them, to cause them to pass through the Fire for them (o): The Fact was, they had taken their Sons and their Daughters, and facrificed them

⁽m) Ezek. xx. 11. (n) Ver. 25. (0) xvi. 21.

to be devoured (p), or as the Psalmist represents it, They shed innocent Blood, even the Blood of their Sons and of their Daughters, whom they facrificed unto the Idols of Canaan (q), and the Institutions that directed fuch Performances, these were the Statutes not good, these were the Judgments, whereby they should not live; for these fully answer to the Prophet's Account: they polluted those, who used them, in their Gifts; by the observing them the Land was polluted with Blood, and the People defiled with their own Works (r); and they tended to make them defolate, by the Destruction of their Offspring. And God may be faid to have given them these Statutes, either because he gave them up to their own Hearts Lusts, to walk in their own Counsels(s), to learn these Practices from their Heathen Neighbours: Thus God is faid to have hardned Pharaoh's Heart (t), when Pharaoh really hardned his own Heart (tt); and in like manner to have given a lying Spirit in the Mouth of Ahab's Prophets (u), when in Fact they prophesied out of their own Hearts(w); and follow'd their own Spirit, when they had feen nothing (x); and in this Senfe

⁽p) Ezek, xvi. 20. (p) Pfalm cvi. 38. (r) Ver. 38, 39. (s) lxxxi. 12. (t) Exod. iv. 21. vii. 3. ix. 12. x 1, 20, 27. xi. 10, &c. (tt) vii. 15, 22. viii. 15, 19, 32. ix. 7, 34. See Vol. II. B. IX. (u) 2 Chron. xviii. 23. (vv) Ezek, xiii. 2. (x) Ver. 3.

the Chaldee Paraphrast took the Passage of Ezekiel (y): Or more emphatically, God may be faid to have given them thefe Statutes, because for their Punishment he delivered them into the Hands of their Enemies, and impowered those who hated them to rule over them (z): These their Enemies might fet up their Abominations amongst them, and make Israel to fin, as their own wicked Kings did afterwards in divers Reigns. They might give them Statutes fuch as those of (a) Omri, and by their Power over them influence and oblige them to the Observance of them: And God may in a strong Sense be faid to have given them these Statutes, by his giving their Enemies Power to impose them upon them. I have now fully confidered this Passage of Ezekiel, and perhaps have been too large upon it; but I was willing to clear it as distinctly as I was able, because great Stress has been laid upon it: Dr. Spencer imagined this Text alone sufficient to support his Hypothesis; but I cannot but think, if what has been offered, be fairly confidered, no honest Writer can ever cite it again for that purpose: However, that I may

⁽y) Projeci eos, et tradidi eos in manum Inimicorum fuorum, et post Concupiscentiam suam insipientem abierunt, et feccrunt decreta non recta, et leges in quibus non vivetis. Targ. Jonath. in loc. (z) Psalm cvi. 41. (a) Micah vi. 16.

leave no feeming Objection to any Part of what I have offered, I would further take notice:

I. Dr. Spencer imagines the 26th Verse of the xxth Chapter of Ezekiel, which we render, I polluted them in their own Gifts, in that they caused to pass through the Fire all that openeth the Womb, that I might make them defolate, to refer, not to their causing their Children to pass thro' the Fire to the Idols of Canaan, as I have above taken it; but he supposes it to relate to God's rejecting the First-born of the Israelites from the Priesthood, and appointing the Tribe of Levi to the sacred Offices in their stead (b): He would translate the Verse to this purpose. I pronounced them polluted in their Gifts [i. c. unfit to offer me any Oblations in that I passed by all that openeth the Womb, in order to bumble them, that they might know, that I am the Lord. I answer: This cannot be the meaning of the Text: For the Levitical Priesthood was instituted, as I have remarked, in the Days of the Fathers; but the Prophet here speaks of fomething done in the Days, not of the Fathers, to whom the Law was given, but of their Children, of a Generation that arose after the appointing the Le-

⁽b) Spene. de leg. Heb. 1 1. c. 8. § 2.

vites to the facred Offices, and therefore cannot be here supposed to speak of that Appointment (c). Farther; The Expression here used [behanabir col peter racham] does not signify to pass by or reject the First-born: The Verb [nabar] in the Conjugation here used, does sometimes signify to set apart or choose (cc), but cannot have, I think, the Sense the learned Doctor would here give it. Maas DND is the Hebrew Verb for to reject (d), and would most probably have been the Word here used, if Rejecting from the Priesthood had been the Matter intended by the Prophet (e).

II. Another Objection to what I have offered above, may arise from the 21st and 23d Verses of the xxth of Ezekiel. The Prophet may seem in them to hint, that God's Anger against the Children was whilst they were in the Wilderness, and that it was in the Wilderness that he lifted up his Hand against them, to scatter them among the Heathen; and if so,

⁽c) Vid. quæ sup. Chorus est eruditorum virorum, qui de Praceptis ceremonialibus hæc intelligunt, et remotione Israelitarum ab Altari. Ego vero liberè profiteor huic opinioni nunquam me potuisse consentire, ob rationes non leves sanè et sutiles, sed solidas prægnantesque ex serie orationis, σοβσεως insolentià, verbis aliis Textui immixtis, antecedentium, consequentiumq; nexu, et scriptururam ἀλληλλ-λία petitas. Vitringa Observat. Sac. l. 2. c. 1. (cc) Exod. xiii. 12. (d) Vid. 1 Sam. viii. 7. x. 19. xvi. 1. 2 Kings xviii. 20. Jer. vi. 30. xiv. 19. et in sexent. al, loc. (ε) Vid. Hos. iv. 6.

their provoking God to this Anger must have been before they entred Canaan, and therefore not so late as the Time I have fixed it to. I answer: 1. The Hiftory of the Israelites contained in Moses's Books and those which follow, was wrote long before Ezekiel prophefied; and as his Prophefy could not alter what had been done, so the best Interpretation of what he related about them, must be that which agrees to their History, and we must not invent Facts, or change their History to fuit it to any thing contained in his Prophefy: And according to their History, the Children's provoking God was, as I have above stated it. And thus the Psalmist fixes it. After God had cast out the Heathen before them, and divided them an Inheritance by Line, then it was that the Children tempted and provoked the most high God, and kept not his Testimonies, but turned back, and dealt unfaithfully like their Fathers (f). But, 2. The Threatnings of God against the Children of the Israelites, whenever they should provoke him, were indeed pronounced to them by Mojes in the Wilderness, before they entred Canaan (g). 3. Perhaps this was all that the Prophet intended to express by the Word, in the Wilderness, in the Ver-

⁽f) Pfalm lxxviii. 55-57. (g) See Deut. xxviii, &c. fes

fes above cited. Then I faid, I would pour out my Fury upon them, to accomplish my Anger against them in the Wilderness: The Words, in the Wilderness, do not hint the Place where the Anger was to be accomplished, but rather refer to Anger, and fuggest the Anger to be, as we might almost fay in English, the Wilderness-Anger, or the Anger which God had threatned in the Wilderness. Or 4.

The Word [be Midbar] in the Wilderness, having occurred twice before, after Words the fame that are used in these two Verfes (z), I am apt to suspect, that the Transcribers, intent upon what they had a little before written, might infert the Word again inadvertently in the 21st and 23d Verses, when perhaps it was not there repeated in the Original Copy of the Prophefy of Ezekiel.

Moses having made Intercession for the People after their Idolatry of the golden Calf, at the Command of God made two new Tables of Stone, like unto those which he had broken, and went up a fecond Time with them to Mount Sinai (a): He continued again on the Mount forty Days and forty Nights, without eating Bread or drinking Water (b), during which time he wrote, as God directed

⁽z) Ezek. xx. 13.—15. (b) Ibid. ver. 28. (a) Exod. xxxiv.

him, the ten Commandments upon the two Tables (c), and received the Commands fet down in the xxxivth chapter of Exodus. After the forty Days he came down from the Mount with the two Tables in his Hand, and gathered the Congregation together, and instructed them in what had been appointed to him (d), and required them to make their Offerings for erecting the Tabernacle (e). In order to erect the Tabernacle, he had been commanded to tax every Israelite above twenty Years old half a Shekel (f), or about fifteen Pence of our Money (g): The Sum arifing from this Tax was appointed to be for the Service of the Tabernacle (b), and we find, that Moses used it for the Sockets of the Sanctuary, and of the Vail, and for Hooks for the Pillars, and for their Chapiters (i). The number of those who were taxed, were 603550 Men (k), and the Sum arising from affesting them half a Shekel a Man, amounted to 100 Talents, and 1775 Shekels of Jewish Money (1); so that a Jewish Talent confisted of 3000 Shekels; for from 603550 half Shekels or 301775

⁽c) Exod. xxxiv. 28. (d) ver. 11—27. (e) xxxv. 4. (f) xxx. 12—16. (g) According to Bretwood the Shekel was a Silver Coin of about 2 s. 6 d. Value in our Money. Dean Prideaux makes it about 3 s. See his Connect. Vol. I. B. 3. p. 196. (b) Exod. xxx. 16. (i) xxxviii. 25.—28. (k) vcr. 26. (l) vcr. 25. Shekels

Shekels deduct an hundred times 3000, the number of Talents, and the Remainder will be 1775, which is the number of the remaining Shekels over and above the Talents, and the whole Sum raised, at fifteen Pence the half Shekel, amounts in English Coin to 37721 l. 17s. 6 d. This Sum therefore Moses first raised by the Affessment, and after he had collected it, he moved the People to a voluntary Contribution (m) as God had directed him (n), which brought in a sufficient Quantity of all forts of Materials that were wanted, to the full of what they could have occasion for (o); so that Moses gave Commandment to proclaim thro' the Camp, that the People should make no further Offerings (p). Bezaliel and Akoliab, being nominated by a special Designation from God himself, began the Tabernacle (q), and in some Months against the end of the Year, by their Direction, and the Affistance of the Hands employed under them (r), the Tabernacle and its Appurtenances, the Table of Shew-Bread, the Priests Garments, the holy Ointments, the golden Candlestick, and all the Veffels and Utenfils for the Service of the Altar, were finished (s.)

⁽m) Exod. xxxv. (n) ver. 2. (o) xxxvi, 5. (p) ver. 6. (q) xxxv. 30. xxxvi. 1. (r) xxxvi. 1. xxxix. 43. x1. 2. (s) xxxix. 32—43.

The marginal Reference in our English. Bibles at Exodus xxx. 12. feems to hint, that this numbring the People for the raifing the Tax for the Tabernacle, was the very fame with that mentioned Numbers i. 2—5. The Number of the Poll appears indeed in each Place to be to (t) a Man the fame, and this possibly might lead those who made the Reference to mistake, and think the People to have been in truth but once numbred; but it is evident, 1. That the Poll mentioned in the first Chapter of Numbers, was not taken until the first Day of the fecond Month of the fecond Year after the Exit from Egypt (u). 2. The Tabernacle was finished a Month earlier; for it was erected on the first Day of the first Month (w). 3. The Poll taken for raising the Assessment, was before the Tabernacle was finished; for the Silver which the Affessment raised, was applied to the making some Parts of the Tabernacle (x); fo that the Poll for the Affessment must have preceded at least above a Month earlier, than that which is mentioned in the 1st Chapter of Numbers. 4. I should imagine it some Months earlier; for furely the numbring and affeffing the People preceded the free Offering of those who

⁽t) Exod. xxxviii. 26. Numb. i. 46. (u) Numb. i. 1. (w) Exod. xl. 17. (x) Exod. xxxviii. 27, 28.

were willing (y), and was therefore before the Workmen began the Tabernacle; for when the Persons employed in the Work of the Tabernacle found, that the free Offerings had supplied as much of all forts of Materials as were necessary, it was proclaimed thro' the Camp, that no one should offer any more (z), and therefore had these voluntary Offerings been made before the Assessment, the Assessment would have been superfluous; but we find it was not fo, by the use made of the Silver, which came in from it (a): I should therefore think it most probable, that Moses first raifed the Affessment, then ordered the Free-Will-Offering, and when the Materials were collected, he delivered them to the Workmen, and appointed them to begin the Tabernacle (b); and if he proceeded thus, the Poll mentioned in the first Chapter of Numbers was near fix Months later, than this numbring and affesting the People; for the Tabernacle was probably about five Months in making, and the Poll in Numbers i. was taken a Month after the finishing and erecting the Tabernacle as above. But it may feem very odd, that two different Polls of one and the fame People, taken

⁽y) Exod. xxxvi. 3. (z) ver. 6. (a) xxxviii. 27, 28. (b) xxxvi. 3. thus

thus at two different Times, should agree exactly to a Man; one would rather imagine, that in a growing People, the number of Deaths of the Aged could not answer to the Advance of young Persons to the Age they were polled at; but that in the space of one or of fix or seven Months, there must be a considerable Variation in fo great a Company as the Camp of the Israelites: And if we duly attend to it, we find this was the Fact in the Case before us. The Number of Men indeed in each Poll, is the fame exactly, there being 603550 Men in each of them (c); but then the same Persons were not allowed to be taken down in both the Polls. To the first Poll came all the Israelites from twenty Years old and upwards (d); but in the fecond Poll the Levites were not numbred (e): When the first Poll was taken, I say all the I/raelites were numbred, no Tribe excepted; for the Levites were not then feparated from the Congregation (f); but at the taking the fecond Poll, the Levites were to be numbred by themselves, and in another manner (g): And thus at

⁽c) Exodus xxxviii. 26. Numb. 1. 46. (d) Exod. xxx. 14. (e) Numb. i. 47. (f) The feparation of the Levites was at taking the fecond Poll. Numb. iii. 6. God having directed them not to be numbred in it. chapi. 48, 49. (g) i. 48. iii.

ingle .

taking the first Poll, the whole Camp, Levites included, confisted of 603550 Men of and above twenty Years old (b); at the second Poll the Camp confisted of the like number of 603550 Men (i) of the Age abovementioned, without any Levites in the Computation; fo that as many Persons were grown up to the Age of twenty Years in the space of time, between taking the two Polls, as the number of Levites of twenty Years old and upwards at the first Poll amounted to, supposing, what I think may be al-Towed, that no one Person died in the Camp in this Interval (ii).

N.

⁽b) Exod. xxxviii. 26. (i) Numb. i. 46. (ii) If we consider the whole Body of the Israelites as under the Protection of a particular Providence, and in Hopes, each Person for himself and Children, of living to go into the promised Land: If we add to this, that Sickness and an early Death were not frequent in these Ages, but overe thought Judgments sor particular Sins. See Vol. II. Book IX. Numb. xxvii. 3. it will not be hard to imagine five or fix Months to pass without a Death in the Camp. And if we further reflect, that the younger Part of the Camp were so numerous, as in about eight or nine and thirty Years to grow up into a Body of 601730 Men of twenty Years old and upwards, without the Levites, and without any of the Persons that were now twenty, except Joshua and Caleb, to be numbred amongst them, Numb. xxvi. 51, -64. it may not feem improbable that the Persons at this time near twenty Years old, but not completcly so, should be sufficient to afford in five or six Months an Addition to the Camp, not only equal to the Number of Levites of twenty Years old and upwards, who were taken from it, and who were, I conceive, in Number not above 8 or 10000. See Numbers iv. 48. but also to a farther Number of aged Men, if any fuch must be supposed to have died in this Interval.

. long.

On the first Day of the first Month of the fecond Year after the departure out of Egypt, i. e. about the middle of our March, A. M. 2514, Mojes reared up the Tabernacle, and placed the Ark in it, and hung up the Vail, and put the Table of Shew-Bread in its Place, and fet the Bread in order upon it, and put the Candleftick in its Place, and lighted Tthe Lamps, and placed the golden Altar of Incente in the Tent before the Vail, and he burnt fweet Incense thereon, and he fet up the Hanging at the Door of the Tabernacle, and fet the Laver in its Place, and reared up the Court round about the Tabernacle and the Altar, and fet up the Hanging of the Court-Gate. This is what Moses is represented (a) to have done this Day (b): and all the Parts of the Tabernacle being ready to be put together, and the Ark and Altar completely finished, fit for their respective Places, all this may very well be conceived to be done in the space of Time allotted to it, an Hour or two before Night: And when Moses had thus raised the Tabernacle, God was pleased to give

⁽a) Exodus xl. 17. — 33. (b) What is mentioned ver. 31, 32. that Moses and Aaron and his Sons washed their Hands and Feet at the Laver, was not now done, but at such Times as they went into the Tent of the Congregation, or approached the Altar, and is here set down only to tell the use of the Laver.

the People a visible and miraculous Demonstration, that it was erected according to his Directions; for a Cloud covered the Tent of the Congregation, and the Glory of the Lord filled the Taber-nacle (c): And this visible Evidence of the divine Presence continued from this Time, until the Israelites had finished their Journeys thro' the Wilderness; for the Cloud of the Lord was upon the Tabernacle by Day, and Fire was on it by Night, in the fight of all the House of Israel throughout all their Journeys; and when the Cloud was taken up from over the Tabernacle, the Children of Israel went onward in all their Journeys: But if the Cloud were not taken up, then they journeyed not, till the Day that it was taken up(d): And thus God was pleased to appoint himself, as it were, a visible Dwelling amongst Men; for the Tabernacle was built, that he might dwell amongst his People (e), that there might be a known and determined Place, where he would at all Times vouchfafe to meet them and commune with them (f), and give them a fensible Evidence of his being nigh unto them in all Things, that they might have occasion to call upon him

⁽c) Exodus xl. 34. Numb. ix. 15.—23. xxix. 43.—45.

⁽d) Exod. xl. 36, 37, 38. See (e) Exod. xxv. 8. (/) ver.22.

N 2 for

for (g); and this was the first Structure that was erected in the World for the Purposes of Religion (b). The Israelites had a most strict Charge to destroy utterly all the Places, wherein the Nations of Canaan had ferved their Gods, whether they were upon the high Mountains, or upon the Hills, or under green Trees (i); but we do not find, that they had any Buildings to erase, rather all they had to do, was to overthrow their Aitars, to break their Pillars, to cut down, and to burn their Groves with Fire, and to hew down the graven Images of their Gods, and to destroy the Names of them out of the Place where they had erected them (k). In After-times, when Houses were built for the idolatrous Worship, we find express mention of the demolithing them, by the Persons who engaged in reforming the People: Thus Jehu brake down the House of Baal (1), as did Jehoiada in like manner (m); and the Israelites would unquestionably have been as expresly commanded to demolish such Structures, had there been any, when they entred Canaan; the Heathen Nations had no Thought of building Houses to their Gods,

until

⁽g) Deut. iv. 7. (b) See Vol. II. Book VIII.
(j) Deut. xii. 2. (k) ver. 3. vii. 5. Exod. xxxiv. 13. xxiii. 24. (l) 2 Kings x. 27. (m) 2 Kings xi. 18. 2 Chron. xxiii. 17.

until after the *Ifraelites* had their Tabernacle.

When the Glory first covered the Tabernacle, Moses could not enter into it, because the Cloud abode thereon, and the Glory of the Lord filled it (n); and it continued to do fo most probably for some Days, during which the Lord called unto Moses, and spake unto him out of the Tabernacle of the Congregation (o), and delivered to him, in an audible Voice, the feveral Laws recorded in the first eight Chapters of Leviticus; after receiving which, Moses proceeded to anoint the Tabernacle, the Altar, and all its Vessels, and to confecrate Aaron and his Sons to the Priests Offices (p). Aaron first officiated as high Priest on the eighth Day after the beginning of his Confectation (q), and his Confectation might be begun on the fifth Day of the Month; fo that he might enter upon his Ministry on the Twelfth: We cannot suppose his Consecration sooner, allowing a due space of Time for the giving and receiving and recording the Laws abovementioned; nor can we imagine it later upon Account of celebrating the Paffover, which was to be on the fourteenth, and which was not celebrated until after the Deaths of Nadab and Abibu; for we find

⁽n) Exodus xl. 34, 35. (o) Levitic. i. 1. (p) viii. (q) ix. 1.— 8.

at the Passover, that there were certain Men, who were defiled by the dead Body of a Man, that they could not keep the Paffover (r); and these I think must have been Milhael and Elzaphan, who had carried Nadab and Abihu from before the Sanctuary out of the Camp (s), so that their Deaths happened just before the Passover, on the very first Day of Aaron's Ministration; for whilft he was ordering the Bullock and the Ram for the Peace-Offering (t), when the Fire came out from before the Lord and confumed the Burnt-Offering and Fat upon the Altar (u), Nadab and Abibu, two of Aaron's Sons, took each of them a Censer, and put Fire therein, and put Incense thereon, and offered strange Fire before the Lord, which he commanded them not, and there went out Fire from before the Lord and struck them dead (w): This unhappy Accident could not but occasion some Interruption in the Ministration; Aaron and his two other Sons were undoubtedly affected with it, but Moses applied to them, and required them to suppress their Grief for the Calamity, and not to accompany the dead Bodies out of the Tabernacle, lest the Displeasure of God should arise against them (x). Aaron's Heart feems here to

⁽r) Numbers ix. 6. (s) Levitic. x. 4. (t) ix. 18. (n) ix. 24. (w) x. 1, 2. (x) ver. 6, 7.

have almost funk within him; and I imagine, he would have taken some Refreshment to support his Spirits against the Load of Sorrow that now pressed heavy upon him, and that this occasioned the Command now given him, Do not drink Wine, nor strong Drink, thou nor thy Sons with thee, when ye go into the Tabernacle of the Congregation, left ye die; It shall be a Statute for ever throughout your Generations (y). Moses ordered the dead Bodies of Nadab and Abibu to be carried out of the Tabernacle and out of the Camp (z), and then called upon Aaron, and his Sons that were left, to finish the Day's Service (a); but upon Inquiry he found, that the Sin-Offering, which ought to have been eaten by the Priests in the holy Place (b), was burnt and confumed (c): He represented to the Sons of Aaron their Mistake in this matter (d); but Aaron made excuse for it, and alledged, that fuch Judgments had been inflicted that Day, as to give him Reason to doubt, whether it might be proper for him to finish the Atonement. Aaron said unto Moses: Behold, this Day have they offered their Sin-Offering, and their Burnt-Offer-ing before the Lord, and Juch Things have befallen me; and if I had eaten the Sin-

⁽y) Levitic. x. 8, 9. (z) ver. 4. (a) ver. 12–15. (b) vi. 26, (c) x. 16. (d) ver. 17. N 4. Offering

Offering to-day, should it have been accepted in the fight of the Lord (e)? Some of the Commentators represent, that Aaron thought himself, upon account of the Grief and Concern he was then under, not to be in a fit Disposition to eat the Sin-Offering (f); others, that it would have been indecorous for him to have done it (g); but they do not confider the Charge which Moses had given him: The Hebrew Text suggests what I have hinted to be Aaron's Apology. Aaron faid to Moses, Behold this Day have they offered their Sin-Offering and their Burnt-Offering, [ותקראנה אתי כאלה (b) vattikrenab oti caelleb, the Verb vattikrenah is the plural fæminine, and refers to the Offerings; and what Aaron suggests is, That the Ministrations already performed had called down upon him the Judgments that had been inflicted, and that for this Reason he feared they had prophaned the Services of the Day, and therefore that he did not presume to go on to finish them, but had burnt the Goat, instead of reserving it to be eaten, according to the Orders,

⁽e) Levitic. x. 19. (f) They comment upon the Words thus; Agnosco quidem comedendum suisse et cum Levitiâ, sed qui potui letari? Milui igitur convivium negligere, quam mœssus inire. vid. Pool. Synops in loc. (g) indecorum suisse Patrem convivari carne Victimæ, in qua offerenda duos Filios subitò amiserat. Cleric. Comment. in loc. (b) The Verb NTD in the Conjugation here widely has this Sense, Jer. xxxii. 25.

which he should have observed, if their officiating had been so conducted, as to give him Reason to think it would have been accepted in the sight of the Lord. This indeed seems a reasonable Excuse, and we find Moses was contented with it (k), and pressed him no surther to finish the remaining Offices of that Day's Service.

It may be here asked, What fo great Crime were Nadab and Abibu guilty of, that they paid so dear a Price as to lose their Lives by an immediate Vengeance? But the Answer is easy; The great end and purpose of the Mosaical Dispensation was, to separate unto God a chosen People, who should be careful to obey his Voice indeed, and who, instead of being like other Nations, following and practifing, as Parts of their Religion, what Men might invent, fet up, and think proper and reasonable, should diligently and firictly keep to what God had enjoyned, without turning therefrom to the right Hand or to the left, or without adding to the Word which was commanded them, or diminishing ought from it: But herein these young Men greatly failed; God had as yet given no Law for the offering Incense in Censers, all that had been com-

⁽k) Levitic. x. 20.

- 186

manded about it was, that Aaron should burn it upon the Altar of Incense every Morning and every Evening (1): Afterwards he received further Directions (m); fo that these Men took upon them to begin and introduce a Service into Religion, which was not appointed, they offered what the Lord commanded them not (n); and this, if it had been suffered, would have opened a Door to great Irregularities, and the Jewish Religion would in a little time have been, not what God had directed, but have abounded in many human Inventions added to it. Aaron and his Sons were fan Etified to minister in the Priest's Office (o) for this end, that they should remember the Commandments of the Lord to do them, not that they should feek after their own Heart (p): They could not have taken upon themselves the Offices of their Priesthood, if they had not been called of God to them (q); and as they were called of God to them, it was their indispensable Duty to be faithful to him that appointed them in all his Is mit (r), in every Part of the Dispensation committed to them. This, faid Micles, is that which the Lord spake, saying, I will be faultified in them that come nigh me,

⁽¹⁾ Exodus xxx. 7. (m) Levitic. xvi. 1—12. (n) x. 1. (o) Exodus xxix. 44. (p) Numbers xv. 39. (q) Hebrews v. 4. (r) iii. 2.

and before all the People I will be glorified (s): They then only fanctified and glorified God, when they dispensed to his People, as Parts of his Religion, what he had commanded; but when they varied from it, or performed or enjoined, as Part of it, what he commanded not, then they assumed to themselves a Power that belonged not to them; then they spake and acted of themselves, and be that in these Points speaketh of bimself, seeketh not

God's, but his own Glory (t).

God had directed, that the Israelites should keep the Passover at its appointed Season (u), and accordingly they prepared for it against the fourteenth Day of the Month at Even, in order to observe it according to the Rites of it (w): But on the fourteenth Day there arose a Doubt about the Persons who had touched the dead Bodies of Nadab and Abibu, whether they were fit to keep the Paffover (x); Moses inquired of God about them, and received an Order, that all Persons hindred by fuch an Accident, or that were in a Journey, should keep the Passover a Month after their Brethren (y). We have no Account of any Thing done more, until the first Day of the second Month; fo that we have here fixteen

⁽s) Levitic, x. 3. (t) John vii. 18. (u) Numb. ix.1, 2. (w) ver. 5. (x) ver. 6. (y) ver. 10, 11.

Days Interval, and in this space I imagine, the Laws recorded in Leviticus, from the Beginning of the xith Chapter to the end of that Book, were given, except the Laws contained in the three last Chapters; for these were given to Moses, not at the Door of the Tabernacle, but upon the Mount (p). The Son of Shelomith the Daughter of Dibri, of the Tribe of Dan, was stoned for Cursing and Blas-

pheming about this Time (q).

On the first Day of the second Month, A. M. 2514. Mojes was commanded to take the Number of the Congregation by a Poll of every Male, of twenty Years old and upwards (r), excepting the Levites who were not to be here numbred (s): And in order to the taking this Poll, twelve Persons were named to be Princes of the Tribes of their Fathers (t); and they affembled their Tribes, and gave in upon this first Day of the Month, each the Names and Number of the Persons in the Tribe he was fet over (u): After this Moses received a Command to appoint the Order, in which the Host of the I/raelites was to march and encamp (w): In the next Place he was directed to take the Number of the Levites, and to ap-

⁽p) Levitic. xxv. 1. xxvi. 46 xxvii. 34. (q) Levit. xxiv. (r) Numb. i. 1, 2, 3. (s) ver. 49. (t) ver. 4.—17. (u) ver. 18. (w) Numb. ii. point

point to their feveral Families their respective Services, and to set apart the whole Tribe for the Ministry of the Tabernacle (y): In the more ancient Times, the eldest Son in every Family was the Minister of Religion (2); but in the Jewish Institution God thought fit to difinifs the First-born from this Service, and to direct the Levites to be dedicated to him instead of them (a): As many as there were Levites, over and above the First-born of the Levites, who, by being the First-born, were before this Institution holy unto the Lord, so many of the Firstborn of the other Tribes were discharged from attending upon the Service of the Tabernacle, and accordingly there being twenty and two thousand Levites (b), these were accepted instead of so many of the first-born Males of the Children of Israel: The whole Number of the First-born of the Israelites were twenty two thousand, two hundred, threescore and thirteen (c); and the whole Number of the Levites were, of the Sons of Gershon, seven thousand five hundred (d); of the Sons of Kobath eight thousand fix hundred (e); of the Sons of Merari fix thousand two hundred (f); in all twenty

⁽y) Numbers iii. (z) See Vol. I. B. V. (a) Levit. iii. 12. (b, Numb. iii 39. (c) ver. 43. (d) ver. 22. (e) ver. 28. (f) ver. 34.

two thousand three hundred; and yet we are told that there were two hundred threescore and thirteen of the First-born of the Children of Ifrael more than the Levites (g), that is more than there were Levites to be accepted instead of them; but this is a difficulty easy to be accounted for; for of the Levites many were the First-born of their Families, namely three hundred of them; fo that there remained twenty two thousand only, who were not First-born, and might therefore be accepted instead of the First-born of the other Tribes; and thus we must understand the 39th Verse of the iiid Chapter of Numbers. All that were numbred of the Levites, which Moses and Aaron numbred at the Commandment of the Lord, throughout their Families, all the Males from a Month old and upward, were twenty and two thousand (b): All that were numbred, i. e. in order to be taken instead of the First-born, were so many; for if the first-born Levites be included, if the Sum of the whole Tribe be taken, they amount to three hundred more, as any one may fee by putting together the feveral Sums of the three Families (i); but there being three hundred first-born Levites, and twenty two thousand two

⁽g) Numbers iii. 4. (b) ver. 39. (i) ver. 22, 28, 34. hundred

hundred threefcore and thirteen first-born Israelites of the other Tribes, there would indeed remain two hundred threescore and thirteen First-born more than there were Levites to answer them, and therefore for these God ordered five Shekels of the Sanctuary apiece, to be taken in lieu of each of them (k). The Laws mentioned in the vth and vith and viiith Chapters of Numbers, were given about this Time, and the Levites were confecrated to their Ministry according to all that the Lord had commanded (1); and when all this was done, and the Tabernacle hereby fully fet up (m), all its Officers and Ministers being duly appointed, the Princes of the Tribes made their Offerings (n): The Princes offered each on a Day by himself (o); fo that they were twelve Days bringing in their respective Offerings: The Camp began to march on the twentieth Day (p); the Offerings were therefore over probably a Day or two before the twentieth, and must therefore have begun on the fifth or fixth Day, and confequently what I have mentioned, as previous to the Princes

⁽k) The Shekel of the Sanctuary is, as I have before computed it. about 2 s. 6 d. of our Money; so that they paid each Man about 12 s. 6 d. for his Redemption. (l) Numbers viii. 20. (m) vii. 1. (n) ver. 2. (o) ver. 11. (p) x. 11.

Offerings, from the Polling the People to the finishing the Consecration of the Levites, took up four or five Days. About the eighteenth Day of the Month, Moles had two Silver Trumpets made, (9), for the calling of an Assembly (r) or to sum-mon to a Meeting the Heads of the Congregation (s), or for the blowing an Alarm for marching the Camp (t); and on the twentieth Day the Cloud was taken off from the Tabernacle, and the Israelites prepared to march in due order (u), and by the Direction of the Cloud they journeved three Days together from the Wilderness of Sinai into the Wilderness of Paran (w): Before they began their March. Moses asked Hobab the Son of Jethro his Father-in-law to continue with them, but he was defirous to return into his own Land and to his Kindred (x): Moses was

unwilling

had been at Since ! " months on

⁽q) Numbers x. 2. (r) Ibid. (s) ver. 4. (t) ver. 5. (u) ver. 11. (w) ver. 12. (x) There appears some little Confusion in the Scripture Accounts of Jethro, from the different Names given him in different Places; but it is no unusual thing to find many Names given to one and the same Person. From Numbers x. 29. it appears that Jethro was called Raguel, and from Judges iv. 11. that he ewas also called Hobab. Hs had a Son also, whose Name was Hobab, Numbers x. 29. but there is no room for a careful Reader to mislake the one Flobab for the other. Some learned Writers have indeed imagined, that Jethro did not leave Moses, but went with him thro' the Wilderness; but Moses says express, that Jethro went his way into his own Land. Exod. xviii. 27. Hobab indeed went on with Moses, but not Hobab, Moses's Father-in-law, which had been Jethro; but Hobab the Son of Moses's Father-in-law, or the Son of Jethro.

unwilling to part with him, and reprefented how ferviceable he might be to them in their Travels (y), and made him fuch Offers as induced him not to leave them (z), and accordingly we find his Posterity settled afterwards in Canaan (a).

Upon the Cloud's resting in the Wilderness of Paran, the Camp being thereby stopped from marching any further, the Israelites grew uneasy (b), and complained, perhaps for their not being carried directly into Canaan: Their Uneafiness was offensive to God, and he destroyed many of them with Fire from Heaven for it (bb); but upon Moses's Prayer the Fire ceased (c). In a little time they murmured at their having nothing to eat but Manna, and were very vexatious to Moses in solliciting him to obtain them some other Diet (d). Moses quite tired out with their restless Humours, begged earnestly that God would be pleased, some way or other, to ease him of the great Burthen which lay upon him (e): Here-upon God ordered him to choose seventy Elders out of the Officers, whom he had employed over the People (f): After Moses had chosen them, God was pleased to give them a Portion of his Spirit to qua-

⁽y) Numb. x. 31. (z) Ver. 32. (a) Judges i. 16. (b) Numb. xi. 1. (bb) Ibid. (c) Ver. 2. (d) Ver. 4.—6. (e) Ver. 11.—15. (f) Ver. 16. Vol. III.

lify them for the Employment they were defigned for (g): Sixty eight of the Seventy came up unto Moses to the Tabernacle, upon their being chosen: But Eldad and Medad, two, whom Moses had nominated, feemed defirous to decline the Honour that was offered them, esteeming themfelves perhaps not equal to the Undertaking, and therefore they went not out unto the Tabernacle, but remained in the Camp (b): But God was pleased to convince them, that he could readily give Abilities for any Employment to which he should call them, and therefore he enabled them to prophefy in the Camp, as the others did at the Tabernacle (i). Eldad and Medad's prophefying in the Camp was foon reported to Moses, and Joshua the Son of Nun thought it would be expedient for Mofes to forbid them (k), imagining it would lessen Moses's Authority, if these two Men, who, by their not coming up to the Tabernacle, might appear to have no Commission under him, should be thought to have, and be allowed to use this Privilege: But Mofes having no Aim to his own Glory, remonstrated, that he wished all the Lord's People were Prophets, and that the Lord would put his Spirit upon them (1): This would have truly eased his Burthen; for

⁽g) Numb. xi. 17. (b) Ver. 26. (i) Ibid. (k) Ver. 27, 28. (i) Ver. 29.

if God would have thus immediately revealed his Will to every Israelite, all Mofes's Labour would have been at an end, and the People, from the highest to the lowest, would all have known what they were to do as well as himself, and he not feeking his own Honour, nor having at heart his private Interest, but fincerely defiring to be faithful to him that appointed bim (m), would have fincerely rejoiced to see the Purpose and Design of God thus effectually taking place amongst his People: The Elders went down with Mojes into the Camp (n), and God fent a Wind which brought great Quantities of Quails (0), which the People took and dried and falted for their eating (p): But tho' God

⁽m) Heb. iii. 2. (n) Numb. xi. 30. (o) Ver. 31. Our English Version represents the Quails to have lain round about the Camp as it were two Cubits [or a Yard] high; but there is no Word in the Hebrew Text for the Number two: The Hebrew Word D'MOND fignifies, as it were Cubits high, expressing no determinate Measure, but in general a considerable Height. In like manner we fav, he that gathered leaft, gathered ten Homers, ver. 32, a surprizing Quantity, if an Homer be, as is by some computed, five of our English Bushels and an half: But perhaps the Word we here render Homers, was not intended to fignify in this Place the particular Jewith Measure so called, but should rather have been rendred Heaps in general, without defining the Quantity, which each Heap contained. It is thus used Exod. viii. 14. and we may well imagine each Man to gather ten Heaps, but five and fifty Eußels a Man does not feem a Quantity likely to have been gathered by them. (p) This Management of Quails, in order to preserve them, avas usuala mongst the Heathens. Athen, Depnos. 1. 9. c. II.

fent them this Food upon their Impatience, yet he punished them for their mutinous Temper (q), and by a Plague cut off those, that had required this Provision: They called the Name of the Place Kibroth Hattaavah, because they buried the People here that lusted (r). After the Plague ceased, they journeyed hence to

Hazeroth (s).

At Hazeroth Miriam and Aaron spake against Moses for his having married a Foreigner, a Woman, who was not of the Children of his People; for he had married the Daughter of Jethro the Cushite or Arabian (t): Moses was very meek, above all the Men which were upon the Face of the Earth (u); and the exceeding Goodness of his Temper led Miriam and Aaron most warmly to oppose him upon this Subject: There appears to have been no Law given, which could directly affect the Case of Moses: Whether Aaron inferred his Marriage to be wrong, from what had been (w) injoined the Priests, thinking Moses obliged in every Respect to as great Strictness as they could be, I cannot say: However, he and Miriam would admit of no Plea in Moses's Fa-

⁽⁹⁾ Pfalm cvi. 15. Jxxviii. 30, 31. Numb. x. 33. (r) Ver. 34. (s) Ver. 35. (t) Ch. xii. 1. See Vol. I. B. III. (v) Numb. xii. 3. (w) Levit. xxii. 14.

vour; but contended that they knew as well as he, what was lawful, and what was not (p); for that God had revealed his Will to them as well as to him. This Dispute might have had a very unhappy Effect upon the People; for if the Perfons, whom they all knew to have been favoured with immediate Revelations of God's Will, had thus evidently differed and contradicted one another about it, how should the Congregation know by whom to be directed? Parties and Divisions would have arisen from such Contests: But God was pleased to interpose upon this Occasion. The Lord came down in the Pillar of the Cloud to the Door of the Tabernacle, and called Aaron and Miriam (q), and observed to them, that he had never revealed his Will to either of them, or to any others in fo extraordinary a manner, as he had done to Moles (r), and that therefore they ought to have been afraid to speak against and contradict him (s); and in order to justify Moses to the whole Congregation, Miriam was ftruck with a Leprofy, and ordered to be put out of the Camp for feven Days (t); after that, by *Moses*'s Prayer for her, she was recovered (u): Upon her Re-admission into the Camp, the I/raelites removed from

⁽p) Numb. xii. z. (q) Ver. 5. (r) Ver. 6—8. (s) Ibid. (t) Ver. 10, 14. (u) Ver. 13. O 3 Hazeros 4

Hazeroth further on, in the Wilderness

of Paran (v;).

From the Place they were now encampcd at, Moses by God's Commandsent twelve Persons, having chosen one out of each Tribe, to go as Spies into the Land of Can tan (w), to take a view, and to bring an Account of the Land and its Inhabitants. The twelve Persons appointed took their Journey, and went over the Land, and in forty Days came back to the Camp gation was fummoned to receive their Report (y), which, as to the Fruitfulness of the Land, was very agreeable; but they represented the large Stature and Strength of the Inhabitants, so as to intimidate the People, and to induce them to think themselves in no wise able to conquer it (z). The Camp grew into a great Ferment upon this Representation, and a false Report of the Goodness of the Country gat about, and increased the Discontent, notwithstanding all that Caleb, who had been one of the Spies, could offer to appeafe it (a); and at last such a Spirit was raifed amongst the People, that they were for making themselves a Captain to lead them back to Egypt (b). Mojes and Aaron

⁽a) Numb. xii. 16. (av) xiii. 2. (x) Ver. 21—25. (j) Ver. 26—31. (v) Ver. 27—31. (a) Ver. 30—35. (b) xix. 4.

expressed the deepest Concern at this strange Infatuation (c), and Caleb and Joshua made the utmost Efforts to reduce the Camp to a better Temper. They remonstrated, that the Land was certainly exceeding good; that it was God's Defign to give it to them; that fince God was for them, the Strength of the Canaanites against them was not to be feared; that to return to Egypt, would be a Rebellion against God, who had so miraculously delivered, preserved, and appointed them for this Undertaking (d). What they faid was far from having the defigned Effect: The People were rather transported by it to greater Fury, and were for having Joshua and Caleb immediately stoned (e); but the Glory of the Lord appeared in the Tabernacle of the Congregation, in a manner visible to all the People (f). Such an Obstinacy as they were now guilty of, was an exceeding great Sin against God; however Mofes was admitted to intercede, that the whole Congregation should not be deftroyed (g): But God determined, that for this Offence, none of the Persons who had feen his Glory and his Miracles done in Egypt, and had thus rebelled against him, should come into the Land of Ca-

⁽c) Numb. xix. 5. (d) Ver. 6--9. (e) Ver. 10. (f) lbid, (g) Ver. 11—20. (e) Ver. 10.

200

naan (b); for that their Entrance into the Land should now be deferred until forty Years were expired from their Exit out of Egypt, and that before that Time all the Generation that were twenty Years old and upwards, when Moses and Aaron numbred them after the Exit out of Egypt, except Caleb and Joshua, should die in the Wilderness (i): Moses told the People these things, at the hearing whereof they mourned greatly (k). They were now defirous to attempt to enter the Land; but Mofes cautioned them against it (1), affuring them, that God would not now give them Success: However they would march; but the Amalekites and Canaanites smote them and discomfited them unto Hormab (m). The Laws contained in the xvth Chapter of Numbers, feem to have been given within the forty Days the Spies were travelling over the Land of Canaan; and about this Time I imagine the Man to have been stoned, who gathered Sticks on the Sabbath-Day (n).

There is a Passage in the Speech of Joshua and Caleb, upon which the Jewish Rabbins founded a most whimsical Conceit: Joshua and Caleb represent, that, as

⁽b) Numb. xix. 22, 23. (i) Ver. 22—38. (i) Ver. 39. (l) Ver. 41, 42, 43. (m) Ver. 44, 45. (r) Numb. xy, 32—36.

to the Canaanites, their Defence was departed from them (o): The Hebrew Word is 15 [Tzillam] their Shadow, upon which the Rabbins thus comment: They fay, that on the Night of the seventh Day of the feventh Month, God shewed his People by the Moonshine, what should happen to them in the Year following (p): They pretended, that if any one went out into the Moonshine in that Night in a proper Dress, he would see the Shadow of his Body diverse, according to what would happen to him. The Shadow of his Hand held out would want a Finger, if he was to lofe a Friend that Year: His Right-Hand would cast no Shadow, if his Son was to die; his Left-Hand, if his Daughter: If the Person himself was to die, then his Shadow would appear without an Head, or perhaps his Body cast no Shadow at all, his Shadow being departed from him. It would be trifling to endea-vour to shew that Caleb and Joshua intended nothing of this Sort. The Use of the Word Shadow for Protection is an easy Metaphor: The Strength of the Ifraelites was thought by Joshua and Caleb to be the Lord's being with them, and under this Confideration they look-

⁽a) Numb. xiv, 9. (p) Buxtorf. Synagog. Judaic.

202

looked upon the Canaanites as deferted of God, and therefore unable to bear up against them, and this was the whole of what they endeavoured to represent to the People; but no Expression of Scripture can be so clear and express, but that Superstrion may turn it to Fancy and Fable. The Greeks had a Whim about the Shadow of those who entred (7) the Temple of the Arcadian Jupiter, not altogether unlike this Fiction of the Rabbins; and the Monkish Tale, which some of our vulgar People can still tell, of their Shadow in the Night of St. Mark's Festival, was perhaps derived from it.

Mojes was ordered to lead the Ifraelites back towards the Red-Sea again
(r), and after their unsuccessful Attempt
against the Canaanites (s) they began their
Retreat: We hear but little more of them
for about thirty seven Years; during
which Time they marched up and down
the Wilderness, and made seventeen Encampments (t), from their leaving Rithmab in the Wilderness of Paren (u) to
their coming to Kadesh in the Wilderness
of Zin (w): Their being obliged to make

⁽⁹⁾ Θεόπου τ Φ ελσας, τès els τὸ τὰ Διὸς σ'ε ατον ἐμό εθ Τος κερ' Αριασίαν στείας τ τριτές. Vid. Polyb. Hift. 1, 16. c. 11. (r) Numbr. 25. (s) Ver. 44, 45. (г) xxxiii. 19—36. (и) Compare xii. 16. with xxxiii. 18. (αν) xxxiii. 36.

this Retreat, and the deferring their Entrance into Canaan, raifed great Discontents amongst them, and very probably occasioned the Rebellion of Korah, Dathan and Abiram, which happened about this Time: Two hundred and fifty Princes of the Assembly were concerned in it (x), and many thousands of the People, as may be imagined from the Number of those who perished by the Plague (y), were fwallowed up in the Earth(z), or confumed by the Fire (a): The Heads of the Conspiracy were Korah a Levite, Dathan and Abiram the Sons of Eliah, and On the Son of Peleth of the Tribe of Reuben. They contended, that there was no Reason for so great a Subjection to, and Dependance upon Moses and Aaron (b); that the Priesthood ought not to have been appropriated to Aaron and his Family; for that all the Congregation was holy, every one of them, and the Lord among ft them (c); and they remonstrated against Moses, that he had brought them out of Egypt a very plentiful Country; that he had no real Intention ever to bring them into Canaan; that he defigned only to carry them about, thro' innumerable Difficulties, until he could inure them to

⁽x) Numb. xvi. 1, 2. (y) Ver. 49. (z) Ver. 32. (a) Ver. 35. (b) Ver. 3. (c) Ibid.

Servitude, and make himself altogether a Prince over them (d); that to deny this to be his Aim, would be to suppose the People to have no Eyes to fee the Situation of their Affairs, and the Prospects that were before them (e). Moses had by express Command from God denounced to the Congregation, that not one of them, except Caleb and Joshua, should enter into Canaan; that all the rest of them, who were above twenty Years old, when they were polled after their coming out of Egypt, should die in the Wilderness, and the younger Generation only should come into the Land (f); and this had put them all into so great a Ferment, that even a miraculous Interposition of the divine Power, was not immediately fufficient to fubdue the Spirit of their Rebellion; for we read, that on the Morrow after the Earth had fwallowed up Dathan and Abiram and all that belonged to them (g), after Korah and his Company were confumed with Fire from the Lord (b), all the Congregation murmured against Moses and against Aaron, and accused them of having killed the Lord's People (i): But hereupon God fent a Plague amongst them, and took off fourteen thousand by

⁽d) Numb. xvi. 3, 13. (e) Ver. 14. (f) xiv. 28—33. (g) xvi. 32. (b) Ver. 35. (i) Ver. 41.

it (k), and also gave them a further Evidence by the blossoming of Aaron's Rod, that he was the Person whom God had appointed to be Priest for his People (1). After the Punishment of the Plague, and the Testimony of the further Miracle in Aaron's Rod, their Opposition ceased (m); Aaron's Rod was by divine Command laid up in the Tabernacle in memory of this miraculous Confirmation of his Priesthood (n): And the People expressed themfelves convinced, that whoever prefumed to intrude into the Service of the Tabernacle, would be purfued by divine Vengeance unto Death (o). The Laws mentioned in the xviiith and xixth Chapters of Numbers, were given about this Time.

Whilst the Israelites were in the Wilderness, some Writers imagine that Sesostris was King of Egypt, and that he raised a powerful Army, and conquered a great Part of the then known World. They suppose him the Son of Pharaoh, who in Pursuit of the Israelites was drowned in the Red-Sea: Archbishop Usher was of this Opinion (p), and the late learned Bishop Cumberland endeavours to support it (i). The Substance of what he argues upon the

⁽k) Numb. xvi. 49. (l) xvii. (m) Ibid. (n) Ver. 10. (o) Ibid. (p) Annals, p. 21. (i) Sanchoniatho. Sect. 4. p. 387.

Subject, amounts to, 1. That Sefostris was the Brother of the Grecian Danaus, and therefore, fince Danaus is confessed to have lived about the Times of Moses (k), that Sefostris must be likewise placed in the fame Age. 2. That according to the Testimony of ancient Writers, Sesostris was the Son of Amenophis, the Pharaoh, who was drowned in the Red-Sea. If indeed either of these Affertions can be supported, Sesostris must be placed in these Times: But if both these Arguments may be refuted, Aristotle's general Opinion, cited by the learned Bishop, that Sesostris lived before Minos (1), or Apollonius's imagining him to have planted Colonies in Colchis before the Argonautic Expedition (m), or Pliny's hinting him to have lived before the Trojan War, will be of no great weight; for it is known, that very confiderable Writers have mistaken the true Time of the Reign of Sesostris (n).

1. Bishop Cumberland contends, that Danaus and Sefostris were Brothers: But a supposed Citation from Manetho in Jofephus, is the only Proof of this Fraternity (o): Manetho is supposed to have said, that Sethosis was called Agyptus, and that Ar-

⁽k) See Vol. II. B. VIII. (1) Arist. Polit. 1. 7. C. 10. (m) Apollon. Argonautic. 1. 4. 276. (n) See Pref. to Vol. II. (e) Jošeph. contra Apion. 1. 1. C. 15.

mais his Brother was Danaus. I must confess, I suspect the Passage: The Words cited feem to me to be not Manetho's, but Josephus's (p): Josephus, after having fet down a large Citation from Manetho, adds, what I conceive, he inferred from him to be true: And I the rather think fo, because nothing, that comes up to what is here cited, appears in the Remains of Manetho, as transmitted to us by either Africanus or Eusebius, tho' they have both of them given us the List of Kings cited by Josephus, and one of them some Words of Manetho, from which Josephus might probably make his Inference: Africanu: transmits to us the Series of Kings, but has not remarked any Relation between any two of them (q): But Eusebius at the Name of Armes or Armais, calls him alfo Danaus, and records that he reigned in Egypt five Years, and then fled out of the Kingdom from his Brother Ægyptus, and went to Greece, and reigned at Argos (r); so that from Eusebius it looks probable, that Manetho had hinted Danaus and Ægyptus to be Brothers: Josephus imagined Ægyptus and Sethofis to be one and the same Person, and hence con-

⁽p) The Words in Josephus are, λίρω 38 ότι δ μέν Σένωσις εκαλώτο Αίγωσω, 'Αρμαίς η δ ο βελοίς αυτ τε Δαναίς. (g) Vid. Syncell. Chonograph. p. 72. (r) Id. p. 73. Euseb. Chron. p. 16.

cluded, that Manetho had suggested Danaus and Sethosis to be so related: This seems to me to be the Foundation of what is cited in and from Josephus.

That Danaus was indeed the Brother of Ægyptus, may be proved from many ancient Writers (rr); but it appears evident, from divers Circumstances recorded concerning each of them, that Ægyptus and Sesostris were not the same Person. Belus the Son of Neptune and Libya married Anchinoe Daughter of Nilus, and had two Sons by her, Ægyptus and Danaus (s): Thus it appears, that these two Persons were Brothers: But if we pursue the History of Ægyptus, we may evidently fee that he and Sefostris were not the fame Person. Ægyptus had fifty Sons, as Danaus had fifty Daughters (t), but Sesostris had but fix Children (u): Ægyptus was indeed treacherously dealt with by his Brother Danaus, and fo was Sefostris by a Brother; but in a manner very different: It is a known Story, how the fifty Daughters of Danaus were married, each of them to a Son of Ægyptus, and how all of them, except one, killed their Husbands, by the Order of Danaus their

Father:

⁽rr) Apollodor. l. 2. Chron. Alexandrin. Cedren. l. 1. Eufeb. in Chronic. Prideaux in Not. Hiftoric. ad Chron. marmor. (s) Apollod. l. 2. Not. Euftath. et Didymi in Homer. Il. α. ver. 42. (t) Iid. ibid. Paufan. in Corinthiac. c. 25. (u) Herodot. l. 2. c. 107.

Father: Thus Danaus attempted to have his Brother's Family extinct (w): But the Attempt upon Sesostris made by his Brother was of another fort: At Sejostris's Return home from his Conquests, his Brother invited him, his Wife and Children to an Entertainment, and fired the House where he received them, with defign to burn them (x): Sefostris enjoyed himself in Egypt after his Conquests many Years in Peace, and died in his own Country, and was fucceeded in his Kingdom by his Son (y); but Ægyptus the Brother of Danaus was an Exile from Egypt as well as Danaus, and died and was buried in Achaia in Greece (z), and his only furviving Son Lynceus never was King of Egypt, but succeeded Danaus in the Kingdom of Argos (a), and was buried in that Country in the same Tomb with Hypermnestra his Wife (b): And thus Ægyptus and Sesostris were two different Persons, the Circumstances of whose Lives, Deaths and Children, will in no wife coincide, but are very divers from one another, and therefore it cannot be conclusive to argue Danaus to have been Brother of

⁽w) Apollodor. Euftath. et Didym. in loc. fup. citat. Paufan. in Corinthiacis. (x) Diodor. Sic. 1. 1. p. 37. Herodot. 1. 2. c. 3. (y) Diodor. ubi fup. et p. 38. Herodot. 1. 2. c. 3. (z) Paufan. in Achaic. c. 22. (a) Id. in Corinthiac. c. 16. (b) Id. ibid. et c. 21.

Sefostris, because Danaus and Ægyptus are recorded to have been thus related. Diodorus Siculus and Herodotus are very large in their Accounts of Sefostris (c), and do both of them minutely mention the Circumstances of his Brother's Treachery (d); but they neit er of them hint Danaus to have been his Brother. Danaus lived about the Times of Moses (e), and consequently Ægyptus in the same Age; but as Ægyptus appears not to have been Sesostris, the Fraternity between Ægyptus and Danaus can have no Effect towards proving the Time of Sesostris's Reign.

