# Introduction to the History of Indian Buddhism (ENGLISH VERSION)

by Eugene Burnouf 1801-1852

Page 1

Page 2

Page 3

Page 4

Page 5

ORIENTAL LIBRARYVOLUME IIIINTRODUCTIONA The HISiOIREFROM BUDDHISI  $^{\circ}$  h: .INDIANCV  $^{\circ}$  3p5 "

Page 6

ORLEANS, PRINTING OF GEORGES JACOB, CLOITRE SAINT-ÉTIENNE, 4.

Page 7

INTRODUCTIONA HISTORY OFINDIAN BUDDHISMyBY E ^ '^ BURNOUFFROM the institute of FRANCEAND ACADEMY OF MUNICH AND OF LISBON, CORRESPONDENT OF THOSE IN BERLIN"OF SAINT-PÉTERSBURG, OF TURIN, ETC.SECOND EDITIONSTRICTLY COMPLIANT WITH THE ORIGINAL EDITIONAND PRECEDED BY A NOTICE OFM. BARTHÉLÉMY SAINT-HILAIREON THE WORK OF M. EUGENE BURNOUFPARISMAISONNEUVE ET G >><, LIBRARIES-PUBLISHERS25, QUAI VOLTAIRE, 251876

Page 8

Bi

Page 9

NOTICEON THEWORK OF M. EUGÈNE BURNOUF.

Page 10

Page 11

NOTICEON LBSWORK OF M. EUGENE BURNOUF. (Journal des Savants, notebooks for August and September 1852.)Mr. Eugene Burnouf delighted if prematurely to the science has made part during twentyyears, from the Journal des Savants; and, in this capacity alone already, his memory could findhere a pious memory, if,moreover, the grandeur of his works and the fruitful originsality of its findings not deserve a review special, weare happyto devote to him . From all the philologists of our time that the death has struck, itdo is perhaps not a single of which the posterity will plusde account that to him. All the subjects he has dealt with are immense; and, although he left only worksincomplete, the results obtained are so important and so sure that the criticism do themwill not shake, and that history will have to record them as apartnow essential and indisputable in its annals. Even in studiesold and from long cultivated, it is a well worth grandque arriving at As of confidence in the help of the efforts of his predecessors and in the filling; cornto carry this degree of precision and this breadth in entirely new research, do not make a false step on a land unknown and so vast, not pass'égarer in

theroads so obscure and so difficult, it is a glory to just close one; and, some flash-as that is the example, heis to be feared that it will not happen again, and thatimitation in is almost impossible. M. Burnouf had received from the Natureof faculties admirable, which heknew how to make the most useful and the most persevering use. It is known, for most, make advantage of the happy circumstances of his education philosopherlogical; and it has joined the inspirations of his genius the lessons fathers, who maybe the had awakened in him, and that 's certainly expanded. Thanks to all these aids so well employed, his career, shortened as it was, will have beenfull; and its monuments, if they are incomplete, does are not less lasting. The method that has been used in the building will be used always by raising other, and French scholarship, which has a such number of names illustrious, can be showproud of this conquest that he promises and assures him so many subsequent conquests. I will give that the details very short on the biographyby Mr. Eugene Bur-nouf. The true scientist is entirely in his works; and these are the phases of his

Page 12

VIIINOTICE ON THE WORK OF M. EUGENE BURNOUF.intelligencewhomake up allthe storyof hislife. It is an observation that we have done a hundred times; and, if Ithe repeated, that is for in note a time of more thecorrectness.Mr. Eugène Burnouf, only son of Mr. J.-L. Burnouf, famous author of the gram-mayor who has renewed the study of Greek among us, was born in Paris on April 8, 1801. Hefitof brilliant and very strong studies at College Louis-le-Grand. I notice that atout of his classes his vocation only had not yet decided, and that was the beginningsome uncertainty on the road hehad to take. In 1822 hewas a student of the school of Charters. Received licentiate-ès-lettres and licentiate in law, in 1824, hecultivated from then on the Sanskrit, which was to open to him a career sansbornes and so beautiful; what if ithen recall a very personal memory, from that time hepossessed quite wellthis language to give us the leçonsà my friend Littré and to me. Besides, heit is no doubt that these beginnings, some strangers they seem to the continuation of its work, were not very useful. The habit of deciphering the texts Franlish and Latin he taught more later to decipher the texts well otherwise difficultidioms Asian.the sagacity merveilleused ont his friends he had vudonneras evidence has sharpened, is formed in the first test; and school from Charters, which should be glorifying for having counted him among his pupils, can claimalso a certain share in the studies to which it the prepared so well. The writingtures of different peoples, some dissimilar they may seem, ontcependantlots of features common, even in amendments the more bizarre; andthis is an instrument well useful for the unraveling as to have a time enteredeven a single thoroughly. For who knowsthe manuscriptswhich Mr. EugeneBurnouf has consumed his existence and his strength, heis certain that this initiation of School of Charters, far from having been for him a deviation has been, to the contrary, asort of routing. Lesétudes even of law will have not ruled out as they could thebelieve, andby finding very recently in hispapers a memoirextended on a few points of the former legislationcalendar of Indians and of Notes Many of the digestible Hindu, I am told that the student in law had not very fact lost the time of philologist, and that Mr. Eugène Burnouf would have lesswell understood Manou and Yâdjnavalkya if hewould have been less versed in the subjects oflegislation. The thesis which he passed in 1824 was much noticed, and itremains yetin the memory of those who have known; it was much praised by teachersin front of which hesupported her. Endowed with a very rare

facility ofword and infinitelyof wit, M. Eugène Burnouf would certainly have passed the bar; and hetherewould be done, to all appearances, a positionbrilliant and lucrative. Hehas preferred the austeritiesof the science, and hehas been due, although the science do it has not always made it hat she owed him. It was in 1826 that the vocation of Mr. Eugène Burnouf wasirrevocably fixed. In that year he published, together with Mr. Chr. Lassen, his Essay on the faded orlanguage sacred to the peninsula to beyond the Ganges. Patronized by Abel Rémusat, printed/

Page 13

NOTICE ON THE WORKS OF M. EUGÈNE BURNOUF. IXby the Asian Society of Paris, of which Mr. Eugène Burnouf was deputy secretary, This book was the forerunner of the many works that were to make his glory and contribute to that of our country. I do not mean to say that we find in V Essay on the Pali all the qualities eminent who is sontdéveloppées more later in the Com-mentary on Yacnaet in the Introduction to the history of Indian Buddhism; cornin this work by a young man who was barely twentyfive, we can already see the special character that marked the works the most mature of Mr. Eugene Burnouf: the invention, supported on the method the more rigorous and more circumspect. What was known about the Pali in 1826? Named for the first time by Laloubère inthe relationship of the kingdom of Siam in 1687 the Pali was so little known towards the end of XVIII \* century that the often confused with the Pahlavi, language insemi-semitic, which, in Persia, succeeded the ancient zend. Father Paulin de Saint-Barthélémy, moreof a centuryafter Laloubère, argued that one could not understand the paU without the Sanskrit, and he tried the show by some comparisons which, without being false, were neither complete enough nor sufficiently decisive. The Dr. Buchanan andabove allLeyden, all two in the memories inserted to Research Asia, Volume X, were gone most far. The second, above all, had shown the indisputable reports the pale with Sanskrit, Prakrit and thezend; heis proposing to make a studyvery particular and to publish a grammar, when he died. This is where it wasthe knowledge of Pali when Mr. Eugene Burnouf get occupied. It was like ariddle that had tried to understand, and to which we had given up. It 's resolved in a complete and definitive way, at least in its essential data. Heof-showed that the Pali, the sacred language and scholarly used to the religion of Buddha toCeylon, Burmese, Siam, Tchiampa, etc., was only a derivative of Sanskrit; andthat spokenin the fifth century of our era and undoubtedly much earlier in Ceylon, where the Bud-dhism had been introduced a thousand years ago, it was from there that it had been transported with the religion even in the country so diverse where the found today. This discovery, because it was, even after the indications of Father Pauhn de Saint-Barthélémy and those of Leyden, was proven by a comparison \* réguhère and luminous neuse of the grammar pale and of the grammar of Sanskrit. The main rules of the derivation of the words were fixed; and, a rather curious philological phenomenon, thepali wasrelated to Sanskrit by hens more narrow even than those that uniteItalian to Latin. The attenuation of all the joints a little strong is the linedistinctive of Pali, as it is also of Italian, with respect to the virile idiom from which it is out. Besides ,the declensions and conjugations, as well as the syntax, are identical in pale and in Sanskrit; the roots Sonta little about all the same; and for those who know one of the two languages, it would be easy to switch to the other by observing before the laws of the transmutation, which are exactand of aprecision really surprising. It was a lot of revealing the origins of

unelangue as widespread as thepaled, and dedicated to religious monuments of so many peoples; it was a lot

Page 14

XNOTICE ON THE WORK OF M. EUGENE BURNOUF.to have made its intelligence possible and easy. But Mr. Eugène Burnouf, himself, was far in 1826 to be doubts about the extent of the area that had just opened. He did theknew well that many years more laterwhen the annals of bud-dhism attracted his | attention, and that he was able to confront the Sanskrit drafting of Sutras Buddhist of Nepal, north of India, with the writing faded that inhad been made to the south, at 400 leagues beyond, in the island of Geylon. The Pali had her apparent be born then as one of the two idioms without which heit is forbidden to know to positive on the religion of Buddha. It must then be happy to have started of ifearly and with little near in the same time these two studies, which are the key to all the others, and who had in his hands produce the results so amazing. This is what that we explained how hedevoted so much work to the study of Paliuntil the end of his career, and how, if the dead do would have if early shot, he would have given to the learned world a pale grammar, of which all the materials are foundalmost entirely finished in the manuscripts he leaves. Corndo not anticipate; I will discuss further in detail of these manuscripts so preciousand so considerable. The Essay on the Pali showed therefore a large fact philological, full of consequenceshistorical the most important; but the guess without difficulty, this book waspossible only on one condition, who is the thorough knowledge of Sanskrit. Around 1820, this knowledge, quite uncommoneven today, despite theimmense progress it has made, was exceedingly rare. Help was littlemany andnot very accessible, and ithad a great courage to tackle studies who did were that to be born. It is true that these studies promised a lot andthat they had to hold out even more than theydid not promise. But it was not acommon wisdom than to understand from then on all that they contained, and todespise the vain criticisms of which theywere too often the subject. Hethere wasyet in this era of spirits also prominent, which niaientl'existence of theliterature Sanskrit, and that the sarcasm fairly specious well have been coolthe ardor of a young man. M, Eugène Burnouf, although hehave more than oncesuffered from these paradoxes extravagant, if this is not interested, not kept any account; and hewas, from that the put, a student assidude Mr. Chézy. His great father her inhad been setting the example for a long time; from 4817 while at least, Mr. Burnoufs the fatherhad the Sanskrit, and I found all his early work in this day in the papers of the son. Thus, Eugene Burnouf has made yet in this than follow the exampleand father's teachings; and it is a department of more than the author of the grammayorGreece has delivered to the philology. I do not want to pretend that without the advice of his father, Mr. Eugene Burnouf that the Nature was created philologist, notwould not have spontaneously arrived at the eludethe Sanskrittowardwhicheverything had toattract it. But it is a valuable benefit of being initiated to whethergood time and by an affection of families to labors which we must make thepurpose of his own life; and without this enlightened guide, Mr. Eugène Burnouf might have lost some

Page 15

NOTICE ON THE WORK OF M. EUGENE BURNOUF.XItime attrouver the way that ithappily indicated an initiativeso sure and sogentle.A little close unknown of all the eighteenth 'century cultivated towards 4780 successfully in theinstitutions of India, with the conquering English, and then to the Company Asia-tick of Calcutta, hadfounded

ongenius of William Jones, explained in the grammars first quite imperfect, the Sanskrit remained almost unknown to Europescholar until the time of the Restoration. The France had the honor of founding thefirst chair where this language was taughtin Europe; and it's M. de Chézy, as is the knowwho occupied it from 1814 to 1832. M. de Chézy, attracted by the study of Persian to that of Sanskrit, was formed all alone on the continent, far from allresources, byan extraordinary wisdomandby a tireless patience. Inman of taste, hewas attached by above all the beauties literature of Sanskrit; and it was in the report of the shape he wanted therecommend to the attention of scholars. In front of the Greek models, it was pushing the blindness a little far, moreover, their very excusable, enthusiasm; and Sanskrit literature, some beautifulit is in some ways only can support the comparison with the literature Greek.But hethere was much more in the Sanskrit as the beauties literary: the philology therefound a way obvious and indisputable the family of all the languagesmain things that Europe has spoken or is talking about. The Greek, Latin, Celtic, German, the Slavic, with all their derived dialects, have drawn from a common source, fewimportant fact to what eras. This facttoounexpected that immense, toocertain that surprising, was demonstrated ;and the story was right then, although shebornknew exactly the route to go by the language all our civiUsationwestern to the central Asian plateaus. We can imagine that a fact of this kind would not not just [ imaginations and that also deceive the minds of the more positive and more accurate. This fact was established with a certainty more than mathematical; and nothing that the taking by the side of the philosopherlogy, hewas quite large and quite curious to excite the longer and longerpainful labors. MM. Burnouf had to be plusparticulièrement hit that which as it is ;and so much research given to the intelligence of the Greek languagewould make them more expensive than anyone theunexpected discovery of its originsgines. That's why the father first and the son then have cultivated the Sanskrit. To theireyes like the eyes detous the judges enlightened, the Sanskrit deserves our days allas much interest as thexy " and the sixteenth century in agreed [to the study of Greek. We can even say sansex agérer that the Sanskrit was more for him the attraction of a nou-veality that the Greek had no at the time of the Renaissance. The tradition that connects the civihsation modern to civilization Greco-Roman will was never broken, and going back to the thinking Greek, we were only going back to a past already well known, if besides he could be even better. But for Sanskrit, whoknew, before the works of William Jones, Wilkins, Colebrooke, de Chézy, everything we owed him? Who knew that was where to go get the/

Page 16

MI'NOTICE SLR THE WORK OF M. EUGÈNE BURNOUF.cradle of so many idioms, and by these idioms, of so many mythological beliefs, religious and philosophical? We must say in honor of the principal nations of Europe that the studies so new and so important were accueiUies with EMPRESment as soon as weunderstand the consequences which in could go out; and on thenot the France, several governments founded Chairs public without \*crit. The considerable development that these studies have taken, the monuments theyhave already produced and all those they still promise to centuries of study, the importance and the variety of these monuments, attest enough that the governments Europeans have done well to believe the advice of philologists, and that they havenot badly placed their admiration and their vigil. Mr. Eugène Burnouf, educated all together by his two masters, his father and Mr. Chézy, and by his own talent

was soon exceeded the lessons he received; and I do fear not to say that from that time, heknew Sanskrit as hewill begave out little people dejamais theknow. I insist on cepoint, because thatit was with the help of Sanskrit that M. Eugène Burnouf was able to conceive of alltaken, and that without this all-powerful instrument, he would not have been able to accompany any of hisdiscoveries. The first applying it to fit, after the Test on the paled, was his course atproofed normal on the grammar general and compared. This conference had been created for him in November 1829, and hefulfilled these functions until February 1883. When this chair was founded, hewas to just close the only quipût occupy, and whenit was destroyed after his resignation, he would have been very difficult for the replacement; the sup-pressure from this chair was certainly an unfortunate loophole repaired laterin the teaching of a large school; but the Minister not given point successor to Mr. Eugène Burnouf can find an excuse in the extreme embarrassmentof such a legacy. From left, Mr. Eugene Burnouf has nothing publiéde his lessons; cornthey had left in such memories, not not seulement parmi his listeners, but still in all the generations which for twenty years have succeeded at the Schoolnormal, that the insufficient editorial that in were made are stillvery popular with the students and by the candidates; they the had made lithographedto spread its use, and notebooks passed religiously from hand to handan advancement to a other. One could believe that Mr. Eugène Burnouf had nothingkept for himself from this course which he perhaps regarded as an incompletetest of teaching; but i havefound this course written almost entirely from itshand; and well as the writing made in the haste does is not completely stopped, the morea large part would however deserve to see the light of day and could face thelooksof criticism. Doubtless, the author would have made many changes to this work from hisyouth, if he had believed before duty offer to pubHc; but in the state even when Iit is, I the think worthy of being preserved, and I am assured that the impression does wouldno harm to scholarship and to the reputation of the illustrious philologist. The manuscript doesdo n't understandless than 450pagesin-V of writing fine and tight; he does not vap at \* Page 17

NOTICE Sim THE WORK OF M. EUGÈNE BURNOUF.XIIIof theof the first two years of the course. In these two years, the professed-sister, as hethe said himself, "had given an exact notionandcomplete" of diversesparties of speech in use in the ancient languages and in the "modern dialects of Europe; these were the general principles of a theory"philosophy of language. y>After these preliminaries on the grammar general and compared, or rather the grammar comparative, and on the history of this science, Mr. Eugene Burnouf is proposed to study the Greek and the Latin, and of the close of Sanskrit and languages of this family. He then had to do in third year thecriticism of the methods of teaching the languages and cettecritique was the endand as the rationale for the entire course. You don't have to be very well versed in these subjects to see that there was in this program, followed by a scholar of thismerit, all the elements of a renovation for the study of languages. This course hasnot been continued; but the need is in is always made to feel in the large establishmentsment that had possessed for some time; and M. Dubois, who directed the School for tenyears with both of Honor, was in part satisfies this need by the course teachingwhich he had taken care of himself. It is more feasible now to do a studyfull of Latin and the Greek without back to Sanskrit, and Mr. Eugene Burnoufwill have been among us the first to inaugurate a teaching that we lack andthat sooner or later heit will be necessary to reconstruct as he had conceived it. I will do more than mention

the price won in 1831 by Mr. Eugene Burnouf onthe transcription of Asian scriptures in Latin letters. This award founded by Volneyno longer exists; and the subject, a little too narrow, has been expanded for the make more useful to the science. The papers of Mr. Eugene Burnoufne that contain desnotes enoughmany on this work; but the original writing must be in the archivesof the Institute, which was crowned. Such was therefore the scientific situation of M. Eugène Burnouf in the firstpart of his career. Already known by the Essay on Pali, and I add by Noticesinteresting on the French India secretary beyond Company Asiatiquede Paris in 1829, after having been one of its founders, a very authorized teacher, though innovative, at School normal member of the Institute to the site of Champollion the young profes-Sister of Sanskrit at the College de France at theplace of M. de Chézy, member of the Journal of Scholars in the place of Mr. Saint-Martin, it promised to national scholarshipnal the work the newer and more distinguished, when, in 1833, the publication of his Commentary on the Yacna (1) came to realize and even surpass all the expectations. This is the first of his greatmonuments; let's stop for a fewmoments. We know that the Yaçna is one of the religious books of the Parses, or sectarians of thereligion of Zoroaster, which still remain today in some districts of the(1) Yaçna is the word that Zend M. Eugene Burnouf has felt obliged to recover. Izescliné, that we found in the Zend-Avesta of Anquetil-Duperron, is the pehlvie transcription, which he had trans-put the Parses of Guzarate, Page 18

XIVNOTICES ON THE WORK OF M. EUGÈNE BURNOUF. Persia and in India, where they are scattered and few. The Yaçna, as the etymologyeven indicates it, is the book of the liturgy, the book of prayers pronounced at the time of sacrifice. It is part of a collection that the Parses call Vendidad-Sadé, and whichincludes, in addition to the Yaçna, the Vendidad proper and the Vispered, or collection of invocations. The Vendidad-Sadé itself is a portion of a very few largeof books that bore the name of Zoroaster and that the Parsees look like thebasis of their law; it is a simple fragment of the twentieth section or nacka of these books, which in had in all twenty and one. If to these three pieces of Vendidad-Sadé one in seal some other much pluscourts, that the Parsees preservedunder the name of leschts and of Néaeschs, and which are hymns to master geniusesworld, we will have all the rare remains of the great religion that reigned inPersian in the time of Cyrus. That's where all this as the time in a left to subsist; but thetime has also abolished knowledge of the original language in which these booksprecious have been composed, even for the nation to just close off that their requestalways his religious inspirations. In d723, an Englishman, Georges Bourchier, had brought from Surat to Oxford acopy Zend's Vendidad-Sadé and was deposited at the library of the University; but this text without translation was not heard by anyone, and it was a curiosityratherstill just a document. Later, a Scotsman named Frazer had done everythingon purpose the tripfrom Surat to complete Celticfirst acquisition; but the priests of the Parsees, the mobeds, not wanted neither him communicate the manuscripts, norhim learn the Zend and the Pahlavi, who only had the account. In 1754, a fewleaves copied from the Oxford manuscript accidentally fell under the eyes of An-quetil-Duperron, and he [it takes no more to ignite the soul heroic. With no other resources than his courage, he immediately leaves for a journeyof three thousandleagues, among peoples which heonly knows neither the language nor the customs; and after ten-seven years of research, fatigues of work, itpublishes the Zend-Avesta, that is to saythe translation of all that

remains of the books of Zoroaster, and he submits to our greatlibrary thetextsoriginals anddocuments of any kind thathad been ablegathering, delivering and to control the world learned all the results and all theprocesses of a gigantic work, "which might seem unlikely, ashas thesaid Mr. Burnouf, if hewould have been crowned by the success. "Unfortunately the Anquetil-Duperron's knowledge did not equal his great heart; and the translation hegave the Zend Avesta was not him. His modesty and his sincerity, moreover, do notdid not attribute it to themselves. Hethe had to mobeds of Guzerat, the priests parses withwho hehad lived a long time. But these priests themselves no longer understood theoriginal language of Zend-Avesta; theydid not understand even that very imperfectlyment the translation Pehlevi, which, in the time very remote, had canonically the place of the old idiom Zend, which has become unintelligible. Much more, hewas certain that the Parsees of Guzerat which had sent Anquetil Duperron did to him givethan a suspicious tradition. Their ancestors hunted from Persia by the conquestmuslim

Page 19

NOTICE ON THE WORK OF M. EUGENE BURNOUF.XVaiane and settled in India after about two hundred years of races and emigrations, had lost towards the fourteenth century AD the copy of Vendidad-Sadé that the exiles had brought from their homeland. He had taken only this time a destourappointed Ardeschir, came all express the Sistan, they should give a copy accompanied by the translation Pehlevi. In the many times shorter, earlythe eighteenth 'century, a new Destour of Kirman, Djamasp, had had to come in the Guza-spleen to teach again the Zend and Pahlavi to Parsees and correct even thefaulty copies that they had of holy books. Thus the translation of Anguetil Duperron, arrived in French to throughthree or four languages, was only an uncertain tradition, and, to all appearances, strongaltered. She could perhaps give a fairly true idea of the meaning of Vendidad-Sadé; but she hardly gave any lighton the Zende language in whichhewas written. It is this language, we can say, that Mr. Eugène Burnouf has resuscitated. First, with the help of a Sanskrit translation of the Yacna made at the end of the fifteenth century bythe mobed Nériosengh, on the text pehlvi, it could correct the translation which had been collected by the Parsees of Guzerat and that reproduced Anquetil. But, good thingotherwise difficult and considerable, itexplainedall the words Zend in their formsgrammatical, in their roots, in their true meaning; and it was revived, withall the evidence that peutexiger the philology the most scrupulous, an idiomwhonot lived all the more that the state sacred language and religious from the time to Darius, sonof Hystasp. How could he have donethis miraculous evocation that no one before him had dared to try? There we had itself out her secret in thepreface of Yacna; but these secrets will be only the use of those who can the find out for themselves. The translation of Anguetil it gave the general meaning of the text, like that of Nériosengh, who, despite the barbaric Sanskrit in which it is written, had the advantage of going back three centuries earlier. In addition, this secondtranslation gave one who could hear it, and the need to correct a crowdof words with the root is closer to that of words zendscorrespondants, or thateven sometimes there was the same. It is this fact fortunately understood and pushed to its final limits quences that Mr. Eugene Burnouf has pulled all the materials of his building; it's herekey to its discovery. To help with this perpetual confrontation of Sanskrit and thezend, hewas able to establish this great result unknown to him that the Zende language, any name besides that it gives (1) is contemporary with

the primitive dialectdesVédas, and that without coming to the Sanskrit nor have product, the Zend, lessdevelopedthan him,drew to a source common, as it were drawn, although that in the(1) In several passages of the foreword to the Yaçna, pages vu, xv and xvi, M. EugèneBurnouf emits a doubt about the authenticity of the word Zend, for which Anquetil Duperron, after theParses du Guzarate, designates the language in which the books of Zoroaster are written. He thinksthat the Zend word is simply the name of the books and no not one of the idiom. In the invocation,or rather in the small preface that Nériosengh has put in mind of his translation, hedeclares that he

# Page 20

XVINOTICE ON THE WORK OF M, EUGÈNE BURNOUF.proportions unequal, all theidioms of the Sanscrit family, the Sanskrit him-Similarly, the Greek, the Latin, thegermain, etc.But it was not enough only to understandvaguely take the text as Anguetil and the Parsees the included ;hehad todetermine the shape andthe grammatical value of each word in particular andreconstruct the proposition. At this first job, hehad to add a second morethorny again :it was, by stripping each word of its formative endings andsuffixes of the reducing its radical and a time master of this radical, in specifying themeaning, in the asking is the Sanskrit roots, which it gave in the most of cases, either the Greek, the Latin, the Germanic languages, etc., depending on the needs of each special case .The almost all of Zend radicals havehad to give in to this analysis, which we can call incomparable, which theysefound in the Sanskrit Vedic exclusively, or simply in theliststhe roots denoted by the grammarians, although without use, or in all thesanscritical family, or enfmin the Persian modern. A very small number of radicals have resisted; but Mr. EugeneBurnouf has taken for the defeat aprocess more difficult that none of those that I have to remember. Through the lawsof the permutation of letters he had con-found between a large number of Zends and Sanskrit words, itwas able to bring back these radi-Caux rélractaires the forms known in which they are present in otheridioms. So that the language Zend has been reconstructed by him from scratch. Cornwhat science, what works, what method do not imply feats of strengthof this kind in philology !what infallible sagacity, what imperturbable memory, what invincible perseverance !To achieve this amazing result,Mr. Eugè eBurnouf had created personal instruments of which only those know well.all the difficulty and all the power that have been initiated into these secret labors. From 1829, hehad been autographed to his costs (I) and published thetext of the Vendidad-Sadé, in a folio volume; then hehad been built indexes comprising severalfolio volumes of all the words of Vendidad-Sadé, leschts andNéaeschs, fromhas made its Sanskrit translation of Yacna on a book Pehlevi; and here are the words which heis used :idam idjisnidjamdapoustakampahalavîdjamdât (\*).M. Eugene Burnouf, while regaining in the motsDjamda and Djamdat the transcript dévanagarie the word Zend, gives to this \(^\) word that the meaning of the book " it has in several authors" oriental; "and he did it not grant the special meaning that it assigns Anguelil-Duperron. It is proposed to discuss this important as a special essay (see the score of the page xviforeword of Yaçna) that devaitêtre ready right this time, 1833, if you in court by themanner in which he announced; this dissertation has not appeared. We understand, moreover, that this disagreement between Mr. Eugene Burnouf and Anquetil Duperron, although he carries on a spot verycurious, do not squint at the bottom of things. We do know not least the books of Zoroaster, which that is the name of the language in

which they are composed.(1) The first nine deliveries have appeared to charge personal M. Burnouf; the tenth and latter, which has appeared as .Many more later, in 1843 was published the expenses of Mr. Dumont, of the library of the Institute. (\*) This volume, called the book Idjisni (Izeschné, yaçno), "eti translated from the book Pahalavl (Pehlvi). Page 21

NOTICE ON LES WORK OF M. EUGENE BURNOUF.XVIIMinokered, dialogue in pazend between the spiritdivine and Zoroaster, dttSirouzéj orPraise geniuses who chair the days of the month, of all the variants of various manuscripts from Vendidad-Sadé, etc.In a nutshell, ithad made for his useFirst, and most late for that of the public ^a dictionaryzend according to allthe fragments that we have stayed in this dead language for almost of twenty-fivecenturies. Besides the Comment on the Yaçna not going to beyond the first chapter orHà; and Yaçna in contains to him only seventy-two, without counting the Vendidadand the Vispered. Mr. Eugene Burnouf is proposed to explain by the same methodthe entire Vendidad-Sadé, and ithas given to 4840 to 1850 to ^ Journal Asia, a large number of articles which continue the Commentary on the Yaçna and which hegathered in a volume in-80 under the liter of Studies on thelanguage and on the textszends. He has left, in addition, a mass considerable notes that could provide the subject matter of several volumes as interesting and as extensive as this one. Since 1833 the translation of Vispered était'à little near completion, as foretoldthe foreword of the Yaçna (page xxxv). But if the Commentary on the Yaçna, and even the materials left by M. Eu-Burnouf gene are far awayto explain all the zends books, these help are enoughhowever so that it is possible today to continue and complete the workbegin. The method is given; an implementation quipeut serve to model haswas made with a full success; and it is a road now that we can take withsecurity. Heis true that it would be necessary for thebrowse therare faculties which distinguishguaient that which was open; but his successors will have less of the trouble of invention; and there is little risk of getting lost in the regions, even thelessexplored, when wethere Aete preceded by a guide as brave and as safe. Thethe form in which the author presented the Yacna to the learned world has sometimes been criticized, and I would say not that it is attractive; but it was the only one that could be really demonstrative and really useful. YesMr. Eugène Burnouf wasconfined toremake Anquetil-Duperron, had he been a thousand times right, his corrections were alwaysdays remained doubtful and questionable. Heno need to remember that it is in somesort of a Zend dictionary he had to make; and although the religious and philosophical sensesophical the books of Zoroaster is the final aim of all these investigations, Mr. Eu-Burnouf gene, to the point where hethe taking, had mainly deal of sense philolo-geology of this unknown idiom; hewe have given the interpretation with a certaintyunshakeable; and thanks to him, all the inductions that history and the philosophywill be able to shoot of these venerable monuments now rest on a basescientist. The work of Mr. Eugene Burnouf on books Zend was a consequence very curious and almost immediate (1834): is that the Parses of Guzarate, inspired by of his example, had one of their copies of Vendidad-Sadé autographed, ashe had been autographedone of those that had reported Anguetil Duperron, and a Page 22

XVIIINOTICE ON THE WORK OF M. EUGENE BURNOUF.copy of Vendidad-Sadé offered by the Parses to Mr. Eugène Burnouf appears innext to hers on the shelves of her library. We can add, something more curiousyet, that ina controversial religious that the

Parsis of Bombay have supported in these last times against missionaries Protestants, it has served to shareand the other, in citing the books of Zoroaster, of the interpretation that was given the Commentary on the Yaçna. It was the science of the young French philologist who madeauthority for the worshipers of Ormuzd (1). This exact knowledge of zend, grafted onto the deep knowledge of Sanskrit, allowed Mr. Eugène Burnouf to do make some progress unexpected in a studywho was then very little advanced, and who then walked with immense steps: it's herthe inscriptions cuneiform. We knew, at this time a definite number inscriptions of this kind, copied more or less exactly by travelers. Corneille Lebrun (1750), Niebuhr (1772), Schulz, W. and Gore Ouseley, Horier, KerPorter, Witsen, etc. These inscriptions, which were found at hundreds ofleagues from each other in the ruins of Persepolis, on the rocks of Alvande, ancient Oronte, near Hamadan, on the walls of the castle of Vân, near Ecbatane, to Tarkou, were engraved with the most great care and according to some uniform rulesforms which announced official monuments . Some were reproducing faithfully one another, and everything was to believe that they were devoted to Rap-peel some of the factsthe most important in the history of ancient Persia. But in what language were they written? and as several were in threelanguages, as well as the three attested systems different in character, what werethe three languages which the Great Kings had thought it necessary to use to speak to their subjects and to the posterity? But, before knowing in what language were written thesemonuments it had to read them. Mr. Grotefend, occupied with these questions from the beginning of the century, had been able to decipher thenames of Darius, Xerxes and Hystaspe; morelater, M. Saint-Martin, and more exactly M. Rask, had read that of Achémenes, writes Agâmnôsôh. M. E. Burnouf came to confirm and extend all this information.events; heread and translated the two entire inscriptions found near Hamadan, one of Darius, the other by Xerxes; and hedemonstrated that the language of these two inscriptions, written in the cuneiform system called Persepolitan, is not the zend of the books of Zoroaster; it only belongs to the same strain; she is closer to it than to Sanskrit, andwe can the watch in some respects, like the beginning of modern Persian. By then, the existence of Zend itselfis was dated a manner quite rough, and hewas noted that, from the fifth century before our era, the Zend was no longer a language we hear andthat they spoke vulgarly in Persia. That it is important to note, in a study that gave as this nais-(1) I must indicate this fact, ifhonorable for the work of M. Burnouf and for the eru-French edition, to the obliging communication of my learned friend and colleague M. Jules Mobl.

#### Page 23

NOTICE ON THE WORK OF M. EUGENE BURNOUF.XIXciency to questions of priority, is that from Tannée 1833 to more later, Mr. Eu-Burnouf gene was in possession of allthese results, and that he summarized them in aNote that one can read on the page 16of his Commentary on the Yaçna: Invocation. Ishould add that the work of Mr. Eugene Burnouf on the inscriptions cuneiformPersepolitan, have not received any attack from the many and admirable researchthat have been made since then. After the great discoveries of Mr. Botta, in theruins of Nineveh, Mr. Eugène Burnouf, ifhappy already in thedecryption of Persepolitan writing, tried thesame effort on the other two systemscuneiform, called Medica and Assyrian. But in spite of all his wisdom and ofvarious tests that I found in his papers, he could not succeed in piercing thismystery, which, without doubt, will remain not always impenetrable, and that he may haveto

bebeen given to reveal ifthedeath would not have stopped him so soon. But he is satisfiedhad such difficulty himself that he did not want to publish these studies, which, the rest were not especially the own, despite all the ability that there could to bring. The two last monuments which it we still talking are purely sanswritings. One, which is the most extensive, if it is not the most important and the oldest, it is the Bhâgavata-Pourâna, which is part of the magnificent Oriental Collection that publishes the Imprimerie Nationale. It already forms three folio volumes; and when hewould havewas finished, it in would have included six very -probably. The last would have been consecrated the Notes and the clarification. The three volumes that have appeared do not extendthe addition of the ninth book, and they contain only the text and the translation Frenchwith introductions. We know that that is what the Puranas in literature Sanskrit. In the number ofthirty-six, of which eighteen principal, the Purânas are semi-religious legends, semi-poetic and philosophical. They all go back to the origin of things and deal within general the following topics, which in are like the subject matter obligée and almostcanonical: thecreation, the destruction of worlds, the genealogy, thereign of Manous and the history of families. Sometimes these five "characters, "which constitute theOrdinary Purâna, are increased to ten for the great Purâna. The Pourânas, verywidespread encoreaujourd'hui in India are the lecturehabituelle populationspoorly educated. First composed in Sanskrit, they have been translated into all the dia-vulgar readings of the peninsula; they replace, for the lower classes of the Company India, les Védas, including the reading them is prohibited. But the Brahmins, whohave reserved the privilegethe holy books, have not delivered at random Education religious of castes placed beneath them, and they have succeeded in the lead asthey the want the average of these huge and singuhères compositions, which are sufficient to Indian imaginations, while in the astray. The Purânas have served the spirit of sect, as we can the assumed; and according to the times, according to the fortunes and beliefsdominant, they have taken everything into remaining within the prescribed limits, colorsvarious that heis easy to recognize. They were also writtenat times

Page 24

XXNOTICE ON THE WORK OF M. EUGENE BURNOUF.very different; and well as the background common to them is taxed and they retain is still very old, someof them are recent. The Bhâgavata-Pou-râna, in particular, passes for the last of all, and itonly goes back not to the past ofthirteenth century of our era; it is attributed with a lot of probability augrammai-nothing Vopadéva, known by several other famous works and among others by the grammar titled Mougdhabodha. In the minds Europeans, the reading of the Bhagavata Purana, is too tediousthat the thoughtin is confusing. The translation of M. Eugène Burnouf, admirable offidelity and of clarity, has been able to erase the defects of the original; I would almost say that shethe factstill stand out more. Hewill be however that our tastewas too deeply offended by these faults; it 's not for us that the book has beendone; andlike the Bhâgavata-Pourâna, who came the latest in date, summed up insome sort all these epics cosmogony of India, hewas maybe still the more interesting for us. But why Mr. Eugene Burnouf he has chosen aPurana to the do enter into the great Oriental Collection ?Why did henot preferred monuments of quite another interest and of quite another importancein the httérature Indian? the Vedas, for example, the Mahâbhârata, the Râmâyana? This is an issue that I have often heard do, and that I myself would be made to myselfeven if my relationship with Mr. Eugene Burnouf not had me

from long learnedhis response. At the time when he undertook the publication of the Bâghavata-Pourâna, Fr. Rosenwas going to publish the Vedas; M. Schlegel gave the Râmâyana, which M. l'Abbé Gorrezio, one of the most distinguished pupils of M. Burnouf, has published in a superior mannerwith an Italian translation; Mr. Bopp announced thetranslation of the Mahâbhârata.Mr. Eugene Burnouf, by a scruple which honors him, did not wish to intrude on what thatseemed to him the domain of others; and this is how hewas brought to undergo the Bhâgavata-Pourâna. The choice is perhaps all the more regrettable that, if I except the work if remarkable of Father Gorrezio, the other companies announced or have notappeared, or have appeared only partially; the place that M. Eugène Burnouf did not wantPoint deal has not been filled by others, as the feared his delicacy. I add that the regrets must still increase when we see the prodigious laborthat the author has given to these three volumes. The text,unprecedented in France, was coUa-tioned on numerous manuscripts and on the editions Indian with a care that, for so to say, has let slip no mistake. The translation, very difficult to reason of the oddity of ideas and of the diversity almost infinite of style, is in the shelter of any criticism; and as heit was possible for me to see personally at what priceMr. Eugene Burnouf got both of correcting and accuracy, I can say, in lais- "health of side the background even in the book, that the scruples of philologist and of the man of taste does pour ront pas be pushed further away. For example, M. Eugène Burnouf hadtaken the trouble to chant and to verify a to a the eighteen miles to toroughly that fill these three volumes. I saw all this metric denoted by

## Page 25

NOTICE ON THE WORK OF M. EUGENE BURNOUF.XXÏhis hand with the remarks that he provided the few irregularities that Vopa-déva is permitted; I also saw all the variants prepared for each one of nine books, and a long working very curious about the names own enclosed inthe Bhâgavata, which had to be translated, in order to better understand its strength and scope. The Bhagavata Purana, remains unfinished, although that quelquestravaux aremade in part for the following books. Which hands will be able to finish this monument? I can ask just as much, and with much more sadness still, for the In-troduction to the history of Indian Buddhism . But let's see what belongs in its own rightto Mr. Eugène Burnouf in this great revelation of the authentic origins of areligion that has more than three cents million of followers, from the Nepal untilCeylon, and from the Kashmir until the China. Thanks to work happy and considerable of MM. Abel Rémusat, Turnour, Schmidt, Csoma de Kôrôs, Ed. Fou-waters, we can knowin part the history and tenets of Buddhism. But the Chinese, the Sinhalese, the Mongols ' and the Tibetans had not done that for translatorstions; and however precious they were, they could not take the place of the originals. This is an English, Mr. Brian Houghton Hodgson, quieut the glory of the find in the Buddhist monasteries in Nepal, country of northern India where all the Asian traditions carried forward the cradle of the religion of Buddha. For twenty-five years of living and research, Mr. Hodgson, resident of Kathmandu, is procuredall canonical books; and with a liberality that can not be praised too much, heincommunicated the copies to Asian Companies of Calcutta, to London, to Paris.He himself published on the Buddhism the information the more extensive and morenew, drawnof these materials until the nunknown. The company Asian of Paris had eighty-eight Buddhist works donated or procured byMr. Hodgson ;they were all in Sanskrit, and they concealed the entire treasure of a reli-huge gion .But hehad read them

and us the explanation. This was the task to which devoted himselfMr. Eugène Burnouf; it is was prepared from a long time; and by a coincidence enoughRemarkably, the Buddhism, he studied at the beginning of Bill's career, when hepublishedin 1826, the Essay on Pali, is also the last subject that his soft hand will have touched.rante. Hewould be much unnecessary analysis here of new Introduction to the history ofIndian Buddhism; this analysis was made in the Journal des Savants by M. Biot(specifications of April 1845), and it will again not what Mr. Biot has a once treated. All themerits of this great book have been brought to light, and full justice has been served; I therefore do not insist, and I am content to refer to the judgment of our illustriouscolleague. Introduction to historyof Indian Buddhism had to include a secondvolume where Mr. Eugene Burnouf is proposaited about the collection of legends Buddhist Pali what hehad made on the collection of Nepali Sanskrit. It is also proposed in this other partof his work to discuss with the full extentnecessary the question of the date of Buddhism. Headopted the Singaporean system

