


# TAXI TO THE DARK SIDE -- ILLUSTRATED SCREENPLAY

written and directed by Alex Gibney  
© X-Ray Productions 2007

YOU ARE REQUIRED TO READ [THE COPYRIGHT NOTICE AT THIS LINK](#) BEFORE YOU READ THE FOLLOWING WORK, THAT IS AVAILABLE SOLELY FOR PRIVATE STUDY, SCHOLARSHIP OR RESEARCH PURSUANT TO 17 U.S.C. SECTION 107 AND 108. IN THE EVENT THAT THE LIBRARY DETERMINES THAT UNLAWFUL COPYING OF THIS WORK HAS OCCURRED, THE LIBRARY HAS THE RIGHT TO BLOCK THE I.P. ADDRESS AT WHICH THE UNLAWFUL COPYING APPEARED TO HAVE OCCURRED. THANK YOU FOR RESPECTING THE RIGHTS OF COPYRIGHT OWNERS.



[Transcribed from the movie, by Tara Carreon]








*[YAKUBI, AFGHANISTAN]*



[Afghani Man] Dilawar was a quiet, hard-working person.



A good and honest man.



From the time he was a little boy,



he loved to drive the tractor.



He brought stones down from the mountain.



All the stones in this wall,



Dilawar brought them all here.



We are peanut farmers, but we told him:



"We will work the fields and you can drive the taxi."





*JIGSAW PRODUCTIONS PRESENTS*



*IN ASSOCIATION WITH TALL WOODS, LLC*



*AND WIDER FILM PROJECTS*



[Narrator] On December 1, 2002, Dilawar, a young Afghan taxi driver, took three passengers for a ride.



He never returned home.



*[SECRET]*



*TAXI TO THE DARK SIDE*



*[Afghanistan 2002]*



*[Bagram Air Base, Afghanistan]*



[Sgt. Thomas Curtis, Military Police, Bagram] When the sun started to go down, the sand started blowing. It was like a big dust bowl. And I'm thinking, "Boy, is it going to be like this every night?"



[Pfc. Damien Corsetti, Military Intelligence, Bagram] I remember walking in to there for the first time: The smell -- the smell is the first thing that hits you. And being from D.C., if you've ever been to the National Zoo, when you walk into the elephant house there, that's the best way to describe it.

Specialist Damien M. Corsetti, a tall, bearded interrogator sometimes called "Monster" -- he had the nickname tattooed in Italian across his stomach, other soldiers said -- was often chosen to intimidate new detainees. Specialist Corsetti, they said, would glower and yell at the arrivals as they stood chained to an overhead pole or lay face down on the floor of a holding room. (A military police K-9 unit often brought growling dogs to walk among the new prisoners for similar effect, documents show.)

"The other interrogators would use his reputation," said one interrogator, Specialist Eric H. Barclais. "They would tell the detainee, 'If you don't cooperate, we'll have to get Monster, and he won't be as nice.'"  
" Another soldier told investigators that Sergeant Loring lightheartedly referred to Specialist Corsetti, then 23, as "the King of Torture."

A Saudi detainee who was interviewed by Army investigators last June at Guantánamo said Specialist Corsetti had pulled out his penis during an interrogation at Bagram, held it against the prisoner's face and threatened to rape him, excerpts from the man's statement show.

-- In U.S. Report, Brutal Details of 2 Afghan Inmates' Deaths, by Tim Golden



There were a few of us that lived in the prison, and I was one of them.



*[Bagram Prison]*



They built it up to be a big, scary place to the prisoners.





[Narrator] After the invasion of Afghanistan, U.S. Forces occupied Bagram, an old Soviet airbase



as a place to collect and interrogate thousands of detainees captured throughout Afghanistan and Pakistan.



[Sgt. Thomas Curtis, Military Police, Bagram] These were suspected Taliban.



They were being caught by Special Forces throughout the countryside, brought to Bag to be held, interrogated,



determined if they were a high-value prisoner.







[Sgt. Anthony Morden, Military Police, Bagram] These were not nice people at all.



They were very evil people who, you know, definitely had violent intentions.



[Narrator] On December 5, 2002, Dilawar, the taxi driver, was brought to Bagram.



He was designated a PUC: Person Under Control, No. 421.



[Sgt. Anthony Morden, Military Police, Bagram] He was something to do with a trigger man for a rocket attack. And that's about all I know.

[Narrator] Five days after his arrival, he was dead.



[Sgt. Thomas Curtis, Military Police, Bagram] I would say this was around about 0500 in the morning. As I walked by Dilawar -- I think that's his name, Dilawar --



walked by Dilawar's cell, I noticed that he was just kind of hanging there with his head down. But he was being too still to be just -- you know, hanging there, sleeping.



[Sgt. Anthony Morden, Military Police, Bagram] Sgt. Curtis opened up the door, and we went in. He was unresponsive. And we started CPR.



*[Military Investigation Reenactment]*



[Pfc. Willie Brand, Military Police, Bagram] I was downstairs in general population. Then I heard a call come in asking for a chemic to come upstairs. He was a medic, and we carried him downstairs on a stretcher.



*[Spc. Brian Cammack, Military Police, Bagram, Afghanistan]*



And the chemic was still on top of him while we're carrying him down, still trying to get him back going, all the way down the stairs. We got him through the front door and they kept working on him, kept working on him until the doctor got there and pronounced him dead.



[Sgt. Thomas Curtis, Military Police, Bagram] I don't know if it was an injury that was aggravated by something, or whether he was just sick coming in.



[Pfc. Damien Corsetti, Military Intelligence, Bagram & Abu Ghraib] They are very frail people, and I was surprised that it had taken that long for one of them to die in our custody.



[Sgt. Thomas Curtis, Military Police, Bagram] There was a definite sense of concern, because he was the second one.



[Narrator] Just a week before Dilawar's death, another detainee at Bagram had died.



[Sgt. Thomas Curtis, Military Police, Bagram] You know, you wonder: Is this something we did? Or did somebody kill him or something? But I just didn't know.



[Narrator] According to the medical examiner, the first detainee to die, Habibullah, had a preexisting pulmonary condition.



But it was the beatings he sustained at Bagram that led to the cause of his death: a bloodclot that traveled to his lungs.

Contusion, left knee ( )  
Deep contusions with (recent).  
Associated patterned


*[CONTUSION, LEFT KNEE; DEEP CONTUSIONS WITH ...]*

**ANATOMIC FINDINGS:**  
a. Pulmonary embolism (saddle).  
b. Mild pulmonary congestion and e  
c. Mild chronic passive congestion ( )  
d. Multiple blunt force injuries:  
(1) Head and neck injuries.  
(2) Contusions and abrasions

*[ANATOMIC FINDINGS: A. PULMONARY EMBOLISM (SADDLE); B. MILD PULMONARY CONGESTION AND ... C. MILD CHRONIC PASSIVE CONGESTION ... D. MULTIPLE BLUNT FORCE INJURIES: (1) HEAD AND NECK INJURIES ...]*



[Pfc. Damien Corsetti, Military Intelligence, Bagram & Abu Ghraib] When the second one died a week later, that's when it was like, "Oh, crap! Something's going to happen now." That's two prisoners dying within a week of each other. That's bad.



[Narrator] A preliminary investigation into Dilawar's death, revealed deep bruises all over his body.



But it did not conclude that his treatment at Bagram was to blame.



[Sgt. Thomas Curtis, Military Police, Bagram] The next day, they said, "Draw out how he was shackled up here."



And I made that crude drawing.




The ceiling of these isolation rooms was just a simple metal grate, and it was thick enough you could put handcuffs, you know, through the wires of that ...



*[Military Investigation Reenactment]*



and you just kind of chain them up like that, out to the sides, like this.



[Narrator] Forced standing for long periods had inflamed tissue damage from blows to Dilawar's legs.



But the initial Bagram press release failed to mention overhead shackling and beatings.

ruled a homicide,  
itary's earlier asser  
of natural causes. A  
ly resumed.  
The details of the

It declared that both detainees had died of natural causes.

-- U.S. Military Investigating Death of Afghan in Custody, by CARLOTTA GALL



[Sgt. Anthony Morden, Military Police, Bagram] My opinion is that the military wanted to get this over, and get this done quickly, before it really got noticed.

CERTIFICATE OF DEATH (O)		
Acte de décès (D'Outre-		
NAME OF DECEASED (Last, First, Middle)	Nom du décédé (Nom et prénom)	GRADE
DILAWAR		CIV
ORGANIZATION	Organisation	NATION (e.g. Pays)
BT421	BCP, BAGRAM AIR FIELD, AFGHANISTAN	AFGHA
RACE		MARITAL STATUS
CAUCASOID	Caucasien	SINGLE
		DIVORCED

[Narrator] Soon after Dilawar's death, the officer in charge of interrogation at Bagram,



Captain Carolyn Wood, was awarded the bronze star for valor. Following the Iraq invasion, Wood and her intelligence unit, were given a new assignment: Abu Ghraib.

\*\*\*



*[A FEW BAD APPLES]*





[Eric Lahammer, Military Intelligence, Bagram & Abu Ghraib] The only thing I can really remember about Abu Ghraib was the heat.



It was like 148 degrees or so.



And it was all concrete.



Abu Ghraib also had the infamous torture chambers and stuff ...



left from Saddam's era.




I remember walking through those and seeing like fingernail marks on the walls,



and bloodstains, and guillotines and stuff like that.



It was a pretty surreal feeling.



We went to Abu Ghraib, I believe in July. July or August of 2003 to start that prison.



[Pfc. Damien Corsetti, Military Intelligence, Bagram & Abu Ghraib] You put people in crazy situations, and people do crazy things.



And Abu was getting mortared every night. These 120 mm. mortars killing prisoners.



The first time that happened, they should have evacuated those prisoners to somewhere else, because the prisoners weren't safe.





[Sgt. Ken Davis, 372nd MP Company, Abu Ghraib] People were being told to rough up Iraqis that wouldn't cooperate.



We were also told that they were nothing but dogs. Then, all of a sudden, you start looking at these people as less than human.



And you start doing things to them you would never dream of.



And that's where it got scary.



[US Chief of Staff, Richard Myers] It was only the night shift. There's always a few bad apples.






[Donald Rumsfeld, Secretary of Defense] It's been a body blow for all of us.



[Lt. General Ricardo S. Sanchez] This is clearly an isolated incident.



[General Geoffrey D. Miller] The conduct of a very, very small number of our leaders and soldiers.

-- Former Top General in Iraq Faults Bush Administration, by DAVID S. CLOUD, New York Times





[Narrator] In the wake of media attention surrounding Abu Ghraib, the military began a series of investigations.



Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld  
Abu Ghraib, 2004

[Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld, Abu Ghraib, 2004] The people who engaged in abuses will be brought to justice. The world will see how a free system, a democratic system, functions and operates transparently with no cover-ups.



*[Newsweek: Is He to Blame? Special Report: Rumsfeld and the Road to the Prison Abuse Scandal]*

-- The Princess Bride, directed by Rob Reinter -- The Machine Vignette



Rear Admiral John Hutson  
(ret) Judge Advocate General

[Rear Admiral John Hutson, (ret) Judge Advocate General] The Secretary and others have said, "Well, you know, we've conducted 12 investigations" -- each and all of which were geared to looking downward, down toward Lynndie England and Graner, and not looking up.



*[Pfc. Lynndie England, sentenced to 3 years in prison]*



*[Spc. Charles A. Graner, sentenced to 10 years in prison]*



[Narrator] The soldiers in the photos are military police,



or MPs, whose job it was to guard and protect the prisoners.



In their statements, the MPs claimed that Military Intelligence, or MI, ordered them to weaken, humiliate, and break the prisoners ...



for interrogation purposes.



[Spc. Tony Lagouranis, Military Intelligence, Iraq] Obviously, you know, what they were doing, in those pictures, was not sanctioned by the Interrogation Rules of Engagement. And they weren't interrogators.



So yes, I did think that they were bad apples. However, I also think that they were taking cues from Intel.





[Sgt. Ken Davis, 372nd MP Company, Abu Ghraib] This reading report said it was happening in Afghanistan.



I mean, humiliation, trying to break people came from somewhere.



MPs didn't think of it.



MPs were not ever trained in such things.



We should never have been "breaking" anybody.



[Pfc. Damien Corsetti, Military Intelligence, Bagram & Abu Ghraib] I can tell you, we set the same policies in Abu as we set at Bagram. The same exact rules. The same thing was going on. And they wonder why it happened. (Laughs sadly.)



[Narrator] In her sworn testimony about Abu Ghraib, Capt. Wood said she felt pressured to produce intelligence,

SWORN STATEMENT			
For use of this form, see AR 190-45; the proponent agency is DDCSOPS			
PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT			
Title 10 USC Section 301; Title 5 USC Section 2951; E.O. 3287 dated November 22, 1983			
To provide commanders and law enforcement officials with means by which information may			
Your social security number is used as an additional/alternate means of identification to			
Disclosure of your social security number is voluntary			
1. NAME, LAST	2. DATE (YYYYMMDD)	3. TIME	4. GRADE
VA	2004/05/21	1745	
5. NAME, MIDDLE NAME	6. SSN	7. GRADE	8. SIGNATURE

... of AO using accepted Field Manual 34-52 norms and techniques ... from a tactical to an operational or insurgent environment and it increasingly ... my experience in Afghanistan. I did not want my folks to loose sight of their boundaries ... their left and right limits. I saw the situation moving to the "Bagram" model. Press ... increasing from overpopulation, the mission creep from bona fide Security Detainees ... who probably really didn't need to be detained for a long period, and the realization ... was evolving into a long standing mission. I increasing felt the need to draw on ... experience in Afghanistan. We had used "sleep adjustment" and "stress positions" as effective ... techniques in Afghanistan. Although I never saw written authorization, the techniques had ... CJTF-180 C-2X / C2 review and approval on a case by case basis. Because we had used ... techniques in Afghanistan, and I perceived the Iraq experience to be evolving into the same ...]

Name of Person Making Statement: [REDACTED] Page 3 of 7 Page

*[ ... AG using accepted Field Manual 34-52 norms and techniques ... from a tactical to an operational or insurgent environment and it increasingly ... my experience in Afghanistan. I did not want my folks to loose sight of their boundaries ... their left and right limits. I saw the situation moving to the "Bagram" model. Press ... increasing from overpopulation, the mission creep from bona fide Security detainees ... who probably really didn't need to be detained for a long period, and the realization ... was evolving into a long standing mission. I increasing felt the need to draw on ... experience in Afghanistan. We had used "sleep adjustment" and "stress positions" as effective ... techniques in Afghanistan. Although I never saw written authorization, the techniques had ... CJTF-180 C-2X/C2 review and approval on a case by case basis. Because we had used ... techniques in Afghanistan, and I perceived the Iraq experience to be evolving into the same ...]*

so she brought unauthorized techniques: dogs, nudity, sleep deprivation and stress positions to Abu Ghraib from Afghanistan. Wood maintained that the Bagram model had tacit approval from superiors.



But U.S. Central Command had never responded to her requests for authorization. So the mystery remained.



Was Abu Ghraib the work of a few bad apples? Or evidence of a new world-wide system of detention and interrogation?




[Spc. Tony Lagouranis, Military Intelligence, Iraq] I'm pretty sure that interrogators were telling the guards:



"Strip this guy naked,



chain him up to the bed in an uncomfortable position,



you know, do whatever you can."



And then they decided to take it one step further and have some "fun," and take pictures.







[Colonel Lawrence Wilkerson, Chief of Staff to Colin Powell 2002-2005, 31 years in the Military] You've always got people in the military who are just this side of the Marquis De Sade, and one of the reasons you want rules and this code of conduct ...



to help you lead mud rings and mud runs --



infantry --





is so that you can use those tools ...



to restrict this tendency in your soldiers.





When you have your friends dying on you left and right, you can sometimes go beyond the pale.



So a lieutenant, a captain down where the rubber meets the road, needs these tools. And he needs to be able to punish people who cross the line.



*[Colonel Lawrence Wilkerson, Chief of Staff to Colin Powell 2002-2005]*



When the secretary walked through my door into my office




about the time the photos of Abu Ghraib were getting ready to come out, and we had rumor that they were coming out,



he said to me, "I need to know what happened and why."




And so then I began to build both an open source and inside the government, classified and unclassified, document file.



And I began to see legal arguments as to why the President could pretty much do anything he wanted to in the name of Security.

-- The President's Constitutional Authority to Conduct Military Operations Against Terrorists and Nations Supporting Them, by John C. Yoo



And the Secretary of Defense, and others beneath him, were actually looking for the twin pressures that they put on people.

-- UNCLASSIFIED  
SECRET/NOFORN

GENERAL COUNSEL OF THE  
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
1000 DEFENSE PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-1000

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

November 27, 2002 (1:00 PM)

DEPSEC \_\_\_\_\_

ACTION MEMO

FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: William J. Haynes II, General Counsel

SUBJECT: Counter-Resistance Techniques

- The Commander of USSOUTHCOM has forwarded a request by the Commander of Joint Task Force 170 (now JTF GTMO) for approval of counter-resistance techniques to aid in the interrogation of detainees at Guantanamo Bay (Tab A).
- The request contains three categories of counter-resistance techniques, with the first category the least aggressive and the third category the most aggressive (Tab B).
  - I have discussed this with the deputy, Doug Feith and General Myers. I believe that all join in my recommendation that, as a matter of policy, you authorize the Commander of USSOUTHCOM to employ, in his discretion, only Categories I and II and the fourth technique listed in Category III ("Use of mild, non-injurious physical contact such as grabbing, poking in the chest with the finger, and light pushing").
  - While all Category III techniques may be legally available, we believe that, as a matter of policy, a blanket approval of Category III techniques is not warranted at this time. Our Armed Forces are trained to a standard of interrogation that reflects a tradition of restraint.

RECOMMENDATION: That SECDEF approve the USSOUTHCOM Commander's use of those counter-resistance techniques listed in Categories I and II and the fourth technique listed in Category III during the interrogation of detainees at Guantanamo Bay.

SECDEF DECISION

Approved signed by Donald Rumsfeld Disapproved \_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_ handwritten note: However, I stand for 8-10 hours a day. Why is standing limited to 4 hours? D.R.