II. Bishop Cumberland contends, that Sesostris was the Son of Amenophis, who was the Pharaob that was drowned in Pursuit of the Israelites in the Red-Sea. He cites Manetho and Charemon in Josephus to prove Amenophis to be the King, in whose Reign the Israelites went out of Egypt (f): This Amenophis, he says, was the Father of Ramesses, who was also called Egyptus, and had Danaus for his Brother, and Egyptus and Sesostris were the same Person. But 1. Amenophis was not the King in whose Reign

the

⁽c) Diedor, l. 1. Herodot, l. 2. (d) Diedor, p. 37. Herodot, l. 2. c. 107. (z) See Vol. II. B. VIII. Photii extract, è Lib. xi. Diedor. Sic. Photii Bibliothec. p. 1151. (f) Sanchontatho. p. 398.

the Israelites left Egypt: Josephus does indeed remark, that Manetho in one particular Place afferts it (g), and that Charemon agrees with him in it (b): But then he remarks, that it was a mere Fiction of Manetho's, contrary to what he himself had expresly owned (i) in other Parts of his Works, and that Charemon erred in agreeing with him in it (k); fo that the very Authorities upon which the learned Bishop would argue Amenophis his supposed Father of Sesostris, to have been the Egyptian King, who reigned at the Yewish Exit, have been long ago refuted by Josephus, the very Author from whom the Bishep had them, and in the very Place where he found them. But 2. If Amenophis was indeed the King who reigned at the Jewish Exit; if he was also the Father of Ramesses, or Ægyptus the Brother of Danaus; yet as it appears from what I above offered, that Ægyptus the Brother of Danaus and Sejostris were in no wise the same Perfon, nothing can be concluded from the learned Bishop's Argument to prove Sefostris to have lived in these Times, Here therefore I will leave this Subject, tho' it might be more largely refuted in every Particular belonging to it; but fo

⁽g) Lib. contra Ap. 1. c. 26. (b) 13. c. 32. (f) Joseph, ubi sup. (k) Id. ibid. P 2

nice a Discussion of it must surely be superfluous: One thing I confess I am furprized at: I much wonder fuch learned and judicious Writers, as the great Authors I have mentioned, could ever entertain a Thought of it. If Sesostris had lived in these Times, and commanded fuch victorious Armies, as he was faid to be Master of, would not the Camp of the Israelites have fallen in his Way? or should we not have had mention made of him amongst the Hints we have in Scripture of the Canaanitish Nations? He must have carried his Forces thro' these Countries; but they appear to have enjoyed an uninterrupted Peace, until Jo-shua attacked them. But had the great Sesostris lived in these Times, whence, or how should he have raised his Armies? When Pharaob purfued the I/raelites to the Red-Sea, he took his People with him, all his Horses and Chariots, and all the Chariots of Egypt and his Horsemen and his Army (1): He and all these perished in the Sea (m): The Kingdom had been just before spoiled of its Treasure (n), and every Family weakned by the Loss of the First-born (0); and can it appear probable, that in fuch

⁽¹⁾ Exod. xiv. 6, 7, 9. (n) Ver. 28. (n) xii. 36. (o) Ver. 29, 30.

a deplorable Crifis of Affairs, a King of this Country should attempt and pursue a Variety of Conquests of foreign Nations? Egypt must at this time have been reduced so low, as that it might have been an easy Prey to any Invasion. The Israelites many times thought so, and were therefore frequently tempted to an Inclination to return thither, when they met with discouraging Difficulties in their Expectations of Canaan: When the Spies, that had been employed to fearch the Land, had intimidated the Congregation, by magnifying the Strength and Stature of the Inhabitants, the Israelites were for making a Captain to lead them back to Egypt (p): They knew the Fruitfulness of this Country, were sensible that it must be under a feeble Government; and tho' they imagined themselves not able to conquer the Canaanites, who were in their full Strength, yet they were not afraid of an exhausted Nation: And this indeed was a natural way of thinking: But that Sefostris should be the Son of Pharaoh, who was drowned in the Red-Sea, and that in the State, which his Father's Misfortunes must have reduced Egypt to, he should immediately find Strength sufficient to subdue

⁽p) Numb. xiv. 3, 4.

Kingdom after Kingdom, and to erect himself a large Empire over many great and flourishing Nations; this must be thought by any one that duly considers things, to seem at first sight a most romancic Fiction.

It may perhaps be expected that I should not only say, who was not, but who really was the Pharash that was drowned in the Red-Sea: But perhaps this is a Point, which I may not be able to determine, fo as to have no Doubts remaining about it: However, as the Egyptian Antiquities have been the Study of many learned Writers in divers Ages, and great pains have been taken to fettle and deduce a reasonable and consistent Account of them, it may not be unacceptable to fuch as have not opportunity of informing themselves better, if I here once for all, fet before the Reader some Account of the Works or Remains, which are most commonly cited for these Antiquities, after which he may judge for himself, how far we can fix the particular Time of any Reign or Transaction, which belongs to the History of this People. And the Authorities most generally appealed to upon this Subject are, 1. The old Chronographeon. 2. The Tomes of Manetho. 3. The Catalogue of Eratosthenes. 4. Some Extracts from Manetho in Josephus. 5. The Chronography of Africanus.

Africanus. 6. The Chronicon of Eusebius. 7. The Chronographia of Syncellus. And 8. The Canon Chronicus of our learned

Countryman Sir John Marsham.

I. We are told of an old Egyptian Chronographeon, and Syncellus has preferved us fome Remains, or rather an imperfect Account of it: But I may offer the whole of what he gives us of it, in the following Translation of his Words. According to him it was thus (q) worded:

"Time we do not affign to Vulcan, " for he is ever: Sol the Son of Vulcan " reigned 30000 Years: Then Saturn, " and the other Gods being 12 reigned " 3984 Years: Then the eight Demi-"Gods, who were Kings, reigned 217"
Years: And after these were set down " 15 Generations of the Cynic Cycle, " taking up the space of 443 Years: Then came the XVI Dynasty of Tanite "Kings, containing eight [Generations or] Reigns of 190 Years: Next to these xvII Dynasty of Memphites 4 Reigns, 103 Years. After them xvIII Dynasty of Memphites, 14 Reigns, 348 Years. Then xix Dynasty of Diospoli-" tans, 5 Reigns, 194 Years. Then xx " Dynasty of Diospolitans, 8 Reigns, 228 "Years. Next xxI Dynasty of Tanites,

^{(9) &}quot;Ουτω πῶς ἐπὶ λέξεως ἔχων" Ήξαίς ε χρόνος ἐκ Syncell. p. 51. P 4 6 Reigns,

"6 Reigns, 121 Years. Then xxII Dy"nasty of Tanites, 3 Reigns, 48 Years.
"xxIII Dynasty of Diospolitans, 2 Reigns,
"19 Years. xxIV Dynasty of Saitans,
"3 Reigns, 44 Years. xxV Dynasty of
"Ethiopians, 3 Reigns, 44 Years. xxVI"
"Dynasty of Memphites, 7 Reigns, 177
"Years. xxVII Dynasty of Persians, 5
"Reigns, 124 Years. - - - - (r).
"xxIX Dynasty of Tanites - - Reigns,

(s) 39 Years. XXX Dynasty completes the

" whole, confisting of 1 Tanite King, his "Reign 18 Years."

This is the Account we have of the ancient Chronographeon, and I would remark concerning it, I. That excepting the three or four first Lines, it cannot be thought to be given us in the very Words of the Chronographeon; rather, it is an Abstract of what was supposed to be the Contents of it. The Chronographeon it self, as it particularized the Reign of Sol, and then of Saturn; so unquestionably it exhibited distinctly the Reigns of the other Gods, and distributed such a Part of the 3984 Years said to be the Sum of all their Reigns, as belonged respectively to, and was made up from the Course of each of them. In like manner, I ima-

⁽r) Thro' some defect of the Copy, we have here an Omission of xxviii Dynasty. (s) We have here a like Omission of the Number of the Reigns in xxix.

gine, it recounted the 8 Demi-Gods, and the 15 Cynic Heroes, more distinctly and in a larger Narration, than we here find them; for in this Account, I take it, we have only the Beginning of the Chronographeon, and then the Sum or Heads of what followed, and not the Particulars at large, that were contained in it. But I would observe, 2. That we have Reason to think, that the foregoing Account was not originally intended for an Account of the old Chronographeon only, but rather for an Account of the Chronographeon, and of some other Work accommodated and connected to it. From the Beginning of the Account to the End of what is faid of the Heroes of the Cynic Cycle, we have the Substance of the old Chronographeon: From what follows thus, then the XVI Dynasty of Tanite Kings, &c. we have the Contents, not of the old Chronographeon, but of some later Chronicle, which was thought to supply what the old Chronographeon did not contain, towards the completing the Egyptian History. In the old Chronographeon, next to the Cynic-Cycle, were Lists of the Kings of three Kingdoms, first of the Auritans, secondly, of the Mestræans, and thirdly of the Egyptians (x): And so many

⁽x) Πεῶτον μὲν τῶν ᾿Αυριτῶν, Δεύτερον δὲ τῶν Μεερτίων, τείτον ζ ᾿Αιγυσθίων. Syncell. p. 51. Names

Names of Kings were probably contained in each List, as had reigned to the Time perhaps when the Chronographeon was composed: But the Author of the Account above produced, not purposing to go on with the more obsolete Names of the old Chronographeon, but taking the Auritans to be the same Nation as were afterwards called Tanites, the Mestræans the same as Memphites, and the Egyptians the same as Diospolitans; and knowing that a later Chronicle at its xvi Dynasty began its Account of the Tanite Kings; and in its xvII and xvIII, its Account of the Memphites; and in the next Dynasty its Account of the Diospolitans; he thought this to be a Point of Time where he was fure the two Registers, he copied from, coincided, and therefore having given the Contents of the more ancient one, down to this Point, instead of going on in that any further, here, fays he, we are come to the xvi Dynasty, an Epoch well known to those, who had perused the Accounts of Manetho, and from hence he adds Dynasty to Dynasty down to what he took to be the End of the Egyptian History.

If we do not take the Account, I am treating of, in this Light, it will be hard to reconcile the feveral Parts of it to one another. We have in it the Contents of the Egyptian History of their Gods,

Demi-

Demi-Gods, Cynic-Cycle, and then comes the xvi Dynasty -: It must be obvious here to ask, how comes this to be called the xvi Dynasty; for where are the preceding xv? The learned Editor of Syncellus was aware of this Difficulty, and therefore suggests in his Annotations, that Teven is Kunde noving should be read, Suraquia ié, that instead of 15 Generations of the Cynic-Cycle, we should read 15 Dynasties (y); but this is to cut the Difficulty and not to folve it: This was certainly not the Intention of the Author of the Account: He imagined the whole History, from the Beginning of the Chronographeon to the End of the Dynasties he added to it, to contain in all but 30 Dynasties, and accordingly endeavours to sum up the Amount of them all to be 36525 Years (z); but if we begin the *Dynasties* from the *Cynic-Cycle*, the Sum of them will fall short Myriads of Years of that Number, and the Chronographeon will contain the History of the Gods and Demi-Gods, besides the Dynasties, which the Composer of this Account had no Notion of its doing.

I might add further, that if we take the Account abovementioned to give us the Contents of the old Chronographeen

⁽y) Vid. Annotat. Goar. ad Syncell. pag. 51. (z) Vid. Euseb. Chronic. p. 7. Syncell. p. 52.

only, we shall destroy the supposed Antiquity of the Chronographeon; for as xxvII Dynasty mentions the Persian Kings (a), of whom Cambyses was the first (b); so it is evident, that the other 3 Dynasties carry on the Egyptian History to about the Time of Nectanebus (c), and there Manetho's Tomes ended (d). NeEtanebus was expelled his Kingdom by Ochus King of Persia, about 350 Years before Christ (e), A. M. 3654. Manetho dedicated his Tomes to Ptolemy Philadelphus before A. M. 3757 (f), within about 100 Years after Nectanebus; so that if the old Chronographeon reached down to NeEtanebus, Manetho's Work and that must have been of about the same Antiquity. I ought here to take notice, that fome very learned Writers have imagined this old Chronographeon to be nothing else but an Abridgment of Manetho: This was Scaliger's Opinion, and accordingly, in his Chronicon of Eufebius, he puts upon it the following Title: Θεών Βασιλάα κατά το παλαιόν χρονικόν όπ τών Μανεδώ. Or, " The Reign of the Gods according " to the old Chronicle out of the Books of

⁽a) Καλ μετὰ τέτες κ. Δ΄ δυνασεία Περσῶν ε΄ ἐτῶν ρκ.δ΄ Syncell. p. 52. (b) Vid. p. 76. Prideaux Connect. Part. I. B. III. (ε) Syncell. p. 76, 77. Prideaux B. III. — VII. (d) Syncell. p. 256. (ε) Prideaux B. VII. (f) Id. Part II. B. II.

"Manetho" (g): And this, I believe, was Dean Prideaux's Sentiment: He tells us, we have an Epitome of Manetho's Work preserved in Syncellus (b), taking, I suppose, this Chronographeon to be that Epitome: But they were probably led to think it so, from Manetho's Work, and the Chronographeon's ending at the fame Period, and would perhaps have thought differently of it, had they duly observed how the Account we have of the Chronographeon differs, the former Part of it from the latter Part, in a very remarkable Particular, which argues it to have been an Abstract of not one, but of two different Works; the former Part exhibiting the Contents of a Work, which had not been divided into fuch Dynasties as the latter Part is made up of; the latter Part containing the Substance of one half of a Work, which had comprehended in 30 Dynasties the whole Egyptian Hiftory.

That the old Chronographeon was a different and distinct Work from Manetho's, is evident from Syncellus; for he collected from it, that Manetho had committed Errors (i); and fuggests, that the

⁽g) Euseb. Chronic. p. 6. (b) Connect. Part I. B. VII. ad annum 350. (i) Κ Ε΄ κὸ τὸν Μανεθῶ πεπλανῆθζ νομίζω Syncell. p. 51.

Period of Time, which the old Chronegrapheen digested into Dynasties, was not the same with that which Manetho forced into Divitions of a like Denomination (t): From the old Chronographeon, Manetho took an Hint, which led him to compose the Egyptian History in such Sections (1); but the Dynafies of the old Chronographeon were Astronomical, not Historical (m): The Page of Sincellus, from which we might hope to form a Judgment of this old Chronographeen, is printed very incorrectly; or perhaps never had the last Hand of its Author; for Syncellus died before he had completed and corrected his Work (n); and, I should think, has left us in this Page rather some Hints, which he might purpole afterwards to perfect, than a clear and complete Account of the old Chronsgrapseen: As far as we can guess from his short and imperfect Suggestions, the old Chronsgraphesn divided a very large Period of Time, a space of 36525 Years, first into 30 Dynafter, then, de genezis Take an, it subdivided it again into 113 Generations (c): The Exptians reputed

if the server of divergent - yell attente, a for an entre to Marent. The terminal point of Aryoffeet for an entre attention and the server attention to the area of the area o

a Period of 36525 Years to be the space of Time, in which the Luminaries of Heaven performed, what they called an intire Revolution of the World (p); and perhaps at the Time of the Composure of the Chronographeon, they might think their Revolution of the Zsaiac to be performed in 1217 Years and 6 Months, and fo to be repeated 30 Times in the Courle of Years abovementioned in - and this might lead them to a second Period by 30 into I could trace the Fitt tic Aftronomy, and uses a stelly the particular Lights of Heaven, which in the first Ages were called their Gods, and calculate exactly how they measured the Couries of each of them, I might probably deduce 113 other Periods contained in the 36525 Years, which they might call Generations, and shew, how in these their said Gods completed again other Courfes, which had Relations to one another. Of this fort were the 30 Drnasties and 113 Generations of the old Chronographeon, and belonged to the Courses of the Sun, Moon, and Stars, which were the Gods of Egyot in these

⁽²⁾ Marsham. Can. Chron. p. 9. See Vol I. B. I. (2) Aftermand: they computed a Resultation of the Zediac mure accurately, to be 1460 Years, field falling a little foot of a true Calculation. Centoria de die Natall c. 18.

Times (r): After these the Chronographeon gave Account of the Demi-Gods and their Times, but not in Dynasties; and who these were, I have already considered (s): Next, it related the Heroes of the Cynic-Cycle (t); and lastly, added the Names of such Auritan, Mestræan, and Egyptian Kings (n), as had reigned down to the Times, where the Chronographeon ended. Let us now consider in the next Place the Tomes of Manetho.

II. Manetho was a learned and noble Egyptian at the Head of their Sacra (w): About the Time or foon after the Septuagint Translation was made of the Hebrew Scriptures, he was ordered by Ptolemy Philadelphus to compile the History of his own Country: And having confulted the Sacred Books of the Egyptians, and extracted, as he pretended, what had been transcribed into them from their most ancient Monuments, and completed his Undertaking in the Greek Tongue, he dedicated it to Ptolemy, at whose Command he had composed it (x). His Work contained an Account of the Gods, Demi-Gods, Heroes and Mortals, that had

⁽r) See Vol. I. B. I. B. 5. B. I. (u) Syncell. p. 51. Voss de Hist. Græc. l. 1. c. 14. Ap. l. 1. c. 14. Syncell. p. 40.

reigned in Egypt (y); and herein the Subject Matter of it bare a Refemblance of the old Chronographeon; for that, as I have faid, began with the Reigns of Sol and the other Gods, then gave account of the Demi-Gods, then of the Cynic Heroes, and lastly of the Auritan, Meftræan and Egyptian Kings. Manetho divided his History into 30 Dynasties and 113 Generations (z); but he differed from the Chronographeon, in that the Times he treated under these Titles were not the same Periods with those, which the Chronographeon exhibited under the like Denominations (a). The Dynasties and Generations of the Chronographeon were Astronomical, prior to the Reigns or Lives of the Demi-Gods; but Manetho's began from the Reigns of the Gods, were carried on thro' the Reigns of the Demi-Gods, Heroes and Mortals, and terminated with Nectanebus. Manetho was unquestionably a great Master of the Egyptian Learning, and might think it a Point of their Doctrines, that all Things had their Period in 36525 Years (b):

⁽y) ἐν τειάκοντα δυνας είαις ἱςορᾶ πεεὶ τῶν λεγομένων παρ ἀυτοῖς θεῶν, κ) ἡμιθέων, κ) νεκίων, κ) θνητῶν ἔτέρων Cασιλέων. Syncell. p. 40. (z) ριγ΄ γενεῶν ἐν δυνας ἐαις λ΄ ἀναγεγραμμένων. Syncell. p. 52. (a) ἐ τὸν ἀυτὸν [χρίνον] τὸν Μανεθῶ. Id. p. 51.

⁽b) Vid. Jamblich. de Myster. Ægypt. c, de Deo atque Diis.

He had lived to fee the ancient Glory of his Country passed over: Egypt was in the Possession of a foreign Race of Kings in his Times: Nectanebus was the last Egyptian that fate on the Throne of this Nation (c): Upon his Flight from Ochus King of Persia, Egypt came into the Hands of the Persians, and afterwards was reduced by Alexander the Great (d); and at his Death was a Part of the Provinces of Ptolemy one of his Captains, who in few Years became King of it, and his Son Ptolemy Philadelphus reigned, when Manetho wrote his History. Thus Manetho had feen of the Egyptian Race of Kings, that their Times had been fulfilled, and their Kingdom departed from them; and upon the Dogmata of the Egyptian Learning he conceived fuch a Revolution might indeed happen at the End of 36525 Years, and therefore deduced his Dynasties according to it, and hereby made his Work not dishonourable to his Country, or to the Stock of which himself was descended (e); for it shewed the Egyptian Reigns to have been carried down to a full and complete Period, and it might be likely to give Ptolemy no

⁽c) Prideaux Connect. Part I. B. VII. (d) Id. ibid. (e) Manetho was of the Sebennite Race. Syncell. p. 40. & Family which in Nectanebis afcended the Throne. Prideaux ubi sup.

difadvantageous Sentiments of the Egyptian Sacra and Learning, if it could fuggest to him, that his Kingdom was founded near the beginning of a new Order of Ages (f), and might, under the Protection of the same Gods, be extended to as late a Date.

Syncellus has in feveral Places, from Africanus and other Writers, given us the numbers of Years supposed to belong to the Parts of Manetho's History: But the Reader would have little fatisfaction, if I were to collect and compare them; for they do not appear to be the true Numbers, nor are they always confistent with one another (g): Syncellus unquestionably never faw the Work of Manetho (b): No remains of it were extant in his Times, other than what later Writers had cited from him: And the feveral Writers, that had cited Manetho, had so calculated, reduced (i), and disposed what they cited, to make it suit fuch Schemes as themselves had formed of the Egyptian Antiquities, that Syncellus could at best only guess, what Manetho's Scheme was, or what precise Number of Years he really affigned to the fe-

⁽f) Virgil compliments the Augustan Age, in which the Affairs of Rome were come to a new Settlement, in this manner: Magnus ab integro sectorum nascitur ordo. Eclog. 4. (g) Syncell. p. 18, 19, 52. (b) Marsham. Can.chron. p. 3. (i) Vid. Syncell. p. 19. Numeri isti non tam Manethonis sunt, quam Eusebii vel Panodori, Marsh. ubi sup.

Q 2 Veral

veral Particulars of it. Manetho compofed his Work in three Tomes, Volumes, or rather Books (k): It contained, as above, 30 Dynasties, deduced thro' 113 Reigns, Successions or Generations (1): In the former Dynasties the History of the Gods, Demi-Gods and Heroes was contained, in the latter the History of the mortal Kings (m); and according to the Supplement to the old Chronographeon abovementioned, the Account of the mortal Kings took up the last 15 Dynasties (n); and in them were fet down the Reigns or Successions of between 70 and 80 Kings (0), in the space of 17 or 1800 Years (p): If the Number of Kings

(k) Syncell. p. 52. (l) Ibid. (m) Ibid. (n) Ibid. (o) The Number of Kings will be found to be 77, if we fill up the XXVIII Dynasty with the Reign of 1 King, and the XXIX with 5, and suppose the XXX to contain the Reign, not of 1, but of 3 Kings: and that these Supplements and Corrections are just, the Reader may be satisfied from the Accounts given of these Dynasties by Africanus and Eusebius, Syncell. p. 76, 77, and from the true History of Egypt from Nectanebus's Advancement to the Throne, to the Right of Nectanebus. See Prideaux Connest. Part I. B. VII.

(p) If the Reader counts up the Numbers of Years assigned to the Reigns of the Kings in the several Dynasties annexed to the Chronographoon, supposing 6 Years to be the Reign of the King omitted xxviii Dynass, (see this Dynass, in African, & Euseb. Syncell. p. 76, 77.) and supposing the Years of the xxx Dynassy to be 25 not 18, (confult Prideaux's Connession for the Reigns of the Kings which belonged to that Dynassy,) he will find the Sum of Years to be 1710.

were

were 77 (q), add to these 15 Cynic Heroes (r), 8 Demi-Gods (s), 12 Gods (t), and Sol the Son of Vulcan, and we have perhaps Manetho's 113 Generations: In like manner I might attempt to fix the Numbers of Years, which he affigned to the feveral Generations: If the Reigns of his Kings amounted to between 17 and 1800 Years, then the Reigns of his Gods, Demi-Gods and Heroes, filled up the space of almost 35000; for all together made 36525 Years. The Numbers of Years of the Reigns of the Kings, as calculated in the Supplement to the old Chronographeon, are 1710 (u): The Dynasties ended with Nectanebus, A. M. 3654 (70); count back from hence 1710 Years, and we begin the Reign of the first King, A. M. 1944: Menes, or the Mizraim of Moses (x), went into Egypt about A. M. 1772, removed from the Land of Zoan there into a further Part of the Country about A. M. 1881, and died about A. M. 1943 (y); so that Manetho's Accounts began the Kings about Menes's Times (2):

⁽q) Vid. quæ sup. (r) Chronogragh. Syncell. p. 51. (s) Ibid. (t) Ibid. (u) Vid. quæ sup. (w) Syncell. p. 256. (x) See Vol. I. B. IV. (y) Ibid. (z) I cannot think the Numbers are printed so accurately, or that one may be able perhaps to correct them with so much certainty and exactiness, as to determine absolutely that this was the real Number sixed by Manetho; from this Number we may form a general notion of his Computations, and that is all we can pretend to endeavour at.

Of this fort, I believe, was the Work of Manetho: And it is obvious to obferve of it, that it did not appear to carry the Accounts of the Egyptian Kings fo far backward, as the Greeks must imagine they ought to be carried, from what had been before published of them in the Greek Tongue. Herodotus wrote about a Century and half earlier than Manetho (a): and according to what he collected, the Egyptians had had from Menes to Cambyfes above 350 Kings (b): When Herodotus was in Egypt, he was carried into a Temple, where he counted the Number of the Statues of the Priests, that were set up there, and he told 345 (c); and the Egyptians informed him, that they had fo many Priests, and as many Kings, from Menes their first King to Sethos (d): We cannot imagine that Herodotus should herein publish an absolute Falshood; and if Herodotus did indeed fee fuch a Collection of Statues, how is it possible, that there should have been no more Kings of Egypt, than what Manetho feems to have suggested? But this matter may be easily cleared. The Egyptians had collected into this Temple the Statues of

Priefts

⁽a) Compute the Time of Herodotus from Prideaux Connect. Part I. B. VI. ad an. 444 (b) Herodot. Hit. lib. 2. (c) Id. ibid. c. 141. (d) Ibid.

Priests from a multitude of Cities, and might, in shewing them to Strangers, oftentatiously fet off the number of their Priests and Kings, not telling how they had collected them, and they might hereby eafily fend into the World enlarged Accounts of the Egyptian Antiquities: But Manetho knew the Affairs of his Country too well to be led into this Error: He supposed one continued Empire to have subsisted and been maintained in Egypt from Menes to Nectanebus; that the Seat of it had in different Ages been at different Cities; fometimes at This, fometimes at Memphis, sometimes at Diospolis, and sometimes at Tanis; and accordingly he deduces and connects a Series of those Kings, whom he imagined to have had in their Times the supreme Command, omitting all others their Con-temporaries, whom he supposed to have governed but as Deputies to these in their respective Provinces or Cities: However Manetho's Account does not feem to have given an entire fatisfaction; for in a little Time after he had composed it, in the Reign of Ptolemy Euergetes the immediate Successor of Philadelphus, who had employed Manetho, Eratosthenes was ordered to make a further Collection of the Egyptian Kings.

III Eratosthenes was a Cyrenian, had studied at Athens, was of great Eminence for his Parts and Learning, had an Invitation into Egypt from Ptolemy Euergetes, who made him one of the Keepers of the royal Library at Alexandria (e), and commanded him to give him a Catalogue of the Egyptian Kings: Eratostbenes hereupon made a List of the Kings, who had reigned at Thebes or Diospolis, and to every King's Name added the Number of Years in his Reign: His Catalogue is preferved in Syncellus (f), and the Names of the Kings, and Number of Years of the respective Reigns set down in it, are as follows. 1. Menes reigned Years 62. ii. Athothes 59. III. Another Athothes 32. IV. Diabies 19. V. Pemphos 18. VI. Tægar Amachus Moncheiri 79. VII. Stæchus 6. VIII. Gosormies 30. IX. Mares 26. X. Anoyphes 20. XI. Sirius 18. XII. Chnoubus Gneurus 22. XIII. Ramosis 13. XIV. Biyris 10. XV. Saophis Comastes 29. XVI. Sen-Saophis 27. xvII. Moscheris Heliodotus 31. xvIII. Musthis 33. XIX. Pammus Archondes 35. xx. Apappus maximus 100. xx1. Achescus Ocaras I. XXII. Nitocris 6. XXIII. Myrtæus Ammonodotus 22. XXIV. Thuosi Mares 12. XXV. Thinillus 8. XXVI. Semphruce-

⁽e) Voss de Histor, Gree. l. 1. c. 17. Prideaux Connect. Part. z. B. z. (f) Syncell. p. 91—147.

ates 18. XXVII. Chouther Taurus 7. XXVIII. Meures Philoscorus 12. XXIX. Chomæptha Mundus Philephæstus II. xxx. Anchunius Ochy-Tyrannus 60. xxxI. Penteathyris 16. XXXII. Stamenemes 23. XXXIII. Si-Si-fosichermes 55. XXXIV. Maris 43. XXXV. Siphoas or Mercury 5. XXXVI. The Name of the King is wanting, the Years of his Reign are 14. XXXVII. Pheuron or Nilus 5 Years. xxxvIII. Amuthantaus 63. This is the Remain we have of Eratosthenes. taken by Syncellus from the Annals of Apollodorus (g): It begins from Menes, who was the Mizraim of Moses (h), 62 Years before the Death of Menes, 124 Years, fays Syncellus, after the Confusion of Tongues (i), that is, when Menes removed from the Land of Tanis into Thebais, A. M. 1881 (k): The Sum of all the Reigns contained in the Catalogue amount, according to Syncellus, to 1076 Years (1), and confequently the Catalogue may be computed to end A. M. 2957. But before I leave this Work of Eratosthenes,

⁽g) Syncell. p. 91. (b) Gen. x. 13. Vol. I. B. 4. (i) Syncell. p. 147. (k) Vol. I. B. 4. (l) If the Reader sums up the Reigns above recounted, he will find them amount to but 1050: But I must observe that in the Margin of Syncellus's Chronographia, at the Name of Penteathyris the xxxi King, it is remarked, that the Years of his Reign should be read use not 15' 42, not 16; make this Correction, and the Sum of Years of the Catalogue will be 1076, as Syncellus writes it.

I would offer a few Remarks upon it. 1. The Nature and Manner of it points out, what were the reputed Defects of Manetho's Performance, at the Time of composing it : Had Manetho's been esteemed a complete Work, Eratosthenes would certainly not have been employed fo foon after him: But the Number of Egyptian Kings fuggested by Herodotus, upon the Appearance of a strict Enquiry, and a very good Information, could not but put the learned Greeks at Alexandria, as well as others, upon examining whether Manetho was not deficient in his Number of Egyptian Kings: With this View Era-tostbenes collected the Kings of one par-ticular Kingdom. There were in Manetho's Dynasties but about 15 Kings of the Theban Kingdom (m): But besides these, Eratosthenes collected 38, who had been omitted by Manetho. 2. The learned have very reasonably computed Eratosthenes's Catalogue to be carried down to the Times of the first Diospolitan King mentioned in the (n) Dynasties of Mane-tho, i. e. the King of Diospolis, who was the first of Manetho's XII. Dynasty, was the immediate Successor of Amuthantæus the last of the Catalogue of Era-

tosthenes.

⁽m) Vid. Chronograph. xix, xx, xxiii. Dyn. Syncell. p. 51, 52. (n) Mariham. Can. Chron. p. 3. Prideaux Connect. Part. 2, B. 2, ad ann. 239.

tostbenes. 3. It is fomething difficult to form a Computation of the Numbers of Years belonging to the Reigns in Eratosthenes, and in Manetho, suitable to the connecting Eratosthenes's Catalogue to Manetho's Dynasties in this Manner: But I should think, we are so far from being fure, that we have every Number in either Eratosthenes or Manetho exactly as they left them, or that they themselves did not mistake sometimes, in computing or transcribing the old Egyptian numeral Characters, that great Stress cannot be laid upon any feeming Repugnancies of this nature. As Eratosthenes's Catalogue now stands, from the Beginning of the Catalogue to the Reign of Nilus the xxxvII King, are 982 Years; so that Nilus began his Reign according to this Account about A. M. 2863: But Dicaarchus computed the Reign of Nilus to the 436th Year before the first Olympiad (0); if we fix the first Olympiad to A.M. 3228 (p), Nilus began his Reign A. M. 2792; 71 Years earlier than the Catalogue suggests to us: But for Errors of this fort, Allowances must be given and taken, in many Parts of the ancient Egyptian History.

⁽a) Apollon. Argonaut. Lib. 4. v. 272. in Schol. p. 412. (b) Vid. Marsham. Can. Chron. p. 423. Usher's Annals ad ann. Per. Jul. 3938.

IV. We have in Josephus some Citations from Manetho, which ought in the next Place to be examined: Josephus tells us from Manetho, that the Incursion of the Pastors, who made themselves Masters of Egypt (q), happened when Timeus was King (r); that the first Pastor King was Salatis, that he reigned 19 Years: He was fucceeded by Bæon, who reigned 44 Years: After Bæon reigned Apachnas 36 Years and 7 Months, then Apophis 61 Years, then Janias 50 Years 1 Month, after whom Assis 49 Years 2 Months (f), and after these, other Kings. Josephus informs us, that the Pastors held Egypt in fubjection 511 (g) Years, that at the End of that Term Alisfragmuthosis a Theban King gave them a great Overthrow, and that his Son Thummosis reduced them to leave Egypt (b). After this, Josephus from Manetho gives us (i) a Lift of Theban Kings. 7. Tethmosis reigned 25 Years 4 Months. II. Chebron 13 Years. III, Amenophis 20 Years 7 Months. Iv. Amesses 21 Years 9 Months. v. Mephres 12 Years 9 Months. vi. Mephrammuthofis 25 Years 10 Months. VII. Thmosis 9 Years 8 Months. VIII. Amenophis 30 Years 10 Months. ix. Orus 36 Years 5 Months.

⁽q) See Vol. II. B. 7. (r) Joseph. contra Apion. 1. 1. c. 14. (f) Ibid. (g) Ibid. (b) Ibid. (i) Id. c. 16.

x. Acen-

x. Acencheres 12 Years 1 Month. xī. Rathotis 9 Years. xīī. Acencheres 12 Years 5 Months. xīīī. Another Acencheres 12 Years 3 Months. xīv. Harmais 4 Years 1 Month. xv. Ramesses 1 Year 4 Months. xvī. Ramesses 1 Year 2 Months. xvī. Ramesses 19 Years 6 Months. xvīī. Amenophis 19 Years 6 Months. xvīī. Sethosis 59 Years (k). xīx. Rampses or Ramesses 66 Years (l). Concerning what is thus offered by Josephus, I would observe,

1. That we have no reason to imagine, that the first Pastor Kings were a real Part of Manetho's Egyptian Dynasties. Manetho's Purpose was to deduce the Succession of the Egyptian Kings; but the Pastor Kings were not Egyptian; they were foreign Invaders, who over-ran Egypt, and reduced a great Part of the Country into Subjection: When therefore Manetho came down to the Times where they made their Invasion, tho' he probably took notice of their Incursion; their Names, what Part of the Country they gained possession of; yet he probably continued down the History of the Kings of Egypt in the Thebans, who were not reduced by the Pastors; accordingly, in the Epitome of Manetho, we find no Dy-

⁽k) Josephus cont. Apion. l. 1. c. 26. (1) Ibid.

238

nasty of Pastors (m), nor would Africanus (n) or Eusebius (o), I should think, have Supposed any, had they duly attended to what must have been the Design of Manetho's Performance: They might perhaps have remarked the Pastor Kings overagainst, and contemporary with those Kings of Thebais, in whose Reigns they got possession of a great Part of Egypt. 2. The Pastors came into Egypt about A. M. 2420 (p): Until this Time Egypt appears in Scripture to have enjoyed a long and uninterrupted Peace from its most early Ages (q): But now a new or foreign (r) King arose, unacquainted with what had been transacted in it (s); and farther, the facred Pages fuggest a People to have been about this Time expelled their Country (t), who probably might be these Pastors, who invaded Egypt: In like manner, if from A.M. 2420, we count down 511 Years, the Term, during which the Pastors kept their Conquests, we shall fix their leaving Egypt to about A. M.

⁽m) Vid. Chronograph. (n) Africanus supposes 3 Paflor-Dynaslies, xv, xvi, xvii, Syncell. p. 61. (o) Eusebius suggests but one Pastor-Dynasty, namely bis xvii. Euseb.
Chron. Syncell. p. 61. (p) See Vol. II. B. 7. (q) The
learned Writers who would introduce the Pastors in another
Age, are forced to place them about the first planting of Egypt,
in Times when we have no mention of the State of it in
the Scriptures. See Bishop Cumberland's Sanchoniatho, and
lis Origines Gentium. (r) Exod. i. S. See Vol. II.
B. 7. (t) Isid. (t) Ibid.

2931: They had then leave to march into whatever Country they liked to go, and that would receive them (u); they marched thro' the Defart (w), and pro-bably found a Reception in some Nation of Arabia; they went from Egypt not fewer in Number than 240000 (x), and consequently, the Nation that received so confiderable an Addition to its People, must in a little Time have grown very populous: Agreeably hereto, about A.M. 3063 (y), within little more than a Century, Zerab the Ethiopian or Cushite(z), a King in Arabia Petræa, invaded his Neighbours with an Army of a thousand thousand (a); so that the sacred Pages offer us Intimations of the State both of Egypt and of the neighbouring Countries, well answering to the thus fixing the Times of the Pastors. 3. Josephus seems to me not to be confistent with himself, in the Account he gives from Manetho of the Theban Kings (b): In one Place he fays Tummosis the Son of Alisfragmuthosis expelled the Pastors (c): This Tummosis was furely the King whom he afterwards calls Thmosis, and whom he sets down next to Mephramuthosis (d): And yet in re-

⁽u) Joseph. contra Apion. l. 1. (w) Ibid. (x) Ibid. (y) Usher's Annals. (z) See Vol. I. B. 3. (a) 2 Chron. xiv. (b) Ubi sup. c. 15. (c) c. 14. (d) c. 15. Africanus and Eusebius call him Tuthmosis.

counting these Kings, he sets Tethmosis, who, he fays, expelled the Pastors, 5 Reigns before Mephramuthosis (e): But probably Manetho had rendred this Part of his Work dark and confused: Manetho took the Israelites and the Pastors to be one and the same People (f), and by treating the Yewish Exit, and the Expulsion of the Pastors as one Event, he might mention the Names of different Kings, fo as to lead Josephus into this Contrariety: If we may form our Notion of Manetho's Work from the Epitome of it (g), Fosephus mistook the Number of Manetho's Theban Kings: The Epitome suggests him to have mentioned only 15: Five in his xix. Dynasty, 8 in his xx, and 2 in his rightly out of Josephus's Lift, and to make the first five begin where Eratosthenes's Catalogue ends, and continue to the Expulfion of the Pastors; and then to choose eight more, whose Reigns might carry on the History to Sefostris or Sethosis, who was Sesac, and came against ferusalem A.M. 3033 (b); I should take the last two of Manetho's Theban Kings to be Sefostris and his Son Ramesses: And I should imagine, I had hereby set right Josephus's

⁽e) Joseph. 1. 1. c. 15. (f) Ibid. c. 14, 16, 26, (g) Chronograph. in Syncell. p. 51, 52. (b) See Pref. to Vol. 11.

Catalogue, and made Manetho's Account agreeable, in this Part of it, to true Hi-

story.

V. Next to Josephus, we are to consider the Work of Africanus: Sextus Julius Africanus was a Christian, lived in the third Century, and wrote about an hundred and fifty Years after Josephus: He composed a Chronography consisting of two Parts: In the former Part he collected, from other more ancient Writers, the Materials he intended to make use of; in the latter Part he formed from them a Chronicle or historical Deduction, beginning from the Creation of the World, and carried down to the Consulate of Gratus and Seleucus, to the Year of our Lord 221, says Sir John Marsham (k): Amongst other Collections in the former Part of his Work, were the Dynasties of Manetho; but not fuch as Manetho left them; for they were new modelled according to some Scheme of them formed later than the Times of Manetho: For, 1. Manetho's Dynasties began with the Reigns of the Gods, Demi-Gods and Heroes, and then exhibited the Reigns of the mortal Kings (1); but the Dynasties given us by Africanus begin from the mortal Kings (m), and omit all that related to the superior

⁽k) Can. Chron. p. 5. (l) Syncell. p. 40. (m) Id. P. 54. Vol. III. R. Beings,

Beings, who were faid to have reigned before them (n). 2. Manetho's Dynasties of the mortal Kings were but 15; they began at the xvi Dynasty, and ended with the xxx. (o); but Africanus offers us 31 Dynasties of Egyptian Kings: Upon this Account we must conclude, 3. That several of Africanus's Dynasties were not in Manetho: Thus the xxxi Dynasty was not Manetho's; for he carried down his History no farther than to the End of Nectanebus's Reign; but this xxx Dynasty contains the Names of Perfian Kings, who reigned after Nectanebus was expelled his Kingdom (p): In like manner Manetho's Tomes feem to me not to have had Africanus II Dynasty of Thinite Kings (9), nor the v of Elephantine, nor the vi of Memphites, nor the xv of Pastors, nor the xxII of Bubastites, as Africanus gives us them. Further, Africanus's xvIII Dynafly of Theban Kings seems to be taken

⁽n) Africanus begins his Dynasties thus, Μετά νεκθας τὸς ἡμιθείς πρώτη Cασιλεία καταειθμέται Cασιλείαν δαπιλείαν δαπιλείαν δαπιλείαν του διατά – Syncell. ibid. (e) Vid. Chronograph. in Syncell. p. 51, 52, κὸ ἐπὰ πάσας κὸ Δυνασεία. (p) The Kings of χχχί Dynasty are Ochus, Arses, Darius. Syncell. p. 77. (a) It ought to be here observed, that Africanus perhaps did not in his first and second Dynasty copy after Alanetho: Manetho gave a List of Cασιλείαν Τανιτών. Vid. Chronograph. but Africanus τα παλά 2 Dynasties απα not of Tanite but θενειτών, of the Kings of This or of Thinite Kings; so that Africanus had sound here a different Catalogue of Kings from Manetho's, and did not distinguish it.

rather from Josephus than from Manetho; for Manetho had in all but 15 Theban Kings, and those set down in 3 Dynasties (r):
As to Africanus's vii, viii, ix, x, xiii, xiv, xvi, xvii and xx Dynasties, they are mere Numbers of Years without any Names of Kings affixed to them (s), and unquestionably no such Dynasties were to be found in Manetho.

It may be here asked, how can it be imagined, that Africanus should take away from, and add to Manetho's Dynasties in this extravagant manner, or how or whence could he find Matter or Pretence to do it? I answer, 1. For his Omission of what Manetho had recorded prior to the Reigns of the mortal Kings, it is eafy to find a good Reason: He thought all that Manetho offered of the Reigns of Gods, Demi-Gods and Heroes, to be Fable, Fiction or false Theology (t), and therefore superfluous, not worth his transcribing. 2. There might be in the Tomes of Manetho the Names of many Kings, besides those, which Manetho supposed his Dynasties to consist of: Manetho accounted all Egypt, from its

⁽r) Vid. xix, xx, xxiii Dynast. in Chronograph. in Syncell. ubi sup. (s) Meros numeros inaniter turgentes. Marsam. Can. Chron. p. 5. (s) Quæ Manetho μιαρων δερών δρχιες δος χερόρου 4.Δ. βτηγοιών που δεν εθνέποτε γερονότων, ilta omnia tanquam Scriptore christiano indigna Africanus aspernatur, et in illud tempus rejicit, quod præcessit Diluvium. Marsam p. 5.

Rise to Nectanebus, to have been but one Empire; and in confidering it as fuch, he deduced one continued History of the Kings, who had had the supreme Rule of it: But as he supposed the Seat of this Empire to have been at different Times at different Cities; and agreeably hereto, as his Dynasties were fometimes of Kings of Tanis, fometimes of Kings of Memphis, and sometimes of Diospolis, according as he thought the Kings who had the fupreme Command, reigned at this or that City; and as it might happen, whilst the Kings of a Memphite or Theban Dynasty were at the Head of Affairs, there might be in Manetho's Account Deputy-Rulers at Tanis, Bubastus, Elephantis, or other Cities; fo from hence Africanus might have an Opportunity of making a Tanite Dynasty, an Elephantine, a Memphite and a Bubastite more than Manetho ever supposed: The Names of the Kings suggested by Africanus in these Dynasties were perhaps to be found in Manetho's History: But Manetho might record them as tributary or Deputy-Rulers to fome of the Kings of the Dynasties he treated of; Africanus supposed them independent, and made Dynasties appropriated to them. 3. Africanus's xv Dynasty contains the Names of the Pastor Kings, and the Names of these were to be found in Manetho (u);

⁽u) Vid. Joseph. contra Ap. 1. 1.

but Manetho did not relate these Pastors to be a Part of the Egyptian Succession of Kings; but rather noted them, to have invaded and dispossessed some of the Egyptian Kings of a great Part of Egypt, and accordingly only mentions them as being in Egypt in the Times of those Kings. 4. Manetho had mentioned 15 Kings of *Thebais*, 5 in his $\overline{19}$ Dynasty, 8 in his $\overline{20}$, and 2 in his $\overline{23}$ (w); Africanus has named as many in his 11, 12, and 19 Dynasties; he further found several Theban Kings Names in Josephus, faid to be taken from Manetho (x); he collected these also and made of them his To Dynasty (y): But he should have ob-ferved, that Josephus has thro' some Mistake multiplied the Names of these Kings, beyond what Manetho intended; and further, there is such a Repetition and Similitude of Names in this Dynasty, and in Africanus's 11, 12, and 19, that it feems most probable, that they offer us only the same Kings with some small Diversity in naming them, and that 15 Kings rightly chosen out of the Names mentioned in these four Dynasties, would give us the true Reigns that Manetho had recorded. 5. The Dynasties, suggesting Reigns without Names of Kings, were

⁽w) Vid. Chronograph. in Syncell. (x) Joseph. ubi fup. (y) Syncell. p. 69. R 3 perhaps

perhaps added by Africanus from the Intimations of Herodotus (z); or, from the Time that Manetho's Accounts came to be generally esteemed deficient. Soon after Eratostbenes had published his Catalogue, it might grow customary for the learned to annotate upon their Copies of the Tomes of Manetho, what Kings Names, and what Reigns they conceived him to have omitted in every Part of his History, and from some Transcripts of fuch enlarged Copies of the Tomes of Manetho, Africanus, who did not write until near 500 Years after him, might apprehend, that fuch Dynasties as he has offered, might be collected from the Books of Manetho.

If the Reader will take the pains to inspect Africanus's Account of the Dynasies, and to compute the Number of Reigns, and Years of the Reigns contained in them, he will find the Kings, named and not named, to be together in Number 473, down to the End of Nectanebus's Reign, and that the Sum of all their Reigns amounts to 4823 Years 4 Months and 10 Days: But Africanus could not purpose to bring such a Length of Egyptian History within the Compass, that his Work could allow for it; for

⁽²⁾ Herodotus computes about 368 Kings down to Cambyles, Vid. Histor, 1, 2, 1, 3, whoever

whoever will confider the Nature of his Epochs and Chronology; what Year of the World he supposed Noah's Flood do have happened in; and to what Year he fixed the End of Nectanebus's Reign, will fee, that he could not have above the Space of 2880 Years for the Egyptian History: And unquestionably in the second Part of his Work, when he came to use the Collections he had made, he brought his Dynasties down to about this Measure, which he might readily do, if, in composing his Chronicle, he rejected the Reigns as fictitious, which have no Names of Kings annexed to them, and took into his History only the Kings, whose Names he has given us; for the Kings fo named by him are in Number but 128, and the Times of their Reigns amount to 2983 (a) Years: And Africanus might apprehend from Diodorus Siculus, who flourished in the Times of Julius Cafar (b), long after Herodotus and Manetho, and who had been in Egypt for Information as well as Herodotus (c), that Herodotus's enlarged Catalogue of Kings of

R4

⁽a) If we may suppose in this Number a Mislake of 100 Years, which is no great Matter, considering how often the Transcribers might miscalculate, or write erroneously the old numeral Characters, we shall have a Number suited to Africanus's Chronology. (b) Prideaux Connect. Part. 2. B. 7. ad ann. 60. Voss. de Hist. Græc. l. 2. c. 2. (c) Diodor. l. 1. p. 29.

248

Egypt ought probably to be reduced to about this Number (d): In this manner I would confider the Work of Africanus, and think of him; not that he made imaginary Dynasties, and altered and interpolated Manetho just as his Fancy led him (e), for this would be to make him a most romantic Writer; but rather, 1. That he took into his Dynasties, what he thought Manetho to have duly adjusted to true History, and of this fort we may suppose his 1, 3, 4, 11, 12, 19, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, answering to Manetho's 15 Dynasties from the $\overline{16}$ to the $\overline{30}$ (f). 2. He added to these in other Dynasties, some Names of Kings mentioned in Manetho to have reigned in Egypt, but he differed from Manetho, I take it, in a material Point about these Kings. He deduced their Reigns in Dynasties made for them, as if they had continued and brought down the Egyptian Succession. Manetho did not suppose any of these Kings to have reigned in Times distinct from the Egyptian, but rather that they were Deputies

⁽d) Diodorus fuggests about 130 Kings of Egypt. Hifor. I. 1. (e) Sir John Martham Jays of him, Maximus Manethonis Interpolator Africanus vetutitores sius. Dynastiias (liquid video) ex mero suo ipsius arbitrio disposiut: si penitus inspiciamus, alias illarum frustula tantum esse Dynastiiarum, alias reperiemus meros esse numeros inaniter turgentes. Marsham. Can. Chron. p. 5. (f) Vid Chronograph, in Syncell. p. 51, 52.

to, or Usurpers, who held and kept some Parts of Egypt from the rightful Sovereigns their Contemporaries, Kings of the true Egyptian Line: Of these Africanus perhaps made his 2, 5, 6, 15 and 22 Dynasties. 3. Africanus found numerous Additions of nameless Reigns suggested by Annotators to belong to Manetho's Tomes. agreeably to what Herodotus had wrote of the Egyptian History: He took these into his Collection also, and made of them his 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 16, 17 and 20 Dynasties, tho he discarded these again when he came to compose from the Materials he had collected, esteeming Manetho to have really offered no more Kings, than what there were Names to be found of in his Books. 4. Africanus collected his $\overline{18}$ Dynasty, as I have faid (g), from Josephus. 5. The $\overline{31}$ Dynasty might be added to Manetho by some later Hand, who was minded to remark the Persian Kings unto whom Egypt became tributary, and being thus wrote into fome Copies of Manetho, it might come down to Africanus, and not be rejected by him: If we consider Africanus's Work in this Light, we shall do justice to his Character (b);

⁽g) Vid. quæ sup. (b) Julius Africanus accuratissimus Temporum Observator. Vostius de Historic. Græc. lib. 2. c. 15. Αφρικανέ χερνογεασιών σπαθασματα έπ' ακειεξε πεπονημένα Euseb. Ecclesiassic. Histor. 1. 6. c. 31.

allow him to have been a ferious and confiderate Writer, who took true pains to give what he judged a reasonable Account of *Manetho's* Performance, such as might represent it agreeing to what he reputed the true *Chronology* of the World.

VI. Pamphilus Eusebius, Bishop of Casarea in Palestine, wrote about a Century after Africanus: His Chronicon was a Work of the same nature with Africanus's Chronographia: He divided it into two Parts: The former Part contained the Materia Chronologica for an universal History; in the second Part he ranged and fynchronized fuch of the Materials collected in the former Part, as he purposed to make use of; so as to offer in one View a concurrent Plan of the facred and prophane History. Eusebius began this Part of his Work from the Birth of Abraham, and carried it down to the xx Year of Constantine the Great (i): In his former Part, amongst other Collections were the Dynasties of Manetho, taken in a great measure from Africanus's Account of them: tho' in fome Points he differed from Africanus sufficiently to shew us, that he did not think Africanus to have ascertained indisputably the Dynasties of Manetho: Eusebius represents

⁽i) Euseb. Chron. Maisham. p. 6.

the Dynasties down to Nectanebus to contain the Names of but 93 Kings, and the Reigns that have no Names of Kings affixed to them, to be but 259: But I would not carry the Reader into a tedious Discussion of every little Difference between Africanus and Eusebius upon this Subject: Their Dynasties are described at large in Syncellus (k), and whoever would examine this Subject more curiously, may, by confulting his Work, fee and compare them with one another: However I cannot but observe, that Eusebius certainly took great liberty in order to form the Dynasties to his own Purpose, sometimes following Africanus, and fometimes the Epitome of Manetho added to the Chronographeon abovementioned, and making no scruple to vary from both, if his Scheme required it: For, 1. His Scheme was to fynchronize the last Year of Nectanebus, where Manetho's Work ended, with 1667 Years from the Birth of Abraham (1), and to fix to the Birth of Abraham the Beginning of the xvi Egyptian Dynasty (m): He supposes that Dynasty to contain 5 Theban Kings (n); herein he followed neither the Epitome of Manetho (o), nor

⁽k) Syncell. p. 54—78. (l) Euseb. Chron. ad num. αχέζ p. 175. (m) Id. ad num. ά. p. 89. (π) Syncell. p. 61. Euseb. Chron. p. 15. (ο) Τανιτών ες δυνακάα γενεών ά ἐτῶν ρ γ΄. Epit. Syncell. p. 51.

Africanus (p); however the Epitome fuggesting Manetho to have ascribed 190 Years to the xvi Dynasty, Eusebius writes to it the fame Number. Having thus fixed in what Part of the Dynasties he should begin his Account, and what Interval of Years he had to fill up with Egyptian Reigns, he proceeded as follows: 2. He observed, that the Epitome computed 103 Years to be the Contents of the Σ vii \mathcal{D} ynasty (q), accordingly he ascribes the same Number of Years to it: The Epitome stiles this Dynasty Memphite; but Eusebius knowing, that Manetho had mentioned the Pastor Kings, and counting down from the Birth of Abraham, and computing this Dynasty to reach the Times of the Israelites being in Egypt, and conceiving that some of the Egyptian Kings had been called Pastor-Kings from their receiving and entertaining Jacob and his Children, a Family of Shepherds; he took from hence his Title to this Dynafty(r), and called it the Pastor-Dynasty: 3. The Epitome supposes the xviii Dynasty to be Memphite, the

 ⁽p) Γκκαιδεκάτη δυνασία τοιμένες "Γλλενες βασιλείς λ β' εξασίλασαν έτη φιή. African in Syncell. p. 61.
 (q) Syncell. p. 51.
 (r) Reges Ægyptiorum Pafforcs conjicimus nuncupatos propter Joseph et Fratres ejus, qui in principio Paffores descendisse in Ægyptum comprobantur. Chron. Euseb. Lat. p. 64.

Number of Kings 14, the Sum of their Years 348 (t): Africanus's xvIII Dynasty is Diospolitan, the Number of its Kings 16, the Sum of the Years of their Reigns 284 (u): Here Eusebius, as to the Title of the Dynasty and Number of Reigns in it, corrects the Epitome by Africanus; but in the Sum of Years in the Reigns, he corrects Africanus by the Epitome, making his XVIII Dynasty Diospolitan, and to contain 16 Kings, and their Reigns to amount to 284 Years (w). 4. In the Epitome the XIX Dynasty is Diospolitan, the Kings in it are 5, the Sum of Years in their Reigns 194 (x): Africanus's XIX Dynasty is likewise Diospolitan, the Kings in it are 7, their Reigns 210 Years (y); but here Eusebius takes the Numbers of the Epitome, and fets down 5 Kings and 194 Years (z): 5. In the xx Dynasty his Management is remarkable: The Epitome supposes this Dynasty Diospolitan (a), and Africanus gives it this Title (b). The Epitome numbers in it 8 Reigns of 228 Years; Africanus 12 Kings; but has no Names of any of them; he fupposes their Reigns to amount to 135 Years: Eusebius here copies after

⁽t) Syncell. p. 51. (u) Id. p. 62—72. (w) Eufeb. Chron. a num. σ γ δ p. 101. ad num. χ μ ε. p. 118. (x) Syncell. p. 51. (y) Id. p. 72. (z) Eufeb. Chron. a num. χ μ ε. p. 118. ad num. ω λ ε. p. 128. (a) Syncell. p. 51. (b) Id. p. 73.