Page 26

XXIINOTICE ON THE WORK OF M. EUGENE BURNOUF. which places the death of the Buddha 547 years before the Christian era. Despite researchendless, this topic has the point been completed by Mr. Eugene Burriouf; andthis secondseat is lacking in its edifice. Hewas finishing, when hehas been struck, the impression of avolume which, without replacing the one expected, will complete, at least to a few respect, the one we have: it is the translation of one of the main Buddhist sutras .dhiques, Saddharma Poundarika, that is to say the Lotus of the good law. Started that's more than fifteen years, Celtic translation was delayed from day to day by the de-developments that were taking these étudesadmirables under the pen of Mr. Eugene Bur-nouf. The preface to the Lotus of the Good Law was now little to little Introduction tohistory dii Buddhism Indian, which we did as the half ;and somenotes that he added without cease this sutra form, and we can soonis in convince, the volumes and the whole treated. But heit will be time to occupy of this supreme work of our colleague, when it has been published; theworldscientist will not have long to wait for it. As for the work on the collection singha-laise, hedoes he will never be given; and despite all the usefulnessthat I agree to the translation of the Lotus, I admit that I would have preferred of much analysis and the cry-tick of books Buddhist of Ceylon. Superfluous regrets! hewe must be satisfied withdebris andruins which I the fear, will notmore raised. What he in any case, the Introduction to the history of Buddhism Indianall misunderstoodplete that she is, not be not less founded the true study of Buddhism.It is now in following the footsteps of Mr. Eugene Burnouf and in s' Addressing theoriginal Sanskrit we can to continue. Thanks to him we already know whenwas born this religion at the same time so powerful and soabsurd, how she got-oped in the breast of Brahmanism intrying to the reform, what are its dogmasessential, the main phases through which it passed at its beginnings; and, likethe said Mr. Eugene Burnouf himself, hewe have doneknow the Buddhism Indianuntil the time when hegoes down in history. It was Ik the point of capital for us. The history of Buddhism is oursor will be known to us by the annals of the various rents peoples who have successively received it. That which we interested above all, it was to know that that was the Buddhism itself; because history, incaring the events outside, could we leave ignore the background of doctrines from wherethese events had come out. This exact knowledge of Buddhist dogmas hasalready produced a very serious consequence :it has dispelled all these

assumptions insen-Sees that established between the religious Christian and Buddhism of relationsimaginary. It is now proven that the Buddhism is earlier than six or sevencenturies at least to Christianity; but heis no less proven than the christia-ism is by its doctrines more far still the reUgion Buddhist she does east of it chronologically. As it is too often used in these assumptions in opposing camps, heisgood for them to refute a time of addition, any insoute-nables that they are, andto indicate to the friends of the truththedocuments wherethey will the find in its simplicity and in its full light. In the background, the boud-

# Page 27

NOTICE ON THE WORK OF M. EUGENE BURNOUF.XXIIIdhisme does nothing other than worship and the fanaticism of nothingness; is the destruction of the personality human continued into its expectations the most legitimate. I ask if heis in the world something more contrary to Christian dogma, heir to all ancient civilization, that this aherration and thismonstrosity. A further consequence not less serious, although a whole other character to the work of M, Eugène Burnouf, is to have introduced into the history of Indiaachronological element. Now the Brahmanism is dated, since the Buddhismis now a way certain. For those who know whatdarkness andwhat uncertainty threwon theentire Indian studies the absolute lack of chronology, this is an invaluable service rendered to these studies. It was nice to ay that the testimony of the companions of Alexander, four centuries before the eraChristian, we showed from the time the company Indian so we the find in all themonuments of its Literature; it was beautiful to say that thetestimony of so many neighboring peoples, in agreement with this one, postponed the organizationtion of the company to antiquity more remote, it does still not less doubtsandfor clouds on this fundamental point. It felt good that all then gations of a poorly enlightened and not very benevolent criticism were so many errors; but hewasnot the refutation of a so conclusive. Now this great factiscleared up; and like the split of the rehgion brahmanic is prior at leastfrom six centuries to our era, itit follows that the origins and developments of this religion dig really well in the time where the tradition of the placed and wherethe eye of history does peutplus the discerning. So far, I have read the published works of M. Eugène Burnouf; forcompletion of theto doknow it I need to talk about those he leaves, and of which severaleral perhaps can also see the day. I will be excused from doing somesort the inventory. I divide his manuscripts into five classes, according to whether they belong NENT to various studies and the languages in which ittook care of the zend, the registrationstions cuneiform, in Sanskrit, in Pau, Buddhism, etc., etc., without speaking aboutthose which I have already made mention more high. First class of manuscripts, Zende language: 1 » Index containing all the Zend words of Vendidad-Sadé. Paris, 1833. It is alarge folio volume of thousand pages at little closer, with a supplement that hasnot less than a hundred. The words zends are transcribed there in Latin letters and clas-SSS in the order that Mr. Eugene Burnouf has given to the alphabet Zend, and that is Rap-very close to the order of the Sanskrit alphabet. This index responds to the volume of Yendidad-Sadé that M. Burnouf has made lithographed, 1829-1843, manuscriptsAnquetil, suppl. n » 1; and, in addition, itserves as a reference table for the volume of variants of Vendidad-Sadé contained in the following Index.2 "Index containing the variants of Vendidad-Sadé, collated on the manuscriptsfrom Paris, from Oxford and from London, and on the edition from Parses from Bombay; alarge in-foho volume, the same format as the previous one, of 571 pages.III

## Page 28

XXIVNOTICE ON THE WORK OF M. EUGENE BURNOUF.3 » Index containing all the words both zends and pazends in the volume of leschts and of the Néaeschs, mss. Anquetil, supplement No. 3. Paris, 1835, 686 pages, from the same format than the previous two .¥ Index containing all the words of Minokered and those of Schekend Goumani, works written in pazend, mss. Anquetil, supp. n " x and n" xviii; Paris, 4838, from 231 folio pages, same format. These four index form, as is thesees, a zend dictionary; and in the state very advanced where the has left Mr. Eugene Burnouf, they could be printed, to the great majority of these difficultand recent studies, which have both emergency needsand instruments. It is good to add that in addition to the Indexes, itthere is, in the manuscripts of M. EugèneBurnouf lot of texts Zend transcribed and coUationnés after thedocumentsof Anquetil-Duperron and those of Manakdjî-Cursetdjî. I can cite, among others, the Sirouzé in its entirety, with tables of words composed on the same plane as themajor Indexes, and the Minokered, transcribed from the copy of the National Library .In addition, in the copy of Vendidad Sadé- lithography which is used as the author, itto find a crowd of Notes of his hand and of translations of words to the page 90, about 562 of which the book around is made. Mr. Eugène Burnouf had also preparedseveral memoirs that he intended to Journal Asia or to the Academy of inscriptiontions. Among these materials, I distinguish a work in little near completion on the languageZend considered in its relations with the Sanskrit and ancient languages of Europe : it would have been, in a way, the summary of the commentary on the Yendidad-Sadé. I'm theresee also a complete article on the ninth chapter of the Yaçna, and this article wasto follow with many more to Studies on the Zend language. Second class of manuscripts, cuneiform inscriptions: 1 " A mass substantial of notes of transcripts of clarifications of allgenre and deciphering essays on the inscriptions of Nineveh. The efforts thatmade Mr. Eugène Burnouf to solve this problem yet impenetrable not havenot satisfied, and hehas not published anything, and as I have said; but I have no doubt that, in the attempts even failed in this mind as sagacious as powerful, it is should find the valuable insight. Studied by skilful and far-sighted eyes, theycould facilitate other workmore happy and hasten perhaps the discoverythat the learned world is still waiting for .2 " A draft of letter to Mr. Botta on the registrations from Khorsabad.3 " Three more or less completed letters to M. de Saulcy on the same subject. In these letters, Mr. Eugène Burnouf wanted to expose the results he already hadobtained and that he judged theless questionable. I would say not that they might bepublished since the author did was not wanted and thatnot the hasnot completed; cornthey could be usefully consulted, and would certainly give the threadwho mustlead in the middle of the notes they summarize. Third class of manuscripts, Sanskrit language: Page 29

NOTICE ON THE WORK OF M. EUGENE BURNOUF.XXVI » An index of Pânini, containing the axioms of this grammarian, arranged byalphabetical order, with reference to the editionfrom Calcutta,andwith indication of thepartof the grammar of Bhattodjî which is located cityeach of these axioms. ThisIndex, which Mr. Eugène Burnouf had started i) resqueat the same time as hisSanskrit studies, and which is completely completed, would be of great helpfor all those involved in the grammar of Sanskrit. The axioms of Pânini, atnumber of 3,996, are as obscure as they are concise,and it was nice to have to cross thelanguage in which they are written, itbe in doing a study toutespéciale

tounderstand the formulas almost symbolic. The work of Mr. Eugène Burnouf inwould greatly aid intelligence. It could thepublish as it is ;hebe understoodlaying of 687 pages in- ^ ".20 A transcription in Latin letters of the Brahma Veivartta Pourâna. She's spreadinguntil cloka54 of Book IX, and it is accompanied by a translation in Latin ceilingcée at the bottom of the pages. This work is from 1827.3 » A transcription and translation of Narasinha's first three books, made on the same plan and in the same year.4 " A memory of 30 pages to just close on some Indian medals Trouvillevées in Delhi.5" A memoir on some points of the ancient civil law of the Hindus.6 " Some notes on the digestible Hindus. We do should not be surprised that the class of manuscripts relating to the language undocumented written does not contain pasplus of documents. Mr. Eugene Burnouf has consecrated fortwenty years all his work on the Sanskrit ason during the College of France and thestudents that there are trained. It's his word that has put in work and employed as of ma-terials fertile; this is how hein remains so little in his papers. Fourth class of manuscripts, Pali language :io A grammar pale, almost all done, and where it is not incomplete as the part which concerns the verbs, and that suggests a note of the hand of the author.2 » A literal translation of Sandhikappa or theory of Sandhi in the grammayor pale. This translation had been done on a manuscript of the collection per-sonnelle of Mr. Eugène Burnouf; it is completed, andit contains 240 in-40 pages .3 »Abhidanappadipika, or explanation of words, Pali dictionary in verse, transcribedin Latin letters and translated. This work, of 90 pages, accompanied by notes, goes backto 1826.4 » The Mahavansa, transcribed in Latin letters, and almost entirely translated intoLatin, 273 pages in-40, from 1826 like the previous one. Mr. Eugène Burnouf would have pra-bably published later this document if important for the history of Ceylon, yesMr Turnour not had pubhé and translated before it.5 » Buridatta djataka, oii history of the existence of the BoudhaSakyamouni under the figure of the Buridatta naga, copied from themanuscript of the Asiatic Society of London, Pali text and gloss in Burmese, translated with explanation and foreword; 520 in-40 pages \* Page 30

XXVISUU NOTICE THE WORK OF M. EUGENE BURNOUF.60 Nemi djataka, or history of the birth of the Buddha in the face of NemiPali and Burmese, translated with explanation and foreword; 416 in-40 pages .7 » Suvanna Sama djataka, or History of the birth of the Buddha under the figureofSuvanna Sama, Pali and Burmese, translated with explanation and foreword; 449 pages in-4".8 " Considerable fragments Mahadjarma djataka, Paliand Burmese, translated of same. The Djatakas, or Stories of the prior births of the Buddha, hold ahigh up in the beliefs of Buddhists of Ceylon and in India transgangétick. These legends, more or lessdeveloped, are the number of 550, among leswhat hethere in a top ten. These were the ten legends that Mr. Eugène Burnoufhad proposed to translate in full, in order to prepare thematerials of his secondvolume of the Introduction to the History of Indian Buddhism .9® Kudda Sikkadîpani,or the Torch of the small inscription, Pali and Burmese, translated; 320 pages in-40.10 ° Patimokka nissaya, translation Burma of Patimokka Pali ("u Rules of sa-read for the rehgieux, Pali and Burmese, translated; 611 pages in-40.11 » We can link to the studies on the Pali of the Recherches sur la géographie an-cienne of Ceylon, in relation to the history of this Isle. Mr. Eugène Burnoufhas been run as thefirst part of this task, in a thesis of 50pages in-fo about the ancient names of the island of Ceylon; hehas read, I think, toward 1836, at the Académie des inscriptions et belles-lettres. Healso left a lot of tra-vail on the geographical

names that are found in the Mahavansa.12 <> I join again to this class of manuscripts Studies on the language Burmeseand numerous notes intended for a Siamese grammar .Fifth class desmanuscrits, Buddhism of Nepal :1 "Eight notebooks, some of which of 100 pages and more, comprising the translationstions from Buddhist legends of Nepal, takenprobably manuscriptsgiven to the Asiatic Society or acquired for it by Mr. Hodgson.2 » The beginning of the translation of Lalitavistara, one of the mostmost famous of thelife of Sakyamouni.Mr. Ed. Foucaux has given since at allthe Lahtavistara, translated into French with the Tibetan text.3 » An untitled Buddhist legend, translated from Sanskrit, and forming 430 pagesin-4o.4 " The very numerous documents of the additions and the corrections to the Notesand the appendages of the Lotus of the Good Law. Among the materials of this kind of which M. Burnouf has been able to make use of fear to grow disproportionately the volumebut who are all prepared, I can distinguish a very long Examination of the language of theLotus and a Comparison of Sanskrit and Pali texts. The drafting of these two mor-ceaux is to just about complete.5 » Finally Tibetan extracts of various extent which should serve to clarifyseveral passages from the Lotus of the Good Law.

### Page 31

TONOTICE ON THE WORK OF M. EUGENE BURNOUF.XXVIWe feel that in this enumeration, all long it is, i do n't havenot allnoted. I do myself amstopped only to the most important pieces; but i haveheld in this that the world scholar might learn just the time and what that leaves Mr. Eugene Bur-nouf, and the conscientious labors by which heprepared all his works beforehe does them submitted to the judgment of the public. To conclude what concerns the services rendered by him to studies on the Orient, hemust remember that appointed inspector of the typography East in 1838, to theplace of M. Sylvestreof Sacy, it has monitored the etching and the melting of several bodyof characters new, the Pahlavi, the Magadha, the Tibetan, the Bougui, the Javanese, the telinga, the ninivite cuneiform, the phoenician, etc. Already, from 1832 to 1833, hehaddirected the etching of the zend, the Tamil, the pale, the Burma and the guzarati. In 1847, hehas done a leaflet strong interest on the foreign kinds of specimen the Imprinational mery. This notice, which does not bear his name, is placed in the lead of thisspecimen. Here, I must say that, despite everything that M. Burnouf has done for the studiesIndian in particular, hewould have done much more, if,in 1838, hewould have beennamed, as it expected the public learned, the functions of conservative from oriental manuscripts in our large Library. Presented on the front line bythe assembly of conservatives, heseemed that nothing would had to oppose to a vow sowell justified; but the place created since the Convention, and which is indispensable, wasremoved, perhaps by following considerations all personal and really deplorable. I have heard often M. Burnouf speak to this issue of re-grets as righteous as they are disinterested. Hedid not think of himwhen heblamed herdeletion of this place; heonly thought of the studies that were costed to him, and which take on so much importance and development every day. Before him, Abel Ré-musat had been able to increase and complete the collection of Chinese manuscripts; Mr. SylvestreSacy in had doneas much for thefundsSemitic. Sanskrit studies, wellmore fruitful and very recent, demanding, for more strong reason to care and one like protection. It does was not allowed to M. Eugene Burnouf of the give to the great detriment of the science and of the public interest. If I call this circum-painful tance of his career, it does not, we the think well, to raise of vain recriminations, it is not

even to try to prevent suchunfairtices; it is so that we restore themore sooner we can this site who lackto our large Library and which causes themost annoying gap. All this that I wish is that the minister who will have the right mindof therestore meetingfor the filling a candidate as worthy.\*Hegoes almost without saying that these admirable works had opened to M. EugèneBurnouf the doors of almost all the academies and learned societies of Europe;I did mention that the main :hewascorrespondent of the Royal Academy ofsciences of Turin, doctor of the Christine-Albertine Academy of Denmark, memberof the Royal AcademyScience of Bavaria, the Academyroyal of Prussia, Page 32

vsXXVIIINOTICE ON THE WORK OF M. EUGENE RURNOUF.Imperial Academies of Sciences of Vienna and St. Petersburg, of the AcademyRoyal of Sciences of Lisbon, the Royal Society of Sciences of Gottingen, etc.He was part of all the sociétésasiatiques Europe, Asia and America, and of several other learned societies, which had insisted on attaching him. Member of the Institut de France from 1832, as I said, it has been appointed perpetual secretary of the Academy of inscriptions and belles-lettresa few days before his death. Thiselection, made in the almost unanimously have been as the crown of his life sci-tific, crown deposited on a grave. Hewas an officer of the Legion- of -Honorsince 1845. In March 4852, hehadaccepted the placesenior inspector of studies for letters. A part too little known of the career of Mr. Eugène Burnouf, and that he is good to bring to light, it is his professorship. At least his school classnormal, although it is very little lasted, have left the tracks and in the notebooks of students and in the manuscripts kids teachers; but what will remain of the coursethe college deFrance, continued with both of zeal and of regularity for twenty an-born immediately? Some students, I theknow, some of whom areare already donea namefamous by applying the lessons of their master, and indelible memories in the memory of all who followed him. But heimportant that the public also knowwhat was this teaching so deep and sovaried. We can see through the books of Mr. Eugène Burnouf, and especially by his Commentary on the Yaçna and its Electedof on the Zende language, what an abundance of views, what exact knowledge of smallest details, what penetrating sagacity, and what prudence of method dis-tingled his mind, moreover admirably fair and well made. All these qualities were found in his lessons, with the life that the word, the gesture and the accent of teacher communicate more to all that hesaid. The usual texts of histeaching were the book of Manou, the Mahâbhârata, the Ramàyana, the Karikâ ofSankhya, and especially the Vedas. The language of the Vedas wasthe particular purpose of hisstudies themore diligent and expensive. Shedeserves all the efforthethere is consecrated, first of all because it is excessively difficult, and for more because sheis in a way the embryo from which came the classical Sanskrit of the great epochs.SOEP, the monuments philosophical dramas, poésieslégères, etc.Thislanguage had moreover for him this special attraction that it comes very close to thezend and that she gave him the key to a host of insurmountable difficulties without her. Also each of the words of the Veda, or to speak better of the Rigveda, which we explain quions usual \* on the edition of F. Rosen, unfortunately unfinished, was it ofhis hand the opportunity of remarks the most curious and the most helpful. 11had studied bottom, for us the give, the Indian commentators, yeseducated, so thoroughin everything that is related to the holy book. Often hejoined to the interpretation oftext that of the comment, and itwas followed on to the Veda of the gloss of Sankarâtcharya, as heKoullaka Bhatta gave to the

result of the text of Manou. Occasionallyhe invited the students themoreadvanced toto takethespeech in its place; and it 's formed Page 33

NOTICE ON THE WORK OF M. EUGENE RURNOUF.XXIXthus under its direction, and by an anticipated practice, to the functions of the sign-ment, at the same time as he forced them to wearin their own studies more than clarity by the necessity of the pass to the other. It was criticized more than once to M. Eugene Burnouf to make a too during high; we would have liked more elementary lessons from him, just as we asked himalso a grammar of the Sanskrit language, which he possessed so wonderfully. Hedid was never made to these wishes, while legitimate they might seem, well thatthere isoften thought about it, and hehas certainly maintained its course in the regions thehigher. I think he has been right. In the state which is found the studies undocumentedwritten when heentered the college of France, elementary books were not lackingmore. The beginners could easily find the help that they were ne-assignees; year after year, this assistance multiplied and became more and moreaccessible. M. Eugène Burnouf, without disdaining the care of these preliminary works, believedbetter serve the Science in the letting toaytres of hands them his. Ido not deny that a Sanskrit grammarfrom himwould not have been very useful to us; but he would have been much regret that we are costing the less work it has been accomplish, without actually completing them. The excellent grammars we possé-donations may be enough for us ;andhimone was by far of us reveal thezend and of us open the birthplace of Buddhism. The science must therefore absolve him; from Lessons like the own of the hymns of the Veda were plusprécieuses and morerare that lessons on the declension and conjugation Sanskrit. We know now to just close what was Mr. Eugene Burnouf as teacher, as a scholar, as a philologist. There I left to finish this leaflet to markprecisely the trait that distinguishes his talent of any other, and that in remain theindelible characterwith the postérité. Je not speak not to the extent of itslabors of his perseverance that nothing will could put off, for his sagacity which divinedall of its ease of work, the immensity of his memory of the sharpness and of therightness of his mind, of the variety of his knowledge. It is there, no doubtqualities of the highest price and which he possessed to a high degreerare; but wellother than himthe have had and to have done as a worthy purpose; which is onlyhim, that is his method with employment higher that in knew how. I have somealready saida few words at the beginning of this article; i'm theremust insist in finishing. One way any general, the method has plusde secrets for the good es-taken since Bacon and Descartes, and especially since the applications so happy and sostriking that the science in have learned in the last century. Observation has itsessential lawsheis no longer allowed to disregard and that we never infringethat with the certainty of getting lost; person in the science can today 'signore. li there was therefore point to properly speak of discoveries possible in fact tomethod. But that which is always possible, that is to extend the method from longknown and practiced in subjects new, and for there to make do with the science of constant and assured progress. Such is the glory of those who are called inventors; Page 34

XXXNOTICE ON THE WORK OF M. EUGENE BURNOUF. Such has been the glory of M. Eugene Burnouf. But what is the really new subjecthe has won in the science in the subjecting to the rigor infallible of the method? We do lets not heredeceive by appearance: this again, this is notthepali, nor even the zend; it is notorwritingcuneiform,

northeBuddhism. It issomething more general and of more new that all these languages and that all these studies, somenew that they are for us; it is comparative grammar, that is to saythisscience, because now it is one, which studies all species the language of human for the ranking, for the distinguished, for the light the oneby the other, and who gets the results as positive, as some none ofsciences which are parent with moreor less of right the beautiful name of scienceaccurate. In the last century, the grammar comparative was not born ;we don't knowknows not enough languages for observation should bear on a number sufficient of facts. So the methods we tried were arbitrary, and the results were they insignificant when they were not ridiculous. But when at the beginning of our century theCulture of Sanskrit came open field while in fact unexplored in thephilology, theamazing resemblances of this antique and scientist idiom with the languages that we are the most familiar burst at all the eyes, and grammarcomparative could be founded. His domain is immense, since he does not understandUnless the circle of all thelanguages that speak now the men, orthat they spoke. The Sanskritas fruitful as he is, bornstill fillsthat onepart of this area, the most interesting if one wants and the most beautiful. It's to thatthere more especially that Mr. Eugène Burnouf had devoted his watches, and we have seenwith what success. The Commentary on the Yaçna without mention of his other works, attest to itself that that the grammar comparison has been done between his hands. Certainly I would not want to belittle the admirable monuments of philologyproduced by Germany, our rival in these studies, which now constitute aelement necessary to the history of the genre human; but I don't think I am exaggerating anythingby putting M.; Eugène Burnouf above his competitors, who are moreover ready toher grant themselves the superiority. It is that all the benefits it held in the Nature, he in joined one another, much larger as :it was to be born in a people wherethe clarity is the first requirement of any implement intellectual, as it isofnational language. With equal faculties, the French spirit will always prevail on this side; and Idoes not hesitate to note the decisive influence that this circumstance exercised on the genius of M. Eugène Burnouf. It was not there a deception of patriotism, is a single justice; and we have enough of defects for that we be allowed of claim our indisputable qualities. But if the national spirit has a lotgiven toMr. Eugene Burnouf, I am anxious to say that M. Burnouf do him ano less rendered. Without having made up of books special on the grammar comparison rative, it in has demonstrated the certainty and the power of the applications infalliblehein was made; andthese applications are of such an order that they have restored to

Page 35

NOTICE ON THE WORK OF M. EUGÈNE BIRNOUF.XXXIannals of history human some of its pages the most curious, remaineduntil him closed and indecipherable. These are thereof services that contributeat theglory of individuals, no doubt, but who do not contribute less to the glory ofnations, and that the nations, on pain of ingratitude and ignorance, must honorof their noblest rewards. Like M. Villemainl'adit (a word that comes out of asuch and such a mouth is a praise as fair as it is great), "Mr. Eugène Burnouf, that we" Envied Europe, was a genius philologist ".For my part, I will be happyif this article has been able to show how such a praise, in its conciseness, is superb anddeserved.BARTHELEMY SAINT-HILAIRE.

Page 36

Page 37

## A THE MEMORYOFMr. JEAN-LOUIS BURNOUFMY FATHERTRIBUTEOF RECOGNITION AND REGRET

Page 38

Page 39

1 ^WARNING. The object and plan of the researchto which this volume is devoted are exposed to enough of details in the first of Memories that he contains, for that more detailed explanations are heresuperfluous. However, I owe the reader a few explanations concerning the collective titleunderwhich I gathered these Memoirs. Thebelief Towhich it has given the name of Buddhism after theof its founder is a completely Indian fact. It is in India that she Totook birth; it's in this country that it has developed and has bloomed for over twelve centuries. However from the nor ^ century before J. C.the Buddhism had begun to be spread out of India, and in the xiv " ofour era itin was almost completely banned. Transported, at timesvarious, among the Singhalese and the Barmans in the south, among the Chinese and the Japanese to the east, with the Tibetans and Mongolsto the north, he threw deeproots in thesenations, the mostvery different from the people in the breast whichhewas born; but all in acting in a manner very sensitive about their statussocial, it could sometimes inexperienceitself the influence.

XXXVIWARNING.A history of Buddhism, to be complete, should therefore, afterhaving explained the origin of this religion, and exposed them vicis situdes of its existence in India, the monitor out of his native land, and the studyat thepeoples whohave itsuccessively collected. I do n't know if heiscurrently possible for a single man to embrace this inunense subject, but i havehardly need to say that I did not have the pretension of theto do. I myself amespecially attached to Indian Buddhism; and one time my topic so limited, I have limited my desires to compose a Introduction which opened thelead to more extensive research and moredeep. This observation will justify me, in the eyes of the reader, to have madeaas sober use of materials as scientists offirst orderhaveexcerptsof booksforeigners to India, with the intention to explain thereligious dogmasandphilosophicalof Buddhism in general. Heisalthough far from my mind to ignore the greatness and the merits of theseattempts; and we will see, in the during these Memoirs, with whateagerness Ideclares me indebted clarification themore bright to ingenious anddeepresearch of an Abel Rémusatand a Schmidt.But we will also recognize that Idid notmadecallktheir testimony that when heseemed to me to agree with that of the booksindianswho make thebase of myMemories, or whenwas able, by hisdivei-gence even, throw some day on a point, obscure or controversial. This that i want to sayhere, is that being able to consult, thanks to the libérahtéby M.Hodgson, a considerable collection of Buddhist books writtenin Sanskrit, I havebelieved that my first duty was to analyze these books, and to exti'aire this which could be useful for the knowledge of Buddhism ofIndia.In oneword, as I had, to study this Indian religion, of materialsIndians, heseemed to me that Ishould not resort to foreign sources in the case of absolute necessity. This partyTohad to myeyes a further advantage; heexempted me from posting in front of thepublic theclaim of speaking of things which I could not doastudy special.

Page 41

WARNING.xxxviiThisfirst volume drives the readerup to the point where theBuddhismwill go down in history. I do n't havesonot neededto expose thechronological system applicable to the factswho reported the birthand thedevelopments of this religion;

the exhibition of this system a following the plan of my work, its place marked after analysisofthe sacred collection of the Singhalese. However like all my researchled me to thisresults, that between the two opinions dominant touching the date of Çâkyamuni, the onethe Chinese or the Buddhiststhe North, which theplaceat xi®centurybefore ourera, and that of Singhaleseor of the Buddhists of the South, which thecome to life, theresingletrue is the opinion of the Singhalese, I admit frankly that it is ofthis point of viewthat have been considered the facts which I have had to speak in the herevolume. It iselsewherethat iintends to demonstrate theinconsistencies of this system foreign to India, which give to the founder of Buddhism four centuries of antiquity of more than him in recognitionborn the Sinhala, including the Indian records, preserved with acare and a regularity remarkable, since the iv "centuryabout beforeour era, we offer the only information the original and authenticthat we had so faron the origin and historyof Buddhism. I thought I owed this statement to the readers who would be surprised to do not meet moreofspecific dates in acritical workliteraryandphilosophical. I dontcouldeven exempt me from theto do, without authorizing by my silence the already too widespread opinion, andthat we strive to spread more every day, that it is impossible to find in Indianothingto reallyhistorical. Yesa scholarillustrious was able to explain, and untila certain pointexcuse indifference from the public French to against Indian studies in is based oncelticopinion, iwill be perhaps excusable tomy turn to take some reservations against the assertions which tend, without unbeknownst to doubt of their authors, to "propagate and to justifythis indifference. It includes in addition whatpatterns I havehad to tie the mostgrand prix in the Memoirs of MM \* Hodgson and Turnour, which are written Page 42

XXXVIIIWARNING.to using materials Indians :toothere have -I fully tapped. I do n't havehowever not made a too frequent use of the dissertations of Mr. Tumorthat of those of Mr. Hodgson, because as I am concerned yet, in this first volume, that of Northern Buddhism .When i arriveToanalysisof bookspalis] ofCeylon, we will seewhatdiscoveries and what work we owe to the zeal of M. Turnour; he will even have to recognizeto be bornthat if hehas given to Europe less demanuscritsoriginals ithe has donereadmost of accurate translations. So hewill be mepossible to make an equal justice to the efforts of these two men prominent, who enlightened the origin and thetenets of Buddhism Indian of moreof lights than all thosewho until thenas hadundertaken the study, without marking with aprecision sufficient thelimits and thefield oftheir research. Finally, I dare to count on the indulgence of the reader for an attempt to onews in such a difficult subject .I will havewanted to bringas much to know that I therehaveput in good faith, but i havetoo much oftenmet the obstacles that it was impossible for meto conquer. I have beloved all my care at the Correction of texts and the terms Oriental that I havecited; it is however at this pointespecially since Idreads the severity of the critical. The impression of this volume asummer completed in the middle concerns the more painful.Struck by theunexpected blowwho, by removing our family a respected leader, Toifcruellytroubled thehappiness that she himhad to, I didcouldtear me away only byoflong efforts to discouragement whichhad reached me. Hehas required that thememoryalwayshereof myfatherreminded me hof workthat he encouraged. Those whohave itknown only me ask notoftell them the reasons that I have of the cry, because theyknow all this whichhewascapable forthose he loved; and theywill understand

easilythat I havelooked like themore imperiousduties the obligation toput this book under the protection of that dear and revered name .Paris, this November 40, 18ù4. Page 43

#### INTRODUCTIONTO HISTORYTHE Buddhism INDIANFIRST

MEMORYPRELIMINARY OBSERVATIONS The research which are devoted these memoirs have been companies inwith the help of a large collection of Sanskrit books that the Asian Society of Paris received, towards the end of the year 183.7, from Mr. Brian Houghlon Hodgson, English resident at the court of Nepal. Fixed by the duties of his spot in centera country where blooms still on Buddhism, Mr. Hodgson was, as of the year 1821thethought of enjoying of hisstay at Kathmanduto study this doctrinereligious and philosophicalso if little known; and although his hobbies were almost entirely employed byof work historynaturalhavemade his name famous, heknew even trouverassez of time to gathermore of document originals on the Buddhism that did had collected JUSthat then, either in Asia or in Europe. Mr. Hodgson got in touch with aBuddhist educated from Patan, and hein obtained the information very curious aboutthedogmas fundamentals of the religionofNepal, as well as directionsprecise on the existence of Buddhist books written in Sanskrit, to which weredrew on the details that heheld the Religiousby Patan(1). Heno stone unturned to see these books; heinacquired, not without difficulty, a definite number, as did(1) Hodgson, Quotations from orig. Sanscr. author., in / oum. Asian girl. Soc. of Beng., t. Y, p.29.1

Page 44

2INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY copy others; and after several years of effort and of research, it is lived in possession from a considerable collection of treatises Buddhist Sanskrit, whose existence was not even suspected before him, at the exception may be of the only Lalila vistara, a species of life of Çâkyamuni Buddha, of which W. Jones andColebrooke had either seen orowned a copy. Hodgson will soon not to communicate to REUR /) pe learned the results of his discoveries. Asian research of Calcutta, the Transactions of the Asiatic Society Delondres, the newspaper that published Celtic society, and one thatled James Prinsep, secretary of the Society ofBengal, were successivelytively of Mr. Hodgson of Communicationsmorehigh interest. From 1828the Research Asiaof Calcutta published an essay of these before, filled notions tout in fact new about the language, the literature and the religionBuddhists of Nepal and the Bhot or Tibet; and this first test contained already apresentation of the various philosophical schools of Buddhism from this country, who was neither surpassed, nor even equaled since (1). At the same Mr. Hodgson timeput at the disposal of the Society of Calcutta three Buddhist treatises writtenin Sanskrit, which Mr. Wilson publishedin this same volume of Research, translated and accompanied by a commentary (2). This first Memoir revealed, among other discoveries important, this fact capital and unknown until then, helarge collections of Hvres existed in the monasteries of Nepalcomposed in Sanskrit, that is to say in the language of countrywhere the Buddhism was not several centuries before our era, and hence the Brahmins had it sincelong expelled. Mr. Hodgson publishedafirst listof these books, withthe classification that give the Nepalese, and heare joinedaanalysis and theextracts from those of these works which deal with philosophical opinionsfromBuddhists, and make known the various schools that the divide. In 1829 Mr. Hodgson introduced to the committee of the Asian Society of Londona test on the Buddhism, prepared according to theworks hehad found at Nepal (3). In transmitting to the

Company that memory by meansofdoctor Nathaniel Wallich, the author made, for the first time, know indetail and the plan hehad followed from his arrival at Nepal, to be providing the information correct on the religion called Buddha, and the success that hadcrowned his efforts. He should read the letter to Mr. N. Wallich, which precedes the test(1) Hodgson, the ^ oticei of the languages, literature and religion of the Bauddhas of Nepal and Bhot, in Asiat. Res., T.XVI, p. 409 sqq.(2) Wilson, Notice of three tracts received from Nepal, in Asiatic Researches, t.XVI, p. -450.(3) Sketch of Buddhism derived from the Bauddha scriptures of Nipal, in Transact. of the Roy. Asian girl. Society, t. II, p. 222 sqq.

Page 45

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .3 of which I speak, to appreciate the selfless zeal which animated Mr. Hodgson, and theperseverance hehad put inpursue the subject of his research. I call her backhere, less because of the honor than shemadeto its authorthat because shemarks the starting point of his work, and that she notes the care he tookto be put to even to check on the texts sacred the information thatheld the Buddhist of Patan-In effftt, while he summed up in a formspecifies the answers that the Buddhist gave his questions, hewas runa list of the bucidhiques books, writtenin Sanskrit, which we knew inNepal, andhegot copies of those books to which hehe wascan have access, in the design of thesend to Calcutta and in London. He wanted by that to give tolearned bodies dealing with the history of Asiathe means of control, to extend and complete, by studying the textsoriginal, the results he had gathered in his conversations with the Buddhist of Patan. A circumstance happy it was used in the composition of the list of écri-Buddhist tures from Nepal, which he sought to draw up. He learned that thecopyistsor the ownersby Hyresreligious used to add to the fmof their copies of the kinds of lists of sacred works which wereknown. The discovery of these listsput in a state to compile the catalogof a veritable Buddhist library, which does not contain less than twoone hundred and eighteen articles, of whichseveral are of considerable extent, thusthat we have itbeen able to verify since. This catalog, much more significant andmorecomplete that one he had sent to the Society of Bengal, was printed, in the Transactions of those of London, in Devanâgaris characters (1). I don't speakno other communications which were enriched the Transactions of the CompanyAsian in the UK, andthat theother collections scientist scited above, and I am eager to get to the result that, for Hodgson, hadalways been one of objects the most important of his research, i would say the donation he intended to make to the Asian Society in London, as hehad done for some time to that of Calcutta(2), from the collection of manuscripts Buddhist discovered by him . At the beginning of the year 4830 hewas sentin londonseven volumes bhoteas manuscripts(Tibetan), as the means without further description, thehste of the donations made to the Asian Society, which is inserted at the end of the third(1)Transact. of ihe Roy. Asiat. Soc, t.II, p. 224 sqq.(2) From 1824 to 1839, Mr. Hodgson was sent to the Asiatic Society of Calcutta near fiftyvolumes in Sanskrit, and four times as many in Tibetan. {European Specul onBuddliism, in / owrw.ofthe Asiat. Soc. of Bengal, t. III, p. 885, note.) Page 46

4INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYvolume of his Memoirs (1).Regardless of time after, in 1835, publishing in the Journal of this Society a series of texts taken from Sanskrit booksofNepal, in order to justify by from authentic evidence hisTest on the Buddhism, Mr. Hodgson announced that the collection of Sanskrit books he had assembledin Nepal

consisted of about sixty large volumes, and itaddedat this indicationtion these generous words :vsI 'll be happy to provide thecopies of works of which this collection is composed for learned bodies who will wish"the possession (2).>Towards the end of that same year, Mr. Hodgson was sentin londontwenty sixvolumes containing the great compilation entitled Pradjhâparamitâ, in a hundred thousand articles, which was part offrom the collection previously announced (3), and he promised to address successively to the Asian Society of the United Kingdom, not only the nine books that pass for cano-nics atNepal,but still all he had been able to collect from Sanskrit booksrelating to Buddhism(4). In fact, one year had tosentence sincethis promise, that the Society would receive a second series of sixty-six volumesSanskrit, all relating to thereligion and to the philosophy of the Buddhists of Nepal (5). But it was not enough for Mr. Hodgson for giving to a companyEnglish which hewas a member of this evidencemany of his liberality; he wantedAgaincall on the Asian Society of Paris to share the fruits of its discoveriesgreen, and hemade himdonation in 1837 oftwenty-four Sanskrit works among whichseveral are of considerable extent (6). This present was followed of a shipment much more valuable still: heis composed of sixty-fourmanuscriptscontaining a little prèstout it that had been somewhat of time the CompanyAsian from London (7). Mr. Hodgson had made copying these manuscripts for theaccount of the Asian Society of Paris, which from 1836 had hastened to accept the offer that was this scientist, to transmit toliterary bodies who would likethe owning copies of manuscripts thathad discovered. So, thanks to this Double act of liberality and of zeal, the Asiatic Society of Paris, towhich one of Bengal had just sent, a year earlier, the large collection of Buddhist books translated into Tibetan, known under the name of Kah-gyur (Bkah-hgyur), had the most big partyof Sanskrit texts, which of confession(1)Transact., Etc., t.III, Appendix, p. xlij.(2) Journ. of the Roy. Asian girl. Soc, t.II, p. 288, note 2.(3) Ibid., T.III, p. iij.(4) Ibid., T.III, p. vij and viij.(5) Ibid., P. Ixxiij.(6) Journ. of the Asiat Society. de Paris, iif series, t.III, p. 31G.(7) Journ. Asian, me series, t. III, p. 557, and t. IV, p. 91.