Attachments

As stated


cc: CJCS, USD(P)

Declassified Under Authority of Executive Order 12958 By Executive Secretary, Office of the Secretary of Defense William P. Marriott, CAPT. USN

June 18, 2004




William J. Haynes II, General  
Counter-Resistance Techniques  
Commander of USSOUTHCOM has  
Task Force 170 (now JTF GTMO) f



and review (basically provide a list).  
interrogation techniques "wish list" by 17 AUG  
The gloves are coming off gentleman regarding these detain  
that we want these individuals broken. Casual  
start gathering info to help protect our fe  
s. I thank you for your hard work and yo

*[WE WANT THESE INDIVIDUALS BROKEN.]*

That is to say, the pressure to produce intelligence.




- > techniques
- > that SJA could review (basically provide a
- >
- > Provide interrogation techniques "wish list
- >
- > The gloves are coming off gentleman rega
- > [redacted] has
- > made it clear that we want these individual
- > are mounting
- > and we need to start gathering info to help
- > soldiers from


*[THE GLOVES ARE COMING OFF GENTLEMAN]*



And the fact that they were saying "the gloves are off," created the environment in the field that we later saw reflected in the photographs from Abu Ghraib. And in my view, far more serious fashion than the photographs we saw, were 98 deaths of people in detention, which I understand now from my army colleagues is up to some 25 of which have been declared officially by the Army as homicides.



*[\* since this interview, the number of deaths has risen to 105]*



*[\* since this interview, 37 have been classified "homicides"]*

People say, "Well, these photographs from Abu Ghraib, they weren't real torture." I look back at those people and say, "Murder is torture. Murder is the ultimate torture."




In the case of Dilawar, he was subject to -- certainly -- cruel and unusual punishment, and ultimately, he was subject to torture because he died.



[General Dan McNeill, Commander of Coalition Forces, Afghanistan 2002-2003] It's not our intent for people to die, especially when we're seeking to get information from them.



*[General Dan McNeill, Commander of Coalition Forces, Afghanistan 2002-2003]*



[Carlotta Gall] Did the treatment they received in those rooms cause the death of these two men?



[General Dan McNeill, Commander of Coalition Forces, Afghanistan 2002-2003] First, we're not chaining people to the ceiling. I think you asked me that question before.



[Carlotta Gall] "First, we're not chaining people to the ceiling." That's what he says.



[Narrator] Carlotta Gall is a New York Times journalist based in Kabul.



Unsatisfied with the Military's explanation of the two deaths at Bagram, she set out to investigate.





[Carlotta Gall] It took a long time to find the family because the Military didn't tell us who they were.



And we started calling around: Governors.



They are a very simple farming family.



They don't speak English.



But they showed me a paper that was given to them with the body.



And that's when I opened it up and read it.



It was in English.



And it was a death certificate from the American Military.



And it was signed by a U.S. Major who was the pathologist.

MODE OF DEATH Condition de décès		AUTOPSY FOR MAJOR FINDINGS
<input type="checkbox"/>	NATURAL Mort naturelle	
<input type="checkbox"/>	ACCIDENT Mort accidentelle	
<input type="checkbox"/>	SUICIDE Suicide	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HOMICIDE Homicide	

	SUICIDE Suicide	NAME OF PATHOLOGIST ELIZABETH
	HOMICIDE Homicide	SIGNATURE Sig
DATE OF DEATH (Hour, day, month, year) Date de décès (l'heure, le jour, le mois, l'année)		

And of the four boxes, she checked the box for Homicide.



I said, "My God, they've killed him." And we then had to tell the family, "Do you know what's written here?" And they said, "No, it's in English. We don't understand."




And I think maybe the Red Cross who helped return the body had explained, but they hadn't taken it in.



FATHER	
CITY OF TOWN AND STATE (check off Code) Title (Code optional)	
MEDICAL STATEMENT <i>Declaración médica</i>	
CAUSE OF DEATH (Enter only one cause per line) <i>Causa de muerte (Indique un/una causa por línea)</i>	
LEADING TO DEATH <i>causador de la muerte</i>	BLUNT FORCE INJURIES TO LOWER EXREMITIES COMPLICATING CORONARY ARTERY DISEASE.
CONTRIBUTION, IF ANY, TO PRIMARY CAUSE <i>contribuye, si lo es, a la causa primaria</i>	
ONE CAUSE, IF ANY, ONE TO PRIMARY	
DIAGNOSIS, ICD 9 & 10 <i>diagnóstico, ICD 9 &amp; 10 causa primaria</i>	

And then the Pathologist had said it was this blunt force trauma to the legs.



[Carlotta Gall] Did they receive any trauma? Any blunt injury trauma, as we call it?



[General Dan McNeill, Commander of Coalition Forces, Afghanistan 2002-2003] Presently I have no indication of that, but we will be looking as this investigation continues to go down its due course.



[Carlotta Gall] "Presently have no indication of that." You know, there's been a death certificate signed by his people and he says, "Presently I have no indication of any blunt force trauma." And it's written on the death certificate which I've seen.




[Tim Golden, New York Times Reporter] The story probably would have gone away had it not been for my colleague, Carlotta Gall, who tracked down Dilawar's family and found the knife-in-the-back clue that told everyone that this incident had been something other than the Military portrayed.



*[Dilawar's father & daughter]*



*[Tim Golden, New York Times Reporter]*



[Narrator] Tim Golden picked up the trail of the story and obtained a confidential file of the Army investigation,



including hundreds of pages of testimony from the soldiers involved.

-- In U.S. Report, Brutal Details of 2 Afghan Inmates' Deaths, by Tim Golden


[Tim Golden, New York Times Reporter] Part of what made the story compelling to me was that you had these young soldiers with very little training or preparation, thrown into this situation in the aftermath of 9/11, just as the rules were changing.



[Bagram, Afghanistan]



And they weren't told what the new rules were. And you had this young Afghan man who came into this system at the wrong time, in the wrong way.



And this is what happened to him.




[Sgt. Thomas Curtis, Military Police, Bagram] I saw his picture in the New York Times article.



Before that picture, I couldn't have picked his face out, you know.



My memory of him was chained up, with the hood on, though sleeping.



[Narrator] Following questions raised by the New York Times, and under scrutiny about the Abu Ghraib scandal, the Army finally stepped up the Dilawar investigation, and began charging soldiers with maltreatment, maiming and homicide.



[Sgt. Thomas Curtis, Military Police, Bagram] When you're working, you know, with an organization like the Military, you know, they are going to hold somebody accountable. You can sweep some things under the rug, but, you know, this was a death. There was two deaths. And okay, fine, they are going to charge people.



*[Sgt. Thomas Curtis, 377th Military Police Unit, Bagram, Afghanistan]*



[Sgt. Anthony Morden, 377th Military Police Unit, Bagram, Afghanistan] It seemed like the Military, now after they got a black eye from Abu Ghraib, wanted to get a public opinion that they were policing their soldiers.



And so they said, "We had this incident that happened a couple of years ago. We could still prosecute some of them."



*[Sgt. Anthony Morden, 377th Military Police Unit, Bagram, Afghanistan]*



[Pfc. Willie Brand, 377th Military Police Unit, Bagram, Afghanistan] I had nothing to do with the Military for two years, and all of a sudden I'm getting a call saying that I'm being court-martialed. I mean that was a huge surprise for me.



*[Pfc. Willie Brand, 377th Military Police Unit, Bagram, Afghanistan]*



[John Galligan, Willie Brand's attorney] From a defense perspective, I immediately said "This is a political show trial."



Willie Brand is a good soldier. Good soldiers tend to obey orders. Good soldiers tend to be people who do what they are trained to do.



[Tim Golden, New York Times Reporter] The interrogators on the ground ...



for the most part didn't know what the rules were.



They'd never been interrogators before.



[Pfc. Damien Corsetti, 519th Military Intelligence Unit, Bagram, Afghanistan] My interrogation training consisted basically of,



they taught us some approaches -- you know -- how to get people to talk.



And then -- "Here, go watch these guys interrogate" -- which were the people that we were replacing -- for about five or six hours before I did my first interrogation.



[William Cassara, Damien Corsetti's attorney] Damien was picked for this job because he's big, he's loud, and he's scary. That was his qualification.



[Pfc. Damien Corsetti, 519th Military Intelligence Unit, Bagram, Afghanistan] "Soldiers are dying. Get the information." That's all you're told. "Get the information."



[Tim Golden, New York Times Reporter] Soldiers said that when prisoners like Dilawar came into Bagram, they were immediately assaulted.



*[SHOCK OF CAPTURE]*



They blasted music at them.



Often, they had dogs barking at them.



And they would use some of the most menacing interrogators to create this sense of threat.



One of those was Damien Corsetti.



[Pfc. Damien Corsetti, 519th Military Intelligence Unit, Bagram, Afghanistan] With the screening, you're trying to instill what's called "The Shock of Capture", when the person first comes in.



And that's when they are most apt to give you information 'cause they're just like, "Oh, crap, what's going on?"



*[Moazzam Begg, British subject detained at Bagram and Guantanamo 2002-2005]*



[Moazzam Begg, British subject detained at Bagram and Guantanamo 2002-2005] It's not just a disorientation procedure, it's actually a terrorizing procedure. It's designed to terrify you into spilling the beans, as it were.



Being spat at. Being sworn at. Having the dogs barking around. Cameras flashing in your face.



[Sgt. Thomas Curtis, Military Police, Bagram] Keep in mind, in their culture, that dog's more shocking to them than it is to us. Kind of like a woman telling them what to do. You know, it's a cultural thing. So you get more bang for your buck, you know, with a dog.




[Moazzam Begg, British subject detained at Bagram and Guantanamo 2002-2005] And then to be re-shackled, completely naked, and to do what they call "The Body Search," the cavity search,



and then to be questioned naked, shivering.



[Pfc. Willie Brand, Military Police, Bagram] After they are read their rules and everything, they are taken to their cell, to where they're going to be put in sleep deprivation for 24 hours. That's standard for everybody.



*[ISOLATION CELL]*



Then, from there, MI directs us that they can go to general population, or if they have to stay in isolation. And if they are going to stay in isolation, if they are going to be allowed to sleep. And if they can, then when.



[Narrator] To weaken the defenses of detainees, interrogators ordered Military Police to find ways of keeping the prisoners awake.



[Sgt. Thomas Curtis, Military Police, Bagram] You know, they are in that room not saying anything -- "Oh, well, maybe he knows a little bit more. Let's let him, you know, lose a little bit more sleep."



Which is the idea of keeping them like this, so you won't sleep. You'll stand. Because as soon as you let your body go,



that pressure on your arms or your wrist -- you're going to feel that with those cuffs on.



[Pfc. Damien Corsetti, 519th Military Intelligence Unit, Bagram, Afghanistan] The only time the MPs would ever help us do anything would be to keep them on a sleep schedule. You know, they are guaranteed so much sleep. Is that sleep consistent? Is it uninterrupted?




You know, there's 15 minutes here, 15 minutes there. Who knows? That's how it was proposed to us.

1	↑1	↓2	↑2	↓1	PUC 421
2	↑2	↓1	↑3	↓2	PUC 412
3	↓1	↑3	↓1	↑2	PUC 381
4	↑2	↓1	↑3	↓1	PUC 241
5	↑3	↓1	↑2	↓2	PUC 401
6	↓1	↑3	↓1	↑2	PUC 523

[Sgt. Thomas Curtis, Military Police, Bagram] There would be a board, when you walked into the room, on his wall.



You might see an arrow going up to the ceiling. And there would be maybe a "1" by it.



So that would be an hour up. He's got to stand up for one hour. And then you may see a "2" with an arrow pointing down. That means he can sit down for two hours.



[Tim Golden, New York Times Reporter] The prisoners were kept in these big pens downstairs.



And their numbers would be scribbled on the door of the airlock, which was the little passageway that they were taken out of when they were brought up to the isolation cells upstairs.



[Moazzam Begg, British subject detained at Bagram and Guantanamo 2002-2005] Detainees were actually chained, with their hands above their heads, in these airlocks. His number, "421," was something I could see often, because his back was towards me in the airlock, and the numbers were written on the backs of the detainees in black marker.



And we all had that. As well as on the front. My number in Bagram was 180. But later it became "558."



[US Chief of Staff, Richard Myers visits Bagram] Thank you. It's good to be with you. It's good to be here in Bagram.



*[General Tommy Franks visits Bagram]*



*[Secretary Rumsfeld visits Bagram]*



[Sgt. Thomas Curtis, Military Police, Bagram] I'm sure any high-ranking officer who toured would see the shackles, because they are going to tour to look. You know, they are curious just like everybody else is.



[Pfc. Damien Corsetti, 519th Military Intelligence Unit, Bagram, Afghanistan] There are always officers coming and going through the facility. We kind of joked about it as being The Greatest Show on Earth.



Everyone wanted to come and look at the terrorists.



Mr. Rumsfeld's office called our office frequently.




*[General McNeil's headquarters were at Bagram]*



Very high commanders would want to be kept up-to-date on a daily basis on certain prisoners there. The Brass knew. They saw them shackled. They saw them hooded. And they said, "Right on! You're doing a great job!"



[Narrator] When the Red Cross toured Bagram,



the sleep deprivation chart was erased.




And the prisoners were unshackled.



[Tim Golden, New York Times Reporter] Traditional military procedure did not allow you to shackle somebody to a fixed object.



Certainly not chaining their arms overhead.





Initially they were handcuffing people into the airlock of the cells for punishment.



And that was to be strictly limited. 15 minutes. Half an hour.




But it quickly "evolved".




And when you walked in there, they just had a pair of long handcuffs dangling from the wire mesh ceiling of the cell.



Ready for whoever came in.



*[CAUSE OF DEATH]*




*[Manner of Death: Homicide]*



[Narrator] The Army Coroner who examined Dilawar discovered massive tissue damage in his legs.



She later testified that his legs had been pulpified.



But what could have caused that kind of damage?



[Colonel David Hayden] This is not a hotel. This is not a place for them to get fat, lazy and happy.



[Narrator] In a videotape that surfaced as part of the homicide investigation, Colonel David Hayden, the top Army lawyer for U.S. Forces in Afghanistan, described a policy of shackling and striking detainees.

[Colonel David Hayden] There was an approved technique for the MPs when somebody was a difficult prisoner that you could hit them on the legs. It was supposedly considered not a lethal blow.



[Sgt. Thomas Curtis, Military Police, Bagram] I didn't actually hear a higher-up say,



"Go and kick them in the leg if they do this and they do that." But the higher-ups said, "In order to get control of them, that's an option that you can use."



[Pfc. Willie Brand, Military Police, Bagram] It's just your knee going into the side of their thigh about mid-way up. There's supposed to be a pressure point right there. And it controls them really easy.

[Colonel David Hayden] Over two days, everybody's hitting you in the legs, it can cause some severe problems.



[Tim Golden, New York Times Reporter] Throughout the investigation, and even in the trials, a lot of the guards and interrogators described Dilawar as a very combative detainee, as a tough character. And that's just never been reconciled with all the other evidence that there was about this guy.



He weighed 122 pounds when he died.



The men who had been passengers in Dilawar's taxi told us later that he had just been absolutely terrified at Bagram. That they heard him through the walls of the isolation cells screaming for his mother and father.



[Moazzam Begg, British subject detained at Bagram and Guantanamo 2002-2005] He'd been in a very uncomfortable position muttering some things, sometimes praying, sometimes asking for help, or seemingly asking for help, because I couldn't understand his language.

[Narrator] A number of witnesses remember the night before Dilawar died.



[Sgt. Thomas Curtis, Military Police, Bagram] Just that one night he got kicked in the leg, maybe like 10 times.



[Tim Golden, New York Times Reporter] Some of the soldiers said they started using the knee strikes essentially to shut them up, because he was yelling and screaming.



[Sgt. Anthony Morden, Military Police, Bagram] The damage that was done was done from multiple strikes. And a lot of that could have been avoided had you known the person before you had fought with them, and used that exact technique.



[Moazzam Begg, British subject detained at Bagram and Guantanamo 2002-2005] When they eventually came to take him to an isolation cell, I believe his body had become almost limp. One of the reasons why they began punching

him was that they felt he was putting it on. He was in the airlock standing there with a hood over his head. He had his hands tied above his head, and he was moaning.



[Sgt. Anthony Morden, Military Police, Bagram] He started to fight right there in the airlock. And the airlock has a front gate and a back gate. But both sides are concertina wire. Neither of us officers wanted to get into the concertina wire.



So we pulled him out of the airlock and put him on the floor, and put him into restraints.

[Narrator] What kind of force did you have to use to subdue him?

[Sgt. Anthony Morden, Military Police, Bagram] Physical force. He was struck.



[Spc. Glendale Walls, Interrogated Dilawar at Bagram] There were like four MPs on this guy. And one of the MPs just kept giving him kidney shots.



The other two, they'd slam him to the ground. And then the fourth one like jumped on his back. He got a big gash on his nose.

[Moazzam Begg, British subject detained at Bagram and Guantanamo 2002-2005] There was no reason to hit him. Remember, he was shackled.



[Sgt. Thomas Curtis, Military Police, Bagram] Even when control wasn't an issue, it became "Well, I'm just going to do this to get mine in." And that's probably why they got in trouble. Because you really couldn't justify kicking the guy that much if he was just chained up.



[Narrator] Dilawar was taken to an isolation cell where the knee strikes continued. In her statement at trial, the Army Coroner said his lower limbs looked like they had been run over by a bus. Had he lived, it would have been necessary to amputate his legs.



[Pfc. Willie Brand, Military Police, Bagram] Then it kind of raised the question of like, "This is what we did to him."  
It's not just like this is what I did to him, or this is what Cammie did to him, or Morden or anybody. Just, "This is what we've done."



[Tim Golden, New York Times Reporter] It's almost hard to fathom now. You had soldiers like Willie Brand who seems like this very gentle, kind of soft-spoken guy, but who testified that he struck Dilawar so many times in the leg that his knee got tired, and he had to switch to the other one.



[Sgt. Anthony Morden, Military Police, Bagram] Sometimes I feel that I should have gone with my own morality more than what was common.