Africanus, both in the Numbers of the Kings, and in not having the Names of any of them; but differs from him in the Sum of their Years, which he fets down 178. Eusebius seems to me to have chosen this Dynasty to be the Closure of his Plan: all the other Dynasties he made use of, have the Names of the Kings belonging to them, and upon that Account he was more obliged to fix them a Number of Years, such as he had some Appearance of Authority to justify, either from the Epitome or from Africanus; but having here a Dynasty without Names of Kings contained in it, he could affix to it, without Hazard of Contradiction, fuch a Number of Years, as his other Dynasties would fall short of 1667, which was the Term to be filled up by him. 6. The Epitome and Africanus agree to call XXI Dynasty Tanite; the Epitome gives it 6 Reigns, 121 Years, Africanus 7 Reigns, 130 Years (c): Eusebius takes here the Numbers of Africanus. 7. The Epitome calls the XXII Dynasty Tanite, its Reigns are 3, Years of Reigns 48 (d). Africanus makes here a Bubastite Dynasty, and supposes its Reigns 3, Years 49 (e): Eusebius takes the Title of the Epitome, and

⁽c) Syncell. ubi sup. (d) Syncell. ubi sup. (e) Id. p. 73.

the Numbers of Africanus (f). 8. The XXIII Dynasty in the Epitome is Diospolitan, contains 2 Kings, their Reigns amount to 19 Years (g); in Africanus it is Tanite, confifts of 4 Kings, whose Reigns make up 89 Years (h); Eufebius gives it Africanus's Title, but describes in it 3 Kings, and computes their Reigns to be 44 Years (i). 9. The XXIV Dynasty is Saitan both according to the Epitome and Africanus (k). The Epitome supposes it to contain 3 Reigns of 44 Years; Africanus says, I Reign of 6 Years: Eusebius agrees with both as to the Title of it, but ascribes to it Africanus's I Reign, with 44, the Number of Years set down to it in the Epitome (1). 10. The Epitome and Africanus agree the xxv Dynasty to consist of 3 Ethiopian Kings, and their Reigns to be 44 Years (m), and herein Eusebius concurs with them (n). 11. The Epitome supposes the xxvi Dynasty to consist of 7 Memphite Kings, who reigned 177 Years (o): Africanus represents it to contain 9 Saitan Kings who reigned 150 Years 6

⁽f) Euseb. Chron. a num. αρμδ p. 144. ad num. αρβ6. p. 147. (g) Syncell. ubi sup. (b) Id. p. 73. (i) Euseb. Chron. a num. αε τ γ. p. 147. ad num. ασλ δ. p. 149. (k) Syncell. p. 52. 74. (l) Euseb. Chron. a num. ασλ ζ. p. 149. ad num. ασπ σ. p. 152. (m) Syncel. ubi sup. (a) Euseb. Chron. a num. ασπ αρ. p. 152. ad num. ασπ αρ. p. 152. (o) Syncel. p. 52. Months

Months (p). Eusebius gives it Africanus's Title and Number of Kings, but makes the Years of their Reigns 167 (q):
12. The \overline{xxvii} Dynafty is, according to the Epitome, Persian, and contains the Reign of 5 Kings in 124 Years (r): Africanus reckons it also Persian, but computes 8 Kings, reigning 120 Years, 4 Months, to belong to it (s): Eusebius Riles it Persian, and sets down in it 7 Kings, regning 111 Years (t): But these differences are to be accounted for: Egypt came into Subjection to the Persians, when Cambyfes was King of Perfia (u), and recovered its Liberty in the Reign of Darius Nothus (w), and some Writers not taking into their Accounts the Perfian Kings, who did not reign a full Year, might reckon but five Kings from the one to the other: Others might number, in their Lists of Persian Kings, Smerdes the Magian, who reigned some Months, after him Darius Hystaspes, then Xerxes, then Artaxerxes, then the Son of Artaxerxes, who reigned but two Months, then Sogdianus who reigned feven Months, and then Darius No-

⁽p) Syncell. p. 75. (q) Eufeb. Chron. a num. α τ κε. p. 155. ad num. α υ 7 ά. p. 164. (r) Syncel. p. 52. (s) Id. p. 76. (t) Eufeb. Chron. a num. α υ 7 ε. p. 164. ad num. α χ γ'. p. 172. (u) Prideaux Connect. Part I. B. III. (v) Id. B. VI.

thus (x), and fo with Cambyfes make 8 Perfian Kings in this Dynasty. In like manner, If the Years of this Dynasty be computed, from the first Year of Cambyses's Reign in Persia to the last Year of Darius Nothus, they will amount to 124, the Number in the Epitome: If they be reckoned from the fourth or fifth Year of Cambyses, the Year in which the Persians conquered Egypt, they may amount to about Africanus's Number, 120 Years 4 Months. If they be more strictly calculated, from Cambyfes's Conquest of Egypt, to Amyrtæus's being made King upon the Revolt of the Egyptians from Darius Nothus, in about the To Year of Darius's Reign (y), the Interval will be, as Eusebius reckons it, 111 Years. 13. As to the xxvIII, xxix, xxx Dynasties, if we allow for little Mistakes, that may easily happen in transcribing Numbers, and consider that Tanite, Mendesian and Sebennite may be Terms Synonymous; . Mendes and Sebenneb having been Cities of the Land of Zoan or Tanis (2), these Dynasties in the Epitome, in Africanus, and in Eusebius, may be conceived to have been the same. Of this fort the Reader, if he examines it, will find the Work of

⁽x) Confult Dean Prideaux's History of these Times. (y) See Prideaux's Connection, Part I. B. VI. (z) Strabo Geograph.

Eusebius, as far as it relates to the Egyptian Dynasties: Manetho had lest only 15 Dynasties of mortal Kings; for his other 15 treated of Gods, Demi-Gods and Heroes of a superior Race (a): Upon this Account Eusebius in composing his Chronicon rejected 15 of Africanus's Dynasties, reputing them prior to the Times, of which he could hope to find any true History; and having selected the 15 Dynasties of Africanus, from the xvI to the xxx, and new-modelled them, by comparing them with the like Dynasties added in the Epitome to the old Chronographeon; fometimes giving his Dynasties Titles and Numbers from the Epitome, fometimes from Africanus, and now and then varying from both, if his Purpose required it; and having thus formed fuch a Series of Egyptian Reigns as would fill up his Interval between the Birth of Abraham and the Flight of Nectanebus, he gave himself no further Trouble; tho' one would think, he could not but have feen, that he might rather be faid to have made a way to give the Dynasties fome Appearance of an Agreement to his Chronology, than to have given any true and just Account of them.

VII. Syncellus is the next Writer we are to go to for the Egyptian Antiqui-

⁽a) Via. que sup. de Manethone.

ties: He composed his Chronographia about the Year of our Lord 800 (b): He transcribed into it, what Remains he could find of the more ancient Writers, and fome Extracts from others, who had composed before him a Work of like Nature with what he attempted: Accordingly we find in him the Contents of the old Chronographeon (c), of Manetho's Dynasties (d), of Africanus's (e) and Eufebius's (f), agreeably to what he judged to be the Scheme and Purport of each of them: And in many Places we have his Strictures and Observations, as he goes along upon the Matters offered by them: He has also given us Eratosthenes's Catalogue of the Thebæan Kings (g): He remarks, that the Dynasty Writers must have supposed their xxvII Dynasty, which they call Persians, to have begun when Cambyses King of Persia conquered Egypt (b): Amasis was King of Egypt at that Time (i): And to this Amasis he brings down a List of 86 Kings of Egypt, from Menes their first King, setting against each King's Name the Years of his Reign as follows. I. Mestraim or Menes reigned 35 Yeats, 71 Curudes 63.

⁽b) Marsham's Can. Chron. p. 7. Vossius de Historic. Græc. lib. 2. c. 24. (c) Syncell. p. 51. (d) p. 52. (e) p. 54—77. (f) Ibid. (g) p. 91, &c. (b) p. 210. (i) Ibid.

260

111. Aristarchus 34. IV. Spanius 36. V. and VI. 2. Kings, whose Names are lost, their Reigns amounted to 72 Years. vii. Serapis 23. VIII Sejouchosis 49. IX Amenemes 29 (k). x. Amasis 2. x1. Achesepthres 13. xII. Achoreus 9. XIII Armiyses 4. XIV. Chamois 12 (1). xv. Amesises 65. xv1. - - - -14. XVII. Use 50. XVIII. Ramesses 29 (m). xix. Ramessomenes 15. xx. Thusimares 31. xxi. Ramesse-seos 23. xxii. Ramesse-menos 19. XXIII. Ramesse-Tubaete 39 (n). XXIV. Ramesse-Vaphris 29. XXV. Concharis 5 (0). xxvII. Silites 19 (p). xxvIII. Bæon 44 (q). xxx. Sethos 50. xxxi. Certus, according to Josephus 29 Years, according to Manetho 44. XXXII. Aseth 20 (s). XXXIII. Amoss, who was also called Tethmosis 22 (t). xxxiv. Chebron 13. xxxv. Amephes 15. xxxvi. Amenfes 11. xxxvii. Mifphragmuthofis 16. xxxviii. Mifphres 23. xxxix. Tuthmefis 39 (u). xt. Amenophis 34 (w). xl. Horus 48. xlii. Achencheres 25. xliii. Athoris 29. XLIV. Chencheres 26. (x). XLV. Acheres 8. or 30. XLVI. Armaus or Da-

^{(\$\}lambda\$) Syncell. p. 91. Vid. Eufeb. Chron. p. 17.
(\$\lambda\$) \$\lambda\$ = \$\lambda\$ \$\lambda\$. Euteb. (\$m\$) Syncell. p. 96. vid. Eufeb. Chron. p. 18. (\$n\$) Syncell. p. 101. Eufeb. p. 20.
(\$\rangle\$) Syncell. p. 103. Eufeb. 21. (\$\rangle\$) Syncell. p. 104. Eufeb. 21. (\$\rangle\$) \$\rangle\$ \$\r

naus 9 (y). XLVII. Rameses, who was also called Ægyptus 68. XLVIII. Amenophis 8. XLIX. Thuoris 17. L. Nechepsus 19. LI. Psammuthis 13. LII. - - - - 4 (z). LIII. Certus 20 (a). LIV. Rhampsis 45. LV. Amenses or Amenemes 26 (b). LVI. Ochyras 14. LVII. Amedes 27. LVIII. Thuoris 50 (c). LIX. Athothis 28. LX. Cencenes 39. LXI. Venephes 42. (d). LXII. Susfachim 34 (e). LXIII. Psuenus 25. LXIV. Ammenophes 9. LXV. Nephecheres 6. LXVI. Saites 15. LXVII. Pfinaches 9. LXVIII. Petubastes 44. LXIX. Osorthron 9. LXX. Psammus 10. LXXI Concharis 21 (f). LXXIII. Oforthron 15. LXXIII.

Tacelophes 13. LXXIV. Bocchoris 44. LXXV.

Sabacon Æthiops 12. LXXVI. Sebechon 12 (g). LXXVII. Taracas 20. LXXVIII Amaes 38. LXXIX, Stephinates 27. LXXX. Nachepsus 13. (gg). LXXXI. Nechaab 8. LXXXII. Pjammitichus 14. LXXXIII. Nechaab the second called Pharaoh 9. LXXXIV. Psammuthis or Psammitichus the second 17. IXXXV. Va-phres 34. IXXXVI. Amasis 50 (b).

It is queried by the Learned, whence Syncellus collected this Series of Egyptian Kings (i): Scaliger imagined him to have

⁽y) Syncell. p. 155. Euseb. 29. (z) žīn 12 Euseb. (d) žīn 12 Euseb. (d) Syncell. p. 160. Euseb. 30. (c) Syncell, p. 169. Eufeb. Chron. p. 32. (d) Syncell. p. 170. Eufeb. 33. (e) Syncell. p. 177. Eufeb. 34. (f) Ibid. (g) Syncell. p. 184. Eufeb. 36. (gz) Syncell. p. 191. Eufeb. 38. (b) Syncell. p. 210. Eufeb. 46, 47. (i) Marsham Can. Chron. p. 7. S 3 found

found it in the Chronicon of Eusebius, and accordingly in his Attempt to retrieve us that Work, he has inferted thefe Kings amongst others of Eusebius's Collections: But in this Point Scaliger must have been mistaken: We have no reason to imagine this Catalogue to have ever been in Eusebius: It feems rather to have been, a great Part of it, Syncellus's own Composition, who imagined he could in this manner deduce the Egyptian Kings. If the Reader will strictly examine it, he will find that the Kings from the XLIX to the LXXXVI, might be taken from Africanus's XIX, XXI, XXII, XXIII, XXIV, XXV, and xxvi Dynasties, only Syncellus has now and then added or repeated a Name of a King or two, and given new Numbers to all their Reigns, fuch probably as fuited the Scheme he had formed for the Egyptian Chronology. From the xxxIII King to the XLVIII, we have a Catalogue of Theban Kings formed from considering and comparing Josephus's List with Africanus and Eusebius's xviii Dynasty. The Kings from xxvi to the xxxii are taken from Josephus, Africanus and Eusebius's Account of the Paftor-Kings. From Mestrain or Menes the TKing, to Concharis the xxv, Syncellus does indeed offer a Series of Reigns, which we do not now meet with in any Writer before him: and perhaps, as Africanus mistook, and

gave

gave us a feries of *Thinite* Kings in his first and second *Dynasties*, instead of Manetho's Tanite Kings (ii); fo here Syncellus from fome ancient Quotations or Remains has happened upon the Succesfion of Tanite Kings, which might begin Manetho's Accounts of the mortal Kings; tho' I dare fay he had no true notion of the Nature of it: For Syncellus had certainly formed no right Judgment of the Egyptian History, as appears evidently from his declaring that he knew no use of, nor occasion for Eratosthenes's Catalogue of Theban Kings (k): He found the Fragment abovementioned; he faw it differed from all other Collections, and intending himself to differ from all others, who had wrote before him; for this Reason and probably for no other, he began his Catalogue with it: He added to it the Paftor and Theban Kings from Josephus, and completed it with taking as many Names of Kings from Africanus and other Writers, as he thought he wanted, and having taken the Liberty to give to the several Reigns of these later Kings, not the Numbers of Years assigned them by the Writers from whom he took them; but fuch as might

⁽ii) See the Notes in page 144. (k) Vid. Syncoll.

S 4 bring

bring down the Succession in a manner fuitable to his own Chronology; this was his Attempt towards clearing the Egyptian History (l): The Reader, if he examines it, will after all find that Syncellus's Catalogue is somewhat too long for the Interval to which he intended to adjust it: But the learned are apprized, that Syncellus's Work is in many Places inaccurate in this matter.

VIII. We are in the last Place to confider what our learned Countryman Sir John Marsham has done upon this Subject. And 1. He confidered Egypt to have been divided into four concurrent Kingdoms in the most early Ages, namely into the Kingdoms of Thebes, of This, of Memphis, and of Tanis or lower Egypt (m). 2. He formed a Canon or Table, that might offer the Reader in one View, the Contemporary Kings of each Kingdom: And 3. In the Execution of his Work in proper Chapters, he endeavours to justify the Position of the Kings according to the Succession in the respective Columns of his Canon affigned to them.

⁽¹⁾ Sir John Marsham fors wery justly of Syncellus, Reg s cooministicitur, anno que & successiones matilat vel extendit prout ipsi vitum et, ut Impredentiam hommis non pollis non mirati, qui com allis rivatur, ipse cum s. representioni maxime obnoxius. Can. Chron. p. 4. (n.) Id., p. 24.

The following Tables will give the Reader a View of Sir John Marsham's Succeffion of the Egyptian Kings, from Menes the first King over all Egypt to the Times of Sefac, who came against Jeru-falem in the 7 Year of Rehoboam (n).

⁽ n) 2 Chron. xii. 2, 3.

I. TABLE of Sir John Marsham's Kings of Egypt.

Kings of	Kings of	Kings of	Kings of				
Thebes	This taken from	Memphis	lower Egypt				
taken from	Manetho.	taken from Manetho.	taken from				
Eratosthenes.	Ivianetho.	Ivianei no.	Syncellus.				
reigned Years,							
1 Menes 62	1 Menes 62	Menes built	1 Menes or				
	1 Dynast.	Memphis	Mcstraim 35				
	African. Syn-	Herodot. 1. 2.	Syncell. p. 91.				
	cell. p. 54.	c. 99.					
41.1	4.7 .7	III. Dyn. Afric.	2 Curudes 63				
2 Athothes 59	2 Athothes 57	Syncell. p. 56.					
		I Toforthrus 29	1:0				
		2 Tyris 7	3 Aristarchus 34				
	3 Cencenes 31	2 Tyris 7					
3 Athothes 32	3 Concentes 31	3 Mesochris 17					
3 220000000		5 1110,000,713 17	4 Spanius 36				
		4 Soiphis 16	4 Spaniss 30				
		1					
		5 Tosertasis 19					
4 Diabies 19	4 Venephes 23	/					
	' '	6 Achis 42					
		. ,	5 * * * * 32				
5 Pemphos 18	5 Usaphædus 20						
1							
6 Tægar Ama-	6 Miebidus 26	i					
chus Mom-		- 01.7					
cheiri 79		7 Siphuris 30	6 * * * * 40				
	7 Semempsis 18	0 Canthana - (
	8 Bienaches 26	8 Cerpheres 26	- C				
	o Dienaches 20	IV Dyn. Afric.	7 Serapis 23				
	II Dyn. Afric.	I V Dyn. Anic.					
7 Stæchus 6		9 Soris 29					
	9 Bochus 38	29	8 Sesonchosis 49				
8 Gosormies 30	,						
		10 Syphis 63					
9 Mares 26	10 Keachos 39	0,111	Amenemes 29				
			Syncell. p. 96				
			10 Amasis 2				
10 Anoyphes 20	II Binothris 47						
	1	I Syphis 66	I Achesephthres				
•	1		13				

Kings of Thebes.	Kings of This.	Kings of Memphis.	Kings of lower Egypt.	
II Sirius 18			12 Achoreus 9	
12 Chnubus Gneurus 22			14 Chamois 12	
			15 Amesises 65	
13 Ranosis 13	12 Tlas 17			
14 Biyris 10	13 Sethenes 41		16 *** 14	
15 Saophis 29		12 Mencheres 63		
16 Sen-Saophis	14 Cheres 17		17 Use 50	
	15 Nepherche-		18 Ramesses 29	
17 Moscheris 31	res 25	13 Ratases 25		
18 Musthis 33	16 Sesochris 48	14 Bicheres 22	Syncell. p. 101.	
			19 Ramessome-	
19 Pammus	17 Cheneres 30	15 Seber-cheres	20 Thusimares	
Archondes 35		16 Thamptis 9	22 Ramesseme-	
	18 Necherophes	VI. Dyn. Afr.	nos 19 23 Ramesse-Tu- baete 39	
20 Apappus	28	17 Othoes 18 Phius 53	vaete 39	
Maximus 100	Here the King- dom of This	19 Methusuphis	Syncell. p. 103.	
21 Achescus	ended.	20 Phiops 100		
Ocaras 1	Sum of the 593 Years —	77	Vaphres 29	
22 Nitocris 6		21 Mentesuthis	25 Concharis 6	
Sum of the Co		22 Nitocris 12	Cum of the	
Sum of the 676 Years —		Sum of the 643 Years —	Years	
1				

In this manner Sir John Marsham deduces the Account of the ancient Kings of Egypt, down to the Time of the Pastors Irruption (o): The Pastors invaded Egypt in the Reign of Timæus (p): Sir John Marsham supposes Concharis to have been the King, whom Josephus calls Timæus (q): and agreeably hereto Syncellus conceived Silites or Salatis, who was the (r) first Pastor-King, to have succeeded Concharis his 25 King of lower Egypt (s): Nitocris is thought to have been the last of the crowned Heads of Memphis; for we find in Africanus no Name of any King of this Kingdom after her (t), and therefore here we are to fix the Period or Diffolution of it, and we find that the Pastors over-ran not only the lower Egypt; but they took Memphis (u) and poffessed themselves of this Kingdom also: Nitocris was Queen not only of Memphis, but likewise of Thebes; for we find her Name XXII in Eratosthenes's Theban Catalogue: Sir John Marsham observes, that her Predecessor in both Kingdoms reigned but one Year, and the King before him in

⁽⁰⁾ Marsham. p. 18, 20. (p) Josephus contra Ap. 1 1. (q) Marsham p. 91, 98, &c. (r) Joseph. contra Ap. 1. 1. (s) Syncell. p. 103, 104. (l) Vid. Marsham. Can. Chron. p. 90. (u) Joseph. lio. 1. contra Ap

both Kingdoms exactly an hundred (w): He judiciously concludes from hence, that Apappus Maximus King of Thebes, and Phiops King of Memphis were but one and the same Person, as were also Achescus, Ocaras and Mentesuphis who succeeded in each Kingdom, and that the Kingdoms of Memphis and Thebes were united two Reigns at least before Nitocris (x). She is recorded to have reigned 12 Years at Memphis, and but 6 at Thebes: I suppose Memphis was, at her coming to the Throne, the Seat of her Kingdom; she was obliged to retire out of this Country, when the Pastors invaded it, and after this Retreat she reigned fix Years at Thebes. The Kingdom of This did not last until the Invasion of the Pastors; very probably the Theban Kings, when they grew powerful by the Accession of the Kingdom of Memphis, added this little Domain to their Territories (y): Upon these Hints and Observations Sir John Marsham has opened us a Prospect of coming at an History of the Succession of

⁽w) Θηθαίων ή εδασίλωσεν 'Απάπτες μεγιτος' ετωσός φασίν παες έσαν μίαν έτη ρ' εδασίλωσεν 'Θηδιών να εδασίλωσεν 'Ανταπαλώσεν ετος δ. Εταθίλοι που εδασίλωσεν το καταπαλυνασειών δασιλών Μεμφιτών δ' τών με ξαετής αρξάμεν Θ΄ δασιλεύων δ' εγνετετομέγεις ετών ρ' ε΄. Μεντεσούσις έτος εν. African. in Syncell. p. 58. (x) Ifta regnandi æqualis Inæqualitas nimis infolita eft, ut illam bis er fimul fortuitò contigifie credamus. Marβham p. 85. (y) Id. ibid.

270

the Kings of Egypt, and that in a Method so natural and easy, that it must approve it self to any Person that enters truly into the Design and Conduct of it: He gives us Eratosthenes's Theban Kings; he ranges with these, Syncellus's 25 Kings of Mestraa or lower Egypt (2); and by taking Africanus's Dynasties in pieces, by separating the Thinite Dynasties from the Memphite; by collecting the Kings of each Title into a distinct Catalogue, he offers us two other concurrent Lists of the Names of the Kings of the other two Kingdoms.

There is one Difficulty, which I wish our very learned Author had considered and discussed for us, and that is, that the Catalogues of the Kings of three of the four Kingdoms are too long to come within the Intervals of Time, which the true Chronology of the World can allow for them. For to begin with lower Egypt: Menes or the Mizraim of Moses (a) came into this Country about A. M. 1772 (b): It was a Fen or Marsh in his Time (c), and he does not seem to have made a long Stay in it: He went forward and built Memphis (d); afterwards, 124 Years after the Dispersion of Mankind (e), A. M.

⁽z) Syncell. p. 91. (a) See Vol. 1. B. 4. (b) Ibid. (c) Herodot. l. 2. c. 4. (d) Id. c. 99. (e) Apollodor. in Euseb. Chron. p. 18. Syncell. p. 147.

1881, he went into the Country of Thebais: after having made Settlements here, he feems to have come back and formed a Kingdom in lower Egypt 35 Years before his Death; for Menes stands recorded King of this Country only 35 Years (f); if fo, then this Kingdom was founded about A. M. 1908 (g): The Paffors came into Egypt about A. M. 2420 (b): The Interval is 512 Years: But the 25 Kings of lower Egypt abovementioned reigned 70x Years; i.e. 189 Years longer than we can find a Space of Time for them. In like manner, 2. If we confider the Theban Kings: Mizraim came into this Country A. M. 1881 (i), let us from this Year begin the Computation of his Reign or Kingdom: From this Year to A. M. 2420, the Year of the Invasion of the Pastors are 539 Years; but the Reigns of the Theban Kings from Menes to the 12 Year after (k) the Decease of Achescus Ocaras the Predecessor of Nitocris are 682 Years; fo that this Catalogue reaches down beyond the Incursion of the Pastors

⁽f) Mes pain. 6 23 Minns Etn 22. Syncell. p. 91.
(g) Menes died A. M. 1943. See Vol. 1. B. 4.
(b) See Vol. 2. B. 7. (i) Vid. quæ sup. and Vol. 1.
B. 4. (k) We must compute in this manner, if we allow Achescus Ocaras to have been the same Person with Mentesuphis who was Nitocris's Predecessor in the Memphite Catalogue, and suppose Nitocris to have reigned 12 Years at Memphis, and then being obliged to quit that Country by the Pallors, to have reigned afterwards 6 Years at Thebes.

170 Years. 3. The Kingdom of This is recorded to begin from the 62 Year before the Death of Menes (k); from the Year of the Rife of the Kingdom of Thebes A. M. 1881: The Reigns of the Kings of This amount to 593 Years (1); but from A. M. 1881 to 2420, the Year of the Pastors, are but, as I said, 539 Years; so that this Catalogue is too long by 54 Years. As to the Kingdom of Memphis, a better Account of that feems to offer it felf to us. Menes entred Egypt A.M. 1772 (m): He stayed but a little while in the lower Egypt, perhaps about 3 Years, until he had formed Zoan a little Town, which was built 7 Years after Hebron in Canaan (n); here he might plant a few Inhabitants, and go forward and build Noph or Memphis higher up the Country, and defigning to go himself a further Progress, he might make his Son Toserthrus, or Naphtuhim (0) the first Governour or King of this City about A. M. 1777, accordingly the Reigns in the Memphite Dynasties begin not from Menes but from Toferthrus (p). The Sum of the Reigns from the first Year of Toserthrus to the 12 of Nitocris are 643 Years,

⁽k) African. in Syncell. p. 54. (l) Vid. Tab. sen. Can. (n) Vid. quae sup. (n) Numb. xiii. 22. (o) See Vol. 1. B. 4. Gen. x. 13. (p) African. in Syncell. p. 56.

which, if we count down from A. M. 1777, will bring us to A. M. 2420, the Year in which, I suppose, the *Pastors* entred *Egypt*, and reduced this Kingdom. Thus the *Memphite* Succession very fully accords to true *Chronology*, and probably, if the other Successions were carefully examined, a little Pains would enable us to bring them to an Agreement with it. For,

The Catalogue of Mestraan Kings exceeds indeed in Length about 189 Years; but I apprehend some Interpolations made by Syncellus are the Cause of it. Three of the Reigns, the 5, 6 and 16 are mere Numbers without Names of Kings annexed to them: And Scrapis the 7 King, Sesonchosis the 8 (r), Amanemes 9 (s) and Amasis the 10 (t), are all Names of Kings inserted here by Syncellus to lengthen the Catalogue, so as to make it suit his Scheme of Chronology: Syncellus took great Liberties in this man-

⁽r) Sesonchosis was the same Person as Sesostris. Vid. Scholiast. in Apoll. Argonaut. ver. 272. p. 411. and lived in a much later Age. (i) Amanemes is again repeated by Syncellus, and is his Ly King. (t) Amass is his LXXXVIII. He disguises the Repetition of the Names of Amanemes and Amass, by giving different Numbers of Years to their Reigns; but we have no reason to think there were such Kings in this Age.

ner (u): The Numbers of Years affixed to all these Reigns amount to the 189: If we therefore strike out these Reigns, we reduce the Catalogue to a true measure. I would not be too tedious to the Reader, and shall therefore leave it to him, if he cares to enter deeper into this Subject, to consider, whether the Theban and Thinite Catalogues may not be as well adjusted, if they be examined and

corrected in a proper manner.

From the Pastors invading and completing their Conquests in Egypt, our learned Author confiders the Country as parted into but two Kingdoms: The Pastors possessed the Land of Memphis, and of Tanis or lower Egypt; the Thebans, whom the Pastors did not conquer, held their own Country, and had added the Land of This to it: Africanus indeed suggests a Dynosty of Elephantine Kings, supposing nine Successions of them (w): Elephantis was a remote City in the most fouthern Parts of Egypt (x), above 200 Miles higher up into the Country than Thebes or Diospolis (y): The Names of Kings supposed to be of this Kingdom, have a great Simi-

⁽u) Reges comminiscitur, annosq; et successiones mutilat vel extendit, prout ipsi visum est, magna nominum, magna numerorum Interpolatione. Marsham. Can. Chron. p. 7. (w) African. Dynast. v. in Syncell. p. 57. (x) Herodot. lib. 2. c. 17, 18, 29. (y) Id. c. 9.

litude with those of the Kings of This, and perhaps fome little Companies of Thinites, when the Thebans conquered their Country, might travel into this distant Region, and plant themselves here, and build a City, and have a quiet Enjoyment of it, for above two Centuries (z): We find no History, nor any thing recorded of these Elephantines, and probably after having lived for the Space abovementioned in a little independent Society, at the End of that Term, the Thebans extending and enlarging their Country, they might at last become a City or District of their Kingdom. The following Table will give the Reader a View of Sir John Marsham's Continuation of the Theban Kings, and of the Succession of the Paflor Reigns until the Pastors were expelled Egypt.

⁽z) The Reigns supposed by Africanus to belong to this Dynasty, amount to 218 Years,

II. TABLE of Egyptian Kings.

Continuation of Eratosthenes' Theban Kings.	Pastor Kings from Manetho, &c. See Joseph. and African. xv. Dynast.	
27 Chouther Taurus 7 28 Meuros Philofcorus 12 29 Choma Estha 11 30 Anchunius Ochy Tyrannus 60 31 Pente-Athyris 16 32 Stamenemes 23	1 Salatis 19 2 Bæon 4† 3 Apachnas 36 7 4 Apaphes 61 5 Janias 50 1 6 Afis 40 2 xx1 Dyn. African. (b) in Syncell. p. 123. 7 Smedes 26	
Eratosthenes. From Manetho xviii Dynasty of Africanus. See Josephus.	9 Nephelcheres 4 10 Amenopthis 0	
39 Amosis 25 4 40 Chebron m 41 Amenophis 27 7	12 Pinaches 9 13 Sufennes 14 XXIII Dyn. Afric. 40	

⁽a) Sir John Marsham passes over this Reign, there being no Name annexed to it, and supposes Nilus to succeed Mercury and Eratosthenes's Catalogue to contain but 37 Kings. Can. p. 94. 238. (b) It may be here remarked that both Manetho and Africanus (See Chronograph. in Syncell p. 52. African. Dyn p. 71.) stile this Dynathy Tanite: But to this it may be answered, that the Passons, possessing the Land of Tanis or lower Egypt, were the Tanite Kings of these Times.

Theban Kings from Manetho, &c.	Pastor or Tanite Kings from Manetho.	
Years m 42 Amesses 21 9	15 Oforcho 8	
43 Mephres 12 9	16 Psammus 10	
44 Misphragmutho- m fis 25 10	17 Zoet 31	

Misphragmuthosis, or Alisfragmuthosis, gave the Pastors a great Overthrow in Battle, and shut them up in Abaris, where he confined them by a close Siege (b). His Son was

45. Tuthmosis - - - 9 Years 8 Months. The Pastors capitulated with this King at his coming to the Crown, and surrendred upon Condition to be suffered to

march out of Egypt (c). Next to Tuthmosis or Tummosis reigned

46. Amenophis 30 Years 10 Months. In the Reign of this King the Paftors invaded Egypt again, and for 13 Years disposses the end of that Term Amenophis came with an Army, and entirely conquered them, and expelled them Egypt for ever (d), and at this their second Expulsion,

⁽b) Joseph. contra Ap. l. 1. c. 14. (c) Id, ibid, (d) 26. 28. Marsham, Can. Chronic, p. 318.

T 3 the

the 511 Years are computed to end, during which the Pastors are said to have

held Egypt (e).

After this fecond Expulsion of the Pastors, Sir John Marsham adds the following Theban Kings fole Monarchs of all Egypt.

	Years	M.
47	Orus reigned 36	5
48	Achenchres 12	I
	Rathotis 9	
	Acencheres 12	5
	Acencheres-12	3
	Armais — 4	I
	Ramesses I	4
	Ramesses Miamun— 66	2
55	Amenophis 19	6
	XIX Dynast. African.	
56	Sethosis, Sesostris or Sesac.	

The Reader has now before him a View of Sir John Marsham's Scheme from the Beginning of the Reigns of the Egyptian Kings, down to his Sesostris or Sesac: And if he will take the pains throughly to examine it, if he will take it in Pieces into all its Parts, review the Materials

⁽e) The Pastor Reigns above-mentioned from Salatis to Zet amount to 478 Years 10 Months, the Reign of Tuthmosis is 9 Years 8 Months; if the Pastors invaded Egypt again in the 10th Year of Amenophis, and were totally conquered 13 Years ofter, this Conquest of them will indeed fall 511 Years from the 1st Year of Salatis.

of which it is formed, consider how they lie in the Authors from whom they are taken, and what manner of collecting and disposing them is made use of, he will find, that however in fome leffer Points a Variation from our very learned Author may be defenfible; yet no tolerable Scheme can be formed of the ancient Egyptian History, that is not in the main agreeing with him. Sir John Mar-sham has led us to a clear and natural Place for the Name of every Egyptian King and Time of his Reign, who is mentioned by either Eratosthenes, Africanus from Manetho, Josephus, or Syncellus, that we can reasonably think had a real Place in the Egyptian History; for as to the Name of the King in Africanus Ix Dynasty, called a Dynasty of Kings of Heracleopolis (f), Manetho made no such Dynasty (g): Africanus found out one of the Names of the Kings of it (b): Heracleotis, Heracleopolis or Heroopolis was a City of lower Egypt near one of the Mouths or Outlets of the Nile into the Sea (i): Perhaps it was a Town not immediately reduced by the Pastors, and its holding out, and preserving its Liberty for some Time, might occasion the

⁽f) African. in Syncell. p. 59. (g) Vid. Chronograph. in Syncell. p. 52. (b) African, ubi fup. (i) Strabo Geogr. 1. 2. p. 85.

Writers of After-ages to think it had been an independent Kingdom, and to endeavour to form Dynafies of the Kings of it. In like manner we may remark concerning Africanus's XXII Dynasty, which he calls Bubastite: Bubastus was a City of lower Egypt (k), probably governed by Magistrates, Deputies to the Pastors, or it might perhaps revolt from the Tanite or Pastor-Kings, when the Thebans began to weaken and diffress them, and become a free Town, and have Governors of its own for some Successions towards the end of the Times of the Pastors being in Egypt; and some mention of this fort having been made of it, might occasion After-Writers to number its Magistrates amongst the Kings of Egypt: But Manetho made no fuch Dynasty; accordingly Sir John Marsham does not collect these Kings: Were there indeed any fuch Kings, a Place might be found them, by setting them down Contemporaries with some of the last Pastor or Tanite Kings. Sir John Marsham has not taken into this Part of his Canon the Kings of the XI, XII, XIX Dynasties of Africanus: The Reader may see his Reafons for omitting them (1): I should think

⁽¹⁾ Strabo Geogr. 1. 17. p. 805. (1) Can. Chron. p. 391, 392.

a different Account from that of our most learned Author may be given of them (m); but I shall offer what I conceive to be the true Account of these Kings, when I come down to the Times succeeding after the Reigns of Sefac, where I shall be also able with less Trouble and more Perspicuity to adjust Eratosthenes's Canon of Theban Kings, and Sir John Marsh im's Supplement of Reigns added to it to a true Length. As they now stand in his Canon, Nitocris the XXII in Eratofthenes must be thought to have reigned about A.M. 2420. The 16 Reigns fucceeding hers to the end of Eratosthenes's Catalogue contain 374 Years; the 17 Reigns added to these by Sir John Marsham, from Amosis to Sethosis, Sesostris or Sesac, contain 354 Years (n); add these together, and we come down to A. M. 3148, but Sefac came against Jerusalem A. M. 3033 (0); so that here again the *Theban* Lift of Kings appears to be of too great a Length by above 115 Years.

If the Pastors came into Egypt as above, about A. M. 2420, and their first King Salatis reigned 19 Years, their second King Beon reigned 44, and their third King Apophis 36 Years and 7 Months (p), the end of Apophis Reign falls

⁽m) Vid, quæ fupra. (n) Vid. Eratofth. vid. Marsham, p. 96. (o) Usher's Annals. (p) Vic. Joseph, contr. Ap. I, 1. Marsham Can. Chron. p. 94.

A. M. 2520; fo that he was the *Pharaoh* or King of lower *Egypt*, who purfued the *Ifraelites*, and perifhed in the *Red-Sea*: The *Exit* of the *Ifraelites* out of *Egypt*, and their paffing over the *Red-Sea* happened A. M. 2513; but the judicious Reader will not expect to be afcertained of our having all the numeral Characters in the *Egyptian* Reigns, fo truly calculated or conveyed down to us, that the Difference between A. M. 2513 and 2520 of 6 or 7 Years can want to be accounted for.



The SACRED and PROPHANE

HISTORY

Of the WORLD Connected.

BOOK XII.



N the first Month of the fortieth Year after the Exit out of Egypt, A. M. 2553, the Israelites came into the Deferts of Sin (a), and pitched their Tents at Kadesh:

Miriam died foon after their coming hither (b): They found little or no Water in these Parts, and as soon as their Wants made them uneasy, they murmured against Moses and Aaron (c): Moses and Aaron consulted God for a Supply, and Moses was ordered to go with Aaron and ga-

Zer.

ants

⁽a) Numb. xx. 1. (b) ibid. (c) ver. 3, 4, 5.

ther the Assembly: Moses was then to take Aaron's Rod, and He and Aaron were to fpeak unto a Rock in the Defert, and the Rock was to pour out Water in the fight of all the Israelites (d): We have had no mention of the Israelites from the Time of the Rebellion of Korab, Dathan, and Abiram, until they came into this Difficulty: There had passed fix or seven and thirty Years in this Interval; during which Time Moles had led them up and down from Place to Place (e), as God had thought fit to direct their Journeyings by the Cloud that moved before them (f): And it is probable, that during all this space of time the People had been very obedient; for we hear of no Discontents or Oppositions amongst them: This was their first Emotion: Now they began to be refractory again; but Moses now could not so well bear it: He was here transported beyond his usual Temper: The Murmurings of the People provoked his Spirit, jo that he spake unadvisedly with bis Lips (ff): He and Aaron here committed a Fault, for which God pronounced against them, that they should not bring the People into the Land, which he had given them (g). The Commentators appear in some Doubt, what the

⁽d) Numb. xx. 8. (e) Numb. xxxiii. (f) Exod. x1. 56, 37. (ff) Pial. cvi. 33. (g) Numb. xx. 12. Fault

Fault was which Moses and Aaron were here guilty of; but I should think it a Point not hard to be determined: When Moses undertook the Charge of the People after they were over the Red-Sea, it was firictly required of him, that he should be punctually obedient to all the Directions which God should give him (b): He was to be a Minister of the Power of God unto his People, and in all his Actions to be faithful to him that appointed him (bh), to promote his Glory; to convince the People that the Lord was really their God, and that there was none else besides him, who could protect and affift them, or whom they ought to worship. And this Moses had hitherto obferved in all his Conduct: But in the Instance before us, there is a Failure in his Behaviour: When the People were in Distress here by Want of Water, God vouchfafed to hear their Complaint, and directed Moses and Aaron to give them a Demonstration, that his Power was ready at hand miraculously to relieve them: They had been once before in the same Strait: Then God thought fit to cause a Rock, upon Moses's striking it with his Rod, to pour forth Water (i): But here Moses and Aaron were commanded to take the Rod; to go and stand before a Rock

⁽b) Exod. xv. 46. (bb) Heb. iii. 2. (i) Exod. xvii.

appointed them, having fummoned the People to fee how God would relieve them; then they were to speak only to the Rock, and the Rock was to give forth Water. Had the Israelites been here prone to entertain any superstitious Fancy of the Virtue of that Rod, which had been the Instrument of fo many Miracles, what an Opportunity had Moses of convincing them of their Folly, and evidencing to them, that neither himfelf, nor Aaron, nor the Rod was of any Importance, but that God could have perfected the fame Wonders by a Word only, if he had thought fit to have done them in that manner? But instead of thus discharging himself, he took the Rod, and He and Aaron gathered the Congregation, and he faid unto them: Hear now, ye Rebels, must we fetch you Water out of this Rock? And Moses lift up his Hand, and smote the Rock twice: and the Water came out abundantly (k): In this he spoke and acted unadvisedly (1); for he did not speak nor act according to the Commission which God had given him; but he spake and acted of himself, too great an Argument of an Affectation of raising his own Credit; for He that speaketh of himself, seeketh his own Glory (m):

⁽k) Numb. xx. 10, 11. (m) John vii. 18.

⁽¹⁾ Psalm. cvi. 32.

Moses expressed himself to have had this Sense of things upon another Occasion: When Nadab and Abibu offered strange Fire before the Lord, which he commanded them not: Moses remonstrated their Crime to Aaron in the clearest Terms, and declared, that God would be fanctified in them that come nigh him, and glorified before all the People (n): But here He and Aaron joined in a Part very different from these Sentiments: Their Duty was to have glorified God in the fight of the Congregation, by punctually performing what he had directed: But instead of this, they did and faid what he commanded them not, and thereby gave the Israelites an Opportunity to imagine the Supply might come from them; from their Power and Ability to procure it: And for this Reason, because they were not strictly careful to promote the Glory of God, instead of raising their own Credit (0) among the People, they were sentenced not to lead the Israelites into the Land of Canaan.

Kadelb, near which the Israelites were at this time encamped, was a City upon the Border of the Land of Edom (p), and from

⁽n) Levit. x. 3. (o) The 12th Verse of xxth of Numbers should be thus translated. Because, ye were not faithful to me, to [sanctify or] glorify me, in the Eyes of the Children of Ifrael, therefore ye shall not bring this Congregation into the Land which I have given them. (h) Numb. xx. 16.

the Neighbourhood of this Place Moscs fent Messengers unto the King of Edom to ask leave to march thro' his Country (q): The Ifraelites had received a strict Charge not to (r) make any Attempt against this People, and Moles's Message was in Terms of the greatest Assurance of Friendship to them: He acknowledged the Relation between them and I/rael, and promifed in the most explicit manner, that he would only pass thro' their Country, without foraging any Part of it, or injuring any Person Inhabitant of it (rr): But the Edomites were not willing to run the venture: Hitherto they had been governed by Dukes (s); but about this Time apprehending Danger, they made a King, thinking it necessary to unite under one Head for their common Preservation: And this King of Edom refused to admit the Israelites into his Territories, and guarded his Frontiers with numerous Forces (t): Hereupon the Israelites were obliged to march another Way, and therefore moved from Kadesh to mount Hor: Upon Mount Hor Aaron died, and Eleazar his Son was appointed High-Priest in his Place (u): Aaron was an hundred and twenty Years old, when he died in Mount Hor (w), and died there in the

H-1 cc

⁽q) Numb. xx. 14. (r) See Deut. ii. 4, 5, 6. (rr) Numb. xx. 17—19. (s) See Vol. II. B. VII. (r) Numb. xx. 18, 29. (u) ver. 22—29, (w) Numb. xxxiii. 39.

fortieth Year after the Children of Israel were come out of the Land of Egypt (x),

and so died, A. M. 2553.

The King of Arad, a City in the Southern Parts of Canaan, upon the I/raelites coming near his Borders, attacked them, and took some of them Prisoners(y): The Israelites had offered no Violence to his Country, and were fo provoked at this Attempt upon them, that they vowed a Vow unto the Lord, that if they should hereafter be able, they would utterly destroy this People (2); and they were enabled, and did perform this Vow in the Days of Joshua (a), or in a little time after his Death (b): The 3d Verse of this xxist Chapter of Numbers feems to intimate, that the Israelites at this Time conquered these Canaanites, and utterly destroyed them and their Cities: But this was not Fact; for the King of Arad is one of those who were conquered by Joshua (c), and the Vengeance here threatned was either executed upon this People by his Hand, or compleated by Judah and Simeon, when they flew the Canaanites that inhabited Zephath, and utterly destroyed it (d). The Kingdom of Arad was not conquered in the Days of Moles, and therefore we cannot imagine,

⁽x) Numb. xxxiii. 38. (y) xxi. 1. (z) ver. 2. (a) See John. xii. 14. (b) See Judges i. 17. (c) John. xii. 14. (d) Judges i. 17. U that

that the Remark here inferted, that the Lord Learkned unto the Voice of Ifrael, and delivered up the Canaanites, and they utterly destroyed them and their Cities, was of his writing: I should think Moses left the Text thus: And Ifrael vowed a Vow unto the Lord, and faid, If thou wilt indeed deliver this People into my Hand, then I will utterly destroy their Cities, and called the Name of the Place Horman, i.e. Israel called the Place so, in token, that if ever it should be in their Power, they defigned to make it defolate (e): As to what is added in the third Verse, that the Lord bearkned unto the Voice of Ifrael, and delivered up the Canaanites, and that they utterly destroyed them and their Cities: The Thing was not done, and therefore the Remark could not be made in the Days of Moles: The Words perhaps might be written, by way of Observation, in the Margin of some ancient MS. of the Pentateuch, after the Israelites had destroyed the Canaanites; Copiers from fuch a MS. might afterwards transcribe it from the Margin into the Text, and thereby occasion it to come down to us as Part of it.

The King of Edom refusing to admit the Ifraelites to pass thro' his Country,

⁽e) The Word Horman figuifies a Place devoted to Defruction.

and the King of Arad opposing them upon the Frontiers of his Kingdom, they were obliged to retire back into the Wilderness, and therefore decamped from Mount Hor: They were ordered to march towards the Red-Sea, and to fetch a Compass round about the Land of Edom (f): They began this Expedition, but the Soul of the People was much discouraged because of the Way (g): They remonstrated to Moses all the Difficulties that would attend it; complained, that they should be distressed for Want of Water, and that, as to the Manna, they loathed it (b), and therefore were not willing to go again thro' a Desert, where they could expect no other Provision: They began hereupon to be too mutinous for Moles to lead them any further, had not God been pleased to correct them for their Obstinacy, by fending amongst them fiery Serpents, which destroyed many of them (bb): This Calamity foon humbled them, and upon their intreating Moses, he prayed for them, and obtained them a Cure of the Malady that afflicted them. God directed him to make a Serpent, and to fet it up in the Camp, and promised, that whoever would look upon it, should, tho' bitten with a fiery Serpent, recover and

⁽f) Numb. xxi. 4. (g) Ibid. (b) ver. 5. (bh) Numb. xxi. 6.

live (i): Moses made a Serpent of Brass, as he was commanded, and the People found it a Remedy against the Calamity, that had destroyed great Numbers of

them (k).

Sir John Marsham is very particular in his Remarks upon the fetting up the brazen Serpent (1): He has collected feveral Passages from the prophane Writers, which hint at Charms and Inchantments to cure the Bite of Serpents; and he fays, the Hebrews made use of Inchantments for this very Purpole, which Affertion he endeavours to support by a Citation from the Psalms, by another from Ecclehastes, and by a third from Feremiah; and from the whole of what he offers, he would intimate, that the Cure of the Israelites here, that were bitten, was not miraculous; but that the brazen Serpent venenum extinguebat - & morsus arte levabat, was a Charm for the Calamity (m) or an Amulet for the Distemper (n), αλεξητήρων & τοσαύτης πληγής. It would be trifling to endeavour to refute this Opinion: No one acquainted with Sir John Marsham's way of thinking, can imagine he believ'd it: I dare fay, he thought a Charm for the Biting of a Serpent as ridiculous on the one hand, as the Opinion

⁽¹⁾ Numb. xxi. 8. (1) ver. 9. (1) Can. Chron. p. 142. (11) Id. p. 144. (11) Ibid.

of some learned Commentators is on the other, who, in order to make the Miracle appear the greater, contend that Brass is of a virulent Nature, and that the looking upon a Serpent made of that Metal, would by way of Sympathy add Rancour to the Wounds, instead of curing them (o). To a reasonable Inquirer the brazen Serpent cannot appear to have been, of it felf, of any Effect at all: This unquestionably was Sir John Marsham's Opinion, and what he cites from the Heathen Writers was intended by him to prove, not that Charms had ever been a real Cure for the Bitings of Serpents, but that the World had been amused with such Fancies: And he cites the facred Writers in order to hint, that they admitted and countenanced these popular Superstitions; and his real Thoughts about Moses and the Israelites in the Case before us appear to me to have been, that the Bitings of the Serpents which the Israelites were infested with, were not mortal; that Moses set up the brazen Serpent to amuse the People, that those who were bitten might make themselves easy by looking at it, in hopes of a Cure, until the Poison spent it self, and the Inflammation ceased; that when they grew well, Moses might teach them to ascribe

⁽⁰⁾ Vid. Pol. Synopf. Crit. in loc.

their Cure to a fecret Efficacy of the brazen Serpent, in order to raife and support his Credit amongst them: This must be our learned Writer's Sentiment in its full Strength and Latitude, and to this I answer.

I. There were indeed Serpents of divers forts in many Parts of the World, and some not so venomous, but that their Bite was curable: This Siculus informs us, that in the Island Taprobane, now called Ceylon, there were Serpents of a large kind, of no noxious Quality (t); and Herodotus mentions a leffer fort as free from Venom in the Parts near Thebes in Egypt (q): The Inhabitants of Epidaurus in Greece, were well acquainted with these forts of Serpents (s), and fuch abounded in Ethiopia (t). Pausenias was of opinion, that the same fort of Serpents would not be equally venomous in different Countries; for that a different Pasture may add to, or diminish the Virulence of their Poison (u): And thus it may be true in Fact, that there anciently were, and now are in the World many forts of Serpents not thought capable of biting mortally, but that a little Time and Patience, with-

⁽p) Dicdor. Sic. Lib. 2. p. 99. (p) Herodot. Lib. 2. c. 74. Id. Lib. 3. c. 109. (s) Paufan. in Corinthiac. c. 28. (t) Herodot. 1. 4. c. 183. (u) Paufan. in Beeotic, c. 28.

out much help of Medicine, might heal the Wounds received from them: And we may imagine, that the Nature of the more noxious forts might be mit gated by removing them into a Climate, or managing them with Diet not apt to supply them with a too potent Poison (w): And Phyfick and Surgery are now brought to fuch Perfection, that perhaps there is no Poison of Serpents so deadly, but that, if Application be made in due Time, a sufficient Remedy may be had for it. But tho' we allow all this, let us ob-

serve,

II. That as Moses represents the Serpents which bit the Israelites, to have caused a great Mortality (x); so the heathen Writers concur in testifying, that the Desarts wherein the Israelites journeyed, produced Serpents of fo venomous a kind, that their Biting was deadly, beyond the Power of any Art then known to cure it. The Ancients observ'd in the general, that the most barren and fandy Defarts had the greatest Number, and most venomous of Serpents: Diodorus makes this Remark more particularly of the Sands in Africa (y); but it was equally true of the Wilderness wherein the I/raelites journeyed: Serpents and Scorpions

⁽w) Diodor. l. 3. p. 119. (y) Diodor. lib. 3. p. 128.

⁽x) Numb. xx: 6.

were here, according to Moses, as natural as Drought and Want of Water (2): And Strabo's Observation agrees with Moses (a), and both Strabo and Diodorus concur that the Serpents that were fo numerous here. were of the most deadly kind, and that there was no Cure for their Biting (b): Some Writers have imagined the Serpents which bit the Israelites, to have been of the Flying-kind: Herodotus informs us, that Arabia produced this fort (c), and the Time of Year in which the Ifraelites were under this Calamity, was in the Seafon, in which these Serpents are upon (d) the wing, and visit the neighbouring and adjacent Countries; fo that these might at this time fly into the Camp of the Israelites in great Numbers: But Moses does not hint them to have been flying Serpents, he calls them ba Necashim baserapin (e); had he meant flying Serpents, he would have faid, Nachashim Serapim Menopepim; for they are To described, where they are mentioned in the (f) Scriptures, Strabo has taken notice of a kind of Serpents produced in or near the Parts where the I/raelites journeyed,

⁽æ) Deut. viii. 15. (a) $\tau i \lambda \dot{v} = \tau \tilde{\omega} \cdot \epsilon i \pi i \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ev aŭ $\tau a\tilde{\omega} \in \tau \lambda \tilde{\omega} \tilde{\omega}$. Strab. Geog. 1. 16. p. 759. (b) Strab. 1. 16. Diodor. 1. 3. (c) Herodot. 1. 3. c. 109. (d) Λόγος η δη αμα τη ξαει η ιστις ο κιτα τ λ'ρα-(e) Numb. xxi. 5.

which might be called fiery from their Colour (g), and both he and Diodorus were of Opinion, that the Bitings of these were incurable (b), and of this fort probably were those, which affaulted the Israelites. But whether we can fix this Point, is not very material; it is enough for our purpose, that from what has been offered it may be observed: That after all the Knowledge which the Heathens had of Cures and Inchantments for the Bitings of Serpents, yet they would not have judged any of their Arts sufficient to have recovered the Israelites, whose Malady was occasioned by a fort of Serpents, against whose Venom they had no Remedy. But,

III. Let us fee what Charms the Heathens pretended to have to cure the Bitings of Serpents: The prophane Writers do indeed celebrate the Marsi a People in Italy (i), the Psylli in Africa (k), and the Opbiogenes in lesser Asia (l), as very eminent for their Abilities against the Poison of Serpents, and they give us many wonderful Stories of each of them: But we may remark upon their Performances, as Strabo does upon Alexander's

⁽g) *Οφεις φοιντικοὶ των χείαν. Strab. Geog. 1. 16. p. 778. (b) Το Δήγμα εχοίμες ἀνήπες ον. Strabo ibid. Diodorus fays, Δήγματα τοιώντα πειθιλώς ἀνίατα. Hift. 1. 3. p. 126. (i) Virg. Æn. 7. v. 750. Plin. Nat. Hift. 1. 7. c. 2. (k) Plin. ibid. Paufan. in Bœotic. Strab. Geog. 1. 17. (l) Strab. 1. 13. Plin. ubi fup.

curing the Wounds of Ptolemy (m), and it will appear, that the Persons, of whom we have fuch marvellous Accounts, were perhaps possessed of some physical Recipe's for the Venom of Serpents, and that the Mythologists, as was their usual way, invented Fables to raife their Fame, instead of recording their Skill in a true Narration. It is remarkable, that the Persons abovementioned are acknowledg'd by those who speak most fabulously of their Art, to have used external and medicinal Applications. The Pfylli began the Cure by anointing the Wound with their Spittle (n), and this was thought no mean Medicine both by Varro and Pliny (o); and it might have more effect, than we may be apt to think of, if the Artists that applied it, had prepared their Mouths by chewing fuch Herbs as they thought proper to use upon the Occasion. If this Application did not answer, then they endeavoured to fuck out the Poison (b). It may be said, these were

⁽m) — τρωθέν]α η Πτολεμαίον κινθυνδίειν ον όπορ η ώρασδη την το λλεξαίνδεω δάξαι βίζαι — κ) γρίσσιος — ίδο [ας η τες δαρδάρως ωριμάτον το αλέξινας, όποιλος γενέδη το δασίλει. Γιαδό η τινα μινύσσα των εδότων. το η μυθάθες περοστίθη κολακείας γάειν. Strab. lib. 15. p. 723. (n) Lucan. Pharfal. 1. 9. (o) Plin. Nat Hitt. 1. γ. c. 2. p) Lucan. ubi sup We are told by fome of our English Historians, that Queen Eleanor suched the Poisson out of the Wound, which a Saracen had given to Edward the fift with a poissed Knife.

but poor Attempts for the Cure of fo dangerous a Malady. I answer: The Knowledge and Use of Physic was not carried to a great Perfection in these Ages. Pliny has given us above an hundred different Remedies for the Venom of Serpents (q); most, perhaps all of them, would be now thought to be but trifling Prescriptions, and yet probably twenty of the meanest of them, would have raised any Person to the Reputation of an extraordinary Magician in the Days of the Marsi, Plylli, and Ophiogenes. Pausanias had no very high Opinion of the Powers of the Pfylli; for he feems to doubt whether they could cure the Bite of a Serpent, unless the Serpent before its Biting had accidentally eat fome Food, which might abate its Venom (r): However, these Men had their Medicines, which fometimes proved fuccessful, and their Skill, tho' it would not have gained them the Title of good Surgeons in an Age of more Experience, was enough, in the Times they lived in, to convey them down to the fabulous Writers as more than mortal: And these Writers, fond of the marvellous, were apt to omit relating every thing in their Practice, which did not appear furprizing, and to give us that

⁽q) Plin. Nat. Hist. in var. loc. Bœotic. c. 28.