Page 47

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .5the Buddhists of Bhot, like those of Nepal, pass to be the originals onwhichhave been executed the translations Tibetan. The Asian Society doesneglected nothing to show to Mr. Hodgson allhis gratitude; but hewas evident that one of the surest ways of expressing it to him, it was to answer in a scientific wayTothe call he had thought possiblemake him. Mr. Hodgson had certainly not sent two collections to Paris of this expanse so that they can sleep peacefully on therays of alibrary. Hewanted to continue in Europe the research he hadstarted himself with so much of success in Asia; and it would have been wrong to recognize the efforts he had made to himself procure these manuscripts, and the generosity withwhich hein availablein favor of the France, thatto donot tryofcarrythe light on some of the books they contained. I felt, formy part, as a member of the Asian Society of Paris, everythingthat there washonorable and of pressing in the appeal of Mr. Hodgson, and I resolved right then of them respond as hewas in me. These are thecircumstances that havegave rise to the research that is the subject of of the Memoirs contained in this volume. We will see, I hope soat least that this research has its placemarked in the context of studies relating to IndiaAncientthat I traced, ityhas some time in the fm of my introduction to the Bhagavata Purana. When, for the first time, Mr. Hodgson made his findings known , heintroduced the world learned theworks which were the fruit, like thetexts original post which had been executed the

translations of bookswho are authoritativeamong the most nationsfrom Asia converted toBuddhism (l).x No voice was raised against this assertion that so many testimonies were to besoon to confirm. In fact, little of time after that Mr. Hodgson had published itslist of Sanskrit books fromNepal, Csoma de Gôrôs, that studies continuedwith heroic devotion had made master of the Tibetan language, insertedin thenewspaper of the Asian Society ofBengal, and particularly in the Becherches of this learned company, exact and detailed analyzes of the great Tibetan library of Kah-gyur, which, as indicated by its title ofTranslation of Precepts, is composed of versions made on works sanswritings that are found almost all in the collection of Mr.Hodgson (2).It is(1) Hodgson, Quotations in proof of his Sketch of Buddhism, in / owni. of the Roy. Asian girl. Soc,t. II, p. 288; and in Journ. of the Asiat. Soc. of Bengal, l.V, p. 29.(2) Abslract of the contents of the Diil-va, etc.,in Journ. of the Asiat. Soc. of Bengal, t.I,p. isqq. Analysis of the Kah-gyur, ibid., P. 375. Analysis of the Dul-va, in Asiat. Res., T.XX,p. 41 ff. Analysis of the Sher-chin, etc., ibid., T. XX, p. 392.

Page 48

6INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYas well as the part of Kah-gyur entitled Cher-tchin (Cher-phyin), which deals with, intwenty and a volume, the metaphysics of Buddhism, is enclosed allwhole, minus the last volumes perhaps, in the various editions of the Prajñāpāramitā in Sanskrit, discovered by Hodgson (1). Ill to be saidas many of a good number of volumes belonging to the Kah-gyur section named Mdo-sde, and responding to the great division of Buddhist scriptures from Nepal known as Sûtrânta ^ or simply Sûtra. Throughexample, the second volume of the Tibetan section is the translation of Lalita vistara, that is to say of areligious exhibition of the life of Çàkyamuni (2). A portion offifthvolume contains the version of the Sanskrit philosophical treatise entitled Langkâva-tara (3) work which, to the say inincidentally, is also the China(4).theseventh volume gives the translation of Saddharmapundarîka, or the Lotuswhite of the good law, of which I will soon publish a French translation (5). This same volume contains, among other treatises, a translation of the Karanda vyûha, including the Sanskrit text is also in the collection of Mr. Hodgson (6). thetwenty-ninth volume gives a version of Tibetan very literal, so that Ihave checked myself, a collection of legends called avadāna çlaka, which II'll deal with it elsewhere in more detail, and which I already have translated two books(7). Ibornspeaks pasd'un number considerable of pieces that are foundscattered, is in the section called Mdo, and of which the Bivya avadâna by M. Hodgson gives the Sanskrit originals, either in the Did-va section(Hdul-va-gji). Brings them closer-things that I have just indicated are sufficient to provehow much is worthy oftrust thetestimony of the Nepalese, when they claim that their texts Sanskrit are theoriginal Tibetan versions. These quotes give inat the same time a great likelihood of this opinion of Mr. Hodgson, that heis, in the collection of Tibet, almostno treaty that we should not keephopeto find adate the original Sanskrit (8). If Mr. Hodgson spoke(1) Csomade Coros, Anal, of the Sher-chin, in Asiat.Res., T.XX, p. 393 segg., Compared withHodgson, Sketch of Buddh., In Transad. of the Roy. Asian girl. Soc, t.II, p. 224 sqq.(2) Csoma, ibid., P. 416 ff., Compared with Hodgson, Sketch, elc, p. 224.(3) Csoma, ibid., p.432, compared with Hodgson, Sketch, etc., p. 224. We will see more lowthat the real title of this work is Saddharma Langkâvatûra.(4) A. Remusat research on the languages Tartar, t.I,p. 206. Email Asiat., 1. 1, p. • ISl. Observ.out of three Mem. by M. de Guignes, in the Noiiv. Journ. Asian., T.VII, p. 295.(5) Csoma, Analijs., Etc., ibid., P. 436 seqq., Compared with Hodgson, Sketch,

etc., p. 224.(6) Csoma, ibid., P. 437, compared with Hodgson, Sketch, etc., p. 225.(7) Csoma, ibid., P. 481, compared with Hodgson, Sketch, etc., p. 224. '(8) Letter to the secret. Asian girl. Soc, in Journ. Of the Roy. Asian girl. Soc. of London, t. III, p. viij.Quotations from orig. Sanscr. author. inproof, etc., in Journ. of the Asiat. Soc. of Bengal, t. Y,p. 29, note f.

Page 49

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .7 and before that Csoma of Kőrös had published his excellenteanalyse of Kah-gyur, this assertion does not can more be the subject of a doubt from that this analysiswe have given, with the title of Tibetan treaties contained in this vast collection, that of the Sanskrit originals of which these treatises will be that the translation. What I come from say the books Tibetanalso applies to books Mongol, so at least it is to me permits the recognition, for those oftreatedreligious including I can check the titles. The beautiful collection prints and Tibetan and Mongolian manuscripts including M.Schilling de Ganstadt thread present, in i837, at the Institut de France, contains the Mongolian translation of sometreatedSanskrit fromNepal.I will cite, among others, the Pradjhâ pramitâ, intwenty-five thousand stanzas, of which the Mongolian version forms two strong volumes(I); the Suvarna prabhâsa, including the release Mongol is cited by Mr. Schmidl underthe title of Altangerel (2); the Vadjra tchhêdika, which we must to Mr. Schmidt a Translation made on the Tibetan(3), and two collections of small treatises or formulasof a lesserimportance (4). If I don't quoteno other books, is that Ido n't have anyamost large number at my disposal; but I do not claim inno way limited to these indications necessarily incomplete list the works that the Mongols had to translate from Sanskrit, or at least from Tibetain. It is to Mr. Schmidt, quia extract of books Mongols of so precious REN-teachings on the Buddhism of Central Asia, which it is up to determine the extent of borrowing that the Mongols have made to the literature BuddhistofNorth of India. This task would be all the less difficult for this skilful orienteer.taliste, that from the year 1830 heaffirmedthat among the two hundred and eighteenbooks Buddhist which Mr. Hodgson gave the listthe mosthad beentranslated into Mongolian, and that almost all of them were in his or herwere well known by their Sanskrit title(5). I ownless information yet on the relationship of the literature Buddhist of the China with that of Nepal, because that books of BuddhislesChinese have not yet been analyzedin detail like those of the Tibetans, andas the titlesof those who know not can be easily made alueform original without the double knowledge of the Chinese and the Sanskrit. But this(1) Catal. man. from the Schilling collection, our go and 81.(2) J.-J. Schmidt, Mongolian. Gramm., P. 142. Geschichte der Ost-Mongol., P.307. Catal. man. of the collect. Schilling, No. 83.(3) Catal. man. of the coll. Schilling, No. 86. Schmidt, Mém. of Acad. of the sciences of Saint-Petersburg, t. IV, p. 126 sqq.(4) Catal. man. of the coll. Schilling, nos Si, 85.(5) Ueber einige Grundlehren des Buddhism, in the Memoirs of the Academy of Sciences of St. Petersburg, t.I, p. 92, 93.

#### Page 50

8IINTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthat in can discover, withoutdirect access to sources, shows that China, as in Tartary, many of the books deemed sacred by the Ruddhistswill be that of the translations of treaties Sanskrit of Nepal. So hethere is alreadylong timethan Mr. Abel Remusatnoted existence of atranslation Chinese language of Langkûvalâra, one of the list works by M. Hodgson who belongs to the Royal Ribliolhèque (1). My learned

colleague Mr. Stan. Julien has well wanted me to know a Chinese translation of Saddharmapundarîka ^that the same library has (2). M. Landresse quotes, in his notes on the Foe koue ki, a new treaty religious known as Title of The splendor of the brilliance of Var, who is undoubtedly only the Suvarna prabhasa of Nepal or the Mongols (3). Hestill needrecall here a book that the Chinese refer to asthe title of Grand Agama, and whois certainly nothing else than the Dîrgh-ageama, of which hewill be discussed below, of the same as the book theyname" Agama increased by "is the Sanskrit book, orrather the named collectionÊkôUara ageama (4).I do not visitto believe, that if hewould have been possible for meofcompare with the titles of lists of Hodgson the names of books ChineseBuddhists frequently cited by various authors, I would havefound a goodnumber of titles Sanskrit hidden in the translation or in the transcriptsmore or less altered from the Chinese.(1) Research on the languages dried up., T. I,p. 206, and the quotations made above, QS, p.6,note 4,compared with Hodgson, in Transact. of the Boy. Asian girl. Soc, t.If,p. 224, and Csoma, in Asian girl. Bes., T. XX, p. 432.(2) Mr. Stan. Julien has been so kind to me communicate with this subject, a rating that willwithout doubt much of fun to readthat I haveto the quote: "The information that this notecontains are borrowed from the preface of Miao fa lien hoa king (the sacred book of the excellent law), preface written under the dynasty of Thang (between 618 and 904), speaks Samanéen Tao siouen. The booksacredness of the Lotus of the law good has been made in the country of Ta Ma (Bactria?) itthere is a thousand years. It will have about three cents years he was brought to East in the Tchintan (of China). During the reign of Hoeï ti, in the first year of the Thai Kang period of the Western Tsin(in AD 280), a sage bearing the title of Tun hoang pou ssa tchou hou fa tche (that is to say the Bôdhisattva de Tun hoang, the defender of the law of India), who resided (in China) in Tchang'an, translated this work for the first time, under thetitle of Tching fa hoa (the Flower of the rightlaw). Under the Eastern Tsid, in the Long'an period of the reign of Emperor 'An ti(between 397and 402), Kieou ma lochi (Kumàra ...), Samanean of the kingdom of Kieou tse, translated this workfor the second time, and titled it: Miao fa lien hoa (the Lotus of excellent law). Under the dynastyof Souï, in the Jin cheou period of Emperor Wen ti (between 601 and 605), Tau na ki to, Samanite from northern India, attached to the hatchery of Ta hing chen sse (theconvent where wemade bloom the virtue), translated this book to thethird time, and called him Miao fa (the Lawexcellent). This is the second traduction chinoise performed between 397 and 402, by virtue of unordreImperial, which is located at the Royal Library of Paris; it forms seven oblong notebooks. » II will come back to this interesting note in the preface to The Lotus of the Good Law .(3) Landresse, Foe koue ki, p. 322,(4) A. Rémusat, Essay on the cosmogr. andthe cosmogon. buddh., in the Journal des Savants, year 1831, p. 604, 605 and 726, and several times in the notes of Foe koue ki. Page 51

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM ., 9The study 'followed by the works Buddhist quifont authorityamong the Mongolsand among the Chinese will addcertainly latera very large number offacts to those that I do not quote herethat in passing, and heit is very probable that we refound in the monasteries of the Tartary and from the China, if not the totality, ofminus themost large partof this that the Tibetans have. But somelimited that may be, as now, the previous instructions, they are sufficient place the collection of Sanskrit books of Nepal to the point of view underwhoMr. Hodgson wanted herwasconsidered by learned Europe . Yes,it is a fact shown up clearly that the majority of books considered

sacredby the Buddhists of Tibet, of the Tartary and from the China, will be that thetranslations of textsSanskrit recently discovered inNepal, andthis factorly positively marks the place of these texts throughout the document that the nations of the Asia cited everything to time give to the story General of Buddhism. Hewe thempresent like the originals of which these documents do notare that the copies, and itreturns to India and to its language the study of religionand (f aquia philosophyhad India for its birthplace. YesI insist on this fact, because it gives to Buddhist studies their trueand moresolid basis, I do not want in any way to dispute the importance that in this study thebooksTibetans,Mongolian andChinese. Besides that theconbirth of these threelast classes of books is absolutely essential for the general history of a system which, hosted since of times already former home of the peoples of origin and of various civilization has had to undergo thechanges that matter to the historian philosopher recognize and to see,I acquired the personal conviction that, for the same who wants to stick to the study of Indian Buddhism, the translations of the booksSanskrit from Nepaldonein Tibet, like those of the Pali books of Ceylon made in the Barma, have an indisputable utility. I do recall not, to enhance the value of these translations, that they have been executed when the Buddhism was stillflourishing, and by the men who had studied the Sanskrit and the Paliwith thecaredemanded themission of which theywereloaded. I will not indicate the various circumstances that ensure the superiority of versions done once in Asia on those we can givetoday in Europe of Sanskrit texts from the North, although it must not cost tophilologists, familiar with their studies with the Sanskrit language, to recognize this superiority, distant, astheyare togs, the rescue of natives, from which men learned havefaithfully preserved the deposit of traditional interpretation. I will not speaknot more of the difficulties that presents the explication of some terms philosophical, joined to the inconceivable incorrectnessthe manuscripts, which for Page 52

iOINTRODUCTION TO HISTORYalmostall the textessanscrits of Nepal are unique. But I will say that inthemselves, and by that alone that they are translations, the Tibetan versions, Chinese Mongolians and bartenders must, in many cases, happily serveto the intelligence of the Sanskrit originals orpale that they reproduce. The difference seuledes idioms in whichthese versions are written provides the European reader with unexpected means of interpretation, which hehimwould usually be very difficult to discover by studying the original text in isolation .And to do cite one example, the engineering moreor less metaphorical of thelanguage in which is expressed the translator, heeither Chinese, Tibetan or Mongolian, has had the force to take a decisive advantage on certain termspurely Indian as the bottom and about in the form, for which his tonguemother not her offered as equivalent incompleteor do it by offering notat all. Now, as hewe had to translate, we must believe that the interpreters have sacrificed the form to the senses, and they have made all their efforts afm to make one same at the expense of the other. Now if any of these purely Indian expressions is present in a Sanskrit text of Nepal; if no dictionary, if at (aphilological analogy will help to to make suspect the senses, not will do you no expect to find in the Chinese, Tibetan or Mongolian version of this text, the way toto interpret it? The case of a dark deep are the remains fairly rare, and I canensure that therehas nothing, in all theliteratureSanskrit, to also easy to hear that the texts of Nepal, except a few words which the Ruddhists have made a very special application; I will give no other proof, that the considerable number of these textshewas to mepossible read in

afairly limited time . However, italso need inagree, assuming these booksalso difficulthat theare few, thereisbe that theforeign interpreterswere pretty well served by their love of accuracy to discover and touse a phrase also obscuredans their own language that is one of theSanskrit text. The release then we will be even less useful,that she will be morefaithful, all of even its importance in the eyes of unlecteurEuropean will growusually due to thefreedom with which thetranslator will havetreatythe original. Maison does not need to exaggerate the difficulty of the texts to enhancethe value of the versions made by the Tibetans, the Chinese and the Mongols; these versions will havealwaysin themselves an indisputable value asmeans to interpret the texts even the least difficult. After these observations, which I only need to indicate briefly, I will be morefree to argue that, in my opinion, the real sources to which we owedraw the knowledge of Indian Ruddhism , the original sources and the mostPure are the Sanskrit texts of Nepal, and as I him say more later, the Page 53

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .11pale books of Ceylon. YesI had to agree that the Chinese, Tibetan versions and Mongols could, in a plusor smaller number of cases, enlightensome light study the original, it will also give me that, in a much larger number of cases, these versions must remainalso obscure tophilologists Europeans who care for Chinese to Tibetanand of Mongolian as the texts written in Sanskrit it is for those who have done of this language a special study. I dareeven say that if heexists somedifference between these two classes of scholars, all the terms of knowledge and oftalent being recognized as equal, this difference should be to the advantage of those who have the faculty to read in the original even what, the others can not seecevoir that through the medium of language which the processes and the character have often so little of relations with those of the idiom inwhich the texts have been originally written, the engineering of India has marked all its productions with such aspecial, that some superiority of mind, and some freedomin the employment of their means we suppose to oriental translators, we can't help but to recognize that they have had necessarily to carryin their versions some features of the original that will remainoften unintelligible to the reader whon / Anot the way to use the text of India itself. Hethere ismore, the goaleven of these translators must have been to reproduce as faithfully as hetheirwas possible the Indian color, ifstrong footprint in theworksthat they wanted to popularize. From there these versions in which the namesclean, and often also the special terms of the religious language and philosophical of Buddhism, have been retained with a focus desperate for that who born can look for the meaning in the idiom to which they belong. From there these translations, which are imitations materially accurate of the originabbut who, all in in tracing the lines outside, do not express morethe soul as the layer of a painting that would stop at the outline of the figures, without in reproduce the part colorful and alive, dowould represent this painting. Under thisreport, the texts original shave on the translations there peat, a indisputable advantage; and, all other things being equal, the translator of a Buddhist book written in Sanskrit is foundsquarein lesser conditions adverse to the well understand that the translator of the same textD-productin the language of one of the peoples of the East atwhich was established the Buddhism. But it 's not just by the features what retains the originalSanskrit that a Chinese, Tibetan or Mongolian translation will sometimes be moreobscure than the text, and therefore must it be inferior toeyes of the Page 54

12 'INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY critical; it is again, and in particular, of all that in erase that result the inferiority of the version compared to the original. When, for example, the Chinesedesignate a Buddliic work as translated from the fan language, that is to say, and that has recognized Mr. A. Remusat of the language Drahmâ (1), they we are learningNEET no doubt that the textoriginal abeen written in aindian languageany; but theydo we say not what is this language. And as theyhave been able to translate many books on faded originals, and that the pali is also although an Indian language as the Sanskrit, ithappens that the denomination offan language, while expressing a facttrue, removes the distinction quiper-would to grasp, as it did, a different fact secondary, which has not lessof importance than the first, cornwhich remains in a deep darkness, knowledgewhether the text was Sanskrit or Pali. This drawback, which has already been pointed out (2), and which heis easy to sense the effect, since it is historically necessary toknow towhat source was drawnagiven work, must have consequencesquite severe quences when it This is of some texts which are composed of mix of various styles, and often even toseveral dialects; because in re-dressing in a uniform color a work of which the various parts bear thetrace origins diverse, the translation made disappear the only index to which the criticism can recognize the authenticity, or even the age and the homelandofthe book. It suffices for me to indicate this observation, of which Ido not have the means to determine undermine all the scope in which key the Chinese translations and Mongolian. Heis however one book at least, in the collection of Nepal, which justifies these remarks and allows one to conjecture that the Tibetan translators did notalways faithfully rendered certain features of the original, which constitute one of the characters the most curious "and the plus neufs of the original text. This work is titled Saddharma pundarika, or "the white lotus of the" Good law; "He is part of the nine Dharmas, or books deemed canonical bythe Buddhists of Nepal. Hesecompound of two distinct parts, or to true to say of two essays, one in prose, \* and the other in verse. The second not doneingeneral that reproduce the background of the first, with the differences that necessarily the exhibition poetic. These two editions are intertwined one to another, of such a kind that when story or a speech has been exposed in prose, he is to again resumed in verse, sometimes in a wayabbreviated, sometimes with the developments that add littleof things at the first draft. Thiskind of decomposition, whichbornrecalls that by the mixture of the prose and the(1) Mixtures Asiat., T. II, p. 242. New Journ. Asian., T.VII, p. 298 and 299. Foe koue U, p. 15.(2) Abel Rémusat, Foe koue ki, p. 14, note 9.\ Page 55

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .13to the named Sanskrit worksTchampû (1),is not the only onepeculiaritycurious about this book; what themakesmore remarkable still isthat thepoetic portions are largely interspersed with popular forms, sometimessimilar to those of prâcrits dialects derived from Sanskrit for little close asin thestance, mid-portion Sanskrit and prâcrite, as Colebrooke has cited inhis treatise on the poetry Indian(2). These shapes do notnot only appearthere where the name is the need of the meter, including the lawsare notnot very-severe; on the contrary, they are very frequent and sufficiently numerous to characterizeterize a manner separate the style ofpoetic parts of this work. What I come to tell the Saddharmapundarika also applies to alarge number of works in the collectionNepali. the booksappointedMahâyâna sutras, of whichI will speak soon, and ingeneralall the treaties whichthe substance is found and doubled the addition of a poetic writing, offerthismixed style of shapespopular preachedor faded; is theremarkagain,

and even to amorehigh degree, in awork composed inprose, the Mahâvastu, or the Great History, voluminous collection of legends relating to founder of Buddhism and to several of his contemporaries, from whom hewill bequestion below. This work is generally written in prose, and the presence of forms altered There is certainly not justified by thenecessities of themetric. I do n't haveneed to insist a lot to do to understand the interest has the critic to verify the existence or absence of a character of this kind. It is still a matter obscure than that of knowing in what language have been, for the first time, written by writing the books attributed to the founder of Buddhism. In the north, the Tibetans, so we thesay later, affirment that several dialects Indians have been employed in bothby the first disciples of Çâkya (3); but without discussing this opinion in detail here, whose examination will find place in the Historical Outline of Buddhism, Ithen already say, with Lassen(4), that the classification of dialects which the Ti-betains attribute the use to the first writers of the scripturessacredTosomething too systematic and oftoo fictitious to be admitted asexpression full of thetruth. Hissole merit, in my eyes, is toto certifythe simultaneous use of the learned language and of dialects popular. Now, this fact, of which Mr. Hodgson has already, by good arguments, demonstrated the pos-(1) Colebrooke, Miscell. Essays, t. II, p. 135 and 136.(2) Ibid., T. II, p. 102 and 103.(3) Csoma, Note on the diff. syst. of Buddh., in Journ. Asiat. Soc. of Bengal, t. VII, p. 143 ff.(4) Zeitschrift fur die Kunde des Morgenland, t. III, p. 159 and 160.

Page 56

i4INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY sibility (i), is in itself too plausible not to be recognized, at themovis in general; only thefollowing our research will have to expose it with morefor details, and to mark the limits and scope. So it will taketake account of the existence of ancient inscriptions Buddhist written in Pali, and will see the proof that at a time close to the establishment of Buddhism, the Sanskrit was no longer the popular languagefrom central and northern India, and that the new religion, to be understood by all, was forced to make use of of a vulgar dialect. HeIt will also be necessary to weigh facts such as that which already pointed out the sagacity of Lassen, when hewas suspected that a formula that is part of the profession of faith of Buddhisles had had to be designed primiti-vely Paliand from there transported in Sanskrit (2). If, as I the showin a dissertationspecial, very numerous passages and very important of Sanskrit texts North authorize the inductions of the same kind, hemust recognize that the vulgar dialectof central India exercised over thewriting of texts composed in Sanskrit an influence doesmay have produced that in the time old, before that the Buddhisles is were separated in twolarge schools, that of the North, where 'books are written in Sanskrit, and that of the South, whence they the are in Pali. The question is thereforenot as easy it seems to be at first glanceeye. It is further complicated by thatof councils, whose historyhitof so close to that of the writing of books. What would it be if stretching the horizon of our research, we compared to thetraditionthe North this that welearn the Sinhalese tradition? There, that is to say in thepeoples where dominates exclusively the Paliin respect of language sacred, we should recognize that a partnotablebooksBuddhist, before being draftedin pale, its preserved long in Sinhalese versions. In a word we would find, inend of our research, on the one hand the easily recognizable action of the dialectvulgar on the learned language used in the writing of the books of the North; of the other, the proof that the collection of booksSouth has not been, in the beginning, written intégralement dans the dialect we called Pali. On the sees, in this difficult question, the monuments, the texts and

the memories of the traditionmingle, issometimes contradict andrarely explained; but stilleach of them is present SCUS thesuit that he is clean; the onetalkin Sanskrit, the others inpaled, others in a dialect where hebetween the Sanskritand the pale; and it's up to these charactersthat you have to attach yourself, if you want to try todetermine their age and theirorigin.(1) Note on the primary language of Buddkism, in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. ofBengal, t. VI, p. 682 ff.("2)Zeitschrift u. s.w., t. I, p. 228 and 229, note. Page 57

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .15Now ithe application that can remain of these characters and the ques-tionshegive birth, in a Chinese, Tibetan or Mongolian translation? thetranslator, probably, in a been aware sincehas beenable totranslate; but heis difficult that we finds some trace in his version, who, by erasing this difference in style, has removed all the means thatthe original delivered to the critical for the solution of the various questions that Iremembered everythingon time. Maybe by the wayTointerpretationfrompartspoeticof Saddharma pundarika, for example, will the interpreter havewarnedthat these songs are written in a styledifferent and in a different dialect. This fact, that Ibornthen affirm, is possible, no doubt; but it must agree that such an indication would not be not sufficient, and that unless the translator did not give a specimen of the stylewith thename of the dialect, we do not could not decide anythingthis simple indication that the tyle changes. Without I give also to unnecessary speculation on this that the Chinese interpreters and Mongols were able to take care to indicate this circumstancecapital, I amin measure to affirm that the Lotsavas or interpreters Tibetan, whichwe must the version of Saddharmapundarika contained in the Kah-gyur, have haduse of any of the precautions made to arouse the attention of the readerthe varieties of dialect mentioned above. They were translated into Tibetan prose the prose Sanskrit, in to Tibetan them to Sanskrit, without warning in passing from the first part of the original in the second we enteredin some wayin a style and in a book all new (i). Since I have spoken of mterprètes Tibetan, that I be permitted to justifythe general judgment made earlier on the Asian translations of Sanskrit works of Nepal, to show, by a small number of examplesprecise, ofwhatway they are unfaithful to the original either by translating too much, if I can express myself well, either by not translating not enough. Heis clear that he does notis not here a criticism of system followed by these translators; this system isirreproachable: it is that of a literality perfect; and when he is applied with rigor, a Tibetan version represents until the last lines of the textSanskrit.I even grant for amoment apoint that seems to mestill strongquestionable, namely, that we know enough in Europe about all theresources of the Tibetan language to translate a book written in this language with so many(1)It is this that appears to have been also the traducteursmongols. They have, however, focused enoughaccuracy in their work to reproduce the versified portionsof Sanskrit books, withoutaway, in which key the position of the words, the engineering of the original; and in there sacrificing spirit of their own language. It is curious has paséchappé to the attention of Mr. Schmidt, who did notfailed to report it. {Mongolian. Gramm., P. 161 and 162.)

Page 58

16INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYsafety that it can make the text Sanskrit original, and without the need to re-run to this textsame. Hewill be enough for me ,as for the present , to borrow from theseversions, in general so faithful, a small number of passages where the original Sanskrithas, at least in my eyes,a clear superiority over the Tibetan

interpretation. I have so little intention of declining the difficulties from this comparison, which I have chose the term which returns the most souventdans the text, the term the moreim-wearing of all, the one that the Lotsavas have had to understand the best onethat wemust have the mostofhardly atexplain, the term of Nirvâm. The Nirvana, that is to say, in a wayvery general, the deliverance or thehi, is the supreme goal that the founder of Ruddhism hasoffersto effortsof man. CornWhat that this issue, and what is the nature of this Hello? If we consult the etymology, it uswill answer that it is the annihilation-surely, extinction. Now how do you hear this annihilation, and onwhat is he wearing? Is it on the relative conditions of existence, or on the existence eitself, on the life? The Nirvana is it to man this state of restinwhich heis located when has the meditation break the links that the Attachaient the world outside, and he come in possession of its own strengthconsidered in itself, and independently of everything that surrounds it? Or elseIs the state more elevated where, by abstraction and the outside world and of inner world, itdetaches himself from the phenomena of his lifectean, as it has detached the phenomena of his life on, and no longer feel in himthanuniversal existence in the breast ofwhichcoexist all the parts of the universetoward? In other words, the man in the Nirvana, is it to the status of living indi-individual, keeping with the feeling of his personality that of his activity? Whereis it in the state of being universal, for so having lost, with the feeling of hispersonality, that of his activity, heborncan moreto be distinguished from existence absolute, that this existence is God or the Nature? Finally, in the hypothesis whereannihilation would on the existence itself, the Nirvana Is extinction, the disappearance not only of individual life, but of the living uni-verselle :in two words, the Nirvana is it the nothingness? We see that the etymologythe word of Nirvâm dorespond to any of theseissues, which will be another thing that the expression systems theological very diverse giques. It is for use that have made the Ruddhistes of this term, it isto definitions they in have data that must ask for the explanation of these big problems. Now, as the Ruddhists are, for manycenturies, divided into sects orschools, the explanation of the term of Nirvâm varies according to the various point of view of sectarian. And without entering herein a discussiondelicate which will find its place elsewhere, I can alreadysay that the Nirvana is to Page 59

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .•17theabsorption theistsof the individual life in God, and for the atheists the ab-sorption of this individual lives in the nothingness. But for the few andfor theothers, the Nirvana is the issue, this is emancipation supreme. This is sotrue that the ideapostage is the only one that the interpreters Tibetans have seen in the word of Nirvana ^ because it is the onlythat they translated. In the versions they give the Sanskrit texts of Nepal, the term of Nirvana is rendered by the words mija-ngan-las-hdah-ba, which mean literallyment "state of that which is freed from the pain," or "the state in which" one finds oneself when one is thus freed. "Open all the dictionaries Tibetans that we have, that of Schröter, that of Csoma de Côrôs and that Schmidt you the find all unanimous on this point. the first translates this phrase by "die, put an end to the disorder and to business "flictions(1),3) and here turns a composed speech where it appears by "obtain the eternal salvation. "Csoma the making as well: (vsthe state of being delivered from thet hardly," Andin a different place, "a be issued to the punishment of death, "Emancipation (2)." M. Schmidt, finally, interprets it as "to be freed from "grief," and in a different place by "the state of being freed from the law of "The transmigration (3)." The interpreters

Tibetan hear so by Nirvanapostage, and in particular, as Mr. Schmidt says, postagement of the law of the transmigration; but theydon't wenot indicateof whatspecies is this emancipation, and their interpretation does not answer more thanonly makes the term of Nirvana to the many issues that arousesthis termhard. I say moreagain: this version teaches us less than the Sanskrit wordoriginal, because it is not a translation to properly speaking; it's a commental. If the word Nirvana does not show us not what is destroyed in the state of Nirvana, it we reveals at least that there is a destruction, the Tibetan, in saying that the Nirvana is the emancipation of the pain, welearn the effect including the Nirvana is the cause, and leave in the shade and this cause and its mode of action. So here we an boldly advance it, the performers Tibetans have translated too much and too little :too much, because they have seen in the Nirvanamore than this term only say, knowing the effect of Nirvana; too little, because they spentin silence themode of action of Nirvana, andthetrue state that this termExpress, annihilation. All this, at bottom, back to little close to the same; (1) Bhotanta Diction., P. 290, col. 1.(2) Diction. Tibet, and English, p. 134, col. 2, and p. 194, col. 2.(3) Tibet. Deutsch. Worterbuch, p. 270, col. 1, and P. 423, col. 1.Mr. Sctimidt was well seenthe Tibetan expression answered the word Nirvana.

Page 60

48INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY but when heit's about appreciation the systems religious ofantiquity, this great and beautiful page in the history of the human mind, we cannottoo muchde rigueurin the interpretation of basic terms, and itis of thelast importance to know the original meaning of these terms, and of the viewwith their color and in their suit genuine. This is all the more necessarymake sure that thesystems are more ancient andmore original, because itthere isawhen we can say to the theology: nomina numina. A translation of this kind is therefore all the more satisfactory.that he is moreeasyto find there the original, and to go back from the term translating to the termtranslated. But we the see, this advantage misses entirely made in the translation Tibetanof the word that concerns us . If we do not have any text, noword from the Sanskrit books of the Ruddhistsnepali, yesthe word of Nirvana uswas entirely unknown, he would be impossible to a reader versed in the language Tibetan to reconstruct the lost term of Nirvana with the current elements from the Tibetan mya-ngan-las hdahba. The only Sanskrit expression that thereturned exactly would be côkamuhti or çôkamuktatva (the deliverance ofgrief), and theword Coka (grief) would be so much the equivalentofmija-nf / year, that this term evenofçôka, appearing in the royal proper name of Açôka (theking without sorrows), isrepresented among the Tibetans by the mya-ngan which heis here. And reciprocal-ment, if it was the name diAcôka which was lost, and that one of Nirvana would have preserved, when we would meet the royal name in which appear the monosyllables mya-ngan, translation of the part the larger the word of Nirvana, we would naturally be carried to believe that the term Nirvana forms the basis of this name. Here are two words, one of Nirvana and the name ofking Açôka, of which the more important half is, according to the Tibetans, figurative by a single and same expression circumstance which, I hesitate not to the state, creates, for the onewhich only consider the Duddhiste in the formulas Tibetanregardless of Sanskrit, a confusion of which he himself would be very difficult, if not entirely made possibleto go out. The termthat ijust examined belongs as much to the language of the Rrâhmansthan that of the Ruddhists; but these are theare appropriatesort by usethey in have done. Theywere

therefore able to modify thesenseaccording to all of their ideas, and heis easy to understand that theyto havegiven a translation that goes awayas much of the realetymology. I myselfeven figure that it is on purposethat this translation has been left with the characterWave that I reported everything to the hour; theperformers, for fear of being forcedtaking advantage from thevarious sects, will have stuck to a generalityaccepted by all, which concurs, with other historical data, to establish Page 61

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .19that the Tibetan versions are subsequent to the full development ofmajor Buddhist sects. I will now quote a word that belongsingeculiar to the Brahmanic language, and which the interpreters of Tibet have dealt with a freedom made forembarrass a European reader. In a text strongly re-markable where the founder of Buddhism wants to establish the superiority of the moralon the fulfillment of religious duties, helearns to hisfollowers that thehouses where the children honor their father and their mother are as holy asifBrahma, a spiritual tutor, the God of the family and the domestic firewere in the midst of them. But in this list, we will read more lowunder the form even as he has given thetext, appears the word Âhavanîya, whichis a special termamong the Brahmins, and that the direction will may be doubtful :that is, we the know, according to Manu, the fire consecrated that is lit atfoyerdomes-tick and prepared for the oblations; it's, in short, the fire of sacrifice (1). Etymology and use of the term are herecompletely agree, and doubtis no more possible on one than on the other. But how do the performers Tibetans have they translated this term? By a compound expression of three words: kun-tu sbyin-pahi os-su- gyur-ba,quid'après theexisting dictionariesbornmeanssomething other than "become worthy of almscomplete (2)." Maybedoes a Tibetan know how to find it here, thanks to the feeling hehas its language and to the frequent use that hemade of this term, the figurative meaning of fire of sacrifice; because by substituting the word offering to that of almsgiving, we arrive, althoughin a roundabout way, to this very idea, and the Tibetan phrase comes backthen to this one: "That which has become worthy of the complete offering," that is, say "the fire prepared for the offering. " I on requestHoweverto any readerimpartial, thisterm is it not in itself less perfect and more obscure than the word Sanskrit original Âhavanîya, including the significance addition which isof-finished with precision pardegoodlexicons, is justified by the value by-easily recognizable from the elements that the make up? herestill idoubtheis well easy for a reader European to go back to the expresssiontibetanto the Sanskrit term, and yet it is to this very term that hemust come back, if we want to know the true meaning of passage where heis located. What ijust to say also applies to proper nouns, which is usually difficult to recognize in the versions Tibetan, because as their authors translate all the elements of which these names are composed. I myselfhappy to quote some hereaexample, that of all which reappears the \* most often(1) Mânakia dharma çâstra, 1.ir, st. 231.(2) Bkah-hgyur, sect. Mdo, vol. ha or xxix, f.413 o. Page 62

20INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYand where heis the most easy to find the original Sanskrit. It is had to the time of Çâkyamuni, in the city of Çrâvaslî (1), not far from the modern Fizabad, amerchant or, like call thebooks Sanskrit from Nepal, a chief of house famous for its wealth and his freedom. It is called Anātha pind da ^or Anâtha pindika, "One who distributes to the food for the poor," and he had a garden near the city which he had given to Çâkyamuni ; too the latter the redid he withdraw very frequently with

his disciples for their teachingthe law. That is why, out of ten legends, hethere are close of eight who startby celtformula: "One day onblessed isfoundin Cràvastî, "In Djêtavana (2), in the gardenAnâtha pindika. i>Definitely here the latterword, although significant in each of its elements, althoughgiven without(1) Here we have the name of one of the cities most frequently mentioned in the sermons and in the Sanskrit legends of the North. Fa hian, at the beginning of the Vth century of our era, inspeaks as of a city well fallen from its former spleider {Foe koue M, p. 171); toowould it probably be very difficult to find some remains today? It was the capitalthe Kośala and the stay of Prasenajit, king of cepays, or, to speak with more of accuracy, kingof the Northern Kôçala (Lassen, Indische Alterthumsk., t.I,p. 128 and 129), which province is distinguished from the Kâçîkôçala, or the Kôoala containing Benares, both in the Buddhist legendsthan in the books of the Brahmans. {Vichnu purâna, p. 186.} Wilson establishes for good reasonthat it is necessary to seek the site of Cravastî not far from Fizabad. {Journ. of the Roy. Asian girl. Soc. ^t.V, p. 123.) I do have not forgotten to say that this cityis cited in the Vichi.iu purâija(p. 361, note 16), and in the Kathâ sarit sâgara (Sanskrit text, p. 200, st.63, ed.Brockhaus). HeIt is often mentioned in the Daçakumâra, the story of Pramati. {Quart. East. Magazine, t. IX, June 1827, p. 281.) In this story, where appears several timesthename of this famous city, itisspoke of a great fight of roosters, pleasure all Brahmin, and that Sakyamuni forbade hisdisciples, as we learn from the Pali books of Ceylon, notably a treatise entitledBrahmadjâla sutta. The name of this townis written Sdvatthi in the texts pale of Ceylon. (Clough, Pali Gramm. And Vocab., P. 24, st. 2.)(2) This name refers to the monastery and the temple the most famous of the province Kośala; he waslocated near Cràrasti. Heis quoted at all times in the legends of Divyāvadāna and ofAvadàna cataka, and Chinese travelers Fa hian and Hiuan thsang speak of it with admiration.(A. Rémusat, Foe koue ki, p. 179.) We always find this name written Djêtavana; and the Chinese, theReport MA Rémusat, the result of "the garden (or the temple) to the victory, or "Victorious." I will then help to see \(^\) in the spelling of the name, a record of the influence of vulgar dialects. If, in fact, Djêtavana means "the wood of the winner, iheit would have to be written in Sanskrit, Djêtrtvana; and it is only in a popular dialect, such aspaled, that theirs rtmay disappear and give way to a brief o. The Mahâvailisa of Turnour thereproduced in fact always in this form. Editors legends written in S3nscrit havereceived the name so that it has them given the people, and will have not recovered in the form that wouldin the classical language. I conclude that this denomination is not prior to the establishment of Buddhism, a conclusion which, moreover, agrees with tradition. This is not so, inIndeed, that the Buddhists writing in Sanskrit treated the names of places which were current inindiabefore the arrival of Sakyamuni: theyin scrupulously respected spellingBrahmanic, however contrary it may be to the habits of the popular dialect. This is recognizable clearly in words like Cravastî, Srughnâ, Tâmralipti, Sûrpàraka, Kanyâkubdja andothers. Page 63

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .21doubtafter blow topowerfulhead of family andin consideration of hisliberality, must be taken as a proper noun, and I have the firm conviction that the Titébains dotherearenever deceived. In fact, in the captions where this character plays a role, the Lotsavas, who always translate his name from the same way, don't may ignore that this name is that of a head of the family contemporary of Çâkyamuni. But if they have in this regard committed any error, they have nothing done to

prevent the readers European straying, or all theleast to hesitate in wanting to translate the version of Tibetan. So one of the scholarsthat his studies were the most familiar with them, ideas Buddhist, Mr.Schmidt, a.in his Tibetan Grammar, renderedthe expression which corresponds to the terms without-crits Anātha pindikasyaARAME d6 this manner:" In the enclosure of universal"joywhere the food is brought to the needy (1).» Hethere certainly isnothing to say against this translation; it reproduces down to the smallest details from the Tibetan version; cornthough shethat is literally exact, or rather by thatthat she is materially loyal, shedeceive the reader in this what puts in raised the sense of universal joy that is hidden under the term Arama(Tibetankun-dgah-ra-ba), andwho must disappearas a result of acceptance special that this word takes, that of pleasure garden orermitage. J'en sayas much of the proper name of the merchant, and I point outofmore hereaimperfecttion of the tongue Tibetan who doesdistinguishing not pretty much the noun togenitive of the preceding adjective anoun, does not allow decide if the gardenat issue is that of unpersonnage that distributes alms, or wellthe one where thealms are distributed. I hesitateall the less to dothisobservation, that M. Schmidt, in abook all recently published megives himself the opportunity to see the rightness (2). There, in fact, everything in(1) Gramm. der Tibet. Sprache, p. 224.(2) Der Weise und der Thor, text tib., P. 18, trad. garlic.,p. 21, and at the beginning of the morelarge number of legends of which this curious volume is composed. 11there is, the remaining bit of characters most famous among the Buddhists of all the schools that this mansion \(^{\text{we}}\) also called Anātha phidika. Its name is, strictly speaking, only a title which expresses its liberality; because, according to the Sinhalese, is the named Sudatta, name under which the Chinese thealso know. {Foe koue M, p. 178.) These two names ^ that of Sudatta and & ' Anathapindika, have passed into the Pali dictionary, where they designate, according to Clough, the husband of awoman who owes her fame to her dedication to the Buddha. {Pali Gramm. and Vocab. ^ p. 57.) We sees that this designation is not sufficient; because heis certain that Anâtha pindika is verybetter known than his wife, who was called Viçâkhâ, and who is mentioned in a listofNuns. (Turnour, Journ. As. Soc. Of Beng., t.VIT, p. 933.) It was not no more, asMr. Rémusat believes it, one of the ministers of Prasênadjit, king of Kôçala, but a simple master ofhouse, possessor of immense wealth. His garden, which hehad ceded the use to the BuddhaŚākyamuni, is the theater of the most of the preaching of the wise. Hsuan thsang saw the Vile centurythe ruins of the monastery that it had built, and which was thename of Djêtavana. {Foe koue ki ^p. 178 and 179.} Page 64

22INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYrendering with its usual accuracy the Tibetan monosyllables which representtent the name ofgenerous merchant, hehas very judiciously recognized that thesewords formed a proper noun whichnot was not translate. Alsodo i havenotneed torepeat that these remarkswill cater not to Mr. Schmidt,sincehas itself recognizedlater an error that was not the own;they relate to the Tibetan interpreters, which by this even they have madeall the elements that will make up these two words have too much translated, if I thento express myself thus,for thereader who hasnotToherdispositionthe originalIndian.I rise to believe that theinterpretersChinese must alsosometimes de-pass the goal in the same way, becauseIfound in the drama titled Pipa/a, apassage which undoubtedly reproduces the ordinary preamble of themorelarge number of booksBuddhist reputed to be canonical inNepal. "Here is thispassage:" Is it not said,the beginning of the book of Fo, thatin the" Garden of some prince who isalms to

the elderly and toorphans, "remains the great religious beggar Pi khieou, with twelve hundred and fifty(vspeople (1)?" The words "the gardenof a certain prince" represent the wordDjêtayana (the wood of winner), and the following sentence, "who gives alms, etc. "is that the development of the very name of Anâtha pindika represented in hiselementsetymological. At last, "The great religious beggar" is the Mahabhikchii, orrather the Maha shramana (2), that is to say Çâkyamuni himself. Here again the translator Europe is at the shelter for all reproach, and we owe to the contrary to approve the accuracy that he put in his version, since one cango back from this version without much difficulty to the original Sanskrit. But hethis original must be known in advance, and I doubt helûtpossible, ifwe did had not in the eyes, derecomposer with the translation French, picturefaithful to the Chinese translation, the proper names of places and people heisessential to keep, undersadnessto recognize the true meaning ofprimitive text. It is on purpose that I did not speak here of the radically faulty translations of some important Sanskrit words, which one notices in the Tibetan versionstain, because that these translations are found also among all the peoplesDuddhists. They therefore start from a unique system of interpretation which belongs to is due to the various schools between whichtheBuddhism issharing, and conse-quently they will fall not the interpretive criticism, but of the critical(1) Bazin, Le Pipa M, p. 118.(2) These terms will be explained later.