[Narrator] One MP testified that the strikes became an amusement inflicted on Dilawar just to hear him scream "Allah."



[Sgt. Thomas Curtis, Military Police, Bagram] Some would say, "Well, hey, you should have stopped this. You should have stopped that when you saw he was injured, or saw he was being kicked on this -- why didn't you do something?" That would be a good question! And my answer would be, "Well, you know, it was us against them." I was over there. I didn't want to appear to be going against my fellow soldiers. Which -- is that wrong? You could sit here and say that was dead wrong. Go over there and say that!



[Narrator] No one ever investigated who set the rules at Bagram.



Investigators never asked Capt. Wood what senior officers had given orders to treat detainees in ways that were forbidden according to the Army Field Manual.



MP Capt. Beiring was the only officer prosecuted in the case.



*[Capt. Christopher Beiring, Dereliction of duty, Making a false statement. Capt. Christopher Beiring, Charges dropped, No other officers charged]*



His dereliction of duty charge was dismissed when the judge determined that no one had made clear what Capt. Beiring's duty was.



In spite of repeated requests for proper training, rules of engagement for his soldiers, his superiors gave him neither.



*[Spc. Glendale Walls, 519th Military Intelligence, Interrogated Dilawar at Bagram] We were all worried about not having that written guidelines.*



But they kept reassuring us that it was coming.



[Pfc. Damien Corsetti, Military Intelligence, Bagram] We knew exactly why we weren't getting clear guidance, just in case something like this happened.



[Spc. Glendale Walls, 519th Military Intelligence, Interrogated Dilawar at Bagram] If I had to do it again, I'd probably say "No." I'm not doing anything until I see something in writing.

[Narrator] Do you think that looking back, you think you were misled?

[Spc. Glendale Walls, 519th Military Intelligence, Interrogated Dilawar at Bagram] I think we all were.



*[CHANGING THE RULES]*






[Narrator] A week after September 11th, Vice-President Dick Cheney appeared on Meet the Press ...



to describe how interrogation policies were about to change.



*[PAY BACK]*



[Vice-President Dick Cheney] They have to work so that the Dark Side, if you will.

We are the dark side.

-- Jose Rodriguez, former director of the CIA's National Clandestine Service



We've got to spend time in the shadows in the Intelligence World. A lot of what needs to be done here will have to be done quietly, without any discussion, using sources and methods that are available to our Intelligence Agencies.



If we're going to be successful! That's the world these folks operate in.



And so it's going to be vital for us to use any means at our disposal basically to achieve our objective.

It is now clear that we are facing an implacable enemy whose avowed objective is world domination by whatever means and at whatever cost. There are no rules in such a game. Hitherto acceptable norms of human conduct do not apply. If the United States is to survive, longstanding concepts of fair play must be reconsidered. We must develop effective espionage and counterespionage services and must learn to subvert, sabotage and destroy our enemies by more clever, more sophisticated and more effective methods than those used against us. It may become necessary that the American people be made acquainted with, understand and support this fundamentally repugnant philosophy.

-- WWII Lieutenant Gen. James Doolittle



[Scott Horton, Chair, Committee on International Law, NYC Bar Association] It's very clear that it starts in the office of Vice-President Cheney.



He had a very strong view that we were not ...



as aggressive in dealing with people in interrogations as we could or should be.



*[Scott Horton, Chair, Committee on International Law, NYC Bar Association]*



"Taking the gloves off." Being rough with detainees.



[Narrator] If Dick Cheney was the primary architect of a new policy, John Yoo was the chief draftsman.



*[John Yoo, Dept. of Justice, Office of Legal Counsel 2001-2003]*



He wrote guiding opinions that argued for a flexible approach to treating suspected terrorists.



[John Yoo, Dept. of Justice, Office of Legal Counsel 2001-2003] The United States used to treat terrorism as a criminal justice problem.



The September 11th attacks showed that the struggle with Al Qaeda had moved into warfare.



### WHAT DO YOU KNOW AND HOW DO YOU KNOW IT?

Many readers are by now spluttering with indignation. We can hear them expostulating: “The official version of 9/11 is a myth and a lie!” – followed by a string of obscenities worthy of Dick Cheney. But think for a minute: if you think you know all about 9/11, how do you know what you think you know?

The first identification of Osama Bin Laden and al Qaeda as the perpetrators came during the day on September 11, as various commentators and announcers for cable, broadcast, and public television began floating the charge that Bin Laden and al Qaeda were behind the attacks. Apparently CNN was the first to mention Bin Laden, and the other myth-mongers immediately followed its lead. In retrospect, we know that many of these leaks came from two important functionaries in the Washington bureaucracy. These were George Tenet, the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency, who should have been fired that same day, but who was allowed to resign in disgrace in June 2004, on the eve of the publication of a Senate Intelligence Committee report which pilloried him and his agency for gross incompetence. This was the same Tenet who later assured Bush that the case for weapons of mass destruction in Iraq as a pretext for a US invasion was a “slam dunk.” The other prime myth-monger was Richard A. Clarke, the former terror czar of the Clinton administration who had been kept on by Bush. Clarke had a long history, of which many of his gulled victims at those hearings were unaware. He had been dropped from the State Department by James Baker III because he was accused of concealing Israeli exports of US military technology to the People’s Republic of China which were banned under US law, and which the Israelis had agreed in advance not to carry out. In some quarters, Richard Clarke’s name was mentioned at the time of the hunt for MEGA, the Israeli mole thought to be operating in the White House. Clarke is a close friend of Israeli defense officials, among them David Ivry of the Israeli Defense Ministry.

As Clarke recounts in his recently published memoir: “At the outset of the first Gulf War, Ivry and I conspired to get our governments to agree to deploy a US Army Patriot unit in Israel. No foreign troops had ever been stationed before in Israel. We also worked together to sell Patriots to Israel, and to tie in the Kiriath [the Israeli Pentagon] with American satellites that detected Iraqi Scud missile launches towards Israel. After the war, the CIA circulated unfounded rumors that Israel had sold some of the Patriots to China. Many in the State Department who thought I was ‘too close to Israel’ sought to blame me.” (Clarke 46) Clarke was a protégé of Arnold L. Raphael (killed in the same plane crash with Gen. Zia of Pakistan), and worked closely with Morton Abramowitz.

On the morning of Sept. 11, as the White House was being evacuated for fear that it could be hit after the strikes against the World Trade Center and the Pentagon, the first top official to say “This is Al Qaeda!” had been Richard Clarke. (New York Times, December 30, 2001). When Clarke arrived at the White House a little after 9 AM on 9/11, he found Cheney and Condoleezza Rice alone in Cheney’s office. “What do you think,” asked the horrified Cheney. Clarke’s immediate reply: “It’s an al Qaeda attack and they like simultaneous attacks. This may not be over.” (Clarke 2) This is the moment of conception of the 9/11 myth. At this moment Clarke, as a New Yorker would say, didn’t know from nothing. Had he ever heard of strategic deception? Had he ever heard of diversionary tactics? Had he ever heard of feints?

Clarke tells us in his memoir that he attempted to collect his thoughts about the events going on around him as he walked from the White House Secure Videoconferencing Center just off the Situation Room across the White House to the Presidential Emergency Operations Center, which was Cheney’s underground bunker:

In the quiet of the walk, I caught my breath for the first time that day: This was the “Big al Qaeda Attack” we had warned was coming and it was bigger than almost anything we had imagined, short of a nuclear weapon. (Clarke 17)

This is already one of the most fateful snap judgments in world history. Had Clarke utterly forgotten the lessons of Oklahoma City, when leakers had inspired the report that the explosion was the work of Moslems? Clarke had no proof then, and has come forward with none since.

Rushing to overtake Clarke as the leading hipshot in snap strategic diagnosis was CIA Director Tenet. While Bush was cowering in his spider hole at Offutt Air Force Base in Nebraska, he conducted a National Security Council meeting by means of teleconference screens. “Who do you think did this to us?” Bush asked Tenet. Tenet was emphatic: “Sir, I believe it’s al Qaeda. We’re doing the assessment, but it looks like, it feels like, it smells like Al Qaeda.” (Bamford 2004 91) In other words, Tenet also had no proof, no evidence, no case – just his crude Lockean sense certainty.

Later, after World Trade Center 7 had gone through its inexplicable and embarrassing collapse at about 5:20 PM, Clarke addressed a high-level interagency meeting from the Situation Room. Present by video link were Armitage of State, General Meyers of the JCS, and other important officials. Clarke stated: “Okay, we all know this was al Qaeda. FBI and CIA will develop the case and see if I’m right. We want the truth but, in the meantime, let’s go with the assumption it’s al Qaeda. What’s next.?” (Clarke 23) Before he went to bed in the White House, Bush jotted a note to himself: “The Pearl Harbor of the 21st century took place today. We think it’s Osama Bin Laden.” (Bamford 2004 92)

Given the fecklessness of Bush, Cheney, and Rice, Richard Clarke was running the US government on 9/11, and it was he who made the myth of the exclusive responsibility of al Qaeda/Bin Laden into the official policy of the US. Clarke can thus claim pride of place as the originator of the 9/11 myth. And Clarke was more than a mythographer. Clarke also shared in the responsibility for the bungling and stupid attack on an aspirin factory in Khartoum, Sudan, after the bombing of US embassies in east Africa in the summer of 1998. If there were an Oscar for deception, Clarke’s performance at the Kean-Hamilton Commission hearings in April 2004 would have won it. It was that virtuoso performance which launched Clarke on his current career as a television commentator predicting imminent WMD terrorist attacks on this country and advocating the speedy imposition of martial law. We will hear more about this gentleman later. All we need to note right now is that anyone would be foolish to buy a used car from Clarke or Tenet.

Another early official fingering of Osama Bin Laden as the guilty party came from Secretary of State Colin Powell on September 13. At this point Powell was competing for attention with the fulminations and Schrecklichkeit of neocons like Wolfowitz, who was ranting that the US would “end states

harboring terrorism,” and would do so unilaterally, without reference to the collective security of the United Nations. Already voices of caution were being raised about another ill- prepared rush to judgment. Professor Paul Rogers, of Bradford University's peace department, warned against assuming Middle East extremists were behind the tragedy. “We've been here before. With Oklahoma, everybody assumed it was Middle East [terrorists], then it turned out to be home-grown Timothy McVeigh,” he said. “Again with the pipe bomb in Atlanta, it turned out to be domestic.” (Guardian, September 11, 2001) In any event, this was the same Colin Powell, who in February 2003, before the United Nations Security Council, perjured himself on the question of Iraq’s alleged weapons of mass destruction. This was the same Colin Powell who alleged mobile biological weapons labs, chemical weapons dispensers, and tubes being used for centrifuges in the process of uranium enrichment. This was the same Colin Powell who committed the most spectacular perjury in the history of the United Nations Security Council.

On September 14, the FBI, which had known nothing about anything before the attacks, published its infamous list of nineteen hijackers. As we will soon see, the mortality rate among those supposed kamikazes was less than 100%, with no less than seven of the suspects named turning up alive and well in the days after this list was published. More importantly, this was a list prepared by the same FBI which had been responsible for the Waco massacre of men, women, and children in 1992, the agency that illegally withheld documents in the capital murder trial of Timothy McVeigh, an abuse which ought to have caused his conviction to be thrown out, but which only caused it to be delayed. This is the agency whose vaunted Crime Lab turned out to be a sewer of incompetence and corruption. This is the same FBI which clumsily attempted to entrap and frame up the innocent Richard Jewel during the 1996 Atlanta Olympic games, while the real culprit went free. This is the same FBI which persecuted the Chinese-American scientist Wen Ho Lee without any grounds, accusing him of having transferred secrets to the People’s Republic of China. This is the same FBI which permitted the Soviet mole Robert Hanssen to operate inside it for fifteen years. This is the agency which ostracized John O’Neill, and which ignored the Phoenix memorandum and Colleen Rowley’s warnings from Minneapolis. This is the same FBI which could not capture the Unabomber over decades, until his own brother turned him in. This is the same agency which, over the previous months, in the words of Governor Kean of the 9/11 Commission, “failed and failed and failed and failed and failed.” Are we then to believe that on September 14 this troubled and incompetent agency enjoyed a brief interlude of success, as represented by the list of the 19? And if they did succeed that day, they must have soon lapsed back into incompetence again, as seen in their utter failure to prevent the October 2001 anthrax attacks, or ever to identify the perpetrator, perhaps because the anthrax in question was weapons grade material which had come from a US military lab, probably Fort Detrick, Maryland. This was the same FBI whose main activity after 9/11 seemed to consist in confiscating relevant evidence and tampering with witnesses, telling them that had not seen what they knew they had. Anyone familiar with the record will have a very hard time taking seriously such allegations coming from the discredited, dysfunctional FBI.

-- 9/11 SYNTHETIC TERROR MADE IN USA, by Webster Griffin Tarpley



And I think when a foreign entity for political purposes, can kill 3,000 Americans, and cause billions of dollars of damage, and try to eliminate the leaders of the American government, that sounds like war to most people. It doesn't sound like crime.



[Narrator] President Bush declared a war on terror.



But he raised questions about whether suspected terrorists should be protected by the laws of war:



The Geneva Conventions.



Atrocities that shocked the conscience of the world gave rise to the modern Geneva Conventions.



International treaties meant to provide fundamental protections for every human being captured in wartime.



In effect for over 50 years,



Geneva offered legal protections ...



and prohibited interrogators from using torture,



murder,



or even humiliating and degrading treatment.



After 9/11, John Yoo worked closely with Dick Cheney's office ...



and Alberto Gonzales, counsel to the President.



They wrote a series of memos arguing that the Geneva Conventions ...



did not apply to suspected terrorists.



And they gave legal cover for the CIA and Special Forces to embark on a secret program of previously forbidden interrogation techniques.

The procedures adopted to try Hamdan also violate the Geneva Conventions. The D. C. Circuit dismissed Hamdan's challenge in this regard on the grounds, *inter alia*, that the Conventions are not judicially enforceable and that, in any event, Hamdan is not entitled to their protections.

Neither of these grounds is persuasive.

-- Hamdan v. Rumsfeld, decided by the United States Supreme Court on June 29, 2006



["President" George Bush] More than 3,000 suspected terrorists have been arrested in many countries.



Many others have met a different fate. Let's put it this way:



They are no longer a problem to the United States and our friends and allies!



*[Mass Clapping!]*



[Narrator] The problem for the President, Gonzales warned, was that some of the new interrogation techniques ...



were banned under U.S. and International law.



[Rear Admiral John Hutson (Ret., Former Judge Advocate General, 30 Years Military experience)] One of the points that he makes is that we don't want the Geneva Conventions to apply, because if they do, these things can be war crimes.



*[Rear Admiral John Hutson (Ret.), Former Judge Advocate General, 30 Years Military experience]*



[Alberto Mora, General Counsel to the Navy, 2001-2006] What's well known is the principle of command responsibility. This was established in the Nuremberg trials after World War II. And it established the principle of International Criminal Law.



*[Alberto Mora, General Counsel to the Navy, 2001-2006]*

-- Memorandum for Inspector General, Department of the Navy, by Alberto Moro



That individuals who order illegal treatment ...



will be held accountable for the illegal treatment,



even if they're not immediately applying...



that kind of abusive treatment.




[Narrator] To be certain that Americans ...




interrogating prisoners would not be accused of torture,



John Yoo co-authored a memo that would clarify the meaning of the term.



[Senator Carl Levin, Senate Armed Services Committee] The only prohibited acts would be extreme acts,



which are equivalent to serious physical injury, such as organ failure, impairment of bodily functions,

ire. Physical pain amounting  
panying serious physical i  
n, or even death. For purel  
2340, it must result in sign  
for months or even years.

or even death.




That's an illegal memo. That's the so-called "torture memo."



[Alberto Gonzales, Attorney General Confirmation Hearing] That was an arguable interpretation of the law.



I'm sure we had discussions about it. And ultimately, it was accepted. Because that was the ultimate decision and position of the Office of Legal Counsel.




[Alberto Mora, General Counsel to the Navy, 2001-2006] The office of Legal Counsel memorandum was unbounded. Meaning that nowhere did it state that the application of cruel and inhuman and degrading treatment was prohibited. And at one point I asked John Yoo, "Can the President authorized torture?" And his response was "Yes."



[John Yoo, Dept. of Justice, Office of Legal Counsel 2001-2003] I think the lawyer's job is to tell people what laws do or do not apply,



so that they know what space they have to make their policy decision.



[Professor Doug Cassel] If the President deems that he's got to torture somebody, including by crushing the testicles of the person's child, there's no law that can stop that? That's what you wrote in the August 2002 memo.

[John Yoo, Dept. of Justice, Office of Legal Counsel 2001-2003] I think it depends on why the President thinks he needs to do that.



[Narrator] Military lawyers were outraged by the implications of John Yoo's memo.

[Scott Horton, Chair, Committee on International Law, NYC Bar Association] My first involvement in this came when I was visited by a group of very senior JAG officers [JAG=Judge Advocate General] more than a year before the first story about Abu Ghraib broke, who were very troubled about what was going on.



And the focus of their concern was ...



failing in the responsibilities ...



that the military leadership had to soldiers in the field.



That was responsibility to provide fair, clear guidance to them as to how to behave in these difficult circumstances.



And what they saw was ...




an intentional decision taken at the height ...



of the Pentagon to put out a fog of ambiguity,



coupled with great pressure to bring results.



To be prepared to be violent with the detainees.



But, you know, this violence with the detainees is a criminal act.



[Senator John McCain] They may be Al Qaeda.



They may be Taliban.



They may be the worst people in the world,



and I'm sure that some of them are.



But there are certain basic rules, and international agreements that the United States has agreed to that we will observe. You go ahead and please respond. You wanted to.



[Lt. General Randall M. Schmidt, Author of "Schmidt Report"] Okay, very quickly, let me clarify. The President's policy: "As a matter of policy the United States Armed Forces shall continue to treat detainees humanely, and to the extent appropriate and consistent with military necessity, in a manner consistent with the principles of Geneva."



[Senator John McCain] That is a legalistic statement and one that is ridden with loopholes. And it is clear to me that the interrogators did not understand that "humane treatment" might be in the eye of the beholder.