⁽r) Taufan. in

Part only, which might look like Magic and Inchantment. The Philosophy of these Times led those, who thought themselves most rational, into many Superstitions (s); and the Practitioners of Medicine thought it necessary to use some Rites to gain a favourable Influence of the planetary Powers upon their Endeavours, and to put the Mind of the Patient into an harmonious Temper for their Operation's having Success upon him. And hence Musick was thought to have its Use at the time of their giving Medicine, and fometimes proper Words were muttered (t); for Words duly compounded were thought to have great Power (u) to charm the Elements to favour the Cure: And what they did of this fort, appearing more prodigious, than their Applications of the Juices of Herbs and other Medicaments, the fabulous Writers omit to speak of the latter, but mention at large their other Performances, and lay great stress upon them. Thus the Indians were faid to have itinerant Inchanters, who were thought to cure the Bitings of Serpents by their finging (w); but Strabo remarks, that what they did

⁽¹⁾ See Vol. II. E. IX. (1) Par Lingua potentibus Herbis. Plurima tum volvit ipumanti carmina Lingua. (α) See Vol. II. B. IX. (αυ) Επωθ'δς «σειγοιτάν πεπικούμενες είνοι. Strab. Geog. L. 15, p. 706.

was almost the only Practice of Physick in use in India in their Days (x); so that I should imagine they used Medicines as well as Mufick. Upon the whole: All the Accounts we have of the Heathen Cures of the Malady we are treating of, carry, if duly confidered, the Appearance of as much medicinal Art as these Ages were acquainted with, and they have no further Shew of Magic and Incantation, than what the Philosophy of these Times, and the Religion built upon fuch Philosophy, taught the learned to think necessary to give Medicine its due and natural Effect upon the human Body: And whoever will judicioufly confider the whole of what the prophane Writers offer upon this Topic, may abundantly fee, that none of the Heathen Magicians would have admitted, that a brazen Serpent set up, as Moses fet up that in the Wilderness, could possibly have had any Effect towards curing the People.

But IV. Let us confider whether the Texts of Scripture cited by Sir John Marsham, do indeed support the Point for which he cites them. He remarks, that David mentions the deaf Adder, that stoppeth her Ear, which will not hear-

⁽x) Καὶ ἔναι χεδόν τι μόνων ταύτων ἐατεικών. Id.

ken to the Voice of the Charmers, charming never so wisely (xx), and that Solomon hints at a Serpent, that would bite without Inchantment (y), and that Feremiah speaks of Cockatrices and Serpents which will not be charmed (z); and from hence he infinuates, that the facred Writers were fenfible that Charms were a fufficient Cure for the Bitings of some Serpents, tho' there were others, whose Poison was not to be controuled by the Influence of them. I answer, Two of these Texts, if duly examined, are very foreign to Sir John Marsham's Purpose; for there is nothing of Charming or Inchantment fuggested in them. The Words of David, Pfalm lviii, truly translated are; As (a) the deaf Adder will stop her Ear, which will not attend to the Voice of the eloquent (m) putting together the Sayings

⁽xx) Psalm lviii. 4, 5. (y) Ecclesiastes x. 8. (z) Jer. viii. 17. (a) The Hebrew Text is in these Words.

i. e.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
Sicut Aspis surda obturabit Aurem svam, quæ non auscustabit
9 10 11 12 13

Voci eloquentium connectenti connexiones sapientis.

⁽m) The Word Only may sometimes be used to mutter as Inchanters did. It is a Word not often used in Scripture:

ings (n) of the Wise. David had no Thought of Charms or Inchantments, but in a noble Expression represents wicked Men to be deaf to the best Instructions offered to them in the most engaging manner. We have an English Proverb, which in some measure expresses the Import of David's Words, tho' not with fuch a Dignity of Diction: When good Advice is given, but not attended to, we compare it to a Song fung to an Horse: An Horse or an Adder are not to be moved by the wifest Intimations; wicked and diffolute Men are, morally fpeaking, like these Animals, the best things that can be faid to them are lost upon them, and this is what David very elegantly reprefents, without any view or hint of the Possibility of charming any Serpent whatsoever. In like manner, nothing can be concluded to Sir John Marsham's Purpose from the Words of the Preacher. We translate

but it has not always this magic Meaning: In 2 Samuel in the Syriac Version. And thus I take the Word in the Passage before us, to fignify those who offer what they have to say, in the best, softest and most engaging manner.
(n) DITH. Connexiones in Quintilian's Sense of the Word: The Conclusions of the Wife.

the Verse, Surely a Serpent will bite without Inchantment, and a Babbler is no better: But the Hebrew Words truly rendred would be thus: A Serpent will bite without any Warning, and a Babbler [or one that loves to prate is no better (o): The Word Lachash is here used as in 2 Samuel xii. 19. and the Expression be loa Lachash is without a Whilper, i. e. without the least Noise or Intimation, in Silentio says the vulgar Latin, the LXX or & Jiguεισμώ, without a Whisper, the Targum in Taciturnitate, silently. The facred Writer hints beautifully, that a Prater wounds you before you can be aware of him, and we intirely lose his Sentiment, if we take the Verse to hint what Sir John Marsham would infer from it. The last Text cited by our learned Author is Jeremiab viii. 17. The Prophet threatens the Israelites with Serpents, Cockatrices, which will not be charmed. It is evident to any one that confiders the Context, that the Prophet here uses an Allegory, and does not mean, that the Israelites should be infested with Serpents, but that

⁽o) The Hebrew Words are,

^{9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1} אם ישר הנחש בלא לחש ואין יתרון לבעל הלשון i. e. Si mordeat Serpens fine fufurro: et non præftantia

i. e. Si mordeat Scrpens fine futurro: et non præftantia

adamantis Linguam, or non melior est, qui adamat loqui.

God would bring upon them the Armies of their Enemies, and Calamities against which they should find no Remedy: However, fince the Allegory may be faid to be founded upon the Sentiment of the Speaker, and the Prophet from his using the Expression of Serpents that will not be charmed, to fignify irremediable Calamities, may be argued to have thought fome Serpents capable of being charmed, as fome Calamities may have a Cure, I would enter a little more exactly into his Sentiment and Expression; and in order hereto let us observe, 1. That the Hebrews applied to no Physicians in the most early Times, but when under any Malady (p) they fought unto God for a Cure. 2. There was an Art of Phyfick known both to Jews and Heathens before the Days of Jeremiab(q): 3 The Heathens had introduced into their Practice of it, fuch Rites as their Learning and Religion dictated, and these Rites were the Charms, Magic, and Incantation they made use of (r): They were Charms of no real Influence, nor truly productive of any supernatural Effect; but they were thought fignificant by the learned of these

⁽p) See Vol. II. B. IX. (q) See 2 Chron. xvi. 12. (r) This their Method for the Cure of the Bitings of Serpents abundantly suggests to us.

Ages, who built upon the Rudiments of a vain and mistaken Philosophy. 4. The Fews were not so careful to adhere Arictly to the true God, and to his Religion, but that in many things they frequently admitted the Practice of the heathen Superstitions, and learned their Ways, and as Asa when fick, almost 300 Years before the Days of Jeremiah, finned in this manner by applying to the Phyficians (s); so very probably in the Prophet's Days much of the Heathen Phyfick might, in the corrupted State they were then in, be admitted and admired amongst them. But this is not all: In the Days of Jeremiah the Jews were greatly corrupted in both their Religion and Politics: They had departed far from God (t); walked after Vanity, and were become vain (u); fet up Idols as numerous as their Cities (w). They had changed their Glory for that which could not profit them (x); turned their back upon God (y); burned Incense unto Baal (z); kneaded their Dough to make Cakes unto the Queen of Heaven, and to pour out Drink-offerings unto other Gods (a); and now Diffreis was coming upon them, and a Dread and Fear of being ruined,

⁽s) 2 Chron. xvi. 12. (t) Jer. ii. 5. (u) Ibid. (vv) Ver. 28. (x) Ver. 11. (y) Ver. 27. (z) vii. 9. (x) Ver. 18.

fometimes from the Armies of the Kings of Allyria, at other times from the Invasions of the Kings of Egypt; they thought to be preserved, under the Protection of their false Gods, by a vain Policy, in confederating with one or other of these Powers, as Circumstances might require, in order to be supported by one or the other of them: And to this end, before Jeremiah applied to them, they had made a League with the King of Af-fyria, and they had suffered by it, and been ashamed of it (b); at the Time of his Address to them, they were in Alliance with Egypt (c), but of this the Prophet tells them they would in a little time be ashamed also (d); for that God had rejected their Confidences, and that they should not prosper in them (e): The Design of Jeremiah was to set before the fews, that in the Lord their God was the only true Salvation of Israel (f); that from all other Helps they hoped for it but in vain; that Destruction upon Destruction would come upon them (g); a Nation from far be brought against them (b); and that if they did not amend their Ways and their Doings (i); turn from their Wickednesses and Idolatries,

⁽b) Jer. ii. 36. See Prideaux Connect. Vol. I. B. I. (c) Id. ibid. (d) Jerem. ii. 36. (e) Ver. 37. (f) iii. 23. (g) iv. 20. (b) v. 15. (i) vii. 3—15. X 2 they

they should find, that they put their trust in lying Words, that could not profit (k), and that the Evils that were coming upon them, would be as Serpents, Cockatrices, which could not be charmed, i.e. would be Calamities really fatal, not to be remedied by the trifling and infignificant Amusements, on which they so much depended: This is the Argument and Reafoning of the Prophet, and if duly attended to, it is fo far from ascribing any true Efficacy to Charms and Inchantments, that it strongly intimates them to be a Doctrine of Vanities (1): Jeremiah compares Charms and Inchantments, and the false Confidences of the Israelites, to each other, and thereby declares his Opinion of both to be, that they were infignificant and vain: In Cases of no certain Danger, those who were to be deceived with vain and imaginary Expectations, might amuse themselves, and think they received Benefit from them; but where the Evil was real, and truly wanted a Redress, there they would be found not able to profit, there no help was to be had from them.

I have now confidered to the Bottom what Sir John Marsham intimates concerning the brazen Serpent, and should

⁽k) Jer. vii. 8. (l) x. 8.

hope it must be evident, that there are no Foundations for his Suggestions; but that every sober Querist must see reason to confider both the Calamity that was inflicted upon the Israelites, and the miraculous Cure of it, in the Light, in which the Author of the Book of Wisdom long ago set it, They [i. e. the Israelites] were troubled, says he, for a small Season, that they might be admonished, having a Sign of Salvation, to put them in remembrance of the Commandment of thy Law: For he that turned himself towards it, was not saved by the Thing that he saw, but by Thee, that art the Saviour of all (m). The Israelites were unmindful of the Obedience they owed to God, unwilling to march where God directed them: Hereupon they were punished, to bring them to a better Mind, and their Punishment was in a little time removed in a miraculous manner: They were commanded to come and look up to a brazen Serpent, a Thing evidently of it felf of no Importance, but by God's Power and good Pleasure made so effectual to their Recovery, as abundantly to remind them, that whatever God should think fit to command them, was importantly necessary to be performed by them.

⁽m) Wisdom xvi. 6, 7.

Moses omits in the xxist Chapter of Numbers, two Incampments of the Israelites; one at Zalmonah, the other at Punon: They are both mentioned in Chapter xxxiii. The brazen Serpent was fet up at Punon; for after they were cured, they moved forwards to Oboth (n), and thence to Ijeabarim on the Border of the Land of Moab (o): They were warned not to attack the Moabites, and therefore did not enter their Country, but marched forward on their Borders into the Valley of Zared, and pitched there at a Place, which they called Dibon-Gad (p): From hence they marched to the River Arnon, which parts the Land of Moab from the Country of the Amorites (r): They paffed over this River, and pitched in the Wilderness of the Amorites at Almondiblathaim (s): From hence they removed to the Mountains of Abarim before Nebo (t). They made five several Incampments here, one at Beer, where they digged a Well (u), another at Mattanab (w), a third at Nabaliel (x), a fourth at Ramoth (y), and the last at Pilgah (z). These were the feveral Incampments from Kadefb to Pilgah, and by fixing them thus, we

^(**) Numb. xxi. 10. (**) Ver. 11. xxxiii. 44. (**) Deut. ii. 9. Numb. xxi. 12. xxxiii. 45. (**) xxi. 13. (**) Ver. 47. (**) Ver. 47. (**) Xxi. 16. (**) Ver. 18. (**) Ver. 19. (**) Ibid. (**) Ver. 20.

may perfectly reconcile the feeming Difference between the xxist Chapter of Numbers ver. 11, 12, 13, 18, 19, 20, and the xxxiiid Chapter, ver. 44, 45, 46, 47.

From the Camp at Pigah, Moses sent to Sihon King of the Amorites, to ask leave to pass thro' his Country (a); but Sihon was so far from being willing to permit them to march farther into his Kingdom, that he determined to oblige them intirely to quit it: He therefore fummoned together his Forces, met the Israelites at Jahaz (b), and gave them battle, but was routed by them (c): The Israelites pursued their Victory, and forced Sibon out of all that Country, from the River Arnon unto Jabbok (d): This Tract of Land had formerly been the Moabites, until Sihon conquer'd it (e), now the Israelites came into possession of it. The feveral Victories which the Israelites obtained in the Land of the Amorites (f), were gotten by Detachments from their main Body; for the Camp continued at Pifgab, until they removed to the Plains of Moab (g): But they fent out select Companies, fuch as they afterwards chose to fight the Midianites (b); for the whole Camp was too great to move after every

⁽a) Numb. xxi. 21. (b) Ver. 23. (c) Ver. 24. (d) Ibid. (e) Ver. 26—29. (f) Ver. 25. (g) xxii. 1. xxxiii. 43. (b) xxxi. 3, 4, &c.

Expedition: And by these they reduced this whole Country, and after this they conquered and took possession of the Kingdom of Baskan (i), and then Moses removed the whole Camp, and pitched in the Plains of Moab, near the Banks of Jordan over-against Jericho (k): So large a Body as the Camp of the Israelites took up a considerable Tract of the Country, and reached from Beth-jest-

moth unto Abel-shittim (1).

Balak the Son of Zippor was King of Meab at this time: He was much alarm'd at the March of the Israelites: And his People had great Fears upon account of them (m): For this reason he sent an Embassy to the Elders of Midian, and represented the common Danger they were all in, and agreed with them to fend to Balaam, the Son of Beor, a Prophet, whose Fame probably had been much talked of, to know if he could fo curse this People, as that they might attack and destroy them (n). Balaam's Country was far distant from the Land of Moab: He came from the most Eastern Parts of Syria (o): He lived at Pethor (p) near the

⁽i) Numb, xxi. 33—35. (k) xxii. 1. xxxiii. 49. (l) Ibid. (m) xxii. 2, 3, 4. (n) Ver. 4, 5, 6. (e) He came from Aram out of the Mountains of the East, Numb. xxiii. 7. Aram is Syria. See Vol. I. B. III. (p) Numb. xxii. 5. The River Euphrates might be called the River of his Land: Mesopotamia from this and the River Tigris is denominated Aram Naharaim. See Vol. I. B. 3.

Euphrates; for he was of Mesopotamia (9). The Ambassadors of the King of Moab, together with the Elders of Midian, came hither to him, and delivered their Message: Balaam required them to stay all Night, until he should enquire of God what Anfwer to give them: In the Morning he acquainted them, that God would not give him leave to go with them (r). Upon the Ambassadors reporting this to Balak, he thought he had not made the Prophet fufficient Offers to induce him to take so long a Journey, and therefore fent again, by Persons of higher Rank, and offered him any Advancement in his Kingdom (s): But the Prophet answered, that no Temptation should prevail upon him to do any thing, but what God directed, and therefore he required them to stay all Night, until he should again consult God, and know what Answer to give them (t): Upon this his fecond Enquiry, God gave him leave to go, if the Men came in the Morning to call him (u); but firictly charged him, if he went, to fay nothing, but what he should direct (w). The Offers of Balak had made Impression upon Balaam, and he grew fond of the Journey and of the Prospects of it; and in the

⁽q) Deut. xxiii. 4. (r) Numb. xxii. 7—13. (s) Ver. 14—16, 17. (t) Ver. 18, 19. (u) Ver. 20. (w) Ibid.

Morning he stayed not to be called, but got up early, and faddled his Ass (x) and went with the Princes of Moab. This was his Fault: The Wages that were offered, tempted him (y), and he was greedy after the Reward (2): He did not preferve a due Indifference to the Journey, but pressed into it with a coverous or ambitious Heart: And God's Anger was kindled at his going in this manner (a). The Commentators do not, I think, clearly determine, what Balaam's Fault was, and our modern Deists, with great Affurance, ridicule the Fact here related: They remark, that his going upon Balak's fecond Message, was by God's express Command, and yet that the Text fays, God's Anger was kindled, because be went (b). I answer: Our Translators do indeed thus render the Text: But the Hebrew Words are clear of this Abfurdity. The Hebrew Text is, And the Anger of God was kind-led, not [כי הדר] ci halak, because be went, but [בי הדר הוא] ci halak bua (c), because be went of bimself (d), i.e. without staying for Balak's Messengers to come in the Morning to call him. He had no leave to go at all, unless the Messengers came

⁽x) Numb. xxii. 21. (y) 2 Pet. ii. 15. (z) Jude ver. 11. (a) Numb. xxii. 22. (b) Ibid. (c) Our Hebrew Biblis have the Place, אוֹח אָין אוֹח יש, but the Samaritan Text is I think more accurate. (d) See B. XI.

in the Morning again to him (e), and perhaps if he had not thus gone to them, after having promifed them an Answer, they might have thought their Master's great Offers neglected, and have gone away without him: But his Head and Heart were too full of Expectations from the Journey, to run the hazard of not being further invited into it, and so he rose early in the Morning, and went to them, directly contrary to God's express Order (f), and was opposed by the Angel for this Breach of his Duty (g). What follows in Moses's Narration has appeared to many Writers a great Difficulty. Philo feems not to have thought, that Balaam's As did really speak to him; for he gives a large Account of all Balaam's Proceedings, but is absolutely silent as to this Particular (b). The Jewish Rabbins reprefent Balaam to have heard and answered to what the Ass is related to have said to him, in a Trance or Vision (i), and our modern Rationalists are very free in their Remarks upon the Fact as related by Mo-Jes. But, i. An inspired Writer, in the New Testament, assures us, that it was real Fact as Moses relates it. Moses says, that the Lord opened the Mouth of the

⁽e) Numb. xxii. 20. (f) Ibid. & ver. 21. (g) Ver. 22 32. (b) Philo Jud. de vit. Moss. lib. 1, p. 643, (i) Maimonid, More Nevoch. part. 2. c. 42.

Ass, and she said unto Balaam (k): And St. Peter tells us, that the dumb As speaking with Man's Voice, forbad the Madness of the Prophet (1). 2. It is a Fact in no wise impossible: Some Writers represent, that the very Nature of the Assmust have been changed, to make her capable of what is related. They argue, that not only a Power of speaking must have been given to her; but that her Mind must have been enlarged also, to enable her, first to know an Angel, when she saw one, and in the next Place to recollect backward, how the had carried her Master until that time, and to remonstrate this, so as to fuggest to him, that if something extraordinary had not happened, she had undoubtedly still carried him in the same manner (ll): The brute Creatures are not conceived to have these Powers of Reasoning: They do not pursue, connect, and compare their Ideas in this regular manner. Had Balaam's Ass not been endued with a greater Compass of Reason than Creatures of this Species ordinarily have, the would not have spoken what Moses relates, even tho' the Power of Speech had been miraculously given to her: She might have represented, that she was affrighted, but she would not have con-

⁽k) Numb. xxii. 28. (l) 2 Pet. ii. 16. (ll) Numb. xxii. 28, 29, 30.

nected and compared her former Services with her present Miscarriage. But to this I answer; Moses does not say, that the Ass knew an Angel; an Angel appeared to her in the Way with a drawn Sword to oppose their Passage: She endeavoured to avoid him when she could, and when The could not, The fell down: She might have done the same, if a Man had opposed them in the same manner: Or the Appearance of the Angel might very much affright her, without her knowing it to be an Angel. As to her reasoning above the Capacity of a Brute-Animal, and fpeaking the Refult of fuch Reasoning; God undoubtedly could, if he had pleased, have instantly capacitated any of the inferior Creatures for this, or for much greater things. But even this does not appear to have been done. An human Voice came out of the Mouth of the Ass (m); but I do not apprehend, that what the Voice uttered proceeded from her Sentiments; rather it was what God would have to be uttered to rebuke the Prophet: The Tongue of the Ass was miraculously moved, not by any natural Power of hers fo to move it, and it spake what it was moved to utter, without any Connexion of the Words spoken with the Sentiments of the Ass, and without her Un-

⁽m) 2 Pet. ii, 16.

derstanding the Words, which she uttered upon this Occasion. This seems to me to have been the Fact, and herein there is a real Miracle; but no Appearance of the Absurdity, that is pretended. I would consider 3. That the Miracle of the Ass's fpeaking was not fuperfluous and unneceffary, but very pertinent and fuitable to the Defign, which God intended to promote by it. It is imagined by fome, that this Miracle might well have been spared; that the Angel's appearing was abundantly fufficient to have recalled Balaam to his Duty; that he was not much moved by the Ass's speaking (n), it was the feeing the Angel that affected him (o): And they fay, why should God cause so unusual a Miracle, as a dumb Creature's speaking, to so little Purpose, and so little wanted? I answer. Balaam was perhaps much furprized at the Ass's speaking, tho' Moses has not reported it to us: The ancient Jewish Writers imagined he was so, and accordingly Josephus represents him to have been greatly aftonished at it (p). But Moses's Narration is short and concise; and he may have omitted this and other Particulars of Balaam's Story that were not of great moment to be told by him: For, what if the Heat and obstinate Bent

^(*) Numb. xxii. 29. (*) ver. 34. (*) Joseph. Antiq. Lib. 4. c. 3.

of Balaam's Temper caused him not to pay a due Regard to this Miracle, shall the Miracle be therefore argued to be in it felf infignificant, because he did not fuffer it to have its due Effect upon him? Many Miracles were wrought in Egypt, which Pharaoh paid little regard to; but we cannot censure them as extravagant or fuperfluous, because Pharaoh did not apply his Heart duly to confider them (q): They might any one of them have been of great service to him, if he would have made them fo, and that justifies the Wisdom and Goodness of God in causing them to be wrought before him. And this may be remarked in the Case of Balaam: God did not design to permit a War between the Israelites and Moabites at this time: He had warned the Israelites not to diffress or war against them (r), and he would not fuffer Balaam to curse the Israelites; because the Moabites would have paid fo great a Regard to what he had promifed, that they would thereupon have attacked them, in hopes of being able to overcome and drive them out (s) of the neighbouring Country: God could indeed, if he had pleased, have over-ruled Balaam's Heart and disposed him for his Duty, without the Appearance of any Miracle, or have caused any

⁽q) Exod. vii. 23. (r) Deut. ii. 9. (s) Numb. xxii. 11. One

one Miracle to have been as effectual as ten thousand; but he dealt with Balaam as with a Free-Agent: He did not take away his Liberty, but fet before him very confiderable Motives to induce him to make a right and virtuous Use of it. If we confider the whole Process of this Affair, we shall not see Reason to judge any Part of what God was here pleased to do, to be superfluous or extravagant, but must allow, that in every Particular, God was exceedingly merciful unto Balaam, tho' the Corruption of his Heart was very great: When he was first sent for by Balak, and inquired, whether he should go, God did not direct him into a Temptation too hard for him (u): Upon the fecond Inquiry, a Way was still made for him to escape (w); for had he not gone until he had been called in the Morning, (x), probably *Balak*'s high and more honourable Messengers (y) would not have been so attendant upon what they might have thought his Humour; but would have gone away without him: But he would go, and he went with a corrupt Heart, not likely to be duly mindful of the Charge which God had given him (2);

⁽u) Numb. xxii. i2. (w) ver. 20. (x) ver. 21. (y) ver. 15. (z) Balaam's Heart was known unto God, and he intended not to be frielly careful to speak only what God should direct, and therefore this Point was given again in charge to him. Ver. 35.

but liable to be tempted to gratify the King, in order to obtain the Advancement that was offered him (a): And here God was pleased to correct his Intention by two Miracles: By the one of which he evidenced to him, that he could fo control him, that it should not really be in his Power to falfify if he would, what God had defigned to direct him to fay. By the other he threatned him not to attempt it upon pain of Death: The Ass he rode on, was made to speak to him; a convincing Demonstration, that it would be a vain thing in him to endeavour to speak otherwise, than God should order him; fince the fame Power, that here caused even a dumb Animal to move its Tongue very differently from what it was naturally capable of, could certainly over-rule even his Tongue, and make him fay just what, and no more than what was dictated to him, whether he was willing or defigned to speak it or no. Some Writers, Philo in particular (b), and Josephus (c), represent Balaam as actually over-ruled in the use of his Tongue, when he bleffed the Ifraelites, and that he would have curfed instead of bleffing them, if he could have made his Tongue fpeak what he defigned: But I fee no

⁽a) Numb. xxii. 17. (b) Phil. Jud. Lib. 1. de vit. Moss. (c) Joseph. Antiq. Lib. 4. c. 5.
Vol. III. Y reason

reason to go into this Opinion: God abundantly apprized Balaam by the Miracle of the Ass's speaking, that he could thus over-rule him, if he pleased; but I believe he still left him the Liberty of a Free-Agent, after having affured him by the Angel, that, if he abused his Liberty in this Particular, he would destroy him: And, I think, both these Miracles ap-pear to have affected the Prophet. He feemed after this to bear in mind a due Sense of his Inability to speak otherwife than God should permit him (d); and tho' he used endeavours, and had it at heart, if he could any ways do it, to gratify Balak (e); yet at last he did not dare to venture, but told the King without referve all that God, and nothing but what God had been pleased to reveal to him (f). But 4, Tho' the Miracle of the Ass's speaking was not superfluous, and infignificant to Balaam; yet if it had not been a real Fact, Moses could have no Inducement to relate it: He could have no Purpose to serve by it: The Israelites would have appeared under the especial Protection of God's Providence as well without it: And Moses as a wife and prudent Man, if he had had no other Restraint, would not have invented such

⁽d) Numb. xxii 38. xxiii. 26. (e) xxiii. 23. xxiv. 1. (f) xxiii. 3, — 9. 17, — 24.

an unheard of and needless Prodigy; for it would have been to no purpose if it had been his Invention, because he could have no Scheme or End to serve

by it.

Balaam's Behaviour after he came to Balak; how he endeavoured to find Incharatments to curse the Israelites, but could not fucceed in them; and therefore instead of curfing them, blessed them three times, and gave thereby great Offence so Balak; what he prophefied to Balak, and how Balak dismissed him, are Points related at large in the xxiiid and xxivth Chapters of Numbers: And I may add, what may be remarked upon them, if I inquire who Balaam was, and what Character we ought to give him: I have before mentioned where he lived, when Balak fent to him: It does not feem as if he lived there in great Circumstances of Wealth and Dignity; for if he had been in so easy a Situation, Balak's Offers of Advancement would not have been fo tempting to him: Or, when he could not obtain the Advancement that had been proposed to him, he would have returned home again, and not have thought it worth his while to have stayed in Midian: But when Balak dismissed him, he behaved like a Man in little Fortune, and of an ambitious Spirit; was willing to ingratiate himself with the Midianites, and gave Y 2

them the most wicked Advice to ensnare the Israelites into Ruin (g), and was found and flain in this Country when the Israelites warred against it (b): Pethor in Mesopotamia was most probably situate near or in Chaldea, under the Government of the Kings of Affyria; and as these Nations had been long infected with Idolatry (i), and were under a Government that established and supported the idolatrous Worship, it is not probable that Balaam, if he was a Prophet of the true God, could have any Prospects of Advancement in his own Country. The Ancestor of Abraham and his Family were expelled this Land for worshipping the God of Heaven (k), and if Balaam purfued the Worship of this true God, whatever Reputation he might have as to his private Character, no publick Advantages in his own Country were likely to accrue to him from it; and this might make him fo defirous to accept an Invitation into another Land.

It is disputed by some, whether Balaam was indeed a Prophet and a Worshipper of the true God: They imagine him to be a mere Magician or Inchanter, one that prophesied by the Rules of Vaticination in use in these days amongst the Worship-

⁽g) Numb. xxxi. 16. Rev. ii. 14. (b) Numb. xxxi 8. (i) See Vol, I. B. V. (k) Josh. xxiv. 2. Judith v. 6, 7. 8.

pers of false Gods: If this Opinion be true, then the Revelations that were made to him from the true God, must have been made to him in a manner he had not been accustomed to, and beyond his Expectation, in like manner as the Egyptian Magicians were enabled to work real Miracles (1): But I should think this Notion of Balaam is not confiftent with what Moses relates of him. When the Messengers of Balak came first to him, he immediately apply'd to God for Direction (m), and the God he applied to was not Baal, nor any of the Gods of the ido-latrous Nations, but Jebovab (n); the true and living God was his God: And he does not appear to have been at any time furprized at the Answers God was pleased to give him, or at the Angel's appearing to him, or at the Word of Prophely put into his Mouth (6), being well apprized of and acquainted with God's communicating his Will to his Servants in these feveral Manners. The only dubious Appearance in his Behaviour is his having fought for Inchantments (p): If he was a Prophet and Servant of the true God, why should he seek for Inchantments? or what Service could he think to receive from

⁽¹⁾ See Vol. II. B. IX. (m) Numb. xxii. 8. (n) Ibid. yer. 8, 13, 18, 19, & c. (o) Ibid. 9, 10, 12, 20, 31, 34. xxiii. 4, 5, 16, (p) Ibid. xxiv. 1.

them? I answer: The Arts of Magicians, and their Inchantments to procure Prodigies and Oracles, tho' the vulgar People did not understand the Foundation they were built on, were to the wife Men and Philosophers the Produce of Learning and natural Science, falfly indeed so called, but really esteemed by them to be true (q): And as Mojes was learned in all the Learning of the Egyptians (r), tho' he did not practife any of the Arts, that were the Basis and Support of false Religion (s); fo Balaam, tho' he had hitherto virtuously adhered to the true God, might, as a learned Man, not be intirely a Stranger to the Theory of what buman Science and the then reputed natural Knowledge had advanced upon these Subjects. And as Saul, tho' he had before put away those that had familiar Spirits, and the Wizards out of the Land (t), was yet induced, when the Lord answered him not, neither by Dreams, nor by Urim, nor by Prophets, to go to a Woman that had a familiar Spirit, and inquire of her (u); So Balaam finding nothing but a full Difappointment of all his Views, in the feveral Revelations which God was pleased to make to him, and being warmly inclined to purchase, if he might with any Co-

⁽⁴⁾ See Vol. II. Book IX. (1) Acts vii. 22. (1) See Vol. II. Book IX. (1) 1 Sam xxviii. 3. (11) ver. 6, 7.

lour be able to do it, the Advancement which Balak had offered him, was tempted to try what might be the Event, if he used some of the Arts which the most learned Nations held in the highest Repute, and esteemed to be of the greatest Efficacy (w): He tried, but found no Inchantment against Jacob, nor any Divination against Israel (x). What particular Arts he used, or upon what Rules of Science he proceeded, I cannot fay: Mofes has not told us: But if his building feven Altars, was, as I have supposed, one of his Artifices (y), it will hint him to have copied after the Egyptian Theology: For as they worshipped at this time the Lights of Heaven, so they first imagined the seven Days of the Week to be under the respective Influences of seven of these Luminaries (2): The Chaldeans are thought to have come into this Doctrine next after the Egyptians (a); other Nations did not admit it so early (b): Belus the Son of Neptune had obtained Leave for himself

⁽w) They imagined, that Oracles and Prodigies might be procured by these Arts fine Deo. See Vol. II. B. IX.
(x) Numb. xxiii. 23. (y) Vol. II. B. IX. (z) Καλ τάθε άλλα Αιγυπθίσσι ετὶ ξξέρημένα μέις τε χ) ημέργη έμάς η θεώς το το έτα ετί. Herodot. l. z. c. 82. Dio Cassius dicit, Dispositionem Dierum ad vii Planetas inventum fuisse Ægyptiorum. Philastrius Brixiensis expresse assenting Hermen definiviste secundum vii Stellas hominum generationem consistere. Vid. Marsh. Can Chron. p. 448.
(a) Clem. Alex Stromat. l. 1. (b) Marsham. ubi sup. Y 4.

and some Egyptian Priests to make a Settlement at Babylon about half a Century before Balak fent for Balaam (c): Belus and his Followers taught the Chaldeans their Astronomy, and probably introduced this Egyptian Notion of the Influence of the feven ruling Stars, and it might now be the reigning Doctrine in Balaam's time; and he not being a Stranger to the Learning of the Age and Country he lived in, might know enough of it to make a shew before Balak of proceeding to his Auguries by the (d) Rules of it, And if the Sacrifices of Balak had been attended with any fuch Circumstances as those, upon Inspection of which the idolatrous Prophets formed their Divinations, I question not but Balaam had a Disposition to take occasion to speak from them: But the Providence of God feems not to have permitted him to have a Possibility of being mistaken: If he would have curfed the Israe-

⁽c) See Vol. II.B. VIII. (d) Some Critics have imagined, that Balaam built and offered upon feven Altars upon Account of the States he offered for, being in Number. The Moabites indeed were under one Head, Balak being their King, but the Midianites were under Elders; and it is conjectured, that they were divided into feven Principalities: But this Imagination is intirely groundless. The Kings or Heads of Midian were five, not seven. Numb. xxxi. 8. and had the Number of Balaam's Altars been owing to the Number of States be facrificed for, he must have built not seven, but six only, five for the States of Midian, and one for the King of Moab.

lites, he must have done it, and at the fame time have had a full Sense that they were bleffed, without any room for Doubt or Suspicion that it could be otherwise; and he was not hardy enough to be guilty of fuch an abandoned Prostitution; but upon offering his third Sacrifice he gave over: He went not as at other times, to feek for Inchantments (dd); The Place, I think, is not well render'd: The Hebrew Words intimate to us, that he did not perform the Ceremonies in walking or dancing round the Altar, by which the Idolaters endeavoured to procure Vaticinations (e); but he fet his Face towards the Wilderness, and lift up his eyes and

ולא הלך כפעם בפעם לקראת נחשים

In Latin thus,

⁽dd) Numb. xxiv. 1. (e) One of the Heathen Rites made use of to pracure Success to their Sacrifices, was their dancing or moving in set Steps backwards and forwards, from side to side, round about their Altars: This the Priess of Baal did in order to procure Fire from Heaven in the Days of Elijah, 1 Kings xviii. 26. And this Ceremony Balaam seems to have performed at each of the preceding Sacrifices at his last Sacrifice he gave over. Our Translation of the Words would induce one to imagine, that his going away from Balak to meet or invoke the Lord, was his going to seek Inchantments, but the Hebrew Text suggests no such thing. The Hebrew Words are,

Et non ambulavit secundum Vicem in Vice, &c.

The Greeks afterwards performed these Ambulations thus:

First, They moved towards the West turning from the East, finging a sacred Hymn; then they returned from the West back to the East again, and such Turns or Vices as these, I imagine Balaam had prastifed at Balak's Sacrifices before and round the Altars.

faw Israel abiding in his Tents according to bis Tribes, and the Spirit of God came upon him, and he told Balak, without Referve, all that God was pleased to reveal to him (f): Balak was provoked at what Balaam now delivered to him (g); for Balaam spake now in an higher Strain than ever in Favour of the Israelites; but as he had now omitted fome Ceremonies, which he had before used to give Effect to his Sacrifices, and had not gone afide, as he twice before had done, to meet or invoke God; Balak could fee no cogent Reason for his so speaking. Balaam indeed prefaced what he delivered, with declaring them to be the Words which he heard from God, when he faw the Vision of the Almighty, falling into a Trance, but having his Eyes open (h): Certainly no fuch Vision was seen by Balaam whilst Balak was with him, fo that this Revelation was made to him when he was alone, probably before he had attended upon Balak's Sacrifices, and now upon his giving over all further Thoughts of amufing or gratifying Balak, God inspired him to recollect and deliver all that had been revealed to him: And Balak was fo offended at his now speaking in so extraordinary a manner in Favour of his Enemies; because, to his Apprehension,

⁽f) Numb. xxiv. 2. — 9. (g) ver. 10. (h) ver. 4.

nothing had happened to cause his so doing. The Prophet however proceeded and advertised him, what Israel should do to his People in After-ages (i): Balak paid but little regard to what he faid, dismissed him with Contempt, apprehending him in no wife to answer the Character that had been given of him (k): Hereupon Balaam left him and went to the Midianites, and formed a Project to obtain their Favour: He well knew, that the Prosperity of the Israelites depended upon their continuing to ferve the living God, and he apprized the Midianites, that if they could feduce them to Idolatry, they might then have hopes of prevailing against them (1): This was that Counfel which Balaam gave the Midianites to cause the Children of Israel to commit Trespass against the Lord (m): And it is possible that he might amuse himself with the Pretence of even a good View in it; for had it succeeded, and had the Children of Israel been ruined by his Scheme, why might he not have hoped, after fo fignal a Success, to have had Interest and Influence enough over the Midianites to have, perhaps, brought them by degrees into the Service of his own God, and so to have promoted both God's Glory and his own

⁽i) Numb. xxiv. 14, —24. (k) ver. 11. (l) See Rev. ii. 14. (m) Numb. xxxi. 16.

Advancement together? All this might look well in the Eye of a Politician: But much better had it been for Balaam to have lived at home at Pethor, than to be laying out these Projects amongst the Elders of Midian. Had there been any Defign of Providence to be carried on, by his coming out of private Life, God both could and would have appointed Events, which by natural Steps would have raised him to the Station, in which he intended him to be useful to the World. And if the Providence of God had no Employment for him, how could it be worth his while to attempt the Ruin of a very numerous People in order to gratify his own Ambition? He might have lived at Pethor in Peace and Quiet, Innocence and Content; and if he had never been great in the World, he might have died the Death of the Righteous, and his last End have been like his (n): But he warmly purfued other Vices, and was drawn away far into a foreign Land, where he lost his Integrity, and brought himself to an unhappy and untimely End.

Whilst the *Israelites* were at *Shittim*, the *Moabites* became acquainted with them; made them Visits in their Camp, and invited them to their Feasts; and the

⁽n) Numb. xxiii. 10.

Israelites fell in love with the Daughters of Moab (o), and an evil Communication corrupted their Manners and led them into Idolatry (p): Many of them went to the Moabite Sacrifices, and partook of them, and joined in the Worship (q): Whereupon the Anger of the Lord was kindled against Israel, and he commanded Moses to order the Judges to put to death those who had committed this Wickedness (r): The Midianites were instructed by Balaam to draw the Israelites into this Evil (s). They communicated the Advice to Balak, and the Moabites joined with them in effecting it. Balaam is faid to have taught Balak to cast a Stumbling-block before the Children of Israel, to eat things sacrificed unto Idols, and to commit Fornication (t): But we do not read where Balaam gave any Counfel of this fort immediately to Balak: It feems more probable, that what he advised was to the Midianites after he left Balak (u), tho' both Nations joined to do what he directed. The one acquainted the other with the Scheme he had taught them, and so either or both might, tho' not immediately, yet truly be faid to be taught by him; because both followed his

⁽o) Numb. xxv. 1. (p) Ver. 2, 3. (q) Ver. 1. (r) Ver. 4, 5. (t) xxxi. 16. (t) Rev. ii. 14. (u) See Numb. xxxi. 16.

Doctrine in what they did in this matter. Whilst the Israelites were under God's Displeasure for this Wickedness, and a Pestilence raged in the Camp: Zimri the Son of Salubrought into his Tent Cozbi the Daughter of Zur, a Prince of Midian, in the sight of all the Congregation; but Phinebas the Son of Eleazar the Son of Aaron, took a Javelin and went after them, and slew them both (w): At their Deaths the Plague stayed, after four and twenty thou-

fand had died of it (ww).

There may be feveral Doubts raised about this Act of *Phinehas*: It may be thought a very rash, irregular and unjustissable Procedure. Zimri was a Prince of a chief House among the Simeonites, say our Translators: The Hebrew Text stiles him, Prince of the House of his Father Simeon (x): He was perhaps the Head of that Tribe (y), and not accountable to Phinehas for his Behaviour: How then could Phinehas have a right to execute this Vengeance upon him? or what could be the Sasety of even the highest Magistrates in this Occonomy, if private Men put on an officious Zeal, and assassing the sasety of even the highest Magistrates in this Occonomy, if private

finate

⁽w) Numb. xxv. 6-8. (ww) Ver. 9. (x) The Hebrew Words are

ימרי בן סלוא נטוא בית אב לשמעני (ui Simeonis Patris Domûs Princeps Salua Filius Zimri. (y) See Numb. i. 4, 16. In this Sense Josephus took the Words. He stiles him Zautelas of בעונגשיולסה פעאה שיניינים. Antiq. lib. 4. c. 10.

finate at pleasure those whose Actions were unjustifiable, and deserved Punishment? I answer: 1. That God had expresly ordered the Persons that committed this Wickedness (z), to be punished with Death; fo that nothing was done to Zimri more, than what God had directed to be the Punishment of the Crime he was guilty of. 2. Before Zimri appeared in this Action, Moses had ordered the People to be punished in the regular way of their Administration, by the proper Officers that were over them (a); but Zimri was, I think, one of the fupreme Judges, one of the renowned Men of the Congregation(b), a Prince of a Tribe, an Head of thousands in Israel, and had a right to stand with Moses and Aaron in their Government of the People, and consequently could not regularly be brought under Sentence of the Judges, who were inferior to him: And this must have been the Foundation for the Insolence of his Behaviour. He brought unto his Brethren a Midianitish Woman in the fight of Mofes, and in the fight of all the Congregation of the Children of Israel, who were weeping before the Door of the Tabernacle (c): He was fo far from paying regard to what Moses had ordered, that he acted in open

⁽z) Numb. xxv. 4. (a) Ver. 5. (b) i. 16. (c) xxv. 6.

Defiance of it; and instead of appointing the Judges of his Tribe to punish those, who were under their Jurisdiction, as God had commanded, he openly and in the Face of the Congregation abetted by his own Practice, what he ought to have used his Authority to correct and suppress; fo that fomething extraordinary was here necessary to be done, to punish a Crime, which appeared too daring to be corrected, in the Practice of a Person, who feemed too great to be called to account for it. And indeed, 3. We do not read, that the Judges did at all exert themselves in executing the Orders, which Moles had given them. Moses had required them to flay every one his Men, that were joined unto Baal-peor (d); but we hear of none that fell for this Wickedness, except this Zimri and those that died of the Plague (e): The Transgression was too universal to be corrected by a judiciary Proceeding, and as Moses was once before obliged to fummon the Levites in an extraordinary manner to punish a Sin, in which great Numbers of Persons, and high in Station and Authority, had engaged (f); fo in this case something of a like nature was absolutely necessary to bring the Offenders to condign Punishment. But 4.

⁽d) Numb. xxv. 5. (e) Ver. 9. (f) Exod. xxxii. 26.

Since there is no lawful and justifiable Power, but of God (g); fince in every Government the Powers that have a Right to command or to punish, must be ordained of God (b), either by deriving their Authority from the Constitution of such Government; for thus every Ordinance of Man (i) may have a Right of Authority, and be the Ordinance of God (k); or by being appointed by immediate Revelation, and an express Commission from Heaven; and fince Phinehas had no Authority to punish Zimri from any Law or Constitution in the Jewish Oeconomy, I must confess that, unless he had a divine Command for what he did in this matter, I should think his taking Vengeance in the manner in which he fignalized himfelf, must want a further Justification, than what he could offer for it, from the Plea of a warm but well-meant Zeal to affert the Glory of God, and to put a stop to the Infolence and Wickedness of the People; and he ought certainly, notwithstanding such a Plea, to have been called to answer for it before the proper Judges, If 5. God had not in an extraordinary manner declared his Acceptance and Approbation of the Death of Zimri. As foon as Zimri was dead, the Lord spake unto Moses saying, Phinehas the Son of Eleazar, the Son of

⁽g) Rom. xiii. 1 (b) Ibid. (i) 'Aνδρωσίνη χ΄ jore. 1 Pet. ii. τ₂, (k) Rom. xiii. 2. V ο L. III. Z Aaron

Aaron the Priest, bath turned my Wrath away from the Children of Ifrael (while be was zealous for my fake among them), that I consumed not the Children of Israel in my Jealoufy. Wherefore say, Behold, I give unto him my Covenant of Peace. And he shall have it, and his Seed after him, even the Covenant of an everlasting Priesthood; because be was zealous for his God, and made an Atonement for the Children of Israel (1). God declared this to Meses by a special Revelation: And that God did indeed reveal it, and that it was not a Pretence of Moles to protect Phinebas, was apparent to the Congregation, being sufficiently attested by the Plague's ceafing as foon as Zimri was dead (m). I am sensible that what is already offered, is fufficient to vindicate the Behaviour of Phinehas: If God himfelf declared him to be acquitted, who should condemn him? And his Example can lay no Foundation for a dangerous Imitation; for it will in no wife prove, that an illegal Action, tho' proceeding from a most upright Heart, zealoully affected in a good thing, is ever to be justified, unless God, by an express and well attested Revelation from Heaven, declares his Patronage and Acceptance of it. But, 6. I might add further, that what Phinehas did, was not the Effect of Zeal only, but rather God revealed himself to him before he attacked Zimri, and required him to cut off that high Offender, and consequently Phinehas had as clear and full a Commisfion for what he did, as Moses had for the Discharge of the Offices unto which God appointed him, tho' Moses and the Congregation were not at first apprized of it. Phinehas is faid by the Death of Zimri to have made an Atonement for the Children of Israel (n): But what Merit could there be in the Death of Zimri? how could that expiate the Sins of the Congregation? Or what had Phinehas to do to pretend to make Atonement, unless God had appointed him? for no Man taketh this Honour to himself, nor can perform this Office with any Effect, but he that is called of God, as was Aaron (o): Or if Phinehas had been intitled to endeavour to procure a Reconciliation of God to his People, he must furely have attempted it in some way which God appointed, and not by a strange Service, which God commanded him not (p), and which must therefore have been more likely to offend than to please him (q): But all these Difficulties are fully cleared by what Moles was ordered to declare to

⁽n) Numb. xxv. 13. (o) Heb. v. 4. (p) See Lev. x. 1, &c. (q) See the Case of Nadab and Abihu, B. xi. Z 2

340

the Israelites: Wherefore say, Behold I give unto him my Covenant of Peace (r). The Verse is injudiciously translated. The Hebrew Words [binneni Nothen lo Barithi Shalom fignify, behold it was I, who gave to him my Covenant of Peace (s), and the Declaration was intended to inform the Congregation that Pkinehas had not done a rash Action, moved to it by a mere Warmth of Heart; but that God had directed him to what he had performed; made him an express Covenant upon his performing it; affured him, that the doing it should obtain Pardon for the People; and that upon the Death of Zimri and Cozbi flain by his Hand, the Wickedness, that had been committed in the Camp, should be forgiven: In this View of the Fact all is clear, and it is easy to fee how a Covenant of Peace was given to Phinebas; how he was enabled to make Atonement for the People; and in what Sense the Death of the Offenders slain by him was fuch Atonement; and what he did stands clear of the Objections that can be offered against an irregular Zeal;

⁽r) Numb. xxv. 12. (s) The Hobrew Text is thus writen and pointed:

דונני נהן לו בריתי שלום

e. e. Fece me dantem illi Pactum meum Pacis. Ecce, me, dantem. i e Ecce me, qui dabam. The Participle is of the Impersect Tense as well as of the Present.

for it was not an Instance of such a Zeal, but of one more defensible, namely of a zealous and intrepid Performance of what God by an express Revelation

had required of him.

God was indeed pleafed to promise here, ver. 13. by Moses, an Addition to the Favour before granted to Phinehas: God before gave him his Covenant of Peace; but this extended no further than to the making him the Instrument of obtaining Pardon for the Sin, upon Account of which the People were under his Difpleasure: But now, because Phinehas was zealous for his God, and had performed the Service he was called to with a ready Heart, God was pleased to promise that the Grant made to him should stand in Force, until it conveyed the Priesthood to him, and to his Seed after him (t). Our Translators render the 13th Verse, And he shall have it, and his Seed after bim, even the Covenant of an everlasting Priesthood; but this Version is far from expressing the true Meaning of the Place: The Hebrew Words rightly translated are, And it shall be to bim, and to bis Seed after him, a Covenant [or Grant] of the everlasting Priesthood (u): i.e. My Grant or

⁽t) Numb. xxv. 13. (u) The Hebrew Words are, והיתה לו ולורעו אחרי ברית כחנת עורם Seculi facerdotii pactum eum post ejus seminiet ei eritet

Promise to him shall not here expire, upon his having obtained what I agreed to give him, namely, a Pardon for my People; but shall continue still in Force, to affure him, that in due time He shall himself be High-Priest and his Seed after him. God had before this time limited the Priesthood to Aaron and his Descendants, and it was to be to them an everlosting Priesthood throughout their Generations (w), it was ever to descend by Inheritance in their Families from Generation to Generation: And this it might have done, tho' neither Phinehas nor any Child of his had ever been possessed of it; for Phinehas and his Son or Sons, whether he had one or more, might have died before Eleazar, and in fuch Case, Eleazar's next Heir would have had the Priesthood, and it would have gone down to his, and not to Phinehas's Descendants: But the Promise now made to Phinehas, was an Affurance to him of God's Protection to preserve both him and his Seed, fo as that the Priesthood should descend to them. The Commentators have, I think, all of them run into a Difficulty, which they are not able to get out of: They imagine the Term everlasting to be here joined to the Priesthood, to express the Continuance of the Priesthood amongst

⁽w) Exod. xl. 15.

Phinehas's Descendants, as if God here promised Phinehas and his Seed after him the Grant of an everlasting Priesthood, or of a Priesthood which should ever remain in their hands, without being at any time translated into any other Branch of Aaron's Family (x): But then they are at a loss how to make out the Performance of this Promise; for they observe that Eli, who was High-priest in the Days of Samuel, was of the Family of Ithamar, and that therefore the Priesthood went out of the hands of the Descendants of Phinehas. when it came to Eli, and that it did not return again to them until, after fome Successions, it came to Zadoc in the Days of David. But I think this Difficulty might be avoided. We need not suppose the Priesthood to be here called everlasting, to express a Design of a perpetual Continuance of it to Phinehas's Descendants, but rather the Term everlasting is the Appellation annexed to the Priesthood in its Limitation to the Family of Aaron (y); and suggests no more than that the Priesthood of Aaron should descend to them: God made to Phinehas and to his Seed after him, not an everlafting Grant of the Priesthood, as some Com-

⁽x) Vid, Cleric. Comment. in loc. (y) Exod. xl. 15.

mentators take it (z), nor a Grant of an everlasting Priesthood, as our English Version renders the Place, but rather a Grant of the everlasting Priesthood; of the Priesthood limited to Aaron and his Descendants by that Appellation. And this Promife would have been fulfilled, if the Priesthood had descended to Eleazar and his Son only. I am sensible that the Yervs before and about our Saviour's time had a Notion, that Phinehas had a Grant of an everlasting Priesthood to him and his Posterity. The Author of the Book of Ecclefiasticus seems to have been of this Opinion (a), as well as Philo Judaus (b), and others; but in Fact there was not fuch a Perpetuity of the Possession of the Priesthood in this Family; no inspired Writer has, I think, hinted the Passage to contain fuch a Promise, and the Text does not appear to me to imply it.

Upon the ceasing of the Plague, God commanded Moses and Eleazar to take a Poll of the Israelites (c), at casting up

⁽²⁾ The Critics write the Text [Barith Cehunnah Le Nolam] Pactum Sacerdotii sempiteernum, A Coverant of the Priesthood for ever. Le Clerc says, seedus Sacerdotii perpetuum. But they missake the Word in the Text. The Hebrew Text is Nolam, and not le Nolam, for ever.

(a) Ecclus. λιν. 24. (b) Philo says there was given to Phinchas, παγχερστησίαν διοκοώνει αυτώ, χλ γένει κληθορισίαν αναφαίρετον. de Vit. Mosis Lib. 1. p. 649.