## Page 65

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .23philosophical andhistorical. Heyhas suchnadetymology which has been able to be adopted to respond to an order of ideas that she favored, without that the La-driver who himgave courses wasguilty of infidelity towards thetext. Thoseerrors, to a certain extent volunteers are rare, andthey neither invalidate the authority nor the véracitédes Lotsavas Tibetan, the alone, with the interpreters bartenders, of whom I have could by myself check the correctness. I therepeat, their translations are extremely literal; theyrender, as much as it is possible with an instrument as dryly analytical as the Tibetan and thebartender, all thefeatures of these happy syntheses contained in the unitexpressive of a Sanskrit term. But the previous remarks do not allow menotless to conclude that, despite the merit of these versions, it is always indispensableresort tooriginals on which these versions have been made, and that it is only from the study of the texteven that must result and the appre-ciation of its true nature, and the solution questions very numerous and very delicate that these texts do not may fail to give birth. This con-clusion, which has never been contested for any kind of writing, nor for anyliterature, had need to be recalled, at the time when it is a question of statethe place that must occupy, in all the materials intended for the studyof Buddhism, the books written in Sanskrit that we have the zeal and to the liberality of Mr. Hodgson. The facts that Ihave just established give rise to two observations of greatimportance for the result of recherchesdont the collection Nepalmust bethe object. The first is that the translations of Sanskrit books carried out in Tibet in the Tartary and China, setting the various times when these bookshave started to be spread outfrom India, provide a precise limitto-below which heit is not feasible to make down the wording of the textoriginalSanskrit. The taste that the Chinese and the Tibetans, for example, always been for the historical accuracy, promises in this respect preciousaid to European criticism. Heis allowed to hope thatless in themost large number of cases the time towhich have been executed the translations of Sanskrit books which, in their quality of

works reputed to be inspired, are allalso attributed to Sakya, have been marked with accuracy by the inter-ready. I do n't haveneed to observe any indication of this kind is toneglect, since the work of interpretationdo has not accomplished in the sametime among the various nations that have adopted the Buddhism. What I myselfhappy to indicate here can already be verified by examining the library Buddhist Tibetan, towhich theanalyzes if accurate and if substantial of Gsoma of Kőrös we have given access. We know ndaintenant with certainty that

Page 66

24INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYit is between the VIP and XIII® century of notreère that books Buddhist havebeen translated into the language of Rot (i). This fact, that I remember in the warrantythe knowledge of Csoma, will no doubt need to be studied in all its details. It will be necessary to find out whether the work of interpretation do has not continued in the time more modern and if he had for object either of Sanskrit textselders, eitherof works composed afterofindicated periodsall at a time, or even the books foreigners in India. But this researchit itself will bear fruit which I believe I can expect; shewill set the limits and useful indications for the still obscure history of literature buddhist. I add that if I don't do n't speak herelights that examination of versions already cited must spreadon a different story no less curious, one of emigration and of the spread of Ruddhisme out of India, it'sheno questionat the moment than to determine in generalwhat kind of authority attaches to the Sanskrit books kept by the Nepalese.I will point out later the advantages that the history of Indian Ruddhism will reapof the studyof monuments that seem, at first blow of eye, belong exclusively to the external history of this belief. Appreciation of degree of authority that has the collection Nepali is still subject to the second comment that I annonçaisplus above. Celticobservation is that if Buddhist books are written in Sanskrit, itresults from therethey have been drafted in India. This is what asserts inmore than one placeMr. Hodgson, who does not hesitateto conclude from the language of books to the country wherethey must have been written; and it must be admitted that, presented thus in general termsraux, this conclusion hasby herselfahigh likelihood; but when weexamines the things of more closely, there is the issueless simple that it is not seems to be affirst look. The difficulty that she presents comes from this what is connected to a another question much more vast, that of history of Indian Ruddhism. If the Ruddhisme had traveled all the sound phases existence in a narrow theater and in a short space of time, the presumption that he had to be fixed by writing therewhere is spoken the language that himserves organism would be in my opinion almost unassailable. But as the Ruddhisme haslong lived in India; as it has flourished for a long time also in countriesneighbors, and especially to the west of the Indus and in the Cashmere, the draftingbooks that is tried first of look as a factwhich was accomplished in a timeand in a single country may have operated in several times and inseveral countries. This is only a guess, and maybethis assumption(1) Analysis of the Dul-va, in Asiat. Researches, t.XX, p. 42.

Page 67

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .25does it present less thanprobabilities in its favor than the contrary supposition. The facts uswill learn to what extent shemustto verify. For myleaves, if heis allowed to me as soon aspresent to advance apersonal opinion, I believe that the truth will be found in the conciliation of the two hypotheses. No, the body of Buddhist scriptures of Nepal can not have been written in full out, from India. He can not be assumed

that the disciples by Çâkyamuninot have thought àrédiger the teachings of their master that when they themselves saw expelled for always of their homeland. It would something too weirdto believe that the proscribedwould have composed in Sanskrit such a considerable massmaple of books, for the result almost immediately in the languages ofpeoplesthat they offered an asylum. All these considerations, added to the circumstancethe language militatein favor of the first hypothesis.on the other hand, heit is unbelievable that the Buddhism is restéstationnaire, the time itwas transportedout of his native land. We don't can admit that the Beligieux who were making themselves the apostles immediately forgot the language in whichbe kept ondeposit of the teachings of their master. Heyou have to believe thatthe use of this language had continued their familiarity as they tookhand, as evidenced by the catalogs of the library Tibetan, the versions who were running around them (1). Everything should not be inspired in the collectionSanskrit tion of Nepal; careful study of this collection there will no doubtdiscover works that couldto claim vulgar authors; nothingNevertheless finally that the Beligieux Buddhists do have written off India, inneighboring countries, when theproselytizing, awakened by the persecution, theanimated with a new ardor. From these two sets of hypotheses, none excludes absolutely the other, for they are very reconcilable with each other. This supposes possible for some of the booksthat that that one says not for theall of the collection; cornadoptedone and the other in proper limits, they also keep us away from absolute assertions, as theywebring to the examination of facts which alone must verify, and mark in eachits legitimate part in the solution of complicated problem that each, takenin isolation, is insufficient to resolve. But where will find the facts which we invoke the testimony, if it is notin the bookssame that heisto determine the origin? And howout of these general assertions leave to mind system of freedomof the contradiction, if it enters into these verifications of detail that is limitedeach other, and that the results will serve pasmoins by opposition(1) Csoma, Analyze. of the Dul-va, in Asiat. Researches, t. XX, p. 78, 85, 92, etc.

Page 68

26INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthat by their agreement, totighten the scope of the event and of the error? We don't can hope to arrive at something positive conclusion on the country where must have beendrafted the Nepalese collection, before having examined in detail the various worksof which it is composed. Hemust look for in each of them the clues madeforenlighten us on their character, andby following their origin; to certifyif all are present also as inspired; to distinguish those who wear the names of authors deceux passing to canonical; then, Betweenthoselast, establish, if it ismay, a chronological order, based on the suc- •cession of the schools to which they are relate and on the age of the events and of personnages dont they have kept thememory. Such is the expression ofmoregeneral conditions of the problem; it's only when we havefull-ment satisfies these conditions that hewill be resolved in a positive manner; until-there, and as long as we have not determined the homeland of a given work, either by the direct testimony of thisbook itself, or by themeans avowed thecritical, the presumption will be in favor ofopinion which looks as having been drafted in India the books written in thelaViguescholar of this country. Now that I havesaid the site occupied by the collection of Mr. Hodgsonin all materials than provides us with the Orient for the study of the Buddhisme, it does me rest plusqu'à draw quickly the order inwhich I believed of-see present the results of my research. To familiarize myself with the ideasand with the style which

distinguishes the Buddhist books from the other productions of the Sanskrit literature, Ichose a work that was authoritative in Nepal, and have itreflected in the design of the present plustardto the public as aspecimenof this still unknown literature. But front of me stop ittook me by-run almost all the collection, and it is only after three years of readingspreliminary that I myself am decided for the book that I will publish soonunder the title of Lotus of the good law.Regardless of interestthat he canhave as a canonical book, this workput me in a position to understand wellof details that had I escaped during the review summary that I made of the col-election of Mr. Hodgson. It is now forme a term of comparison to which I have brought back the notions that my first readings had provided me; and these notions in turn, compared with each other, then with those that can be drawnin someone else's booksBuddhist people, the Singhalese, put me in a position, if not to definitively resolve themost important questions to which gives rise to the Nepalese collection, at least to ask these questions with agreater precision than would have been possible from the knowledge of aonly book.' Here then, in few words, the order that I am proposing to follow. I will describe Page 69

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .27in a waygeneral, according to Nepalese tradition, the Buddhist collectiondiscovered by M.Hodgson. I will go into the necessary details concerning thethree major divisions of the sacred scriptures accepted by the Buddhists of the North, and I will treatTopart of the books in which the practices of the asceticites are mixed withBuddhism. I will then review some of the treatises who wearthe names of authors. By examining those ofworks of Nepal who claimthe titleof inspired books, Iwill endeavor to find out if all can passfor having been written at the same time. I will use for the review ofinformation that I provide thebooksthemselves, and I will collect then what that we are currently possible to know of the history of the Nepalese collection. It will be therethe subject of a dissertation divided intoseven parts, consacred the first to the general description of the books of Nepal; the second, the third and fourth to the three divisions of the booksinspired; the fifthto books in which the cult of Siva is mixed with that of Buddha; the sixth at or-bearing yarnsthe names of authors; and the seventhin the history of the collection of Nepal. This Memoir, which will consist of texts borrowed from the most important of books sent by Hodgson, shed some light on the first time ofBuddhism; and by providing the features most characteristic of the table of the state social and religious of India at the time of the preaching of GakyamuniBuddha, hesolve, hopefully the least, a m-ay Ultimately, the issuecontroversial for a long time, butwhich is no longer one for any Indianist, from the year-tiquity relative of Brahmanism and Buddhism.In another memory that will follow the one that I have just summarized, I will do to the Pale collection of Ceylon an examination similar to that to which I have submitted the col-Sanskrit election of Nepal. I will set this as thetraditionteaches us about the existence of this collection, and especially on that of the former councils which arefixed a way regular the doctrine deCakya. This thesis will consist offive sections. I then dedicate a different memory for the comparison of collections of Nepal and of Ceylon, and the traditions that are kept in the North and in the South, affecting one and the other of these collections. This comparisonreason will give us the means to recognize that we have in the library Sanskrit library in Nepal and in the Pali library in Ceylon two editorsthe writings Buddhist which the différence consists ingeneral, less in thebackgroundas in the form and classification of books. It will result from this

examination that the fundamental and truly ancient elements of Buddhism should to be sought in this qu'auront conservéde common the two editors in--today of booksreligious, that the North who uses the Sanskrit, and that the Southwhich appears from Pali. Page 70

28INTRODUCTION TO THE HISTORY OF INDIAN RUDDHISM .The determination of the various eras which will be held the councils wherehave been collected the Buddhist books will naturally lead me to the re-looking for the timeon which they depend, that of Çàkyamuni Ruddha. It will bethe subject of a Memoir divided into six paragraphs, in which I will compare the opinions ofmain peoplesof Asia on this important point in Eastern history . Profi-both of the synchronisms that indicate andthe history of Sinhalese Ruddhism , andsome Tibetan texts fromKah-gyur, I 'll use thosewho are already recognizedby the critics the most skillful, to make a choice among the various datesassigned to the death of the last Ruddha. A Once this established, I will summarize whatthat we know ofmore positive nthe destinies of Indian Ruddhism; and fordo nothingomit what may the light of some light, I relate the variousperiods of emigration that have successively carried out of India where henot had more back. Page 71

SECOND MEMORY.DESCRIPTION OF THE COLLECTION OF BOOKS FROM NEPAL.SECTION ONEGENERAL DESCRIPTION. The collection Buddhist of Nepal is composed of a large number of worksincluding thelitersannounce the treaties of very diverse kinds. These titlesareknownfor some time by the Memoirs of Mr. Hodgson, and this learned in published two lists extended in the Research Asia from Calcutta (i) and in the Transactions of the Asian Society of London (2). This double catalog must be further increased by thatthat we could writeaccording to analysis that Csoma of Coros has given to the vast Tibetan collection in the Journal of the Asian Society of Rengale (3), and especially in the searches Asians from Calcutta (4). In fact, like, with the exception of a few treatises which the publishers Tibetan only report by securities Sanskrit, the books which is composed this collection are translations of Indian works, and that, following opinion by Mr. Hodgson, these works have must have existed or are conservedeven perhaps still in some monasteries of Nepalor from Tibet, weunderstands that the catalog of the Sanskrit collection of Nepal could find in the analysis of the Tibetan Kah-gyur thematter of a considerable supplement. We do not have in Paris all the works of which acatalog formed of these threelists reunited would make us know the existence, and if Mr. Hodgson could not even get obtain all the books indicated by hisdoublelist itis likely that we would now have some troubleto discover atNepal all theoriginal Tibetan versions of Kah-gyur. What hein(1) Notices, etc., in Asia ^ Researches, t.XVI, p. 426 sqq.(2) Sketch of Buddhism, in Transact. of the Roy. Asian girl. Soc, t.II, p. 229.(3) Abstracl of the contents of the Dul-va, in Journal of the Asiat. Society of Bengal, t.I, p. 1 and 375.(4) Analysis of the Dul-va, etc., t.XX, p. 41 ff., And Analysis of the Sher-chin, etc., ibid., p. 393 sqq. Page 72

30INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYeither, the double sending that we oweto Mr. Hodgson put us inpossessionabout eighty-eight Buddhist works composed in Sanskrit, or intowards, eitherin prose, which contain, according to alllikelihood that it isofmost important in the religious collection of Nepal. These works, in fact, return to the most in the major divisions of scriptures Buddhist including the Nepalese tradition, in accordance

with the testimony of the books themselves, we retained the memory. We would not behowever not in extent ofjudge, from what wehave, of the extent of the literature Buddhist, he had us inreport to a tradition generally prevalent among the Buddhists of the North and inthose of the South, a tradition which brings up to eighty-four thousand treaties theseems the booksof the law(1). I find in aphilosophical compilation, Abhidharma kôça vyâkhyà, which hewill be spoken later, a passage relating to this tradition, which proves that it is not only oral: "I received the "mouth of the Blessed One, says a sacred text, eightymilletexts of the" Law and more. In a different collection, added the comment, it reads eighty-((four thousand. The bodyof thelawis made up of books that make authority gold((these booksare, according to a few, the number of sixthousand and one the "denotes by the title of Dharma skandha, or the body of the law. As for "eighty thousandtexts of the law, they are lost; theonly that remains is this" Unique body [of six thousandvolumes]. Others hear by Dharma skandha((each of the articles of the law, and they in count four to twentythous and (2). "It is rather in the latter sense that we must take the term skandha. If hehad to admit that hehas never existed a too voluminous collection is that wisely challenges Mr. Hodgson (3), we would be forced to be the representationas containing the works of proportions very different, since a treatyproperly said toasimple stanza. So we know a workon the Buddhist metaphysics, the Prajñā Paramita, of which we have two editorstions, one in hundred thousand items, and the other in a singlevowel, mullum inparvo (4). The tradition that I just to remindis the remaining old at theBuddhists. She has even given the numberofeighty fourthousandasort of consecration; because we knowthat they have applied this figure to other objects than to their religious books .(1) Hodgson, Notices of the languages, etc., in Asiat. Researches, t.XVI, p. 421.(2) Abhidharma kôça vyâkhyâ, p. 38 b of my manuscript. Compare Turnour, Jourti. Aiiot.Soc. ofBengal, t.VI, p. 526.(3) A & iat. Researches, X. XVI, p. 425.(4) Csoma, Asiat. Res., T. XX, p. 393 comp. to p. 396; it is A, which contains everything!-Amlym of the Kah-gyur, in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, 1.1, p. 376. Page 73

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .YesWhatever it may be to those eighty-four miles texts of thelaw, at thereality which we can believe, if by texts we mean articles, the books thatremaintoday dividein three classes, named collectively Triptaka, that is to say "the three baskets or collections. " These three classes arethe Pitaka Sutra or the Discourses of Buddha, the Vinaya pitaka or the Discipline, and Abhidharma pitaka or the Laws manifest, that is to say the metaphysical (1). This division, which is justified by the text, is one of the foundations of the classificationstion of the Kah-gyur, and among the September body that form theone hundred volumes of this great library, the Vinaya is the first, the Abhidharma, under the titlespecial de Pradjnâ pramitâ, is the second, and the collection of the sūtras isthefifth (2). It is not less familiar to the Buddhists of China, including thetestimony generally agrees if exactly with that of the Tibetans; they explain it with three words meaning sacred books, precepts and speeches(3), and wethefind elucidated in a learned note by M. A. Rémusat, which reproduces exactly the details we provide on this subject Buddhist books of Nepal (4), But he is required of us stop a few moments on thesethree titles, and to bring together what the textsSanskrit and the tradition nepality elearn of their value and of their application. The word of Sutra is a term well known in theliterature of IndiaAncient; hey designates these briefsand obscure sentences that contain therules fundamental to the science Brahmin, since the grammar

until thephilosophy (5). Thismeaning is not unknown to Buddhists, because Mr. Rémusat defines this term as follows: "Principles oraphorisms that make the" Base the doctrine texts authentic andinvariable(6). "I find in Also in the collection of Mr. Hodgson a book entitled Vinaya Sutra, or Vinaya paira, which is composed of very brief sentences and conceived in the system of Brahmanic axioms. I will come back to this work below; cornImust hasten beforehand to notice that it is not only thus that the Buddhists hear the word of Sutra, and that thetreaties to which this titleapplies have a character very different from those which it designates in the literature orthodox ture of India Ancient. The sūtras, according to the authorities Nepalesecited through Mr. Hodgson, contain everything that said the Buddhas; it's(t) Abhidkarma kôça vyâkhyâ, f.10 a du man. of the Asian Society. (2) Csoma, Abstract of the contents of the Dul-vuj in Journ. of the Asiat. Soc. of Beng. ^ 1. 1, p. 1sqq., 37 sqq ,, and Asiat. Res., T.XX, p. 42. (3) Foe koue ki, p. 3, 78 and 108. (4) IUd., P. 108. (5) Wilson, Samcr. Diction., Ve Sûtrâ, p. 940, 2 "edits: (6) Foe koue ki, p. 108.

Page 74

32INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYWhyit 's calledoften Buddha vatchana, "theword of the Buddhas, "or Mûla (jrantha, < the book 's text(1). > The Chinese explain this term of the same way. The Sutras are, according to a curious note from M. Landresse, "The doctrines attached or sewn; is the name generally of all theteach-"holy births; these are the texts of the sacred books, where we simply treat" Of the lawin sustained, long speechesor short (2).» We recognize in this explanation the trace of the etymological meaning of the word Sutra, and at the same timetime application that are the Buddhists tothat they specially calltheir sūtras. These booksare attributed to the last of the Buddhas recognized by all the Buddhists, that is to say to Sakyamuni or Sakya, the solitaryof the raceCakya, who is pictured conversing without orseveral of his disciples, inpresence of an assembly made up of other disciples and listeners of all species, from the Gods tomen (3). I will show soon that the Buddhist texts determine the formproper to any Sutra, and I will establish that heexists between several of these booksdifferences which are likely to throwofday on their originand their development. At the moment heenough for mesee the characters the more general, and to indicate briefly the spotoccupied by the sūtras in all the Buddhist scriptures of Nepal. This place is, as we see, very high, since the sūtras pass forthe speech even of the last Buddha, and that report Mr Hodgson, hethere is not of title that enjoysofmoreof authority than this one(4). Written in generalin a form and in a language very simple, the Sutraskeep the trackvisible from their origin. What are the dialogues related to the morality and to thephi-philosophy, where Çâkya fulfills therole of master. Far from presenting his thought underthat concise form that is sofamiliar to Brahmanic teaching, itthedeveloped with repetitions and a tiring diffusion no doubt, but who give to teaching the character of true preaching. Heyhas onegulf between his method and that of the Brahmins. In the place of this teachingmystery confided almost in secret to a small number of listeners, instead of these formulas whose studied obscurity seems also well made to discourage the penetration of the disciple that to exercise it, the Sutras show us aroundfrom Câkya a large audience, composedof all those who wish to listen to it, and, in hislanguage, it needs to be to understand which words to(1) Notices of the languages, in Asiat. Raeafches, t.XVI, p. 422.(2) Foe lioue fei, p. 321, note 6.(3) Hodgson, Notices of the languages, llterature, etc., in Asiat. 1 {es., \. XVI, p. 422.(4) Hodgson, Quot. from orig. Sanscr. author., in Journ. of the Asiat. Soc. of Bengal, t.VI,p. S7, drown t-

## Page 75

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .33all the intelligences, andwho, by hisperpetual repetitions, don't leave anyapologize to mind theless attentive nor to the most rebellious memories. Thisdeep difference is in essence even the Buddhisnie, doctrine which the proselytism is the feature characteristic; but the proselytizing himself is not an effect of this feeling of kindness and of love universal that animatesthe Buddha, and that is to both the causes and thepurpose of the missionheis givenon the ground. Heone should not believe, however, that these brief maxims, iftasted of antiquity, are entirely lacking in the teaching of Cakya; on the contrary, westill find in the Sûlras several traces of this sensitive exhibition which sums up a long development in a few words or in a stanzaconcise. But these maxims, which we could call of real Sûlrasaccording to the Brahmanic acceptation of this term, are quite rare in the Sutrasfrom Nepal, and heFIMT the look is longin the midst of the waves oflyricsunder which sometimes goes the thinking. Heis allowed to believe that Cakyashould not refrain from the use of these sentences, and that theremembrance of the jobhein doing in his teaching has promoted the application special all thathis disciples have made the term deSûtra in the extending his preachingmoral and philosophical. The title of h second class, that of Vmaya, means discipline, and ween counters at every moment in the texts the various forms of the radical of which this word derives used with the meaning of discipline, suit. Los Buddhists Chinese understand this term in the same way, and Mr. Rémusat thedefinesthus:" theprecepts, the rules, the laws and the ordinances, literally the" Good government (i). "The meaning of this word cannot d () ncto dono difficulty; but, by a singularity that it seems difficult to understand, apart from a few short treatises relating to the religious practices few importantaunts, the collection of Mr.Ilodgson does not offer works that are placedintheclass of the Vinaya, as itowns some that belong to that from Sutras. In the two lists that I have cited plushaut, the name of Vinaya will be present a single time, and yet is it not used with this character of generality he has in the expression of Vinaya pitaka," The Compendium of the disci-"pliny." It only appears on the liter of a philosophical treatise, the Vinayasurlra, of which I have indicated the existence just now, and which heenough for me to sayat the moment it is not attributed to Càkyamuni (5). So where does it come from that(1) Foe koue Here, p. i08.,,(2) Asian girl. Researches, t. XVI, p. 431. Tramait, of the Roy. Aslat. Soc. t.II.p. • ii '). Page 76

34INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthe class of the Vinaya is not, like that of the Sutras, represented in 1 ^Mr. Hodgson's collection? Could it be that therelated worksat the disciplined are missing in this collection, either because Mr Hodgson would have been infind none, either because that these works would bein realitya lotless numerous than the Sutras? Careful examination of some of the volumes of the Nepalese collection, compared with the list of works contained in the Tibetan Kah-gyur gives, if I am not mistaken, the solution of this difficulty. In studying the analysis has made Csoma of the Tibetan library, I have there recognized a definite number of treaties bearing securities which are found in the Sanskrit collection discovered in Nepal by Mr Hodgson. These treaties ^ including somehave been cited in the beginning of the present work, belong generally the same class in one and in the other collection; and such book, which is called Sûlra after the double authority of the Nepalese tradition and of manuscript even that the contains, is partaccording to Tibetans, of the category of Mdo, that is to say the Sutras.

But we meetfrequent exceptions to his normal regularity, and it here are examples of works which should, according totheir titleSanskrit, to be related to different classthat that that they have assigned to the translators Tibetan. A few examples will suffice formake meunderstand. The collection of M. Hodgson contains a large number of treatises of small extent which bear the title of Avadâna, titlethat I will examine everything at he hour, and on which I am content with notice that he is of aapplication with little near as frequent as one of Sutra. I even believe that by bringing together to the two great collections of Divya avadâna and the avadāna çalaka all the treaties of this name scatteredin the collectionNepali we ownToParis, we find much more of avadanas that of Sutras. But several ofthese treaties have exactly the shape desSûtras, and by following a classificationrigorous, itshould the separate structures which have the title of avadāna and thatdo not offer the constitutive characters of a true Sutra. However the con-melting as I reported here is reproduced in the Tibetan collection, and we REN-against among the Mdo or the Sutras a very large number of treatises whichthe title of Avadâna. The distinction between these two classes of books is thereforenotso decided that they could not place themselvesnext to each other in aclassification very broad of Buddhist scriptures. That posed, he would seem that all we have of Sanskrit Avadânasshould be found in the thirty volumes of Tibetan Mdo . It did is nothinghowever, and several qualified Sanskrit texts of Avadânas havetook placeinthe Dul-va des Tibétains which is other than the Yinaya vastu Sanskrit. I will quoteamong others the Pûrna avadâna, the Saiîigha rakchita avadâna, the Sûkarikâ avadâna, Page 77

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .35treaties to which it would probably easy to add others, if we possé-say exactly in Sanskrit everything that contains in Tibetan thelibrary of Kaligyur. Now for a few Avadânas could have been understood in Tibet in the framework of the "Vinaya, hewas that these avadānas had more or less directly relating to the discipline.I conclude from this obseivation that if the class of Vinayaseems to miss everything in fact to the collection of Mr. Hodgson, is that the title gen-neral of this class is masked by the particular titles of some books whichmust go there. The list of Buddhist works given by M. Hodgson, and including it will be frequently spoken below, provides us with two examples of Avadânaswhonecessarily belong to the class of the Vinaya; these are the Kathina avadâna, which discusses the vas3, the stick and the garment of religious, and Pindapâtra ava-dana, which is relative to the vessel to collect the alms (1). The Nepalese docanignore the big dividethe writings Buddhist in threeclasses, since their very books, canonical texts and commentators, inspeak as to something vulgar. But we do n't ownabook catalogSanskrit fromNepal where the books are stored sousl'une or under the other of classes to which they belong. The fact, however, must not not prevail against the law, and in the absence of any positive information on thispoint, we an in any insurance use the Tibetan tradition, which, fixed by the écri-ture between the VII \* ' and the XIII ^ century of our era, we offer the information prior to close from eleven centuries to the collected tradition, hetwenty years agoviron at Nepal. I hesitate even less to fill the gaps of the traditionNepalese by the data provided by the Kah-gyur library, which this library not contained invery little dechose near that of the translations of Sanskrit books, and that the books of Nepal derive their authority from the language inwhich they have been written, much more than in the country where Mr. Hodgson 's has de-Covered. I now move on to the third division, that of the Abhidharma pitaka.

thecommentary on the Abhidharma kôça, which I havecited above, explains thewordabhidJiarma by ahhimukhô dharmah, "the law present or manifest(2)," andit'sAlso well as hear the interpretersTibetans from Kah-gyur (S).Buddhists Chinese do givenot such a clear explanation when theysay that abhidharma means speech, conversation, and they add that " these are"of treated where, by themeans of requests and ofanswers, we doa choice(1) Asian girl. Researches, t. XVI, p. 430. .(2) Abhidharma kôça vyàlujû, i.% H àw. man. of the Asian Society.(3) Csoma, Asiat. Researches, t.XX, p. 43..

## Page 78

36INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY" Stopped between the various processes specified by the law (1)."I will showmoretird, by exposing the data kept by thetraditionSinhalese on theBuddhist scriptures, that the meaning of speech is not unknown toSouthern Baddhists; only, by translating ahhidharma by "speech pro-"nuncio for the Gods, "the Singhalese have tried to note the importance of those books that contain in reality the party the mosthigh of thebud- doctrineethics. The Abhidharma contains in effect the metaphysical, and in general the opin-deny that the Buddhists are of toat what exists. This title doesappears in any of the two lists of Mr. Hodgson; Abhidharma does lack however notto his collection, and hethere is représentépar the Piadifia paramilâ, "the Perfection ofthe wisdom of or "the Wisdom transcendent," according the explanation that give of this term the Tibetans (2), and according to M. Schmidt, the Mongols (3). I'll be backfurther down on this title when I reviewbooks that the bear ;hemust aupara-efore complete the description of unemanière general three divisions the most widethe writings Buddhist. Presented as it is in the aforementioned passage of the commentary on the Abhi-dharma koçà, and in the analysis of the Kah-gyur of Csoma de Côrôs, this classification tion of books of Sakya seemsembrace the works of equal authority, and nothing indicates that he exists between the three collections that it understands a differenceany. A review more attentive enables however, suspect the existenceofsome useful distinctions for the knowledge of booksgathered under thesethree main leaders. So I find various passages from Abhidharma koçawhich one is in right to conclude that the books which contain the Abhidharmaemanatenot directly, nor in the same liter as the Sûlras, of the preaching from Çàkya. The author of the treaty including I speak says, for example, in proper terms:<LAbuddhôklam Ahhidharma çàstram »(thebook which contains the metaphysical hasnot been exposed by the Buddha) (4). The elements of this part of the doctrineBuddhist are, according to him, scattered in various books OII Sakya states inci-now andby treating others subjects several principles of metaphysics, like this:" Any compound is perishable, "fundamental axiom in all the schools Buddhist, and that the commentator chose to prove thisopinion, that without having exposed positively Abhidharma or the metaphysical, Śākya do was not less founded this part of the science parson teachingsis lying. It knows even the Sûlras, as the Arlha viniçlchaya, which apto(1) A. Rémusat, Foe koue ki, p.i08.(2) Csoma, Analy. of ihe Dul-va, in Asiat. Uesearvlm, t.XX, p. 43.(3) Geschichte der Ost-Mongolen, p. 355.(1) Ahhidharma kôça vtjukijn. [.127 b of my manuscript.

Page 79

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .37plies the title of Abhidharma, ^ diVce that one finds there the definition of the laws, or, of away more gi'nérale, the definition of everything that refers to the term very wide ofD / iarma, to know the conditions, the reports, theActs or

the waxes that are présen-tent s'ôus such and such conditions, which support between them such and such reports, and which are governed by such and such laws (1). Hemust, adds the commentator, than rAbhidharma has been part of the de Câkya education, since it is matterin a Sutra of a Religious to whichwe attributed the knowledge of three Pitakas (2). I will soon examine what hemust think of the presence of this title((the three Pitakas î in a treaty which passes to emanate directly from the preaching of Çâkya; this that it is now important to note is that, following Before our author, metaphysical treatises are made up of axiomswho isfound dispersed in the teaching of Çâkya, which we have detached from it, and from whichthere has been a body apart under the name à'Abhidharma.(1) It does not need to gather herethe notions that Mr. Hocigson gives us of this wordimportant in several places of his writings on the Buddhism of the North. Dharma, derived fromdhrl (to contain), in this way, "Dhàranâtmika itidharmah, "means nature, constitution clean; it is in this sense that one of the great schools of the North has itulook at this term assynonymous of Pradjha, the Supreme Wisdom, that is to say, the wisdom of the Nature taken to the fundand the cause of all the existences. The term Dharma means yet: 1 of morality, the virtue; 2 » the law, or the moral code; 3 "the effects "materials, or the phenomenal world. (Hodgson, Europ. Specul. on Duddii., in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bevg., t.IH, p. 502.) According to the same author, this mol refers to a way pluslarge even the sentient beings and things and the phenomenaexterior. (Hodgson, Further note on the Inscript, from Sûrndth, in / owrn. Asiat. Soc. Of Bengal,t. IV, p. 213 and Sil4.) I usually translate this term by condition, other times by laws; cornnone of these translations is perfectly complete; hebe heard by Dharma that that factone thing is what it is, which is its natural clean, as was well demonstrated Lassen ..on the occasion of the famous formula "Ye dharma hôtuprabhavà." (Lassen, Zeitschrift für die Kundedes Morgenland, t.1, p. 228 and 229.) H there has even many cases where itdo not have to hurry much the meaning of this word, because it is very vague and almost insensitive, especially at the endof a compound. So I found in any moment, in the legends of Avadàua catoka, the termDeva dharma, it must be translated, not by "duty or merit of this that should be given, "butby "charity, offering; "That is to say that there must be a road made of rofi" rande and alms, and not the duty to accomplish, nor the merit which is it attached. This meaning is put beyond doubt by the formerpressure Dêya dharma parityûgn, which has no other meaning than the abandonment of an offering. {Mahâ-vastu, f.193 b of my man.) It includes no trouble how of the idea of duty or meritthe charity, we go to the general idea of charity, and from thereby the wayparticular of a charityspecial; our French word itself has all this breadth of meaning. This expression is, from Moreover, a the most authentic and the most ancient of Buddhism, because it belongs to all schools. Clough, in his Dictionnairesinghalais (t.II,p. 283, col. 2), gives it positi-vement with the meaning of offerings, gifts, charity; and I think I discovered it among the inscriptionsCaverns Sàimhâilri, in northern Djunîra, in the west of India. Prinsep {Note on Sylct's Inscript., Âiin> Jov m. Aaiat. Soc. of Beng., t.VI,p. 1042 and pi.HIM), who hasifFortunatelydeclaimed these short legends, y lii Dayàdhama, which he translates as "compassion and piety;"inmoving the vowels, I find ^ JLD'8 rf ^ "/ o <^ / '0" î "î" (gift, offering).(2) Abhidharma kôça vyàkhyû, f.8 6 of my manuscript.