[Narrator] In the field in Afghanistan, there was a great deal of confusion about exactly what the rules were.



[Spc. Glendale Walls, 519th Military Intelligence, Interrogated Dilawar at Bagram] They told us when dealing with the PUCs as they called them, the Persons Under U.S. Custody,



*[PUC = PERSON UNDER U.S. CUSTODY]*



"They don't fall under Geneva Conventions."



Basically, the only thing we weren't allowed to do is beat 'em up.



"Person Under Control," "Person Under Custody." Something like that.



You know, they call them anything to dehumanize them so that you don't look at them as people.



[Sgt. Thomas Curtis, Military Police, Bagram] I don't remember hearing anything about Geneva Convention. Of course I'm familiar with it, but they didn't go over that in any kind of detail.



[Pfc. Damien Corsetti, Military Intelligence, Bagram] I didn't know what the Field Manual for Interrogation, I didn't know the proper nomenclature for it.



I'd seen it. There was a copy lying around, I'm sure, somewhere. And if I had chosen to, I could have picked it up and read it. But I was working 16-hour days. To sit down and read a Field Manual was not top of my priorities over there.



[Vice-President Dick Cheney] It is a mean, nasty, dangerous, dirty business out there. And we have to operate in that arena. I'm convinced we can do it. We can do it successfully.



But we need to make certain that we have not tied the hands, if you will, of our Intelligence Communities in terms of accomplishing their mission.



[Fox News] These terrorists play by a whole different set of rules. It's going to force us -- in your words -- to get mean, dirty and nasty in order to take them on?



[Vice-President Dick Cheney] Uh-hmm. Right.



*[THE LABORATORY]*



[Narrator] Guided by a legal opinion from John Yoo,



the Bush Administration began shipping some high-value detainees ...



to the U.S. Naval Base in Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.



[Rear Admiral John Hutson (Ret.), Former Judge Advocate General, 30 Years Military Experience] Initially I thought, "Good, safe place! Put them there, barbed wire all over."



Then it became apparent the reason we were doing it ...



was because we were going to argue that there's no law.



You know, Cuban law didn't apply.



U.S. law didn't apply. Well, that was a big step down the slippery slope.

The Government argues unpersuasively that abstention is appropriate under *Councilman*, which concluded that, as a matter of comity, federal courts should normally abstain from intervening in pending courts-martial against service members, see 420 U. S., at 740. Neither of the comity considerations *Councilman* identified weighs in favor of abstention here. First, the assertion that military discipline and, therefore, the Armed Forces' efficient operation, are best served if the military justice system acts without regular interference from civilian courts, see *id.*, at 752, is inapt because Hamdan is not a service member. Second, the view that federal courts should respect the balance Congress struck when it created "an integrated system of military courts and review procedures" is inapposite, since the tribunal convened to try Hamdan is not part of that integrated system. Rather than *Councilman*, the most relevant precedent is *Ex parte Quirin*, where the Court, far from abstaining pending the conclusion of ongoing military proceedings, expedited its review because of (1) the public importance of the questions raised, (2) the Court's duty, in both peace and war, to preserve the constitutional safeguards of civil liberty, and (3) the public interest in a decision on those questions without delay, 317 U. S., at 19. The Government has identified no countervailing interest that would permit federal courts to depart from their general duty to exercise the jurisdiction Congress has conferred on them.

-- Hamdan v. Rumsfeld, decided by the United States Supreme Court on June 29, 2006



[John Yoo, Dept. of Justice, Office of Legal Counsel 2001-2003] I think what the policy makers are trying to do was to try and find a place ...



that was physically close to the United States so it can be well-protected ...



but still would benefit from the rule that the United States Military ...



has ultimate say and control over any prisoners held outside the country.



The appeals court relied on a statement in *Johnson v. Eisentrager*, 339 U. S. 763 , n. 14, suggesting that this Court lacked power even to consider the merits of a Convention argument because the political and military authorities had sole responsibility for observing and enforcing prisoners' rights under the Convention. However, *Eisentrager* does not control here

because, regardless of the nature of the rights conferred on Hamdan, cf. *United States v. Rauscher*, 119 U. S. 407, they are indisputably part of the law of war, see *Hamdi*, 542 U. S., at 520–521, compliance with which is the condition upon which UCMJ Art. 21 authority is granted.

-- Hamdan v. Rumsfeld, decided by the United States Supreme Court on June 29, 2006



["President" George W. Bush] One by one the terrorists are learning ...



the meaning of American "Justice."



*[STANDING OVATION FROM THE MOB CONGRESS]*



[Narrator] In December, 2001,



a man named Mohamed al-Kahtani [Muhammed al-Qahtani] ...



was swept up in Afghanistan and sent to Guantanamo.



After eight months in detention, the Army "discovered" that he "may have" trained to be the 20th hijacker.



Suddenly, Kahtani became the most important detainee in Guantanamo.



[Brigadier General Jay Hood, Commander Joint Task Force, Guantanamo 2004-2006] Here we had a man who was supposed to have been on that plane that was flown into the Pennsylvania countryside. So I think there was a sense of urgency to find out what this guy knew in order to be able to prevent any future attacks.



*[Brigadier General Jay Hood, Commander Joint Task Force, Guantanamo 2004-2006]*



[Lt. General Randall M. Schmidt, Author of "Schmidt Report"] He successfully resisted standard interrogation techniques at Guantanamo for eight months.



*[Lt. General Randall M. Schmidt, Author of "Schmidt Report"]*

And he is the genesis for the request by the Joint Task Force at Guantanamo for more techniques that might be able to get past his resistance training.




[Narrator] In September 2002, John Yoo and Alberto Gonzales ...




traveled to Guantanamo.



Soon after their visit, and just before Dilawar's arrival at Bagram,



Donald Rumsfeld personally approved a new menu of psychological interrogation techniques for use on Mohamed al-Kahtani.



Exactly how the techniques would be applied was often left to the imagination of the interrogators.




[Lt. General Randall M. Schmidt, Author of "Schmidt Report"] It was California avocado freestyle ... I mean it was just a free for all (about the al-Qahtani interrogation).



*[Gita Gutierrez, Lawyer for Mohammed al-Qahtani]*



[Gita Gutierrez, Lawyer for Mohammed al-Qahtani] His interrogations are well documented in a log, and from November, 2002 until early January, 2003, he was subjected to this regime.




It involved very severe sleep deprivation. He was only permitted to sleep 4 hours a day from 7:00 in the morning to 11:00 in the morning, and that lasted for 50 days with one exception. He was held in severe isolation and sensory deprivation.



*[LOG PAGE 23: DETAINEE WAS TOLD TO STAND AND LOUD MUSIC WAS PLAYED TO KEEP DETAINEE AWAKE]*



*[Use of 20 hour investigations]*



*[Deprivation of light and auditory stimuli]*





*[Inducing stress by use of detainee's fears (e.g., dogs)]*




There are a number of instances in the log where you will see the phrase "invasion of space by a female." And that was actually an interrogation tactic designed to break his faith.



*[LOG PAGE 56: FEMALE INTERROGATOR USED INVASION OF PERSONAL SPACE AND DETAINEE CRIED OUT TO ALLAH]*



[Lt. General Randall M. Schmidt, Author of "Schmidt Report"] The Interrogator approached Detainee from behind, and rubbed his back, whispered in his ear, and ran fingers through his hair. That was authorized under the utility technique.



*YOUR  
MOTHER  
IS  
A*



*[WHORE]*

[Gita Gutierrez, Lawyer for Mohammed al-Qahtani] He was subjected to what I would call "sexual assault" by female interrogators.



*[LOG PAGE 51: HE WAS LAID OUT ON THE FLOOR SO I STRADDLED HIM]*



[Lt. General Randall M. Schmidt, Author of "Schmidt Report"] He was forced to wear women's lingerie. There were multiple allegations of homosexuality, and that his comrades were aware of that. He was forced to dance with a male interrogator. Subject to strip searches for control measures, not for security.




*[LOG PAGE 47: DOG TRICKS CONTINUED]*



And he was forced to perform dog tricks.



All of this to lower his personal sense of worth.



*[LOG PAGE 47: TOLD DETAINEE THAT A DOG IS HELD IN HIGHER ESTEEM THAN HE IS]*

[Gita Gutierrez, Lawyer for Mohammed al-Qahtani] They've tried to characterize it as individual interrogators pushing the envelope, or starting to get (quote) "creative."



LOG PAGE 35:  
SISSY SLAP GLOVE IS INFLATED AND  
PERIODICALLY TOUCHED TO DETAINEE'S FACE



*[LOG PAGE 35: SISSY SLAP GLOVE IS INFLATED AND PERIODICALLY TOUCHED TO DETAINEE'S FACE]*

LOG PAGE 17:  
DETAINEE GIVEN AN ENEMA.

*[LOG PAGE 17: DETAINEE GIVEN AN ENEMA]*

LOG PAGE 19:  
CONTROL BEGAN "BIRTHDAY PARTY"  
AND PLACED PARTY HAT ON DETAINEE.



*[LOG PAGE 19: CONTROL BEGAN "BIRTHDAY PARTY" AND PLACED PARTY HAT ON DETAINEE]*



*[LOG PAGE 19: INTERROGATORS AND GUARDS SING "GOD BLESS AMERICA"]*



*[LOG PAGE 7: DETAINEE GIVEN THREE AND ONE-HALF BAGS IV]*



*[LOG PAGE 7: HE'S WILLING TO TALK SO HE CAN URINATE]*



LOG PAGE 7:  
HE WAS TOLD HE WILL NOT BE UNSTRAPPED.

*[LOG PAGE 7: HE WAS TOLD HE WILL NOT BE UNSTRAPPED]*



LOG PAGE 7:  
DETAINEE URINATED IN HIS PANTS.

*[LOG PAGE 7: DETAINEE URINATED IN HIS PANTS]*




LOG PAGE 53:  
THE INTERROGATORS REMOVED THE BLANKET  
AND TURNED AIR CONDITIONER BACK UP

*[LOG PAGE 53: THE INTERROGATORS REMOVED THE BLANKET AND TURNED AIR CONDITIONER BACK UP]*




[Gita Gutierrez, Lawyer for Mohammed al-Qahtani] The combination of his lack of food intake and forcible hydration led him at one point to actually his heart slowed down to 35 beats a minute, and he was rushed to the hospital to be revived.



LOG PAGE 27:  
DETAINEE'S PULSE UNUSUALLY SLOW -- 35 BPM.

*[LOG PAGE 27: DETAINEE'S PULSE UNUSUALLY SLOW -- 35 BPM]*




LOG PAGE 27:  
TAKE DETAINEE TO HOSPITAL  
TO PERFORM CT SCAN OF DETAINEE'S BRAIN.

*[LOG PAGE 27: TAKE DETAINEE TO HOSPITAL TO PERFORM CT SCAN OF DETAINEE'S BRAIN]*



[Professor Alfred McCoy, Author of "A Question of Torture"] Mohamed al-Kahtani, in many ways, that single interrogation, protected interrogation, contains within it, if you will, the entire genealogy, the entire history of CIA torture over the last 50 years.



*[Professor Alfred McCoy, Author of "A Question of Torture"]*



The CIA launched a mind control project, a veritable Manhattan Project of the Mind, in the 1950s. In-house, the CIA worked on exotic techniques. Hypnosis.



And then they worked on sodium pentathol.



And then they worked on electro-shock.



And ultimately, they discovered LSD.



All of that broke stuff, in-house, went nowhere,



except to lawsuits. But what did work, was the CIA outsourced all the dull, behavioral research to the most brilliant behavioral scientists at the top universities in the United States and Canada.



[Narrator] At McGill, experiments by famed psychologist Donald O. Hebb caught the eye of CIA researchers.



*[McGill University, Quebec]*



[Professor Alfred McCoy, Author of "A Question of Torture"] Dr. Hebb found that he could induce a state akin to acute psychosis in 48 hours.



All he did, he had student volunteers sit in a very pleasant air-conditioned cubicle with goggles, gloves and ear muffs.




Actually, you know what they looked just like?




The Guantanamo detainees!



If you see those outfits that the Guantanamo detainees have where they have the gloves and the goggles and the ear muffs? You know, everybody thinks that's security. No, no, no. That's sensory breakdown. Within a day there would be hallucinations. Within two days, breakdown.




[Dr. Donald O. Hebb, McGill University, Quebec] I began to think while we were doing our experiments that it is possible that something that involves physical discomfort or even pain might be more tolerable than simply the deprivation conditions that we studied.



*['Isolation' Tests at McGill Hold Brain-Washing Clues, by Brian Cahill, April 26, 1956 ... military and medical ... about the techniques ... brain-washing" and the effect ... on the human mind ... from experiments conducted at the department of psychiatry at McGill University, it ... yesterday ... Canadian Defence Research ... which sponsored the experiment has classified much of ... as secret. But it ... from testimony ... are a U.S. Congressional ... that the work at McGill ... work done in the ... can be completely ... can, no matter how ... official Ottawa was silent on the matter yesterday. But there was great interest in the testimony given before the U.S. Congressional committee by Dr. Robert H. Felix, director of the National Institutes of Health, and reported at length in the New York Times. Dr. Felix said that study of the work done at McGill and further work done by Dr. John Lilly of the University of Pennsylvania, showed that "isolation" -- cutting a person off from all outside stimuli -- was a potent "brainwashing" method. Dr. Felix said that the result of isolating a person in a dark ... "Once you have cut these (external stimuli) all off, and cut them off long enough that the person is so completely disoriented and disorganized, then if you feed back in information you want this person to have and this is the only information he gets, slowly or sometimes not so slowly, he begins to incorporate this into his thinking and it becomes like actual logical thinking because this is the only feed-in he gets," Dr. Felix said. "You can break down anybody with this. I don't care what their background is or how they have been indoctrinated. I am sure you can break down anybody with this," he declared. Dr. Felix was asked if, in view of this, it was fair to court-martial a soldier who had broken under ...]*

[Professor Alfred McCoy, Author of "A Question of Torture"] The CIA was fascinated by this. They jumped on it immediately.






[Dr. Donald O. Hebb, McGill University, Quebec] I had no idea what a potentially vicious weapon this could be.




[Professor Alfred McCoy, Author of "A Question of Torture"] They identify two key techniques: They identified sensory disorientation, and they identified self-inflicted pain: standing. For days at a time while fluids flowed to the legs.




And they put them together in the Kubark Counterintelligence Interrogation Manual.




And they propagated it around the world and through the U.S. Intelligence community.




Think about what al-Kahtani was subjected to, okay?



First of all, he's in dark; he's in light.



He's in cold; he's in heat. What they are doing is they are attacking his universal sensory receptors.



They are also scrambling his time. So that's Phase One.



In Guantanamo under the regime of General Miller,



he turned Guantanamo into a veritable behavioral scientific laboratory.



And Donald Rumsfeld gave orders for techniques beyond the Field Manual.



And they percolated. And they percolated in an ambiguous way ...



that allowed people to kind of do what they thought needed to be done.



And they explore Arab male sensitivity to gender and sexual identity. So that's the thing about being homosexual. The underwear on the head. All that sort of stuff.

- Removal of all comfort items
- Switching detainee from hood to hood
- Removal of clothing
- Forced grooming (e.g., shaving)
- Inducing stress by use of dogs (e.g., dogs)



[Spc. Tony Lagouranis, Interrogator, Iraq] People were saying, "Arabs really are very sensitive to sexual humiliation." Well, who the hell isn't sensitive to sexual humiliation? You know, nobody wants to be stripped down naked and forced to masturbate with a hood over your head. It's ridiculous!



[Professor Alfred McCoy, Author of "A Question of Torture"] Then they created behavioral science consultation teams ...



where they had military psychologists integrate into the ongoing interrogation to discover individual fears and phobias.

```
INTERROGATION LOG  
DETAINEE 063  
23 November 2002  
0225: The detainee arrives at  
removed and he is bolted to  
DoD linguist and MAJ L (BSCT  
0235: Session begins. The det
```

And all of that was visited on al-Kahtani.



[Senator John McCain] You are aware of communications between General Miller and Secretary Rumsfeld specifically about this one prisoner?




[Lt. General Randall M. Schmidt, Author of "Schmidt Report"] To our knowledge there was a considerable amount of communication up and down the chain.



[Professor Alfred McCoy, Author of "A Question of Torture"] As you know, from General Schmidt's report, he concluded that these techniques individually did not constitute torture. But he said that the sum of these techniques ...

[Lt. General Randall M. Schmidt, Author of "Schmidt Report"] The cumulative effect of simultaneous applications of numerous, authorized techniques had abusive and degrading impact on the detainee.



*[U.S. ARMY DECLINED TO DISCIPLINE GEN. MILLER]*

[Narrator] And he recommended that General Miller be disciplined.

[Professor Alfred McCoy, Author of "A Question of Torture"] But he said it did not constitute torture.



[Lt. General Randall M. Schmidt, Author of "Schmidt Report"] We made a distinction between what torture and inhumane treatment would be, given the general guidelines, and then what might be abusive and degrading.

Something might be degrading, but not necessarily torture. And it may not be inhumane. It may be humiliating, but it may not be torture.

...in certain interrogation methods violate the statute.

In Part I, we examine the criminal statute's text and history to determine whether a particular act to constitute torture as defined in Section 2340, it must be severe. Physical pain amounting to torture must be equivalent to or greater than the pain accompanying serious physical injury, such as organ failure, impairment of bodily function, or even death. For purely mental pain or suffering to constitute torture under Section 2340, it must result in significant psychological harm of a prolonged nature, lasting for months or even years. We conclude that the mere commission of one of the predicate acts listed in the statute, namely: the infliction of the kind of pain that would amount to physical pain as a means of psychological torture; use of

No torture, no physical pain injury. There was a safe, secure environment the entire time.



[Professor Alfred McCoy, Author of "A Question of Torture"] And that, of course, is the genius of the CIA's psychological paradigm.



Psychological torture is all a matter of definitions. And it's very slippery indeed.



[Senator] That sounds remarkably similar to what occurred at Abu Ghraib. People being led around in chains. People being forced to wear lingerie. Perhaps a coincidence, perhaps not.



[Professor Alfred McCoy, Author of "A Question of Torture"] If you look at those Abu Ghraib photographs, again, it's always the same techniques.