(c) Numb. xxvi. 1, 2.

of which the People were found to be 601730 Men of twenty Years old and upwards, without the Levites (d); and the Levites from a Month old and upwards were 23000 (e): And from this Poll it appeared, that there was no one Person now alive of those whom Moses and Aaron had numbred in the Wilderness of Sinai, except Moses himself and Caleb and Joshua (f). At this time the Daughters of Zelophehad represented the Death of their Father, and his having left no Sons (g), and Moses brought their Cause before the Lord, and received a Law for the fettling their Inheritance (b): And now Moses was ordered to arm a thoufand out of each Tribe, and to fend them under the Command of Phinehas to war against the Midianites (i), and God delivered into their Hand the Rulers of Midian; and without the Loss of one Man they made an absolute Conquest of all their Territories (k). Balaam lived in Midian at this time, and fell by the Sword of the Israelites (1).

The Israelites were now in Possession of a confiderable Country, Part of which the Children of Reuben and Gad defired to have for their Inheritance, and came

⁽d) Numb. xxvi. 51. (e) ver. 62. (f) ver 64. (g) xxvii. 1, 2, &c. (b) ver. 5—11. (i) xxxi. 1—6. (k) ver. 7—14. (l) ver. 8.

to Mojes and Eleazar to petition for it (m): Moses at first thought their Request highly unreasonable, and remonstrated, that for them to defire to be fettled, before Canaan was conquered, would be a Refusal to serve in the War, unto which God had appointed them as well as the other Israelites, and might bring down the divine Vengeance upon the Congregation, if they should consent to it (n): Hereupon the two Tribes explained their Meaning; that they intended not to defert their Brethren, but only to settle their Families in these Parts; that they designed themselves to march with the Camp, and affift in reducing the Land of Canaan (0): Upon these Terms Moses confented, and ordered Eleazar the Priest, and Joskua the Son of Nun, and the chief Fathers of the Tribes, to divide to the Children of Gad and of Reuben, and to the half Tribe of Manasseb, all the Land which the Israelites had conquered on the East fide of fordan (p): After this he gave directions for dividing the Land of Canaan, when they should have conquered it (q), charging them to expel the Inhabitants, and to demolish all the Monuments of their Idolatries (r), declaring to them, that if they were remiss herein,

⁽m) Numb. xxxii. 1. (n) ver. 6—15. (d) ver. 16—27. (p) ver. 33. (q) xxxiii. 54. (r) ver. 52, 53.

Book XII. and Prophane History.

terrible Inconveniences would ensue (s): Then he described the Land, telling them the Bounds and Extent of it (t), and kunh) named the Persons who should divide it Huhum when conquered (u): He appointed them to allot the Levites their Cities (w), and Helm to fet out the Cities of Refuge (x): He fettled an Inconvenience arising from the Inheritance of Daughters, upon a Remonstrance brought before him by the Sone of Gilead (y): And now he was reminded, The 6.C. of Promise (z): He prayed God to permit /3 to 0him to go into it; but his Prayer was not accepted (a). He was ordered to go up to Mount Abarim or Pifgah, and from thence to take a view of the Land; but he was expresly told, that he should not go over Jordan (b): Hereupon he begged of God to name a Person to lead the People, and God directed him to appoint Joshua (c): And at this time I imagine the Laws mentioned in the xxviiith, xxixth and xxxth Chapters of Numbers were given.

On the first Day of the eleventh Month of the fortieth Year after the Exit out of Egypt (d), Moses began to exhort the Is-

347

⁽s) Numb. xxxiii. 55, 56. (t) xxxiv. 1—— 16. (u) ver. 17—— 29. (w) xxxv. 2—8. (x) ver. 9—34. (y) xxxvi. (z) xxvii. 12. (a) Deut. iii. 25, 26.

⁽b) ver. 27. Numb. xxvii. 12, 13. (c) ver. 16 - 18. (d) Deut. i. 3.

raelites + Daughters must marry in ?

raelites in the Words recorded in the first Chapter of Deuteronomy: And he continued his Exhortation daily, until he had offered to their Confideration what we are told in that Book he spake to them. Then he called for Joshua, and exhorted him to be of good Courage in his leading the People, affuring him of the divine Affistance and Protection (e): In the next place he delivered the Book of the Law which he had written, to the Priests and Levites, and unto all the Elders of Israel, and commanded them to have it read once in feven Years to the People (f): Then he presented himself and Joshua before the Lord in the Tabernacle of the Congregation, where the Lord appeared in the Pillar of the Cloud, and revealed to Moses, that the People, after his Death, would forfake the Law, and bring upon themselves many Evils (g): In order to warn them against fo fatal a Perverseness, he was commanded to write the Song recorded in the xxxiiid Chapter of Deuteronomy (b): Moses therefore wrote this Song, and taught it the Children of Ifrael (i), and he added it, and an Account of what had paffed unto this Time to the Book of the Law; and when he had thus finished the Book, he ordered the Levites

⁽e) Deut. xxx1. 7, 8. (f) ver. 9—13. (g) ver. 14, 18. (b) ver. 19. (i) ver. 22.

to put it in the fide of the Ark of the Covenant, and there to keep it (k). After this he bleffed the Tribes (1), and then went up from the Plains of Moab to the Top of Piscab (m), and the Lord having from thence given him a Prospect of the Land, said unto him, This is the Land, which I sware unto Abraham, unto Isaac, and unto Jacob, faying, I will give it unto thy Seed: I have caused thee to see it with thine Eyes, but thou shalt not go over thither (u): We do not read that Moses came any more down the Mount, but rather, he died there in the Mount, whither he went up, as Aaron died in Mount Hor (w): He was an hundred and twenty Years old when he died, but his Eye was not dim, nor his natural Force abated (x): He died about the End of the eleventh Month, A. M. 2553: The Israelites mourned for him one Month or thirty Days (y), which I imagine concluded the Year. He was buried in the Valley over against Beth-Peor (z); but there being no Monument

⁽k) Deut. xxxi 24, 26. See Prideaux Connect. Vol. I. B III. (1) Deut. xxxiii. Simeon is not mentioned in this Chapter; but ave must not think that Moles farget or omitted to bless this Tribe: The Alexandrian MS. of the Septuagint reads the 6th Verse thus. Let Reuben live and not die, and let the Men of Simeon be many, or not sew. The Word Simeon awas aurote in this Verse by Moses; but the Copyvits have omitted it by Mistake in transcribing. (m) Deut. xxxiv. I. (u) ver. 4. (w) ver. 5. (x) ver. 7. (y) ver. 8. (z) ver. 6.

erected to distinguish his Grave, in a few Az s the particular Place of it was for-

gotten (a).

After so large an Account as I have given of the several Transactions that Mofes was concerned in, the Reader must greatly anticipate me in what I might attempt to offer upon his Conduct and Character. He was remarkably eminent in a high Station of Life; had a great Share of Power and Authority; an absolute Command of above 600000 Men fit to bear Arms, besides their Families; and he was advanced to this Dignity not from any Schemes of his own Politics and Ambition; not from any accidental Success of Arms; not from the Heats and Chances which commonly give rife to and direct a popular Choice; but by the spe-

⁽a) The Hebrew Writers have had many Fancies coneerning the Death and Burial of Moses, Vid. Joseph. Antiq. Lib. 4. cap. 48. Philo Jud de vit. Mosis 1. 3. And the present Text of the xxxivth Chapter of Deut.ver. 6. may feem to give some Handle for them: It is there written [ויקבר אתו] vejekabber aotho, i. e. And he buried him, as if Moses was not buried by human Hands, but by God himfelf, and in a Place unknown to the Israelites: But the LXX render the Place, Kai ESalar autor, not he buried him, but they buried him: The ancient original Hebrew Text was, Ishould think, undoubtedly [17] in the Plural Number, and the Transcribers inadvertently dropt the final Letter. The Israelites were the Persons who buried Moles, and the Remark added to the End of the Verse only bints, that no Monument having been erected over him, the Place where he was buried was not certainly known at the Time when the xxxivth Chapter of Deuteronomy was written. cial

cial Command and Appointment of God himself: And herein to use the Hint of Philo (b), He acted in a Post above any thing of this World, was superior in Character to the most exalted of those, who conduct the Defigns of the greatest Princes of the Earth; for he was the immediate Minister of Almighty God to a chosen People, and he behaved himself so well in the Discharge of the Trust committed to him, as to be honoured with this Testimony from his great Master, that he was faithful to him that appointed him in all his House (c): If we consider the Administration of Moses, we shall, from the manner of it, see all Reason to conclude, that no Views of his own, but an absolute Submission and Adherence to the Will of God revealed to him, must have directed him in all the feveral Parts of it; for what was the private Advantage either to himself or to his Family, that he endeavoured to acquire from all his Labours? He had two Sons, Gershom and Eliezer; but we do not find, that in forming the Jewish Polity he made any particular Provision for either of them: His Sons were of the Chil-

(c) Numb. xii. 7.

dren

ther of them: His Sons were of the Chil
km from them

(b) Διαφιείντως τιμήσας τὸν ἡγεμόνα το παντὸς, τὸ ἀντιτιμή τὰ ἀντῶς τιμή τὰ ἀρμότη το σορῶ τος πρώς τὰ πεὸς ἀλήθειαν ἀν: Philo. de Vir. Moss. 1. 3.

dren of Levi, and as Levites had their appointed Courses in the Work and Service of the Tabernacle (d), but no Privileges above other Levites, the Priesthood was fettled upon the Family of Aaron (e): As Moses had the supreme Direction of the civil Magistracy during his Life, had he conducted his Measures by the private Rules of his own Wisdom, is it probable that he would have given away at his Death the Command of the People both from his own and from his Brother's Family, into another Tribe, to Joshua the Son of Nun of the Tribe of Ephraim (1)? Where are Instances of such a Resignation to be found in the World? When indeed Alexander the great was to die, and was follicited to name his Successor, he is faid to have made no Provision for any of his own Family; but to have declared it to be his Will, that the most worthy of it should have his Kingdom (g): I cannot but question what is thus reported; for *Plutarch*, who has been very exact in collecting the Circumstances of *Alexander*'s Death, informs us, that he was speechless before the Persons came to him, to whom others relate him to have

⁽d) 1 Chron, xxiii. 14. (e) Exod. xl. 12.—15. See Numb. xvi. 9, 10, 40. (f) Numb. xiii. 8. Deut. xxxi. (g) Quint Curtii Hift. I. 10. Arrian de Expedit. Alexand. I. 7. Diodor. Sic. Hift. I. 17.

made this Disposition (b); altho', if he did make it, it is obvious that not a Disengagement of his private Affections to his own Family, but a true Sense of the Temper of his Army and the State of his Affairs might lead him to it: He knew his extensive Empire was not so well established, as to be likely to descend to his Heirs; but that at his Death the Generals, who had commanded in his Armies, and had a Place in his Councils, would form Parties, and divide his Acquifitions (bb), and he had no time to fettle the Claims of their feveral Pretensions; but could only wish them all well, and the best Success to the most deserving: But Moles's Affairs were in another Situation: If the Will of God had not been his Direction, he might have appointed himself a Successor, and the Person recommended by his Nomination, would, humanly speaking, have been as unanimoufly received and fubmitted to by the People as Joshua himself.

There are many Particulars, that to a thinking Person must abundantly prove Moses's Conduct in leading the Israelites

⁽b) Vid. Plutarch. in Vit. Alexand. ad fin. (bb) Curtius tays Quærentibus cui relinqueret Regnum, respondit, Ei qui esset optimus: cæterûm prævidere jam, ob id certamen, magnos funebres Ludos parari tibi. Hist. 1. 10. c. 6. Vid. Arrian. de Expedit. Alex. lib. 7. Diodor. Sic. lib. 17. p. 625.

to have been directed by an immediate Revelation: It is not likely, that he should of his own head, when he left Egypt, have made the March, which he led the People, to the Red-Sea (i); much less would he without a divine Command have had a Thought of attempting for forty Years together such Dangers and Difficulties as the Wilderness exposed him to, and out of which he could foresee no Escape, but by miraculous Deliverances. The March of Alexander the Great over the Sands of Libya to the Temple of Jupiter Ammon has been varioufly cenfured as a very (k) wild Expedition; tho' certainly a March attempted and performed with the greatest Dispatch, could be but one fingle Trial at most, of what Moses habituated the Israelites to for forty Years together: Befides, Alexander had an Aim visible enough, and political (1), to tempt him to his Undertaking; but if we fet aside the divine Command, Moses could have no Pretence for harafling and endangering his People with fuch per-

⁽i) See Vol. 2. B. 9. (k) See Prideaux Connect. Part 1. B. 7. (l) Illud pene Risu dignum suit, quod Hermolaus possulabat me (Jaya Alexander) ut aversarer Jovem, cujus oraculo cognoscor: An etiam quid Dii recipendeant in mea potestate est? Obtulit nomen Filli, mihi recipere ipsis Rebus quas agimus non alienum suit: Utinam Indi quoq; me Deum offe credum: Fama enim bella constant, et supe quod sussulation creditum est, veri vicem obtinuit. Curtius lib. 8. c. 8.

petual Extremities. We find many of the Princes of the Congregation thought Mo-Jes's Conduct so palpably contradictory to all Rules of human Prudence, that they remonstrated it to be the greatest Blindness for the People to be any further led

on by him (m).

It may perhaps be fuggested, that Mofes's detaining the People fo long in the Wilderness, might be to discipline them, to inure them to Hardships; to give them a various Experience, that Dangers and Difficulties, which at first fight seem in-Superable, may by Patience and good Conduct be born and conquered: And that he marched the Israelites here no longer than until he had formed them to a competent Skill and Courage for the Conquest of Canaan: That the Wilderness was a Place well suited for his thus exercifing his Army, affording him a fecure Retreat from the Attacks of all Nations, and Opportunities to try the Temper and Courage of the Israelites daily with the Appearances of various Dangers, into which he might lead them as far as he thought proper, and retire whenever he thought it expedient to attempt no further: But what may be thus intimated, cannot possibly be allowed, un-

⁽m) Numb. xvi. 14.

less it can be proved, that the I/raelites could have subsisted in those Desarts, if they had not had the miraculous Supply, which God was pleased to give them from Heaven (n): The Camp which Moses led was, Men, Women and Children, a Body of about two or three Millions of People, and a Country both of large Extent and great Plenty, must at first sight appear necessary to bear and to maintain them: But the Wilderness was a Land of Drought, and of the Shadow of Death, a. Land, where a parched Turf and withered Shrubs, must, to any one that should enter it, give a perpetual Picture of Decay. and Desolation: It was a Land, to use the Words of the Prophet, which no Man passed through, and where no Man dwelt (nn): And if God had not directed it, it is not to be conceived that Moses could have projected to have sustained and kept together such an Host as he led in fo unpromifing a Country. Befides; If what is above offered was the Reason of the Incampments in the Wilderness, how shall we account for Moses's not attempting to enter Canaan, upon his having as promifing an Opportunity to all human Appearance, as he could ever hope for? When the Spies returned from

⁽n) Exod. xvi. (nn) Jer. ii. 6.

fearching the Land (0), it was the Opinion of some, that the Israelites were able to conquer it, if they would march with Courage and Refolution to attack it (p); others indeed were of another Mind, and were for returning back to Egypt again (q): There was great Heat and Debate in the Camp upon this Subject (r); but at last, after Moses had at large remonstrated to them, they were all willing to make the Attempt, nay, and fo refolutely bent upon it, that all he could fay against it, could not prevent their die h marching (s). And now would not one flatter think the Camp spirited up to a Temper, such as a wife General would haveor me wished for, and made use of? But we please find Moses acted a Part directly contrary? to what in human Prudence might have been expected from him: He affured the People, that no Attempt they should now make would be crowned with Success; that forty Years must pass before they should be able to enter the Land(t): Will it be here faid, that probably Moses judged very wifely of his Army; that he well knew the Courage they pretended, to be no more than a sudden Heat; and that it would not support him thro'

Aa3 i

⁽a) Numb. xiii. 25. (b) Ver. 30. (a) Ver. 31. xiv. 4. (b) Ver. 6.—10. (b) Ver. 41, 44. (c) Numb. xiv. 33.

the War that was before him, and that many Years Discipline was really neceffary to form them for greater things, than they were yet capable of, before he could hope to reduce by them fo many and fuch warlike Nations as possessed Canaan, and that therefore he affigned them forty Years to fit them for it? But furely if this had been his Purpose, a shorter Respite might have answered his Intentions, and above all things, he would never have denounced, that all the Men of War, that were then the Strength and Flower of the Camp, must be brought down to their Graves, before he could hope to be able to attempt, what was the Defign of their Expedition: But this was what Moses without any Reserve now offered to them: As truly as I live, faith the Lord, your Carcases shall fall in this Wilderness, and all that were numbred of you, according to your whole Number, from twenty Years old and upwardsdoubtless ye shall not come into the Landyour Carcases, they shall fall in this Wilderness (u). Here now is a View of things for a wife General to pretend to offer to his whole Army: to affure almost every Man amongst them capable of bearing Arms, that he had now no Hope of

please , "

⁽u) Numb. xiv. 28, 29, 30, 32.

bringing them to any good End of all their Labours; but that the only thing he could pretend to for them, was to carry them about, for forty Years together, from Difficulty to Difficulty, and to bury them in the Defart: God indeed might appoint them this Punishment for their Disobedience (w), and Moses in confidence of an almighty Support, might fecurely pronounce their Doom to them. and the People convinced that it was God's Appointment, might fubmit to it; but unless we allow all this, what General would have shocked a whole Army in this manner, or have suffered any Attempt to have fuch Impressions made upon them? For what could fuch a View of things naturally produce, but numerous Tumults, Mutinies, and a total Defection?

Our modern Deists are indeed ready to allow Moses the Character of a great and wise Man; to suppose him far superior in all points of Science to any of, or to all the People under his Direction, and they imagine him to have given Laws to the Israelites, and to have formed their Commonwealth with great Art and Address; but to have had no more divine Assistance towards it, than Minos, Numa, Lycurgus, or other samous Legislators of

⁽w) Numb. xiv. 23, 25, 30, 32. A a 4.

the Heathen World: All these were as highly thought of by their Followers as Moles by his I/raelites (x), and they all pretended to have been ravoured with Revelations from Heaven, in order to create a Reverence of their Establishments amongst their People, and some of them are recorded to have been supported with Miracles in their Undertakings: They were wise and learned Men: They gave every Appearance an artful Turn, and made the ordinary Course of Nature seem full of Miracles to Persons of inserior Understandings, for the carrying forward their Purposes amongst

them.

⁽x) Πέσσα, φασί, πρώτον αγραπίοις νόμοις χείσαιδζ τὰ πλήθη διες τον Mediles, ardpa κ τη Δυχη μέγαν, κή τω δίω κοινότατον των μνημονα ομένως προσπικθήναι ή αυτώ τὸν "Ερμίω δεδωκέναι τέτες, ώς μεγάλων αγ . วิอัง ฉังราย: อังอนุย์ของ. หลาวส์สอุด สอุด "Ελλησι ποιήσαι Quoiv en per Th Kenta Mirwa Ded 3 Aanedaquoriois Λυκκεγονο τον μέν σεά Διός, τον ή παρ Ατόλλωνος φήσαν α τέτες Εληγέναι η παρ έτερεις δε πλείοσιν έθνεσι Ερμβέβοται τότο το γένος της όπικοίας υπάρξαι, में मार्गिमा वंत्रवर्ष वाराश प्राहित् गार्ड मार्थित केरे के Mèv & rois 'Apquamois Zulpan lu isoperi rèv à que Dor Dainina meganoinsant Tes vours auto Sisovar, τρά ή τοις ονομαζομένοις Γεταις Ζάμολζιν ασάυτως The routed Estar, and j rois Induious Meonie rov Ιοω οπιαλεμένον θεόν είτε θαυμας είν κή θείαν όλως έννοιαν είναι κείναν ας των μέλλεσαν ώς ελήσειν ανθρώπων สภัพิวิธรุ सँग्ह น้ำ ของร ชโนม วัชีอาทิง น้ำ ชิเมลุนเง ชมัง δίρεν λεγομένων τες νόμες αποβλέψαν α τον ογλον, μάλλον υπακέσαλζ διαλαβόνλας. Diodor. Sic. Lib. 1. p. 59.

them. Quintus Curtius informs us, that Alexander the Great erected over his own Pavilion an artificial Signal, to give notice for a Decampment of his Army; that it was contrived of Materials, so as to be conspicuous in the Day-time by a great Smoke iffuing from it, that in the Nighttime it appeared to be on Fire (y); a modern Writer infinuates the Pillar of the Cloud and of Fire, which directed the Marches of the Israelites (2), to have been a Contrivance of Moses of a like Nature: Others have intimated it to have been no greater Miracle, than the Pillar of Light, which conducted Thrafybulus and his Followers from Phyla(a): But in Answer hereto let us consider,

1. That if Moles has recorded nothing but what was real Fact, it must be undeniably evident, that the Hand of God

+ capt of atherian, who beat it is tyrant, out of eit, o entowed it. Whetin - 380 or 300 B.G.

⁽y) Tuba, cum castra movere vellet, signum dabat: cujus sonus plerumque tumultuantium fremitu exoriente haud
saus exaudiebatur: Ergo Perticam, que undique conspici
posset, supra Prætorium statut, ex quê signum eminebat
pariter omnibus conspicuum: Observabatur Ignis noctu,
Pumus interdiu. Quint. Cart. lib. 5. c. 2. (α) Exod.
xl. 38. (α) ᾿Αλλα κ Θεσσυδάλω τὸς ἐμπεσόγλας
κατ ἀνλῶς καταγαγούρι κ) Εκλημένω λαθείν, εύλος όδηγὸς γίνεται διὰ τῶν ἀτριδῶν ἱόνηι τῷ Θεσσυδέλω
νύπτως, ἀσελίνας κ) δυρμμεθές τὸ κατασήματω γεγν
νότω τῶν ἐωρᾶτο περοηγέμενον, ὅπερ ἀυτὰς ἀπθαίςως
προπέμιλαν, κατά τω Μανυγίαν Κέλιπεν, ἔνθα νῶν ὁ
τὸ φωσφέρα Εωμὸς ὅξι. Clem. Alexand. Stromat. I. 1.
p. 418. Edit. Oxon.

was most miraculously employed in leading the Israelites out of Egypt, in giving their Law, in conducting them thro' the Wilderness, and in bringing them into Canaan. If the Miracles were wrought in the Land of Egypt, and the Judgments executed upon Pharaob and his People, as Moses has related (b): If the Red-Sea was really divided before the I/raelites, and Pharach and his Host drowned in it, as Moses has recorded (c): If a miraculous Supply of Food was given daily to the Israelites in the Wilderness for forty Years together (d): If God did indeed speak to them in an audible Voice from Heaven (e): If their Laws were given as Moses informs us(f): If their Tabernacle was directed, and when finished, if a Cloud covered the Tent, and the Glory of the Lord filled the Tabernacle, and rested upon it in a Cloud by Day, and in Fire by Night (g): If this Cloud removed visibly to conduct their Journeyings (b): If the many Oppositions of the People were miraculously punished in the several Manners related to us(i), and the Miracles that are recorded, were wrought to testify the divine Ap-

⁽b) Exod. vii, viii, ix, x, xi, xii. (c) xiv. (d) xvi. 35. (e) xix, xx. Deut. iv. 12, 33, 36. (f) Exod. ubi lup. Deut. v, &c. (g) Exod. xxxv. xl. 34. (b) Ver. 38. (i) Numb. xi, xii. xiv. xvi. xxi. xxv, &c.

pointment of the Institution enjoined, when the People would have varied from them (k): If a Prophet even of another Nation, corrupt in the Inclination of his Heart, and tempted by great Offers to speak Evil of this People, was by very aftonishing Miracles prevented from declaring any thing about them diverse from what Moses had represented to be the Purpose of God towards them (1): If all these, and other things of a like nature, that might be enumerated, were really and truly done, as Moses has related, well might he call Heaven and Earth to witness for him (m); well might he observe, that no fuch things had ever been done for any Nation (n); and we who read them, cannot but conclude from them, that the Power of God did indeed miraculously interest it self in the appointing the Law and Polity of this People, and in conducting them to their Settlement in the promised Land.

II. That the Facts recorded by Moses, hit had were really done, as he relates them, must be allowed by any one that considers, that Moses wrote his Books in the very Age in have which the things he records were done, happened? to be read by the very Persons, who had feen and known the Facts to be true,

⁽k) Levit. x. Numb. xvi, xvii, &c. (m) Deut. xxx. 19. (n) iv. 33, 34.

⁽¹⁾ xxiii, xxiv.

which are recorded by him; that they might testify, and transmit their Sense of the Truth of them to their Posterity. And this is a material Circumstance, in which the Reports we have of the heathen Miracles are greatly deficient: Clemens Alexandrinus relates, that I bralybulus led his Company under the Guidance of a Pillar of Light in the Heavens (0); but Clemens Alexandrinus lived above fix hundred Years after the Time of this supposed Fact: Upon what Authority he related it we are not fold; but we find no fuch Prodigy recorded in the best heathen Writers, who, had it been Fact, would furely have made mention of it. Xenophon (p), Diodorus Siculus (q), Cornelius Nepos (r) have related this Expedition of Thrasybulus; but none of them mention any fuch Miracle affiftant to him; fo that we have all reason to think there was none fuch; but that Clemens Alexandrinus was imposed upon in the Account he received of it. And this is generally true of the Miracles reported in Heathen Hiftory: Subsequent Writers, after large Intervals of Time, tell us things faid to have been done, but without sufficient Vouchers to attest the Facts related by them: Whereas Mojes wrote of the things in which himself had been the

⁽a) Stromat. 1. 1. (b) Vid. Histor. Græc. 1. 2. (c) Diodor. Histor. 1. 14. (c) Cornel. Nep. in vit. Thrafybuli.

chief Agent, and required his Books to be repeatedly read and confidered over and over (s) by the very Persons who had feen and known the Truth of what he wrote, as clearly and fully as himself, in order to have the Facts recorded by him go down attested to be true to the succeeding Generations; fo that Moles could not falfify the Facts related by him, unless the Generation he liv'd in, concurred with him in a Defign to impose upon their Descendants in all these Matters; or were fo over-reached and deceived by his fuperior Skill and Management, as to be made believe, that they had feen and lived in a most surprizing Scene of Things, which, all the time, were really not done in the manner they were taught to conceive and imagine. But,

III. If we consider the Nature and Manner of the Miracles, that bare Teftimony to Moles's Administration, it is headlest impossible to conceive the Israelites deceived in them: They could never have here here been led on, and for fo long a time, in leine of an imaginary Belief of fuch things as Moses had recorded, if either the things ? mesaele were not done, or not done as he has related them. As to the Signs and Prodigies offered by the Heathen Writers to give a Sanction to the Foundations of their

⁽s) Deut. xxxi. 10.

⁺ But hea - mouna - Sinai - Serpents Lucils - Water from Nork - Pilla

Kingdoms, we may generally fee, that the very Writers which report them, did not believe them (t), and that they were known Artifices of their great Legislators, calculated only to have Weight upon their Populaces; but in no wife supported against the Objections, that a thinking Person might easily find to offer to them. When Romulus died, the Roman Historians tell us, that he was taken up into Heaven (u); but we do not find that they ever had fuch Proofs of his Assumption, as to prevent a Suspicion of his being murdered, in the Age when his Death happened. or to cause After-ages to give full Credit to what they attempted to have believed about it (x). In like manner; when he was created King, we are told, that a divine Approbation, discovering it felf by an auspicious Lightning, attended his Inauguration (y), and that it was an Institution appointed to be for ever obferved amongst the Romans, that no Perfon should be admitted to command the People, unless the Gods by fuch Sign from Heaven should confirm the Election

(z): But

⁽t) Vid. Liv. Hift. Præfat. (u) Liv. Lib. 1. c. 16. Dionyf. Ha ic. Antiq. Rom. I. 2. c. 56. Plutarch. in Romul. (x) Fuiffe credo tum quoque aliquos, qui difcerptum Regem Patrum manibus tacitt arguerent: Manavite nim harc quoque fed perobleura Fama. Liv. ubi fup. Dionyf. Halicar. & Plutarch. in Romul. in loc. fupra citat. (y) Dionyf. Halicar. Lib. 2. c. 5.

(z): But Dionysius of Halicarnassus is, I think, the only Writer that reports the Roman Magistracies to have had the Countenance of fuch a Confirmation, and he confesses their Elections in his Time to have fallen a great deal short of it (a); for he tells us, that at their Elections a publick Augur was to declare the expected Lightning to have happen'd, whether any Appearance of it had been feen or no (b); Plutarch feems to have thought all that was offered about these (bb) Lightnings to have been fabulous: And if we consider, how uncertain it is whether Dionyfius had any good Vouchers to support what he writes to have been the Facts of those Times (c), we shall have just Reason to imagine, that the most early Elections of the Roman Magistrates had no more a divine Sanction, than the mere Moderns, and that what Dionysius relates about them, was one of those Fictions, with which the Heathens endeavoured to

⁽π) Halicar. Lib. 2. c. 6.
(α) Πεπαυται δ' όν τοϊς καθ' ἡμᾶς χρόνοις * πλω οἶον ἐκάν τις ἀυτῖς λέπεται, τῆς ὅς ἰας ταὐτης ἔνεκα χινομένη * Id. Ibid.
(b) Τῶν ἢ παρόιθων τινὰς ὀριθοσκόπων μιθθυ ἀν πᾶ δημοσία φερέμενοι, ἀς ραπω ἀυτοῖς μλωύων ρασὶν ἀκ τὰ ἀριςερῶν τλω ὰ γενομένην Id. ibid.
(b) Τᾶυτα μὲν ἕν τὰ μυθώδη τὰ γελοῖα τλω τ τότε ἀνθράπων ἀπθέκνυθ διάθεσιν περς τὸ δῶν, μῶ δὲδισμὸς ἀυτοῖς ενεποίησεν. Plut. in Numa. p. 70.
(c) Vid. Liv. Hitt. Lib. 6. c. 1.

give a Lustre to their ancient Institutions (d). In like manner, when Numa was to form the Religion of the Romans, he affected a rural and retired Life, was much alone, and pretended to have many Conversations with a Deity who instructed him in his Institutions (e); but it is obvious to remark, that he gave his People no other Evidence of his having been affisted by a divine Presence, than the Testimony of his own saying it (f): And in this Way we may observe of the Cretan Minos, of the Lycurgus of the Lacedemonians, of the Arismaspian Zathraustes, and of the Getan Zamolxis, compared with Moles by Diodorus (g); they were all faid to have had the Will of their Gods revealed to them; but there is fo little Appearance of Proof of what is thus faid, that Plutarch's Observation cannot but be allowed to be true of them (b), they

⁽d) Datur hæc Venia Antiquitati, ut mi'cendo humana divinis, Primordia urbium augultiora faciat. Liv. Præf, ad Hilt.l.r. (e) Vid. Plutarch. in Numa. p. 61, 62. Omnium pr.mum rem ad multitudinem imperitam, et ills feculis efficacificmam, Deorum merum irjiciendum ratus eft: Qui quum descendere ad animos sine aliquo Commento Miraculi non possiet, simulat sibi cum Deâ Egerià congressus nocturnos esse, gius se monitu, qua acceptissima Diis essent tacra instituere. Liv Hist. 1 1. c. 19 (f) Vid. Plut. Liv. Dionys: Halicarn. ubi sup. (g) Diodor. Sic. Hist. L. 1. p. 59, (b) 'Ous'è 38 ἄττεςες λόγως έχει τι σαύλου, ον ωρε Λυκέςγε τι Ναίλου είνδρων λέγκου, κές δυσκάθεκται κ) δυσάρεςα αλήθη χειρέμετοι, τι μεγάλους για διακάθεκται κ) δυσάρεςα αλήθη χειρέμετοι, τι μεγάλος για διακάθεκται κ) δυσάρεςα αλήθη χειρέμετοι, τι μεγάλους για διακάθεκται κ) δυσάρεςα αλήθη χειρέμετοι, τι μεγάλος για διακάθεκται κ) δυσάρεςα αλήθη χειρέμετοι, τι μεγάλους για διακάθεται και και διακάθεται και δ

they pretended to Revelations, in order to be better able to manage their People, tho' in truth no Revelations had been made to them: But we cannot fay thus of Moses; for Moses did not, after their Manner, pretend to his Jews, as Diodorus expresses it (i), that the God Jao gave him his Laws; but he made an open Appeal to the Senses of all the Thousands of them, whether they did not all of them abundantly know it to be fo as well as he. The Lord our God, faid he, made a Covenant with us in Horeb. The Lord made not this Covenant with our Fathers, but with us, even us, who are all of us here alive this Day. The Lord talked with you Face to Face in the Mount out of the midst of the Fire (k). If Moses had only told his Israelites, that their God had appeared to him in private, and given him the Laws which he recommended to them: or if he had only related to them a confused Account of some Signs and Prodigies known only to himself, and believed by them upon his reporting them, Mojes and the Heathen Legislators might

γάλας εποέροντες τους πολιτέαις καινοτομίας προσ:-जार्गाणकारित गीर्फ बेनरे विश्व रिट्सिंग, बेग्नाई टेमलंग्डाइ कर्नेड वर्णंड Egnuari Corlo owrheior Boar Plut. in Num. p. 62.

⁽i) Περτποιήσαλ τες νόμες αυτώ διδόναι παρά τοις 'Ita Salous Moone ner Ias connansureror Sier Diodor, Sic. ubi sup. (k) Deuter. v. 2 - 4.

indeed be compared to one another; but the Circumstances of Moses's Administration are of another fort: And as they are fo, to fay, that Moses could make a Camp of above 600000 grown up Men, besides the Women and Children, believe they heard the Voice of God out of the midst of the Fire (1), if they did not hear it; that he could, Day after Day, and Week after Week, for about forty Years together, make them all believe, that he gave them Bread from Heaven, calling the Heads of all their Families every Day to fuch a particular Method of gathering it, as must make them all intimately acquainted with all the Circumstances of it (m), if all the time he did not really give them Bread from Heaven, but only pretended it: To fay, that he could in like manner, not once or twice upon an Accident, but for the long space of Time above-mentioned, for near forty Years together, upon every Movement of the Camp, make the whole People believe they faw a miraculous Pillar of Light directing their Marches, or abiding in a Cloud of Glory upon their Tabernacle, when they were not to journey (n); if all the while no fuch thing was real, and Moles had only made fome artificial Beacon, of

⁽¹⁾ Deut. iv. 11—16. (m) See Exod. xvi. (n) xl. 34—38.

which the Israelites did not know the Contrivance and Composition (nn). To fay these and other things of a like nature, in order to infinuate the Miracles that attended the Israelites in the Wilderness, to be like the Heathen Wonders, pretended only but not real, must be to: fay the most incredible Things in the World: If Moses had been an Impostor, he would never have attempted fuch Miracles, nor have been so hardy as to venture his Artifices in fo open a Light, and to daily Examination for fo many Years together, of fo many hundreds of thousands of People; or if he could have been fo romantick as to hazard the exposing them to so many such unlimited and repeated Trials, he must have been but a weak and rash Man, and consequently have come off many times detected and defeated, unless we can think his Israelites to have been a Camp of the most care-

⁽nn) A Beacon of this fort is faid to have been made, and fet up over the royal Tent in Alexander's Army:
Quint. Curtius in loc. tupra citat. And as Alexander's Forces were not at most above 35000. See Prideaux Connect. Part I. B. VII. it is conceivable that such a Light might be an useful Signal to a Camp of that Bigness; but the Camp of the Itraclices consisted of many bundreds of thousands of People, and must have extended it self over many Miles of the Country, whenever they pitched it, and what one artificial Light could have been either formed or managed, consssing of a Body of Fire of a Size sufficient to be seen and recognized in every Quarter of so great a Nation of People?

less and inconsiderate People, blindly devoted to receive implicitly whatever he told them they saw, without opening their Eyes, or making any Trial, whether the Things he told them were so or no. But this cannot be pretended. For:

IV. If we look into the Conduct of the Israelites, where do we find them generally disposed to an implicit Belief of Moses?

To Did they not rather examine every thing

the offered in the strictest manner, and en-Mous deavour indefatigably to oppose him in . The wantevery Part of his Administration? They were but three Days over the Red-Sea, before they murmured against him at Marab (0), and tho' they were here miraculously relieved by him (p), yet at Elim they appear to have had but little Expectation, that he could lead them any further (q): When the Manna was given, and the particular Injunctions communicated for the Method of gathering it, what Disposition do we find in the People either to believe what Moses had told them, or to obey what he had directed? They bearkned not unto Moses, but left of the Manna until the Morning, and it bred Worms and flank (r): And on the

feventh Day, some of the People went out to gather Manna, but they found none (s).

⁽c) Exed. xv. 22, 24. (p) ver. 25. (q) xvi. 3. (r) ver. 20. (s) ver. 27.

At Rephidim, when they wanted Water, they were ready to stone him (t), and tho' at Sinai the Wonders that were feen and heard there, feemed at first to have made a deep Impression, yet it was not long before they were led away by their own Imaginations into Idolatry (u): They were diffatisfied at Taberah, even tho' the miraculous Direction of the Cloud had led them thither (w), and fo mutinous at Kibroth-hattaavah, that Moses found himfelf unequal to the Labour of bearing up against their Oppositions, and begged to have a Number of Persons appointed to asfift him in endeavouring to promote amongst them a better Temper (x); a Work so far from having a promising Appearance, that two of the Persons nominated to it would fain have declined it, had they not been encouraged by a Miracle to undertake it (y): When the People came to Kadesh, and might have entred Canaan, how averse were they to every thing that Moses would have directed, tho' they had the most reasonable Application in the World made to them, to induce them to hope for Success in their Undertaking (2)? But afterwards, when by a most obstinate Opposition they had

⁽t) Exod. xvii. 4. (u) xix. xx. xxiv. xxxii. See Book xi. (w) Numb. xi. i. (x) ver. 14. (y) ver. 26. (z) xiv. 7, 9.

incurred the divine Displeasure, and were warned by Mojes, that their Attempt would furely fail, then nothing could prevent their marching to a Defeat from their Enemies (a). In the Rebellion of Korah, two hundred and fifty Princes of the Congregation were engaged (b), and the Defection was so obstinate, that even the miraculous : Destruction of Korab and all his Company could not quell it; but on the Morrow, the Congregation appeared in a new Ferment, and accused Moses and Aaron of having killed the Lord's People (c): fourteen thousand were hereupon taken off by a Pestilence, before the Camp could be brought into any Temper (d), and another most furprizing Miracle was wrought before they came to have a due Sense of their Folly (dd): And now what Opposition could the most enterprizing of our modern Deists have made to Moses, which his I/raelites did not make to him, or what Measures were omitted, that could posfibly have been taken to make the utmost Trial of his Strength and Authority in every Part of his Administration? I might add to all this, that we never find Mojes to have had any confiderable human Confederacy to abet and support him:

⁽a) Numb. xiv. (b) xvi. (c) ver. 41. (d) ver. 49. (dd) xvii. 1—10.

In their Turns all Tribes and Orders of his People were hot in opposing him, and his nearest Relations, his Brother and Sifter, Aaron and Miriam, whenever they thought they had a Pretence for it, were as ready as any others to withstand and condemn him (e), and were fo politive in their Contradiction to him, that nothing less than a Miracle could filence them (f): A confiderable Part of his own Tribe headed the fiercest Mutiny that was ever raised against him; and can it be thought, after all these things, that if Moses had depended upon Artifice, and Measures concerted between him and fome Partizans, to impose upon the People, some or other of these Defections would not have brought the Secret into open Light, and have exposed it to the whole Congregation? But instead of this, throughout all his Administration, we see an evident Series of the clearest Miracles most openly performed, to give him Weight amongst the People; and whenever they either would not attend to him, or conspired to oppose him, then the divine Vengeance appeared in fupport of him, and gave the Congregation no other Choice, but to obey, or be confumed with dying (g).

⁽e) Numb. xii. (f) ver. 10. (g) xvii. 12, 13.

V. Will it be here remarked, that Mofes did not finish the writing his Books, nor order the reading them, until the Generation, with whom he had so much Opposition, were all in their Graves; that perhaps the Children of these Men, being upon the Borders of the Land of Promise, when Mojes delivered his Books to them, and warm with hopes of seeing at last an End of all their Labours, might be willing not to begin new Contests to embarrass their Affairs, but for Peace and Quiet's fake even confent to let him give what Account he would of what was past, tho' they might know the Substance of what he wrote, not to have been transacted in the manner recorded by him? I answer: If this were true, should we not have found the Israelites, when Moses was dead and gone, not over-fond of paying, and obliging their Posterity for ever to pay a most facred Regard to all that he had left in Writing to be transmitted to them? The Account, which Moses left of their Journeyings in the Wilderness, if it was not true in Fact, was a most provoking Libel upon every Family except one or two of the whole People; More, that their Fathers had all been a stubborn and a rebellious Generation, a Generation, a deneration, would not set their Hearts aright, for how firongly does it represent to them,

flattering than? no the!

rany families otymatized!

nor have their Spirit stedfast with God (b): At the first Entrance upon forming the Jewish Polity, the Name of every Male of twenty Years old of the whole People was taken down after their Families, by the House of their Fathers after their Poll (i): And this was again done almost forty Years after in the Plains of Moab, when all the Persons except four, whose Names had been taken in the former Poll. were dead (k); so that Moses left them a most clear Account, of whom every one of them was descended: And the keeping and filling up their Genealogies was necessary in their Polity, for ascertaining to each Family and Member of it, the Inheritance in the Land that was feverally to belong to them; and can we now think that under these Circumstances they should all agree to a Man, to have Moses record with Infamy the immediate Father of almost every one of them; that in Afterages, when their Posterity should look back unto him that begat them, they might be told they were descended from one, who had been a Rebel against their God, and was cut off for his Iniquity? The Children of Korab were alive when Moses delivered his Books; for we have a

⁽b) See Exod. xxxii. 21. Numb. xiv. 28, 29. xx. 10. Deut. i. 35. ii. 14, 15, 16. Pfalm lxxviii. 8. (i) Numb. i. 2. (k) xxvi.

Line of this Family continued down from Korab and his Son to the Times of Solomon (1); and is it to be imagined that this Family could have fuffered an Account, fo sprodigious in all its Circumstances, of the Rebellion and Destruction of Korab and all his Company, as that which Mofes has given (m), to go down without Contradiction to all Posterity; if they had not known the whole and every Circumstance of it, to have been undeniably true, and notorious to the whole Congregation? Men are, I might almost fay, born with Sentiments of more Honour and Respect for those of whom they are descended; and it is not to be conceived that a Man of that excellent Temper, which Moses was of (n), should offer; or any Nation of People receive and adhere to fuch an Account of their Ancestors as Moses gave the I/raelites, if the Truth of what he recorded had not been unquestionably known and confirmed to all of them. When Romulus the first King of the Romans became ungracious to his People, and probably fell a Sacrifice to some secret Conspiracy (0); tho' the unsettled State of their infant Constitution was not thought strong enough to have the real Sentiments, which

⁽¹⁾ See 1 Chronic. vi. 33 to 38. (m) Numb. xvi. (n) Numb. xvii. 3. (s) Vid. Liv. Hift. Dionyf. Halicarn. Plutarch. in Romul.

the Senate had of him, laid open to the People, but it was reputed good Policy to have an honourable Account of him go down to all Posterity (p); yet we do not find, that they took care to give an unalterable Sanction to his Institutions, or affected to have him thought the fole Founder of their Polity and Religion; but rather, the more amiable Prince that fucceeded him, had the Reputation of completing what Romulus had attempted, and of giving a Fulness and Perfection to every Part of their Constitution (q). And fomething of this fort we should have found of Moles, if he had died in any Difrepute with his People: But inftead hereof, after he was gone, the Ifraelites abundantly testified of him, that his Succeffor was not equal to him(r): And the Generation to whom he had given his Books, took the utmost Care to perform every Part of what he had enjoined (s). It was known amongst their Enemies, that his Directions were the Rule of all their Treaties (t): And they themselves looked at every Event of their Wars as a Completion of what Moses had foretold to

⁽p) Deum, Deâ natum, Regem, Parentemq; Urbis Romane salvere Universi Romulum jubent: Facem Precibus exposcunt, ubi volens propitius suam semper sospitet Progeniem. Liv. lio. 1. c. 16.
Halicay. Plutarch. in Numâ.
(7) Vid. Liv. Dionyf.
(7) Deut. xxxiv. 10. (r) Josh. viii. 35. (t) Josh. ix. 24.

them (u): They fully ratified every thing he had done (w), paid the utmost Deference to any private Claims founded upon any thing, that he had faid (x): They made all their Settlements according to what he had prescribed (y), and observed of all their Acquirements, that they had fucceeded in them according to all that he had recorded (2), and they warned their Posterity, that if ever they departed from doing all that was written in the Book of his Law, to turn aside therefrom to the right Hand or to the left (a), that they would furely fall under the Difpleasure of God, and have all the Evils come upon them which he had in fuch Case pronounced against them (b): And thus there appears all possible Evidence. that the Men to whom Moses delivered what he wrote, were so far from having a Disbelief or Doubt of what he had recorded, that they took a most abundant Care to have, as I might fay, no Part of it fall to the Ground: We do not find, that in any one thing they added to it (c), neither did they diminish ought from it (d), not even the disadvantageous Account he had given of their Fathers, as is evident from the Appeal of their

⁽x) Joih. xi. 20. (w) xii. 6, 7. xiii. (x) xiv. 5 to 15. (y) xx. xxi. (z) xxi. 44, 45. xxiii. 14, 15, (a) Jef. xxiii. 6. (b) Ver. 13—16. (c) Deut. iv. 2. xii. 32. Joih. i. 7. (d) Ibid. Prophets

Prophets in succeeding Ages to these very

Facts recorded by him (e).

But I might observe one thing further of Moses: He must have wrote with a first Regard to Truth indeed, when we do not find in him a Partiality even to his own Character. When the elder Cyrus was about to die, Xenophon represents him to have fuggested to his Friends the Circumstances, that had completed the Happinels of his Life: " I do not re-" member, fays he, that I have ever aimed " at, or attempted what I did not com-" pass: I have seen my Friends made " happy by me, and I leave my Country " in the highest Glory, which was here-" tofore of but little Figure in Asia" (f): And how natural is this Sentiment? What wife Man would not wish to close his Day after this manner? And is it not obvious, that Mofes might with much Truth have fent his Life down to Pofterity adorned with many Hints of this Nature? For how easy had it been for him to have observed to his People to this purpose? "I was born amongst you, "when you were Slaves in the Land " of Egypt: I brought you forth from " the House of Bondage: I have for for-" ty Years supported you in the great

⁽e) See Pfalm Ixxviii. xcv. 9, 10. Ezek. xx. 10-17. (f) Vid. Xenophon. Cyropæd. lib. 8.

[&]quot; Wilder-

"Wilderness: I have preserved you in " all the Heats and intestine Divisions " we have unhappily had amongst us: " I have at last entred you into a Part " of the Country where you are to fet-" tle: I am now old, and cannot hope " to be much longer with you; but I. " think my felf happy, and can now " leave you with Joy, having-lived to "fhew you by Experience, that you have " your Settlement in your Hands: You " have feen already the Success you may " have against your Enemies: Go on in " the way I have opened to you, and " you will foon triumph over the Re-" mainder of them." But instead of any thing of this fort, Moses records of himself and Aaron, that the Lord had non, hissaid unto them: Because ye believed me not, to sanctify me in the Eyes of the Children of Mael, therefore ye shall not bring this Congregation into the Land. which I have given them (g): He repeats it to them, that he had offended God (b), turns their Eyes from himself to his Successor (i), fully acquaints them that not he, but Joshua was to lead them into the Land (k); confessing at the same time, that he had a most passionate De-

⁽g) Exod. xx. 12. (b) Numb. xxvii, 14. Deut. i. 37. xxxi. 2. (i) Deut. xxxi. 7. (k) Ibid. Ver. 14, &c.

fire to conduct their Conquests; but that God would not hear him in this matter (1): And thus Moses, tho' those who came after him highly extolled him above any of his Successors (m); tho' from the general Character, which God had given of him (n), he might certainly have covered his Dishonour in the one only Circumstance there ever was to be the Cause of it; tho' surely, if any Man ever had whereof to glory, in the many Revelations made to him, and the mighty Works (o) that had been done by him, he might be thought to have had fo more abundantly; yet from a most facred Regard to Truth, he was after all content to lay himself down numbred with the Transgressors: And now where in all History can we find an Instance of the like Nature? A wife Man would not indeed be so vain, as to wish to have a Lustre given to his Actions, which they will not all bear, and yet it is but natural for an honest Man. if he is to be known to those, who are to come after him, to wish to be seen in the best Light; to desire to have the good, that may be faid of him, offered as much to his Advantage, as the Caufe

⁽¹⁾ Deut. iii. 23—27. (m) xxxiv. 10. (n) Numb. xii. 7. (o) See Numb. xii. 6——8. Deut. xxxiv. 10, 11.

of Truth can fairly admit of, and as much of what may be faid to his Difadvantage not told, as may be omitted of him: This was the Sentiment of the younger Pliny (m), and unquestionably Moles would not have treated his own Character with a greater Rigour, if he had not made it the great Point of his Work, to write with all Truth a full Account of the Proceedings of God's Dispensations, rather than his own Hi-

ftory.

If Moses had not had the Direction of an immediate Revelation, I do not think he would have left the Israelites any Body of written Laws; at least he would never have thought of tying them and their Posterity in all Ages, whatever Changes and Chances might happen to their Affairs, to so minute and strict an Observance of so various and extensive a Body of Laws, without leaving them at any time a Power to add to them or diminish from them (n): Lycurgus reformed the Lacedemonian State, and he on of furtilization of rection of Apollo (o); but he did not venture to give his People a Body of written Laws for them to live by (p) without

Latena

Vari-Lycurgus was a celebrated largiver in Junta - low & king Gurrermy - 500 1.6. -We wont to of or acle of spoin of in in

⁽m) Vid. Plin. Epist. lib. 8. Ep. 38. iv. 2. (e) Diodor. Sic. Hift. Lib. 1. p. 59. (p) Νέμες Β΄ γεγεμμένες δ Λυκέςγος ἐκ έθηκεν Plutarch. in Ly-

Variation: If he had, the Shortness and Imperfection of human Wisdom would unquestionably in a few Ages have appeared throughout any fuch Code, in many Particulars contained in it: And this Lycurgus feems to have been well aware of, and therefore in one of his Rhetræ recommended it to his People, not to tie themselves down to written Laws at all (q): He thought the Affairs of all States subject to such a Variety of Contingencies, that what could be appointed at one time, might be very improper at another, and that therefore a civil Polity would be more stable, that was founded only upon general Maxims, with a Liberty to direct Particulars, as Occasion should require, than where a Set of Laws are composed to be inviolably maintained, minutely to prescribe and limit the Incidents of political Life (r): We read of Numa, that, whilft he lived, he instructed the Pontifices in all the Rites and Appointments of his Religion; but he was not willing to leave the twelve Volumes he had written to the Perusal, or for the Direction of Posterity; but ordered his facred Books to be buried with him (s): Some Ages after, the Place where they had been buried was accidentally broken

⁽q) Plutarch in Lycurg, p. 47. (r) Id. ibid.
(s) Id. in Numâ, p. 74.

Vol. III. Cc up,

up, and the Books taken out of the Stone-Chest in which they had been reposited, and Petilius the then Prætor was appointed to peruse them; but he found them so far from being likely to be of fervice to the Publick, that he made oath to the Senate, that the Contents of them ought not to be divulged; whereupon a publick Order passed to have them burned (t). Philo the Jew remarks, that in all other Nations, Time and Accidents had made many Alterations of their Laws absolutely necessary; that the Yewish Law was the only One on Earth that was not grown obsolete in any of its Branches (u): The Medes and Perfians indeed affected to have the Compliment, which they paid their Kings (w), thought to be a real Perfection of their Laws, that they were to live for ever (x); but their Kings, we find, had a Power to

(t) Plutarch, in Numâ p. 74.

(w) Dan. ii. 4. iii. 9. (x) vi. 8, 15. Efther i. 19.

⁽u) Τα μέν τ άλλων νέμιμα, είτις επίη τω λογισμώ, διά μυείας περεφάσεις δίρήσει κεκινημέτα, πολέμοις, η τυς συνίστι, η τισιν άλλοις άδελήτοις, δ νεωτεεισμώ τύχης κατακήπιε: πολλάκις β κ τρυθή πλεενάσασα χορηγίαις κ) περιεσίαις άρθόνοις καθέλει νόμες, τα λίαν άγαθα τ πολλών φέρειν ε διωαμέτων, άλλα διά κόρον Ιξυθαί ζύνιων "δεεις η άνίταλον ιόμω" τα η τέτε μόνε βίδιαι, άσάλουτα, ακεάθαιία, καθάπερ σερογίσι ου σεως αυτής σεσημασμέτα, μένει παγίως αθ η διμέρας εγράση μεχεί νως "Philo de Vitá Mofis, Lib. 1.

make Decrees, that might (y) defeat the Effect, which Laws, that altered not and could not be changed, might have been attended with, whenever an Effect not approved of, would have been the Confequence of any of them. Human Forefight cannot at once calculate and provide for all the Changes and Chances, that must happen in a Course of Ages to the Affairs of a People: And Moses must have been a weak Man, too weak to be the Author of the Laws he has given us, if he did not know enough of human Life to cause him to consider, that how well foever he might estimate the then State and Views of his People, yet he could never be fure, but that fomething very different from what he might form for them, might in time be very proper to become their Constitution, in order to attain the political Prospects which might arife to them: But known unto God are all his Purposes, from the Beginning of the World (z), and He can secure them a full Effect, as he pleases, even to the End of it: And if it was indeed the Purpose of God to choose, as Moses reprefents, the House of Jacob, to be unto himfelf a peculiar People (a), and to give them

⁽y) See Efther viii. 8. Prideaux Connect. Part I. B. 5. ad An. 453. (2) Acts xv. 18. (a) Exod. xix. 5. Deut. vii. 6. xiv. 2, xxvi. 18.

a Law, by a punctual Observance of which they were to be kept, shut up unto the Faith, which should afterwards be revealed (b); We may hence open a View of Things that will fully account for Moses, under the immediate Direction of a Revelation from God, appointing to the Israelites all his Institutions, and charging them not to turn therefrom, until the Fulness of Time was come (c),

to the right Hand or to the left (d).