Page 80

38'INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYBut if this is so, we can say that the Abbicharma comes in through its ori-ginein the class of sūtras, and that the section of metaphysical works shouldespecially its existence in so that section separately, in a working of compilation which was extracted from teaching of the Buddha; and in pursuing these consequences, we can say with the Buddhists of Nepal that the Sutras are vérita-ably the word of the Buddha, Buddha vatchana, and the text fundamental MIILAgrantha. Heremains still beside Sūtras thesection of Vinaya; but wehave seen what analogies offer, at least as to the shape, the books that make up these two classes, since various treaties filed by the Nepalese inmany of the sūtras are placed, according to the Tibetans, among the sources of Vinaya. It includes also easily that the point of Yinaya or of disci-pline which pass for established by Sakya itself have been able to be in hisspeech, or a way more generalin his preaching; and like the Sutras contain this preaching, it is allowed to say that the Vinaya is that a part of the Sutras, a section composed of those of the speeches of Çàkya-providedwhich more specifically relate to the disciplined. In the course of the observations which comes to give place classification the most general of Buddhist books, I have reported the origin of these booksToSakyamuni, that is to say, the last of the seven Buddhas humans that the tradition haskept the memory (1). I have done that reproduce on this point the opinion of Nepalese, which attribute to the last of the Buddhas they recognize the composition is thewriting of their books sacred. The dates of these books are found and placedinthe time history, and put in the shelter of all the uncertainties and of all the doubts that she could give birth, if the tradition would have attached to the existence of Phoneor such of those ancient Buddhas, who, if they havenever existed, will escapelong time in the making of thehistorical criticism. No doubt hedoes not resultnot yet of this testimonyarigorous precision for the determination of a fact that he would be so important to determine from the way the most accurate, sincethe time of the last Buddha is apoint disputed between the various schools budgetethical. It is, however, alreadyaadvantage of being exempted from examining, to beginning a search of history literature, the issue of knowing when have existedJes sixBuddhaswhich preceded, it is said, Çâkyamuni, or to have to demonstrate, as the thought ofclever critics, that these Buddhas owe their existence to the desire that the last would have had to assure to his doctrine the merit of a traditionconsecrated by one long succession of ancient sages. Thanks to the good faith of the Nepa-foreshore, this issue of Buddhas prior to Sakya is all to factdistinct from(1) Hodgson, Notices, etc., in Asia!. Researchies, t.XVI, p. 422. Page 81

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .39that which concerns the date books Buddhist, and this will be notheir fault if the Western critics complicate the difficulties of the second by dealing beforethe time of the premiere. This would in effect an attempt premature as that of classify these ancient Buddhas chronologically before having noticed and appreciated the authenticity of the books that we the are known. It would even put in jeopardy from the difficult minds the positive data contained in these books, that of the present as carrying back the origin of Buddhism in a very ancient mythological. I don't wantnot say in there that flaw reject without examination, as the concepts purely fabulous, everything that tell books Buddhist of these Buddhas prior to Çàkya; and although I expected little from results positive for this research, Inot me believe not the right of the condemn in advance without have tried it. I only wish to establish that the

question of the origin of the booksBuddhism must remain foreign to that of the old Buddhas; and I want to takeact, the name of the criticism, oftestimony of Nepalis who donot allowto go back to after the last Buddha none of the books that we have con-Servé the doctrines Buddhist. The traditional Nepalesegomore far again, and she says that it was Sakya whowrote the first of these works, and that it was to little close to the Buddhism that that Vyàsaaété for the Brâhmanism (1). Mr Hodgson, heis right, by reporting this opinion, warns us that heborncould quote in hisfavor thetestimony of any text, and I would add that indeed none of the works we have Paris is not supposed to have been written by Çâkyahimself. I do n't believe thatthis second partof the Nepalese tradition deserves as much trust as the first. I will notice first that she is formally contradicted by the as-sertions other schools Buddhist, and for us to stick to those that are the closer from the primitive source, Inot mention that the books of Tibet and those of Ceylon. The Tibetans, like the Sinhala, claim that these were three ofmain disciples of Câkyà who unitedin onebody works the doctrinesestablished by his preaching: It was Ananda who collected the sūtras, Upāli the Vinaya, and Kâçyapa TAbhidharma (2). The books Sinhala we have even preservedtouching that first compilationthe writings Buddhist,a host of de-very curious details that we will recall elsewhere. It to me only in this time of op-to posethis double testimony, to the opinion of the Nepalese, thatdo not no moreeasy to justify by the form of the Buddhist books . We have already said this form is that of a speech or a dialogue in which Çâkya appearsenlrete-(1) Hodgson, Notices, etc., in Asiat. Researches, 1.XVI, p. 422.(2) Csoma, Anal, of the Dul-va, in Asiat. Researches, t.XX, p. 42. Turnour, Mahûvamso,p. 12 sqq..he

Page 82

40INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYnant with his disciples; and those of these books which, according to the Nepalese, pass foraulhenlical books, that is to say the sūtras, all begin with this strong-mule: "This is how hea key hearingby me." If this sentence sufficientlysignificanthas been placed on the goof the books attributed to Cûkya, it isthat we don't could, without contradicting the tradition of better established, are exempt from markthe intervalthat existed between Cakyn, from whose teaching emanated thesebooks, and the religious who the collected after him. All of usdoorso to believe that Cakya, similarin it to autresfondateurs of religions hassatisfied to establish his doctrine through oral teaching, andthat it is only after him that we has felt the need to fix it by writing, for in ensuring the conservation. This opinion will receive a new confirmation of the story of the first temptationtives of writing made in the council which will meet after the death of Sākya. But I have to postpone consideration of these facts at the time oij I gather that thatthe tradition and thetexts uslearn about the destinies of the collection bud-dhique since themoment where it has been collected for the first time in abody of works.By exposing this asweknow, according to the Nepalese tradition of the triple Division of scriptures Buddhist, I said that this division was for her the TEtestimony of texts enjoying some authority; I havereported, among others, several passages of the Abhidharma kôça vyâkhyà, and I could have 'to mention amuch larger number, since this book reminds allinstant litersby SùirciyVinaya and AbJiid karma. But this treatyis nota canonical book; it is the work of two authors, none of whom has hidden his name, a work of which weignore the date, butwho is probably modern. What that is Tajo, the compilation is of far posterior to the canonical books to which she isrefers to any time. It is thereforenot surprising that there way citésles liters gen-nerals under which these books are

classified .But what place of surprise,is that these titles can already be read in the canonical books themselves, booksthat the tradition not donehowever notup to over the last RudJha.Before attempting to explain this fact, heimportant to expose itûeltement.I alleged above, according to the authorof the Abhidharma kôça, the testimonyof a Sûlra(that is to say from one of the books that everything leads us to look at asthe most ancient), where one quotes a Religious contemporary Śākya 'passingto know the Tripitaka, or the three collections of sacred scriptures (1). Thistestimony is not isolated,and several treatiespart of the great collectiontitled Divya avadânarepeat this liter of Tripitaka, as ifwas perfect-(1)Abhidlinrnia kôça vyâkyà, (. B b ùe my manuscript. Page 83

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .41ment known and in use since the time of Sakya; I think it is enough to reject as a notethese indications (1). Not only these three great classes are mentioned as wella way collective, they are still listedmore than once, each with their titlespecial, and the third is under a remarkable name. Heis in-dispensable from citing here thepassages kids wherethese titles appear: "Bet-"pritchtchhanti Sûtrasya, Vinayasya, Màlrïkâyâh, "that is to say, theymake thequestions on the Sûlra, on the Vinaya, on the Màliïkà (2); "Sûlram Màtrïkâtelia Dôvamanuchyêchu pratichthitam," that is to say, the Sutra and the Mâtrïkà areestablished at middle of men (3); this AyuchmalàMahaKâtyâyanêna pravrâdjitah,"tônapravradjya Mâtrikà adhîtâ;»That is to say, the respectable MahâKàtyâyanahimfitkiss herreligious life; when hehad itkissed, heread theMy-trika(4). What can we conclude from these texts? Will it be said that the threefold division of the budgetdhics already existed in Cakyamuni's time ^ But one of two things: orit was prior to him, or it came from him. If it was earlier, that issay if it was derived from the Buddhas that it is said to have preceded it, the tradition is wrongwhen she reportsto the last Buddha, to Çâkyamuni himself, the books thatwehave today ;if, on the other hand, it came from him, the traditiondeceives again, by attributing to the three main disciples of Sakyamuni the di-vision of the sacred scriptures, and inplacing this division after his death. Corn, let us hasten to the state, heit is impossible that the tradition is in error onthese twopoints at a time, and I don't then admit that the rare enough mentions thatthe works sacred deemed are of the triple division of the scriptures Buddhistmust prevail over the testimony of the Nepalese tradition, which thus confirms that it shall see later, that in the tradition of Ceylon. The quotes reported just now I seem to be of such interpolations that are introduced naturally in the books that we did passof theform oral to thewritten form. 'Incollecting, after the death of Câkyamuni, the teaching of their master, the disciples classified themmemories again alive from this teaching under threesecurities generals that do not represent imperfectly then ames of morality, disciplineandof metaphysics. Occupied as they sucked in this division, itwas very difficult that they do lais-sassent not percerquelques clues in the books themselves that there were(1) Pûrna, in Divya avad., F.26 b of mon. from the Asian Society:" Tripitakah samghah "(assembly which knows the three collections). Kôtikarna, ibid., F. 9 ft;" Têna trîlîyapitakam adhituni "(by him the third collection was read ).(2) Kôtiknrna, ibid., F. 9 b.(3) Sofhyha rakchila, ibid., F. 166 a.(4)Kôtikarna, ibid., F. 9 a. Page 84

42INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYenter. Voiià for the time elders. But if, since this first draft, heis is a second a third; if the books, kept for a long time by the oral tradition, have been revised several times, is it not natural that the titles of the three major classes, which

we continued to respect of the antiquity of their origin, have crept into some of the booksunderstoodunder these same classes? It is of this way I explain howheis made that thelitersremembered morehighappearin theeven body ofbooks attributed to the last Ruddha, that is to say at a time when, following thetradition, these titles were not not still invented. I do not see therenothing ofpre-meditated, and themadeseems to me to bevery simple. Possible in the assumption of a single writing of the sacred books, it became inevitable at the momentthanthese books were written more than once; because at the time of the second drafting, and morestill at the time of the third, the division of Buddhist scripturesin three classes was fait accompli, a factalmost sacred, that the compi-lators couldeasily confused with the other factskept in thewritings which they gave a new editorial. I will not insist more Sopoint because as I have, in summarizing this that we know from the Nepalese collection, talk about the various editorial staffto havebeen made at various times. I will report only the curious expression of Mâtrikâ, by which the three aforementioned passagesofDivya avadâna designate, towhat it seems, the third class of the Tripitaka. She recalls the title of Yumor Ma-mo <the mother, "that the Tibetans give to this same class(4). Nothing welearn the origin of this denomination; we knowonly, by the texts of Nepal she is familiar withto the Ruddhists of this country, likesheeast to those of Tibet have no doubt borrowedto Sanskrit texts (2). It takes theregarded as old, since it is accepted by all theschools, that of the South like that from the North. I noticed in fact, in one ofSuttas(Sūtras) pale themmost esteemedfromSinghalese, that Religious arenamed "possessors of the law of the discipline and of the Màtrïkâ (3);d and thisphrase is repeated in a different collection no less famous (4). I have tohowever warn that Mr. Turnour is doingof the Mâtrikâ aportion of Vinaya. Finally, and so as not to omit anything which touches on the most general divisionsnérales the scriptures Buddhist, I'll expose a different classification on which the traditionnépalaisegarde, to my knowledge, a silent complete(1) Csoma, Analyze. of the Dul-va, in Asiat. Researches, t. XX, p. 43.(2) Csoma, Notices on the life of Shakya, in Asiat. Researches, t.XX, p. 317.(3) Parinibbûna sutta, in Digha nikàya, f. 92a of my manuscript. (4) Anguttara nikàya, f.khi b, man. of the Library of the King.

Page 85

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .43 and which, however, is often indicated in the texts, more often even thanthe division into three classes considered very hour. I wanttalk about the four Agamas, or collections beyond law, including the Divya avadāna done several times mentioned. Here are the texts where Ifind the indication :c <HerfivuchmatâCâriputtrôna prac-"vràdjita upasampâdita Agamatchatuchtayam tchagrâhitah, "that is to say, When hehad been introduced by the respectable Śāriputra (1) in the religious life, hereceived the nomination and theknowledge of the four Agamas (2). Agamatcha-tuc / itmjam adhltam. "The four Agamas have been read (3). » Ilmpy Âgamatcha-tuchtayam sthâpayêt."Let him establish hereeven the four Agamas (4i.^ Finallythe titles of these four Agamas are given to us in thenext passage: Tvam tûvat samyuktakam adhîchva, tvam api madhyamam, tvamapi dlrghâ-mam ... Aham api iâm êvâikôttarikâm vïmrichtarûpim pradjvålayâmi. "Lily"so, you theshort Agama, you theaverage, youthebig; as for me, I myself" Charge of clarifying the additional collection, of which I clearly considered the subject (5).» Hewill be therereststill some doubt about the titleoffourth Agama, which isquite obscure. What it in either of the point ofdetail, we have herefour collectionsor collections on which thetraditionnepalidoes not teach us anything. That which

giveshowever, interest in this classification is that it finds, and we the will say more later in(I)Çâripulira is, with Mâiidgalyàyana, of whom we will speak later, the first of the disciplesof Çâkyamuni. We can see in the Foe koue ki, As the text of Fa Liian well balanced of MM. A. Remusat and Klaproth, the details also intéressantsqu'exacts on this character famous. The passages that are related to his birth and his death are listed all the table of thiswork. The only point which is subject to dispute is the note where itIt is said that Càriputtra hadbeen educated in the Pradifia, or in the Wisdom, by the famous Avalôkitêçvara. {Foe koue ki,p. 107.) This assertion is most likely borrowed from some developed Sutra; I don'tnot find the slightest traces in the books that I review in this moment. Çàriputtra was called Upatichya is the name that the Tibetans translate Ne rgyal, and that Klaprolh quotes. {Foe koue ki,p. 264. Csoma, Asiat. Res., T.XX, p. 49.) There it stood her father, who is appelaitTicliya, while thatthe name of Śāriputra him came from his mother Carika. (Csoma, Asiat. Res., T.XX, p. 49.) Fa hian uslearns that he was born in the village of Nalo, near Râdjagriha. Heis singular that Klaprolhdid not compare to this name that of Na lan tho, of which the Chinese authors speak, between 780 and 804 of our era. {Foe koue ki, p. 256.)The first name is only an abbreviation of the second Nalan tho, and the latter reproduces exactly the spelling Nalada or Nalanda that Csoma gives {Akiat. Res., T.XX, p. 48), or more rigorously still Ndlanda, as the texts writeSanskrit from the North and Pali books from the South. The Mahâvastu names this place Ndlanda grâma, and theup to a half of yôdjana Rajagriha capital old of Magadha. {Mahdvasiu, f.264 amy man.) The book that I quote in this time reports with great detail the history of theyouth and for the conversion of Upatichya or Śāriputra, and almost in the same terms as the Tibetan Dul-va analyzed by Csoma. {Asian girl. Res., T.XX, p. 48 ff)(2J Saiîigha rakchita, in Divya avadâna, f.165 o.\(3) Kôtikarna, in Divya avad., F.9 a.(4) Saiîigha rakchita, in Divya avadâna, f.166 a.(5) Id. Ibid.. Page 86

44INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthe Singhalese exactly with the same title, except the fourth which itbedAnguttara (1). She is notless familiar to the Chinese, and among the worksoriginal buddhistwhich make use of their authors, itthere are few that aremore frequently cited than the Agamas. I have already recalled the general title of thesefour collections, in speaking of books Indians who had to be translated to the China. I add here that the four Agamas are nominally cited, according to agreat Chinese compilation, in a substantial note by M. Landresse on the Foe koue ki(2), the fourth Agamas it bears the titlethat he has among the Singha-lais, AngnItara, which would suggest that heit is for the Chinese Agamas of the South, and not those of the North, if however itexists touching thesebookssome difference between the two schools. I suspect, however, that theBuddhists of theChina also know thedenominationNepali,Êkôttara; because it is without doubt this title that Mr. A. Rémusat has already translated, perhaps a little obscurely, by "the Agama increased by one (3)."Heis probable that, for the Buddhists of the North as for those of the South, this division, away to embrace all the scriptures Buddhist, not be related to the lass of sūtras. But as the Sanskrit texts where I the finds will decidenot this point I thought it necessary to point out here, except toycome back when I comparebookspalis de Ceylon in the Sanskrit books of Nepal. The divisionin threelarge classes, that i have exposed the first, we shows the Established Buddhism to title of religionand ofphilosophy; because sheembraces the discipline, the moral and metaphysical, and it meets and atousthe requirements which the preaching of Śākyamuni

had to aim to satisfy. But she 's not the only oneknown to Nepal, and Mr. Hodgson told usgiven, and as I 've said earlier, two lists of books Buddhist, written according to a different system. These two lists, which have been published, one in ca-European characters and with some details on the works of which it is composed, the other in Devanagari characters, but without any explanation, have been also arranged [without regard to the tripledivision in Sutra, Vinaya and Abhidharma. We will see, it is true, to appearvery often the name of Sutra; but the titles of Vinaija and A' Abhidharma are missing absolutely; and stillone of Sutra is it does not put in evidence, as would be required if the Compilers of these lists would have wanted to indicate that the Sûlras formed to they alone one of the three great classes of sacred scriptures. The classification of the list published in Devanâgaris characters is, according to Mr. Hodgson, the work of (1) Tarnour, Mahàvamso, Append., P. lxxv.'\*(2) Foe koue ki, p.327.(3) Jofirnal des Savants, year 1831, p. 605 and 726.

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .45Religious buddhislethat he employed; andthis scholar, who from the outset of hislooking fortook so manyprecautions to arrive at the truth, warns usheis doubtfulthat this classification could be justified by the testimony of books themselves (1). This finding myself waiver of me stop long, and helt will suffice for me to say that this division into Pûram or old books, KâvfjaWherepoems, Vyâkaram or grammars, Kôça or dictionaries, Tantra or ritualsascetics, Dhârani or charms and formulas, not only mixes the layman with the sacred, but confused, under the denominationwave of old books ,books of characters and of titles very different.the classificationmuch more detailed information that Mr. Hodgson attached to his first memory of the Buddhism has a more important and deserves to a high degree of attention from the criticism by the number and by the variety of information it contains, and of more, because sheis, to littlethingclose, also admittedby the Buddhists of Ceylon. Hewe needexamine itherein detail, because that 'slights that we will find there must be used toguide us in themaze obscure the literatures acred to Buddhists. We have in outrel'avantage of the find in the Chinese, where she is commented and justified by curious observations (2 ;;and we are wellin condition of supplying insome cases in silence of the BuddhistsNepalese. Of same that the listing given to Mr. Hodgson by his Religious, the one wepoint out at this moment is written without any regard to thetriple division of Buddhist scriptures. The works there are brought back, according to their content, undertwelve principal chiefs, or, for we use words similar to Hodgson, the Buddhist scriptures are of twelve kinds, each knownthrough a namedifferent (3).\ \ " Sutra. These are the writings fundamental (Mula grantha) -as the((Rakshabhâgavatî and the Achtasâhasrikâ Pradjnà ^ pramitâ. They are equivalent"to the Vêdas of the Brahmans. "I notice first that we see here reappear the opinion already indicated, that the Sûlras are the fundamental scriptures of the Buddhists; but the bookscited asspecimens of the class of sūtras give rise to a difficultymade to stop a reader who would not have access to the manuscripts of theseworks themselves. We have seen that the Pradinà is here (and I now addthe Rakchabhâgavatî, which is just another title) was devoted to the meta-physical, and that in this capacitythiswork was placed by thetranslators(1)Transact. of the Eoy. Asian girl. Society, t. II, p. 229.(2) Landresse, in the Foe koue ki, p. 321 sqq.(3) Notices, etc., in Asiat. Researches, t. XVI, p. 426 and 427. Page 88

46INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYTibetan the number of bookswhich iscompound the Abhidharma pitaka. Yesthe Pradjnâ paramilâ belongs to the Abhidharma, how can it becitedas a model of the class of sūtras? This comes, I believe, not only from the importance of the Prajñā, that is, among the Buddhists of the North, acollection fundamental for themetaphysics, but stillit that this Treaty, as well as the various editions that we possess, is a veritable sutrain the form. Here is verifiedthis that Isay morehigh on the possibility dofit the Abhidharma section into that of the Sutras. This possibility, thatIdeduced from the Abhidharma kôça testimony, must be admitted as abe positive nowthat we see themtreaties devoted to the metaphysical presented in the form of true sutras, and it is noted that thetra-Tibetan leaders can only form their Abhidharma section withbookswhich will provide for the Sutras, that is to say for speeches of Buddha. 2 ° "Gêya." These areouvragesen honor of the Buddhas and the Bodhi<(salivas written in onemeasured language. The Gita Govinda of Brahmins is "equivalent to our Gîta pustaka, which belongs to the class of Gêyas. "I addin this description that the Gita Pustaka, otherwise said Gita Pustakasamiraha, or Summary of the Book of Songs, is described by Mr. Hodgson as a collection of songs on religious subjects, composed by various authors (1). This gives me place to think that this book does is not partfrom the original collectionginal the scriptures Buddhist. The listby Mr. Hodgson does not quoteno otherGêya. This titlemeans" Doneto be sung; "and if heyades Gêyas in thebooks that go for inspiration, these Gêyas not have to be that of fragmentsor more or less extensive heaps, composed in verse, andwho can besung. But I do not find that the Gêyas forma class of books reknownby the commentators that I have been to even to see, and Ibornthen explain the existence of this title in the list of Mr. Hodgson that of twonianières: where the Gêyas are verses or songs that are part of the booksprimitive, and, as I it said just now, excerpts from these books, or areworks after the division of the Buddhist scriptures into three classes. I added that it may be of Gêyas of these two species, in other words that we must find in the texts Buddhist chants or only of wormsappointed Gêyasy all as it can that authors modern have madethe songs of this kind in honor of the Buddhas and of the Bôdhisattvas. the testimony of Buddhists of China confirmed the first of these two supposition(1) Notices, etc., in Asiat. ResearcJies, t. XVI, p. 431.

Page 89

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .47lions. "This word, they say,meanscorresponding song or doubled song,"that is to say he answersto a previous text, andthat he,therepeat for in" Manifest thesense. Heis from six to four,of three or two sentences (1). "This definition appliesexactly at those stanzas that wemeet dis-perséesin all booksemanated from the preaching of Çâkya, and whichhave forobjectto summarize and toto present,in a precise form, the meaning of a speechor a story. In the sūtrasdeveloped (Vâipulya sutra) of which hewill be spokenlower down, these verses or stanzas sometimes occupyaconsiderable space,and their number exceeds by far theproportions fixed by the definitionChinese;but their object is always theeven, and hethereto nothingimportantin the part poetics of these books which will be alreadyin the exhibitioninprose. I will notice however that,in the sūtras that Ijust to mention and which theLotus of the good faith provides a model, these stances are preceded by a formula of this kind:tAt this time Bhagavat (Çâkyamuni) pronounced the following stanzas. "boasts, "and that these stanzas are called Gâthâ. It seems to me that according to theChinese definition we should find

here Gêya instead of Gâthâ; but thisslight difficulty is explained ifwe admit that Gêya isthegeneric name of all that is by its shapecapable of being sung, and that the word of Gâthâdesignateseach of stances same which is composed the geya. In a word, aGêya can be formed of only one Gàihâ, as itcan contain somevarious. We will see down the word of Gdthd used to designate aspecial class of books, and then I will have the opportunity to state this conjecture, than the definition given by the Nepalese list of the term Gêya appliesbetterto that of Gâthâ. But what that eithernuance that themdistinguish one ofthe other, I can say right now that theinot from Gêya would be badunderstood ifwe are seeing that the titleof a class of books as isthat of Sutra. This can be, if one considers collectively all that is Gêya, abstractionmade of the texts where the Gêyas are found. But this title designates, properlytalk, one of the elements which enter into the composition of Buddhist books ;and this observation, which we are going to seerepeat on themore largenumber of the Articles of the Nepalese list, is, if I do me wrong, the only one that we shows this list in its true light.3 ° "Vyâkaram. These are narrative works, containing the storyfrom" various births of Çàkya, before hebecame Nirvana(or rather that heentered" In the Nirvana), the various actions of other Buddhas and Bôdhisaltvas, and "the formulas of prayer and of praise."(1) Landresse, Foe koue M, p. 321 and 322.

48INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYThere are several observations to do on this delineation. The list of Mr. Hodgsonpresenta bignumber of works which are qualified as Vyâkaram çâslra:this are, among others, the Gandi vyuha, the samddhi Raja and the Saddharmapun-darîka. But on any of these books will appear ontitleof Vyâkaram; thosebooks are Su Iras of the species of those called Mahâyâna, or " servantftlarge vehicle, "and several, notably the Saddharma pundarika, port-tent thetitlespecial of Maha Vaipulya Sutraor "Sutra of great development-is lying.)) Where is therefore this title of Vyâkaram we kept the La-Nepalese edition, and is it possible to find in the literature that the wear the Because of the application that they in fact? Heit should first be noted that thistitle must have in the eyes of the Buddhists of Nepal a prettygreat importance, since Hodgson says in one place that he includes three other sub-divisions the writings Buddhist, which hewill be talking all at the time; and that, in a further passage, itteaches us that we hold, albeit wrongly, the Vyàka-rana for the equivalent of the Smrili, or thetraditional science of Brah-manes (I). But these various opinions lose much of their value, if weborncan discover the liter of Vyâkaram on any of the works which, according tothetradition preserved in the listing that we are examining, should the carry. The explanation of this diflîculléis, if I am not mistaken, in the valueclean than the Sanskrit texts of Nepal, like the Pali booksofGeylon, as-sign with the word Vyâkaram. This value, justified by a very large number of passages and through the testimony of the Tibetan versions (2), is that of of the future destinies of acharacter to which Gàkyamuni is addressed, in a wordofprediction. These kinds of predictions, by which Gàkyamuni announces tohis disciples that the dignity of Buddha will be aday thereward of their merits, are very frequent in the Sanskrit texts from Nepal, and he is not almost no Sutra of any extent that does not contains one or more; gold, as they have for the Buddhists an importance considerable, in thisthey will promise to thembelief in a limitless future, and representatives without end, hethey may have (provided an element of sufficient value to a classification which is at least as literary as it is religious. I myself faceso that when we say in Nepal of a reputedly sacred book (and we say it ofvarious Sûtras) that it is a Vydkarana,

it wants to say that this book contains apartmore or less extensive which isdevoted topredictionsthatÇàkyamuni(1) Asian girl. Res., T. XVI, p. 422 and 423.(2) Csoma, Analy. of tlie Sher-chin, etc., in Asiat. Researches, t.XX, p. 409, 410, 453, 454,480, 484. Page 91

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .49address to hisdisciples, or just maybea chapterofpredictions, as it shows in the Lotus of the Good Law. The explanation ofBuddhistsChina is still here agree with the interpretation etymological. "This word"Sanskrit, they say, means explanation. It is when the Tathagata speaking to"Bôdhisattvas, toPrâtyêkas,to the Grâvakas, tell them the story of the Buddhas,"as in the Fa hoa king, where hesays :You Ay to fMâitrêyaJ, in the century<to come, you will accomplish the inteUigenceof Buddha, and youyou will call Mâitrêya (i)."The beginning of this definition is alittle wave, and perhaps in place of <them tells the story of Buddhas, "must we say"they tellthat they<will be Buddhas; but the end of the explanationChinese is more curiousin this that she suggests to mea reconciliation of some interest. I dontthen affirm if Fa hoa king, or the Book of the Flower of the Law(2), is the title truncated, either of the first Chinese version, Tching fahoaking, or of the third, Miao fa, which I must, as I havesaid above, the knowledge Mr. Stan.Julien; but if these titles do not belong at the same work, it is at leastallowed to suppose that the Fa hoaking has a lot of analogy with the Lotusof the good law that we have in Sanskrit; thus \ q A y to of the quoteabove is the Sanskrit Adjita, (cinvincible, "title that,in the Lotus, Mafid-juçrî address each time the bodhisattva Maitreya. I do not find intheLotus thesame sentence that citestheChinese definition; but the sixtyfourth stanza offirst chapter of this work expresses the same idea, although in the terms alittle different. From all the above, it follows that the term Vyâkarana designates, no morea class of Buddhist scriptures, but one of the elements that in these scriptures. It is the Vyâkaranas in the books considered inspired,in the sūtras,for example; but hethere Tonot of Sutras in the Vyâkaranas; in a word, the predictions are contained in the books, as the are the songs ou Gêyas from the previous article; but the books are not no more in the predictions that in the songs.4"" Gâthâ. These arenarrative works, containing moral stories, (kAnêkadharmakathâ (i.e. exhibitions various of the law), relative (1) Landresse, Foe koue M, p. 323. (2) The Fa hoa king is a very frequent book cited in the notes of the Foe koue kl, either by M. A. Réinusat, or by the editors of his work. But the translation that I propose of this titledo is pasdans the Foe koue ki; and I warned, for fear that my mistake, if I doone, not soil attributed to scholarly publishers. In translating way by dharma (law), I am based on themeaning that this monosyllable fa in the formula foe fa seng, which represents, and that hasestablishedM. Landresse, the Sanskrit terms of the famous triad, Buddha, Dharma, Sampha, of which hewill bequestion later .4 Page 92

50INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY"to the Ruddhas. The Lalitavistara is a Vyâkarana of the speciesnamed((Gâthâ. "The comments that I have to do on the Gêyas and Vyâkaranas not be ap-don't complainless rigorouslyto the Gâthâs. This word designates a stanza, andI do know in the collection of Mr. Hodgson no book bearing that title. However, the term Gâthâ is encountered more than once in a large number of of these books ;but, as I 've said the occasion of Gêyas, there are means neverthan the poetic portions of a very variable extent, which are frequently in-troduites in the texts written inprose. We do should heseems to me, tieof no importance to this observation, that the Lalita is a Vyâkarana of

the es-cies called Gdthd; it tends to give the title of Vifâkaram a character ofgenerality whichin fact the name of a class of some extent; and it is from thismanner that Mr. Hodgson may have said, according toits Nepalese authorities, that the Gâ-thâs pass for a sub-division of the Vyâkaranas (1). But the remarks of which this title was the object in the previous paragraphhave taught us what hedesi-gnait to properly speak; and the indisputable authority of the texts shows us that offers, with one of Gdthd, other analogy that to be met in the sameworks. As at the end even of Gdthd, the Ruddhistes Chinese the well defined: "This word means sung verse; it is a direct and long-term speech in worms, like the Kung phin in the Kin Kuan Ming king or the Book of the":splendor of the shine of gold (2). "This definition, indistinguished by the extentthe Gàthâs of the Gêya <, wepostponeto the one that the Nepalese list gives of Gêyas, and who seemsapply to structures of a certain length and entirely written in verse. I do not know the Sanskrit term corresponding to Chinese words Koungphin, but cllc Book of the splendor of the shine of gold "is most likelythe Suvarna prabhâsa from the Nepalese collection; this work indeed contains aextended piece, written entirely in verse. But what that can be usethat we made the Gathas in the textsdeemed sacred, I will then help tonotice how the definition China confirms this that Isay morehigh alwayssinging therelation of the Gâthâs with the Gêyas. No return to this point, I am con-tries torepeat that here again we must see, not the liter of a special classof books, but the indication of one of the elements that enterin the composition of these very books .50 "Udân (read Udâna). Trî; iités on thenature andtheattributes of Rud-"dhas, in the form of adialogue between a Ruddharguru and a Tchêla. "(1) Asian girl. ResearcheSj t.XVI, p. 422.(2) Landresse, Foe koue M, p. 322. Page 93

OF iNDIEN BUDDHISM.51I notice first that we must read tchâilaka, rather than tcliêla, a word which is the name of a kind of clothing. The title of tchâilaka means, according to the Nepalese, the fourth of the five classes of which is composed home the body of religious bud-dhistes. The Tchâilaka is the one who is satisfied with asufficient piece of material to cover his nakedness, and who rejects all other clothing as superfluous (1). Following the definition of the Udana, it is necessary to create a book of this kind, aReligious who is a hearer and a Buddhawho is Guru, that is to say tutorspiritual; but the list of Mr. Hodgson offers no example of a book bearingthe title of  $f / ^ ww$ , and I didfound that title on any of the volumes we pos-seduce in Paris. We do not know sono specimen of this class of or-vrages, and heis so far more prudent to see it as one of theparts or one of the elementsments writings Buddhist, according to the explication that I haveproposed for the three previous titles. But I often encounter in the legends that are partof the Divya avadâna, for example, as well as in the Lalita vistara, expression tidânam udânayati, which, according Tensemble of context, my pa-may offer this meaning, " hepronounces with emphasis apraise or words of joy (2).» This special meaning from the word udâna, which is, to my friendbirth at least, foreignin classical Sanskrit, isas easily justifiable by the Pale texts of Ceylon than by the Sanskrit books of Nepal; and someuncertainty that may remain on the choice to be made between the two translations of"lyricsof joy 3>and " words of praise, "I have the belief that Ido n't followvery far fromgrasp the real meaning. The Tibetan interpreters favor the se-conde translation; because the expression by which they replace udâna means, according to the Dictionary of Schroter, "praise, exalt, elevate (3j, "while that Mr. Turnour makes the Pali word udûnaby hymn of joy (4). What it inmaybe, ibelieve me in the right to say that

theterm & 'udâna, rather loosely definedbesides in the Nepalese list, cannot constitute a^ class of works originatingnal, and that seems the indiquercelle list. We must find Udânas inBuddhist books, as wethere is the other elementsthat I spent inreviewed above; but it is only in this sense that this term can serve as(1)Hodgson, Sketch of Bnddhism., In Trans. of the Roy. Asian girl. Soc, t. IJ, p. 245.(2) Kôtikarna, in Divy. avad., f. i a. Pûrm, ihid ., F.17 b, 23 a and 25 h. Supriya, ibid., F.47 aand 58 a. Lalita vistara, î. 60a of my manuscript and pass.(3)See. Csoma, Analysis of the Sher-chin, etc., in Asiatic Researches, t. X, p. 477, where the termSanskrit udâna is rendered in Tibetan by the words tchhed-du brdjod-pa, which we find explainedthus: "To praise, to commend, to exalt, toextoU, to laud," in Schroter, Bot. Dict., P. 98, col. i.Mr. Schmidt {Tibet. Deutsch. Worterb., P. 161, col. 2) translates this term as a ^ mr, a /) /) roMî? Er;, "aw <er.(4) Examin of Pali Buddhist. Annals, in Journ. of the Asiat. Soc. of Bengal, t.VI, p. 526, andt.VII, p, 793. Page 94

52:INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYtitle. Now let these Udânas take placein a dialogue between aBuddha and one of his disciples, it is very possible, though it will be notabsolutely necessary; than the words of joy or the actions ofthanks that Ibelieve that they express themselves report to the kind and to the attributes of the Buddha, this is what is as easily supposed as little contrary to the interpretation that Iproposedthis term; finally, that we have gathered a certainnumbertoto form a special class, it is still possible, and it is even only from this way that the use of this term, in both that title of book is rigorouslyment explainable. I do have to not hidehowever that interpretation of BuddhistsChinese does not accord here with the one that I proposed, and she seems to Rap-come closerof the Nepalese." The word udâna, they say, means speak" Of oneself; this is understood when, without being questioned by anyone, the Tathâ-"spoiled, by the caution guessing the thoughts of others, contemplates the spring of":all the living beings, and, on its own motion, the educated by the prédi-(fcations; like in the Leng yan, where, before the meeting, hespeakof what "has rapportaux fifty kinds of demons, without expecting that A nan [Ananda]"the requests and asked; the same in the Mi tho king, where hespeakfrom himself to "Ghe lifoe iÇâripultra) without that nothing in has given the opportunity (1)» We findhere some traces of the Nepalese explanation; cornI do not know on which rests the definition of Chinese Buddhists; and the one that I just proposed is upnow the only one that I have seen justified by the Sanskrit texts.6 ^ "Niddn (read Nidâna). These are treatises in which are shown thetcauses of events; for example, how did Çâkya becomeBuddha 7"Reason or cause, he accomplishes the Dàn {Dana] and the other Parmitas (Pâramitds); "and in note: \*Paramita here wants to say virtue, the merit moralby which we arrived"Vons to us rid of the requirement fatal. Dana, or alms, is the first<mother of the ten cardinal virtues of the Buddhists; the words and the others do"allusion to the other nine virtues.>I will then not no longer see in the term niddna the title of a class spe-ciale of works. It is found Nidanas in books Buddhist we possedons; but I do not find this title on any of these books, and the Nepalese listthat I am analyzing at the moment does not offer more examples. It is therefore yet in the proper meaning of the word nidâna that hemust seek the reason for the app-plicution as one can do in such or such partthe writings Buddhist. Thisterm, which is frequently used in the transcribed texts of the Nepal, means (1) Landresse, Foe loue ki, p. 322 and 323....J Page 95

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .53literally cause ^ origin, motive, and itdesignates in particulara categoryofnamed causes "the twelve causes, "which hewill be spoken later, and that we can toujours caractériser thus a way General: "The sequence of Successive causes of existence. »If it is because a work dealsofthis subject so familiar to Buddhists that it is called a Nidâna, it will be by virtue of a sort of extension similar to that which I noted in examining the previousteeth articles; but the texts do not allow this explanation, and they suggest somerent a one that I seem much more likely :is that the Nidânas, orthe causes and reasons are a part that 's in located or that we can findin inspired books .And in fact, the Lotus of the Good Lawoffers us aexample of the use we made of this term to describe the subject or thecausemiraculous appearances which strike the listeners of Çâkya ;it's even therethe title offirst chapter of this work. I think therefore that when the listNepali says that the Nidânas doPart of the scriptures Buddhist, it does saythat one of the elements which go into the composition of books forming the body of these writings, it is the Nidâna, or the indication of reasons and motives. The definition of Chinese Buddhists confirmspointin point my explanation."The word niddna, they say, means cause, reason for which, as when,"in the King, he is someone who asks the question, and they said :it is such "thing; as for the precepts, when hethere is someone who transgresses this((that they prescribe, one in takes a conséquence pour the future. So that the((Tathâgalagive the reason why such and such a thing happens. All this is called"shovel because, due to which, as in the sacred book Hoa tching yu"phin, where it explains the cause of an event in which took place in the "previous generations (1)." This explanation does leash to it that Ibelieve, no doubt about the true value of the word nidâna; us to see confirmedby the testimony of the Buddhists of Ceylon.7 " ((Ityukta. This is toutce that is said with respect to (a thing)or in((conclusion. The explanation of some previous speech is an Ityukta. 2) This definition somewhat clear gives an imperfect idea of the class ofbooks it designates. The list Nepaldoes not offer us any example of the application.cation of titleat'Ityuktato oneworkdetermined. We have therefore, pourenunderstand the value of autresecours that analysis even the word. This termmeans <said so, said as above :>and heis used to indicate and close a ci-tation, which he clearly separates from all that follows. We now see what hemust be understood by the Nepalese definition; heis allowed to suppose that if he(1) Landresse, Foe koue M, p. 322. Page 96

54INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthere is a class of books that carry thetitle to 'Itijukta, these books should becomposeof quotations from stories or ennpruntésà other books, or collected bythe tradition; because the formula "says so " supposesa narrator who only makes thatreportthe lyricsof another. But the explanation that I have proposed for the artiprevious keysis also applicable here, and we must find in the booksBuddhistpieces which suits the title of ^ Ityukta, is that these mortalsceaux be placedin the mouth of the Buddha, or that someone of hisplesto be deemed the author. In a word, the Ityukta be one of the elements con-titles of Buddhist books, but it is not necessarily a class of thesebooks. The definition of Chinese Buddhists comes tosupport [of this explanation.IThis word, they say, signifies a 'primitive affair' when one relates what has "Harborto the acts of the disciples of the Bôdhisattvas, during their stay on earth," as in the Pen sse phinof the Fa boaking, where heis a question of Bôdhi-"sattva Yo wang, who rejoiced in the under bright and pure as thetsun and the moon, and in the law obtained by Buddha, who Deson body and of "his arm practiced the ceremonies, and is engaged in all kinds of austerities" to

get the Supreme Intelligence (1).\*The expression to! primitive affairis quite vague; but the following clarifications showthan the Chinesehear by ityuktaa story. Heyhas in our Lotus of the good law a cha-clown who offerssome analogy with the sacrifice citedby thecommentatorChinese; this is the one where theBôdhisattva Sarvasattvapriyadarçana fact, withofmonument of a Buddha, the offering of his arm and his body (2).% ^ " Jâiaka (pronounce Djataka). These books deal with the actions of nais-((previous sessions. 3) This definition, which is in agreement with the meaning of the term Sanskrit is exactlyknow the books to which it applies. I say the books, though hedoes not exist only one in the Nepalese list and in the collection of Mr. Hodgson, which bears and who deserves the titleof Djataka (birth); this is the volume called Djatakamdla, or the Garland of births, which passes for an account of the various ac-tions meritorious Śākya previously At the time where itbecame Buddha. Thedefinition of Chinese Buddhists is notless accurate. "This word, they say," means primary or previous births .It's when we tell the "adventures that the Buddhas and Bôdhisattvas experienced at the time of "their existence in a new land, etc. (3j. "We can easily see that thesenumerous accounts, by which Çàkya makes known his previous births(1) Landresse, Foe koue M, p. 322.(2)the Lotus of the Good Law, ch. xxii, f. 212 a sqq. of the text, and page 243 of the translation.(3) Landresse, Foe koue U, p. 322.