First of all, there's the sexual activity ...



with the woman's garments.




And the masturbation and all the rest. That's the cultural sensitivity.



They are short-shackled; they are long-shackled;



they are shackled upside-down.



These are stress positions.



The most famous of all Abu Ghraib photographs, of course, of that hooded Iraqi standing on a box, arms outstretched.



He's told if he steps off the box, if he moves, he'll be electrocuted. That's the point of the fake electrical wires.



So it's the absolute immobility for protracted periods.



And then with arms extended. As we would say to the viewers, "Don't try this at home."



But do try it! Just stand for ten minutes with your arms stretched out, not moving.



[Narrator] Carolyn Wood was an example of the way new techniques spread and mutated like a virus.




Long before Wood took charge of interrogation at Abu Ghraib,




her unit was involved with harsh techniques at Bagram, including stress positions, forced standing, and sleep deprivation.



[Tim Golden, New York Times Journalist] One of the memoranda shows that in early December, 2002, the interrogators at Bagram just looked on the Internet -- they are in touch with the guys at Guantanamo --



and they learned that these guys at Guantanamo had gotten new techniques from the Secretary of Defense, and they just started using them.



Even though the techniques had clearly been approved exclusively for use at Guantanamo.



[Professor Alfred McCoy, Author of "A Question of Torture" ] When General Miller himself traveled from Guantanamo ...



to Iraq in August, 2003,



he brought with him a CD and a manual on the "advanced" techniques they had developed at Guantanamo.



And he gave them to General Sanchez's command.



So there are these multiple paths that you can trace whereby these interrogators' techniques go through this global migration,



through Afghanistan, to Iraq, from Guantanamo directly to Iraq.




And the net result is Abu Ghraib.


[Narrator] Well before the abuses at Abu Ghraib became public, government officials had been quietly raising concerns about harsh techniques in use at Guantanamo.



[Senator Carl Levin, Senate Armed Services Committee] There were emails back to the Department of Justice from FBI personnel down at Guantanamo saying, "You won't believe what's going on down here. We've got to disassociate ourselves as FBI people from what is going on here in Guantanamo."



This email says "The DOD has their marching orders from the Secretary of Defense" --



"Marching orders from the Secretary of Defense!" -- to engage in practices which the FBI finds to be deeply offensive and dangerous."



But the emails are what is called "redacted",



which means that there's big holes in these emails.



Now some of these emails are totally redacted, so we don't know what they say at all.



That's an example of a lot of the documents that we got here. You know, you can't see anything on these documents. There's one after another where there's nothing.



*[THE INSIDER]*




[Alberto Mora, General Counsel to the Navy, 2001-2006] In early December, 2002, I had heard that there was detainee abuse going on.




I called the Army General Counsel and asked him whether he had any information. I said, "I'm receiving reports that some of the detainees are being abused at Guantanamo. Do you know anything about this?"




And his response back was, "I know a lot about it. Come on down to my office."




They pushed a stack of documents across the desk.



The top document was Memorandum from the General Counsel, Department of Defense to Secretary Rumsfeld. And it was that cover memo that requested authorization of the application of certain interrogation techniques.



And the top memo gave Secretary Rumsfeld's approval for the application of some of those techniques.




It's the memo with Secretary Rumsfeld's handwritten notations on the bottom saying that he stands 8-10 hours a day --



"How come these detainees are only required to stand up to four hours a day?" I was astounded! But my first reaction was that this was a mistake! Somebody just didn't read the documents carefully enough.



[Tim Golden, New York Times Journalist] I think people in the Pentagon thought of Alberto Mora as a loyal, Republican, political appointee. He would never have been considered a rabble-rouser or a liberal.



*[Upon returning to my office, I reviewed the Secretary's December 2nd Memo and the Beaver Legal Brief more closely. The brief held, in summary, that torture was prohibited but cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment could be inflicted on the Guantanamo detainees with near impunity because, at least in that location, no law prohibited such action, no court would be vested with jurisdiction to entertain a complaint on such allegations, and various defenses (such as good motive or necessity) would shield any U.S. official accused of the unlawful behavior. I regarded the memo as a wholly inadequate analysis of the law and a poor treatment of this difficult and highly sensitive issue. As for the December 2nd Memo, I concluded that it was fatally grounded on these serious failures of legal analysis. As described in the memo and supporting documentation, the interrogation techniques approved by the Secretary should*

*not have been authorized because some (but not all) of them, whether applied singly or in combination, could produce effects reaching the level of torture, a degree of mistreatment not otherwise proscribed by the memo because it did not articulate any bright-line standard for prohibited detainee treatment, a necessary element in any such document.*

*Furthermore, even if the techniques as applied did not reach the level of torture, they almost certainly would constitute "cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment," another class of unlawful treatment.]*

He said he expected that he would raise these issues, and people in positions of authority would say, "Oh! Thanks for letting us know." And that would be the end of it.



[Reporter] I want to ask you about a memo that was written by Alberto Mora.



Do you recall on this memo that you wrote a little notation on the bottom about standing more than four hours, because you stand at your desk ...



[Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld] [Cuts him off] I do! I do!

[Reporter] This attorney argued that that could be interpreted by some ...

discovered and used at trial in the military commissions. The Secretary's signature on the memo ensured that he would be called as a witness. I told Mr. Haynes at the end of what would be a long interrogation, the defense attorney would then refer the Secretary to the notation and ask whether it was not intended as a coded message, a written nod-and-a-wink to interrogators to the effect that they should not feel bound by the limits set in the memo, but consider themselves authorized to do what was necessary to obtain the necessary information. The memos, and the practices they authorized, threatened the entire military commission process.

Mr. Haynes listened attentively to consider carefully what I had said.

*[I also drew Mr. Haynes's attention to the Secretary's hand-written comment on the bottom of the memo, which suggested that detainees subjected to forced standing (which was limited to four hours) could be made to stand longer since he usually stood for longer periods during his work day. [5] Although, having some sense of the Secretary's verbal style, I was confident the comment was intended to be jocular, defense attorneys for the detainees were sure to interpret it otherwise. Unless withdrawn rapidly, the memo was sure to be discovered and used at trial in the military commissions. The Secretary's signature on the memo ensured that he would be called as a witness. I told Mr. Haynes he could be sure that, at the end of what would be a long interrogation, the defense attorney would then refer the Secretary to the notation and ask whether it was not intended as a coded message, a written nod-and-a-wink to interrogators to the effect that they should not feel bound by the limits set in the memo, but consider themselves authorized to do what was necessary to obtain the necessary information. The memos, and the practices they authorized, threatened the entire military commission process.]*

as a wink-and-a-nod that it would be okay to go beyond ...

- Deception
- Multiple Interrogator techniques
- Interrogator identity
- Category II**
- Stress positions for a maximum of four hours (e.g., standing)
- Use of falsified documents or reports
- Isolation up to 30 days (requires notice)
- Interrogation outside of the standard interrogation booth
- Deprivation of light and auditory stimuli
- Harsh conditions during transport & interrogation

the techniques that were prescribed in the memo.



[Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld] Oh, no, no, no, no, no. There's no wink-and-a-nod about anything. There was one provision in there that they would have people stand for several hours, and it was a semi-humorous remark that a person in his seventies stands all day long.



I just mused that in -- and maybe it shouldn't have gone out, but it did -- and I wrote it.



And life goes on.

[Reporter] But his point was that you should have gotten much better advice from your legal staff --




[Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld] [Interrupts Reporter again] I heard your question the first time!

- Stress positions for a maximum of four hours (e.g., standing)
- Use of falsified documents or reports
- Isolation up to 30 days (requires notice)
- Interrogation outside of the standard interrogation booth
- Deprivation of light and auditory stimuli
- Hooding during transport & interrogation
- Use of 20 hour interrogations
- Removal of all comfort items
- Switching detainee from hot meal to MRE
- Removal of clothing

*[Stress positions for a maximum of four hours (e.g., standing); Use of falsified documents or reports; Isolation up to 30 days (requires notice); Interrogation outside of the standard interrogation booth; Deprivation of light and auditory stimuli; Hooding during transport & interrogation; Use of 20 hour interrogations; Removal of all comfort items; Switching detainee from hot meal to MRE; Removal of clothing]*



[Alberto Mora, General Counsel to the Navy, 2001-2006] What was of concern to me was the techniques, how their individual and in combination could rise to the level of torture. Okay, you're permitting certain interrogation techniques. But certainly there must be some limit which is set on the severity of the techniques. Light deprivation could mean placing the detainee in a dark room for 15 minutes, or it could mean a month. Or two months. Or three months until he goes blind.



"Detainee's specific phobia techniques": the snakes, the bats, the rats, lock somebody up in a coffin -- you're limited only by your imagination. Any one of these techniques individually could yield the results of torture. Certainly, in combination, you could reach that fairly quickly.



[Dr. Donald O. Hebb] See, if you put a person into this procedure, and keep them there for more than the six or eight days that I would think might be the maximum tolerability, then the price is pretty high.


[Narrator] The price is someone's sanity?

[Dr. Donald O. Hebb] Presumably, it could be.

[Alberto Mora, General Counsel to the Navy, 2001-2006] The medical literature had a phenomenon called "force drift," that made it almost inevitable that the interrogators would continue applying greater and greater increments of force to achieve their desired results.

onal experience in such pra  
gators were typically young  
y or experience in interroga  
against the use of improper  
ion known as "force drift" w  
into play. This term descr  
interrogators who rely on for  
ople come to believe, then  
st be better. Thus, the le

*[These techniques, Dr. Gelles explained, would violate the interrogation guidelines taught to military and law enforcement personnel and he believed they were generally violative of U.S. law if applied to U.S. persons. In addition, there was great danger, he said, that any force utilized to extract information would continue to escalate. If a person being forced to stand for hours decided to lie down, it probably would take force to get him to stand up again and stay standing. In contrast to the civilian law enforcement personnel present at Guantanamo, who were trained in interrogation techniques and limits and had years of professional experience in such practices, the military interrogators were typically young and had little or no training or experience in interrogations. Once the initial barrier against the use of improper force had been breached, a phenomenon known as "force drift" would almost certainly begin to come into play. This term describes the observed tendency among interrogators who rely on force. If some force is good, these people come to believe, then the application of more force must be better. Thus, the level of force applied against an uncooperative witness tends to escalate such that, if left unchecked, force levels, to include torture, could be reached. Dr. Gelles was concerned that this phenomenon might manifest itself at Guantanamo.]*



[Col. Lawrence Wilkerson, former Chief of Staff to Colin Powell] For example, take Secretary Rumsfeld's memo. And to say that, "Well, look, he said that dogs have to be muzzled."



Well, that's a man who doesn't understand the Military on the ground.



Because when that E-6 is sitting there with that muzzled dog,



and there is absolutely no impact on that person being interrogated, he's going to take that muzzle off.



That's reality. That's human nature.



[Narrator] Alberto Mora threatened to go on record with his concerns unless the techniques were rescinded.



[Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld] When, after the facts, it turns out that there is concern about it that concerns me, then I'm happy to rescind it and take another fresh look at it.



And talk to more people about it. And see what ought to be done.



[Alberto Mora, General Counsel to the Navy, 2001-2006] To his credit, Secretary Rumsfeld did rescind the interrogation techniques.



And then, for over a year and a half, I heard no reports from any quarter about detainee abuse anywhere.



When Abu Ghraib hit, my first thought was, "Had I been circumvented?"



Had there been authorizations for the abuse of prisoners that I had not learned about?"

[Narrator] Had the orders really been rescinded?"




According to interrogators, the use of shackling, dogs,



stress positions ...



and sensory assault, continued to be widespread.



Tony Lagouranis was an interrogator who arrived in Iraq after the Military became aware of the abuses at Abu Ghraib.



[Spc. Tony Lagouranis, Interrogator, Iraq] Among the Interrogation Guidelines they gave us, it said that dogs are authorized to be used on detainees. You know, stress positions. Sleep deprivation.



All of those things that I did, or I would consider harsh techniques, or violating Geneva Conventions, I was told to do.  
So we were told to do that to these people by our Superiors.



[Rear Admiral John Hutson (Ret., Former Judge Advocate General, 30 Years Military experience)] The spine of the United States Armed Forces is the chain of command.



What starts at the top of the chain of command



drops like a rock down the chain of command.




And that's why Lynndie England knew what Donald Rumsfeld was thinking ...



without actually talking to Donald Rumsfeld.



[Narrator] In the wake of Abu Ghraib, journalists began to look harder at previous cases of abuse ...



*[ANNALS OF THE PENTAGON -- THE MEMO -- HOW AN INTERNAL EFFORT TO BAN THE ABUSE AND TORTURE OF DETAINEES WAS THWARTED, BY JANE MAYER*

-- The Memo: How An Internal Effort to Ban the Abuse and Torture of Detainees Was Thwarted, by Jane Mayer

to try to understand what had caused them and who was responsible.



[Col. Lawrence Wilkerson, former Chief of Staff to Colin Powell] People like Tim Golden at the New York Times got a hold of it and started looking at the case of Dilawar, in particular. The taxi driver.



It became at least plausible to me that this man wasn't even guilty of anything other than being there when the sweep occurred. And here was a guy who was murdered in detention.



*[THE WRONG MAN]*



[Speaker] In memory for those whose lives were taken,



for those who gave selflessly of themselves.





Four years ago our nation came under attack ...



[Tim Golden, New York Times Reporter] 9/11 was very much in the air.



And I think the officers tried to keep it in the air.



They tried to remind these kids that these people are our enemies.



But it's hard to see how these young soldiers could have been expected to figure out who their real enemies were ...



among a bunch of militiamen ...



and farmers ...



in a society that was completely foreign to them.



[Spc. Glendale Walls, Interrogated Dilawar at Bagram] If I remember correctly, his story had something to do with the rocket attack at a military base.



And he was supposed to be the driver of the get-away car.



[Tim Golden, New York Times Reporter] He had taken his new car, which he was obviously excited about, and driven to Khost, the provincial capitol, where he went to look for taxi passengers.



*Yakubi! Yakubi!*

And he in fact found these three men in Khost, at the marketplace, who were headed back to Yakubi.



You have to imagine that Dilawar was driving home from this provincial capitol, which was about as far as his world stretched.



He gets stopped at Fire Base Salerno by a group of Afghan militiamen.



And the men apparently found an electric stabilizer in the trunk of the car.



At least they claimed to.



Camp Salerno had been rocketed from some distance earlier in the day.





*[Fire Base Salerno, Afghanistan]*



And the Afghan militiamen immediately arrested the four guys on suspicion of having had some involvement in that attack.



He's taken to Bagram ...



this great distance away.



You get a bunch of guys who are back at this detention site, and they are told that we have evidence that they have been involved in a rocket attack on American forces.



So I think that kind of tripped a wire in them.



[Tony Lagouranis, Military Interrogator, Iraq] You're in this atmosphere where you're with nothing but military people.



And you feel sort of morally isolated.



And you lose your moral bearings.



Then you're frustrated because you're not getting intelligence ...



from a prisoner that you believe is guilty ...



and has intelligence to give you.



So, of course, you want to start pushing the limits, and see how far you can go.



*[Tony Lagouranis, Military Interrogator, Iraq]*



[Spc. Glendale Walls, Interrogated Dilawar at Bagram] A lot of the pressure came from the fact that we had a few high value detainees that gave a lot of good information. And when we started to lose those detainees due to going to Guantanamo Bay, that they expected this to come from everybody.



[Pfc. Damien Corsetti, 519th Military Intelligence Unit, Bagram] We would interrogate some of these guys just to interrogate them. And it was ridiculous. I mean, you'd get some of these guys in and you're like, "This is the wrong man. This is not who we're supposed to have."



Especially being a screener, you could tell from the moment you got him in.



You're like, "We're not supposed to have him."



[Spc. Glendale Walls, Interrogated Dilawar at Bagram] We had one prisoner came in who was mentally challenged.



And Sgt. Loring kept saying that, you know, this is a cover. This is al Qaeda's cover. This is what they do. And I went in there and talked to him and, basically, they had this guy in a diaper. He'd eat his own feces. But Loring kept saying it was an act.



[Pfc. Damien Corsetti, 519th Military Intelligence Unit, Bagram] They'd be like, "Hey, we want you to go yell at this guy."




So I'd grab my box of Frosted Flakes that I was eating for breakfast that morning, and I'd go into the room, and I'd be like, "Alright, I have to yell at you today."



So I'd be like "dehydrogenated salt substitute." And just start yelling that at them. And they'd be like, they'd look at me all crazy, and I'd be like, "Yeah! That's your fault they put that in my cereal now." Or I'd yell at them if Elvis was really the King of Rock, or if he was dead. Or stuff like that. And I'd write that in my interrogation summaries. And I'd send that up to higher that that's what I did for that two hours.



[Spc. Glendale Walls, Interrogated Dilawar at Bagram] You really can't get a feel for a person until after you talk to him a couple of times. So on the first three times I talked to him, it was just verifying his story. Looking for loops. Looking for holes.



After the third time I talked to him, and his story was still consistent, I kept telling him I thought he was innocent.



[Tim Golden, New York Times Reporter] And they wanted these people to be guilty ...



because that would look better for their unit.



They could say that we arrested 60 people this month.




And they were all terrorists.




[Moazzam Begg, Detained at Bagram and Guantanamo] "When was the last time you saw Osama bin Laden?" "When was the last time you saw Mohamed Atta?" Now, this was a standard question that they would ask of every detainee.





[Tony Lagouranis, Military Interrogator, Iraq] It's very hard to go into an interrogation with very little evidence -- and we almost never had evidence on these guys -- and elicit a confession. You can go in and get intelligence, but if you're asking this guy to completely incriminate himself, it's very difficult.



So you have to start using harsher and harsher techniques in order to elicit the confession.



*[Sgt. Steven Loring, 519th Military Intelligence Unit, Bagram, Afghanistan]*



[Spc. Glendale Walls, Interrogated Dilawar at Bagram] I was yelled at for being too nice to him by Sgt. Loring. That I needed to put more pressure on him.



As he liked to say, "I needed to take him out of his comfort zone."