Some Writers inform us that Moses was the first that ever gave written Laws to a People (e), and I do not find any thing valid to contradict this Opinion; tho' the Abettors of it have made Mistakes in their Attempts to support it. Justin Martyr cites Diodorus Siculus in Favour of it (f); but Diodorus evidently speaks not of Moses, but of Mneves an Egyptian (g); for Moses is afterwards mentioned by Diodorus in the same Passage, and with such different Circumstances, as abundantly shew Diodorus to have thought Mneves and Moses not to be one and the same

⁽b) Galat. iii. 23. (c) Deut. xviii. 15—18. John i. 45. Acts iii. 22—24. Gal. iv. 4. (d) Deut. v. 32. xxviii. 14. John i. 7, 8. (e) Jošeph. cont. Ap. l. 2. (f) in Protreptic. p. 8. (g) The Jame Paffage is cited by St. Cyril contr. Julian. l. 1. Eeth Cyril and Jultin Martyr cite Diodorus thus: Maurtin avolen 2, 77 407 μεγαν, &c. but Diodorus's Words are: Mucliu ai δερ κλ το 40χη μέγαν Vid. Diodor. Hist. l. 1. p. 59.

Person (b): The learned Editor of Diodorus Siculus thinks the Word a year Tois in the Text should be corrected esque apois: The Passage, he says, is so worded in Justin Martyr's Citation of it (i): If this were the true Text of Diodorus, we might gather from him, that Mneves taught his People to live by written Laws (k), and this would hint fuch Laws to have been in use Centuries before the Times of Moses; for Mneves can be no other than Menes, who was Moses's Mizraim, the first Planter of Egypt (1): Mneves lived in the Age next after the Gods and Heroes(m), and this was the Time of Menes, or Mizraim's Life (n): Mneves had his Laws from Hermes or Mercury (0); and Hermes or Mercury was the Surname of Thoth or Thyoth, who was Secretary to Mizraim or Menes (p): In short, Mneves or Menes may reasonably be thought to be the same Name, with only a little Difference in writing it: And if we allow this, and take Diodorus to fuggest, that Mneves

⁽b) Diodorus fays of Mneves, Tou Mrdle Tegganotηθιώαι αυτώ τον Ερμίω δεδωκέναι τέτες, i.e. νύμες. Of Moles he fays afterwards, Tlage 3 tois Indians Μωσήν [περοποιήσαι τές νόμες αυτώ διδόνοι] τόν Ιαω εππαλέμενου Seòr · Diodor. ubi sup. (i) Vid. Rhodoman. Conjectur. in loc. (k) Herau pari apisτον έγ ζεάροις νόμοις χρήσαλζ τὰ πλήθη τον Μιάίν. (1) See Vol. I. B. 4. (m) Diodor. ubi sup. (n) Vol. I. B. I. (a) Diodor. ubi sup. (p) Vol. I. B. IV.

390

taught his People to use written Laws; fince Menes or Mizraim planted Egypt about A. M. 1772 (q), we shall make written Laws to have been in use in Egypt about seven hundred Years before the Times of Moses; but had they been fo, we should unquestionably have found the Greeks forming their States with written Laws much earlier than the Times when they appear to have had their first Notion of them; for the Arts and Sciences of Egypt found a Way into Greece very early (r); and yet the Inhabitants of this Country feem to have had no Knowledge of written Laws, until after Homer's time; for, as Josephus has remarked, we find no Word in all his Poems that fignifies a written Law, the Word Nous having a different Sense, wherever it is used by him (s): A due Confideration of these Points must suggest to us, 1. That both Cyril and Justin Martyr mistook the true Meaning of Diodorus, in the Passage they cite from him: They suppose him to be speaking of Moses; but he was mentioning another Person, the first Planter and King of Egypt: Accordingly, to accommodate his Words to what they thought his Inten-

⁽q) Vol. I. B. IV. (r) Ibid. and Vol. II. B. VIII. (s) Joseph. cont. Apion. l. 2. c. 15. Jos. Earnes in v. 20. Hymn. ad Apollin.

tion, they interpolated his Text, where he wrote Mrdin [Mneves] they wrote Mωσην [Moses], and having made this Emendation, Moses's Law being a written Law, forced upon them another; and induced them, where he used the Word ανεάποις unwritten, to imagine he meant Elyegous or written, and to cite him, not as he really wrote, but as they falfly judged him to have intended. Whereas 2. Diodorus really meant to remark, that Mneves was the first Person that taught the Egyptians the use of Laws; but they were νόμοι άγεαπίοι, unwritten Laws: The early Kings instructed their People by verbal Edicts, and Diodorus in the Passage cited intimates this most ancient Egyptian Legislator to have formed his People in this manner, before the Use of written Laws was introduced into the World, and he imagines him to have feigned Mercury or Hermes to have given him (ss) what he spake to them, in order to his Words having Weight amongst his People (t), that they might think a divine Sentence to be in the Lips of their (u)

⁽ss) Педотогидича в'аบто той Ериш вебыненая TETES. The Word Sesundros here signifies to distate to the Mind what is to be spoken, as in Mark xiii. 11.

⁽t) Πεος των νόγοχων κ δωσμιν τ δρέν λογομένων τές νόμες αποθλέψαντα τον όχλον μάλλον ύπακισαδζ Sianaborlas. Diodor. ubi fup. (u) Prov. xvi. 10.

King, and that his Mouth transgressed not in the Judgments which he delivered to them.

There are some Particulars commanded in the Law of Moses, which it is evident that Moses, at the time when he enjoined them, knew might be fatal to the Welfare of his People; if God did not interpose, and by an especial Providence preferve them from what the Obeying fuch Commands tended evidently to bring upon them: Of this fort is the Law he gave them, for all their Males to appear three times in a Year before the Lord (w); and the Command not to fow or till any of their Lands, or drefs their Vineyards, or gather any Fruit of them every feventh Year (x); And if, as some of the Learned calculate, the Year of Jubilee was a different Year from the feventh Sabbatical Year (1), then after feven times feven Years.

aller

Fellan

⁽w) Exod. xxiii. 17. xxxiv. 23. (x) Ibid. xxiii.
10, 11 Levit. xxv. 3, 4. 5, 6, 7. (y) The Learned bave been much divided about the Year of Jubilee, whether it was to be kept in the forty ninth Year. which token inclustively may be called the ffitieth, or whether forty nine Years were to run out, and then the next or ffitieth Year was to be the Year of Jubilee. Vid. Ckric. Comment in Levit. xxv. Petav. Rationar. Tempor. Part 2. 7. mind we have to few, and fuch imperfelt decounts of the Practice of the Jews. in their Observance of this or their Sabbaucal Years, that it may be difficult to offer any thing certain upon this Subject. The most learned Dean Prideaux thought the Text Levit. xxv. 8—12. to be in favour

Years, on every fiftieth Year, they were to have their Lands and Vineyards lie undressed and uncultivated two Years together

favour of the Jubilee Year's being the next to the forty ninth or seventh Sabbatical Year: [Preface to Vol. 1. of his Connect.] The Words of the Text are, Thou shalt number seven Sabbaths of Years unto thee, seven Times feven Years; and the Space of the feven Sabbaths of Years shall be unto thee forty and nine Years. Then shalt thou cause the Trumpet of the Jubilee to sound on the tenth Day of the seventh Month; in the Day of Atonement -And ye shall hallow the fiftieth Year - A Jubilee shall that fiftieth Year be unto you, ye shall not sow, neither reap that which groweth of it felf in it - Levit. xxv. 8 -11. We may perhaps come at the true Meaning of this Text, if we take it, 1. to direct the Ifraelites to observe at their due Intervals seven Sabbatical Years. 2. To remark that a Course of seven such Years, with the fix Years of Tillage belonging to each of them duly observed, were to make up the full amount of forty nine Years, the Space of the seven Sabbaths of Years shall be unto thee forty and nine Years, or, to render the Hebrew Text verbatim, the Days of the feven Sabbaths of Years shall be unto thee forty and nine Years: The Meaning of which Remark will appear, if we allow the Text, 3. to suggest to them, that they were to begin the Jubilee Year on the tenth Day of the seventh Month of the forty ninth, or seventh Sabbatical Year, Thou shalt cause the Trumpet of the Jubilee to found on the tenth Day of the seventh Month. The Observance of each Sabbatical Year was, I imagine, to begin as soon as the fixth Year's Crop could be got off the Ground in the Beginning of the seventh Year; for the Harvest in Canaan fell in the first Month [See and compare Josh. iii. 15. with I Chron. xii. 15.]: And when the Ifraelites had counted the seven times seven Years, so as to be in Observance of their seventh Sabbath Year, then on the tenth Day of the seventh Month, they were to begin a Year of Jubilee, only remembring, that they were not to reckon the Sabbath Year they were then keeping, to end upon commencing the Jubilee; for the seven Sabbaths of Years were to contain the Days of forty nine Years, which they would not have amounted to, if the feventh Sabbath Year was to have been thought finished,

gether (z): The first of these Laws obliged them to leave their Cities and Habitations exposed and without Defence to any Invaders, who might at such times make Incursions upon them; for at these three Times in every Year, all their Males were to come up from all Parts of the Country into the Place where the Tabernacle was fixed before the Temple was built (a), and afterwards to the Temple at ferusalem: The second must ordinarily speaking have brought upon them many Inconveniencies, as it required them to lose at once a whole Year's Produce of all their Country: And if the Jubilee

finished, on the tenth Day of the seventh Month, upon beginning the Jubilee. 4. As, according to this Account, the Year of Jubilee, did not begin and end with the Sabbatical Year; but commenced some Months later, and extended a like Space of Time longer; so it was evidently not any one of the Years contained in the seven Sabbaths of Years, tho' it was in Part concurrent with the last of them: and accordingly it is properly stilled in the Text a sistieth Year, as not being any one of the forty nine before mentioned. If what has been offered may be admitted, then, 5. Tho' the Jubilee-Year began and ended some Months later than a Salbatical Year; Yet, as the Season for Secd-time did not come on in Canaan before the fifteenth Day of the seventh Month was over [See Levit. xxiii. 39.] the Jubilee Year ending as it began, on the tenth Day of this seventh Month, did not command a Year's Neglect of Harvest and Tillage, other than what the Sabbath Year in part concurrent with it enjoined: Only perhaps the Year of Jubilee obliged them to defer preparing their Lands some Months longer than a Sabbatical Year, not attended with a Jubilee, required; causing them hereby to end every forty ninth or seventh Sabbatical Year, with, as I might fay, a greater Solemnity.
(z) Levit. xxv. 8 — 12. (a) Deut, xvi. 1 Sam. i. 3.

Year

Year was to be kept, as is above hinted, and they were not to fow nor reap in the fiftieth Year, when the Year immediately foregoing had been a Sabbath Year, this, one would think, must have distressed them with the Extremities of a Famine (b): Moses had a full sense, that all these Evils might attend the Obfervance of these Laws: He was well apprized that, as Canaan was an inland Country, and his Israelites were to be furrounded with, and open to many foreign Nations, it could never be thought agreeable to good Policy, three times a Year to draw all the Males from the Frontiers of the Land; for what would this be less, than to give every Enemy they had, fo many remarkable and wellknown Opportunities to enter their Coasts without Fear of Resistance, and to plunder or take possession of them, as they pleased? And can it be conceived, that any State or Kingdom could be long flourishing, that should be bound by Law to expose it self in this manner? But against these Fears Moses assured his People, that God would protect them: He fets before them God's Promise: I will cast out the Nations before thee, and en-

⁽b) We find a fore Famine in Samaria in Elijah's Time, from unseasonable Weather for three Years together, 1 Kings xvii. xviii,

large thy Borders, neither shall any Man defire thy Land when thou shalt go up to appear before the Lord thy God thrice in the Year (c); So that in obeying this Command, the Israelites were three times a Year to expose themselves contrary to all Rules of good Policy, in Confidence of a marvellous Protection of God, who had promised to prevent any Enemies taking Advantage of their so doing. In like manner, Moles answers the Objection to be made to the observing the Law for the seventh or Sabbatical Year: If ye skall say [says he to them in the Name and Words of God] What Shall we eat the seventh Year? Behold, we shall not for nor gather in our Increase: Then I will command my Blessing upon you in the fixth Year, and it shall bring forth Fruit for three Years (d); a most extraordinary Produce was promised all over the Land, at all times the Year before they were to begin their Neglect of Harvest and Tillage. And now can any one imagine,

(c) Exed. xxxiv. 24.

that

⁽d) The Meaning of the Expression for three Years is explained by what follows, Levil xxv. 22. And ye shall sow the eighth Year, and eat yet of old Fruit until the ninth Year; until the Fruits come in, ye shall eat of the old Store: The Promise meant not that the sixth Year's Produce should last the Term of three complete Years; but that it should suffice for the seventh Year, for the eighth Year, and for a Part of the ninth Year, namely, until the Harwelf, in the Bejinning of the ninth Year, should bring in the Fruits of the eighth Year's Tillage.

that Moses could ever have thought of obliging the Israelites to such Laws as these, if God had not really given a particular Command about them? Or would the Israelites have been so weak, as to obey fuch pernicious Injunctions, if they had not had a sufficient Evidence, that the Commands were of God, and that he would indeed protect them in their Obfervance of them? Or had they been fo romantic, as to have gone into an Obedience to keep fuch Institutions as these, if they had not been of God, and without an especial Providence to protect and preserve them from the Consequences that would naturally arise from them; would not a few Years Trial have brought home to them a dear bought Experience of fo great a Folly? Their Enemies would unquestionably have many times made Advantage of the Opportunities they gave them, to enter their Country: And a fixth Year's Crop no better than ordinary, must have perpetually convinced them that the Observance of the Sabbatical Year was a mere idle Fancy, not supported by such a Blessing from God as they had been told was annexed to it. The Israelites fell indeed into a great Neglect of observing their Sabbatical Years some Centuries before their Captivity (e); but it is remarkable, that they

⁽e) Prideaux's Pref. to Connection Part I.

thought themselves to have had so little Colour for this Breach of their Duty, from any Failure of God's Promise to them, that they looked upon the Number of Years which their Land was to be defolate, when they were carried to Babylon, to be a particular Judgment upon them, defigned by God to answer to the Number of the Sabbatical Years, which had not been observed by them, (f): After the Captivity the Jews were more observant of this Injunction; we find them keeping their Sabbath Years in the times of Alexander the Great; for upon Account of their not tilling their Lands in those Years, they petitioned him for a Remission of every seventh Year's Tribute (g). As to the Command for appearing three times in the Year before the Lord, we find it practised by the Jews to their very latest Times: When Cestius the Roman came against Lydda, he found no Men in the City; for they were all gone to ferufalem to the Feast of Tabernacles (ff), and afterwards when *Titus* laid Siege to *Jerusalem*, he shut up in it, as it were, the whole *Jewish* Nation; for they were

⁽f) 2 Chron. xxxvi. 21. (g) Joseph. Antiq. Lib. 11. c. 8. Thus they kept their Sabbatical Years in the times of the Maccabees, 1 Mac. vi. 49, 53. (f) Joseph. de bello Judaic. Lib. 2, c. 19.

then affembled there to keep the Feast of unleavened Bread (gg): Josephus indeed remarks, that the keeping this Feast at the time of Titus his coming to besiege ferusalem, greatly conduced to the concluding the Fate of his Country (b); but we are to observe, that this did not happen until after our Saviour's Times; until the Jews were given up by God, and their City and Polity were to be

troden down of the Gentiles (i.)

Upon the Death of Moses, A. M. 2554, at the Beginning of the Year, Joshua took the Command of the Israelites; and when the Days of Mourning for Mojes were over, he prepared, according to Directions which God had given him, to remove the Camp, and to enter Canaan (k): But before he began to march, he fent two Spies to Jericho, a City over against the Camp, on the other fide the River Jordan (1): The Spies, when they came to Jericho, went to the House of a Woman named Rabab, and lodged there (m): She concealed them from the Search which the King of *Jericho* made for them, and after three Days they came back to Joshua, and reported to him what Terror the Inhabitants of (mm) Ca-

⁽gg) Joseph. de bello Judiac. Lib. 6. c. 19. (b) Joseph. ibid. (i) Luke xxi. 24. (k) Jofh. i. (l) ii. 1. Numb. xxii. 1. (m) Jofh. ii. 1. (mm) ver. 2—24.

naan were in upon Account of the Israelites: The Behaviour of Rahab to the Spies was indeed extraordinary, and cannot but at first fight appear liable to Objections; for upon what Principle could The receive into her House the known Enemies of her Country, conceal them from the Searchers, and difmiss them in Safety, contrary to her Duty to the Publick, and Allegiance to the King of Jericho? We are told, that she professed her felf to know, that the God of the Israelites was God in Heaven above, and in Earth beneath (n), and that the Lord had given them the Land (o); but we are not informed by the Writer of the Book of Joshua, whether she collected these Things only from having heard, what the mentioned to the Spies, how the Waters of the Red-Sea were dried up, and the Kings of the Amorites on the other side of Jordan were conquered and destroyed (p); or whether God had been pleased to give her any special Direction to entertain the Spies, in obeying which she was to fave her Family from Ruin; however, the Book of Joshua is but a short Account of what the Israelites did, and of what happened to them whilst they were under the Command of their

⁽n) Joth. ii. 11. (o) ver. 9. (p) ver. 10.

Leader of that Name; and we may imagine, that many Circumstances attending some Facts recorded in it, were perhaps registred by some other Hands, and afterwards related more at large in other Books that are now lost (q): The Writer of the Epistle to the Hebrews says of Rabab, that, By Faith she perished not with them that believed not, when she had received the Spies with Peace (r): And if we compare what she did with the Actions of other Persons mentioned with her by the facred Writer, as influenced by a like Faith, we must judge of her, that she had received some Command from God, and that she acted in Obedience to it: By Faith Noah being warned of God of Things not seen as yet, moved with Fear (f), prepared an Ark to the faving of his House (t): He received an express Revelation, that the World was to perish by Water, and was instructed by God how he might fave himself and Family (u); he believed what God revealed to him, made an Ark in Obedience to the Orders that were given him, and by thus believing, and acting according to his Belief, he faved himfelf and Family from perishing: In like manner, Rabab undoubtedly was informed by

⁽¹⁾ John x. 13. (1) Heb. xi. 31. (1) ver. 7. The Word is concentrates (1) ibid. (1) Gen. vi. 13, 14, 5. Dd VOL. III. fome

fome Revelation of God's Will, and acted in Obedience to it, or she could not have been an Instance of the Faith which the inspired Writer treats of in the Chapter where she is mentioned: Had she proceeded upon a general Report, or had the enquired and been affured upon the best Information, that the People, who were about invading the Country she belonged to, had been wonderfully raifed up and preserved by the miraculous Power of God, and that they were likely to conquer and destroy all that would not fubmit to them, and been hence induced to think, that it would be prudent for her to ingratiate herself with them, if possibly she might thereby save herself and Family from Ruin; all this, I think, would not have justified her Conduct; but her concealing the Spies upon thefe Motives would have been a Treachery to her Country, and might at last have proved a vain as well as wicked Action; for unless the certainly knew, that God defigned to give the Israelites Possession of Fericho, his having hitherto protected them could be no Argument, that they would be enabled to destroy every City which they might have a mind to attack and depopulate: But if the Defign of God towards the Inhabitants of Canaan had been made known to the King and People of Yericho, and he and they had been

been fufficiently warned to fave them-9 felves from the Destruction that was coming upon them; if they would not obey, but upon their Refusal, if Rabab believed, and obediently acted according to what was required of her, her whole Behaviour will stand clear of every Imputation: And this appears to me to have been her Cafe: Rahab perished not with them that believed not (w); the Greek Words are, not Tois απίσοις, with the Unbelievers, but τοις άπα-Inoasi, that is, with them who were difobedient (x): But how can the Inhabitants of Fericho be said to have been disobedient, if God had required nothing of them? Some fufficient Information both they and Rabab must particularly have had, or they could not have been condemned as difobedient, refusing to obey what they were directed to; nor could she have been an instance of one, who was faved by her Faith, i.e. by believing and acting according to the Will of God made known to her. The Writer of the Epistle to the Hebrews fuggests nothing that contradicts any Fact recorded in Joshua; but by the mention he makes of Rabab's Case, it is evident, that there were fome Circumstances attending it, which in Joshua are not recounted: Admit these Circumstances, and her Behaviour is clear of every Ap-

⁽w) Heb. ubi sup. (x) 1 Pet. iii. 20.

pearance of a Crime, nay it is just and commendable: And the Writer of the Epistle to the Hebrews needed not to have made Rabab an Instance of the Faith he was treating of, if he had not fufficient Grounds for what he intimates about her; especially when he had so many illustrious Patterns in his Mind, as not to have room particularly to treat of many of them (y): And thus, after all, what our modern Reasoners think they have to infinuate against Rahab, as guilty of a Treachery to her Country, is but an unjust Accusation, founded upon a partial View of the Circumstances attending what she did, and the Motives she had to do it.

The Day after the Return of the Spies from *Jericko*, early in the Morning *Joshua* removed the Camp to the Banks of *Jordan* (2): Here they halted for three Days (a): After the three Days the proper Officers instructed the People for their passing the River, according to the Directions which God had given (b), and on the next Day the Waters of *Jordan* were miraculously divided; so that the *Israelites* marched thro' the Channel on dry Ground (c): They were near a whole Day in their March thro' the River,

⁽y) Heb. xi. 32. (z) Josh. iii, 1. (a) ver. 2. (b) ver. 3, &c. (c) ver. 16, &c.

and they made their Passage thro' the River on the tenth (d) Day of the first Month of the Year, and it is easy to adjust the particular Transactions, mentioned from the Beginning of the Month unto this tenth Day, to the feveral Days they belong to: On the first Day of the Month Joshua sent the Spies to Jericho: The King of Jericho ordered the Search for them the very Night they came thither (e): Rahab, before they went to fleep, conferred with them, and let them down out of the City from the Window of her House (f): They hid themselves in the Mountain for three Days (g), and therefore came to Joshua on the Evening of the fourth Day: On the fifth Day of the Month the Camp removed from Shittim to Jordan (h): After three Days, or on the ninth Day, the Officers went thro' the Host (i) to instruct the People for their going over the River, and on the Morrow they were to see the Wonders which the Lord defigned to do among them (k), and accordingly, on the tenth Day of the Month, the Waters were divided, and they passed over fordan.

When all the People were clean paffed over Jordan, God commanded Joshua to

⁽d) Joh. iv. 19. (e) ii. 2. (f) ver. 8. (g) ver. 22. (b) iii. 1. (i) ver. 2. (l) ver. 5. Dd 3 fend

fend twelve Men, one out of each Tribe, back to the Place, where the Priests that bare the Ark stood in the midst of the River (1), and to order them, each Man to take upon his Shoulder a Stone out of the River, and to bring it on Shore with him (m), and on the next Day Joshua pitched these Stones in Gilgal (n) for a Monument, to perpetuate to future Generations a Remembrance of the Waters of Yordan being miraculously divided for the Israelites marching thro' the River into (o) Canaan: The ninth Verse of this Chapter feems to intimate, that befides the twelve Stones that were pitched in Gilgal, Joshua set up also twelve other Stones in the midst of the River: The LXX(q) and the Chaldee Paraphrast took the Text in this Sense (r); but the Syriac (s) and Arabic Translators (t) thought other-wise, and Josephus seems to have had no Notion of any more than one Monument fet up on this Occasion (u). We read of no Command to Joshua to erect any in the midst of the River: And if he really

⁽¹⁾ John iv. 1 — 3. (m) ver. 5. (n) ver. 8, 20. (o) ver. 7, 21 — 24. (q) Έρνης Το Ιπούς το σλλες Εωθεία λίθες εν άντῷ τῷ Ἰορθά η &c. Verfio LXX, Græc. (r) Vid. Targ. Jonathan in Loc. (s) Lapides, inquam, duodecim crexcrunt, quos tulerunt è medio Jordanis defub pedibus Sacerdotum. Verfio Syriac. in Loc. (t) The Arabic Verfion leaves out the ninth Verfe. (u) Vid. Joseph. Antiq. Jud. Lib. 1. c. 4.

defigned any thing of this nature, what would twelve Stones, no bigger than fuch as a Man could carry, have fignified, if they had been laid upon one another in the Channel? When the Waters of Jordan returned to their Place, and flowed over all his Banks, as they did before (40), fuch a Monument would have been washed away by them. The Hebrew Words do indeed imply, that Joshua set up troolve Stones in the midst of Jordan, in the Place where the Feet of the Priests, which bare the Ark of the Covenant, stood (x); but I would fubmit it to the Judgment of the Learned, whether a small Mistake of ancient Copyists may not be supposed to have happened in this Paffage: [[Trin] be Tok ha Jarden does indeed fignify in the midst of Jordan: But if the Text was originally written not [בהיק] be Tok, but [puro] mittok, the Place will have quite a contrary Meaning: The Mistake of one fingle Letter, the Writing I instead of D before the Word [pin] Tok might happen and escape the Correction of Transcribers: And if we make this little Emendation, the Verse will run thus. And Joshua set up twelve Stones from out of the

D. Rensecce

⁽w) Josh. iv. 18.

⁽a) The decrew Words of the Text are
ושתים עשרה אבנים הקים יהושע בתוק הירק התת מצב רגלי הכהנים נשאי ארון הברות

midst of Jordan, from under the Station of the Feet of the Priess, who carried the Ark (y), and hints only what is repeated more fully towards the Close of the Chapter, that Joshua set up in Gilgal those twelve Stones which were taken up out of Jordan: As to the Words which end this ninth Verse, And they are there unto this Day, these were originally no Part of the Text of Joshua, but rather a Remark made in a later Age in a MS. of this Book: We find several of these in the sacred Pages (a); which having not been duly kept distinct, are handed down to us, as if they were indeed Part of the Text in the Places where they are added.

Some Modern Writers mention the River Jordan as a Stream of no very confiderable Breadth or Depth, and from their Notion of it, it may be thought that a Miracle could not be much wanted to enable the Ifraelites to get over it. Sandys fays, that it is "not navigably deep, nor "above eight Fathoms broad, nor (except by Accident) heady (b)": But I would observe: 1. That the sacred Books do constantly represent this River as not fordable,

⁽v) If the learned Reader thinks the Præfix D necessary before the Word NUTA, one may reasonably suppose the Copyist, having made the Missake above-mentioned, to lave bere dropped this Letter. (a) See Prideaux's Connect. Vol. I. B.5. (b) Sandys's Travels, B. III. p. 141.

except at some particular Places, made probably by Art, that the Countries on each fide the Water might have a Communication. Thus the Spies, who were fent by Joshua to Jericho, when they were purfued by the Searchers, are not represented to have found any Way to return to the Camp but by the Fords of Fordan(c). In like manner when Ebud and the Ifraelites had taken the Fords of Jordan, not a Man of the Moabites could pass the River (d). And thus the Gileadites intrapped the Ephraimites: They took the Passages of Jordan, and then the Fugitives of Ephraim having no Way to get over the River, fell into their hands (e): Elijah passed over Fordan with Elisha, near unto the Place where the Israelites entred Canaan (f), and Elisha repassed it when Elijah was taken from him; But a Miracle was wrought by both of them in order to their getting over (g); which undoubtedly neither would they have attempted, nor would God have enabled them to perform, if they could have passed over in that Place without it. But, 2. We have modern Testimonies sufficient to resute any one that should imagine the River Fordan, to have been an inconsiderable

⁽c) Josh. ii. 2. (d) Judg. iii. 28. (e) xii. 5. (f) 2 Kings ii. 4, 5, 7, 8, 13, 15. (g) ibid. Stream

Stream, eafily forded in any Part of it: Sandys took his View of it at a Place where, in length of Time, the Channel was landed up, and the Flow of Water nothing fo great, as it had been in former Ages (i): Thevnot went to or near the Place where the Israelites passed over it, and describes it to be "deep, half as " broad as the Seine at Paris, and very " rapid" (k), and according to Maundrel, the River is hereabouts "twenty Yards " over within its Channel, deeper than " a Man's Height, and runs with a "Current, that there is no swimming against it (1)". But whatever be the now State of the River Jordan, how obvious is it to observe, 3. That all the Parts of our Globe are liable to great Alterations, and the Course of Rivers admit of many Changes in the Revolutions of Ages: Jordan was a much larger River than it now is, when the Ifraelites came into Canaan: In Pliny's Time it filled a larger Channel than it now runs in (m), and when Strabo wrote, Veffels of Burden were navigated in it (n): But, 4. Jordan overfloweth all his Banks all the Time of Harvest (o), and the Time

⁽i) Sandys's Travels, p. 197. (k) Thevnot's Travels, p. 193. (l) Journey from Aleppo, p. 83. (m) Amnis, quatenus patitur locorum fitus, ambitioius Plin. Nat. Hift. Lib. 5. c. 19. (m) Vid. Strab. Geogr. Lib. 16. p. 755. (e) Josh. iii. 15. 1 Chron. xii. 15. Ecclus. xxiv. 26.

of Harvest was in this first Month, when the Israelites entred Canaan (p): Maundrel observes, that upon this Flow of Fordan, the Waters had anciently covered a large Strand, and washed up to an outer Bank about a Furlong from the common Channel (q): At this time there could be no passing it, and therefore the Israelites being now able to get over was very extraordinary, and it is no Wonder, that when the Kings of the Amorites on the West Side of Jordan, and all the Kings of the Canaanites by the Sea, heard how the Waters were dried up from before the Children of Israel, their Heart melted, and there was no Spirit in them (r); because, whatever might have been attempted when the River ran in its ordinary Channel, the Passage of the Israelites was at the Time of a known and annual Flood, when the Waters flowed to a great Height, and an Attempt to get over them was, naturally speaking, impossible.

After the *Ifraelites* were over the River, *Joshua* encamped at *Gilgal* on the East Side of *Jericho*, and here God directed him to revive the Rite of Circumcision (s); for the *Ifraelites* had circumcifed none of their Children that

⁽p) See 1 Chron. xii. 15. (q) Maundrel's Journey from Aleppo. ubi sup. (r) Josh. v. 1. (s) Ver. 2.

were born after the Exit out of Egypt until this Time (w): What occasioned this Neglect is not faid expresly, but it is easy to guess it: The Covenant which the Ifraelites made with God in Horeb, was to do and observe all the Things which the Lord should command them (x), and they were to be strictly careful not to make any thing a Rite of their Religion, which the Lord commanded them not (y): And therefore tho' God had ordered Abraham to circumcife himfelf and Children, and to injoin his Posterity to use this Rite; yet when God was giving the Ifraelites a new Law, in the manner which he now did by the hand of Moses, I imagine they could not warrantably take any Rite, how ancient or usual soever, as Part of it, unless God himself gave them a Command for it: God indeed had given them a Command for Circumcifion: We find it amongst the Laws given after the Death of (z), Nadab and Abibu the Sons of Aaron, who were killed by Fire from the Lord, for offering Incense in a manner which he commanded them not (a); an Incident that could not but admonish the whole Camp to be careful to obey God's

⁽w) John v. 5, 7.

Deut. v. 27. xxvi. 17.
(x) Exod. xix. 8. xxiv. 3, 7.
(y) See B. XI. p. 185, &c.
(z) Levit. xii. 3. (a) x. 1.

Voice indeed, and not to mingle their own Fancies in the Performance of any of his Institutions; and the Vengeance, that had fo lately fallen upon the two Sons of Aaron, feems to have given them a due Caution in this Matter: Tho' the Passover was a Feast, which they were commanded to keep to the Lord throughout their Generations, by an Ordinance for ever (b); yet we see they did not attempt their fecond Celebration of it, without an express Command from God for it (c); nor venture to proceed in a Case of Doubt, which arose about the Men, who were defiled by the dead Body of a Man, but stood still, until Moses heard what the Lord would command concerning them (d): In like manner, as the Law for Circumcision required the Males to be circumcifed at eight Days old (e), and was not given until within the fecond Year of the Exit, when there must have been in the Camp great Numbers of Children uncircumcifed, who were past the Day of Age, at which this Rite was appointed to be performed, there could not but arise great Matter of Doubt, when or how these were to be put under the Law, and the Israelites not receiving Directions from God how to proceed herein was, I should think,

⁽b) Exod. xii. 14. (c) Numb. ix. 1, 2, 3. (d) ver. 6, 7, 8. (e) Levit. xii. 3:

the Reason, that they stood still in this Matter. The Critics and Annotators abound in affigning Reasons for the Omisfion of Circumcifion in which the Ifraelites had lived hitherto (f); but I think they are not happy in affigning the true one: We find no Fault imputed to the Israelites for their Neglect of it, and it was God that now rolled away the Reproach of Egypt from off them (g); fo that the Israelites had long esteemed it a Reproach to them, that they did not practife this Rite; but their Misfortune was, God had not yet given them Orders how or when to begin it, and therefore they were forced to live in an Omission of it. Some Writers misunderstand the Expression here made use of: A State of Uncircumcision is called the Reproach of Egypt, that is, fay they, the Egyptians thought it a Reproach to them who lived in it: It is indeed necessary to take the Words in this Sense, if we would infer from them, that Circumcifion was originally an Egyptian Rite, and that the Hebrews learned from them the Use of it: This is indeed a favourite Point with these Writers, but I have already proved it to have no Foundation (b), and I would here observe, that the true Meaning of the Expression the Re-

⁽f) Vid. Pol. Synopf. Critic. in loc. (g) Josh. v. 9.

proach of Egypt, is directly contrary to the Sense which these Writers would give to it: My Reproach, my Shame, my Disconour (i), do all signify, not what I may have to impute to others, but what others may object to me: In like manner, the Reproach of Egypt, or Egyptian Reproach, signifies not what the Egyptians might think a Discrepute to others, but what other Nations esteemed a Blemish and Defect in them: We find an Expression of like Import thus used by one of the most elegant Classics. The Swallow is said to be, unhappy Bird

— Cecropiæ domûs Æternum opprobrium (k)

the everlasting Reproach of the House of Cecrops, not as hinting any Thing, for which the Descendants of Cecrops might reproach others; but upon Account of Facts, that were a lasting Dishonour to this Family: Not the Egyptians therefore at this Time, but the Israelites thought Uncircumcision a disreputable Thing, and accounted all Nations profane, who did not use this Institution, and the Egyptians at this Time not observing this Rite, this, in the Esteem of the Israelites, was their Reproach, was a Thing opprobrious or a Disgrace to them; and therefore when God

⁽i) Gen. xxx. 23. 2 Sam. xiii. 13. Psal. lxix. 19. (k) Hor. Carm. Lib. IV. Ode XII.

here appointed the Israelites to be circumcifed, he rolled away the Reproach of Egypt from off them; he removed from them that State of Uncircumcifion, which they thought an infamous Defect in the Egyptians. It may be here queried, whether the Egyptians could at this time be an uncircumcifed Nation, if, as I have formerly supposed, they received the Rite of Circumcifion very near as early as the Times of Abraham (1): But I think an Answer hereto is not difficult: The Pastors overran Egypt some Time before the Birth of Moles, and overturned the ancient Establishment in the Parts they conquered (m), and many Points both of the Policy and Religion of Egypt were neglected by them: These Pastors were, I think, the Horites, who fled from the Children of Esau out of the Land of Edom (n): They were an uncircumcifed People: And as they took all Methods they could think proper, when they had got possession of the Land, to oppress the ancient Inhabitants, and to establish themselves; it is not likely they should pay so much Regard to the Institutions of the Egyptian Religion, as once to think of submitting to a Rite, the Operation of which, would for a Time disable them for War, and

⁽¹⁾ See Vol. I. B. V. (n) Vol II. B. VII. ad fin. 8. in Just. (n) Vol. II. E. VII.

give the Egyptians an Opportunity to attack and destroy them (o): Here therefore we may suppose a Neglect of Circumcision introduced amongst the Egyptians: The Israelites were in Egypt, before these Pastors invaded the Land, and tho' they suffered great Oppressions from their Tyranny (p), yet they did not, in Compliance with these their new Masters, part with this Rite of their Religion, and it might, in their Opinion, be a Matter of particular Reproach to the Egyptians that they had not only fallen under the Power of foreign Conquerors; but in Compliance to them had altered and corrupted their Religion. There are two Points obvious to be remarked upon the Revival of Circumcifion by Joshua: The one, that the Israelites must hereupon have a convincing Demonstration, that all their Fathers were to a Man dead, against whom God had denounced, that their Carcases should fall in the Wilderness (q); for upon this Renewal of Circumcifion, none having been circumcifed from the Time of the Exit until now (r), it became evident how many of the Camp had been in Egypt, and by computing the Age of thole who had

⁽⁶⁾ The Sichemites were defiringed by the Sons of Jacob, when they were fore, after having been circumcifed. "Gen. xxxiv. 25. (ρ) Vol II. B. VII. (q) Numb. xiv. (γ) John. v. 5.

been there, it would appear, that there were no Persons then alive except Caleb and Joshua, who were twenty Years old, when the Pole was taken in the Year after the Exit (s): The other Point is, that as the Israelites were now in an Enemy's Country, in the Neighbourhood of a powerful and populous City, and could not be fecure any one Day, that the Canaanites might not attempt to march against them: If God had not required it, Joshua could never have thought this a proper Time, to difable any (t) Part of the Camp by circumcifing them, and therefore that he most certainly had a Command from God for what he did in this Matter.

On the fourteenth Day of the Month at Even, the Ifraelites kept the Passover in the Plains of Jericho (p), and on the sisteenth Day they began the Feast of unleavened Bread (q) according to the Orders they had received for keeping it (r); and it being now Wheat Harvest in the Land of Canaan, they reaped of the Corn, which was ripe in the Fields, and made their unleavened Cakes with it (ss); and God having now brought them into the Country, where Provisions were plentiful, the miraculous Food, which he had hitherto

⁽¹⁾ Namb. xxvi. (1, 65. (1) See Gen. xxxiv. 25. (2) July v. 10. (2) ver 11. (1) See Levit. xxiii. 6. (3) July v. 11.

given them, ceased; for on the fixteenth Day, and from thence forwards, there fell no Manna (t): The Commentators fuggest a Difficulty in determining what Produce of the Land the Israelites made use of: They remark, that the Sheaf of the Firstfruits of the Harvest was to be waved before the Lord, and a Day fet apart for the waving it, and performing the Offerings that were to attend it, before it was lawful to eat of the Fruits of the Ground (u), and the Israelites not having performed this Injunction, they contend that they used in their Feast of unleavened Bread, not of the Corn then growing and ripe in the Fields; but rather of Corn of a former Year's Produce (w): Our Translators favour this Opinion, and render the Place, They did eat of the old Corn of the Land: And Drusius and Bonfrerius thought they could conjecture, how a fufficient Supply of fuch old Corn might be had for them (x): Drusius imagines, that they found Corn-Dealers to buy it of; Bonfrerius, that they feized upon Stores of Corn laid up by the Canaanites: But, 1. It seems far more reasonable to imagine, that the Israelites reaped the Crop, which the Fields before them afforded, than that they should either find Stores sufficient in the Plains of Feri-

⁽t) Jofh. v. 12. (a) Levit. xxiii. 10. (av) Vid, Pool, Synopf. in lec. (x) Pool, ibid. $E \in \mathcal{Z}$

cho, or Merchants, that either could or would produce enough for the Occasions of fuch a numerous hostile Army: 2. It does not appear, that the Observance of the Wave-sheaf Offering, was to commence immediately upon their Entrance into the Land: I should rather think they began this Performance upon the first Harvest from their own Tillage: And this feems to have been Josephus's Opinion, for, 3. He expresly afferts the Iraelites to have reaped and used the Crop they found ripe and standing in the Fields of Canaan (y): 4. None of the ancient Versions favour what our Translators hint, that the I/raelites used here the old Corn of the Land. Nor, 5. do the Words of Joshua at all fuggest it. It is indeed a common Remark of the Critics, that the Hebrew Word [מעבור] Menabur here used, being derived from the Verb [Nabar] to pass, must necessarily signify the Crop, not of the present, but of the past Year; but as the Word occurs, I think, no where in the Bible, but in the Passage before us, 'tis not so easy to be certain of its Signification: The Verb Nabar not only figni-

⁽y) Josephu-'s Words are: Καὶ των Φάσκα ἐξεταζον ἐψ ἀκκίνο τῷ χερευ, πάντων ὧν ἀντοῖς πρέτερον συνέCare σπανίζων τότε ξαδίως ἐν τορκντες, τίνης γδ σίτον ἀκμάζονα κόπ Χαναναίων ἐδέριζον. Antiq. Lib. 5. cap. 4.

fies to pass, but in the Conjugation Pibel, to cause to be big with Young: It is thus used in the Book of Job (z), and by a Metapkor authorized by Tully in (a) a Latin Word of this Signification, [Nibber] may express to cause the Earth to be impregnated or loaden with Corn, and [Menabur] may be a Noun derived from the Participle of this Conjugation, and fignify the Burden or Crop upon the Ground; and the Suggestion of the Israelites using old Corn of a past Year's Growth, will thus appear to have no Foundation in the Hebrew Text at all.

Upon the Israelites Encampment in the Plains of Jericho, the Inhabitants of that City thut up their Gates, and kept close within their Walls (b): The Cities of the Canaanites were encompassed with Walls fo high, as to be faid to be fenced up to Heaven (c); and Men had not yet invented proper Engines of War for the affaulting fuch Towns, so as to get possession of them: We shall find, Ages after these Times, Cities impregnable to the greatest Armies, by the Strength and Height of their Walls:

⁽²⁾ Job xxi. 10. (a) Tully thus uses the Word Gravidata. He says, Quod si ea, quæ à Terrà stirpibus continentur, arte Naturæ vivunt et vigent; profecto ipsa Terra eadem vi continetur et arte Naturæ, quippe quæ, gravidata Seminibus, omnia pariat, et fundat ex sese: De Nat. (b) Jo:h. vi. I. Deorum. Lib. 2. c. 33. (c) Deut. ix. 1.

The City of Troy could never have been taken by the Greeks without a Stratagem, and Joshua was obliged to invent an Artifice in order to gain Entrance into Ai (d): The Men of Jericho having shut up their City might reasonably think themfelves fecure from the Israelites, and Jofbua and his Army could have no Hopes of reducing them, except by starving them into a Surrender; unless they could allure them to make Sallies, and thereby get an Opportunity of beating back their Forces to the City, and entring with them: But here the Lord appeared unto Joshua in the Form of a Man with a drawn Sword in his Hand (e): The Person, who now appeared, called himself the Prince, or Leader, or Captain of the Host of the Lord (f), a very proper Appellation for that divine Person, who had frequently appeared unto Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, and Moses; for the Lord of Hosts is his Name (g), is one of his Titles: That the Person, who at this time appeared to Joshua, was not an Angel, but this God of Israel, seems evident from the Worship which Joshua paid him (b), and from his requiring the same Regard to be had to his Presence, as he before demanded from Mojes, when he called himself the God of Abraham, the God of

⁽a) John vii. (c) v. 13, (f) ver. 14. (g) See Jor. x. 16, (l) John v. 17. Iface,

Isaac, and the God of Facob (i); and accordingly Joshua gives him the incommunicable (k) Name of God, calling him 7ebovab, in his Relation of what he faid to him (1). He told foskua, that he had given fericho into his Hand, and the King thereof, and the mighty Men of Valour (m); He instructed him what he expected the Israelites to do, to express their Reliance on his Promife (n); and when they had for feven Days marched round Fericho in the Manner which the Lord had directed, the Walls of Jericho without any Affault fell down flat upon the Ground, and they entred the Town and facked it, and put all the Inhabitants, Man, Woman, and Child to the Sword, except Rahab and her Family, and destroyed all the Cattle, and burnt the City; only the Silver and Gold, and the Veffels of Brass and Iron, they referved, according to the Directions which had been given them (0): And 70shua pronounced the Man to be accuried, who should ever attempt to rebuild Fericho, and prophefied, that he should lay the Foundation thereof in his First-born, and in his youngest Son set up the Gates of it (p); and this Prophely was remarkably fulfilled

(p) ver. 26.

⁽i) Josh. v. 15. Exod. iii. 5, 6. (k) See Vol. II. B. IX. Ifa. xlii. 8. (1) John vi. 2. (m) strid. (n) ver. 3, 4, 5. (o) John vi. 16.—25.

above five hundred Years after in the Days of Abab; for in his Days Hiel the Bethelite built Jericho, and his eldest Son Abiram died, when he laid the Foundations, and his youngest Son Serug died at his fetting up the Gates of it (q). The taking of Jericho was much noised throughout all the Country (r), and the Israelites prepared to attack Ai a neighbouring City; but the Detachments appointed for this Service were intirely routed (s): Whereupon Joshua and the Elders of Israel confulted God, and were informed, that a Transgression had been committed in the facking Fericho, for which they suffered this Punishment (t); and upon Enquiry, Achan the Son of Carmi, of the Tribe of Judah, was found to be the Transgressor, and he and his Family were condemned to Death, and all his Substance burnt in the Valley of Achor: After this exemplary Punishment of Achan's Transgression, the Ijraelites foon took Ai, and destroyed all the Inhabitants of it, and they took the Cattle and Spoil of the City for a Prey unto themselves; according to the Word of the Lord, which he commanded 70shua (u).

Mojes had enjoined, that when they should have passed over Jordan, they

⁽q) 1 Kings xvi. 34. (r) John vi. 27. (s) viii. 5. (t) ver. 6——11. (u) 11——26. viii. 1——29.

should set up on Mount Ebal great Stones, and plaister them with Plaister, and write the Law upon them (w); and they were to build an Altar there unto the Lord their God, and to offer Burnt-offerings and Peaceofferings, and to celebrate a Feast unto the Lord (x); and they were to divide the People, and to place fix of the Tribes on Gerizim a Mountain opposite to Ebal, and fix on Mount Ebal, and then the Levites from Mount Ebal were to read, with a loud Voice, the Curses set down by Moses for the Transgressions of the Law (y), unto each of which the People were to answer Amen (2): Then the Bleffings promised to the Observance of the Law were to be pronounced from Mount Gerizim (a), and hereby the Ifraelites were to make Acknowledgment of their Covenant with the Lord their God, and of their Obligation to keep his Commandments (b), and Foshua being now come to the Place, where these two Mountains were situate, took care to have every Part of what God had commanded herein, punctually performed(c).

It may not feem at first Sight easy to determine, what it was that Joshua here wrote upon the Stones, which he set up

⁽v) Deut. xxvii. 2, 3, 4. (x) ver. 5, 6, 7. (y) ver. 12, 13. (c) ver. 14, &c. (a) chap. xxviii. (b) xxvii. 9, 10. (c) Joth. viii. 30—35.

on Mount Ebal: The Samaritans indeed, if what they offer might be admitted, determine the Question very clearly; for in their Pentateuch in the XXth Chapter of Exodus, after the tenth Commandment, they add these with other Words; And it shall be when the Lord thy God shall cause thee to enter the Land of the Canaanites which thou goest unto, to possess it, that thou shalt set up great Stones, and shalt plaister them with Plaister, and shalt write upon the Stones all the Words of this Law, &c. So that according to this Account the Command for what was here to be done, was originally given in an audible Voice by God himself from Mount Sinai to all the People, and what Moses directed about it afterwards, must be understood with Reference, and agreeably to what God himself here first commanded about it; and accordingly the Command here given being, that the Israelites should write upon the Stones all the Words of this Law, namely of the Law just then published, (for there had then been no other as yet given) it will follow, that the Decalogue or ten Commandments was what they were to inscribe upon the Stones to be erected: This would unquestionably be the Fact, if what the Samaritans here infert in their Pentateuch, ought indeed to be inferted; but that it ought not is most evident; for Moles himself expresly testifies, that when

when God spake the ten Commandments out of the midst of the Fire (w) from Mount Sinai unto the Affembly of the I/raelites, that he spake only the ten Commandments, and added no more; and confequently, all that the Samaritans add here is a manifest Interpolation: And it is a known Imputation, which the Jews have ever charged them with, that they have tampered with this Place, as well as changed the Names of the two Mountains Ebal and Gerizim, putting Gerizim where Moses wrote Ebal, and Ebal where Mofes wrote Gerizim (x), in order to procure a Veneration to Mount Gerizim, fuch as might favour their choosing it in Opposition to the Yews for their Place of Worthip: And thus we have no Information from the Samaritan Pentateuch, about what Joshua infcribed, or was directed to infcribe upon the Stones set up on Mount Ebal: The Jewish Writers abound in Fictions upon this Point: Some of them fay that Joshua inscribed the whole five Books of Moses; nay they add, that he did it feventy times over, in feventy different Languages, in order to leave Monuments, fuch as might instruct all the Nations upon Earth in the Law, and that in their own Tongue: And thus these Writers were so far from seeing any Difficulty in the Query, which to others

⁽w) Deut. v. 22. (x) See Prideaux's Connect. Part.I. B. VI.

has feemed confiderable; namely, whether Joshua could find either Stones enow to contain, or had Time enough to inscribe fo large a Transcript, as a Copy of the whole five Books of Moses, that they shew evidently, that nothing can be fo marvellous, but that their Imagination can furmount it: If 700 or 7000 had been as favourite a Number with them as 70, they would have had no Scruple of multiplying the Copies up to their Humour: But 70 being the Number of the Elders of Ifrael chosen by Moses, and appointed by God to affift in the Government of his People (y); they hence imagined that there were originally from the Dispersion of Mankind but 70 Nations, and 70 different Languages in the World; tho' confidering that Moles and the High-Priest, joined with the feventy, made two more, they should have made 72 their darling Number, as it was afterwards when Arifteas's Fiction about the Septuagint Translation of the Hebrew Scriptures obtained amongst them (2). Moses with the Elders of Israel commanded the People, faying, Keep all the Commandments which I command you this Day; And it shall be on the Day, when you shall pass over Jordan ---, that thou shalt set thee up great Stones, and plaister them with Plaister, and thou shalt write upon

⁽y) Numb, xi. (α) See Prideaux's Connect Part. II. B. I. them.

them all the Words of this Law -This was the Command which Moses gave about what they were to do at Mount Ebal, and I have often thought, that all the Words of this Law might be the Words of the Law he then at that time gave them; namely, the Words which Moses has fet down in the xxvII and XXVIII Chapters of Deuteronomy, beginning at the 15th Verse of the xxv11 Chapter, Cursed be the Man, and so on to the End of the XXVIII Chapter. That this was what Joshua wrote, and consequently what Moses had enjoined to be written, feems evident to me from the Account we have of Joshua's Performance (a) of this Commandment: Yoshua built an Altar unto the Lord God of Ifrael in Mount Ebal - an Altar of whole Stones -, and he wrote there, upon the Stones, in the Presence (b) of the People, [Mishneh Torath Moseh,] i.e. a Copy of the Law of Moses; certainly not a Copy of all the Statutes of the 'Fervish Law; for the Stones of the Altar could not be fufficient to contain fuch a large Body of Institutions; rather he wrote the

feveral

⁽a) Joh. viii. 30—32. (b) The Hebrew Text is, זיכתב - שׁכוֹ על- האבנים את משנה תורת משה אשר כתב לפני בני ושראל i. c. And he wrote there upon the Stones a Copy of the Law

i. e. And he worste there upon the Stones a Copy of the Law of Moses, which he [i. e. Johna] wrote, [we should say in English, and he wrote it] before the Faces [in the Presence] of the Children of Israel.

feveral Curses and Bleflings, which Moses had charged to be here pronounced to the People (c): This appears to have been the Fact from the thirty fourth Verse. Joshua, after he had wrote the Law, read what he had written, all the Words of the Law; And what he read was only, the Blessings and Cursings, according to all that is written in the Book of the Law, (d); fo that he transcribed only the feveral Bleffings and Curfings that Moses had recorded; these he copied out from the Book of the Law, and wrote upon the Stones [Mishneh] a Copy or Duplicate of them. As to the Opinion of some Writers, that Foshua might perhaps inscribe, not indeed all the Law of Mojes, but an Abstract or Compendium of it; the Heads or Titles, fay others; the Account we have of what Joshua wrote, does not favour any fuch Conjectures: He copied from the Book of the Law the feveral Bleffings and Curfings, which were here to be pronounced: The Transcript of these is said to be a Copy of the Law of Moses, and so it was, as far as the particular Case they were here concerned in, obliged them to take a Copy of it.

The Success of the *Ifraelites* against *Jericho* and *Ai* alarmed the neighbouring Nations of *Canaan*, and caused them to form a Consederate Army for their common Sasety (e); but the *Gibeonites*, who

⁽c) Deut. xxvii. 11, &c. (d) Josh, viii. 34. (e) ix. 1, 2.

Mi surta

were a People of the Hivites (f), declined the Association, and sent Ambassadors to Joshua, and by a Stratagem obtained a League with Ifrael (g). Joshua and the Elders of Ifrael appear to have treated unadvisedly with this People; for they asked not Counsel about them at the Mouth of the Lord (b): And it may be questioned whether the Treaty, which they went into with them, was not directly contrary to what God had commanded; for with fome particular Nations, of one of which these Gibeonites were a People (i), God had strictly commanded them, to smite them and utterly destroy them, and to make no Covenant with them, nor shew Mercy unto them (k): In like manner, there are Doubts to be raifed concerning the IfraelitesPerformance of what they had promised: When they came unto the Cities of this People, they smote them not, because the Princes of the Congregation had sworn unto them by the Lord God of Israel (1): They apprehended that they might not touch them because of the Oath which they had fworn unto them (m); and yet one would think, they did not truly keep the publick Faith which they had given; for tho' they did indeed let the Gibeonites

⁽f) Jofh. xi. 19. (g) ver 4.—15. (h) ver. 14. (i) Exod. xxxiv. 12, &c. (l) Deut. 7. 2. (l) Jofh. ix. 18. (m) ver. 20.

live, yet they did not perform this Promise in the publick Sense in which they feem to have treated with this People; they did not let them live a People; they took from them the very Being of a Nation; reduced them to a State of Servitude, which a brave and valiant People would probably have died a thousand Deaths, rather than have fubmitted to (n): These and other Reflexions that do naturally arise from what the Book of Joshua offers us upon this Affair, would induce us to enquire, whether the Israelites were absolutely commanded utterly to destroy all the Inhabitants of the feven Nations of Canaan; whether they could upon no Terms enter into a League with any of them; whether what the Israelites granted to the Gibeonites upon their Embassy, was contrary to what God had commanded; and how they at last acquitted themselves of the League they had made with them.