Page 97

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .55in his last life threatening, have been gathered toleave, and wein hasformed a class of bookscalled the Births. It is there, we the seelater, what didthe Buddhists of Ceylon. Hemust therefore admit that Djâtaka can be the title of a class moreor less number of treatises devoted to the account of the previous existences ofÇâkyamuni, and hethereTono place to do it, against the use thus defined of this term, the objections that I exposed on the previous articles. 11 does is not less true, however, than this term should have designate a class of books that because it existed, in the works deemedinspired, of stories related to the ancient existences of the Buddha. Hemust therefore Again repeat herethis that Isaidon the occasion of Gêvas, the Gathas and theother divisions of the classificationNepali. The births are one of the ele-things that come inin the composition of reputedly inspired books. I add thateven admitting the existence of a classspecial of Diâtakas, this class does not should not have aimportance equal to that of Sûlras, because there arestories of former lives in the Sutras, while wedo not know yet of Sutras in the Djâtakas.O ""Vâipiilya. These books dealfromdifferent speciesof Dharma andwd'Artha, that is to say the differentmeans of acquiring the goods of this world" (Artha) and the future world [Dharma]. "Here again we have a category of books whose listby M.Hodgsondoes not provide us with any specimen. This division does is notless real, and weinnote the indication on someof the volumes we have inParis.So the Lotus of the good Law is a Maha Vaipulya Sutra, if in needbelieve a stance that does isnot, heis true, goneof this work, and who islike a kind ofpreface by the copyist. The existence of the title of Vâipulya sutrais moreover proven by a passage from the Lotus of the good law, where heis saida Buddha exposes the Sutrasvâipulyas (Ij. She is put beyond doubt bythe titles of several Sanskrit works collected in the Tibetan LibraryofKah-gyur, and that Csoma of Kőrös was translated by "Sutras ofbigextent (2).» I do not hesitate to make the term vâipulya by development, and I say that the Vaipulya sutras, or the sutras of development, form asub-division of the Sutra class, a sub-division whose title matches well, as well aswe the seelower, with the kind and the form of bookswhatkiss. I have

never seen this title on other works than Sutras, henceIconcluded that thedivisionsaidVâipulyadoes not constitute ,to properly(1) The Lotus of the Good Law. f. 15 has the text, and p. 15 of the translation.(2) Analysis. of the Sher-chin, etc., in Asiat. Researches, t.XX, p. 401 and 465.

Page 98

56INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY speak, a class apart, and that comes within that of the Sutras. hereyet the definition of Buddhists China agrees with the explanation that I proposed. €This"word, they say, means greatness of the law. These are the books of the law, of the large translation, including the doctrine and senses are detailed as spacetto the emptiness (1).>The words large translation (2)represent the termSanskrit Mahayana, and in the fact the Sutras called developed are of the order ofthose that are called Mahayana or large vehicle. We found elsewhere in the explanation Chinese the direction own of the termvâipulya. 10 <> "Adbhutadharma. [This division deals with] of events supernatural. "I do find, nor in the HSTE of Hodgson, nor in the collection that we have in Paris, no work bearing the title of Adbhuta. I do not think sonot that it is, to properly speak the name of a division actually existing the writings Buddhist, and I think that in is from this section as themorelarge number of those Ireviewed so far. There are Adbhutas or themiracles that are exposed in booksreligious, and Sutras in offer offrequent examples. We have therefore still hereone of the elements who enterin the composition of the writingsBuddhist, where thebelief in power over-natural of Buddhas and of their followers certainly occupies a considerable placemaple. These miracles must, because of this very belief, have abigimportance to the Buddhists, and is the is mentioned in apassage ofLotus of the Good Law(3); but I the repeat, it will simply not to raise this as to the height of the Sutra, since the story of the miracles donepart the Sutras, and we can not say that the sutras are contained in themiracles. I add, to finish, that the explanation of Chinese Buddhists is(1) Landresse, Foe kom M, p. 3:23.(2) Mr. Schmidt has rightly criticized {Mem. of Acad. of Sciences of St. Petersburg, t.II,p. 10 ff) the translation given by MA Rémusat of the term yana, which he gave by trans-lation. {Foe koue ki, p. 9, note.) More recently, Lassen has proposed to sublimate that of way, the Triydna, says this scholar, designates the three paths that spirits can take, according to the various degrees leurintelligence and of their virtue; and Buddhist works receive this title from Yana, following that their content is related to one or the other of these three channels. {Zeitschr. furiousdie Kunde der Morgenland, t.IV, p. 494.) I look at this observation as any in fact based; however, as tjûna still more usually means vehicle, means of transport Iprefers the latter translation, especially since various parables, among others those of the Lotus of the good law, compare the various vanas to the chariots voked animals of variouscash. (The Lotus of the Good Law, p. 47 ff.; comp. A. Rémusat, Foe koue ki,p.10.) I addthat the Tibetans hear the Yana word exactly the same way, and that thetermtheg-pa, by which they a substitute, means the vehicle and we learns the testimonyuniform of Csoma and Mr. Schmidt. It is this notion of vehicle, means of transport, that develops very well Wilson, according to the analysis of the Kah-gyur by Csoma. {Analy. of the Kah-gyur, in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, 1.1, p. 380.)(3) The Lotus of the Good Law, c. ii, f. 28 b of the text, and p. 29, stanza 44 of the translation. Page 99

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .5 \*in all respects consistent with that of the Nepalese list, ifit is not that she does more clearly highlights the value of ownfrom the word adbhuta, which

means: < what "is wonderful, which has not yettook place ... What the four troops "hear and which has never been heard, this who they believe and who did not "never believed, so called (1)."'Ho <Avadâna. [Thisdivisiondeals] with the fruit of works. "As much as heis easyto criticize the application that madethe Nepalese list offitles reviewed in the nine items precedents, as it is impossible to contest that A ' Avadâna is found on a large number of treatises, so muchin the list of Mr. Hodgson in the collection of the Library Royal. Already, by examining the second class of Buddhist scriptures, that of the Discipline, I had the opportunity to reportexistence of these treated, who are more Many still that the Sutras. They take care, in fact, as says the listNepali, of the fruit of works; but this definition does not give us not the meaningtrue of the word Avadâna, which means legend ^ storylegendary, as well ashears Csoma de Côrôs, according to the performerstibetanof Kah-gyur (2j. Thoselegends usually run onthese two subjects, the explanation of the prepaths by the past actions and the announcement of the prizes or i \(^\) espenaltiesD-used for the future by present actions. This doubleobject is, on theses, clearly summarized in the definition of the Nepalese list, to which itdon't missas the literal translation of the Sanskrit word. Hedo not as me easy to makeaccount of the explanation the Buddhists of China, which define this term as follows: "This word means comparison. It is when the Tathàgata, explaining the law, "borrows the metaphors and the comparisons to the éclaii cir and make it be heardmore easily, as, in the Fa hoa king, the house of fire, ithe medicinal plants, etc.(3)."I do not find, on the one hand, that the textsSanskrit of Nepal justify the meaning of comparison given to the word Avadâna, and on the other that thelegends, which I was to even to read a number con-maple, make more use of the comparison or of the parable than the others Buddhist works, where this figure certainly plays theleading role. Thenote towhich I borrow the opinion of Chinese Buddhists transcribes the termIndian in two ways, PlioIho andA pho tho na (Avadàna). The first transcription is, to all appearance, the representation of Sanskrit vada,including the meaningclean is "discussion, controversy, reply. >But there is noseemsnot themeaning of comparison. Without stopping further on this de-(1) Landresse, Foe koue U, p. 322.(2) Analysis. of the Sher-chin, in Asiat. Res., T. XX, p. 481-4: 84.(3) Landresse, Foe koue M, p. 322.

Page 100

58INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY finish, I am Suffice to doobserve that the examples alleged for thesupport could be borrowed fromLotus of the good Law, which is located ineffect theparabolicthe burning house and that of medicinal plants. It is a proof more in favor of the conjecture that I have exposed more top touchingthe analogy moreor less large that doitexister between the Fa hoa king Chinese andthe Lotus of the good law of the Nepalese.i ^ o ,Upadêça. These books deal with esoteric doctrines ."Mr. Hodgson has already disputed the correctnessof this definition, in making noticethat the tarnished à'Upadêca and of vydkarana, which are familiar to Buddhistsof the Nepid, do not express moredistinctlythan those of Tantra andby Purimathe distinction thatmust exist between the esoteric doctrine and the exotic doctrinerique (11.This criticism tells us that the term of Upadêça is synonymous withthat of Tantra; and in themadeseveral of the works cited by thelistingofMr. Hodgson, with the title of Tantra, is brought under the category of Upadêças.I have however seenthis name on any of the Tantras that I have examined, and Ibelieveis needed as to the most large number of items analyzed completelythe hour, yrecognize one of the elements of Buddhist scriptures rather than one distinct class of

these writings. The definition of Chinese authors confirms, thisseems to me, this supposition."This word, they say, means instruction, opinion. "It is, in all thebooks sacred, the requests and responses, the speech"used to discuss all the issues of the, law, as in the Fa hoa king the To; chapter Ti pho tha to, where the Bôdhisattva Tchi tsytalk to Wen chu" Sse li on the excellent law (2).t>We see by then that the Buddhists of Chinese in-tend the word Upadêça in its proper sense, and that if this term has an applica-special tion to a particular portion of books Buddhist, it is by a sortextension that hismeaningnotice, instruction justifies enough. As for Tantra, which is joint, according to thelist of Hodgson, this titleof Upadêça, they form a distinct portion of the Buddhist literature on which I will return to in his place. It must now sum up in few of words the results of analysis to which I come to me deliver. 1 ° Of the twelve articles of which the list is composedNepali, the same as thatof the Chinese, two names, that of Stitra and that of Avadana, designate two classes of hvres or of treated; a single, one of Upadêça, is synonym of anotherclass, that of the Tantras; and as the legends relate, as well as the Sû-(1) Notices, etc., in Asiat. Res., t.XVI, p. 422.(2 ^ Landresse, Foe koue ki, p. 322. Page 101

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .\*59tras, of the speeches of Buddha, and that the firstdo not differ from the latteronly by circumstancesunimportant in form, it is allowed, in arelooking on the ancient sources of the literature Buddhist to doto return the class of legends to that of the Sûlras. We see that this result isagree with that to which we have arrived when we have examined thetriple division of the Buddhist scriptures .2® The other nine items are, nomore divisions of the Nepalese Collectioneasy, but the names of the elements which go into the composition of booksembraced by this collection. This result, however, does not an be adopted only withfollowing distinctions: true when we talk about Gêyas, the Vyâkaranas, the Udânas, the Nidanas and the Adbhutas, heapplies less rigorously toothersarticles, which should be considered under a doublepoint of view. Throughexample, if heis demonstrated we can find in the books Buddhist of parts which suited the names of Gdthd ^ ^ of Djâtaka of Vaipulya and of Ityiikta ^hedo is no less true that these names can also designate classesmoreor less significant than books. This observation applies inparticular totitle of Vâipulya, which we find attached to that of Sutra, to designateSutras of great development.3 » Finally, to the distinction in two classes of sūtras, which gives rise to the additionCiU term Vaipulya ^ namely, the Sutras simple and the Sutras de-veloppés, itshouldadd a different category, one of Mahayana sutras, Where Sutras serving of large vehicle, and that the liters of the Library tibé-tain offer of manyexamples (1).the twoqualifications can be sometimes gather on the same sutra, that will be so all together a sutra de-veloppé and a Sutra serving as a large vehicle; but heis easy to design that they can attach themselves, each on their own, to distinct sūtras. This would be the place to examine in detail some of the books included under the three great divisions exposed above, if it was not necessary to exhaust previously all that remains to be said in general about the transcribed collection of Nepal. Now we find, in the oft- cited Memoir of Mr. Hodgson, twoother divisions that it is important to recall here, inattaching an indication of asimilar kind we provide Csoma of Kőrös in its analysis of the collective Tibetan tion. "The books Buddhist, according to Hodgson, are known collectively clothing and individually under the name of Sutra and under that of Dharma."We Ut in the Pudja khanda the following stanza: All this as the Buddhas

have "said is contained in the Mahayana Sutra, and the rest of the Sutras is Dharma(1) Csoma, Analysis of the Sher-chin, in an Asian. Researches, t. XX, p. 407 sqq. Page 102

60INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY"ratna (1)."I admit that Inot understand pashien the scopefrom this distinctiontion between the Mahayana sutras and Sutras appointed collectively Dharmaratna. This latest title means literally c jewel of the law, 3 "and we know that the word ratna, placed the result of another term, refers to the Ruddhistes this that there is of more eminentamong the beings or the things defined by this term. Should we seek here an allusion very vague, it is true, to an admitted division by the Tibetan interpreters of Kah-gyur, and which consists in making two shares of works contained in this collection, one called Mdo or Sutra, the otherRgyud or Tantra (2) ?I admit that I do and say anything to positive in this respect, and that is because that the division indicated by the text above does menot appeared sufficiently precise that Ido have not spokenat the beginning of my researches, although it was the most general of those that we need to Hodgson.But heshouldto acknowledgetoowhatis theless informative, and what we advance good littlein the knowledge of very diverse booksthat she em-breaststroke. The one indicated by Csoma de Côrôs is certainlymore interesting, in thatit distinguished clearly the tantra, or ritual where the Ruddhisme ismingled withpractical Sivaite, of all the other scriptures Buddhist, quellesqu'ellesbe. By putting on one side, under thename of Sutra, all that is notTantra, it placesthis second class of books atpoint seen underwhich were cognize that the must consider. This is, as to the present, all that must say about this distinction; we will see the advantage later heispossible to draw from it. I only noticethat this distinction, as a result of which the Tantras are put outside the collection of the Sutras, was notunknown to Mr. Rémusat, who expresses himself as follows: "Generally, we do notaccount" not the Pradifia pramitas and the Dharanis from the collections of booksher-"created, of which wemeans all by the words of three collections (3). "Finally, and this is by there that I conclude this general description of the Col-buddhist election, the Nepalese, following Mr. Hodgson, detached from this collectionnineworks, which they call the Nine Dharmas, or the Nine Collections of the Lawpar excellence (4); they make a cult constant in these works; but Mr. Hodgsonignore the reasons for this preference. These books are the following: 1 <\* Pradjilâ pa-ramitâ, 2 ° Ganda vyûha. S \*\* Daça bhûmîçvàra, ¥ Samâdhi ràdja, o \* » Langkàvalâra,(1) Notices, etc., in Asiat. Researches, t.XVI, p. 422. In the Quotations from orig. Sanscr.authoïities,Mr. Hodgson gives this passage as borrowed from Gima karandavyûha. {Journ.Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.V, p. 87.) It is possible that the Pùdjâ khai.ula is a modern book .(2) Csoma, Analysis of the Sher-chin, etc., in Asiat. Researches, t.XX, p. 412.(3) Foe koue ki, p. 109.(4) Notices, etc., in Asiat. Researches, t. XVI, p. 423 and 424. Page 103

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .616 ° Saddharma pundarîka, 7 " Talhâgatha guhyaka, 8 ^ Lalilavistara, 9 <\* Subaranaprabhâ ( probably Suvarnaprabhâsa).Examination of the content of these works, which we all have in Paris,does not fully explain thereasons of choices that make the Nepalese. Weincludes easily them preferably CeQui touches the numbers 1, 5, 6 and 8; because the Pradjnâ pramitâ, or the Perfection of the wisdom is akind ofphilosophical sumwhere is located contained the part speculative the higherof Buddhism. The Langkâvatâra, and more exactly the SaddharmaLanykâva-tara, or the Instruction of the good law given to the island of Langkà orCeylon, is atreated the same

kind, with a tendency more marked to the controversy, the Saddharma pundarîka, or the White Lotus of the good law, besides the parablesthat it contains, treats as very important point of doctrine, that of founding unitydamental of three means employed by a Buddha to save the man of con-ditions of current existence. Finally the Lalita Vistara, or the development ofgames, is the divine and human story of the last Buddha, Câkyamuni. But thenumbers 2, 3 and 4, where the subjects philosophical occupy perhaps not as much square, have to myeyes much less merit; the repetition, the enumerations interminables and divisionsScholastic will dominate in some closeexclusively. As for numbers 7 and 9, the Talhâgatha guhyaka and the Suvarnaprabhâsa, thisare tantras of rather mediocre value. But this would not likely lose itspain that seek thegrounds of apreference who perhaps has no otherBecause as of superstitious ideas, foreign to the content of the books themselves. Heis time topass the examination of some of the volumes of the collection of Nepal to which we have access, to discover, if possible, the mainmain features of the history of Indian Buddhism .I say if it is possible, not with the childish desire to exaggerate the difficulties of this research, but with the just feeling of mistrust that I feelinenterprising. It does not not a question here of concentrating on an obscure text, but isolated, the forces that give in mind the rigorous and patient jobof analysis, yetleast to pull of monuments already known the consequences new andworthyto take rank in history. The task that I impose on myself, although different, is also rude. You have to travel closeof one hundred volumes, all manuscripts, compounds in four languages still little known, for the study of which we have notthat the lexicons, I could say imperfect vocabularies and one of which has gave birth to popular dialects with the same names are almostignored. To these difficulties of the form, add those of the fund: a subjectall atmade new, innumerable schools, an immense metaphysical apparatus, amythologywithout terminals; everywhere the disorder and a despairing waveon the

Page 104

62INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYOuestions of time and of place; then, the outside and from the small number of his-before onelaudable curiosity attracts towards the promised results of this research, of all resolutions made, opinions arrested and ready to resist to the authority of texts, because they flatter themselves with rest on an authority greater than all the others, on that of common sense. Do I needofremember that for afew people, all the issues relating to Buddhism were déjàdé-cides, when we did not haveyet read a singleline of books that I analyze all at the time, when the existence of these books was not even suspected ofthat that it was ?For the few, the Buddhism was a venerable cult born inCentral Asia, and whose origin was lost in thenight of time; for theothers, it was a miserable counterfeit of Nestorianism; we had doneofBuddha a Negro, because hewas the frizzy hair ;aMongolian, because hehad the eyes oblique ;aScythe, because hewas namedÇàkya. One in hadeven made a planet ;and I will know not if some scholars would be like notstill today to find this peaceful sageunder thefeatures of the belligerentOdin. Certainly it is allowed to hesitate, when to these solutions if vastwe don't promises to substitute only doubts, or simple and almostvulgar. The hesitation can even go as far as discouragement, when we rerotates on its not and that it compares the results obtained in time they havecost. However, I dare to count on the indulgence of serious men to whomthese studies are aimed at ;and everything in me leaving the feeling of my failure, with which I am more penetrated than ever, the hope of their benevolent attention

hasgiven the courage to produireces drafts, designed to open the way for re-looking for that, for he had not yet alarge public , do not have oneless in themselves a valueindisputable for history of the mindhuman. SECTION II.SÛTRAS OR SPEECH BY çAkYA. The general description that I come to give to the Collection of écri-tures Buddhist traces clearly the market that I must follow in reviewing which to me is still to make themain works contained in this collection. I showed that all the information s ^ give to uspresent the Sôlras as treaties which are attached the most closely to the preaching Page 105

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .63 from Cakya (1). The Sutras are discourses of a very variable extent, where the Buddha talks with one or various of his followers in various parts of thelaw, which are usually indicated rather than dealt with in depth. If hein must believe thetradition preserved in a passage of Mahâ karunapundarîka, book translated into Tibetan, that would be Çâkyamunihimself who would have determined the form of the Sû-tras, when he recommended to his disciples torespond to the Religious who comewould to themquestion: "This is what was heard by me one day when" Bhagavat (the Blessed One) (2) was in such and such a place, that his listeners(1) I have to remind here, a time for all, robservation that Aete made in more than one occasion by MM. A. Rémusat and Schmidt :is that ÇdJîya is the name of therace (branchede thecastemilitary) to which belonged the young prince Siddhârtha of Kapilavastu, who, having renouncedworld, was called Çâkyamuni, "thelonely Sakya, " and who succeeded to the perfection of science which he had proposed as an ideal, took the title of Buddha, "the enlightened, the learned. » In the course of these Memoirs, I sometimes call Cdlcya, that is to say the Çàkya, sometimes Çâkyamuni, that is to say, the recluse of the Çâkyas; but I do my serve ever the term Buddha alone without theto precede the article, because as this term is, to strictly speaking, a title. We must expect to find this title explained in more than one way in the Buddhist books; and inIndeed, the commentator of the Abhidharma Hoca, work which it will be spoken later, the interpreterespecially in ways that can give meaning to the suffix ta characteristic of participating pastbuddha, from budh (to know). So it is explained by similarities of this kind: flourished like lotus (Buddha vibuddha), one in which was fulfilled the science of a Buddha, what that is to background, explain the same by the same; awake, as a man who comes da sleep (Buddhaprabuddha). On the taking even in a sense thinking: he is Buddha, because he educated himselfsame (budhyate). Finally we will see even a passive: he is Buddha, that is to say known, either by the Buddhas, either by others, to be endowed with the perfection of all qualities, to beissued to all the imperfections. {Abhidharma kôça vydkhyâ, f.2 & man. Soc. Asian.) This last explanation, which is the most bad of all, is precisely the one that prefers theaforementioned commentator. It seems to me that Buddha means € the learned, the enlightened," and that is exactly in this way the eutend a commentator Sinhala Djina alaiïikâra, poem pale onthe perfections of Çàkya: Pâliyam pana Buddhoiikenatthena Buddha budjdjhi ta satchtchâniliBuddhoti ddinâ vvttam, that is to say:" In what sense do we say, in the text, Buddha? The buddha"has known the truth, it is for this that we call Buddha, etc. » (Fol. 13 a of my ms.) This commentary is, as we can see, only the beginning of a more extensive gloss, in which we had to find other explanations of the word Buddha. We can stick to this one; she meseems preferable to the explanation of Lalita vistara:" It teaches the ignorant ones that wheel called the wheel of the law; it is for this that the named Buddha. » (Fol. 228 b of my man.) The translation of Tibetans

holy perfect (Bloods-rgyas) is taken in the idea that we are made of?perfections of a Buddha; this is not a translation, and the mutilated transcription of the Chinese, Fo(for Fo to), is perhaps even preferable. I must add that it is after this title of Buddhathat the followers of Çâkya are appointed by the Brahmans Bduddhas, that is to say Buddhists. The Vichi.iu purâija, the place to get the derivative of the word already formed Buddha explains in the deductinghealth immediately of thebudh root: "Know (budhyadhvam), "cried theBuddha with"Demons he wanted to seduce. It is known (budhyate), replied his listeners. "{Vishnupurdna, p. 339 and 340.)(2) The word blessed to not make that part of the ideas expressed by the term ofBhagavat, in which we see Sakyamuni designated the most commonly in the Sutras, andin general in all the books Sanskrit of Nepal. It's a titlethat we only grantBuddha, where to be, which should soon the devenir. Je in the comment of a treaty of

Page 106

64INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY<rwere such and such;" In addition to finish, " that when hehad finished"his speech, all the assistants rejoiced much and approved its"doctrine (1)." We do not own in Paris that the Karuna pundarika, treateddifferent from the Maha Karuna pundarika, where is located the path that I have just to mention.I however doubt notof the authenticity of this passage, which we will findalmostin the same form in the pale books. If, as I it think it does not belong to the teaching of Câkya, at least itnot should not it be formuch later, and it is one of those details that we cansurely relateat the time of the first writing of the Buddhist scriptures .We saw, in addition to this that I have said about the class of sutras in general, heexist several species of treaties named with this title, including the one tosimply name Stftras, and the other Mahâvâipulya sūtras, or sūtras ofgreat development; and I conjectured that it was mainly to these last ones thathad to apply the epithet of Mahâyâna, " great vehicle, "which is attached toseveral sutras. It is important at this time to researchuntil what pointthe examination of the sūtras, characterized by these various titles, explains andjustify thesetitles themselves. We will soon have a large specimen of the vaipulya sūtras, or of great development: it's the Lotus of the good law of which I spoke already; and in addition, I reviendraiplus down in this memory mê.me, surces kinds oftreated. But we have n't published yetno ordinary Sutra, tothe exceptionof Vadjra tchhêdika, that Mr. I.J. Schmidt has translated on the Tibetan text(2), metaphysical, entitled Abhidharma kôra vyàkhyâ, details which teach us the valuetrue of this title, which is of as frequent use among the Buddhists as among the Brahmins. At the occasion the title of Blessed to be found attached by a text to that of Buddha, the aforementioned commentator recalls a gloss of the so-called books Vinaija, or of the Discipline, for prove that the addition of this liter is neither] [arbitrary nor superfluous. A Pratyêka Buddha (kind ofIndividual buddha of which hewill be discussed below) is Buddha, and not Bhagavat. As heitseducated by his individual efforts (svayambhiUvdt), hecan be called Buddha, enlightened ;cornit does not right the title of Blessed, because he has not fulfilled the duties of charity and ofother higher perfections. That one alone, in fact, who have the magnanimity {mahùtmyamn)can be called Bhagavat. The bodhisattva (or Buddha future) which is arrived athis last^ existence is Bhagavat and not Buddha, because he has fulfilled the obligations of a dedication sublime; but it is not yet fully lit (anabhisambuddhatvdt). The perfect Buddha is at theboth Buddha and Bhagavat. {Abhidharma kôra vydkhya, f.3 o of the man, of the Soc. Asiat.) We findhowever, there are exceptions to the principles laid

down by these definitions; Thus,in a Sutra of which Iwill give the translation below, we see a Pratyêka Buddha nicknamed Bhagavat, the Blessedreux; but it is without doubt because that this character, which was represented as aBodhisattva, that is to say as a Buddha future will be felt not the courage to end in favorof men the course of his trials, and that he be content to become Pratyêka Buddha; may-be not it receives literof Bhagavat that in memory of his first destination, that ofBodhisattva.(1) Csoma, Analysis of the Sher-chin, etc., in Asiat. Researches, t. XX, p. 435.(2) Mem. of Acad. of Sciences of St. Petersburg, l. IV, p. 1! 26 sqq.

Page 107

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .65text whichis only the translation of a Sanskrit treatise which M. Schilling de Cans-tadt owneda very faulty Tibetan edition, printed in so-called charactersRandja and in vulgar letters. This treatise, which belongs to the class of books ofmetaphysics, only without doubt to get to know theouter form of aSutra; nothing do we proves, however, that this will be not a modern summary of one of the editors of the Pradjnâ pramitâ, and this doubt alone "prevents usto admit it in the category of the Sûlras proper. It seemed to me that hehad to dofor this class of books that that I run for the Sutrasgreat development, and he had to translate a few portions, in order toto put under the eyesthe reader the differences between these two es-pecies of treaties, and to support on the authority of the texts the conclusions to which these differences seem to me to lead. So I chose from the large Nepalese Collection, known as the liter of Divya avadâna, twofragmenlswhere I recognized all the characters of the truthsbles Sûtras, attaching myself, to make this choice, to the subject itself rather than to the titlewhat wearthese fragments in the collectionabove. The first-relates to the time of Cakyamuni Buddha, and makes known some of the processes of his teaching. The second is alegend of a purely mythological charactergic, which Çàkya recounts to make people understand the advantages of almsgiving, andshow the big rewards that are attached to the practice of this duty. My translation is also literalthat it was possible for meof the make; I took thecareto keep the repetitions of ideas and words, which are one of the characteristics the most striking of the style of these treatises. We will notice without trouble that the premierfragment has a title which has no relation to the subject of which heistreated in the fragment itself; I will say more down the reason for the disagreement betweenthe liter and the bottom of the Sutra telthat I it gives here.SUTRA OF MÂNDHÂTRÏ (1)." Here's what Iunderstood. aBlessed day is was in Vaisali, on the edge of the pond Markatahrada (the pond of monkey) in the room namedKûtâgâra(1) Bimja avaddna, f.98 b, maa. Soc. Asian., F.125 a from my man. It is important to compare thismf> reeau with that has translated Mr. Schmidt from the Mongolian {Mem. of Acad. of sciences of S.Petersburg., T.II,p.15), with the legend of KingDa-od (Tchandra prabha), such that the given M. Schmidt in his recently published collection {Der Weise und der Thor, p. 165, trad. garlic.), and with the passing translates bit exactly, in this as I suspect, by Klaprotti in the Foe koueki, p. 246 and 247. More later I rapprocherai the present Sutra of Purinibbana sulta of Sinhala, of which M. Tournour has already given fragments of the highest interest and translated with a rare5

Page 108

66INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY(theroom located at the top of the building). So Rhagavat having dressed before noon,after avoirpris his coat and his vessel, entered in Vaisall to collect thealms, and gyps ^ see walked through the cityfor this purpose,

hetookhis meal. When hehad eaten, he stopped deramasser of alms; and having put away his vaseand his coat, heis returned to the place where was located the Tchâpâla tchâitya (1), and after getting there, helooked for the trunk of a tree, and sat down near it to passthe day. There headdressed himself to therespectable Ananda: She is beautiful, O Ananda, the city of Vàicâlî, the land of the Vrïdiis; heis beautiful the Tchâitya tchâpâla, that of Seven mango trees, that of the many boys, the fig tree of Gâutama, the wood of Câlas, the place where one places one's burden, the Tchâityawhere the Mallas attach theirhairstyle (^). It is varied the Djambudvîpa (3); the life there is sweet for the men. The being, whoever he is,  $O \times n$  nanda, who sought, understood, spread the fourprinciples of the power supernatural, can, if it 's inpray, liveeither during aKalpa integer, either until the end of the Kalpa (4). Now, Ananda, the four principles of the supernatural power belongin the Tathâgata ;these are :1 \*\* the facultyproduce such or such a design to destroy the meditation of desire; 2 ° theexactness. {Journ. As. Soc. of Bengal, t.VII, p. 991 ff.) We found that the Sutta Pali is more extended and richer in interesting details; but hewe should not conclude from therethat the Northern Buddhists have lost the memory of the events that make up the subject of this piece. Yeswe had in Sanskrit the volumes of the Tibetan library entitled Mahd parinirvamsurely [Cioma, Asiat. lies.,t.X \, p.487), we will meet again, without any doubt, all thecircumstances recounted in the Sutta paled. We can déjàvoir how the bookstibetan contain precious details on the death of Çàkyamuni, reading the large and beautiful fragmentextracted by Csoma from Ciirus of volume XI of Dul-va, and translated with this spin that he carried in allits work. {Asian girl.Res.,t.XX, p.309 ff.) I could not find in the collection of Mr. Hodgson the original of this passage; but I do still no less firmly convinced that the Tibetan account of Dul-va is the literal version of a text originally written in Sanskrit.(1) The word Tchâitya is a term with a fairly extended meaning that I have believed it had to keep; hemeans any place dedicated to worship and to sacrifice as a temple, a monument, a placecovered, a tree where one comes to worship the divinity. In this sutra, which the data are contemporaines of the establishment of Buddhism, there is certainly no question of these Tchâityaspurely Buddhist, or of those monuments named in Ceylon Dhâtu gabbhas (Dâgabs), which raised above the relics of a Buddha or some other famous personage. Here is why the former commentator of the Parinibbàya Sutta warns that the Tchâityas of the Vadjdjis(Vrïdjis) does are not the buildings Buddhist. (Turnour, Journ. As. Soc. Of Bengal, X.VII, p. 994.)(i) This place is mentioned in the Sutta Pali reminded all the time, and Mr Turnour the means asthe Coronation Hall of the Mallas. {Journ. As. Soc. of Bengal, t.VII, p. 1010.)(3) We knowthat the Djambudvîpa is one of the four continents in the form of islandsincluding the Buddhists, here imitating the Brahmans, believe the earth to be composed; it is for them the continent Indian. (A. Rémusat, Foe koue M, p. 80 ff.)(4)This word, which means "the duration of a period of the world, "is still a notion which iscommon to Buddhists and Brahmans. We can see, on the various species of Kalpas andon their duration, a special memoir by MA Rémusat (/ oum. des Sav., year 1831, p. 716 ff.), and especially the statement that Mr. Schmidt has made of the theory of Kalpas (Mem. of the Acad. of S. Petersb., t. II, p. 58 ff). Page 109

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .67supernatural power of the mind; 3° that of the strength; 4\*\* the one that is accom-pagnée of the own design to destroy the meditation of any exercise of the thought (ij. The four principles of the power supernatural, O Ananda, have

beensought, understood, spread by the "athâgata (2). It can therefore, if there 's to pray, live either for aKalpa whole, or until the end of Kalpa. That said, the respect Table Ananda kept the silence. Twice and three times Bhagavat thus addressed therespectable Ananda (3) :It is beautiful, O Ananda, the city of Vàiçâlî, the land of Vrïdjis, [etc. as above, up to: The Tathagata can now, if weinpray, live either for a Kalpa whole, that is to say up to the one of the Kalpa. (1) I do then, in the absence of uncommentaire, I flatter to have well made these formulas, whichare the summaries devotions that I have not seen elsewhere. (See the additions at the end of the volume.)(2) The title of the Tathagata is one of the highest of those that gives to a Buddha; the testimony-mony unanimous in Sûlras and legends wants that Sakyamuni 's has taken itself in the courseof his teaching. We can see the explanations that have proposed the scholars who are areoccupied with Mongolian and Chinese Biiddhism, in particular M. Schmidt {Mém. of Acad. of scienceof S .- ^ étersbourg, t. I, p. 108) and MA Rémusat (Foe koue hi,p.191). According to our plan, whois to consult first of all the Indian sources, the interpretations which we must place in thefirst rank are those found in the books of Nepal, or that we know from M. Hodgson ^ and those which M. Turnour extracted from the booksfrom Ceylon. The explanations that weshould the two authors that I have to name are quite numerous, and I believeenough todismiss the reader; he will see there by what more or less subtle methods the Buddhists have tried of find in this title the ideal of perfection which they suppose in a Buddha. (Hodgson, Enrop. Specul. on Buddh., in Jotirn. As. Soc. of Beng., t.III, p. 384. Turnour, Mahdvamso, Introd.,p. LVi.) Csoma, according to the Tibetan books, is of the opinion that Tathâgata means "the one who has followed his religious career in the same way as his predecessors. "(Csoma, Asiat.Researches, t.XX ^ p. 424.) This direction is also satisfactory in the report of the background that in one of the form; he shows us in the term Tathâgata a title by which Câkya wanted to authorizehis innovations from the example of ancient sages of whom heclaimed to imitate the behavior. The texts onwhich Mr. Hodgson leans give tothis title has a more philosophical meaning; I will cite as thefirst:" Gone thus, " that is to say, gone from such so that it will not reappear in theworld. The difference which distinguishes these two interpretations is easy to grasp; the second isphilosophical, the first is historical, if however one can express it as follows: that's a reasonto believe that the first is the most ancient. Next the Buddhists of South Tathagata (tathaageata) means "the one who is coming as, for there same way that the other Buddhas its predecessorfcessors; "Or again Tathâgata comes back to Tathâ gâta, "one that has market or which is party"like them. "It shows we can, without doing violence to the words, find the interpretation of Tibetans in the second of those that Mr. Tumor was borrowed Sinhala. However, if weadmits the principle of criticism which I will do later for many applications, ie it mustfind the really ancient elements of Buddhism in it that have in common schoolthe North and those of the South, it will be quite place to watch the release given by Csoma as the First and the most authentic. (See the additions at the end of the volume.)(3) Ananda was cousin -german of Sakyamuni and his servant beloved; he had for brotherDêva datta, the mortal enemy of Çàkya his cousin. (Csoma, Asiat. Res., T.XX, p. 308, note 21.) Among the curious information that gives us theFoe koue ki on this character heshouldconsult a very detailed note from Mr. A. Rémusat. (Foe koue ki, p. 78 and 79.) The resemblancepurely accidental of this name, which means joy, with the adjective ananta (infinite) had deceived M. Schmidt, who had

believed these two words synonymt; s, andwho was looking at the Mongolian translation of

Page 110

68INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY" Two times and three times the respectable Ananda kept thesilence. So Bha-gavat wirethis reflection: May the Religious Ananda be enlightened by Mâra thep-cheur (1), since today, at the time when it is instructed to three timespaj, the means of this noble manileslation, hecannot understand thetopic. Heshouldthat this is Mara which the illuminated." So Bhagavat addressed himself thusat the Ananda respectacle: Go, O Ananda, look for the trunk of another tree for youSit; we are heretoo much cramped to stay together. Yes, venerable one, replied the respectable Ananda toBhagavat; and having sought the trunk of another tree, heit sat for there gothe day."However Mara the sinner is restored to the place whence was Bhagavat, and therehaving arrived, hespoke to him in these terms: May Bhagavat enter into annihilation-is lyingfull; here come for the Sugata (2) the time of annihilation complet. Butwhy, oh sinner, do you say thus: What Bhagavat between incomplete annihilation; here comes for the Sugata the time of the aneanlisse-laughed out loud?- It is that, behold, O Blessed, the time same [as that wasset] Blessed, is situated in Uruvilva (3), on the edge of the Nàiramdjanâ river ,title to 'Aynchmat (endowed with great age) as a repetition of the word Ananda. (Mongolian. Gram., p. 157) More bacon, in translating the texts Tibetan, Mr. Sclimidt has well recognized itself thetrue value of the honorary title of Ayuchmat {Mém. of Acad. the sciences of S.-Pélersbourg,t.IV, p. 186); as am I EUCE remark only for the players that are in would take to the statement of the Grammar Mongolian, without the closer to the translation given by the same author of the Tibetan Vadjra tchhêdika .(1) Mara is the demon of love, of sin and of the death; this is thetempter and enemy of Buddha. H in is often mentioned in the legends relaiives to thepreaching of Çàkyamunibecame an ascetic. (Klaprolh, Fwe Tcoue ki, p. 247, Schmidt, Geschichle der Obt-Mongol, p. 311. Mém.of Acad. studies of S.-Péltrsbovrg, t.II,p. 24, 25 and 26.) In particular, it plays a major rolein the past struggles that supported Śākya to send to the state Supreme of Buddha perfectlyaccomplished. (Croma, Life of Sliakya in Asiul. Reiearches, t.XX, p. 301, note 15.) The Lalita vistaragives curious details of his supposed conversations with Cakyamuni. {Lalila vistara,ch. xvHi, f.133 a from my man.)(2)Here is yet another new title from the Buddha. It to me seems here spoiled only can mean that of these two things: t who happened, " or " who left. " The first explanation is the most vrai-similar, although it agrees less well than the second with that which I have just admitted, according to Csoma, for Tathâgata. I therefore think that the word Sygata means ithe one who is "goodor fortunately came. "Mr. Turnour is of the opinion that this litersignifies either the happy arrival, orthe happy departure of Buddha. {Mahdvamso, Index, p. 24.) (See the additions at the end of the volume.)(3) Uruvilva is one of the places the most frequently cited in the Buddhist legends, becausethat it is therethat for six years Śākyamuni be subjected to more severe tests, forsend to the state Supreme of Buddha. It was a village located close to the river of Nairamdjana, that Klaproih found in the NUmljan, torrent is the flock the greater the Phalgu. We know that the Phalgu is a river that crosses the Magadha, northern Bihar ear, beforeto throw in the Ganges. (Klaprolh, Foe koue ki, p. 224. Fr. Hamilton, The History, Antiquities, etc., of East India, t.I, p. 14.) The arrival of Çakyamuni in Uruvilva, after he had left the mountain

### Page 111

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .69sitting under the tree Bodhi, at the time when hehad to reach to the state of Buddhaperfect. As for me, I myself have visited the place where are located Bhagavat, and being therei, Tivé, I speak to him like this: Let Bhagavat enter into complete annihilation; Here come to the Sugala the time of annihilationfull. But Bhagavatanswered: I will not enternot, sinner, in frustrationcomplete, as long as myAuditors will be not educated, wise, disciplined, skillful; so muchthey do sau-They will not reduce by law all that will rise up against them as adversaries; so muchthat they will not be able to doadoptto othersreasoning; so muchthan the Beligious and the Devotees(1) of both sexes will not accomplish the pre-ceptes of my law, in the propagating in the making accept by many ofpeople, in the spreading everywhere, until that its precepts aientété completely explained to the Devas and to the men. - Buttoday, oh respectable, the Au-publishers of Bhagavat are educated, wise, disciplined, skillful; they know how to reduce by law all that is against them adversaries; they can get adopted to others all their reasoning. The Beligious and the Devotees of both sexesfulfill the precepts of reading law, which is spread, acceptedby many ofpeople, until it is completely explained to the Devas and to men. Here iswhy I say: May Bhagavat enter into annihilationfull: here come for the Sugata the moment of annihilationfull.- Not so much of a hurry, O sinner, you do n't have any more now alot of time to wait. In threemonth, this same year, the annihilation will take place[duTathàgata] in the element of Nirvana, where hedon't staynothing more of what constitutes existence(2j.ThenMâra the sinner made this reflection: It will therefore in the annihilation com-plet, the Cramana Gâutama (3)! And having learned this, happy, satisfied, joyful,transported, fullof pleasureand of satisfaction, hedisappeared in this very place ."So Bhagavat madethis reflection: What is the one that must be converted by Bhagavat? This is Supriya, the kingof Gandharvas (4) and the beggar Subha-by Gayâçîrcha, is one of the most interesting pieces of Lallta vistara. {Lalita vistara, f.131 a of my man.) Lemot Bôdhi is thename given by the Buddhists to the fig tree (ficusreligiosa) under which Śākya alleignil the Bodhi, or the inielligence, and in a manner more generally ^the II & E of Buddha perfectly accomplished, .the think that the name of Bodhi has been given to the fig treethat in memory of this event, and it is in my eyes a buddliic denomination rather thanbrahmanic.(1) The terms which are text are set Bhikchu (beggar or religious) and Updsaka (devotee). Ireturn on these termesdans the section of this memory on the bisc'pline.(2) See, in relation to EUCE expression, a rating that extent I forced to reject Alaend of volume. Appendix n " I.(3) The illre of Çrnmana means < ascetic which overcomes its direction; " It is at the time Brahminicaland buddhist; I revicadnii d. ^ ns theseclion of the Discipline.(A) The Gandharvas are the geniuses and musicians of the court of Indra, who are well known Page 112

70INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYdra (d). The maturity complete their meaning happen to them at the end of threemonth, this very year . It is easy to understand thatthe man who is ableto beconverted by a Çravaka (2) maybeingby the Tathagata, andthanthe one who iscapable of being converted by the Tathagataborncan be by a Çravaka. {(Then Bhagavat made this reflection: Why do n't I go into ameditation such that by applying my mind to it, after havingmade master of elements of my life, I give up on life(3)? Then Baghavat entered into a such meditation, than by applying his mind, after having made master

ofelements of his life, heabandoned existence. TOhardly had he made himself master of elements of his life, a great tremor of earth was felt; meteorsfell [from the sky], the horizon seemed all on fire. The timpani of the Devas soundedcome into the air. No sooner had he renounced existence than six miracles appeared in the midst of the Devas Kâmâvatcharas (4). The trees flowers, trees of dia-in the mythology brahmanic; they have been adopted and kept in the old pantheon of Buddhists. (1) Subhadra is the last religious which hasbeen ordered by Cakyamuni himself. It in isoften a question in the Suttas and in the Pali books of the Singlialais. (Tournour, Journ. As.Soc. of Beng.y t.VII, p. 1007 and 1011. Mahâvamso, p. 11.) I think that this is the name own that the Chinese transcribe as follows: Su pa to lo. (Landresse, Foe koue ki,p.385.) Hiuan thsang uslearns that vii " century, there existed close to Kuçinagara a Stupa which bore his name. Fa hian, two centuries before him, named this wise Siupo. {Foe koue ki, p.235}, and Klaproth asserts, according to Chinese books, that he was a Brahmin who lived to be 120 years old. {Ibid., P. 239.) Is uspect that there is some inaccuracy in the translation that MA Rémusat has given thepassage Fa hian on this Brahmane, and which is so designed: "Where Siu po, a long timeToafterwards obtained the law. " It was not long after theNirvàya de Çàkya that Subhadra seconverts to Buddhism, but of the living even Sākya. I will therefore dare to hire the people whoaccess to the Chinese text of Foe koue ki to check if we did could not translate: "Where Siu" Inches, in an advanced age, obtained the law. "The Sanskrit books of the North agree with the textsPales of the South to represent to us Subhadra as very old when hereceived the ordination of Cakyamuni. (2) The word Crdvaka means listener; I will return in the section of the Discipline.(3) The term which is used by the text Djîvitasamskârdn adhichihûya, it is not clear; i have ittranslated conjecturally. The radical stha, preceded the adhi, has in the Sanskrit Buddhist the meaningto bless: this is abundantly proven by the Tibetan versions .(Csoma, Asiat.Res.,t. XX, p. 425 et pass.) If this were the meaning of the term here, it would be necessary to translate: c After having blessed" The elements of my life, I renounce existence. "(4) I searched in vain, in the Sanskrit books of Nepal which are at my disposal, themeaning of this name, which designates the Gods of the region of desires. The Singhalese translate this wordby a sensual, delivered to the desires of the senses, " and they derive it, with good reason, from kâma, "desire, "and of avatchara, "who goes." (Clough, Singhal. Diction., X II, p. 828, col. 2, comp. P. 51, col. 1.) The spelling Kdmd watcharâ must therefore be abandoned, because it does not lend itself to anysense. (Schmidt, Mem. Of the St. Petersburg Academy of Sciences, t.II,p.24.) Look for thenumerous sub-divisions of the celestial stages, the Memoirs of M. Schmidt {Mém. of Acad. fromsciences of S.-Pélersbourg, t.I \p.89 sqq .: t.II,p.21 ff.) And of A. Rémusat (Essay on focosmog. budih., in Journal des Savants, year 1831, p. 597 ff). Page 113

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM,.74mantles, the ornamental trees were broken; thea thousand palaces of the Gods wereshaken; the peaks of Meru fell into ruin; the musical instruments of Devatas were struck [and made sounds]." Then Bhagavat having come out of this meditation, at this moment pronounced thenext stanza: The loner has renounced existence, which is similar and differentrent, to the elements of which life is composed. Clinging to the spirit, collected, heTo, like the bird born from the egg, broken its shell." A barely had he given to the existence, that hundreds of thousands of Devas Kâmâvatcharas, having accomplished their ceremonies, advanced inpre-presence of Bhagavat, for the view and

worship. Bhagavat they made a such education of the law, that the truth were seen by hundreds of thousands of Devatas, and that when they the had seen, they returned to theirpalace." A barely had he given up on life, that the caves of the mountains and thepensions of mountains reached hundreds of thousands of Bichis. Thosewise menwere introduced in the religious life by Bhagavat, quileur said :Follow, ohReligious, this conduct. As it applies, in are devoting their efforts, they saw face to face state Arhatship (i) by the destruction of all the corruptions." He hardly hadup to the existence, that of the Nagas, the Yakchas, the Gandharvas of Kinnaras, the mahoragas be met in crowds beforeBhagavat, in order to see it. Bhagavat they made a such exhibition of the law, that this crowds of Nagas to Yakchas of Gandharvas of Kinnaras and of mahoragas received the formulas of refuge (2) and the axioms of teaching, until finally they returned to their homes." Then the respectable x4nanda being released on the evening of his deep recueil-LEMENT, is returned to the place where is was Bhagavat, and therehaving arrived, after havinghailed by the touching of the head, the feet Bhagavat of, it is kept standing next tohim. There, standing, therespectable Ananda spoke to Bhagavat thus: What is thecause, ohvenerable, what is the Because of the great earthquake of land? -There are eight causes, ohAnanda, hethere are eight reasons a great tremor ofEarth. Andwhat are these eight causes (3)? Thegreat land, ô Ananda, restonthe waters; the watersreston the wind; the wind on the ether. When, O Ananda, hearrives as above of ether blowing windsopposites, they stir the waters;(1) The title to 'Arhat is one of the highest degrees of the moral and scientific hierarchyof Buddhism; I will return in the section of the Discipline.(2) These formulas, called CARANA gamana, are in number of three :Buddham çaranamgatchhâmi, Dharmam çaranam gatchhâmi, Samgham çafanam gatchhâmi, that is to say . < I sought" A refuge with the Buddha, with the Law, with the Assembly. "(3) Compare this text to a note by Klaproth on the same subject, Foe koueki ^ i ^. 217 sqq.

# Page 114

notINTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthe waters choppymake move the earth. This is, O Ananda, the first cause, the first due to a great tremorof earth." Yet another thing, O Ananda. A Religious gifted a large powerful supernatural session, of great power, concentrates its thought on a limited point of the earth and embraces the limitless expanse of water; hemade, if the desired move-see the earth. That Divinity gifted a great power supernatural, agreat power, focus his thought on apointlimited from the earth and embraces the unlimited expanse of water : she does, if shethelonged for move the earth. Suchis, O Ananda, the second cause, the second reason of a greattremorEarth." Yet another thing, O Ananda. In the time that a Dôdhisattva (1), beingout of the remains of Devas Tuchitas, descenddans the breast of his mother, then, at this very moment, hethere isabigtremor of earth. And this whole worldwhole is illuminated with noble splendor. And the beings who inhabitin addition to limits of this world (2), these beings blinded and plungedin the deep darkness of darkness, where the two stars of the sun and of the moon, so powerful, soenergeticques, could not erase by theirlight this [miraculous] shine, these beingsthemselves are, at this moment, illuminated with a noble splendor. So thecreatures, who tookbirth in these regions, seeing oneself in this light, have knowledge of the each of the other, and will say :Ah !here are other born ôlreshere !Here are other beings born among us !Such is, O Ananda, the thirdcase, the third reason a large tremor of earth."Yet another thing, O Ananda. In the time that a Bodhisattva leaves thebreast of his mother,

then, in this moment even, hethere is a bigearthquake of Earth. And this world all around is illuminated with a noblesplendor. And the beingswho live in beyond the limits of this world [etc. as above, up to :]say to themselves :Ah !here are other beings born among us !Such is, O Ananda, the qua-trième cause, the fourth due to a large earthquake of land." Yet another thing, O Ananda. In the time that a Bhôdhisattva reaches the supreme science, then, at this very moment, heyhas onegreat tremor of Earth. And the world around everything is illuminated with a noblesplendor. And the beingswho live in beyond the limits of this world [etc. as above, up to :](1) We thus call a being who has only one human existence to go through before becomingBuddha. 11 will be discussed from a time of this liter in the course of this memory.(2)The ego Lôkâniarika designates the beings who inhabit the intermediate region between the worldwhere we live and the worlds neighbors, including the meeting form that we call the great thousandof the three thousand worlds. (Schmidt, Mem. Of the St. Petersburg Academy of Sciences, t.H., p.54.) This region is where the Hells are located, which the Singhalese call Lôkdntara (Clough, Sing. Diction., T.11, p. ôll, col. '2, Cf. Journ. Asian., T.VIII, p. 80.) Page 115

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .73say to themselves :Ah !here are other beings born among us !Such is, O Ananda, the fifth cause, the fifth reason for a great tremorof earth."Yet another thing, O Ananda. In the time that the Talhagata fact turnthe wheel of the law, which in three towers are presentin twelve different ways(1), Then, in cennoment same, there areagreat earthquake of land. El this world allwhole is illuminated with noble splendor. And the êlres quihabitent to beyondHmites of this world [etc. as above, up to: say to themselves: Ah! here is morevery beings born among us! This is, O Ananda, the sixth cause, the sixthBecause of a great earthquake of land."Yet another thing, O Ananda. In the time that the Tathagata having surrenderedMaster the elements of his life, renounces to life, then, in this moment even, he is a great earthquake of land. The meteors fall[from heaven]; the horizonseems allon fire; the Dêvas timpani resound in the air. And this worldall entierest lit a noblesplendor. And the beings who live in the pastof the limits of this world [etc. as above, up to :]say to themselves :Ah !here isother beings born among us !Such is,O Ananda, the seventh cause, the Sep-enth because of a great earthquake of land."Yet another thing, O Ananda. The moment is not far awaywhere will take placecomplete annihilationofTathāgata in the bosom of Nirvana, where hedon't staynothing of what constitutes existence. However, in asame time, hethere is agreat earthquake of land. Of meteors fall [of heaven]; the horizonseems all on fire; the Dêvas timpani resound in the air. And this world all around(1) I found relatively in this way to turn the wheel of the law, that is to say of spread the docirin C<sub>i</sub> a passage from the Mémoire de Des Hautesrayes entitled :Research on thereligion defo which it directly relates: "Let those who ignored the four saints say"tinctions, that is, the four distinct degrees of contemplation, could not be delivered of the miseries of the world; that to be saved you had to turn the religious wheel three times of these four distinctions, or of the twelve meritorious works . "{Journ. As., T. VII, p. 167.) Thatamounts to saying, if I am not mistaken, that the four distinctions, considered under three aspects different, give the sum of twelve points of view of these four distinctions. The saints distinctions of Des Hautesrayes are probably the four sublime truths (Âryasatijâni) of whichit will be spoken below, and it is often mentioned in the texts of the three turns that must be given to these four truths, without which one can not arrive at the statesupreme of Buddha

perfectlyaccomplished. I suppose that the three aspects or towers are:  $\$ ^ $\circ$  The determination of the term itselflet us examine, a term which is one of the four truths; S "that of its origin; 3 »that of histermination. We found the details very precise on the term to make turn the wheel of the law, in a note from Mr. A. Rémusat. {Foe koue ki,p.28.} The only point that I think is questionable, it is the opinion in which is this scholar that this expression derives from the use which the disciples made CAK  $^{\land}$  has wheels for prayers if known at Us Buddhisles the North. I think on the contrary that these wheels, which are all in fact unknown to the Buddhists of the South, have been invented for reproduce in a material way the figurative meaning of this Sanskrit expression , which is, as we know, borrowed from the military art of the Indians. Page 116

74INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY is illuminated with noble splendor. And the beings quihabitent to beyond the limits of this world [etc.as above, up to :]say to themselves : Ah !here are other beingsborn byn ^ ius !Such is, O Ananda, the eighth cause, the eighth reason of abigearthquake ." Then the respectable Ananda spoke to Bhagavat thus: If I understand correctly, O venerable one, the meaning of the language of Bhagavat, right here, Bhagavat, after havingmade master of the elements of his life, has given up on life. Bhagavat says: It isthat, Ananda, is that itself. Now, O Ananda, Bhagavat, after havingmade maîtredes elements of his life, has given up on life. - I heard from the mouth of Bhagavat, being in his presence, I gathered from his mouth these pa-roles: The being, whoever he is, whohas searched, including, spread the four springcipes of the power supernatural, may, if we beg him to live either for a Kalpa whole, or until the end of the Kalpa. The four principles beyondpowersupernatural have been, O venerable, sought, understood, spread by Bhagavat. The Talhagata can, if it 's inpray, liveeither during aKalpa whole, is upthe end of Kalpa. Inconsequence, that Bhagavat consents to remain "during this Kalpa; that the Sugata stays until the end of this Kalpa.- This is a fault of yourgo, O Ananda, it is a bad deed, that moment where was produced up to three times the noblest manifestation of the thought of the Talhagata, you do not havenot able to understand the reason, and that it took that thou wert informed by Marathe sinner. What do you think of this, ô Ananda? Is it that the Tathagata is ca-pable deprononcer aword that is twice? - No, venerable. -Well, well, Ananda. Heis outside the nature Ananda, heis impossible that the Tathâ-gata pronouncesaword that isdouble. Begone, O Ananda, and all this that youfind to Religious with the Tchàitya Tchâpâla, gather them all in the hall of the assembly(1).-Yes, venerable. Andhaving thus responded to Bhagavat, Ananda gathered and madesit in the hall of the assembly, all he foundofReligious gathered near the Tchàitya Tchâpâla. [Then hefitto know To] Bhagavat that the time to perform this that he intended to do had come." So Blessed is made to place o \ i waslocated the hall of the assembly, andy étantarrivé, it sat in front of Religieuxsur the seat which luiétait intended; and when he There was seated, headdressed himself thus to the Religious; All the compounds, O reli-(1) The text uses the word Upasthdna Cala, that I translate with the dictionary Wilsongiving to upasthâna the direction of assembly. Mr. Turnour, according to the Sinhalese authorities who arein his hands, says well this term: "The room or apartment which, in each Vihàra" or monastery, was booked to use staff of the Buddha. » {Journ. As. Soc. of Beng., t.VII,p. 996.) This meaning is also legitimate, and it is very well justified by the known meaning ofprefix upa with the radicals stha and aces. Page 117

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .75old, are perishable ;theydo not sustainable ;Onnecan rest there with confidence; their condition is the change, so that not suitable for conceive nothing of what is acompound, that it does not fitno thereto please (1). This is why, O Religious, here or elsewhere, when I will no longer be, the laws which exist for the utility of the temporal world, for the happiness of the temporal world, as well as for its utility and its happy future, itbe after the have recueil-lees, understood, the Rehgieux thedo keep, preach and understand, of so that the religious law has a long duration, that it is admitted by beau-blow of people she is widespread everywhere, until it is fully explained to the Devas and to the men. Now, ôReligious, heexists for utility of temporal world, for the happiness of the temporal world, as well as for its usefulnessand his happiness future, the lawsthat the Religious after the have gathered, included, must be kept, preach and understand of way as thelawnun hada long time, that shebe accepted by many ofpeople, that it is spread everywhere, untilbe fully explained at the Devas and to men. These laws are the four supports of the memory (2)the fourabandonedfull gifts, the four principles of the power supernatural, the five senses, the five forces, these ven elements constitutive of the state of Bôdhi, the sublime way composed of eightparts (3). These are the laws, O Religious, which exist forthe usefulness of the temporal world, for thehappiness of the temporal world, as well asfor its usefulness and its happy future, and that the Religious, after the have re-picked, included, must be kept, preach and understand of waythat the religious law has a long duration, that it is admitted by many ofpeople shethat iswidespread everywhere, until it is fully explained of Devas and to men, -Let's go, ô Ananda, to Kuçigrâmaka (4).-Yes, venerable ; thus replied to Bhagavat the respectableAnanda.(1) The text used here the term difficultof SafasMra, which has several meanings ^ and betweenother those of design and compound; I will come back to this later in the section of this Memoirdevoted to the Metaphysics. (2) See . the additions Ala end of the volume.(3)I believe that the eight parts of which this path is composedor celticsublime driving {Àrya ^ tārga), felt eight qualities of which I find the enumeration in the Mahàvastu : the sight, the determination, effort, action, life, the language, the thought, the meditation straight, or right and regular. {Mahàvastu, fol. 357 a of my man.) These qualities are all expressed by a term in the compo-sition which enters the adjective samyatch. Celtic enumeration belongs to all the schools Buddhist.(4)Kuçigrâmaka is the city that the texts pale Ceylon call Kusinara, and that Hsuanthsang, to view century of our era, known in Chinese / chi fled na Tcie lo iranscriplion quisupposea prirailif Sanskrit Kuçinagara; we the see also named Kuçinagari. The difference, atremainder, is of little importance, since it relates only to themol grâma, which designates aborough or a city located in a country of culture, but not fortified, and on nagara, name which oneusually gives to a city defended by some work or by a fort. What, at the time Page 118

76INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY" Rhagavat heading towards the wood of Vâiçâlî, turned hisbody of apart on the right, and looked at the way in which look theelephants (1). So the respectable Ananda spokethus to Rhagavat: It is not without a cause, this is not without reason, O venerable, that the venerable Talhâgatas, perfectly and completely Ruddhas, look to the right of the way theelephants. What, O venerable, is the cause, what is the motive of this kind of rejection? gard? - That is it, O Ananda, it is that very. It is not without a cause, this is not without reason that the Talhagatas perfectly and completely Ruddhas, turning their body from one another room right, looking for the way re-guard the

elephants. This is la last time, O Ananda, that the Talhâgata lookVâiçâlî (2). The Tathâgata, O Ananda, will no longer go to Vâiçâli; hewill go, to enterof Sakya, was a large town, has been able to become later a city closed. The Pali termKusinara means to this that I think, < water from Kuçi or from the town abundant in Kuça "(poacynosuroides). This designation undoubtedly comes from the fact that this place was not Irès-remote.of the river Hiranyavati, that the waters fertilized thecampaign. Csoma de Corôs, who had beenwarned by the Tibetan word rîsa-tchan of the true meaning of kvçi, which it translates well into the English grassy," Abundant in grass, "was believed wrongly that Kuçinagarî was a city of Assam {Asiat. Res., T.XX,p. 9"); but Klaproth has identified this error, showing that this place was to be located on the shoreEast of the Gandaki {Foe koue there, p.236), and Wilson believes in recognizing the location the small town of Kesia {Journ. Roy. Asian girl. Soc, t.V, p. 126), where we discovered an imagecolossal of Càkia. (Liston, Journ. Asiat. Soc. Of Rengal, t.VI, p. 477.) Fr. Hamillon in a givena drawing accompanied by an incomplete inscription. {The History, etc., of Eastindia, t. II,p. 357.)(1) Mr. Tumor we learn that, according to the Buddhists of the South, a Buddha, as a kingsovereign, has the neck formed of a single bone, so that he is obliged to turn his body allaround to see the objects that do are not located immediately in front of him. {Journ. Asian girl. Soc.ofBeng., t. VIL p. 1003, note.)(2) I give here on this name some details that the lack of space prevented me from placing the first time he showed up. Vaîçàli is a town formerly famous for its wealth andits political importance, of which thename appears often in the preaching andlegends of Çàkya. It was located in central India, north of Pataliputtra, and on the Hiraiiyavatî river, the Gandaki of the moderns. (Klaproth, Foe koue ki,p.244.) Hiuan thsang tells us that shewas in ruins at the beginning of the view century of our era. Wilson has seen this cityshould be the Vicûla ^ da Ràmàyapa (ed. Schîegel, text, I.I,vs. 47, st.13; trad. lat., t. I, p. 150); but the Gàuda recension, suchthat thegives Gorresio, writes this name Vdiçâii (I.I, c. 48, st.14), as well as Carey and Marshmann (fiâmûj / ana, t. I,p. 427), exactly like the Buddhist booksthat I have under the eyes. Between these two spellings, I do not hesitate to prefer that of Vâiçâlî, which has for it the already ancient transcription of the Pali Vêçâli. (Clough, Pali Gramm. And Vocab., p. 24, st. 2.) It is clear that if the time of the writing of books pale, this name was even pronouncedViçâlî and not Vèçâlt (for Vaisall) is the EIIT transcribed Visâli in these books. The adoption of Tor-Buddhist thographer, which two editions of the Râmàyana offer us, and which also confirms the Vichiiu purâiia and the Bhàvagala (I.IX, c.2,st.33) has in addition the advantage of to stop the confusion pointed out by Wibon {Vishnu purâfia, p. 353, note} between the Viçâlâ, who is the samethat Udjdjayaiiî, and the Vicâlâ (for Vâicâlî) from Râmàyana. Long before one could be used of books bmldhiques for oclaircir the geography of this part of India, Hamillon had wellsince VàiQàlî (which he writes Besala) must have been found in the country located in north of the Ganges, almost in front of Puma, and confining the Mithila. {Genealog. of tlie Hindus, Introd., p. 38.) That inis enough, I think, to refute the opinion of Csoma, who was looking for Vâiçâlî on the site

Page 119

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .77in theNirvanacomplete, atcountryfromMallas(1),in thewood of bothThis (2)."So one of the Religious at that moment pronounced thenext step: It isthere, Lord, the last glance cast on Vàiçalî; the Sugata Buddha will no longer go toVâiçâlî; hewill go,to enter into the anéanlissement, the country desMallas, in thewood

of the two Calas." As Bhagavat spoke these words: This is the last time thatthe Tathàgala looks at Vâiçâlî, the many Divinities who inhabited the wood nearfrom that city shed tears. Ananda theSlhavira (3) then says :Heshould,O Bhagavat, that there is acloud to produce this abundant rain. Bhagavatanswered: What are the Deities inhabitants of the wood of Vaisall, which to cause mydeparture shed tears. These Divinities also made this news heardin Vâiçâlî: Bhagavat goes away to enter into complete annihilation; Bhagavat will no longer go to Vâiçâlî. Having heard the voice of these Divinities, manyhundreds of thousand inhabitants of Vâiçâli came to meet in the presence of Bha-gavat. This one knowing theirspirit, their provisions, their character and theirnatural, they made a such exhibition of the law, that these many hundreds of a thousand living beings received theformulas of refuge and the axioms of teachingannoyance. Some obtained the reward of the state of Crôta âpatti (4); Allahabad, formerly Praijâga. (Asiat. Res., T.XX, p. 62 and 86.) Beside of this city was a garden, including a woman, named by Fa hian An 'pho lo, and by iiiuan Ihsang An meou lo {Foe koue ki, p. 242 and 245), was donated to Çàliiamuni. Wilson, by a reconciliation that I do not not allow to judge, proposed to see in thename of this woman, Ahalyà, the virtuouswife of Gàutama. {Journ. ofthe Roy. Akiat. Soc, t.V, p. 128 and 129.) I think, for my part, that the Chinese syllables An pho lo, or well Year mou loare the transcription of the name of Ambapâli, famous courtesan of Vâiçâlî, of whom heis question in the captions, and on whichM. Tournour has given us some very curious details. {Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Ben gai, t.VII, p. 999.)The Parinibbâiia sutta of the Singhalese makesof thisdonation an extreme story ^^interesting.I add here that Fa hian, to which thetradition of this last stay of Cakyamuni in Vâiçâlî waswell known, since the reports to little close to the kids words that our text says, withhis exactitude ordinaire, that Śākya on the point of entering into the Nirvana came out of Vaisall bythedoorwestern. This isperfectly true, sincewas heading Tothe West,towardKuçigrâmaka, which I believe to be the same city as the Kusindrâ of the Pale Books.(1) The Mallas were the inhabitants of the country where Kuçigrâmaka was located, in the region that bathes the Gandakî. These are probably those who are mentioned by the Digvidjaya of Mahâbhàrata (t.I.p. 347, st.176), and which are placed at the foot of the Himalayas, in the partEastern of Hindustan. (Wilson, Vùhnupur., P. 188, notes 38 and 52.) We knowthat this country, in particular the districts of Gorakpour, Beltiah and Baknih, still preserve todayof very valuable traces of the former predominance of Buddhism.(2) Shorea robusta.(3) This word means old man; I will speak below, in the section of Discipline.(4) This term, as well as the following ones, Sakrtd ageâmin, Anâgâmin, Bôdhi, etc., will be explained moredown in the section of the Discipline.

Page 120

78INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYothers, that of the state of Sakrid agâmin; theothersacquired that of the state of Anâgâmin; some, who became beggars, afterbe entered in the lifereligious, obtained the state of Arhat. Some understoodthat that is that the Intelligence {Bodhi} of śrāvakas; the others, what Intelligence isof the PratyêkaRuddhas; others, what is Supreme Intelligence of a perfectly ruddhaaccomplished; others received the formulas of refuge and the axioms of thement, in such way that this meeting men all entièrefut absorbedthe Ruddha, immersed in the Law, drawn into the Assembly. Ananda the Sthavira holding his hands clasped in signof respect, thus spoke toRhagavat: Behold, O venerable, how Rhagavat, at the time when

heleft forcome to complete annihilation, established in the truths of hundreds ofthousand of Gods! Several thousands of rsis, out of caves mountains and the pensions of the mountains, is sontréunis here. These Rehgieux were introduced by Rhagavat in the religious life. As a result of their application, of their efforts and the sorrows they will have data, theyhave seen face to face the state of Arhatby the destruction of all thecorruption. Many Devas, Nâgas, Yakchas, Gandharvas, Kinnaras, Mahôragas, have received therefuge formulas andthe axioms of teaching. Several hundred of a thousand inhabitants of Vâiçâlîwere established in the awardfrom the state of Çrota âpatti; some have itbeen in that of the state of Sakrid ageamin; others in that of the state of Ana-kid. Some, become beggars, after being entered in the ^ ie religious,\* -have obtained the state Arhatship; a fewOthers have been established in ies formulasrefuge and in the axioms of teaching."What is it so astonishing, O Ananda [resumed Rhagavat], that I havetoday-of hui fulfilled this duty ofteaching, me whonow know everything, mewho has the science in all its forms, which have acquired the libredisposition of this who must be known by the science Supreme, who am without desires, which does researchnothing, which am free from any sense of selfishness, of personality, pride, oftenacity, enmity? I was, in the time past, hate, passion, delivered to the er-ror, not freed slave of the circumstances of the birth, of old age, of the disease, beyond death, the grief, the pain, of the suffering, concerns, the malheur. Etant in experiencing the painwhich precedes the death, I made this prayer: May several thousands ofcreatures, after having abandoned the condition of masters house, and embraced the religious life under the direction of the rsis, after having meditated in theirspirit on the fourwealthy homes of the Rrahmâs, and up to the passion that drives man to pleasure, may, I say, these Thousands of creatures be reborn in the participation of the worlds of Rrahmâ and inbecome the many inhabitants I" Page 121

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .79Immediately after having recalled this vow, Çâkya told his disciple Anandathe story of a king named Mândhâtrï, it provides for in its oldexistences. This story, which is alittle long to bereproduced in this moment will find its place better elsewhere. Heis filled with circumstances while in fact fabu-leuses, and has, in this respect, atoo much resemblance to the Sutra of which I will give the translation. Heis enough for me to say that this name of Mândhâtrï, wellknown in history heroic of Brahmins, is now the title of the Sutra whichit comes to reading afragment, probably because that the compilers of books Buddhist have attached more importance to the legend fabulous that storytraditional of the last talks of Çâkya. Perhaps also the preference that they have given here to the legend of the story does it come to this that the latest years of the life of the Buddha are recounted in detail in other books. Whatthat in any case, the fragment that comes from reading has for us this kind of interest thatattaches to a tradition in which the data are contemporary of the timeofCakya. Despite theplace that occupies the belieftoto be able to supernatural the Master, many of the circumstances of his human life arestill let see. This is the reason that I did place before the Sutra purely fabulous Kana-kavarna. It is good to notice that this last piece, which is a realSutra for the form, door,? After the Sanskrit text andthe translation Tibetan,the titleà'Avadâna or legend: it's an argument for morein favor of the analogy that I have already noticed between the class of Avadanas and that of Sutras. KANAKAVARNA SUTRA (1). "Here's what Iunderstood. One day Bhagavat was in Çrâvasti, ToDjêtavana, in the garden of Anâlha pindika, with agrand assembly of Reli-gieux, with twelve hundred and fifty

Religious. He was respected, honored, revered andadored by the Religious and by the devotees of both sexes, by the kings and by thecounselors of kings, by the men of various sects, by the śraman.as, bythe Brahmans, by the ascetics, by the beggars, by the Devas, the Nâgas, theAsuras, the Garuda, the Gandharvas, the Kinnaras and mahoragas. After havingcollected from many and excellentesprovisions divine and human, as inclothesthat food, in beds, in seats, andin medicines for the ma-('the)Divya avadâna, f.iU h, man. Soc. Asial., F.182 a from my man. Bkah-hgyur, Mdo section, flight. a or XXX, f. 76 h. Csoma, Anal, of the Sherchin, etc., in Asiat. Res., T.XX;, p. 483.

80INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYlades, Bhagavat was not attached to allthese things than is the dropwater in the leaf of lotus. Also the gloryand the renown of his immense virtue isthey hang outso untilends of the horizon and in the intermediate points diaries of space: On this, the Blessed Tathagata, venerable, perfectly and completely Buddha, endowed with science and of conduct, well come, knowingthe world, without a superior, ruling man like a young bull, tutorthe men and the devas, Buddha, Bhagavat! The here is that, afterhave to itselfeven, and immediately (1), recognized, seen face to face and penetratedthis universe, withhis Devas, his Mâras and his Brahmàs, as well as themeeting of creatures, Cramaiias, Brahmans, Devas and men, madeknow [all that and] teachthe good law! It exposes the religious conduct which is virtuous at the beginning-ment, in the middle and at the end, of which the meaning is good, of which every syllable is good, which is absolute, which is accomplished, which is perfectly pure and beautiful!"Bhagavat then addressed himself thusto Religious: If thebeings, O Religious, knew the fruit of alms, the fruitand theresults of the distribution of alms as II myself know the fruit and the results, certain-ment, were they currently reduced to their smallest, to their last bite of food, they not to eat passers to be given, without in having distributed some thing. What if they met a man worthy of receiving themalms, the thought of selfishness that could have been born in their spirit for the offended quer would certainly not stay there . But because that beings, O monks, do not know the fruit of alms, the fruit and the results of the distributivetion of alms, as I myself know the fruit and the results, they missgent with a very personal feeling, without having given anything, given nothing, and the selfish thinking that is born in their minds there remains certainly foroffend him. Why is that ? [ Here it is.] € Formerly, O monks, in the time past, itthere was a king named Kanakâ-varna, beautiful, pleasing to the eye, amiable, endowed with the supreme perfection of radianceand of the beauty. The kingKanakavarna, O Religious, was rich, possessorofgreat riches, of great opulence, an authority without limits, a for-tune and of goods huge, an abundant meeting chosesprécieuses, ofgrains, gold, Suvarnas, jewels, pearls, of lapislazuh, of Çangkhaçila (2),(1) The expression that I translate hereis drichtâiva dharmê :these words seem to me to mean€ the condition or object being only seen, as soon as the object is seen, on theseen kid from the object. "I said, however, that this must be where the unique significance of these twowords. When drtchia is opposed to its opposite addchta, it can mean the visible world, the current world, as opposed to the other world, the invisible world .(2) I can not find anything that explains this word Çangkhaçila (conch stone); hedesignatesperhaps the mother -of- pearl that lines the shells.

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .8tof coral, silver, of metals of prices, elephants, horses, cows and ofherdsnumerous; hewas finally master of a treasure and a perfect atticmentremph. The king Kanakavarn.a, O monks, had a city capital namedKanakavalî, which was twelve Yôdjanas in length from east to west, andseven Yôdjanas ofwidth from south to north. She was rich, prosperous, wealthy, abundant in all goods, pleasant and filled with a great number of men and of people. The king Kanakavarn.a had four to twentya thousand cities and eighteen thousandKôtis (i) from towns, fifty-seven Kôtis from villages and sixty thousand chiefs Heux of districtal rich, prosperous, fortunate, abundant in all goods, pleasant and fulfilled a large number of men and of people. The king Kanaka-varna had eighty thousand councilors; its interior apartments containbut twenty thousand women. The king Kanakavarn.a, O monks, wasfair, andheexercised the kingshipwithjustice." One day as the king Kanakavarn.a is found alone, removed in a placesecrecy and lying in the attitude dô the meditation, the mind and thereflection follow-boasts it came to the mind; If I exemptais all the merchants of rights and of taxes?if I affranchissais all the men of Jambudvīpa of all taxes and of all taxes?Having therefore called the receivers, the great advisers, the ministers, thegar-diensdoor attendants and themembers of various advice its poke to them thus: A starting from this day, lords, I exempt the merchants of straight andof any tax; I am doingof all taxes andof all tax themen from Djambudvîpa." Hegoverned well during of many years, when day broke adisastrous constellation which announced that thegod Indra had to refuse duringtwelve years of giving to the rain. So the Brahmans knowing the signs, knowing interpret the omens, experts in the formulas which act on the land and in the air, having recognized the announcement of this event in the movementsments of constellations of Çukra (Venus) and the planets are rendered in placewhere is found the king Kanakavarn.a, and when they were there arrived, they him address-rent the speech in these terms: Know, ohking, that he comes from look like a constellation fatal that announces that the god Indra deny for twelve years to give tothe rain. Having heard thesewords, the roise began to spread of larmesenshouting: Ah! the men of myDjambudvîpa! Ah! my Djambudvîpa, ifrich, so prosperous, so fortunate, so abundant in all goods, so pleasant, so completed men and ofpeople it will in some become desert and private people! Afterhaving thus lamented, the king madethe following reflection: Those who are rich and (1) A Kôti is worth ten million. Page 124

82INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYowners of a great fortune andgreat opulence will certainlyment continue to live; but the poor, but those who have little towealth, little food, drink and other goods, how will they be able to survive? So this reflection he came to mind: If I was collecting everything that there was to riceand other means of livelihood in the Dj imbudvîpa; that I fisseto countand measure the everything; that once this operation is completed, establishing an atticunique for all this that there has to villages of towns, towns, administrative centers of District of capitals in the Djambudvîpa, I dodistribute aequal portion to each of the men of the Djambudvîpa? Immediately the king called the receivers, the great counselors, the ministers, the gatekeepers and the members of various councils, and spoke to them thus: Come on, lords, collect all that is found in rice and other means of subsistence in the Jambudvīpa; countand measure all this; and a time this operation done, establish an atticunique for all that he has from villages to cities, to towns, from places chiefs of district, of capitals in the Djambudvîpa. Yes, sir, answered all thosewhom the king had ordered; and immediately they did what was ordered to them.

They if then went to the place where is found the king Kanakavarn.a, and when they werearrived near him, they himSpokethus: Know, O king, that all that iswas of rice and other means of subsistence in the Jambudvīpa has been Rasseemed, counted, measured and deposited in a single attic for everythingthat therehas to villages of cities, boroughs, of leaders towns of District of capitals in the Djambudvîpa. The time set for it that the king wants to do is nowcame. So Kanakavarna having calledall those who knew how to count, calculate and keep the scriptures, spoke to them thus :Come on, lords, count all the menofJambudvīpa, and when you the get counted, give them to each one equal portion of food. Yes, sir, answered those that the king hadmandated ;and once they are set to count the men duDjambudvîpa, and is autorisanl do the will of the king, they assigned to each of the inhabitants of the Jam-budvîpa aequal portion of food, the people lived like this for elevenyears; but hehad nothing left to live through the twelfth year. A barely a moisdethe twelfth year is was he passed a largenumber of men, of women and Children of both sexes died defaim and of thirst. In this time everythinghethere was of rice and other means of subsistence in the country is trouvaitépuisé, except that it remained the king Kanakavarn.a a singlesmall measure of food.i < However hecame at this time in the universe Saha(1),a Bôdhisattva(1) See, in relation to this expression, a note that its extent forced me to reject at theend of volume. Appendix No. II.