[Tim Golden, New York Times Reporter] After a while, particularly in the fourth and fifth interrogations, as the sleep deprivation that he was being subjected to really started to knock him out, the interrogations got more intense. Sgt. Salcedo, who was an inexperienced interrogator but a kind of can-do soldier ...



*[Sgt. Selena Salcedo, 519th Military Intelligence Unit, Bagram, Afghanistan]*

had this man who refused to look at her because she was a woman.



She said she got very frustrated by this,



and grabbed him by the sides of the face, and sort of turned him to face her and look at her, and take her seriously. But, of course, he was an Afghan man from a tribal, conservative culture, who didn't look at strange women.



[Spc. Glendale Walls, Interrogated Dilawar at Bagram] Sgt. Salcedo was getting a little aggravated. So I kind of stepped in between them. And that's when I grabbed him by the shirt. And I brought him over to the wall.

[Tim Golden, New York Times Reporter] They tried to make him stand up against the wall, and he was sliding down. They pushed him back against the wall.

[Spc. Glendale Walls, Interrogated Dilawar at Bagram] He wasn't making any kind of sense. Most of it seemed to just be rambling. The interpreter was telling me that his wife came to visit him in his cell. Which, of course, didn't happen.



[Pfc. Damien Corsetti, Military Intelligence, Bagram] If you've ever seen anybody sleep-depped, ugh, past two days they begin to just be bumbling idiots. Three days they are just worthless.



[Spc. Glendale Walls, Interrogated Dilawar at Bagram] I knew something was wrong.



And the next thing I heard was that he died.



[Tim Golden, New York Times Reporter] Not long after Dilawar was killed, we learned that the Afghan guerilla commander, whose men had arrested Dilawar and the others, had in fact been detained by the Americans himself.



And it turned out that he was rocketing their base, and then picking up innocent Afghans and turning them over to the Americans. Essentially to try and ingratiate himself with U.S. forces.



The three passengers were sent to Guantanamo. And they didn't get out until March of 2004, which was 15 months after they had been captured riding in the taxi.



It's hard to understand what reason the Americans would have had to send these guys on when they had quite clearly concluded that Dilawar, at least, was an innocent man when he was killed.



It certainly makes you wonder about whether they just sent these guys on to cover their butts.



*[THE WORST OF THE WORST]*



[Donald Rumsfeld, Secretary of Defense] "These" are not mere innocents. "These" are among the worst of the worst.



"These" are among the most dangerous, best-trained, vicious killers on the face of the earth.



[Dick Cheney, Vice-President] "They" are terrorists. "They" are bombmakers. "They" are facilitators of terror. "They" are members of al Qaeda, the Taliban.



[Ari Fleischer, Bush White House Press Secretary] And if "they" were free,



"they" would engage in murder once again.



["President" George W. Bush] The only thing I know for certain is that "these" are bad people.



Even assuming that Hamden is a dangerous individual who would cause great harm or death to innocent civilians given the opportunity, the Executive nevertheless must comply with the prevailing rule of law in undertaking to try him and subject him to criminal punishment

-- Hamdan v. Rumsfeld, decided by the United States Supreme Court on June 29, 2006



[Tom Wilner, Lawyer For 11 Kuwaitis in Guantanamo] Despite Rumsfeld's and Cheney's and President Bush's allegations that "these guys" are the worst of the worst,



that they were all captured on the battlefield, recent studies of the whole compendium of Government's documents show ...



that only five percent of these people were picked up by the United States.



Only 8% of them are accused of being members of the al Qaeda.



Over 90% of them were picked up by Northern Alliance ...




or Pakistani forces ...





in exchange for bounties.



[Donald Rumsfeld, Secretary of Defense] We have LARGE rewards out. We have leaflets that are dropping like snowflakes in December in Chicago.



[Narrator] An analysis of declassified government documents revealed t...



hat only 7% of Guantanamo detainees were captured by U.S. and Coalition forces.



*[7% CAPTURED BY COALITION FORCES]*



*[93% TURNED OVER TO U.S. FORCES]*



The other 93%, like Dilawar and his passengers, were turned over by Afghan warlords and Pakistanis.



Sometimes for cash payments of thousands of dollars.



[John Yoo, Office of Legal Counsel 2001-2003] You know, the Military is not interested in spending whatever it is -- \$40,000 a year -- detaining people who are not members of al Qaeda in Guantanamo Bay.



It has as much interest as everyone else does in making sure ...



that people who are detained ...



are actually members of al Qaeda, rather than wasting resources and time detaining innocent people.



[Tom Wilner, Lawyer For 11 Kuwaitis in Guantanamo] I think it's natural in times of war to pick up people.



You want to pick up anyone you suspect of being dangerous.



What's different here is that the Government, for the first time in our history ...



didn't follow its own regulations,



which require that a hearing be held, promptly after capture, if there is any doubt.



[Major Dan Mori, Military Defense Law for Guantanamo detainee David Hicks] In the war in Afghanistan, back in 2001, the U.S. Military was prepared to follow the Geneva Conventions and conduct those tribunals.



*[Major Dan Mori, Military Defense Law for Guantanamo detainee David Hicks]*



Unfortunately, the civilian leadership within the Department of Defense, told them to stop.



Once somebody in Afghanistan might have said, "Okay, this person's a high-value target," for whatever reason.



Whether it was based on some other Afghani who hated that person ...



and wanted him out so he could take over his opium crop. Then that began the road to GTMO. And there was no way for that person to challenge it. And there still hasn't been.



[Narrator] Moazzam Begg, a British subject suspected of ties to al Qaeda operatives, was picked up by local intelligence agents in Pakistan.



[Moazzam Begg, Detained at Bagram and Guantanamo] I was in my house in Islamabad at the time that I was abducted.



A hood was placed over my head. My hands and legs were shackled. And I was physically carried into the back of the vehicle.



I didn't see my family again after that point. I was sent to Kandahar, and then to Bagram.



And when I was put onto the transport plane to Guantanamo. I'd already been covered from almost head to toe in some sort of a covering: Face mask, ear muffs, blackened goggles. And then, just in case I could see anything, a hood to cover it with.



Being seated in the aircraft was excruciatingly painful.



They'd already used now the three-piece suit, and that is the shackle that goes around the waist and is padlocked to the back. It was impossible to move.



Impossible to breathe properly. Impossible to hear anything.




And so I managed to scream and plead with one of the guards to get me a needle to put me to sleep.



[Clive Stafford Smith, Lawyer for Guantanamo detainees, including Moazzam Begg] We fought for 2-1/2 years for just the right to go see the prisoners, and then fought for months more to get security clearance so the Military would let you in there.



I mean, this is bizarre! It never occurred to me that when I went to law school in America, that we'd be sitting around talking about whether we could have access to our clients. And whether our clients had been tortured!



[*habeas corpus*]



[Tom Wilner, Lawyer For 11 Kuwaitis in Guantanamo] Habeas corpus is really the essence of the rule of law. Not giving people a basic hearing when you take away their liberty is one of the reasons we fought the revolution. That the King can't deprive somebody of liberty without a hearing!

4. The military commission at issue lacks the power to proceed because its structure and procedures violate both the UCMJ and the four Geneva Conventions signed in 1949. Pp. 49–72.

(a) The commission's procedures, set forth in Commission Order No. 1, provide, among other things, that an accused and his civilian counsel may be excluded from, and precluded from ever learning what evidence was presented during, any part of the proceeding the official who appointed the commission or the presiding officer decides to "close." Grounds for closure include the protection of classified information, the physical safety of participants and witnesses, the protection of intelligence and law enforcement sources, methods, or activities, and "other national security interests." Appointed military defense counsel must be privy to these closed sessions, but may, at the presiding officer's discretion, be forbidden to reveal to the client what took place therein. Another striking feature is that the rules governing Hamdan's commission permit the admission of *any* evidence that, in the presiding officer's opinion, would have probative value to a reasonable person. Moreover, the accused and his civilian counsel may be denied access to classified and other "protected information," so long as the presiding officer concludes that the evidence is "probative" and that its admission without the accused's knowledge would not result in the denial of a full and fair trial. Pp. 49–52.

(b) The Government objects to this Court's consideration of a procedural challenge at this stage on the grounds, *inter alia*, that Hamdan will be able to raise such a challenge following a final decision under the DTA, and that there is no basis to presume, before the trial has even commenced, that it will not be conducted in good faith and according to law. These contentions are unsound. First, because Hamdan apparently is not subject to the death penalty (at least as matters now stand) and may receive a prison sentence shorter than 10 years, he has no automatic right to federal-court review of the commission's "final decision" under DTA

§1005(e)(3). Second, there *is* a basis to presume that the procedures employed during Hamdan’s trial will violate the law: He will be, and *indeed already has been*, excluded from his own trial. Thus, review of the procedures in advance of a “final decision” is appropriate. Pp. 52–53.

(c) Because UCMJ Article 36 has not been complied with here, the rules specified for Hamdan’s commission trial are illegal. The procedures governing such trials historically have been the same as those governing courts-martial. Although this uniformity principle is not inflexible and does not preclude all departures from courts-martial procedures, any such departure must be tailored to the exigency that necessitates it. That understanding is reflected in Art. 36(b), which provides that the procedural rules the President promulgates for courts-martial and military commissions alike must be “uniform insofar as practicable,” 10 U. S. C. §836(b). The “practicability” determination the President has made is insufficient to justify variances from the procedures governing courts-martial. The President here has determined, pursuant to the requirement of *Art. 36(a)*, that it is impracticable to apply the rules and principles of law that govern “the trial of criminal cases in the United States district courts” to Hamdan’s commission. The President has not, however, made a similar official determination that it is impracticable to apply the rules for courts-martial. And even if subsection (b)’s requirements could be satisfied without an official practicability determination, that subsection’s requirements are not satisfied here. Nothing in the record demonstrates that it would be impracticable to apply court-martial rules here. There is no suggestion, *e.g.*, of any logistical difficulty in securing properly sworn and authenticated evidence or in applying the usual principles of relevance and admissibility. It is not evident why the danger posed by international terrorism, considerable though it is, should require, in the case of Hamdan’s trial, any variance from the courts-martial rules. The absence of any showing of impracticability is particularly disturbing when considered in light of the clear and admitted failure to apply one of the most fundamental protections afforded not just by the Manual for Courts-Martial but also by the UCMJ itself: The right to be present. See 10 U. S. C. A. §839(c). Because the jettisoning of so basic a right cannot lightly be excused as “practicable,” the courts-martial rules must apply. Since it is undisputed that Commission Order No. 1 deviates in many significant respects from those rules, it necessarily violates Art. 36(b). Pp. 53–62.

-- Hamdan v. Rumsfeld, decided by the United States Supreme Court on June 29, 2006





*[Guantanamo Bay, Cuba]*



[Narrator] In 2004, 2-1/2 years after the first detainees had arrived in Guantanamo,



the Supreme Court rejected the Bush Administration's claims ...



that it could hold detainees indefinitely without allowing them to challenge their detention in the courts.



After the decision, the Military installed special new tribunals ...



to judge whether detainees should remain in Guantanamo.



[Tom Wilner, Lawyer For 11 Kuwaitis in Guantanamo] The Combatant Status Review Tribunals, which the Government hurriedly put in place nine days after we won before the Supreme Court, are a joke!



[Combatant Status Review Tribunal Hearing Room]



[Clive Stafford Smith, Lawyer for Guantanamo detainees, including Moazzam Begg] You have no rights! You have no right to a lawyer. You have no meaningful right to witnesses. You don't really know what the charges are, and you certainly don't know what the secret evidence is against you.



[Rear Adm. James McGarrah, Office of Administrative Review for Detained Enemy Combatants] They may not ever know! But that doesn't eliminate the opportunity they have to make a case for why, if they were returned in the future, why they would not continue to pose a threat.

The Government has not charged Hamdan with an “offense ... that by the law of war may be tried by military commission,” 10 U. S. C. §821. Of the three sorts of military commissions used historically, the law-of-war type used in *Quirin* and other cases is the only model available to try Hamdan. Among the preconditions, incorporated in Article of War 15 and, later, UCMJ Art. 21, for such a tribunal’s exercise of jurisdiction are, *inter alia*, that it must be limited to trying offenses committed within the convening commander’s field of command, *i.e.*, within the theater of war, and that the offense charged must have been committed during, not before or after, the war. Here, Hamdan is not alleged to have committed any overt act in a theater of war or on any specified date after September 11, 2001. More importantly, the offense alleged is not triable by law-of-war military commission. Although the common law of war may render triable by military commission certain offenses not defined by statute, *Quirin*, 317 U. S., at 30, the precedent for doing so with respect to a particular offense must be plain and unambiguous, *cf.*, *e.g.*, *Loving v. United States*, 517 U. S. 748 . That burden is far from

satisfied here. The crime of “conspiracy” has rarely if ever been tried as such in this country by any law-of-war military commission not exercising some other form of jurisdiction, and does not appear in either the Geneva Conventions or the Hague Conventions—the major treaties on the law of war. Moreover, that conspiracy is not a recognized violation of the law of war is confirmed by other international sources, including, *e.g.*, the International Military Tribunal at Nuremberg, which pointedly refused to recognize conspiracy to commit war crimes as such a violation. Because the conspiracy charge does not support the commission’s jurisdiction, the commission lacks authority to try Hamdan.

-- Hamdan v. Rumsfeld, decided by the United States Supreme Court on June 29, 2006



[Clive Stafford Smith, Lawyer for Guantanamo detainees, including Moazzam Begg] Many of my clients are found not guilty at the CSRT Tribunals. And then the Military thinks that doesn't sound so good.



They were being called "Not Enemy Combatants, NEC,



but now they are NLEC, which means "No Longer Enemy Combatants," because we want to say they were guilty to begin with, but now they've had a change of heart so they're not guilty anymore. But we were right in the first place.



[Brigadier General Jay Hood, Commander Joint Task Force, Guantanamo 2004-2006] These men aren't necessarily innocent men. That's not an accurate characterization.



You're more accurate in their long title: "No longer identified as an enemy combatant."



They are being held separate from the remainder of the detainees until the United States Government can find a country they can be returned to.



[Military PR guy] Some of these guys were policed off the battle field. And if they weren't here, they'd probably be on the battlefield killing American soldiers. They are here for a reason.



And it's our job to insure that they stay here until such time as it's "deemed" that they don't need to be here any longer.



[Clive Stafford Smith, Lawyer for Guantanamo detainees, including Moazzam Begg] Guantanamo is hiding the fact that the really bad dudes --



Aiman Al, and of course Osama bin Laden -- have never been captured.

-- FBI Says, "No Hard Evidence Connecting Bin Laden to 9/11," by Ed Haas


-- Usama Bin Laden Says The Al-Qa'idah Group Had Nothing to Do With the 11 September



So you have Guantanamo Bay as, "Here are 750 really evil guys" ...



as a PR stunt, effectively.



To say, "Look, we're really achieving something in the war on terror."

[WHO DID IT?]

[Giulietto Chiesa, Journalist - Member of the European Parliament] They have this evil organization. They have the leader of this evil organization, bin Laden. They have a secret network in the caves of Afghanistan.

It could be the screenplay of a James Bond movie.

[Nafeez Mossadeq Ahmed, Professor of Contemporary History, University of Sussex] The first bin Laden videotape that was leaked, and that was used by the media to suggest that Osama bin Laden has claimed responsibility -- this was a very problematic videotape.

There was an analysis that found it was a complete forgery. There are a number of issues. Basic issues such as, for example ...

Osama bin Laden was wearing a gold ring.

Now, in Islamic faith, Islamic lore, a man is not allowed to wear gold. So why is the head of

one of the most militant Salafist movements wearing a gold ring? It doesn't make sense.

[DOESN'T MAKE SENSE]

[Marina Montesano, University of Genova - Author of "American Mystery"] The video in question that was found in Afghanistan ...

in very doubtful circumstances ...

by American soldiers ...

in a house that was supposed to have been abandoned by al-Qaeda ...

has been questioned for various reasons. For example, a German TV network gave an alternative translation. It is completely different from the one given by the American investigators.

They are not apparently claiming a role in the 11th of September attacks. They are simply talking about what happened on September 11th.

[JUST SPEAKING ABOUT 9/11. THEY ARE NOT CLAIMING RESPONSIBILITY.]

Many of the other videos of Osama bin Laden have in fact a person speaking ... and an audio track, that does not correspond, or that corresponds very little, to what his lips are actually saying.

[Giulietto Chiesa, Journalist - Member of the European Parliament] People often ask me, "How can you doubt the official version if Osama bin Laden himself appeared several times on television to say, 'I did it'?"

So I will tell you a little story. However, I can only tell you this story, as I am unable to show you anything. We cannot show the images we do have, because the owners of this material refuse permission for you to see them.

[CENSORED]

In the summer of 2005, the Commission of the European Parliament for Security and Defense, of which I am a member ...

was invited to a special screening created by the Washington Center for Strategic Studies.

[OWEN C.W. PRICE AND JENIFER MACKBY, EDITORS  
CENTER FOR STRATEGIC AND INTERNATIONAL STUDIES]

We were asked to watch a film ...  
which depicted what would happen in Europe ...

[CSIS: DEBATING 21ST CENTURY NUCLEAR ISSUES]

if Brussels was hit by a nuclear bomb.

[BLACK DAWN: SCENARIO-BASED EXERCISE: BRUSSELS, BELGIUM, MAY 3, 2004]

[2. MOVE >> ATTACK: MOVE 2 WAS INITIATED WITH A SIMULATED REPORT  
THAT A 10-KILOTON NUCLEAR ...]

50,000 deaths, 100,000 injured.

The reactions of various European governments.

Suddenly, footage of Osama bin Laden claiming responsibility for a nuclear attack on NATO headquarters comes onscreen.

Four members of the Parliament, myself included, were rendered speechless. American experts

from the Center for Strategic Studies were on hand to explain the action onscreen.

Then a parliamentarian finally said:

"Today, we were shown a convincing demonstration of how Osama bin Laden's image can be completely manipulated."

All the Osamas we have seen over the years may never have existed, just as a nuclear attack on NATO headquarters in Brussels has never taken place.

Now they are denying us use of these images. No matter! We will go on without them. But, people, have a look yourselves.