I. Were the Israelites absolutely commanded to destroy all the Inhabitants of the Nations, whose Lands God had given them for an Inheritance? I answer, No. The Direction to the Israelites was this: When thou comest night unto a City to sight against it, then proclaim Peace unto it: and

⁽n) Libertatem [Jays Caius Maniius in Sallust. Lib. de bello Catilinar.] quam nemo bonus nisi cum vita simul amittit.

it shall be, if it make thee an Answer of Peace, and open to thee, then it shall be, that all the People that is found therein, shall be Tributaries unto thee, and shall ferve thee (o): Thus the Israelites were to behave unto all Cities; unto the Cities of the Hittites, of the Amorites, of the Canaanites, of the Perizzites, of the Hivites, of the Jebusites, and of the Girgashites (p); as well as unto the Cities of other Nations, as is intimated from what follows: If [fays Moles] it will make no Peace with thee, but will make War against thee, then thou shalt besiege it, and when the Lord thy God bath delivered it into thy Hands, thou shalt smite every Male thereof with the Edge of the Sword: But the Women and the little Ones, and the Cattle, and all that is in the City, even all the Spoil thereof, thou shalt take unto thy felf - Thus shalt thou do unto all the Cities which are very far off from thee, which are not of the Cities of these Nations. But of the Cities of these People, which the Lord thy God doth give thee for an Inheritance,

⁽a) Deut. XX. 10, 11. (b) Trinas [Jays Rabbi Samuel Ben Nachman] Præmifit Joiua Epitlolas in Terram Iriaetiticam, feu potids Litteris tria propofuit; qui Fugam
mallent, aufugerent; qui Pacem, in Fædus venirent; qui
Bellum, arma susciperent: Unde Girgeiæi credentes in
Deum O. M. aufugerunt, in Africam se conserentes—
Gibeonitæ in Fædus veniebant, adeoque Terræ Israelitiæ
Incolæ manebant; Reges triginta ac unus B-ll-um susceperunt, et cecidere: Gem. Hierosolym, Vid. Selden de Jure Nat.

Et Gentium, juxia disciplin. Henreor. Lib. 6 c. 14, p = 6

Vol. III. Ff

thou shalt save alive nothing that breatheth: But thou shalt utterly destroy them, namely, the Hittites, and the Amorites, the Canaanites, and the Perizzites, the Hivites, and the Jebusites, as the Lord thy God hath commanded thee (s). In these Verses Mojes directs the Israelites how they were to behave towards the Cities of their Enemies, that should attempt to hold out against them: And they were ordered to use a Severity towards the Nations of the Land of their Inheritance, if they refused Peace, greater than towards the Cities of other Nations for the like Obstinacy; which there had been no room to enjoin, if these Nations were to have been utterly destroyed without any Offers of Peace to be made to them: But the Israelites were to proclaim Peace to all the Cities of their Enemies; and whatever City accepted the Offer, the Inhabitants of it were to become their Servants: But if the Peace thus offered was refused, then, if the City that rejected it was not one of the Land of their Inheritance, the Israelites, as soon as they had reduced it, were to put all the

⁽s) Deut. xx. 12—17. Our present Hebreve Copies from to have omitted the Girgashnes, who were one of the seven Nations, that were to be desproyed; See Deut. vii. The Samarian Text supplies this Desert in this Place, and gives us the seven Nations in this Order, the Canaanites and the America, and the Hitties, and the Girgashites, and the Periazites, and the Hivites, and the Jebustes.

Men to the Sword, and to spare the Women and little Ones and Cattle, and to take the Spoil: Or, if it was a City of the Land of their Inheritance that had rejected their Offers, then as foon as they could reduce it, they were utterly to destroy all the Inhabitants, and to fave alive nothing that breathed belonging to it. That this is indeed the true Meaning of what Moses directs, is confirmed from a Remark of Joshua's. He observes, that as God had purposed utterly to destroy the Nations of Canaan (t), fo he did not dispose any of them to accept of Peace from the Israelites, in order to their Prefervation: There was not, fays he, a City, that made peace with the Children of Israel, fave the Hivites, the Inhabitants of Gibeon; all other they took in Battle; for it was of the Lord (u) to harden their Hearts (w), that they should come against Israel in Battle, that he might destroy them utterly, and that they might have no Favour; but that he might destroy them, as the Lord commanded Moses (x). Cunaus comments up-

⁽t) See Wisdom xii. 3. (u) I cannot but observe how elosely the Reslexion of Joshua here is copied by Homer. In all the Evils that came upon the Greeks from the Distrement between Achilles and Agamemnon, Homer says:

\[\Delta \tilde{\gamma} \circ \tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\gamma

on this Text very justly to this Purpose: "It is plain, says he, from hence, "that these Nations were therefore extirpated, because they chose rather the "Chance of War, than to accept the Terms "which the Israelites could offer them: But if they would have surrender'd "when summoned, undoubtedly they had

" not been destroyed" (y).

There is a Passage in the Book of Deuteronomy, which may feem to intimate, that these Nations of Canaan were absolutely to be destroyed by the Israelites, without any Terms of Favour or Mercy. When the Lord thy God, says Moses, shall bring thee into the Land, whither thou goest to possess it, and bath cast out many Nations before thee, the Hittites, and the Girgashites, and the Amorites, and the Canaanites, and the Perizzites, and the Hivites, and the Jebusites, seven Nations greater and mightier than thou: And when the Lord thy God shall deliver them before thee, thou shalt smite them and utterly destroy them, thou shalt make no Covenant with them, nor shew Mercy unto them - But, thus shall ye deal with them: Ye shall destroy their

⁽y) Enimvero illud hinc efficitur, deletas propterea eas Gentes effe, quia Eelli Fortunam tentare, quam conficere Pacem in Ifraelitarum Leges maluerun: Quod fi Fecialibus aufcultäffent, utique jam falus eorum neutiquam in dubio funfet. Cuncus de Repub, Hebraor, I. 2, c, 20.

Altars, and break down their Images, and burn their graven Images with Fire ---. And thou shalt consume all the People, which the Lord thy God shall deliver thee, thine Eye shall have no pity upon them (z): I would observe upon this Text, that it is a Direction to the Israelites, what they were to do to these Nations, after they had attacked them and fubdued them; but it gave them no Charge to extirpate or destroy any People who should choose to fubmit and furrender without engaging in a War against them: The Directions given in this Text were to be executed, when the Lord bad brought the Israelites into the Lands of these Nations (a), and bad cast out the Inhabitants before them, (b); When the Lord had given the People of these Nations into the Hands of the I/raelites (c), and had discomfited them, and caused them to flee (d); then indeed the

⁽z) Deut. vii. 1, 2, 5, 16, &c. (a) ver. 1. (b) ibid. (c) ver. 2. (d) None of the Translators of the Bible have, I think, carefully attended to the Hebrew Text in rendring the Words in the 2d Verse, which we translate, Thou shalt smite them. The Hebrew Word is [DINITH], which I take to be not in the second Person THOU, but to be the third Person of the Præterit. Hiphil of the Verb [DII], and that the Lord thy God going before, is the Nominative Case to it. I should imagine the Word [1224] to be referred to this Verb, and should render the Place I'm: And when the Lord thy God shall have given them up, and since them before thee, thou shalt utterly dethoy them, &c.

438

Israelites were to have no Pity upon them, but to fmite them and utterly destroy them; to consume them and make an end of them (e). This Vengeance the Israelites had in charge to execute upon all these Nations, after they had entred into a War with them, and obtained a Conquest over them: But nothing in the Text intimates, that they were to have proceeded with this Severity against any Nation, that chose to furrender, before they had tried the Issue of War, and determined their Fate by it: If any of them had not come out against the Israelites in Battle (f), but had delivered up their Cities upon Summons (g), before the Lord had defeated and discomfited them, they might have had Terms to fave their Lives (b): But let us enquire what Terms the Israelites could give them, and whether,

II. They could make a Covenant, or enter into a League with them. And this Point may be clearly determined, if we confider diffinctly the feveral Injunctions laid upon them: And here, 1. They were evidently commanded not to tolerate the Worship of the Idols of Canaan in any Part of the Land. Wheresoever they could carry their victorious Arms, they were to

⁽e) Deut. vii. 2. (f) According to Joshu xi. 19, 20. (g) Deut. xx. 10, 11. (b) Ibid. et Josh. ubi sup.

take care not to bow down to the Gods of these Nations, but they were utterly to overthrow them, to break down their Images (i), to destroy their Altars, cut down their Groves (k); or, as it is expressed in another Place, they were utterly to destroy all the Places, wherein these Nations had served their Gods, upon the high Mountains and upon the Hills, and under every green Tree: They were to overthrow their Altars, break their Pillars, burn their Groves with Fire, hew down the graven Images of their Gods, and destroy the Names of them out of the Place (1): Thus they were intirely to abolish the Religion that was embraced in these Nations, and it is hard to be imagined, that they could make a League with any of the States of them, whilst they were so doing; for as a League between two Nations implies, in the very Notion of it, their having upon some Terms given their mutual Faith each to other, to observe punctually what had been stipulated between them; and as fuch publick Faith was, according to the Custom of these Times, generally given and taken at a publick Sacrifice, where the Parties to the Treaty fware folemnly to each other by

⁽i) Exod. xxiii. 24. (k) Exod. xxxiv. 13. (l) Deut. xii. 2, 3.

their respective Gods (m); so it is hard to fay how the Israelites, who were in no wife to allow the Idols of Canaan to be Gods, could take this publick Faith from the Worshippers of them. And this I think is hinted in the Command given them: Thou shalt make no Covenant with them and their Gods (n): According to the Forms of these Times, a Covenant could hardly be made with a People, without admitting their Gods into it, to be their Witnesses of it, and Avengers of those who should break it: But the Israelites could not fo far recognize the falle Objects of the Worship of these Nations, and therefore could not thus enter into Covenant with them. But, 2. The Israelites were not only to demolish and destroy the Idols of Canaan, but they were to take away from the People both their Place and Nation. All the Lands and Cities of the feveral Nations that inhabited Canaan, were to be divided by Lot amongst the Tribes of the Children of Ifrael, to every Family of each Tribe

⁽m) See and compare Gen. xxvi. 28.—31. with xxxi 44.—55. and in this manner the Heathen Nations made Truces and Leagues with one another, as might be proved from many Places in Homer, and other ancient Writers. (n) Exod. xxiii. 32 Our English Version of the Text is injudicious, and not strictly agreeable to the Hebrew Particle. One thing only is here forbidden, the making or confirming a League with them. for the doing of which it was necessary to proceed according to the Religious Rites used for that Purpose.

a fuitable Part and Portion of them (0), and in order hereto the Israelites were, as God should enable them, to disposses the Inhabitants, and to take Possession of them. God had indeed determined not to drive out the Canaanites before the Israelites all in one Year, immediately upon the Israelites entring into their Land; because such a Procedure would have had its Inconveniencies (p): But the I/raelites were, as they increased, to be enabled by little and little to fubdue them (q), and they were strictly commanded, as they grew able, to take from them their Possessions, and not to fuffer any of them to retain wherewith to live as a People, amongst them (r). From the xxth of Deuteronomy it may perhaps at first Sight seem as if the Israelites had Power, when they fummoned the Cities of these Nations, if they had an Anfwer of Peace from them, to let the Inhabitants hold their Cities upon Condition of paying Tribute for them (s); but the Text duly confidered gave no fuch Liberty: If a City opened unto them, then it was to be, that all the People that were found therein, were to be Tributaries, and to serve them (t): It is not faid, that the Israelites were

⁽a) See Numb. xxxiii. and xxvi. 1—53. (b) Exod. xxiii. 29. (g) ver. 30. (r) ver. 33. Deut. vii. 22, 23. Joh. xxiii. 5, 7, 11, 12, 13. (c) Deut. xx. 11. (f) ibid.

to put such Cities under Tribute, which would have been the Expression, if they were to have treated them as political Bodies, and to have continued them in that Capacity, only raising a Tax or Tribute upon them (u); but all the People found therein, were to be Tributaries and Servants: The Terms to be given were, not to a City, or People in their collective Capacity, but to the Individuals; to the feveral Perfons, who had composed it: And they were to become Tributaries and Servants in the Manner, that Solomon afterwards dealt with their Children in some particular Cities, where he found them (w); he made them pay Tribute (x), or, as it is otherwise expressed in the Book of Kings, he levied a Tribute of Bond-Service upon them (y), the Nature of which is sufficiently explained by what follows: Of the Children of Israel did Solomon make no Bond-men, but they were his Men of War, and his Servants, and his Princes, and his Captains, and bare Rule over the People,

⁽u) When Pharaoh Necho, after the Death of Josiah, fent for Jehoahaz, subom the People had made King at Jerusalem, and sent him Prisoner to Egypt, and set up Jehoiakim King in his stead; as he did not take away from the Jews their being a People, tho he raised a Tax or Tribute upon them; so it is not said that all the People became Tributaries unto kim and served kim, but that he put the Land to a Tribute. 2 Kings xxiii. 32.

⁽w) 2 Chren. viii. 7, 8. (x) ibid.

that wrought in the Work (2), and consequently the Tributaries, those who paid him the Tribute of Bond-service, were, under the Direction of these Israelites, obliged to perform the Work and Service, that was required of them. And that this was the true Intent of the Direction to the I/raelites in the Text above-cited (a), is evident from what appears to have been the Failure, when afterwards they did not execute what had been given in Charge to them. Thus after the Death of Joshua, the Children of Benjamin did not drive out the Jebusites from Jerusalem (b); the Children of Manasseh did not disposses the Inhabitants of Bethshean, and several other Towns, of their respective Cities (c): Ephraim was faulty in like manner with regard to the Canaanites of Gezer (d), Zebulun to the Inhabitants of Kitron and Nabalol (e), Asher and Naphtali to several other Cities (f); tho' in all these Cases, as the feveral Tribes grew strong enough, they reduced these Communities so far, as to compel them to pay Tribute for their Possessions (g); but because herein they came to Terms with them, contrary to what God had commanded them, to make

⁽z) 1 Kings ix. 22. 23. (a) Deut. xx. 11. (b) Judg. i. 21. (c) ver. 27. (d) ver. 29. (c) ver. 30. (f) ver. 32, 33. (g) ver. 30, 33, 35.

no League with them (b); therefore what Joshua had before threatned (i), was now by an Angel denounced against them; that God would not drive these Nations out from before them; but that they should be as Thorns in their Sides, and their Gods a Snare unto them (k). This, I think, is a true Representation of what the Israelites were enjoined, with Regard to the Treatment which the Inhabitants of these Nations were to have from them; And from all this, I think, it evidently appears, that the Israelites could enter into no Alliance, could make no (1) League, no Covenant with them. They had indeed Liberty to give them Quarter; to grant them their Lives upon Condition they would become their Servants; but this, I think, cannot properly be called making a League, Covenant or Alliance with them; for a League is one Thing, and Servitude quite another (m): The Word League is indeed used in a large Sense by the Civilians. The Romans admitted it to fignify a Grant

⁽b) Exod xxiii, 32. Deut. vii. 2. (i) Joh. xxiii. 13. (k) Judg. ii. 2. (1) Exod. and Deut. ubi fup. (m) Dedititii non proprie in Fædere, fed in Ditione esse dicuntur, unde illud Latinorum de Campanis apud Livium; Campanorum aliam Conditionem esse, qui non Fædere, sed per Ditionem in Fidem venissent. Item de Apulis, ita in Societatem cos esse acceptos, ut non aquo Fædere, sed ut in Ditione Populi Romani essent. Vid. Calvin. Lexic. Jurid. in verbo Fœdus.

of any Favours to conquered Nations (n); and Diodorus Siculus uses a Word of like Import, where a Conqueror had reduced the Persons he had subdued to accept such Terms as he thought fit to give them (0): In like manner the Men of Jabesh-Gilead were offered a League with the Ammonite, by which they were to fubmit to ferve him. and to have all their right Eyes thrust out, in order to be made a Reproach to all Israel (p): And in both these Cases, as the People treated with were to be continued a People, what was granted might be stiled a League or Covenant made with them: But the Israelites were not to suffer the Nations of Canaan any longer to have a Being: Their Cities, Country and Poffessions were to be taken from them, and their Persons to become the Property of the new Possessors of their Lands and Estates: And under these Circumstances, whatever Favour each Canaanite might meet with in his private Capacity, from the feveral Israelites into whose Hands he

⁽n)—Esse autem tria Genera Fœderum: unum, cum bello viĉtis dicerentur Leges: ubi enim omnia ei, qui armis plus potest, dedita essent, quæ ex iis habere viĉtos, quibus mustari eos velit, ipsius Jus atque Arbitrium esse. Livii Hist. Lib. 34. c. 57.

 ⁽ο) Τεύταμον κ) τὰς μετ' ἀυτῶ καταπληξάμεν Θ, κ)
 σπονδὰς οἵας ἐδὰλετο δέδζ παραπαδασάμεν Θ- ἔδωκε
 χάρον κ) πόλιν ἐς κατοίκησιν. Diodor. Sic. Ecl. p. 839.
 Edit. Rhodoman.
 (p) 1 Sam ii. 2.
 might

might fall; yet no League or Covenant could be lawfully concluded with any Nation or Community of them; because the Israelites were not at Liberty to permit any such Body-politic of them to remain in Being, to receive and enjoy what by such League might be granted to them. Let

us now enquire,

III. Whether the League concluded between Israel and the Gibeonites was contrary to what God had enjoined: And I should think it certainly was; for unquestionably the Peace and the League made by Joshua with this People was of a publick Nature: It was confirmed to their Ambaffadors, who appeared to treat no otherwife than in their publick Character; as Agents, not stipulating to save the Lives of a few or of any Number of private Men; but as negotiating for the Publick, for the Health and Safety of the Community that employed them: And to take Occasion, from the Words that tell us the Nature of the League, which Joshua made with this People, to fay; that he had only promifed to let them live (q), and consequently, that the Israelites had fully performed what they had engaged, inasmuch as they did not put the Men, Women and Children of Gibeon and its Cities to the Sword,

⁽q) Josh. ix. 15.

would be, I should think, a lower Quibble, than the Romans were guilty of to the Carthaginians; when having granted by a publick Decree of the Senate, that Carthage should be a free State, enjoy its own Laws, and possess its Domains in Africa; if they immediately delivered Hostages, and performed what the Confuls had in Charge to require of them (r); they explained to them, that they thought the People, not the City to be the State of Carthage (s), and demanded of them to raze their City, and to build themselves another in a Situation higher up in their Country (t). The Israelites were undoubtedly obliged by their Treaty to stop the War, when they came to the Cities of Gibeon; they had difarmed themselves, and were not at Liberty to touch or to fmite this People, because of the Oath they had fworn unto them; and as the faving alive the Inhabi-

⁽r) Έλν τοῖς δπάτοις τειακοσίας τες δεθοξοτάτες σεῶν σαῖδας ἐς ὁμπρέαν σαεἰχασι, ἢ τ ἀλλα κατακόσουν ἀντῶι, ἐξῆς Καρχηθονα ἐλοδθέαν τε ἢ ἀι-τόνομον, ἢ γμῦ, δοπν ἔχεσιν δυ Λιβύπ. Αρρίαπ. de bello Punic. p. 43. (s) Καρχηθόνα β ὑμᾶς, ἐ τ δ ἔδαοΦ, ἡγάμιθα ' Id. p. 52. In voce, liberam relinqui Carthaginem, manifesta erat Captio: frustra Vocem Carthaginis urgebant Romani. dicentes Civium multitudinem, non Urbem significari. Grot. de Jure Belli & Pac. Lib. 2. c. 16. (t) Ἐκκητε τῆς ΚαρχηθόνΦ ἡμῖν, ἢ ἀνοινίσαδε ὅπη κέλετε, ἢ ὑμετέρες ὁγδοίκοι α καθίας ἀτὸ δαλάσσης τήνθες δὶ ἡμῦν ἐγνως α κατασμά μα. Αρρίαπ. p. 46.

tants, but demolishing or taking from them their Cities and Inheritance, would have been not keeping, but evading the publick League that was made with this Nation; so in this the *Ifraelites* had unadvisedly brought themselves into a great Strait, having solemnly granted what they could not perform, without a manifest Neglect and Violation of what God had in the strictest Manner required of them. It

will,

IV. Be asked, how then did the Israelites acquit themselves in this Matter: And to this, I think, the Answer is obvious: They remonstrated to the Gibeonites the Fraud they had been guilty of to obtain the Treaty; they proposed as an Expedient, upon what Terms they could give them their Lives; the Gibeonites consented to accept the Offer they made them, and their confenting hereto was what fet the Israelites free from the Embarrasments they were under in this Matter: Joshua faid unto the Gibeonites, Wherefore have ye beguiled us, saying, We are very far from you, when ye dwell among us (x)? The Israelites had fully explained to this People, that they should be under Difficulties in making a League with them, if they dwelt amongst them (y), and therefore

⁽x) Josh. ix. 22. (y) ver. 7.

Joshua had the highest Reason to resent and expostulate the inexcusable Baseness of their Behaviour in the Treaty: However, as the Israelites had Power to receive any of these Nations, if the People of them would become their Bondsmen to serve them (z), upon these Terms Joshua made them an Offer of their Lives (a): The Gibeonites embraced the Proposal, which he made to them; acknowledged that they expected, that all their Lands could not but be taken from them; and that they aimed at nothing more, in what they had done, than barely to fave their Lives (b), and that they intirely acquiefced in his Disposal of them in any Manner he could contrive for them (c): Accordingly upon this second Treaty or Accommodation, Joshua made them Hewers of Wood and Drawers of Water for the Congregation, and for the Altar (d): Had the Gibeonites been unwilling to comply with what was thus proposed to them, I imagine Joshua would have brought their Cause before the Lord (e), would have asked the special Direction of God, before he and the Elders of Israel would have thought themselves at Liberty to proceed in it. Two Things may be observed upon the Manner of fini-

VOL. III.

⁽²⁾ Vid. quæ fup. (2) Josh. ix. 23. (b) ver. 24. (c) ver 25. (d) ver. 26, 27. (e) See Numb. xxvii. 5. ix. 8.

shing this Affair. 1. Joshua did not disfipate this People, by allotting them to be Servants to the Families of the I/raelites: He kept them together, as much a Nation as he had Power to allow them to be, a publick Body of Servants for the Occasions of the Congregation. 2. He seems to have punished their Perfidy, by appointing them and their Posterity to a perpetual Bondage: And this, I think, he expressed to them: Now therefore ye are accurfed, and there shall none of you be freed from being Bondmen (f): Had the Gibeonites treated openly and uprightly with the Israelites, I imagine, there was nothing in the Law, that would have prevented their being received upon such Terms, as that after some Generations, their Children might have come into the Congregation, and been free in I/rael (g).

When the Canaanites heard, that the Inhabitants of Gibeon were gone over to the Ifraelites, they were uneasy at it: such a Defection from their common Cause gave them new Fears; for Gibeon was a large and powerful City (a): However they refolved to take Measures to deter other Towns from following this Example, and to defeat Joshua of the additional Strength, which the Gibeonites might be to him.

⁽f) Josh. ix. 23.

⁽g) See Deut. xxiii.

And for this End they immediately marched their Forces, under the Command of five of their Kings, against the Gibeonites (b): The Gibeonites sent unto Gilgal to Joshua for Succour (c): Yoshua with his Army soon came to their Relief, and obtained an intire Victory over the five Kings, took them all Prisoners, and put them to Death (d): Two very great Miracles attended the Battle this Day fought between the Canaanites and the Ifraelites: One, that God was pleased by a Storm of Hailstones to kill more of the Enemy, than (e) fell by the Sword of the Israelites; the other, that at the Word of Joshua, the Sun and Moon were feen to stand still, for near a whole Day, to afford the Israelites a Continuance of Day-Light (f) to purfue their Victory. It is obvious to observe how remarkably pertinent both these Miracles were, to the Circumstances of the Persons concerned in them. The Elements, and the Sun, Moon and Lights of Heaven, were the Deities at this Time worshipped by the Inhabitants of Canaan (g); but the Israelites were the Servants of a truer God, by whose Command, and under whose Protection they were to war against these Nations and against their Gods: and what greater Demonstration could be given of

⁽b) John x, 3, 4, 5. (c) ver. 6. (d) ver. 7. (e) ver. 11. (f) ver. 13. (g) See Vol. I. Book V.

G g 2 the

See Christian Observer II. p. 94.

the Power of their God to support them, or of the Inability of the false Deities of the Canaanites to affift their Worshippers, than to fee, that the God of Ifrael could cause these to contribute to, instead of preventing the Ruin that was coming upon those who served them? We cannot imagine, that Joshua should, without a special Intimation from Heaven, have addreffed unto God the Prayer concerning the Sun and Moon, which he is recorded to have made in the Sight of Israel (b); for of what an Extravagance had he appeared guilty, if an Effect had not been given to what he asked for? or how could he be fo wild as to think of an Accomplishment of so strange an Expectation as this would have been, had it been only a Thought of his own Heart to wish for it? But unquestionably the same Lord, who fpake unto him before the Battle; who bade him not fear the Armies of the Canaanites; who affured him that they should not be able to stand before him; directed him to ask for this wonderful Miracle, and in granting what he had asked for, gave a full Testimony both to the Israelites and their Enemies, that the Gods of the Heathen were but Idols, and that it is the Lord that made, and that ruleth in, the

⁽b) Josh. x. 12.

Heavens (i). But there are some further Observations, that ought to be made upon this extraordinary Miracle. For,

It is remarkable, that what Joshua defired, and what was faid to be done upon this Occasion, is recorded in the facred History in Words not agreeable to what are now abundantly known to be the Motions of the Bodies, that compose the Mundane System: Joshua desired, that the Sun might stand still upon Gibeon, and the Moon in the Valley of Ajalon (k): And the Event faid to be the Effect of this his Prayer unto the Lord (1) is thus related, And the Sun food still, and the Moon stayed, until the People had avenged themselves upon their Enemies; so the Sun stood still in the midst of Heaven, and basted not to go down about a whole Day (m); and there was no Day like that before it or after it. The Thing which Joshua here prayed for, was to have the Day lengthened, and the Manner in which he defired to have this his Prayer accomplished, was by having the Sun and Moon stopped in their Motions, and agreeably to his Request the Text tells us, that the Sun and Moon were stopped, and did not move forward for about a whole Day: But it is now fufficiently known, that Day and Night are not caused by any

⁽i) Pfal. xcvi. 5. (k) Josh. x. 12. (1) ibid. (m) ver. 13. Gg3 Motion

Motion of the Sun and Moon, but rather by the Earth's Rotation upon its own Axis, and confequently the facred Pages state this Fact absolutely wrong, as to the Circumstances that caused it; and if so, can we think them to have been dictated by God, who cannot err in this, or in any Matter? I answer, 1. Tho' the Succession of Day and Night is indeed caused by a real Miotion of the Earth, and not of the Sun and Moon, as our modern Astronomers can abundantly demonstrate; yet to Appearance, not the Earth, but the Sun and Moon feem to have those Motions, which are vulgarly ascribed to them; as to a Mariner at Sea, failing within View of a distant Coast, not the Ship he fails in, but the Land he fees at a Diftance, feems to be in Motion, as he passes by it. 2. In the early Ages, both before and long after the Days of Joshua, the most learned Astronomers had no Notion of the Improvements, which our modern Professors have fince attained to, but conceived the Sun and Moon to have their respective Courses, according to what common Appearance enabled them to judge and think of them, and agreeably hereto they formed their Schemes, and thought themselves able to folve and account for all Appearances by them: And confequently, 3. Had God enabled Joshua to form his Defire of a longer Day in a Manner more agreeable to our

new and more accurate Astronomy, and dictated to him to record the Miracle in Terms suitable and agreeable to it, Joshua must have appeared both to have wished a Thing, and expressed it to have been effected, in a Manner directly contrary to all Rules of Science then known, and his Account of what had happened would have been decryed, in the Times he lived in, as false in Astronomy, and no great Regard would have been paid to it. It would have appeared rather a wild Fancy or gross Blunder of his own, than a true Account of a real Miracle, and fo have been but little attended to by the Persons for whom, and in the Ages, which fucceeded that, in which it was written. 4. We do not read in the facred Text, that God declared the Sun and Moon to have flood still upon this Occasion: We may suppose that God might intimate to Joshua that he would grant him a miraculous Prolongation of the Day, if he would at the Head of his Army ask publickly for it (n); Hereupon Joshua made his Request in fuch Terms, as according to his own Conceptions, were proper to be used to ask such a Miracle: " May the " Sun, faid he, stand still upon Gibcon, " and the Moon in the Valley of Ajalon".

⁽n) Josh. x. 12.

This he thought must have been caused, if fuch a Length of Day, as he was ordered to require, was to be given to him. God heard his Request, and gave him the Thing he was to ask for, a Day of near twice the Length of any other: The Hiftorians of the Times recorded the Fact according to what it appeared to be to them, and agreeably to what was then thought to be true Astronomy; and accordingly, the Sun and Moon appearing, and being thought for feveral Hours together, not to have moved forward in their Courses, both the Author, of the Book of Jasher (0) afterwards, and Joshua now in his History relate to us, that the Sun food still, and the Moon stayed - and hasted not to go down about a whole Day. And, 5. We may reasonably suppose, that the Joshua wrote his History under the Direction of a divine Assistance, yet that God would not interpose to prevent his recording this Fact in this Manner: For, tho' all Scripture is given by Inspiration of God, yet certainly it is given fo no further, than is necessary to make it profitable for Dostrine, for Reproof, for Correction, for Instruction in Rightcoulness (p); and the Narration of Joshua might tully answer this the great End of Scripture; might teach the Israelites the Power of their God to direct and govern the Hea-

⁽o) Josh. x. 13. (p) 2 Tim. iii. 16.

vens as he pleased; might reprove the Idolaters of their vain Worship of the Sun and Moon, whom they fondly called the King and Queen of Heaven (9), notwithstanding that it did not relate the Fact exactly according to what might be the true astronomical Manner in which God effected it. The most judicious Writers have agreed, that "the facred Historians " were not usually inspired with the Things " themselves, which they related, nor with " the very Words by which they expref-" fed what they have recorded" (r): Their Histories were wrote, not to fatisfy our Curiofity, but to be a standing Proof of a Providence to After-ages; to shew us the Care that God always takes of good People, and the Punishments he inflicts upon the Wicked; to give us Examples of Piety and Virtue, and lastly to inform us of Matters of Fact which tend to confirm our Faith (s): And so far God was unquestionably pleased to direct and assist the Composers of them, as to prevent their inserting in any of their Narrations, thro' human Frailty, any Thing that might contradict or differve these the Purposes for which he incited them to draw up

(s) 1d. p. 221. Five Letters concerning the Inspiration of the holy Scriptures. p. 28.

their

⁽q) See Vol. I. B.V. Jer. vii. 18. xliv. 17-25. (r) Lowth's Vindicat. of the divine Authority and Inforration of the Old and New Testament, p. 220.

their Compositions. And thus far Joshua appears in every Part of his History to have had the Benefit of a divine Inspiration; tho' we have no reason to imagine that God dictated to him the very Words he was to write in, or prompted him to record the Miracle we are treating of, otherwise than his own natural Conceptions disposed him to relate it, and that probably, amongst others, for this great Reason; because if God had inspired him to have related this Fact in a manner more agreeable to true Astronomy, unless he had also inspired the World with a like Astronomy to receive it, it would rather have tended to raife amongst those who read it and heard of it, Disputes and Oppositions of Science falfly so called, than have promoted the great Ends of Religion intended by it.

It may be asked, If the Miracle recorded by foshua was indeed Fact, and one Day was hereby made as long as two (t): Could so remarkable a Thing have happened without being observed by the Astronomers of all Nations? Such a Variation of the Sun's Setting, as was hereby occasioned in the Land of Canaan, must have made a longer Day or a longer Night than was natural in every other Part of the habitable World: a longer Day, where-

⁽t) Ecclus. xlvi. 4.

ever the Sun was visible at the Time of Foshua's making his Request, and a longer Night in every Part of the opposite Hemilphere: Astronomy was studied in these Times with great Application in many Nations (0), and Observations of the Heavens were taken and recorded with as much Exactness as the Professors of those Times were capable of attaining to; and is it probable that if fo remarkable an Alteration of the Course of Day and Night, as this was, had really happened, we should not find some Hint or Remain of some Heathen Writer to concur with and bear Testimony to the Truth of what the facred Historian relates about it? But in Answer hereto let us observe, 1. That it is highly improbable, I might fay meerly impoffible, that Joshua should attempt to record fuch a Miracle as this, if it had not been done; for every one of his Israelites, as well as all their Enemies, must have known and rejected the Falsity of his Narration, and he could never think of making the World believe a thing fo confpicuoufly false, if it had not happened. 2. This Fact might be recorded not only by Joshua, and afterwards in the Book of Fasher (p); but also by divers other Writers of other Nations, and yet what they had registred

⁽a) See Vol. I. B. V. Vol. II. B. VI. VIII. (b) Joh. x. 13.

about it, may easily be conceived not to have come down to us: The most ancient Heathen Chronicles were very short and concise, and in a few Ages were disfigured by Mythology and false Learning (q); fo as to go down to fucceeding Times in a Shape and Sense quite different from what was at first the Design of them: And the Original Accounts hereby becoming not fuitable to the Taste that succeeded, were foon neglected, and in Time loft. But 3. If we could unravel the ancient Fables, we should find, that the Fact of there having been one Day in which the Course of the Sun had been irregular, had been indeed conveyed down in the Memoirs of the Heathen Literature: Statius had heard of it, and supposed it to have happened about the Time of the Theban War, when Atreus made an inhuman Banquet of Thyestes's Children (o): Other Writers imagined it to have been in the Days of Phaeton, and Ovid has beautified the Fable told of him, that it was he that occasioned it, by having obtained Leave to guide the Chariot of the Sun for that Day, which he was in no wife able

⁽q) See Vol. II. Β. VIII. "Οταν έν α μυθολογέσιν δα τ πεσειριμένων μυπμονώντ, ε) μισθέν διεώς τέτων λέγεως γεγονός έτω ε) πεπεαγμένον. Plut. de Isid. et Osrid. (a) Stat. in Thebaid. L. 1, ver. 325. L. 4. Ver. 307.

to manage. And thus the Heathen Poets and Mythologists dreffed up and disfigured the Hints, which they found in ancient Records: Atreus was Father of Agamemnon, and lived but a Generation before the Trojan War, and therefore the Sun's standing still in the Days of Joshua, could not have happened in his Time; so that Statius, or any Writer from whom he took the Hint, were not true in their Chronology: But Phaeton lived much earlier: He was Son of Tithonus (p) who was the Son of Cephalus (q), the Son of Mercury (r) who was born of Maia the Daughter of Atlas (s): Atlas lived about A.M. 2385 (t): His Daughter Maia might have Mercury by Jupiter about A. M. 2441, about the 20th Year of Jupiter's Age (u): Mercury at 25 Years old might beget Cephalus about A. M. 2466: Cephalus at 30 beget Tithonus A. M. 2496: Tithonus at 34 beget Phaeton, who would thus be born about A. M. 2530. The Sun stood still in the Days of (uj) Fostua

⁽b) Apollodor. Lib. 3. c. 13. (g) ibid. (r) ibid. (s) Id. Lib. cod. c. 10. (t) See Vol. II. B. VIII. (u) Jupiter was born A. M. 2421. See B. X. (ui) Clemens Alexandrinus fuppofes Phaeton to have lived about the Times of Crotopus. Stromat. Lib. 1. p. 138. and fo does Tatian. Orat. ad Grac. p. 133. Crotopus was the 8th King of Argos. Castor. Euseb. Chron. Crotopus, I think, died about A. M. 2525; fo that Clemens Alexand. and Tatian feem to place Phaeton about 30 Years earlier than Joshua's commanding the Israelites: But 30 Years is no great Variation in the Chronology of these times.

A. M. 2554: Phaeton was then about 24 Years old, a young Man, not of Age to guide the Chariot of the Sun: And thus the Time of Phaeton's Life may synchronize with the Year of the Sun's standing still in the Days of Joshua; and the Fable told of him, might have its first Rise from a Fact recorded to have happened in his Youth, dreffed up and diversified with the various Fictions of succeeding Mythologifts, until it was brought to what Ovid left it. But, 4. If we go into China, we may there find fomething more truly hiflorical relating to the Fact before us: The Chinese Records report, that in the Reign of their Emperor Yao the Sun did not fet for ten Days together, and that they feared the World would be fet on Fire (w): Yao, according to Martinius, was the feventh Emperor of China, Fohi being the first: And, as he computes, from the first Year of Fohi's Reign to Yao's are 587 Years; for Fobi reigned 115 Years (x), after him Xin-num 140 (y), Hoang-ti 100 (z), Xao-haon 84 (a) Chuen-hio 78 (b), Cou 70 (c) and next to him succeeded Yao (d): The first Year of Fobi's Reign in China was A. M. 1891 (e): Count down

⁽w) Per hac Tempora diebus decem non occidisse Soiem, Orbemque consagraturum Mortales timusse scribint. Martinis Histor. Sinic. Lib. 1. p. 37. (x) Id. pag. 21. (y) Peg. 24. (z) l'ag. 25. (a) Pag. 32. (b) Pag 33. (c) Pag. 35. (d) Pag. 36. (e) See Vol. II. B. 6.

from hence 587 Years, and the first Year of Yao will be A. M. 2479: Yao reigned go Years (f) to A.M. 2560: The Year in which the Sun stood still, in the Days of Joshua was A. M. 2554, in about the 75th Year of Yao's Reign. And thus what is recorded in the Chinese Annals fynchronizes to the Fact related in Joshua: The Chinese Records are said to report, that the Sun did not fet for ten Days; but I suspect our European Writers have not here exactly hit the Meaning of the Chinese Annals, and that the Word they have translated Days, may perhaps rather fignify a Space of Time little more than one of our Hours: If so, the facred Historian, and the Chinese Annalist agree minutely in their Time of the Duration of this Miracle (g): If the Sun's not fetting at this Time was thus observed in China, we may guess about what time of Day Joshua defired this Miracle: And we may be fure it was not towards the Evening, as fome Writers have imagined (b); for had the Day been almost over in Canaan, the Sun would have been fet in China before the Miracle happened, and therefore could not have been there ob-

⁽f) Martin. ubi fup. (g) Josh. x. 13. The San basted not to go down about an whole Day. One Day was as long as two, Ecclus, xlivi. 4. i. e. The Sun was stopped about 10 or 12 Hours, the Space of about a natural Day.

(b) Cleric. Comment. in loc.

ferved at all (i): It was therefore a little before Noon in Canaan when Joshua defired the Sun might be stopped, and about this time the Sun might be feen by Joshua in fuch a Position as to seem to stand over Gibeon (k), or as it is expressed in the next Verse in the midst of Heaven (1); and it would be Asternoon in China at this Time of Day in Canaan: If the Chinese saw and observed this Miracle, then the Light of Day, which the Israelites were favoured with, was occasioned by the Sun's really not going down as usual, and not from a Vapour or Aurora shining in the Air, as Le Clerc and fome others have imagined (n); for fuch a Vapour would not have been feen from Canaan to China, and could not possibly have appeared near the time of Sun-fet in both Countries; nor would it have occasioned the Heat that was felt in divers Parts of the World. during the time of the Miracle: The Chinese Annals intimate, that it was feared the Earth would have been fet on Fire: The Mythologists relate a Conflagration to have really happened, and Ovid paints a poetical Scene of it, as his Fancy prompted him (0), and unquestionably the Continu-

⁽i) Geographers know that the Day begins and ends four or five Hours earlier in China than in Canaan. (k) Josh. x. 12. (1) ver. 13. (n) Comment, in Lib. Jos. (o) Ovid, Metam.

ance of the Sun in one Polition of the Heavens for about ten Hours together, must affect with a very intense Heat even Places not under his Meridian Height all that time. The Israelites would probably have been greatly incommoded with the Warmth of such a Day, if God had not been pleased to give a Temperature to the Air proper to relieve them, and perhaps fuitable to the producing the prodigious Hail, which he caused at this Time to afflict the Canaanites (p): I am sensible, that fuch a Suspension or Retardation of the Motion of the Earth, Moon, and perhaps of the other heavenly Bodies, that have relation to them, as is necessary to cause this Miracle in the manner I imagine it to have been effected, may be calculated to be naturally productive of Consequences fatal to our System; but then I cannot but think it easy to answer in this Matter; that if we have sufficient Reason to induce us to believe, that God really wrought this Miracle, it is not hard to conceive the great Ruler of the Universe not only able to direct it, beyond what we can imagine, but also as abundantly able, so to uphold all Things by the Word of his Power (q), during the Time of it, as to have no other Effect follow, than what he proposed to have done in the

⁽p) Josh. x. 11. (q) Heb. i. 3. Hh VOL. III.

World. One Design of the mighty Works which God was pleased to do before his chosen People, was, if Men would have paid a due Attention and Regard to them, to offer a reasonable Conviction for the Earth's being filled with the Glory and Knowledge of the Lord (r): What they might have known of God, even bis eternal Power and Godhead, he had at divers Times, and in various Manners, before shewed unto them by the Things which he had done (s) from the Creation of the World (t): But as these things had, ere this Age, lost their Influence in almost all Nations, and the World was departed from the living God, to go after the Sun, Moon and Stars, to ferve them; what could there have been done more remarkably worthy of God's infinite Power, to shew himself to be a God above all Gods, than to have the Sun and Moon made to stand still in favour of his declared Will, to support a People, chosen to be distinguished by his Worship? The time of Day in Canaan when this Miracle happened, was such, that the Sight of it could not but go forth thro' all the then known Nations of the Earth; fo that there could be no Speech nor Language (u), where, had a due Inquiry been made into

⁽r) Numb. xiv. 21.

⁽s) Tois woinwast.

it, the Voice of it would not have been heard, powerfully proclaiming; that however the World had been falfly amused with the Beauty, or astonished at the imagined Power of the Lights of Heaven (w); yet that there was a Being, who ruleth in the Heavens, higher than them all; and who could over-rule and dispose of any of them as he pleased.

After the Defeat of the Army of the five Kings, Joshua reduced the Nations of the South-Parts of Canaan, and having broken every Opposition that could here make head against him, he marched his victorious Forces back to Gilgal (x).

Upon Joshua's Return to Gilgal, Jabin King of Hazor, a City of great Figure and Command in the North Parts of Canaan (a), fent unto the Kings of the Nations round about him, and proposed to them to unite their Forces, in order to act with their whole Strength against the Israelites (b): The Kings he sent to, agreed to his Proposal, made their Levies, and came together a numerous and well-appointed Army (c): They rendezvoused at the Waters of Merom (d): Joshua on the other hand led the Israelites against them, under a special Promise of God's Assistance

⁽a) Wildom xiii. 3, 4. (x) Josh. x, 28 — 43. (a) xi. 10. (b) ver. 1, 2, 3. (c) ver. 4. (d) ver. 5.

and Protection (e), and gave them battle, and obtained a great Victory (f): After having given them this Defeat he turned back, took the City Hazor and burnt it to the Ground (g): From Hazor Joshua marched against the Cities of the other Kings, and in time became master of all this Country (b); but it was the Work of some Years for him to reduce these Nations (i): In about five Years he entirely fubdued them (k), and having now triumphed over, in all, one and thirty Kings (1), and obtained the Israelites full room to fettle their Families in all Parts of the Land, he was ordered to put an end to the War (m). Caleb the Son of Jephunneb was forty Years old, when Moses sent him as one of the Spies into the Land of Canaan (n); the Spies were fent into Canaan after the Tabernacle was erected, in the fecond Year of the Exit (0) A. M. 2514. Caleb was now at the finishing of the War eighty five (p); fo that the War was finished A. M. 2559, I suppose towards the End of the Year: Joshua passed over Fordan on the tenth Day of the first (pp) Month A. M. 2554, and began the War

⁽e) Joh. xi. 6. (f) ver. 7, 8, 9. (g) ver. 10, 11. (b) ver. 12 — 17. (i) ver. 18. (k) Jofeph. Antiq. Lib. 5. c. 1, (l) Joh. xii. 24. (m) xiii. 1 — 7. (p) Joh. xiv. 7. (e) See Book xi. p. 298. (p) John xiv. 10. (pp) iv. 19.

by the Siege of Jericho a few Days after: From this Time to about the End of the Year 2550, are near fix Years, and fo long was Joshua engaged in his Wars against the Canaanites: Almost one Year was employed in his first Campaign in the South Parts of Canaan (q); the other five were spent against the King of Hazor and his

Confederates (r).

Upon giving over the War Joshua was directed by God to apply himself to the dividing the Land of Canaan amongst the Israelites (s): Moses, before he died, had fixed the Inheritance of two Tribes and an half Tribe on the other fide Jordan (t): There remained nine Tribes and an half to be now fettled (u): And unto these Joshua and Eleazar the Priest, and the Heads of the Tribes, were preparing to fet out their Inheritance: But before they began to make Division of the Land, the Children of Judab came to them, and Caleb, who was of this Tribe, represented, that Moses had made him a folemn Promise, which might determine the Place of his particular Inheritance (x): When the Spies were fent by Moses into Canaan, they went to Hebron, where Ahiman, Sheshai, and Talmai

⁽q) Josh, vi. vii. viii. ix. x. (r) xi. (t) xiii. (t) Id. xiii. 8, 32. Numb. xxxii. Deut. iii. 12 — 17. (u) Josh. xiii. 7. (x) xiv. 6 — 9.

the Children of Anak were (y), and at their Return they took occasion from the Largeness of the Stature of these Men to fill the Camp with Fears, that the Israelites would never be able to make their way into the Country (2): But Caleb endeavoured to animate the People with better Hopes (a); whereupon, when God pronounced against the Congregation, that the Men who had feen his Miracles and Glory should not come into the Land, but should die in the Wilderness (b), he was pleased to promise, that Caleb should be brought [el ha Aretz, asher ba shammah] into the Land, to the very Place he went to (c), and that his Seed should possess it (d): Now Hebron was the particular Place they went to, and from whence they brought home the Fears which had so disturbed the Camp (e), for faithfully endeavouring to quell which, Caleb had this particular Promise made to him (f), and upon this account Caleb argued, that this was the Place, at which God had promifed that he should be settled, adding withal, that tho' the very Men were then in possession

⁽y) Numb. xiii. 22. (z) ver. 33. (a) ver. 30. xiv. 6. (b) xiv. 22, 23. (c) ver. 24. The Hebrew Words are,

וווני אלי הארץ אשר בא שמה illuc adiit quam in Terram et introducam eum ad ipfum Locum illam

⁽d) ibid. (e) Vid. Loc. supr. citat. (f) Numb. xiv. 24.

of it, who had so terrify'd his Companions; yet that he should not at all doubt, but be enabled to eject them (b): Joshua admitted the Plea of Caleb, and appointed his Inheritance at Hebron (c), and then allotted the Tribe of Judah the Country from Hebron to Kadesh-barnea, as defcribed in the xvth Chapter of the Book of Joshua. Next after Judah the Children of Joseph were allotted their Inheritance (d), and we have in the xvith and xviith Chapters of Joshua a particular Account of the Boundaries of the Lands affigned to them, namely, to the Tribe of Ephraim, and to the half Tribe of Manasseb, which was to inherit on this side Fordan (e). The Families of this Tribe and half Tribe were fettled on the North fide the Country, wherein the Camp of the Israelites, which was formed at Gilgal, rested, as the Tribe of Judah was fettled on the South of it; so that the Camp was, as it were, fecured on either fide from any fudden Irruption, and having proceeded thus far, the whole Congregation affembled at Shilob within the Confines of the Tribe of Ephraim (f), and there set up the Tabernacle (g).

⁽b) Josh. xiv. 12. (c) We might here remark, that the City of Hebron was not the Property and Inheritance of Caleb; for Hebron was one of the Levitical Cities: Caleb's Inheritance confisced of some Fields near adjoining to this Town. See Joshua xxi. 11, 12. (d) Josh. xvi. 1, &c. (e) xvii. 5. (f) See Judges xxi. 19. (g) Josh. xviii. 1, Hh 4.

Tosephus seems to represent the Tabernacle to have been erected before they began to divide the Land (b): But this I should think a Mistake; for when they began to part the Land, there were nine Tribes and an half Tribe, that had no Inheritance (i): But at the time of erecting the Tabernacle, feven Tribes only were not provided for (k): Two Tribes and an half Tribe, besides those who were to inherit on the other fide Jordan, had had their Countries affigned to them, according to what is above represented, as the Book of Joshua very plainly intimates. Thus far therefore the Israelites had proceeded, but they began to find Difficulties in the Method they were taking: To Judah they had given too large a Country (1), and Ephraim and the half Tribe of Manasseh were not fatisfy'd with what was allotted them (m): And for this Reason, I imagine, they now fet up the Tabernacle: Their Enemies were fo far subdued, and the Place where they were to fix it, fo furrounded with the Settlements already made, that they had no reason to fear any fudden Invasion to oblige them to take it down again (n): And by having the Tabernacle erected they would have Power

⁽b) Jofeph. Antiq. Lib. 5. c. 1. (i) Jofh. xiv. 2. xiii. 7. (k) xviii. 2. (l) xix. 9. (m) xviii. 14.

to apply to God for his immediate Direction in all Difficulties (0); fo as both to prevent Mistakes in their Division of the Land, and to leave no Pretence for any Tribe's being diffatisfied at the Lot that

should be affigned to them.

The Directions which God had given for the Division of the Land were these: 1. They were to divide the Land by Lot (p): Each Tribe was to have that Portion of it which by Lot should fall to him (q). 2. When the Lot of a Tribe was fallen, the Land fo allotted to that Tribe was to be divided amongst the several Families of it (r), and this, I think, was to be done partly by the Lot (s), and but in part by it. When they began to fet out the particular Inheritances of Families, they threw the Lot, which Family they should settle first, which next, and fo on: And thus every Man's Inheritance would be in the Place where his Lot fell (t); but the Place of it being thus fixed, they did not cast the Lot for the Quantity to be affigned to a Family; for they were to fet out more or less Land to each Family according to the Number of the Names of the Persons belonging to it (u). 3. Every private Perfon was to have his Inheritance within

⁽⁰⁾ See Exod. xxix. 42, 43. (p) Numb. xxxiii, 54, xxvi. 55. (q) Ibid. (r) Ibid. (1) xxvi. 56. (t) xxxiii. 54. (u) Ibid.

the Bounds of the Country affigned to the (uj) Tribe he belonged to. 4. To prevent Diffputes or Uneafineffes in or from the Choice of the Persons who were to manage and direct the Division, God had expressly named, who should divide the Land unto the Children of Israel (w); and 5. He had also set them their Bounds, described how far every way the Land reached, which was to be (x) divided by them. We may now examine, what Method Joshua and the Princes of the Congregation took, when they began to execute the Commission herein given to them.

And I imagine in the first Place, they cast Lots to know what Tribe they should begin with in making the Division: And the Lot came out for the Tribe of fudab: The next Question that could arise, must be where they should settle this Tribe; and here Caleb offered his Claim to have his Inheritance at Hebron (2), the admitting which, seems to have rendred all further Enquiry about the Situation of the Country to be assigned to this Tribe superfluous, and also to have led the Israelites to set out a Tract of Land for them, more at Random perhaps, than they would otherwise have done. The

⁽x) 1bid, ver. 3——12. (x) Josh. xiv. 17—29. (x) Josh. xiv. 6.

Journey of the Spies upon which Caleb's Claim was founded(a) began from Kadeshbarnea: Caleb's Claim did not aim at any thing higher up into the Country than Hebron: If Caleb was fixed here, the Tribe he belonged to was to be fettled contiguous to him: The Tribe of Judah was the most numerous of all the Tribes; it mustered 76000 Men of twenty Years old and upwards, when the Sum of the Congregation was taken in the Plains of Moab (aj), and consequently a pretty large Country would be necessary for it: And these Considerations seem to have induced them to fet out at Adventure for this Tribe all the Land between Kadelh-barnea and Hebron, according to the Description and Bounds that are given of it (b). Having thus fixed the Tribe of Judah their Country, they proceeded to allot each Family a proper Share and Portion in it; but when they had done this, they found, that the Part of the Children of Judah was too much for them (c): After each Family of the Tribe had received an Inheritance as large as they could be conceived to have occasion for, there remained a Tract of the Country to spare, and undisposed of: And this could not but fuggest to the Dividers, that if they did

⁽a) Numb. xxxii. 8. Jofh. xiv. 7. (aj) Numb. xxvi. 22. (b) Jofh. xv. (c) xix. 9.

not go into some stricter Method for the setting out the Assignments to the several Tribes, they might in time be brought into Dissirulties: They might set out to the Tribes, which were first provided for, too much of the Land, and not leave enough for those, whose Lot might come up to be last settled: And accordingly in their next Appointment they appear to have a little altered their Method of Pro-

ceeding: For,

Here I think they first set out such a Quantity of the Land, as they thought the Country of Canaan might afford for a Tribe: Then for the eight Tribes and an half they made eight Lots, assigning but one Lot to the Tribe of Ephraim and half Tribe of Manasseb, confidering them under one Appellation, namely, as the Children of $\mathcal{F}o[eph(d)]$: After this they cast the Lots to determine, who should have the Inheritance put up to be difposed: The Lot of the Children of Joseph came out for it (e): That but one Lot was here made for the Sons of Joseph, appears evidently from their Complaint to Joshua: The Children of Joseph spake unto Joshua, saying, Why hast thou given me but one Lot, and one Portion to inherit (f)? The Children of Joseph here concerned, were more than a Tribe; they

⁽d) Joth. xvi. 3. (e) Ibid. ver. 1, 2, 3, 4. (f) xvii. 14.

were a Tribe and an half Tribe, and in all Respects a flourishing People (g), and they thought that they ought not to have been put thus together, and represented in but one Lot, when, if they had been a Tribe only, one Lot would have been affigned to them: And this Complaint of the Sons of Joseph intimates also, that the Quantity of Land, which the Lots were cast for, was settled, and the Bounds of it agreed upon, before the Lots were cast for it; otherwise the Complaint would have been groundless; for if this had not been the Case, where would have been the Hardship of the Sons of Joseph's being represented by but one Lot, when the Dividers of the Land might, upon finding them to be the Persons to be provided for, have fet them out as much Land, and half as much Land, as they would have portioned out to a Tribe, if the Lot of a fingle Tribe had come up upon this Occasion? But herein the Sons of Joseph argued the Inequality of the Procedure: A Tract of Land was fet out for the Inheritance of a Tribe: In the Lots they were represented but as a Tribe; and hereby they received not a Portion and an half Portion, which they might think they had a just Claim to, but one fingle Portion only (b); for any other fin-

⁽g) Josh. xvii. 15, 17. (b) ver. 14.

gle Tribe, if their Lot had come up for it, would have had all the Country, which was affigned to them. After it was determined what Country the Sons of 70feph were thus to have, it remained to consider how to divide it to their Families: And herein the Lot was to be ufed (i): And the Dividers having perhaps fixed where they would begin to fet out. the Lands, might cast the Lots to know whether they should settle the Families of Ephraim first, or of Manasseh. They began, I think, in the Parts nearest to the Camp with the Families of Ephraim (k), and having provided for them in order as their Lot directed(1), and given them each Family a greater or a leffer Inheritance, as the Number of Persons belonging to it required (m); there remained the Portion to be divided to the half Tribe of (n) Manasseb, which they distributed to them in like manner (0); adding to them, over and besides the Residue of what was first allotted, some Tracts of Land taken from the Coasts, that were afterwards asfigned to the Tribes of Asher and Islachar (p); for upon their repeated Remonstrances (q), Joshua did indeed confess, that they were a great People, and that one

⁽i) Vid. quæ sup. (k) Josh. xvi. 5. (l) Vid. quæ sup. (m) Numb. xxxiii. 54. (n) Josh. xvii. 2. (o) Ibid. ver. 7, &c. (p) ver. 11. (q) Ver. 14, 16.