This is an Osama bin Laden from the 7th of September, 2007.

Compare him with the other Osamas we have seen in the last few years.

Do you believe it is possible that he is getting younger every time?

[7 September, 2007 / 26 December, 2001]

Do you believe it is possible that his beard is darker than before? Do you believe it is possible that his nose has grown? We don't need analysis.

A child can understand that they are two different people. But these people are being introduced to us as if they were the same person. And this story has been told to us now for over six years!

-- Zero: An Investigation Into 9-11, directed by Franco Fracassi, Francesco Trento



*["IN PARTNERSHIP FOR EXCELLENCE"]*



*[THE GTMO PRESS TOUR]*





[PR Guy] And that is the main entrance to Camp X-Ray.



It would be extremely difficult for anybody to make an escape out of here.



Each one is 8 x 8 x 8.



*[CAMP X-RAY ABANDONED APRIL 2002]*



One individual per cell.



If you remember the individuals in the orange jumpsuits, there's like three or four kneeling facing that way, and three or four kneeling facing that way.



If you take a shot from right here, you'll have the same image.



And no detainee has ever died at GTMO from anything.



*[\*SINCE THIS INTERVIEW, FOUR GUANTANAMO DETAINEES HAVE COMMITTED SUICIDE]*



And I think the doctors gave you an update: We've performed well over 100 surgeries. So one good thing for them being here is they are quite healthy, and getting anything fixed they need getting fixed.





[PR Girl] We've introduced some new sports-type activities here in Camp 4. We've recently built this half-basketball court.



And off to the left of that, you will see a soccer court.



It is a privilege to live in Camp 4.



They are compliant with the camp rules in order to live here.



And we have introduced things like cake on Wednesday nights. Pepsi on Monday nights. Ice cream on Sunday nights.



Let's go in and take a look at the Bed.



[Clive Stafford Smith, Lawyer for Guantanamo detainees, including Moazzam Begg] On the bed, they have the so-called "CI's", or "comfort items," like a toothbrush!



But also included is a game of Checkers. And I did ask them, "Who is my partner to play Checkers with?"



He's in solitary confinement by himself.



*[NO PHOTOGRAPHY]*



[Narrator] What's the problem with the press photographing them?



Talking with them? Observing them?



*[WARNING. RESTRICTED AREA. KEEP OUT. AUTHORIZED PERSONNEL ONLY. NO PHOTOS.]*



[Brigadier General Jay Hood, Commander Joint Task Force, Guantanamo 2004-2006] The desire to not provide a platform to have the men we're holding espouse vile, Islamic rhetoric. A violent, vile, Islamic rhetoric!



*[GUANTANAMO BAY GIFT SHOP (Displaying violent vile Illuminati rhetoric, including Skull & Bones, Dragon, & Maltese Cross)]*



*[SKULL & BONES, DINOSAUR]*



*[MALTESE CROSS]*



*[AMERICA'S FINEST]*



*[CUBAN ROCK IGUANA]*



*[BEHAVIOR MODIFICATION INSTRUCTOR]*



[PR Guy] This is a tactic of al Qaeda: hunger strike to elicit media attention, and to bring pressure on the United States Government. When these numbers go up significantly, you guys start talking about it. You guys start asking about it.



*[\* 81 DETAINEES WERE ON HUNGER STRIKE DURING OUR TOUR]*



So they understand that.



[PR Guy] Camp 5 is a 100-bed, maximum security segregation interrogation facility.



[Clive Stafford Smith, Lawyer for Guantanamo detainees, including Moazzam Begg] If they're held in Camp 5, an average day is always the same.



Which is you're held 24 hours in solitary confinement.



[Moazzam Begg, 20 months in isolation, Guantanamo] Here in the cell, it was 8' x 6',



and I couldn't physically take 3 steps in any direction.



I certainly believed that I was going to spend the greater part of my life,



and perhaps even face execution, which was what I was told quite often.



[Clive Stafford Smith, Lawyer for Guantanamo detainees, including Moazzam Begg] What's particularly pernicious in Guantanamo Bay is there is no sense of when it's going to end, or if it's going to end. And the reason that prisoners go on hunger strike, for example, and may starve themselves to death, is, in the words of Omar Deghayes, "I'm dying slowly here in Guantanamo as it is. So I may as well take my life into my own hands."



*[TOUR INTERROGATION ROOM]*





[Brigadier General Jay Hood, Commander Joint Task Force, Guantanamo 2004-2006] I have no intention of holding somebody here any longer than he is a threat to our country,



or that he has intelligence or information that could be valuable to us in the global war on terror.




*[TOUR CELL]*

We continue to collect information of value from the men we're holding today.



[Moazzam Begg, 20 months in isolation, Guantanamo] One of the reasons why I was held in isolation was to do with this issue of witnessing these deaths in Bagram.



And they asked me which soldiers had been involved, and where they were at the time.





And so they brought in photographs of the people from the unit.



And I pointed out who I believed was involved.



They asked me -- one of the strangest requests I've ever had during the time I was in incarceration -- and that was, "Would I be willing to stand up as a witness for the prosecution in a trial against these soldiers?" And I thought, "How ironic this is." You know. "Is this the only court that I'm going to get to see after all these years in incarceration?"



*[THE RULE OF LAW]*




[Narrator] The cruel ironies of the Dilawar story echoed an ongoing debate in the halls of Congress about detainee abuse, national security, and the rule of law.



For one senator, John McCain, a former prisoner of war,



the matter of detainee abuse was both political and personal.



[John McCain, North Vietnam, 1968] I would just like to tell my wife I'm going to get well, I love her, and I hope to see her soon. And I'd appreciate it if you'd tell her that. That's all.



*[LT. CMDR. JOHN McCAIN]*



[Jack Cloonan, FBI Special Agent 1977-2002] If this man, after 6-1/2 or 7 years of torture, says that it's not efficient, it's inhumane, and it breeds contempt for the United States, he can stand up and be a moral voice on this issue.



[Senator John McCain] We sent them to fight for us in Afghanistan and Iraq. We placed extraordinary pressure on them to extract intelligence from detainees. But then we threw out the rules that our soldiers had trained on, and replaced them with a confusing and constantly changing array of standards.



And when things went wrong, we blamed them. And we punished them. I believe we have to do better than that.



I strongly urge you to do justice to your men and women in uniform.



Give them clear standards of conduct that reflect the ideals they risk their lives for.



[Narrator] On October 5, 2005, as increasing numbers of detainee abuse cases came to trial.



Senator John McCain proposed the Detainee Treatment Act.



The bill sought a total U.S. ban on torture.



As well as cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment.




And it sparked a national debate. One in which the Devil was in the details.



[Senator John McCain] Is it still permissible to use a wet towel and dripping water to induce the misperception of suffocation?



[Lt. General Randall M. Schmidt, Author of "Schmidt Report"] The use of the wet towel, dripping water to induce the misperception of suffocation was one of the techniques requested by the JTF in their laundry list given up. It was never approved. It has never been a technique approved.



[Professor Alfred McCoy, author A Question of Torture] One of the techniques that made the transition from the regime of the physical to the psychological -- in fact the only one -- was waterboarding. Because in the medieval era, under the Inquisition, it was done because of its horrible, physical aspects.



It was done to purge and punish the heretic. You force water down the throat of the victim.



The victim thinks that he's drowning. It's horrible. Your body tells you that you're dying.

-- The Malleus Maleficarum, by Heinrich Kramer & James Sprenger



*[CIA AGENTS ARRIVE AT BAGRAM]*

Right after 9/11, the CIA got approval from the White House for waterboarding.



[Narrator] An early test case involved the interrogation of Ibn Sheikh al Libi, a man suspected of being the Emir of an al Qaeda training camp.



Initially, the FBI was in charge of his interrogation. But the Administration was impatient with the slow results of the FBI's law enforcement techniques.



So they turned al Libi over to the CIA.



[Jack Cloonan, FBI Special Agent 1977-2002] He is secured. He was either duct-taped or hooded. And he was going to be put into a box -- a plywood box for his own protection [makes disbelief sound] -- for transfer to the airport.



[Professor Alfred McCoy, author A Question of Torture] They throw him on an aircraft,



and they rendered him through extraordinary rendition, to Egypt.



They later subjected him to two weeks of brutal torture,



involving all of these techniques, including waterboarding.



And they got information from al Libi stating that Saddam Hussein's regime ...



had trained al Qaeda in chemical and biological warfare.



[Scott Horton, Attorney] One of the things we know about torture is that someone who is tortured will tell his interrogator what he thinks the interrogator wants to hear.



*[Khmer Rouge Waterboarding Slab]*





[Col. Lawrence Wilkerson, former Chief of Staff to Colin Powell] The moment al Libi was waterboarded, he started blurting things out.



Well, rather than questioning what he was saying, and going into it in detail to see if what he was saying could be corroborated,



they immediately stopped and ran off to report what al Libi had said. And ended the torture.



And bang -- it gets up to the highest decision-makers --



and all of a sudden Colin Powell is told, "Hey, you don't have to worry about your doubts anymore,



because we've just gotten confirmation that there were contacts between al Qaeda and Baghdad.



[Narrator] In February, 2003, then Secretary of State Colin Powell ...



went before the United Nations to make the case for the war in Iraq.



[Colin Powell, Secretary of State] I can trace the story of a senior terrorist operative telling how Iraq provided training in these weapons to al Qaeda.




Fortunately, this operative is now detained, and he has told his story.



[Professor Alfred McCoy, author A Question of Torture] A year later, the CIA branded al Libi a fabricator, and rescinded all of the intelligence reports with that information in it. So, in other words, you will get information, but you'll get false information.



[Scott Horton, Attorney] I think Colin Powell said ...



that was the most embarrassing day of his entire life.



[Rear Admiral John Hutson (ret) Judge Advocate General] All the experts say that torturing people ...





is not the best way to get information.



Breaking down the barriers between you and them,



gaining their confidence is the best way to get it.



It takes some experience. It takes some talent. It takes some patience.



And then they might actually tell you something that is worthwhile. And then if you want to prosecute them and execute them, go ahead.



[Jack Cloonan, Counterterrorism Task Force] If you want to be able to build a rapport with somebody, you are their salvation, because their life as they know it is over.



*[Jack Cloonan, Counterterrorism Task Force]*

"Is there something I can do for your kids? You concerned about them? Do you want them educated? I'll get them educated. What do you want? Tell me what you want. Script for me your exit strategy." "How do you extricate yourself from this terrible situation that, by the way, you put yourself in?" "Now, you can't go back home, can you? No. So let's make peace with that. Let me help you find the strategy to give you a life."



And that's the way it worked! The amount of information that they were able to provide us, pre-9/11, to me it was extremely valuable. Who else was going to tell us about how you joined al Qaeda?

Even more notable are the support service which the CIA and its minions continue to provide Bin Laden. Here the evidence is fragmentary but persistent and finally overwhelming. According to CBS News, "the night before the September 11 terrorist attack, Osama bin Laden was in Pakistan. He was getting medical treatment with the support of the very military that days later pledged its backing for the U.S. war on terror in Afghanistan ... Bin Laden was spirited into a military hospital in Rawalpindi for kidney dialysis treatment. (Barry Peterson, "Hospital Worker: I Saw Osama," CBS News, January 29, 2002: <http://www.cbsnews.com> ) Before we criticize Pakistan, though, we should realize that the ISI in this case was probably acting on U.S. instructions, as it generally does.

#### **LE FIGARO: BIN LADEN TREATED AT AMERICAN HOSPITAL, JULY 2001**

On October 31, 2001, Le Figaro, the leading French conservative newspaper, published a front page story about medical treatment received by Bin Laden in Dubai in the summer before 9/11.

This remarkable revelation came in an article by Alexandra Richard entitled "La CIA a rencontré Ben Laden a Dubai. en juillet," (The CIA met Bin Laden in Dubai in July). At around the same time, similar facts were reported by Agence France Presse and Radio France International, the French external broadcasting service. The AFP dispatch read in part:

#### **Bin Laden Underwent Treatment in July at Dubai American Hospital**

Osama bin Laden underwent treatment in July at the American Hospital in Dubai where he met a U.S. Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) official, French daily Le Figaro and Radio France International reported. Quoting "a witness, a professional partner of the administrative management of the hospital," they said the man suspected by the United States of being behind the September 11 terrorist attacks had arrived in Dubai on July 4 by air from Quetta, Pakistan. He was immediately taken to the hospital for kidney treatment. He left the establishment on July 14, Le Figaro said.

During his stay, the daily said, the local CIA representative was seen going into bin Laden's room and "a few days later, the CIA man boasted to some friends of having visited the Saudi-born millionaire."

Quoting "an authoritative source," Le Figaro and the radio station said the CIA representative had been recalled to Washington on July 15. Bin Laden has been sought by the United States for terrorism since the bombing of the U.S. embassies in Kenya and Tanzania in 1998. But his CIA links go back before that to the fight against Soviet forces in Afghanistan.

Le Figaro said bin Laden was accompanied in Dubai by his personal physician and close collaborator, who could be the Egyptian Ayman al-Zawahiri, as well as bodyguards and an Algerian nurse. He was admitted to the urology department of Doctor Terry Callaway, who

specializes in kidney stones and male infertility. Telephoned several times, the doctor declined to answer questions. Several sources had reported that bin Laden had a serious kidney infection. He had a mobile dialysis machine sent to his Kandahar hideout in Afghanistan in the first half of 2000, according to "authoritative sources" quoted by Le Figaro and RFI. (AFP, Wednesday October 31, 2001, 2:04 PM)

The CIA was quick to deny these embarrassing facts reported by real investigative journalists, who apparently still exist in France. A spokeswoman at CIA Langley, VA headquarters described the Le Figaro article as "complete and utter nonsense. It's nonsense, it's absurd, it's ridiculous, it's not true." The CIA said it intended to protest to Le Figaro. The American Hospital in Dubai denied that Bin Laden had been a patient. (The Scotsman, November 1, 2001) But the French author Richard LaBeviere countered that Osama Bin Laden had been working for the CIA since 1979, a fact which was generally accepted in Europe. (October 31, 2001) Radio France International stuck to its guns and followed up on its story with further details about Bin Laden's CIA handler and case officer, Larry Mitchell: "The local representative of the CIA who visited Osama Bin Laden last July 12 at the American Hospital in Dubai is called Larry Mitchell. If his visiting card specifies that he is a "consular agent," everyone in Dubai knows, especially in the small expatriate community, that he is working under cover. To say it openly, Larry Mitchell belongs to the 'big house', otherwise known as the CIA. He himself does not hide it." RFI went on: " An expert in the Arab world and especially in the Arabian peninsula, Larry Mitchell is a colorful personality who livens up the somewhat drab evenings of the expatriates of Dubai. One of his friends likes to say that his natural exuberance often gets into classified matters. That is perhaps one of the reasons why he was called back to the United States last July 15. About twenty days after the September 11 attacks, in a statement dated October 5, the CIA dismissed as baseless rumors the story that the agency had had contacts with Bin Laden and his group in the past, especially at the time of the war against the U.S.S.R. in Afghanistan. It happens that this communique of the CIA is in complete contradiction with the earlier official statements of several representatives of the U.S. administration itself." ( [http://www.rfi.fr/1 novembre 2001](http://www.rfi.fr/1_novembre_2001))

It is thus clear that the CIA was providing vital support services to Bin Laden long after he had allegedly turned into the world's leading anti-American monster. The reality is that Bin Laden and al Qaeda have never stopped serving the CIA strategic agenda, whatever that happened to be. As Thierry Meyssan writes, "In reality, the CIA continued to have recourse to Osama Bin Laden's services against Russian influence as it had done against the Soviets. You don't change a winning team. The 'Arab Legion' of Al Qaeda was used, in 1999, to support the Kosovar rebels against the dictatorship in Belgrade. It was also operational in Chechnya, at least until November 2001, as was attested to by the New York Times. (Michael Wines, December 9, 2001) The alleged hostility of Bin Laden against the United States permitted Washington to deny responsibility for these dirty operations." (Meyssan 2002 106-7)

In a discussion of the impact of the anonymous Imperial Hubris CIA tract during the summer of 2004, the Washington Post provided a succinct summary of al Qaeda's strategic services to the CIA: "Al Qaeda's camps were staffed by veteran fighters who trained insurgents who fought and trained others to fight, not only against the Northern Alliance in Afghanistan, but also against national armies in Indian Kashmir, Chechnya, Uzbekistan, Eritrea, Yemen, Saudi Arabia, Algeria, Tajikistan, Egypt, Bosnia, western China, Indonesia, Malaysia, Macedonia, Kosovo, and the Philippines." (Review of Anonymous, Imperial Hubris, Washington Post, July 11, 2004) Notice that all these states were or are targets of U.S. destabilization. And even this list is far from complete; it leaves out Libya, for example.

The Iranian press also noted the strange affinities of al Qaeda for figures who were clearly still on the U.S. payroll. While panning the 9/11 commission report, the Teheran Times observed that none other than KSM, "Khalid Sheikh Muhammed, the reported mastermind of the 9/11

attacks, was a longtime associate of Abdul Rasul Sayyaf, a leader of the Afghan Northern Alliance and current ally of the U.S.-backed Afghans president, Hamid Karzai." (Teheran Times, July 27, 2004)

-- 9/11 Synthetic Terror Made in USA, by Webster Griffin Tarpley




What did buy-out mean? How did they communicate? Did they use Emaar-set satellite phones. Did Bin Laden use a body double?



So when we got all that information, we were able to do certain operations. Cumbersome though it may be, it still to me was the way to do it. And we don't have to apologize to anybody.



We don't know what revenge is coming down the road. And if I wanted to incite the faithful, I'd just take that one picture with the dog collar on, and just point to that. And look at the young brothers and say, "You're duty bound now to get revenge."



*[THE TICKING TIME BOMB]*



[Professor Alfred McCoy, author A Question of Torture] The advocates of torture generally focus on the hypothetical. They have this ticking bomb scenario they talk about ...

[Clive Stafford Smith, lawyer for Guantanamo detainees] which is, imagine that there's a ticking time bomb in Times Square. It's about to go off. We've got the guy in custody. He says he wants a lawyer. Do we respect his right to a lawyer? Or to save a million lives do we apply the electrodes to his testicles?







[Professor Alfred McCoy, author A Question of Torture] 24, week after week, has on-camera displays of brutal torture ...



*[Kiefer Sutherland] Just tell me what your connection with the terrorists is?*

designed to stop some terrorist with a ticking bomb from killing hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of Americans.



*[Superior] You're talking about torturing this man?*



*[Kiefer Sutherland] I'm talking about doing what is necessary to stop this warhead from being used against us.*

Propaganda in the United States is propaganda spread by government and media entities within the United States. Propaganda is information, ideas, or rumors deliberately spread widely to

influence opinions. Propaganda is not only in advertising; it is also in radio, newspaper, posters, books, television, and anything else that might be sent out to the widespread public.

-- Propaganda in the United States, by Wikipedia



[Clive Stafford Smith, Lawyer for Guantanamo detainees, including Moazzam Begg] It's just nonsense, though! Because then you ask, "Hey, name me one time in the last 500 years when we've had someone in custody with a ticking time bomb?"



[Jack Cloonan, Counterterrorism Task Force] The likelihood of that ever happening is soooo remote.



Even if you're in that situation, who is to say if you beat 'em up, that you're going to get that information? If a guy is that committed, I think he'll die before he gives it up.



[Professor Alfred McCoy, author A Question of Torture] Right after the release of the Abu Ghraib photos in mid-2004,



35% of Americans polled believed that torture was acceptable under some circumstances.



*[Murdered detainee, Abu Ghraib]*




Even after the Abu Ghraib photographs. And I think that shows the way that this kind of popular culture has built a constituency for torture,



which allows the Bush White House to get away with the way it twists laws and treaties,



and doesn't spark popular outrage.




[Captain] What do you think you're doing? There's no need for that!

-- Fahrenheit 451, directed by Francois Truffaut



[Narrator] On a conservative radio show, Vice-President Dick Cheney openly defended the practice of waterboarding.



[Scott Hennen, WDAY North Dakota] Would you agree, a dunk in water is a no-brainer if it can save lives?

[Dick Cheney, Vice President] Well, um, it's a no-brainer for me, but for a while there I was criticized as being the Vice President for torture.



["President" George W. Bush] We do not condone torture!



[Scott Horton, Attorney] "We do not torture"! Footnote: "As we define torture. Which means exactly what we wish it to mean, and nothing else."



[Narrator] In the elections of 2006,



the Bush Administration openly campaigned for harsh techniques the rest of the world defined as torture.



Bush and Cheney played on the fears of voters and politicians.



If Congress didn't give them the power to do whatever was necessary,



how could Americans be safe?



["President" George W. Bush] In addition to the terrorists held at Guantanamo, a small number of suspected terrorist leaders and operatives captured during the war have been held and questioned outside the United States in a separate program operated by the Central Intelligence Agency. Some ask, "Why you are acknowledging this program now?"

*[THE CIA PROGRAM INCLUDES:]*

*[WATERBOARDING]*

*[FORCED NUDITY]*

*[FORCED STANDING (UP TO 40 HOURS)]*



Some believe our Military and Intelligence personnel involved in capturing and questioning terrorists could now be at risk of prosecution under the War Crimes Act! Simply for doing their jobs in a thorough and professional way!



This is unacceptable!



[Narrator] The President was forced to disclose his secret CIA program ...



when the Supreme Court acted to limit his wartime powers.



In the historic Hamdan decision,



the Court ruled that interrogations and trials of terrorists ...



would be governed by the Geneva Conventions.



["President" George W. Bush] This debate is occurring because of the Supreme Court's ruling that said we must conduct ourselves under the Common Article III of the Geneva Convention. And that Common Article III says that there will be no outrages upon human dignity.



It's a, it's a, it's a, like -- it's very vague!



What does that mean?



[Senator Carl Levin, Senate Armed Services Committee] Do you believe that the use of testimony which is obtained through techniques such as waterboarding, stress positions, intimidating use of military dogs, sleep deprivation, sensory deprivation, forced nudity would be consistent with Common Article III?



[Alberto Gonzales, Attorney General] Well, sir, I think that most importantly, I can't imagine that such testimony would be reliable.



[Senator John McCain] Mr. Attorney General, do you believe that statements obtained through illegal, inhumane treatment should be admissible?



[Alberto Gonzales, Attorney General] Senator, well, again --



I'll say this:



the concern I would have about such a prohibition is, "What does it mean?" "How do you define it?"



[Narrator] And *WHO* would define it?



The Bush Administration introduced a new law that would elude the restrictions of the Supreme Court.



[Col. Lawrence Wilkerson, former Chief of Staff to Colin Powell] In a legal sense, I think they wanted to discard the Constitution. And they wanted to write a new one. But you can't do that. So what you do is you throw a new interpretation on the old one.



And the new interpretation is the Executive in wartime -- and perhaps this war is going to last forever -- is all powerful.

Contrary to the Government's assertion, even *Quirin* did not view that authorization as a sweeping mandate for the President to invoke military commissions whenever he deems them necessary. Rather, *Quirin* recognized that Congress had simply preserved what power, under the Constitution and the common law of war, the President already had to convene military commissions—with the express condition that he and those under his command comply with the law of war. See 317 U. S., at 28–29. Neither the AUMF nor the DTA can be read to provide specific, overriding authorization for the commission convened to try Hamdan. Assuming the AUMF activated the President's war powers, see *Hamdi v. Rumsfeld*, 542 U. S. 507, and that those powers include authority to convene military commissions in appropriate circumstances, see, e.g., *id.*, at 518, there is nothing in the AUMF's text or legislative history even hinting that Congress intended to expand or alter the authorization set forth in UCMJ Art. 21. Cf. *Ex parte Yeger*, 8 Wall. 85, 105. Likewise, the DTA cannot be read to authorize this commission.

Although the DTA, unlike either Art. 21 or the AUMF, was enacted after the President convened Hamdan's commission, it contains no language authorizing that tribunal or any other at Guantanamo Bay. Together, the UCMJ, the AUMF, and the DTA at most acknowledge a general Presidential authority to convene military commissions in circumstances where justified under the Constitution and laws, including the law of war. Absent a more specific congressional authorization, this Court's task is, as it was in *Quirin*, to decide whether Hamdan's military commission is so justified.

-- Hamdan v. Rumsfeld, decided by the United States Supreme Court on June 29, 2006



[Narrator] Congress gave the President most of what he wanted. He would agree to abide by the Geneva Conventions so long as HE could define their meaning and application.




A few detainees at Guantanamo might be put on trial. But the rest would no longer have access to habeas corpus:



the fundamental legal right to challenge their detention.




Planning a run for President, even Senator McCain voted for the bill soon after the Bush Administration threatened to discredit him with Conservative voters.



[The Cafferty File -- Immunity from War Crimes?] Buried deep inside this legislation ...



is a provision that will pardon President Bush and all the members of his Administration of any possible crimes connected to the torture and mistreatment of detainees dated all the way back to September 11, 2001.



At least President Nixon had Gerald Ford to do his dirty work. President Bush is trying to pardon himself.



[Narrator] The pardon did not extend to frontline soldiers.



[Pfc. Willie Brand, Convicted: Assault, Maiming, Maltreatment] The trial was a very confusing time for me, because I've never been through a trial before. I didn't know what was really going on. I kind of just understood that, you know, I was facing a lot of time in jail. That's the only thing I really understood about the whole thing.



*[Pfc. Willie Brand, Convicted: Assault, Maiming, Maltreatment]*



[Sgt. Anthony Morden, Pled Guilty to: Assault, Dereliction of Duty] Well, I was sent to jail, to a Military Correctional facility. I've lost my full-time job. I have a bad-conduct discharge which has hindered me in getting a new job in the same field. I -- financially it's just devastated me.



[Pfc. Damien Corsetti, Acquitted: Assault, Maltreatment, Dereliction of Duty, Use of Hashish, Performing an Indecent Act] I'm just glad it's over. That's it. Glad I can get on with my life.

*[Pfc. Damien Corsetti, Acquitted: Assault, Maltreatment, Dereliction of Duty, Use of Hashish, Performing an Indecent Act]*



[Spc. Glendale Walls, Pled Guilty to: Assault, Dereliction of Duty] I had to plead guilty to assault, and two counts of dereliction of duty. In exchange, they would say that I could go to jail for no more than four months.



[John Galligan, Willie Brand's Attorney, Former U.S. Army Judge] Rather than spend the money that was being spent for that trial,



I think it could have been better spent in working on Army doctrine ...



to make sure that other people go into battle properly equipped, properly led, and with a full understanding as to what their new roles and responsibilities are.





[William Cassara, Damien Corsetti's Attorney] When a detainee is abused, or a detainee claims abuse, they want somebody to take the fall for it.



And it's not going to be the person with eagles or stars on their shoulder.



[Narrator] No officer was ever convicted in the Dilawar case. Following her service at Abu Ghraib, Capt. Carolyn Wood was given a staff position at the Army Interrogation School in Fort Huachuca, Arizona.





[Scott Horton, Attorney] What does that reflect in terms of Senior Leadership's intentions? Not to eradicate the abuse, but to perpetuate the abuse.



[Alberto Mora, former Navy General Counsel] I think the probabilities exist that there will be other terrorist attacks.



That more Americans will die. And the argument that we have to apply abuse to detainees in order to protect American lives,





I find to be violative of our deepest values,



and to the very safety of our country.



We fight not only to protect lives.



We fight to protect our principles.




[Col. Lawrence Wilkerson, former Chief of Staff to Colin Powell] If you say, over the course of Afghanistan,



GTMO and Iraq,



we've detained 50,000 people, I'd say that less than 1% were terrorists.




*[\* as of Sept. 11, 2006, the number detained reached over 83,000]*

The only “realistic” prospect is to ground a new [politics] by opting for the impossible, fully assuming the place of the exception, with no taboos, no a priori norms (“human rights”, “democracy”), respect for which would prevent us from “resignifying” terror, the ruthless exercise of power, the spirit of sacrifice ... if this radical choice is decried by some bleeding-

heart liberals as Linksfaschismus, so be it!

-- Is Slavoj Zizek a Left-Fascist?, by Alan Johnson



*[\* none have been brought to trial]*



Were some of them insurgents? Probably.



Were almost all of them in Iraq, in particular, going to become insurgents after their treatment?



Yes.



[Moazzam Begg, Detained at Bagram and Guantanamo] I was kidnapped, abducted, forced into prison, tortured, and threatened with further torture, without charge. Without trial.



*[Moazzam Begg, Released due to Pressure from British Government]*



Even many soldiers had said to me afterward, "Wasn't it hell when you weren't a terrorist when you came in here, by the time you leave, I'm sure you would be because of the way you've been treated."



[Jack Cloonan, Counterterrorism Task Force] I think there's a certain level of prejudice ...



that this religion, and the people who have hijacked it,



have such a disregard for life,



that we turn around and say, "If they think so very little of life -- and clearly 9/11 exemplified that -- screw them!"  
Anything goes."

Counterterror was one way of co-opting uncommitted civilians. To facilitate their political awakening, according to Manzione, "We left our calling card nailed to the forehead of the corpses we left behind. They were playing card size with a light green skull with red eyes and red teeth dripping blood, set against a black background. We hammered them into the third eye, the pituitary gland, with our pistol butts. The third eye is the seat of consciousness for Buddhists, and this was a form of mutilation that had a powerful psychological effect."

Curiously, terror tactics often involve mutilating the third eye (the seat of insight and secret thoughts) and playing on fears of an "all-seeing" cosmic eye of God. Used by morale officers in World War I, the eye of God trick called for pilots in small aircraft to fly over enemy camps and call out the names of individual soldiers. Ed Lansdale applied the technique in the Philippines. "At night, when the town was asleep, a psywar team would creep into town and paint an eye (copied from the Egyptian eye that appears atop the pyramid in the Great Seal of the United States) on a wall facing the house of each suspect," Lansdale writes. "The mysterious presence of these malevolent eyes the next morning had a sharply sobering effect."

To appreciate the "sobering effects" of the "malevolent" and "mysterious" eye of God, it helps to know something of the archetype's mythological origins. In ancient Egypt, the eye of God was plucked from Horus, an anthropomorphic sun-god with a falcon's head. Pictured as the morning sun cresting a pyramid, the eye of God represents the dawn of self-awareness, when the ego emerged from the id and no longer required human sacrifice to overcome its primeval anxiety. Awed by the falcon's superlative sight, talons, and flight, the Egyptians endowed Horus with the bird's predatory prowess, so he could avenge the murder his father, Osiris, whose name means "seat of the eye." Set on high, scanning the earth for the forces of darkness, the falcon as sun-god -- as the manifestation of enlightenment -- carries out the work of organization and pacification, imposing moral order on earth.

The eye of God assumes its mysterious "counterespionage" qualities through this myth of the eternal cycle -- the battle between good and evil -- in which, if the perfidious gods of darkness can guess the sun-god's secret name, they can rob him of his powers and trap him forever in the underworld. Thus a falcon emblem was placed above the gates of all Egyptian temples, scanning for the sun-god's enemies, while the sun-god relied on code names to conceal his identity.

Oddly enough, the eye of God was the symbol of the Cao Dai sect, whose gallery of saints include Confucius, Buddha, Joan of Arc, Jesus, and Victor Hugo. Inside the Cao Dai cathedral in Tay Ninh City, the Cao Dai pope divined upon his planchette the secrets of the Great pyramid; over the temple door loomed a huge blue "all-seeing" eye surrounded by snakes and trees. For this reason, some people suggest that the Cao Dai eye of God endowed Phoenix, the all-seeing bird of prey that selectively snatched its prey, with its ubiquity.


In South Vietnam the eye of God trick took a ghastly twist. CIA officer Pat McGarvey recalled to Seymour Hersh that "some psychological warfare guy in Washington thought of a way to scare the hell out of villagers. When we killed a VC there, they wanted us to spread-eagle the guy, put out his eye, cut a hole in the back [of his head] and put his eye in there. The idea was that fear was a good weapon." Likewise, ears were cut off corpses and nailed to houses to let the people know that Big Brother was listening as well.

"Now everyone knows about the airborne interrogation -- taking three people up in a chopper, taking one guy and saying, 'Talk,' then throwing him out before he even gets the chance to open his mouth. Well, we wrapped det [detonator] cord around their necks and wired them to the detonator box. And basically what it did was blow their heads off. The interrogator would tell the translator, usually a South Vietnamese intelligence officer, 'Ask him this.' He'd ask him, 'Who gave you the gun?' And the guy would start to answer, or maybe he wouldn't -- maybe he'd resist -- but the general idea was to waste the first two. They planned the snatches that way. Pick up this guy because we're pretty sure he's VC cadre -- these other two guys just run errands for him. Or maybe they're nobody; Tran, the farmer, and his brother Nguyen. But bring in two. Put them in a row. By the time you get to your man, he's talking so fast you got to pop the weasel just to shut him up." After a moment's silence he added, "I guess you could say that we wrote the book on terror."

-- The Phoenix Program, by Douglas Valentine



[Afghani Man] We in the village come to Dilawar's grave to pray for his departed soul.




I pray for him and the others in the cemetery.



*[Grave Marker: Dilawar the martyr. Yakubi village.]*



[Shahpoor, Dilawar's brother] What should I say to the Americans?



My brother was innocent. He was barely more than a child and they killed him.



Since he died, I cannot taste my tea. I cannot taste my food. I cannot taste anything.



Imagine you leave here and someone along the road kills you.



In what state will your children and wife be? How would your father feel?



[Tim Golden, New York Times Reporter] It's not surprising that at the end of all this,



Dilawar, the victim, was really lost.



I mean, Dilawar was almost invisible in the trials.



I mean, you never saw pictures of him.



Nobody ever mentioned this man's wife and child who were left without a husband and father. He was not part of the picture at all.



[Afghani Man] Dilawar could not work in the fields, so he said, "I will take the taxi",



and bring the family meat and potatoes in the evenings."



[Tim Golden, New York Times Reporter] There's a lot of other people out there who are going to run into this system unless it's fixed. And you only need one to sort of remind yourself of what it's capable of.



[Alberto Mora, former Navy General Counsel] American values are premised upon the notion of human dignity, and the sanctity of the individual. To allow for cruelty to be applied as a matter of official policy, is to say that our forefathers were wrong about these inalienable rights.



[Spc. Tony Lagouranis, Military Intelligence, Iraq] Americans obviously want to believe that we're somehow more moral than the rest of the world. For some reason we have a real strong desire to feel that way. And I think that's eroding. I don't really know what effect that's going to have on us. And I think a lot of people have just decided, "Well, you know, it's different now after 9/11. We can't be good anymore. We have to get tough." And so we'll have to see what that does to us.

[Narrator] What do you say?

[Spc. Tony Lagouranis, Military Intelligence, Iraq] I think that's bullshit, frankly. I mean, I think that we still need to try and be as good as we can be.



*WRITTEN & DIRECTED BY Alex Gibney*



*[Dedicated to my father, Frank B. Gibney, 1924-2006, WWII Navy Interrogator]*



[Frank B. Gibney] I find it utterly inconceivable that our highest officials -- Rumsfeld, Bush and Cheney -- would not only countenance torture but would actually advocate it. That really destroyed my faith in the American government. Because through World War II and the Korean War, where I also served, we had the sense that we were on the side of the good guys. You'd always get justice from the United States of America. People would get decent treatment. And there was a rule of law. We never forgot that. That behind the facade of wartime hatreds, there was a central rule of law which people abided by. It was something we believed. It was what made America different.

*PRODUCED BY Alex Gibney, Eva Orner, Susannah Shipman*

*EXECUTIVE PRODUCERS: Don Glascoff, Robert Johnson, Sidney Blumenthal*

*EXECUTIVE PRODUCERS: Jedd Wider, Todd Wider*

*EDITOR: Sloane Klevin*

*CINEMATOGRAPHY: Maryse Alberti, Greg Andracke*

*CO-PRODUCERS: Marty Fisher, Blair Foster, Sloane Klevin*

*ORIGINAL MUSIC BY Ivor Guest, Robert Logan*

*ADDITIONAL MUSIC BY Mario Grigorov*

*NARRATED BY Alex Gibney*