Lot only was not altogether enough for

them (r).

There were seven Tribes to be still provided for (s); but before they proceeded any further, the whole Congregation afsembled at Shiloh, and set up the Tabernacle (t): and then Joshua proposed to the People to name to him feven Men, one out of each Tribe, that he might fend them out to furvey the Country, that remained still to be divided (u): What was already done he was for having ratified and confirmed; that Judah should abide in their Coasts on the South, and the House of Joseph in their Coasts on the North (w), each of these were to keep what had been affigned to them: And the Persons appointed to make the Survey of the Lands not yet disposed of, were to cast their Survey into feven Parts, and to bring their Accounts of it in a Book to Shiloh, where Joshua purposed to have the Lots thrown before the Lord, at the Tabernacle, to determine to each Tribe his Part of it (x): The Propofal was received with an universal Approbation: The Men were appointed, and brought in their Survey, and Joshua cast the Lots in Shiloh, before the Lord (y), and divided the Land according

⁽x) Josh. xvii. 17. (x) xviii. 2. (t) Ibid. 1. (u) ver. 4. (w) ver. 5. (x) ver. 6. (y) ver. 9.

to their Divisions (2), that is; he made no Alterations in any of the seven Parts, which the Men that took the Survey had agreed upon, but each Tribe as their Lot came up, had the Country for which the Lot was drawn, as the Surveyors had described it.

From the Account we have in the Book of Joshua of the Order and Part of the Country, in which each of these feven Tribes were fettled (a), we may eafily apprehend in what manner the Lots were drawn for them: First, it was agreed to draw for the Land, that lay between Judah and the Sons of Joseph; the Countries where the Camp had been so long at Gilgal, and this fell to the Tribe of Benjamin (b): The second Lot was cast for the Land, that remained over and above what was occupied by the Tribe of Judab, and this fell to the Tribe of Simeon (c): The third Lot was for a Tract of Land, which at one End was bounded by the Sea of Tiberias, and this fell to the Tribe of Zebulun (d): Fourthly, they drew for the Land between Zebulun and the Sons of Joseph, and this fell to the Tribe of Isfackar (e): The fifth Lot gave to Asher the Country next to the

⁽z) Josh. xviii. 10. (a) Ibid. ver. 11. to ver. 48 of Chap. xix. (b) xviii. 11. (c) xix. 1. (d) ver. 10. (e) ver. 17.

North Extent of the Land to be divided (f): The fixth Lot affigned to Naphtali a Country East to Asher(g): And the last Lot remained for Dan, and placed him upon the Coasts of the Philistines (b). It is remarked, that the Coast of the Children of Dan went out too little for them (i); an Observation probably not made by Joshua: The Words that follow it hint the Expedition, which the Danites made afterwards against Leshem: Therefore the Children of Dan went up to fight against Leshem, and took it, and smote it with the Edge of the Sword, and possessed it, and dwelt therein, and called it Leshem Dan, after the Name of Dan their Father (k): These Words cannot be supposed to have been written by Joshua; for they speak of an Expedition not made until after his Death (1), and therefore I should think this whole Verse an Addition to the facred Pages, made in the manner of fome others, which I have observed to be of a like Nature (m). The Children of Dan were indeed a large People; they mustered 64400 Men of twenty Years old and upwards, when the Poll was taken in the Plains of Moab (n): Judah only was a bigger Tribe: But I

⁽f), Josh. xix. 24. (g) ver. 32. (b) ver. 40. (i) ver. 47. (k) Ibid. (l) Judg. xviii. (m) See Prideaux Connect. Part. 1. B. 5. p. 492. (n) Numb. xxii. 43.

should not imagine, that the Surveyors of the Land had made their Assignments so injudiciously, as to have any very remarkable Disproportion appear in any of them: The Coast of Dan was too little for them (9), probably not that the Country affigned them was not in it felf large enough to receive, and produce an abundant Provifion for all their Families; but because all their Inheritance did not fall unto them (r): The Philistines were in their full Strength (u), and Part of their Territories were in this Country (w), and the Amorites possessed other the most fruitful Parts of it (x); fo that the Children of Dan had comparatively speaking Posseffion of but a small Part of what was intended to be their Inheritance: And we do not find, that they enlarged themselves (y); and therefore as their Families increafed, they must have been in Straits in a Country, of which they had so imperfect a Tenure: Otherwise, from the Fruits (z), and Pasturage of this Part of (a) Canaan, not to mention, that they had undoubtedly Corn-Fields, as well as their Neighbours on their very Borders(b); nor to fuggest how many of the Tribe

⁽q) John xix. 47. (r) See Judg. xviii. 2. (u) John xix. 2. (uv) Compare John xix. 43. with xiii. 3. 1 Sam. v. 10. vi. 16, 17. (x) Judg. 1. 35. (y).1. 34, 35. (u) See Numb. xiii. 24. (a) Gen. xxxviii. 13. (b) Judg. xv. 5.

of Dan might abide in Ships (bj), and have the Advantages of Employment in a Sea-Life; we may judge, that had a full Possession of their whole Allotment fallen to them, a mighty and a great People might have flourished and increased in it.

The facred Writer has given us a very particular Account of the Bounds and Extent of the Country affigned to each Tribe (c); but we cannot hope to be able to trace out their Borders with the fame Exactness: Canaan must have been too much altered from what it was in the Days of Joshua; for perhaps the Jews themselves in their later Days have found the Face of things, that in these Times appeared in it: Ten of the twelve Tribes of Israel were lost in the Captivity (co): Two Tribes only, Benjamin and Judab, with some few Families of the other Tribes incorporated with them, returned from Babylon (d): And the Number that returned was comparatively fo fmall (e), that, if all Canaan had been restored to them, they would in no wife have been sufficient to enter upon a full Possession of what had been the Inheritance of the twelve Tribes in their feveral Divisions of it. Judaa alone was

⁽bj) Judg. v. 17. (c) Jofh. xiii. xv, xvi, xvii, xviii, xiii. xix. (co) Prideaux Connect. Part. 1. B. 1. (d) Id. B. 3. (e) Id. ibid.

a Country more than large enough for them, and they were obliged to contrive Means, that Jerusalem it self should not want People (f): In this State of Things, the Country of the ten Tribes might not be much inquired after: Other Nations of People were become the (g) Possessors of it, and the Bounds of the Inheritances that had formerly been known in it might be, in a few Ages, not to be ascertained with a great Exactness, even before the Times of a very late Posterity: And accordingly, I think, we find not only Adrichomius, and other modern Chorographers, giving us, in many Particulars, very confused and unscriptural Accounts of the Situation of divers of the ancient Towns of these Countries (b); but even Josephus himself rather able to say at large, whereabouts each Tribe had been placed, than to describe with Exactness the Borders of their Situations: He represents Zebulun to have had his Country from the Lake Gennesaret to Mount Carmel, and to the Sea (i); but we cannot, I think, conceive this Tribe to have had this Situation: That the Country of Zebulun touched upon Gennesaret is indeed con-

⁽f) Nehem. xi. (g) Prideaux ubi fup. (b) Walton. in Prolegom. ad Bib. Polyglot. (i) Ζαξυλωνίται την μεχεί Γεννησαείτιδ Φ, καθήκεσαν δε αξεί Κάρμηλον κ) δάλαωση ελαχος Joseph. Antiq. lib. 5. c. 1.

firmed by St. Matthew (k); but how shall we extend it from thence to Carmel, and to the Sea? Asher reached to Carmel westward (m): Ephraim and Manasseb met together in Asher on the North (n): The only Point, where these two Tribes could thus meet, must be at the Sea at Carmel (0); but they could not meet in this Point, if the Land of Zebulun lay here between them. I might observe further: Zebulun's Inheritance, according to what 7acob had prophesied of him, was to reach. not unto Carmel, but unto Zidon (p), and undoubtedly according to this Account of what was to be his Border, his Portion was in due time affigned to him: We must therefore suppose the Inheritance of this Tribe to have been extended from Gennesaret, between the Lands of Asher and Naphtali up to the northern Extent of Canaan, and in this manner the Border of Zebulun might indeed be unto Zidon: Zidon was a Town perhaps not of Zebulun, but of Asher (q), Zebulun's Country then reached only to the Borders of it (r).

When Joshua and the Persons in Commission with him bad made an End of di-

⁽k) Mat. iv. 13. (m) Josh. xix. 26. (n) xvi. 10. (o) Any Map of the Country will offer this to View. (p) Gen. xlix. 13. (q) See Josh. xix. 27. 28. (r) I might observe, that the giving Zebulun this Situation agrees to another Hint of Joshua's; that Zebulun lay East, or to the Sun-rising of Asher. Josh. xix. 27.

viding the Land for Inheritance by their Coasts (s), the Children of Israel gave an Inheritance to Joshua: They gave him the City which he asked, even Timnath-Serah in Mount Ephraim, and he built the City, and dwelt therein(t): What he asked for was in a Situation not occupied by any, to whom Inheritances had been given; for it was in Mount Ephraim, probably in that Part of the Hill, of which Fosbua had observed to his People, that it was a Wood, and that they might cut it down, and open to themselves an Enlargement of their Borders in the Outgoings of it (u): If Timnath-Serah was a Town before Joshua built it, it might perhaps be an old ruinated Village, that had been long evacuated in this wild and overgrown Country; fo that Joshua asked a Property, such as might give him an Opportunity of being an Example to his Tribe for the improving their Inheritance, to instruct them how to make their Allotment commodious for them: Joshua built the City, and dwelt therein: In so commanding a Situation we may conceive him to have formed, as it were, a new and beautiful Country round about him, and to have planted himself not inelegantly, and agreeably to a Taste, which the Ancients of almost all

⁽s) Josh. xix. 49, 50. (t) Ibid. (a) xvii. 18. Countries

Countries were not Strangers to in their

early Times (w).

The Inheritances being fixed, the Ifraelites appointed the fix Cities of Refuge, and agreed upon the Cities to be fet out in every Tribe for the Levites to dwell in (x), and all things being hereby fettled for the Israelites of all the Tribes enjoying their respective Possessions in all Parts of the Land, Joshua called together the Reubenites, Gadites, and the half Tribe of Manasseb, whose Inheritances were on the other Side Fordan, and having made a public Acknowledgment of their Affistance to their Brethren, and of their having now punctually fulfilled all that Moses had required of them, he strictly charged them to refolve most stedfastly to keep the Law; he ordered them their Share of the Spoil of the conquered Nations, and dismissed them, in order to their going home unto their own Possessions (7): The two Tribes and an half drew off from the Congregation, and began their March towards their own Country (z): And when they were come to fordan, before they paffed the River, they built a very large Altar, near the Place where the Israelites had formerly come over into

⁽πυ) ώπισε πόλως μικόας κ) συνεχώς όπὶ τοῖς όρεστν, όπτερ Ιω τοῖς παλαιοῖς τρόπος δικήσεως συνηθης. Dionyl. Halicar. lib. 1. c. 12. (x) Joth. xx. xxi. (y) xxii. 1-8. (z) ver. 9.

Canaan(e), intending to leave here a lasting Monument to all future Ages, that they acknowledged themselves to belong to the Tribes in Canaan, and that they had no separate Altar in their own Country; but that the Altar at which they were to facrifice was on the other Side the River, before the Tabernacle of the Lord their God(f): A Rumour of what they were doing foon came to Shilob, and the Congregation there were greatly alarmed at it(g): The Israelites in Canaan not knowing their Intention, were afraid they were fetting up an Altar for themselves, and that they intended to fall off from the Worship, which the Law commanded, and resolved upon a War against them, rather than fuffer an Innovation, which they apprehended would bring down the divine Vengeance upon all Israel (b): Hereupon they fent an Embassy (i): The two Tribes and the half Tribe explained their Intention to the Princes who were fent to them (k); so that they returned with an Account, that gave great Satisfaction to the Congregation (1), who thereupon bleffed God, that their Brethren were not guilty of the Defection from his Worthip, which they had im-

⁽e) Jofh. xxii. 11. (f) ver. 21—29. (g) ver. 11—29. (b) Ibid, (i) Ibid. (k) ver. 21—29. (l) ver. 33.

puted to them (m): And fo with great Joy they laid aside the Preparations they

were making for a War(n).

As the Sword of Joshua had been fatal to the Canaanites, wherever he had marched against them; for we read of all the Nations conquered by him, that he utterly depopulated them, as the Lord God of I/rael had commanded(0); so it is imagined, that many Companies fled before him out of every Country, and escaped into foreign Lands. Procopius, who flourished in the Time of Justinian, mentions some Pillars, near the Place where Tangier is now fituate, with an Inscription upon them in old Phanician Letters to this Purpose, WE ARE THE FUGITIVES FROM THE FACE OF JOSHUA THE ROBBER THE SON OF NUN (p). and the Hebrew Writers tell us, that the whole Nation of the Gergashites escaped into this Country (q): But the facred Hiftorian intimates the contrary: The Gergashites were one of the Nations, that fought with the Israelites (r): It is not indeed probable, that in the Battles fought by Joshua every Person of every Nation fubdued by him fell by the Sword: Some

⁽m) Josh. xxii. 33. (n) Ibid. (o) Josh. x. 40. (p) Procop. in Vandalicis. Bochart. Præf. in Lib. de Colon, et Sermon. Phænic. (q) Rab. S. B. Nachman. Gem. Hierofol. vid. Selden. de Jur. Nat. et Gentium. lib. 6. c. 13. (r) Josh. xxiv. 11.

Remains of every Kingdom might escape, as Aneas and a few Trojans did in a fucceeding Age from the Ruin of Troy: And if any little Companies in this manner took their Flight in Joshua's first Campaign, when he overthrew the Kings of South-Canaan, they might make their Rout by Way of Egypt into these Parts of Africa, or they might fly into the Land of the Philistines, which was not yet conquered(s); and from Towns on these Coasts, of Repute for their Shipping in these Days (t), they might fail for foreign Lands, and a Voyage from these Parts to Africa was suitable to the Skill of these Times in the Art of Sailing, it fell naturally down along the Coast from Canaan to Egypt, to Libya, without a Necessity of going a great Diftance out of Sight of Shore: Such a Voyage Dido made afterwards from Tyre to Carthage. When Alexander the Great was to make his Entry into Babylon, there were Embassies attending him from divers Nations, who had employed their Agents to offer to him a State of their feveral Interests and Affairs, and to beg of him to accept an Arbitration of their Differences (u): Arrian mentions Ambassadors from Africa to have waited upon him at this time (w), and the Talmudical Writers fay, that the

⁽¹⁾ Josh. xiii. 3. (t) See Judg. v. 17. (u) Arrian. de Expedit. Alexand, lib. 7. p. 476. (w) Id. ibid.

Canaanites abovementioned, who had fled into Africa, were the People who made him this Compliment, and that their Deputies were inftructed to lay before Alexander, how the Ifraelites had expelled their Ancestors, and to pray him to restore them back to their old Country again (x): But whether this was not a mere Fancy of these Writers, and whether Procepius had a sufficient Information of what he related, I cannot determine.

Other Writers tell us that Canaan Sent out many Colonies into divers Parts of the World in these Times (y), and Bochart hints the States of Leffer-Afia, of Greece, and the Isles in the Ægean Sea, to have received many Companies of Canaanites who fled from their own Country: But whoever will duly examine the Labours of this Writer, will find his whole Work upon this Subject to offer rather a very learned Appearance of Arguments, than true and real Argument to support his Opinion. The Foreigners who might come from or pass thro' Canaan into these Countries, came earlier than the Times of Joshua: And of this Bochart himself could not but feel a Conviction in many Particulars: There were no Revolutions in Greece, or its neighbour Islands, that happened after

⁽x) Vid. Gemar. Babylon. ad Tit. Sanhed. c. 11. f. 91. Selden de jure Natural. & Gent. Lib. 7. c. 8. (j) Vid. Bochart. de Colon. et Serm. Phænic.

492

the Days of Joshua, but which may be accounted for without any Migrations from Canaan into these Countries: In like manner the States in Leffer-Afia which were of Figure in the fucceeding Times, and particularly the Kingdom of Troy, which grew to be the Mistress of these Parts, were formed and growing up in their own Strength, before Canaan was in Trouble: And the Wars of Joshua seem to have been fo far from having had any Effect which extended it felf towards these Countries, that we find Nations thro' or nigh unto which great Routs of Exiles must have paffed, if any confiderable Migrations had been made out of Canaan into Lesser-Asia in these Days, open and unguarded against Incursions, careless, quiet, and secure, under no Apprehensions that any neighbouring People might want Settlements and be tempted to disposses them (z), which they could not have been insensible of, if many Troops had passed their Borders in their Flights to foreign Lands. The Israelites had indeed reduced many Kingdoms of Canaan, and divided their Countries to each Tribe their Share of them; but they had not fo intirely diffipated and destroyed the Inhabitants, but that in a little time they got again together, formed them-

⁽z) See Judges xviii. 7.

felves to a new Strength, and were able to dispute with their Conquerors, whether they should have the Towns, which when pressed by Joshua to a precipitate Flight, they feemed to have evacuated and given up to them (a). I must add to all this, that there were many States and Cities of Canaan that stood still in their Strength, unattacked by the Israelites (b), who were able afterwards to bring into the Field numerous Armies (c): and to these the scattered Remains of the Nations that were reduced did undoubtedly fly; and it is reasonable to imagine, that the Cities they fled to might be willing to receive and provide for them, in order to strengthen themselves by an Addition of People, rather than to have them defert the Country and leave Canaan: It is very probable an Increase of People in this manner was what raised the Strength of the Philistines in a few Ages, so as to make them more than a Match for all Israel (d).

Joshua lived several Years after he had fixed the Israelites their Settlements in the Land (e), and had the Satisfaction of seeing them happy in a Scene of great Peace and Quiet all the rest of his Days: He was

⁽a) Judges i. 1. compared with Joshua xii. 13. (b) See Josh. xiii. 2—6. (c) See Judges i. 4. (d) Vid. Lib. Samuel. (e) Josh. xxiii. 1.

now old and stricken in Age(f): And as he did not expect to be much longer with them, he summoned a Congregation of all Israel (g), represented the great things which God had done for them; observed to them how he had been enabled to affign them their Inheritance (b), and affured them that if they would truly and strictly keep the Law, and not affociate themselves contrary to it, with the Nations, which as yet were not expelled the Land, that God would certainly in due time, intirely drive them out, and give the Israelites a full Possession of all Canaan (i): But, faid he, on the other hand, If Ye do not persevere, but shall incline unto the Remnant of the Nations that are left, and make Marriages and Alliances with them, then God will not drive them out; but the Nations with whom ye shall have thus engaged your felves, shall be Snares and Traps, Scourges and Thorns to you (k); shall in various ways seduce and incommode, bring Distress and Calamities upon you, until ye shall perish from off this good Land, which the Lord your God hath given you (1): I, in a little time, shall die and leave you; but fuffer me to remind you, how punctually hitherto every good Thing has befallen you, which God promised to you,

⁽f) John xxiii. i. (g) ver. 2. (l) ver. 3, 4. (i) John xxiii. 5—11. (k) ver. 13. (l) ver. 15.

and let me tell you, that every Evil which God has threatned, will as exactly come upon you, if you transgress the Covenant of the Lord your God (m).

Some time after he fummoned the Tribes to Shechem (n); and fent thither for the Elders of Israel, and for their Heads, and for their Judges, and for their Officers to attend him before the Lord (o), where he repeated to them all the Mercies, which

⁽m) Joth. xxiii. 14-16. (n) xxiv. 1. (o) Some Copies of the LXX read Shiloh and not Shechem in this Place, and as Joshua and the Elders are faid to have presented themfelves before God, i. e. at the Tabernacle, agreeably to which Sense of the Expression it appears ver. 26. that they were at their holding their Meeting by or at the Sanctuary of the Lord; and as the Tabernacle was fet up not at Shechem, but at Shiloh, chap. xviii. 1. it may be thought, that bere is some Mistake, and that Shiloh not Shechem was the Place to which Joshua convened the Tribes of Israel: Some of the Critics thought the Ark and Tabernacle were removed to Shechem against the holding this Convention, but we have no Hints of the Fact having been so, nor occasion to suppose it. Shechem and Shiloh were about twelve Miles distant from one another: Joshua lived at Timnath-Serah a Place almost in the Mid-way between them: He summoned the Tribes to meet in the Fields of Shechem: From thence he called the Heads of the Tribes and Officers to attend him to Shiloh to present themselves before God. All the Tribes of Israel were gather'd to Shechem; but not all the Tribes, rather the Heads, Judges and Officers only presented themfelves before God. A Meeting of all the Tribes must form a Camp, not to be accommodated, but in a large and open Country: Shechem had in its Borders Field enough for the Reception of all the People. See Gen. xxxiii. 19. Here therefore they met, and from bence made such Detachments to Shiloh a Place in the Neighbourhood, as the Purposes for which they were convened required: Take the Fact to have been thus, and the Difficulties which some Commentators surmise in this Passage, do all vanish.

God had vouchsafed to their Fathers and to them, from the calling of Aorabam down to that Day (oj); then he defired them to confider and refolve whether they would indeed faithfully ferve God, or whether they would choose to fall away to Idolatry (p): upon their affuring him that they would not forfake the Lord to ferve other Gods (9), Joshua reminded them that to ferve their God was a thing not fo eafy to be done as faid (r); for that God would be first in demanding from them a punctual Performance of what he had required, and that if they should be remiss or unmindful of any Part of it, that his Vengeance would most certainly fall upon them (s): Hereupon they repeated their Resolution to serve the Lord (t): Well then, faid Joshua, if after all this you do not do it, let your own Declarations this Day testify against you (u): Unto this the People readily affented (w): And thus did Joshua summon them to a most strict Engagement of themselves never to vary or depart from the Law which God had given them (x): And that a lasting Sense of what they had in so solemn a manner agreed to, might remain upon them, he wrote what had paffed in

⁽q) Josh. xxiv. 2—13. (p) ver. 14, 15. (q) ver. 16, 17, 18. (r) ver. 19. (i) ver. 20. (t) ver. 21. (u) ver. 22. (w) ibid. (x) ver. 25. the

the Book of the Law (7), and set up a Pillar in remembrance of it (2), and then dismissed the People. Not long after, Joshua being an hundred and ten Years old died, and was buried on the North Side of the Hill of Gaash, in the Border of his Inheritance in Timnath-Serah (a): Josephus informs us that Joshua governed the Israelites twenty sine Years from after the Death of Moses (b); accordingly we must fix the Time of his Death to

about A. M. 2578.

It has been a matter of Dispute amongst the Learned, whether Joshua was himself the Author of the Book which is called by his Name (c): But 1. It is obvious to be observed, that the Book of Joshua seems to hint, that a Person, one of the Israelites, who made the miraculous Passage over Jordan, was the Writer of it: This the first Verse of the fifth Chapter intimates to us: When all the Kings of the Amorites—heard, that the Lord had dried up the Waters of Jordan from before the Children of Israel, until we were passed over—(d); the Writer would not have here used the first Person, WE were passed

⁽y) Jofn. xxiv. 26. (z) ver. 27. (a) ver. 29, 30. (b) Jofeph. Antiq. Lib. 5. c. 1. (c) Vid. Pol. Synop. Critic. Cleric. in Differt. de Scriptorib. Hiltoric. Vet. Teftam. Carpzov. Introduc. ad Libros Hilt. Vet. Teft. et al. (d) The Hebrew Words are [ערערער]

498

over, if himself had not been one of the Persons who had passed the River (f): 2. It is evident, that this Book was written before Rahah died: for we are told. that Joshua faved Rahab the Harlot alive, and her Father's Houshold, and all that she had, and she dwelleth in Israel unto this Day (g): The Writer was here willing to record to Posterity, that Rahab had not only her Life given her, but that she was fo well received by the Israelites, as to continue even then to dwell amongst them; a Remark that could not have been made after Rahab was dead (b); and consequently the Book that has it must have been composed whilst Rahab was yet alive: Rahab was afterwards married to Salmon, the Son of Naasson (i), the Head of the House of Judah (k); had she been so, when the Book of Joshua was composed, I should imagine the Author of it, as he appears, by the Hint abovementioned, inclined to intimate all the good Circumstances of her Condition, would not have omitted that, and confequently, by her Marriage not

(i) Mat. i. 5. (k) Numb. i. 7.

⁽f) Iought not to omit, that the marginal Reference in the Hebrew Bibles reads the Word [] 14]; but the Learned allow the Hebrew Keriand Ketib not to be of fuch Authority, as that we must be abfolutely determined by it. Walton. Bibl. Polyglot. Prolegom. viii. c. 26. (g) Josh. vi. 25. (b) The Remark is not, that Rahab's Family, Descendants, or Father's Houshold were then in Israel; but the Verb is [] 11 in the third Person semine, and refers to Rahab in particular.

being mentioned, we have fome reason to think the Book of Joshua to have been written not late in Rabab's Life. 3. We are expresly informed that Joshua did himfelf write, and add what he wrote to the Book of the Law of God (1). 4. The Words that inform us of this Fact may, if taken in their natural Sense, and according to the Construction put upon Words of the like Import, when we find them upon ancient Monuments or Remains, be fupposed to be Joshua's Conclusion of his Book, defigned by him to inform Posterity, that himself was the Writer of it: Joshua wrote these Words in the Book of the Law, &c. may fairly imply, unless we have good reason to think the Fact was otherwise, that all that was found written in the Book of the Law, from the End of what was penned by the Hand of Mojes, unto the Close of the Period, of which these Words are a Part, was wrote by Joshua: And this was the Opinion of the Talmudists (n): Joshua was the only sacred Penman which we read the Israelites to have had in his Age: And after he had finished the Division of the Land, he had many Years of great Leisure (p): In these he probably applied himself to give account of the Death and Burial of Moles (9), and from thence continu'd a Narra-

⁽¹⁾ John. xxiv. 26. (n) Bava Bathra cap. 1.
(2) John. xxiii. 1. (2) Deut. xxxiv.

K k 2 tive

500 Connection of the Sacred Book XII.

tive of what had been transacted under his own Direction (r), filling it up with a general Terrier of the Settlements of the Tribes (s), fuch as it could not but be expedient for the Israelites to have on Record, to prevent Confusions about their Inheritances in future Ages. After having done this, he summoned the Tribes (t), gave them his Exhortations, and having added, to what he had before prepared, an Account of the Conventions he had held, and what had paffed at them, he transcribed the (u) whole into the Book of the Law, and then dismissed the People (w): Accordingly I take the Work of Joshua to begin from where Moses ended; at the xxxivth Chapter of Deuteronomy, and to end with the 27th Verse of the xxivth Chapter of Joshua: As Joshua thus added at the End of Deuteronomy the Account of Mojes's Death; so what we find from the 28th Verse of the xxivth Chapter of Tofhua to the end of that Book, was unquestionably not written until Joshua and all the Elders his Contemporaries, who over-lived him, were gone off the Stage (x), and was added to the End of the Book of Joshua, by some sacred Penman, who was afterwards employed to record the subsequent State of the Affairs of Ijrael.

⁽x) josh i.— xii. (s) xiii.— xxii. (t) xxiii. 2. (x) xxiv. 26. (av) ver. 28. (x) ver. 31.

As to the Objections, that are offered against Joshua's being the Writer of the Book fo called, they are but inconsiderable: It is remarked, that there are many short Hints and Intimations in divers Parts of the Book, that appear evidently of a later Date than Joshua's Time: Of the Stones which Joshua set up at Gilgal, it is observed that they were there unto this Day(y); a Remark very proper to be made in a distant Age, but not likely to be hinted by Joshua, of a Monument designed by him, not so much for his own Times, as for the Information of a late Posterity (2): Of the Canaanites in divers Tribes it is suggested, that the Israelites did not drive them out; but admitted them to live amongst them, and made them pay Tribute (a), and of the Tribe of Dan, that they went up against Leshem(b): But this Expedition was not undertaken until after Joshua's Death (c), nor did the Tribes of Ifrael come to Agreement with the Inhabitants of Canaan, whilft Joshua was living (d); and therefore all these Observations must have come not from Joshua, but from a later Hand: We are told, that what Joshua wrote about the Sun and Moon's standing still,

⁽y) Josh. v.i. 26. (z) iv. 21, 22. (a) xiii. 9. (b) xix. 47. (c) Judg. xviii. (d) Judg. i.

502 Connection of the Sacred Book XII.

was also found in the Book of fasher(f): But the Book of fasher was more modern than these Times: It contained Hints of what David defired the Children of Judab might be taught (g), and therefore was a Book probably not in Being until David's Age: In like manner a Tract of Land in the xixth Chapter of Joshua is called Cabul(i); but this Country feems not to have had this Name until Hiram called it so n the Days of Solomon (k). I might add to these some other Observations of a like Sort (1); but how obvious is it to reply to all of them? 1. That the Observation of Rahab's being alive (m) suggests the Book of Joshua to have been composed long before any of these more modern Intimations could be given, and consequently, that none of these could be in the original Book of Joshua. 2. The learned are abundantly fatisfied, that there are many little Strictures and Observations of this Nature now found in divers Parts of the facred Books, which were not written by the Composers of the Books they are found in (n). 3. Dean Prideaux fays of them, that they were Additions made by Ezra, when, upon the Return from the Captivity, he collected and fettled for

⁽f) Judg. x 13 (g) 2 Sam. i. 18. (i) Josh. xix. 27. (k) 1 Kings 1x. 13. (l) Vid. Cleric. Differtat. de Scriptoribus Lib. Histor. Vet. Testam. (m) Josh. vi. 25. (n) See Prideaux Connect. Part 1. Book v.

the Jews a correct Copy of their holy Scriptures (0): What Authority this most learned Writer had for this Opinion, I cannot say: I suspect it proceeded from a Defire to preferve the same Regard to these Additions and Interpolations as is due to the facred Writings; for he fays, Ezra was affifted in making these Additions by the same Spirit, by which the Books were at first wrote (p): But, whether Ezra made his Copy of the Scriptures from original Books of them then extant; or rather, whether he did not make his Copy from collecting and comparing fuch transcribed Copies as were in the Hands of the Israelites of his Times; whether in the Copies he confulted, the Additions we are speaking of might not stand as marginal Hints made by private Hands in their Copies of the facred Books; whether Ezra could ever defign either to add to the facred Books, or to diminish ought from them (q); tho' perhaps finding divers of these Intimations of use to the Reader for illustrating, and comparing one Part of the facred Writings with another, or fuggesting what might explain an obscure or antiquated Name or Passage in them, he might take fuch as he judged thus ferviceable into his Copy also;

⁽a) See Prideaux Connect. Fart 1. Book v. (p) Ibid.

504 Connection of the Sacred, &c.

but whether he made them Part of his Text; or rather, whether he did not infert them in his Copy, as marginal Hints and Observations only; and whether their being made, as we now find them, Part of the Text, has not been owing to the Mistake or Carelessness of later Transcribers from Ezra's Copy, are Points which I submit, with all due Deference, to the Judgment of the Learned.

The End of the Third Volume.

ERRATA.

Page Line 3 in the Notes for Rata read Rate 25 for vejaomeen read vejaomeru 120 148 22 for Demonstrations read Demonstration 22 for 1667 Years read 1667 Year 12 for 284 read 348 253 10 for This read Diodorus 294 31 for Fortune read Fortunes 323 23 for Vices read Views 332 ult. for Men put on read Men might put on 334 2 for Ages read Years 350 354 16 for a March read such a March 363 1 for Institution read Institutions 367 20 for mere Moderns read more modern 25 for will not all bear read will not at all bear 383 4 for Pole read Poll 418 8 for of those Times read of that Science 459 18 for meerly read morally 461 11 for Phaeton read Phaethon 463 3 for 2560 read 2569 465 1 for of read in 481 15 Leshem Dan dele Leshem 15 have read to have 4.83 21 Gergashites read Girgashites 489

TOTHE

THIRD VOLUME.

A.

21 RO IV WILL THE HOLDS UP THOSES S TIMENDS, WHITE	F 411C
Israelites were in Battle with the Amalekites Pa	ge 27
With Moses, Nadab and Abihu, sees the God of	Ifrael
A. Ab.	42
Required to make the Ifraelites an Idol	125
His Excuse for suffering the People to go into Idolatry	127
He and his Sons confecrated to the Priest's Office	181
With Miriam opposes Moses	196
His Behaviour upon the Deaths of his two Sons	183
Dies on Mount Hor	288
Abihu Son of Aaron, his Offence and Death	182
Reason for the Vengeance inflicted on him	185
Abiram, with Korah and Dathan, his Rebellion	203
The Grounds of it	ibid
The Miracles that reduced it	205
Achan, his Transgression and Death	424
Aish, Hebrew Word for Man, its ancient Derivation	114

Africanus, who and when he lived His Chronography, of what Kind

His Chronography, of what Kind	212
What Judgment to be formed of it	243
Age, the brazen next the Times of Tubiter	243-250
The Circumstances of the Times so called	ibid.
Alexander the Great, his Disposition of his Kingdoms	.Didi
The state of the s	
His March over the Sands of Libya	352
The Embassies attending his Entry into Babylon	354
Amalehites attack the Israelites, &c.	490
Apollo visited divers Parts of Greece	26
Killed Tityus	119
Went to Delphos	1 20
Becomes the Oracle there	ibid.
Apophis, the King of Egypt, who was drowned in the	121
energy of Egypt, who was drowned in the	
Arad, King of that City, attacks the Israelites, &c.	282
Arcas, King of Arcadia	289
Arsinoe, City, where situate	103
Argus, his Family and Genealogy	4
'Areche, who so called at the first Rise of Kingdoms	85—88
	109
Assessment of the Israelites, how much Money raise	316—323
and the state of t	
	173
B	
Bacchus, Grecian Fable about his Birth explained	104
70 7 1 1 0 1	312, 313
His Answer to Balak's Messengers	313
God's Directions to him	ibid
What Fault he was guilty of in going with the Messe	engers of
Balak	314
Opposed by an Angel	315
Rebuked by his Ass	ibid.
His Circumstances and Character	23, 324
Not an Enchanter, but a Worshipper of the true God	325
	327, 328
Dismissed by Balak with Contempt	330
His Advice to the Midianites	331
His Views and Design in it	332
Slain by the Israelites	345
Palak, King of Moab, sends to Balaam, &c.	312
Beards of the Israelites, faid to have turned yellow by	drinking
a Water.	137

241

I N D E X.

C.

Canaanites had no Temples in the Days of Moses 180	
Whether any Companies of them escaped from Joshua by Flight	
into oth r Lands 489	
Whether they made any Settlements in lesser Asia, or in Greece,	
in the Days of Joshua 491	
Caleb together with Joshua, endeavours to still the People 199	
Claims a Promise of his Inheritance at Hebron 469	
Caucasus, the Mountain upon which Prometheus was said to have	
been chained, where fituate 119	
Callimachus, rejected the Cretan Account of the Tomb of Jupiter	
105	
a C. 1 1 th Australia	
TIO OCI.I DI. DO.	
Said to teach Triptolemus to sow Corn 122	
Centimani, Fable about them explained 57	
Chinese, their Account of the Sun's standing still 462	
Chronicon of Eusebius, an Account of it	
Chronographeon the old Egyptian, what its Contents 215	
What Judgment we can form of it 216	
Not the same Work as the Tomes of Manetho 220	
01 0 1 0 10 1 77 1	
Chronography of Africanus, of what Kind 241-243	
Circumcision not used by the Israelites in the Wilderness 411	
How it came to be neglected 412	
Revived by Joshua ibid.	
Not without a divine Command 418	
When difused by the Egyptians 416	
Such Disuse, why called their Reproach ibid.	
The Revival of it shewed the Israelites how many of their Camp	
had been in Fault	
0'' (0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
OCD C	
Of Refuge appointed by the Ifraelites 487	
Cretans, their Account of the Tomb of Jupiter 106	
Their ancient History of great Repute in former Times	
54	
Their most ancient Kings	
Crete, the State of it in the brazen Age	
Thought to be well fituated to obtain an universal Empire	
Curetes, who the Persons so called	
Cudates Fable of their one Fue austinal	
Cyril St. misquotes Diodorus Siculus	
Cyrus the Elder, his Account of himself when dying 381	

D.

D C !: 71 : 1	
Dastyli Idei, how many and who	55-124
Dathan, with Korah and Abiram, his Rebellion	203
Deiphon Son of Celeus, nursed by Ceres Di consentes, who the Gods so called	121
Division of the Land of Canaan, what Directions God	had siven
for it	had given
In what manner the Israelites proceeded in making it	473 474—480
In what manner the 17 across proceeded in making it	4/4-400
E.	
Eagle, the River Nile anciently called fo	118
Ebal and Gerizim, the Law for building the Altar, an	d writing
	425-430
Ecclefiasticus, Author of the Book so called, his Learning	and Age
	9
His Opinion of the Cure of the Waters at Marah	7
Edomites make their first King	288
Egyptians, whether they had any lewd Dances in their San	
Times of Moses	139
Their Antiquities, what Books to be searched for them	214
Eldad with Medad, encouraged by a Miracle to undertake	
ployment affigned them	194
Elders 70, the Appointment of them Elim, when the Israelites went thither	193
Like a Place described by Strabo	
Enchantments, heathen, for the Cure of the Bitings of S	ernents of
	297—301
Used by Balaam, of what Sort	327, 328
	232-235
Eusebius Pamphilus, when he flourished	250
His Chronicon. See Chronicon.	,
His Account of Prometheus	116
Ezra, in what manner he might add to the Books of	Scripture
	503

F.

Fabulists, their Story of Hercules's killing the Eagle which prey'd	up-
on Promotheus's Liver	113
Faith, Mankind not easily brought to the Obedience of it	143

G.

Games publick, how managed in the most ancient Times	132
Gerizim, see Ebal Gibeonites, their Stratagem to obtain a League with Israel c	onfi-
der'd	431
Girgashites, whether they fled from Joshua into Africa	489
God of Israel, who the Person so called	43
Greece, its Cities chose their Gods	98
Greeks, the Names of their Gods and Heroes from Egypt	117
H.	
Harmonia Wife of Cadmus, whose Daughter	104
Hazor City, burnt by the Ifraelites	468
Halirrothius Son of Neptune killed by Mars, when	. 99
Heathen Writers mention bitter Waters in the Parts where the	
Thought it impossible to see God	42
Their Philosophical Notions of their Gods	45
for the form of the form	, 48
Miracles recorded by them, not so well attested as those of	Mofes
365,	372
Hercules, an old Egyptian Hero, so called	118
Herodotus wrote before Manetho	230
Horeb the same, or a contiguous Mountain to Sinai Hobab, Son of Jethro, journies with the Ifraelites	23
Hur assists Aaron to hold up Moses's Hands, whilst the Israelites	193
in Battle	26
He and Aaron have the Charge of the People	42
Did not probably out-live the Sin of the golden Calf	127
Hyginus, his Account of Prometheus	IIZ
I.	
1.	

Jabin King of Hazor conquered by the Israelites
Ida a Mountain both of Crete and Phrygia
Jethro visits Moses and the Camp of the Israelites
Invites Aaron and the Elders of Israel to his Sacrifice

His Advice to Moses about the Government of the Pec	ople con-
fidered Fordan, whether the Ifraelites could have passed it without	34
	408, 411
Josephus, his Account of the Cure of the Waters at Marah	400, 411
His Opinion about the Gathering of the Manna	13
	236, 240
Joshua, his Behaviour at the Return of the Spies from search	
Appointed by God to lead the Israelites at the Death	of Males
2.770	347
Takes the Command of the People	399
Sends the Spies to Jericho	ibid.
Sets up the Stones in Gilgal Revives the Use of Circumcision	406
Cannot be supposed to have revived it without a special (
of God for to doing	418
Conquers the five Kings of Canaan	451
His Relation of the Miracle of the Sun and Moon's stan	
confidered How long engaged in War with the Canaanites	453, &c. 468
Prepares to divide Canaan	469
His Inheritance, what and where	486
His Admonitions to the Israelites before he died	494, &c.
His Death Whether he wrote the Book called by his Name	ibid. &c.
Indians, their Itinerant Enchanters, who cured the Bitings of	
and the second s	300
Ifraelites, their Murmurings at Marab	I
March to Elim	10
Diffressed with Want in the Wilderness of Sin Relieved by a miraculous Supply of Quails and Manna	ibid.
Want Water at Rephidim	16
Their March to Sinai, when	40
Forced Aaron to make the Calf	127
Whether they danced naked at their Games	138
Whether they fet up the Calf in Imitation of the Egypt.	144
Upon what Principle they fell into the Idolatry of the C	
How taxed to erect the Tabernacle	172
Left Sinai, when	192
Will not march when required to go up to enter Canaan What Punishment was denounced against them for this	Obstinacy
That I distilled was denounced against them for this	199
Attempt Canaan, but are defeated	200
Their Murmurings at Kadesh	283

Their Encampments from Punon to Pisgah	310
Conquer Silon King of the Amorites	311
Reduce the Kingdom of Bashan	212
Could not be deceived in the Miracles recorded by Mose	s to have
been wrote before them 26	DE 277
At ro time disposed to an implicit Belief of, or Dependa	nce unon
	$37^2, 375$
Their miraculous Passage over Jordan	
Besiege and take Jericho	405
Defeated at Ai	418
Whether they were commanded absolutely to destroy the	424
tants of Canaan	
Whether they could make any League with these Nation	432
Whether justifiable in their Treatment of the Gibeonites	TJ7
	450
Their Embassy about the Altar of Jordan	488
Supiter of Crete contemporary with Moses	5.4
Succeeds his Father in the Kingdom of Crete	64
Marries his Sifter Juno	ibid.
His other Wives and Children	65
How many Generations he lived before the Trojan War	66-70
His Politics and Improvements of his Country	88, 89
Who the Persons were that acted with him, and what	Employ-
ments they had under him	00. 111
How he and his Ministers came to be more highly tho	ught of
in After ages, than all other Heroes	92
His Times, why called the Silver-Age	93, 94
What Opposition he met with, and from whom	93
Who were his Allies	94, 95
Travels from Crete into other Countries	
Said to travel all over the World	97 ibid.
Was an useful Instructor of, and Benefactor to foreign Ki	nadome
as all alores similared of and beneficion to foreign 121	_
In Arcadia, when	97
Worshipped the Lights of Heaven	99
	102
Was not himself worshipped by Lycaon	ibid.
Was at Cadmus's Wedding	103
In Love with Semele	104
How it came to pass that we should have no Account of his	Death
TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRA	105
The new Scenes of Life opened by him	109
Severe in his Punishment of Prometheus, and why	III
Temple of the Arcadian Jupiter, a Fancy about it	202
Children of Jupiter did not succeed him in his Cretan	Domi-
nions	119
Ain Martyr misquotes Diodorus Siculus	300

K.

Kings of Canaan, how many conquered by Joshua

L.	
Lacedamonians, of the Stock of Abraham Their Kings Laws, no Word in Homer for a written Law	51 54 390
Some of $M_0/\hat{e}s$'s Laws confidered League, what the Sense of that Word	392 445
Lelex, his Age An Ifraelite Settlements made by him	50 51 ibid.
Levites appointed to the Service of the Tabernacle Ansal, who so called when Nations were first formed	189
Lucian, his Ridicule of the Fable of Prometheus Lupercalia when infittuted 101	114
Lycaon King of Arcadia, his Family, Character, and Manners Entertains Jupiter, is killed by him Did not think Jupiter a God	99 100
Said to be turned into a Wolf, why Lycæus, Jupiter so called, an Altar erected to him	100
Lycurgus, his Opinion of Written Laws	3 ⁸ 5
М.	
Manna miraculously given to the Ifraelites What it was	ibid.
Whence its Name How to be gathered An Omer of it laid up in a Pot for future Ages	ibid.
and of the land up in a 1 of lot little riges	16

How to be gathered ibid.

An Omer of it laid up in a Pot for future Ages 16
Ceafed when 419
Manetho, who, his Character 224, 225
His Books, their Nature and Composition, and Contents 225, 231, 234
His View and Design in them 226, 231, 234
Mark St. a Monkith Fable concerning his Festival 226
Marab, no Place so called by prophane Writers 3
Marplam, Sir John, Account of his Canon Chronicus 264, 281
His Account of the brazen Serpent considered 292, 193
Marsi, their supposed Abilities to cure the Bitings of Serpents

297, 298 Minerva

463

Minerva, her Difference with Neptune about the Athenians	99
Minos, his Family, Genealogy, and Time of Life	73
Miriam and Aaron oppose Moses	195
Her Death	233
Mneves the Egyptian, who	3 9
Governed his People by unwritten Laws	391
Moabites ensnare the Israelites into Idolatry	333
Monceius, his Account of Aaron's making the Ifraelites nake	d 136
Moon and Sun, the Miracle of their standing still at the	
Joshua considered	451, &c.
Moses leads the Israelites into the Wilderness of Shur	I
Perhaps not led to Marah by the Pillar of the Cloud	3
How tried and proved at Marab	ibid.
Smites the Rock at Rephidim	17
His Hands holden up give Victory to the Israelites	26
With Nadab, Aaron and Abibu, sees the God of Ifrael	42
In the Mount forty Days and Nights	ibid.
Finds the Ifraelites at their idolatrous Games	126
Melts and reduces to Powder the golden Calf	ibid.
Expostulates with Aaron the Idolatry of the People	ibid.
Goes the second time up the Mount	172
Exhorts the Israelites in the last Month of his Life	348
Delivers his Book to the Levites	ibid.
His Death	349
Where buried	350
His Character and Conduct examined	351
His Administration not managed by any private or self	ifh Views
	ibid.
His Manner of leading the Ifraelites examined	355
His Conduct thought by the Princes of the Congregation	contrary
to all human Prudence	ibid.
Why he did not permit the Israelites to attempt Canaan	
Must be thought to have been directed by God in the	Prospects
he set before the Israelites	358
Character given of him by our modern Deists	359
And by the Heathen Writers	360
His divine Mission proved from the Facts recorded by his	
The Facts recorded by him most unquestionably true	
Cannot be faid to have wrote the History of the Israelit	es accord-
ing to his own Humour 3	76 - 380
Was a most impartial Writer, not favouring even his o	own Cha-
	31 - 384
Would not have given the Ifraelites written Laws withou	t a divine
Direction	384
Was the first that ever gave written Laws to 2 People	388
Some of his Laws confidered 3	92 - 399
Vol III	BAnin

Mythologists, their extravagant Fictions	64
Their Accounts of Persons said to be descended of the God	s, upon
what founded Latin, their Fable about Prometheus	79 — 84 112
Greek, their Fable about Prometheus	113
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
N.	
Nadab and Abibu, their Deaths	18z
Neptune and Minerva, their Difference about the Athenians	99
The Grecian a great Master of the Seas; where he lived	123
Numa not willing to leave his facred Books to Posterity Ny Etimus Successor of Lycaon in the Kingdom of Arcadia	385
The same outerior of Dicasa in the immediate of 21/ tauta	103
О.	
Ophiogenes, their supposed Abilities to cure the Bitings of Se	rpents
2	97, 298
Ops, who the Person so called	61
Travelled from Creie into Phrygia Called also Cybele, and Rhea	123 ibid.
Never worshipped in Crete	124
Ouranus of Crete, who and where he lived	57
P.	
**	
Passover, Ordinance of a second	187
Papifts chargeable with Idolatry	145
Philistines, whence their Strength and Increase of People Philo the Jew, his Observation upon the Jewish Law	493 386
Phinehas kills Zimri and Cozbi, whether, and how justifial	
doing 33	5-341
Phoroneus first taught the Greeks to kindle Fire	113
Pillar of the Cloud before the Israelites, not an artificial Sig Pillar of Light said to attend the March of Thrassbulus, wh	
	61, 364
Plato, his Account of Prometheus how to be understood	114
Pliny, Method to cure bitter Waters recorded by him	8
Pluto, where he lived, when he left Crete	121
Carried away Proserpine	ibid.

Poll

I IV D L A.
Poll, first and second taken at Sinai 174, 176, 18
A third taken in the Plains of Moab
Princes of the Tribes appointed 18
Prometheus, a King of Egypt so called
Grecian, in what he offended Jupiter 112-116
What Punishment Jupiter inflicted on him
Pfylli, their reputed Abilities to cure the Bite of Scrpents 297, 298 Python, who, Fable of Apollo's killing him
F) thon, who, Pable of Apollo's Killing little
Q.
Quails given to the Ifraelites
A kind of Birds, common on the Coasts near the Red Sea ibid.
R.
Rabbins, their Fiction about the Well at Beer 26
Their Apology for Aaron 127
Rahab entertains the Spies sent to Jericho 399
Her Conduct herein examined and justified 400, 404
Alive, when the Book of Johna was written 498
Married after the Book of Joshua was written ibid.
Reason thought to dictate the Worship of Images in the early Ages
Rephidim, Place fo called, where
Rephidim, Place so called, where 16, 21 Rock in the Wilderness did not follow the Camp of the <i>Israelites</i>
18
How long it supplied the Israelites with Water 23
Romans, their Treatment of the Carthaginians not to be justified 447
S.
5.
aturn King of Crete 61
Fable of him explained 62
His Children ibid.
What the Mythologists might mean by his eating and vemiting up
his Children 63
State of Crete in his Times 87, 108, 110
His Reign, the golden Age 88
choliast upon Callimachus, his Remark upon the Inscription on the Tomb of Jupiter
expense, fiery, destroy the I/raelites 291
reposition, story, coursely tile systems

Llz

Brazen

Brazen Serpent, what Judgment to be formed of it Flying Serpents, a Kind not unknown in Arabia 296
Serpents of a red or fiery Colour, another Kind found in the Parts
Sefostris, Opinions about his Times 205
Not Brother of Danaus 206
Not the fame Person as Egyptus 208
Not the Son of Pharach, who was drowned in the Red-Sea.
210
Shadow, a whimfical Conceit of the Rabbins about it 201
Shekel of Silver, Jewish, of what Value
Spies fent into Canaan, their Return and Report 178, 179
Shaftsbury, Earl of, his Reflection upon Jethro's Advice to Mojes, answered 34-40
Sun, Miracle of its flanding still in Joshua's Time considered,
451, &c.
How pertinent to the Circumstances both of the Canaanites and
Israelites Ibid
Not unobserved by the Heathen Astronomers 458, &c.
Occasioned the Fable of Phaethen 461
The Chinese Accounts of it 462
Could not be a mere Vapour in the Air 464
Objections to it answered 469
Spencer, Doctor, his Interpretation of Ezekiel xx. 25. refuted
168
Statutes not good, no Part of the Ritual Law 161, &c.
What these Statutes were, and when given 165, &c
to the one of the contracts were, and when given

T.

Tabernacle, finished and set up	878
A visible Demonstration given of its having been directed	by
God	179
End for which it was directed 179,	180
First Structure in the World for the Purposes of Religion	180
Erected at the dividing Canaan, when and why	&c.
Tangier, Inscription said to have been found there	489
Tartarus, what Country so named in the early Ages	58

Texts of Scripture cited and explained.

		ted and explained.	
Genesis	xii. xvii.	ver. 7.	
	xviii.		
		ver. 24.	44
		ver. 30.	
		ver. 9.	
	xlix.	ver. 13.	485
			2
Exodus	XV.		2 13
	xvi.	ver. 16.	28
		ver. 16.	155
		ver. 5. ver. 10.	41
	XXIV.	ver. 11.	45
	xxiii.	ver. 32.	440
		ver. 4.	129
	201120140	ver. 24.	128
		ver. 35.	ibid.
		ver. 25.	133
		ver. 26, 27, 23.	141, &c.
Leviticus	x.	ver. 3.	187
		ver. 8, 9.	183
		ver. 19.	184
Numbers	iii.	ver. 39.	189, 190
p (nmoor o	xi.	ver. 29.	194
	-	ver. 31.	195
	xx.	ver. 39.	186
	xxi.	ver. 3.	290
		ver. 11-20;	311
	xxii.	ver. 20. °	133
		ver. 22.	314
	XXV.		340
	***	ver. 13.	341
	XXXIII.	ver. 44—47	311
		ver. 55.	47-3
Deuteronom			48
	vii.	ver. 1, 2, 3.	437
		ver. 21.	2 [
	XX.		433
		ver. 11.	441
	XXXiv	ver. 6.	350
			Johna

Tophua	iv. ver. 9.		
0 0	v. vr. g.		407
	ver. 11.		414, 415
	xix. ver. 47.		420, 421
	xxiv. ver. 1.		481
	xi. ver. 10, 11.		495
Judges	-, -,		435
1 Kings	v. ver. 2. ix. ver. 21.		132
z Chronicles			442
P salms			ibid.
		None	302
1.			20
-	xcv. ver. 10.		10
	cv. ver. 41.		20
70 7	cvi. ver. 33.		284
Proverbs	iii. ver. 5.		148
T 1 C 0	xvi. ver. 10.		391
Ecclefiastes	x. ver. 8.		304
Jeremiah	vii. ver. 21.		158
	ver. 22.		156
71 7. 1	viii. ver. 17.		305, 308
Ezekiel	xx. ver. II.		159
	ver. 21 — 23.		169
	ver. 26.	_	165
Matthew	iv. ver. 4.		24
John	vii. ver. 18.		286
1 Cerinthian	s x. ver. 4.		24
	xi, ver, 10.		135
	x. ver. 13.		147
2 Corinthians	viii. ver. 13, 14, 15.		iş
Galatians	iii. ver. 19.		155
Hebrews	xi. ver. 7.		401
	ver. 31.		403
I John	iv. ver. 12.		42
Themis, who	the Person so called		120
Titanes, who			61
Men of a	most excellent Character		95
	er any one could naturall	y cure the Waters	at Marab
			7
Tribes of Ifr.	ael, the Situation of their	Inheritances in Can	aan 480

Where their Lands were fituate not to be now exactly afcertained 483 488

The two Tribes and an half build an Altar at Jordan

V.

W.

Water from the Rock at Moreb, whether it cealed funning	when the
Israelites lest Sinai	23, 24
Waters, bitter at Marah	1
Such Waters how cured by the Heathens	6
Wilderness, what fort of Place	357
Not absolutely without Water	357 18
5	
У.	
Years, Sabbatical and Jubilee, some Account of them	393
Z,	
Zathraustes compared with Moses.	368
Zimri, who	331

F I N I S.









232 4 2 2 2 1 5 5 4 2 2 2 1 5 5

DATE DUE

FEB 28	美国		
FEB 28 1	377		
1			
GAYLORD			PRINTED IN U.S.A.