#### Page 125

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .83that since quaranteKalpas was reached to that dignity. This Bôdhisattva lives, deep in a thick forest, a son who committed an incest with his mother, and to Celtic view it made this reflection: Ahl, what corruption! They are corrupt thembeings! Does a man have to act like that with the one in theinside of which there Tolived for nine months, with that which hehas sucked the milk? I have had enough of thesecreatures enemy of the Justice, passionate for theillicit pleasures, delivered toof false doctrines, burning of desires culprits who do not know theirmother, who do not like or the Gramanas, nor the Brahmans, who do not do not respect the elders of each family. Who would have the courage to accomplish, for the sake of such beings, the duties of a Bodhisattva? Whynot me be content I Pasdeto fulfill these duties for my own benefit? The Bodhisattva therefore sought atrunk tree, and when he in was found, hesat down next to him, his legs crossed, holding her body in aperpendicular position; then replacing itmind his memory heis set to reflect, by successively contemplating the five aggregates of the design, under the pointview of their generation and fortheir destruction, in this way: This is the form, this is the production of the form, it Estla destruction of the form; this is perception; this is the notion; here are the concepts; This is the knowledge, this is the production ofknowledge, this the destruction of the knowledge. Having contemplated thus suc-ultimately the five aggregates of the design, from the point of view of their pro-tion and of their destruction, henot was not long without recognizing that allwhich has to act the production to the law of ^ struction; and arrived at thispoint, heobtained the state of Pratyêka Buddha, or of individual Buddha (1). So the blessed-two Pratyêka Buddhahaving contemplated thelaws which hehad just reached, pronounced in this moment the following stanza: "From the research arises attachment, from attachment is born in this world thepain: that the one who recognized that the paincomes from of the attachementseremoved, as the rhino, in the isolation." Then the blessed Pratyêka Buddha madethis reflection: I accomplished ^in the interest of a large number of creatures, works hard, and I haveyet does the good of any being . TOwho

will I testify todayof(1) Pratyêka Buddha's mol is the titlethe highest after that of Buddha; the PratyêkaBuddha is a being who, alone and by its own efforts, is managed in the Bodhi, or the intelligencetop of a Buddha, but who, in the words of Mr. A. Remusat, " not can operate asîhis personal salvation, and to which heit is not given to reach to the large movements of "compassion that benefit all the living beings. » (Foe koue ki, p.165.) Our legend confirmscompletely the data of this definition. I refer to thenote from M. A. Rémusat forthe full explanation of this term, which we will see more than once; and I only addthat the Tibetans make and this title: " The one who is Buddha by himself. t> Page 126

84INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYîacompassion? What isthat which Iask alms dequelquesfood forfeed me? So theblessed Pratyêka Buddha, with hisdivine, pure and superior sightto that of man, embracing the totality of Jambudvīpa, saw that all the rice and that all theother means of subsistence of this continent were exhausted, except a small measure of food that was leftto King Kanakavarna. And immediately hesaid this retiection: Why not testify I not my compassion for the king Kanakavarn.a? Why do n't i go inhis palate to seek alms of some food forfeed me? So theBlessed Pratyeka Buddha springing miraculeusement in the air, walked inby virtue of his supernatural power, revealing his body and similar to abird, to the place where the capital city was located from Kanakavatî." At this moment King Kanakavarna had climbed onto the terrace of his palace, surrounded by five thousand advisers. One of the great officers noticed far theblessed Pratyêka Buddha who came forward, and at this sight headdressed himself thusto other ministers: See, see, lords, this bird with wingsred whomoves to this side. But a second counselor continued: It is not abird with wingsred lords; it'stheRâkchasa, ravishing demon of energy the men who ran here; hecome for devour us. But the kingKanakavarnapassing his hands over his face, spoke well in hisgreat advisers: It is, lords, neither a bird with red wings, nor the Rakshasa abductor of the energy of the men, it's a Richi who comes here by com-passion for us. By this time the Blessed Pratyeka Buddha stopped on the terrace of the palace of Kanakavarn.a. Immediately the king having risen from hisseat to goin front of Pratyêka Buddha, bowed to his feetin the touching of the head, and it was as-seoir on the seat that was it for ;then hehe addressed these words: For whatreason, oh Rïchi, have you come here? - To seek the food, great king. To thesewords, the kingKanakavarn.a is set to cry, and hecried, in the middle of a torrentof tears: Ah misery! ah! what is my misery! Should that monarch and sovereign master of Djambudvîpa, I amout of state to give to a single Richia portion of food? So the Divinity that resided in the citycapital cityofKanakavatî recited, in the presence of King Kanakavarna, the following stanza: "What is that the pain? it's misery. What isworse than thepain? it is still the misery: the misery is the equal of the death. "Then the king Kanakayarn, a summoned the man attendant to the guardthe Gre-deny: Y he has in my palate something to eat, for I the gives tothis Richi? theguard replied: Know, O king, that everythingthat there was of riceand other means of subsistence in the Djambudvîpa is exhausted, exceptjust onesmall portion of food that belongs to the king. Kanakavarna then made this

Page 127

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .85reflection: If I to eat, I will preserve the life; if I do the eat not, I 'll die \*Then heis said to himself: That ito eat or I do the eat not, it willalways, of all necessity, that I die; I have had enough of this life. How ineffect a such RICHI, awise

fullof morality and talented conditions of the virtue? would be leave my palace today with its clear vasethat by arriving there ?Immediately the king Kanakavarn.a having met the recipients, the large councilors, \* thegatekeepers and members of the various councils, spoke to them in these terms: Listen with satisfaction, lords: this is the last alms of a portion of food that makes the king Kanakavarn.a. May, by the effect of this root of virtue, to end the misery of all the inhabitants of Djambudvîpa!immediately the Kingtaking the vase of the great Richi, placed there the only measure of food which remained; then lifting the vase between his two hands and falling to his knees, hetheplacedin the right hand of Blessed Pralyêka Buddha. It is a rule thatthe PralyêkaBuddhas teach the law by theacts of their body, and notthroughtheirlyrics. In consequence the Blessed Pralyêka Buddha, after having received of King Kanakavarna his portion of food, miraculously leaped into the air, of the place even where itwas. And the king Kanakavarn.a holding his hands together insign of respect, remained motionless in the watching, without closing the eyes, untilit that its view could not longer reach." Then the king addressed himself thus to the receivers, to thegreat advisers, of ministers, togatekeepers and the members of diversconseils: Removeyou, lords, each in your houses; will remain not well in this palace, you die there all of thirst and of hunger. But they answered: When the kinglived in the midst of the prosperity, happiness and ofopulence, so wegave ourselves to the JCIE and the pleasure with him. How today that the king touchesat the end of its existence, at the end of its life, could we abandon it? Cornthe roise began to cry and to spread a torrent of tears. Nextwiping hiseyes itthus addressed [again] the receivers, the great advisers, theministers, togatekeepers and the members of the various boards: Removeyou, lords, each in your houses; will remain not well in thispalace, you would all die of thirst there and of hunger. On hearing these words, the Minis-very and all the counselors began to cry and to shed a torrent of tears. Then having wiped their eyes, they approached of the king; and when they were nearhim, waving his feet in the touching of the head, and holding their hands togetheras a sign of respect, they himspoke thus: Forgive us, lord, if wehave committed some fault; todaywe are seeing the king for the last time."However hardly the Blessed Pralyêka Ruddha he had eaten his Por-tion of food, that immediately from the four points from the horizon rose four Page 128

86INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY curtains of clouds. The winds cold is set to blow and drove the Jam-budvîpa the corruption which infected it; and clouds letting down therain, downcast came the dust. That same day, in the second half of the day, he fella rainfood and of food of various species. These foods were the ricecooked, of the flour of beans roast, the gruaude rice, the fish, of the meat; those dishes were despréparations of roots to stems of leaves, of flowers, of fruits, Oil, of sugar, of sucrecandi, of molasses, lastly of flour. Then the king Kana-kavarnahappy, joyful, delighted, transported, full of joy, of satisfaction and of pleasure, addressed himself thus to the receivers, to the great advisers, to the ministers, to the gatekeepers, to the members of the various councils: Look, gentlemen, here in this moment the bud, the first result of the alms which has just been made of a single portion of food; hegoing to get soon a different fruit. At the secondday it fell a rain of grains, namely, sesame, rice, of beans, of Mâchas (1), barley, of wheat, of lenses of rice white. This rain lasted sevendays, as well as a rain clarified butter, oils esame, and a rain of cotton toprecious cloffes of various cash, a rain of the seven substances of price, namely: Golden, silver, of lapislazuli, of crystal, of red beads, of diamonds, scams. Finally,

thanks to the power of King Kanakavarna, the midSeriousness of the inhabitants of Djambudvîpa ceased entirely." Now, O Religious, if herose in yourspirits some doubt, someuncertainty which made you say: It was in this time and at this time a further [that Rhagaval] who was the king Kanakavarn.a, hewill be not consider this topic this way. Why cola? It's that it's me whoat that time and Tothat time was King Kanakavarna. Here is, O Religious, in what way heshould consider this topic. If the beings, oh Religious, knew thefruitfromalms, the fruit and the results of the distribution of alms, as I con-born myself thefruit and the results, certainly, were they presently reducedLEMENT to their more small, to their last portion of food, they not the not eat without in having given, without in distributing some thing. And if they met a dike man to receive their alms, thethoughtselfishness that could have arisen in theirspirit to offend him does not stay there-would certainly not. But because that beings, O monks, do not knowthefruitof alms, the fruit and the results of the distribution of alms as I know myself the fruit and the results, theyeat with afeeling all staff, without anything given, distributed nothing; andthe thoughtselfishness that was born in their minds yeertainly remains to offend him.(1) Phaseolus radiatus.

### Page 129

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .87" A previous action does not not perish; she does not perish, let her begood orbad; the society of the wise is not lost; what we say, what we do for the Âryas (I), for these grateful characters, do notnever perishes."A good deed well done, a bad deed badly done, when they have arrived at their maturity, are also a result inevitable."So that spoke Bhagavat; and transported of joy, the Religious, the Religious, the devotees of one and of the other sex, the devas, the Nagas, the Yakchas, the Gandharvas, the Asuras, the Garuda, the Kinnaras, the mahoragas and the as-sembly whole entire approved it as Bhagavat had said."I quoted this song because ithas to object to enhance themerit of thefirst descing virtues transcendental that the hornme must practice for atdye to the perfection supreme virtue that appoints Danaparamiiâ or the per-perfection of the aum. ône. It is one of thetopics that come up the mostsouventdans thetexts; we have in effect alarge number of legends where alms is recommended, and where it is even established that it should go, to the one who exercises it, until the sacrifice of life; I have later opportunity to beto recover. In this moment, which we important is to consider the shape of Sûlras in the comparison ant to some other similar treatises in the Nepalese collection .And first Ihave noted that the most othertreated whichhave the same title no differentrent of one of Kanakavarn, a that by the virtues that areare celebrated. Asin notreSûtra, Śākya it recommends the practice of duties, objectof histeaching, and heto show the importance of the story of the merits of which itensures the possessionthose who are there are conformed. The most often he support herdoctrine of the account of the events which happened to him or to his disciples in a previous life, admitting, like the Brahmans, that all beings are condemned by the law of the transmigration, to pass successively through along series of existences where they collect the fruit of their good works orbad. The Sutras of this kind resemble much to the legends cleanlyment say, and they do ditlèrent that by the caractèresextérieursunimportant. A Sutra always begins with this formula: "Here is what was heard by" Me, "while that this formula lacks all the avadānas that I know. We must then say that the legend forms the fund and the proper matter of Ava-dâna, while it is only the accessory of the Sutra and that it appears there only forconfirm, by the authority of example, teaching of the Buddha, teaching who is by

himselfindependentof the story made to support it. To share these difié-(i) Hewill talk of this title in the section of the Discipline.

Page 130

88INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY rences, of which he would be possible to increase the number if we owned more than Sure, Ithink having repel here this that I advancedmoresquinting highthe analogy of these two kinds of treaties; and I do not hesitate to add that the observations to which give place the analysis that will follow apply to just about asexactly to the legends than to the Sutras. Before proceeding to the comparative examination of the books which bear the title of Sutra, I believe it is essential to make known, by a rapid analysis, one of the treaties of this kind we called specifically Mahayana snlras, or Sutras serving oflarge vehicle. Hewould have been easy for me to choose a larger one, but I would not have could hardly find a more famous and who should treat a subject morefamiliar toBuddhists ofNepal. I guess thatwhen it in will read the extract is not meblame not only have the point reproduces the text in full. The Mahâyâna sutra of which I am going to speak has for liter Svkhavail vyiV / a, that is to sayto say((the Constitution of Sukhavalî, "fabulous land that inhabitstheBuddhadivine Amitâbha. The place of the scene of the Sutra is Râdjagriha (1), in the Magadha; The dialogue has insteadbetween Çàkyamuni and Ânanda. Heopens with the expression of the admiration felt by the disciple in the view of the calm Dessens and to the perfection of thephysical beauty of Buddha Çâkya. This last he said that when the Buddha should live a number incalculable deKalpas or ages of the world, this calm and this perfection would remain without ever changing. To explain this wonderful advantage, Câkya recounts that long before a large number of Bud-DHEAS he lists, it there was a Talhagata named Lôkêçvara r / hlja, who hadamong its auditeui s a religious appointed Dharmûkara. This Religious asked for aday to his master to instruct him, so that he could reach to the state Supremeby Buddhaperfectly accomplished, and to represent all the qualities that distinguish a land of Buddha. The master inviles his discipleto get to aidea itself; but the Beligieux replies that heThere can succeed alone and iftheTathâgata does not enumerate them to him. Lôkêçvara ràdja knowing the provisionsof hisdisciple, exposes to him the perfections which distinguish the lands inhabited by(To) It is the name of the ancient capital of Magadha, where reigned Bimbisara, father of Adjataçatru, and also that of the new town, which the latter prince built to the north of the first. (Klaproth, Foe koue ki, p. 26G and 207.) 11 should read the interesting remarks of which this famous city wasthe object of the hand of Wilson. {Joirn. Roy. Asian girl. Soc, t.V, p.Loo and 131.) In recalling that Eàdjagriha had been the capital of Djaràsandha, one of the ancient kings of Magadha, contemporary of Kriclina, it refers to the description of the ruins of this city, which was given by a Djàinain the service of Colonel Macki-nzie, and inserted in two collections of which I can only consult ones, in \. {Quarter. East. Muguz., July 18'23,p. 71sqq.) This description, which is very detailed, proves what an inicient traveler could do with curious discoveries in the provinces which has ruled the Buddiiisine. See again The Hutory, etc., of East India, t. ! .. p. 86, and Lassen, Indische Alterthumskunde, t. 1, p. 136, note.

Page 131

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .89qualre-twenty-one times a hundred thousandmyriads of Kôtis do Buddhas. The Religious is withdraws, and after a while hecomes back to tell his master that hehas included the perfection of a land of Buddha. The Talhagala then invites

him to dothe exposition himself before the assembly. The Religious responds by listing theper-perfections which hedesires that the land he will inhabit be gifted, if he evermanagesto the supreme state of a perfectly accomplished Buddha. This exhibition isdonein a waynegative; all the perfections are indicated therein by their con-milking, like here, for example:" If in the land of Buddha which is des-"he tinemust be between the Gods and themen a -distinction other than"that of the name, may I not ot reach the state of Buddha!"These wishes disinterested are expressed again in verse; and when the stanzas are over-mined, Bhagavat takes the floor again to develop the perfections of virtue andof meritto which arrived the Bôdhisattva Dharmàkara. Ananda asksthen in Càkya ifthis Bôdhisattva so perfect has passed or to come, or well if it exists at the time when hespeak; Toto which Bhagavat replies that he exists at the momenteven atthe West ^ that he inhabits the earthof Buddha named Sukhavall (1),andthat it bears the name (ÏAmitâbha. Then there is the description of the splendor ofthis Buddha, splendor towhich hemust its name of Amitabha, " the one whose radianceis without measure. » Bhagavat has recourse to various comparisons to expresshow much heit is impossible to get an idea of the perfections of this Buddha. Hethen describes at length the Earthit occupies, and the congratulations to the inhabitants of that earth; is this wonderful abundance debiens who deserves this world thename of Sukhavatî, " the fortunate land . "Bhagavat then takes the sametopicin verse. Hethen lists in prose theadvantagesinsured to the one whopronounce the name of this Buddha, who thinks of him, who feels some desirefor the earthhereside. This subject then reappears in verse. Bhagavat goes to thedescription of the shaft Bodhi under which sits Amilâbha, and to that of Bodhiinnumerable satlyas who form the assembly of this Buddha. Two of these Bôdhisatlvas, Avalôkilêçvara and Mahâsthànaprâpta (2), haveleft their fortunate stayto come and live in theworld where Çâkya lives. Ananda, when the praise of Bôdhisattvas d' Amilâbha is finished, expresses the desire to see this Tathâgata himself.(1) The name of Sukhavatî means "the fortunate land." Mr. Schmidt, according to the authorities thatare unknown to me, identify this land with the higher of the heavenly floors, we nameAkariichiha. (Geschichte der Chi-Mongol, p.ZîZ.) The books that I have at my disposal do not sayabsolutely nothing of this rapprochement, which I would then check the accuracy.C2) I do not have any particular drop on this Bôdhisattva which is mentioned in the VocabularyChinese pentagon (sect. IX, iirt.m), in a caption translated by A. Rémusal {Foe koue M,p. 120}, and in the Lotus of the good law, where heimmediately follows ^ as here, Avalôkilêçvara, and where his name is written Mahâsthûma prâpta. (The Lotus, etc., p. 2.) Page 132

90INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYSimilarly, and with barely the he has expressed, that as soon Amilâbha launches the palm of hishand a ray whichenlightenment of suchsplendor the land helive, that thebeings that inhabit theworld of Gàkya can see the Tathâgata Amitàbha. Qâkyamuni then addresses the Bôdhisattva Adjita (the Invincible), who in our Lotus is Mâitreya (1), for himask if hesees all these wonders. This last, who responded positively to all the issues of Baghavat, it demand in its turn if it has, in the world they live, of the Bôdhisattvas destined to be reborn in that of Sukhavalî. Bhagavat assures him that there is one considerable number, as well as in the world of Buddha Ratnakarawhich is located at the East, in that of Djyôtich prabha, from Lôkapradîpa, from Ngâbhibhu, from Viradja-prabha and of many other Buddhas. The

book will end with the enumerationmerit promised to those who listen to a such exposure of the law. This enumeration is done in prose and in verse. I must add that the hero of this treaty, Amitabha, there is sometimes called Amilâyus. As for the style, the prose is without-written; the verses are overloaded with pale, pracritical forms and barbarians, likethose of the Lotus of the good law(2). We are now in a position not only to compare between themthe Sutras, properly so called and the Mahayana, but to appreciate the nature of the similarities and the differences that approach or separate these treaties ofthose called Mahâ vâipulyaor of great development. Heis true that I could not put here, under the eyes of the reader, a Sutra developed in his whole, and that I am often forced, in the discussion that will follow, for meRefer to the Lotus of the Good Law which does not eni ^ ore appeared; but I can affirmthat this gap is filled almost completely by the analysis that I have justto make the Sukhavativyûha. Nothing looks morein effect to a Mahayanaa Maha Vaipulya, and the difference of these, two species treated is, in truesay, that a difference in volume.(1) Mr. A. Rémusat thinks, according to the Chinese authorities, that Adjita (in Chinese A yi to) wasone of the disciples of Śākyamuni pendantson existence human, where one has to conclude that it doeswill take the name of MàUrêija when heappear in quality desuccesseur of Śākya {Foe koueki, p. 33); but M. Landresse has, I believe, recognized the true value of the word Adjita better than hetakes for a simple tilre of Màitrôya {Foe koue ki, p, 3:23, note). I will examine further down tohow one can believe he has appeared, at the same time that Sakya, one or several Bodhisattvasin India. As for now, I am content to note that ifAdjita wasthehuman nameof Màiirêya, it would place on to ask why this name was not the only one who seems in the developed sūtras, where this character appears as one of the listeners of the Çàkyamuni.11 result obviously the Lotus of the good law that Ajita is only an epithet.(2)Csoma de Côros has already given a short analysis of this Sutra. {Asian girl. Researches, t.XX,p. 439 and 440.)

Page 133

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .91The community of titlewhich exists between all these treaties, the^ ûtras, the Mahayana sutras and the Malia Vaipulya sutras announcement, we should the believe of the least of ^ great similarities. However review of the texts themselves not con-does not fully confirm this presumption. A Sutra ofgreat development iscertainlyfor the form a true Sutra; hestarts and will end withthe same formula; heis, like the Sutrathat I will call simple, written inprose, with a mixture of more or less versified passages. Heis in-core devoted to the exposure of some point of doctrine and legends ylikewise serve as an example and authority. But aside from these traits ressem- ofblance which the value don't can be ignored, we find differences NOMbreuses including the importance seems to meof much superior to the charactersas a result of which the Sutrasvâipulyas are classified in the category of sūtras. Let's take this firsthe has tomore outside in a book, so thatheis written, and we will immediately struck by the difference that distinguishes the Simple Sutra of the Sutradeveloped, thethe first of these treatises is written in prose; the second is in prose mixed with verse, to little close as thecompositions brahmins named Tchampit, which I have remembered morehigh (1). Cornthe analogy does not go further, because the poetic part of a great Sutra is only therepetition, under a different form of the part written in prose; except a few details qu'amène naturally exposure poetic, there are not many morein the versified passages thatin the prosaic passages, and we may atvery few exceptions, remove thefirst without

mutilating the work wherethey are found. This arrangement, peculiar to any great Sutra, deserves to becompared to the definition given by Chinese Buddhists of the term Gêya, which means, according to them, "doubled song, that is to say which responds to a text"previous, and who therepeat forto manifest themeaning; heis of six, of "Four, of three or of twosentences. i>I refer to this as Isaid previouslyously of this definition (2); heit is enough for me to notice here that shesanctions in some way the introduction of asmall number of poetic stanzas in thebody of sūtras. The proportion of those stanzas with the text written in prose, as she is fixed by the Buddhists of China, we prove that do not havehad in view the developed sutras, since the parts versified of these sūtras equal, when they do the beyond not, the written parts in prose. At the con-milking, the definition Chinese applies exactly to simple sutras in which in fact meet few stanzas which have no other (1) Forth above, First Memory, p. 13.(2) Above, Second Memorial, sect. I, p. 46 sqq Page 134

92INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY'destination than to reproduce a portion of text in a fornne ormoreprecise or more ornate. But heare well away from these stanzas, which will be shownthat at long intervals, to the great poetic developments of Sutrasdeveloped, which come back regularly after each part written inprose, and who have to effect to bring in fragments akind of poem in the middle of a work of which this poem is only the repetition. Under this report, the Vâipulya sutras, which are thus composed, deserve their title ofdeveloped. I do n't hesitate to believe that they are at this point laterto those which REN-Trent the best in the definition, that is to say the Sutras ordinary. They don't, it seems to me, that to apply in a more general way aprinciple already laid down in the theory of a Sutra, such as that from Kanakavarna. the development is herea sure clue ofposteriority; and without attaching to the Chinese definition aexaggerated value, we can say that between the twocashby Sutraswho weoccupy, the most authentic, and consequently the oldest, are thosewhere in the simplicity of the formmeets themerit of aperfect agreement with this definition. If these observations are correct, they we provide from the outset a character-be certain with the help of whichwecan divide the sūtras into two classes: the first formed by the sūtras properly so called, they are the simpler and very-probably the oldest; the second comprising the Grand Sutrasdevelopment, they are the most complicated and starting from the most modern. Of there he still results that iftheSutras appointed by theBuddhists of the Northfundamental texts pass to preserve withmoreof loyalty that the otherbooksthe deposit of the word of the Buddha isin the wording that has been made, without doubt by the hands various andto the times successive, it must attribute the existence of two classes of Sutras that I come to report. To this sometimes exaggerated developmental character of which it has just been question is in additionone another which completes the separation, in what looks the form, the simple sūtras of the great sūtras. The stanzas introduced in thefirst of these treatiesdo not stand out, astolanguage, ofbodyeven of the treaty that is writtenin prose. The worms and theproseare also Sanskrit; but he It is quite different with the developed sūtras: the poetic partsques of these treaties are written in a Sanskrit almost barbaric, whereappear confused the forms of all the ages, Sanskrit, Paliand praccriles. I already haveindicated this fact when I compared the value of translations performed in Tibet,-in the Mongolia and in China with the original Sanskrit of Nepal. This that indicates to the way the more clearly a different wording, and he agrees with the development of pieces poetic where the remark to testify

# Page 135

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .93that these pieces at least do not start from thesame hand as thesimpleSûlras. Nothing in the books that characterizes this difference in language allows us togives the slightest light on its origin. Should we see the jobof a stylepopular who would have been developed later in the preaching of Śākya and which is intermediate between the Sanskritregular and thepaled, dialect entirely madederivative and obviouslyposterior to Sanskrit; or well there should we recognize that the shapeless compositions of writers whom the Sanskrit was no morefami-link, and which will have endeavored to write in the language learned, they knewevil, with the freedom that gives the habitual use of a dialect popular littlestopped? Between these two solutions, of which, in my opinion, thesecond is a lotmore likely than the first, it would be up to history to decide; but hisdirect testimonywe miss, and here we are reduced to inductions that we provide the facts fairly rare that we have known so far. Now these facts, we the not find any in the collection of Nepal: heis essentials and, to embrace the question as a whole, to consult for a momentthe collectionSinhalese and thetraditions of the Buddhists of the South. What wethere are learning is that the texts sacred ysont written in Pali, that is to say ina dialect derived from first degree of the learned idiom of the Brahmans, and which differs very little from the dialect that trouvesur themoreancient monumentsBuddhists of India. Is it in this dialect that the portions are composed poetics of the great sūtras? Not at all ;the style of these portions is a m-lange unqualified where an incorrect Sanskrit is bristling forms which the oneare entirely made pallid, and other popular in the sense themore general of this word. There are apas of namegeographical to give to alanguage of this kind; but includes in the same time that such a mixture is able to produce in the places where the Sanskrit was not studied in a scholarly way, and in the middleof people who did had never spokenWherewho were aware that thedialects derivatives in degrees more or less distantof the primitive strain. I am therefore inclined to believe that this part of the great sutras must have been writtenoutside of India, or to express myself in a waymore precise, in the regions located below the Indus or in the Kachemire eg countrywhere the languagelearned of Brahmanism and of Buddhism was to be grown with less than success than in central India. It seems to mealthough difficult to Nepas say impos-sible, as the jargon of these poems has been able to produce a time when the BuddhismIt was blooming in Indosthan. So in effect, the religious had the choicethat betweenthese two idioms :or the Sanskrit is the language thatdominates in the compositions collected at Nepal; or the Pali is the dialect that we found on the old onesBuddhist inscriptions from India and whichbeen adopted by the Sinhalese Buddhists.

94INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYI come to say that the Sanskrit dominates in the Buddhist compositions ofNorth: it is a fact that the discovery of the Nepalese collection put out of doubt, but who, any doubt it is, can not be advanced sansquel-what restrictions. In what Sanskrit are these books written? Is it in the styleepic, this styleat the times noble and simple of the Ràmâyana and the Mahâbhârata? Is it in the rich and colorful language of dramatic compositions? Is this in the monotonous idiom and alittle dull of the Purànas? Or is it in the compact, but obscure prose, commentators? Weunderstands easily which use the critical history would one answer yes, it was pos-sible to give to such or such of those four questions. But the answer does can be affirmative on any of these points, because the Buddhist books are notwritten in any of these styles. They are composed with

Sanskrit words under-wind caught up in new acceptances, and especially combined by virtue of alliancesunusual that astonish a reader familiar with theworks of theliteratureof the Rrâhmans. The language has, among the Ruddhists, followed the march of ideas; and as their conceptions differed appreciably from those of the Rrâhmans, their style has become very different from the stylescholar of these. This observa-tion applies strictly to the collection canonical any integer; the only onesexceptions that it encounters are found in books which is present with a more modern character, or which are attributed to more or less authorsknown. These booksor look a lot like the Purânasbrahmanic, orare written in the style of commentators and in a Sanskrit quite okay. Heresults from therethat over the Buddhist compositions depart the time whenhave been written books marked with the character ofinspiration, plus theysebring closer to the classic style of the Rrâhmans; whereas that more they backto these times, unless they look like models range we have con-Serves the literature Orthodox (1). It is in the class of booksinspired that will put the Sutras, the alone hares of which wewe have to occupy ourselves at this moment, and that is also their style(1) In orlliodoxe calling the literature of the Brahmans, I am up to the point of view of India, andI think that there is nothing in the use of this expression which is contrary to history, sincetaken to its origin, the Buddhism was unorthodox, in that he denied the authority of the Vedas Brahmanics. I wish that this observation could I put away the judgment severe that Mr. Schmidt has brought against this opinion, when relying on the huge expansion that has taken and preserved the Buddhism, he said "while in fact contrary to the philosophy and almost laughable "the use of these expressions of orthodox and d: heterodox, "which is used with a lot of" Gravity of the English, and that Repelent with both of naivety of French scholars and German. "Mr. Schmidt has no more indulgence for the Naming sects that has sometimes applied to the Buddhists, and which he declares no less absurd. {Same. of the Academy of Sciencesfrom St. Petersburg, tiII, p. 45, note.)

#### Page 1

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .95which offers the most large number of these traits ownto the Sanskrit of Buddhism. They are written in avery simple prose, and where thesentenceshave in general little of development. Weit sees from far away in far appear fewstances consa-created to the maxims moral orphilosophical stanzaspresumably very old, butwhowill have no one betterstylethan the works wherethey are found. These books have a popular color that strikes at the firstview, and shapedialogued who dominates usually they give the appearance of conversations that actually took place between a master and his disciples. Hethere is, in this respect, almostno distinction to be made between the sim-ples and the Sutrasdeveloped, the least in what looks the part comparableble of these two classes of books, that is to say the dialogue and the story written inprose. Only the developed Sutras have a style more extensive and more diffuse; the proposals are always periodicandthe periodsoften huge, which is very rare in the Simple sutras .I dontcould, without entering into the details techniques give a precisionmore rigorous to the description than Ijust to make the styleSanskrit of the Sutras. I do not believe, however, that I am exempt from producing the proof of my feelinglie, but I find that these proofswill be not here to theirsquare. The studyof Buddhist Sanskrit will certainly have more of interest when he will be possible of the compare topale

of books of Ceylon. I already havegathered many materials for this comparison, and I hope to be able to bring together one morestill a large number. Hesulflra meso exhibit heretheresultsthe {) readgeneral of this study; is that the traits themselves by which the Sanskrit bud-dhique be distinguished from the Sanskrit Brahman are all found in the PalifromBuddhists of the South; than these traits, which relate to themeaning of words, butespecially the syntax is summarized dansdes idiolismes and the turns popu-laires, and that thus the books of North, although composed in the learned idiom of Brahmins are related to the way the more intimate to the books of the South, written, as we know, in a dialectpopular derives from Sanskrit. This conclusionwill, I hope, be admitted without difficulty, when it is recognized that these analogies of styleare mainly noticed in the passages devoted to the expression from beliefs and the traditions common to the Buddhists of the North and thoseofCeylon. The outer shape of the two species of sūtras whose existence has just beennoted earlierWe provide yet other characters quite doneworthy of attention. So this who, under thereport of the shape, distinguisha Sutra of great development, like the Lotus of the good law, of a Sutrasimple, such as that of Kanakavarnaque I translated above, it is the develop-Page 2

96INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY pementet the broadcast. The Sûtrasordinaires are, in relation to books such asthan the Lotus, written with a remarkable measure. The traitsmain of Sutras developed therefind themselves in general; but these traitsthere are onlyindicated, and alwaysin a concise manner. The Ruddha is usually in acity the center of India, in the middle of uneassemblée of Religious who listenedtent; this assembly, made up of a number of listeners generally siderable, is increased sometimes from the crowd of gods with whom talksCâkya,by virtue of his supernatural power. But we do not find, in this indication of the place of the scene and the setting simple Sutras, nothing quirappellethe broad and tedious developments whichopen a large number of sūtrasdeveloped, and of which we see aexamplein the Lotus of the Good Law. That wecompares, indeed, with the beginning of this last work, that of the Sutra of Kanakavarna, andwe will understand onwhat is the difference that I have purpose of report. In the Sutras extended, as the Saddharmapundarîka, theSamadhiràdja, theSaddharma Langkàvatàra, the Lalila Vistara, the Gandavyûha, the Ruddhadoes not meet a meeting that will be composed of a number ordinarilyment exaggeration of religious and of Religious, of Dovas of all theorders, andespecially of Rôdhisattvas, personnagesdont themerits are not no less innumerable that their names are complicated (I). The presence of the Rôdhi-sattvas in the preamble of the great Sutras is in particular a peculiarity very characteristic, and that these parated from unemanière entirely madetrench Simple sutras. Heis not said in any of the simple Sûlras or Avadànas that Iknow a single Rôdhisattva has never attended to an assembly where Câkyataught the law; and the Rôdhisattva that we find themorefrequently cited, Maitreya, that is to say the one that should succeed to Sakyamuni (2), as Ruddha,not seem ever to my knowledge, that there where hemust be in thesystem of all the Ruddhistes, namely among the Tuchilas gods (3), of the remains of whichhewill descend aday on the earth, for it to accomplish its existence last mortalsuch, rise torank of Ruddha savior of the world, and then enter into complete annihilation of Nirvana. YesI havewell understood the Sûlras and the(1) M. Schmidt has given, according to the Mongolian books, a very good description of the preamble of a developed Sutra. {Ueber einige Grundlehren des Buddh., In Mém. of Acad. science of St.

Petersburg, 1. 1,p. 242, 243.)(2) We can see in a note of Foe koue ki (p. 33 and 34) the summary summary of the legendon the coming future of Maitreya in quality of Buddha.(3) The Tuchitas, or joyful gods, arealso known to the Brahmans, to whom theBuddhists have probably borrowed thename. In thebuddhist cosmogony, theyinhabit the fourth of the six heavens superimposed above the earth, which together form theworld of desires.(A. Remusat test on the Buddhist cosmogony, in Journal des Savants, year 1831, p. 610.)

Page 3

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .97Sanskrit avadânas which are at my disposal, therecan exist at the time of theland a Buddha and a bodhisattva, because as the bodhisattva is a Buddhainpower, the coexistence of these twocharacters produce the coexistence of two Buddhas living at the same time in the same world, that that does seemnot admit the Buddhists, at which the unity of the living Buddha is onea dogma as firmly established as was the unity of God among the Jews. It's hereat least what seems to me to result of this maximthat I find in the Saddharma Langkâvatâra:" Heis impossible, itcan not be done, has said Bha-"gavait, that henaisseà both in a same universe several Tathâgatas (1)."The name of Bodhisattva, which means literally "the one who possesses the essence" Of the Bôdhi, or ofthe intelligence of a Buddha, "is the As for the manthanthe practice of all the virtues and the practice of the meditation have matured, following the expression of all the schools Buddhist, for the acquisition of the state supreme f a perfectly accomplished Buddha. The man who will feel the desire to achieve in this state no an achieve it by the sole efforts of his will; heshouldhehas, during of many lives, deserved the favor of or fromvarious of these elders and gigantic Buddhas, in the reality of which the Buddhas believedhists; and that's only when heis in possession of their favor that hego, in one of the heavens that rise above the earth, wait, under the title of Bôdhisattva, the moment of his coming into the world. Down on the ground, heis still Bôdhisattva, and is not yetBuddha; and that's when hehas La-made all the tests, done the homework the most high, penetrated by thescience the truths the most sublime, it becomes Buddha. So heis ableto deliver the men of the conditions of the transmigration by their teacher charity, and in their showing that the one who practices during this lifetheduties of the corporation and tries to arrive at the science canone day arrive Tothe supreme state of Buddha. Then when hehas thus taught the law, hebetween inie Nirvana, i.e. in annihilationfull, where takes place, following themoreold school, the final destruction ofbody and of the core. One could suppose however (andit is by there that Iconclude that Ito say about this subject) than the presence of Bôdhisattva Mâitreya to the assemblies of Çâkya is only momentary, and that it has nothing in contradiction with thedogma of the unity of the Buddha, in that it is the result of a miracle. It isobviously by this species to the ultima ratio of religionsoriental heshouldexplain it; and in fact, if the gods come down from the skyfor to make visiblein Câkya, as the believe the Buddhists, can Maitreyagoodalso figure,(1) Saddharma Langkdvatâra, f. 59 6.

Page 4

98INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYas well as the wants the Lotus of the Good Law(1), the number of listeners of thiswise. Let us therefore admit that it is by virtue of his supernatural power that hesometimes give up, to come to theearth, theskyof the Tuchitas gods, oneother passage of the Lotus itself represents as his usual stay (2). However, the simple sutras which, like the developed sutras, attribute to Bôdhisattvas a superhuman

power, not say no, and that I am the remark-docksall at the time, that Maitreya has never attended the meetings of Śākya. If so they leave in the skythe future heir of the sage, thisis probably notthat theyrecoil before a miracle, it is rather that theyreproducea traditiondifferent from that of the developed sūtras. Here I the thinkat least the difference is all the more worthy of attention as the point to which it relates has less of value in itself.NSwill say as much of the presence of these myriads of Bôdhisattvas, of which arrival miraculous occupies both of site in the last chapters of the Lotusof the good law.Like theBuddhists of the North designof infinities universes located at ten points from space, theythus increase to infinitythenumber of Buddhas and of the Bôdhisattvas who coexist in thesame time; and so that these Bôdhisattvas can hear the sermonsof such or such of these countless Buddhas, hetheir suifit of more single act of their powersupernatural. But here again I noted a difference is found between the Simple Sutras and the Developed sutras. These myriads of worlds which the big Sutras populate the space, these numerical exaggerations, ordespite their drought we find a vague sense of their finite grandeur of the universe, are entirely made foreign to those of the simple sutras that I have read. From there comes that these last treaties do not show us, as does the Lotus of the maidlaw of the Buddhas and especially Bodhisattvas arriving in crowds from all the dotsof space to assist with the preachingfrom Çàkyamuni. The editors of thesetreated, besides their inclination to believe in miracles, however had more than one opportunity of telling scenes of this kind, and the tradition they provided all the elements of accounts analogous to those which we read in the last chapters lowns of the Lotus. And do not mention one example, the preamble to the Sutrasimple de Kanakavarna, preamble in which are listed all the beings whoseŚākya receives the homage, not to say any ofnames of the Bôdhisattvasintroduced at the beginning of the Lotus, nor does he speak of this crowd of similar characters who figure in some chapters of this last treatise.(t) Chap. I, p. 2 and pasà.(2) Chap. XXVI, f. 245 a, text, and p. 279, trad.

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .99This remark also applies to the formula which ends this same Sutra.simple ;they are seen, no more than in the preamble, the slightest trace of the presence of these characters who appear if frequently on the scene of Developed sutras. The comments that come to give place the supernatural listeners rels who miraculously attend the assemblies of Çàkya touch on thetimesin the form and to fund Sutrasdeveloped. These Bôdhisaltvas in eflect not beshownot only in the framework of the treaty framework that could con-cevoir to the rigor as having been added after the fact, but they take part to the events of the preaching of the Buddha. Their presence or their lackinléresse therefore the funds even for bookswhence is the remark, and heis well evidenttooth that this alonedot draw a linedeep demarcation between the Ordinary sutras and the Developed sutras. The comparative examination of these two classes of books will we get to even diereport several othersdiffer-encounterswho will, if I'm not mistaken, throwofdayon the history of the Sû-tras andin the same time on one of Northern Buddhism in general. Cornsince I talked about Bôdhisatlvas, that I be allowed to mention here two of these characters who appear in the first row, not only in the Lotusof the good law, but in the more general number of sūtras developed. I myself amalready sufficiently explainedtouching Màitrêya, including the presence the assemblies Śākya was certainly a thing unknown to compiled teurs of the vast collection of sūtrassimple which carries the liter ofDivija avadâna. However the Mâilrêya's name appears in these treaties; that is, I

have said morehîûut, acharacter of themythology of the future, the future Buddha . the namesof the two wise men of whichI'm going to talk about are the contrary very fact foreign tosutras ofDivya avadâna ;theytheredo not appeareven a single time. Thosenames are those ofMafidjuçrî andAvalokiteśvara of which are one and the other ofBôdhisattvas. In our Lotus of the good law, Mañjuśrī is a listenersfrom Çàkya ;it is the first city among the Bôdhisattvas who sit in the assemblydescribed in the first chapter ;it is the one to which Màitrêyaasks the explanationtion of the difficulties that stop it. The Lotus of the Good Law represents Mandjuçrîas an eminent Bodhisattvaby thescience andby the virtue that filledall the duties imposed on his condition under countless previous Buddhasin Çâkyamuni ;But the rest, this book will teach us nothing that the make con ^be born more particularly, and heis clear that in speaking as a personalfamous swim elsewhere.And the fact, little of names are also often cited among the Buddhists ofNorththan that of Mandjuçrî, after however thename of Çâkya, and perhaps Page 6

400INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYalso that of the second Bôdhisattva of which Ispeak just now. So the Cihinois, who, as I have already makes sense, follow in general thetradition of the North, have a very special veneration for Mafidjuçrî, which is also shared by the Tibetans and by ^the Mongols. The relationship of Fa hian useven provides on this characterainformation of any interest :pre-firstly, in what she doesback at least to IV "century of notreère thecult of which Mandjuçri was the object; secondly, in thisthat she gives toto thinkthat existenceof Mandjuçrî is linked byof links which we are stillunknown to that of a considerable portion from the collection of the North, the Pradjnapàramilâ, of which hewill soon be question. Here the transition even that importantto quote. After having reported the tributes he had seen pay to the towers, it isthat is to say to the Slûpas of Çàriputtra, of Màudgalyâyana andof Ananda, quisubsiswere still of his time in the Madhyadôça, that is to say in India cen-trale.Fa hianadds:" Thosewho have a master of A pithan make their((tributes to the Apithan; those who have a master in factofprecepts"honor themprecepts. Every year heyhas a department of this kind, and((each of them in its turn. The devotees in my hoyan {Mahâyâna}) render((tribute to Pan jopho lo mi{Pradj'hâ pàramilâ), in Wen tchu sse li(vs{Mahdjiiirl) and to Kuan Chi in {Avalôhitêçvara} (I)." I have no doubt thatby the A term pi than we do should hear the Abhidharma, as was wellseen M. A. Rémusat, and that the preceptsdo not designate the Sutras, a distinction which belongs to the early ages of Buddhism, and who isperpetuated duringthat is developed the various schoolsborn philosophersthe breast of this cult, the one is connected especially to the sutras, or toprecept semanated from themouth of Gakya himself, the others following the Abhidharma or the collections ofmetaphysical extracts of Sutras, or to unemanière more generalof the prediction cation even Buddha. A side of these two classes of texts that I spokefully in my description of the Buddhist collection in general, Fa hianBuddhist placewhich followed the Mahayana, or books used to greatvehicle andthat made a cult in the Perfection- of thewisdom.I havealreadysummarily indicated, and Iwill show laterin detail, as the title of Pradjhâ pramitâ is the generic nameof booksdevoted to thehautemétaphysique, and it me enough in this moment to say that the treaties togetherunder this title are in fact, as the 'thoughtFa hian, works serving aslarge vehicle. But this heimported to call back is that the Sutras developed are also called Mahâyânas, and that this titlebornapplies, to my(I) Foe koue ki, p.101.

# Page 7

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .\*101knowledge, only a single desSûtrassimple from the collection of Divyaavadâna; this Sutraisthe Dânâdhikâra, small treatisea page on the thirtysevenways in which we must exercise charity, which has a very poor value and has a simple Sutra as Title(i). Now is not this a fact worthy of attention?tion to see the name of Mandjuçrî, which Fa hian represents to us in somesort like the bossdessectateurs Mahayana, quoted in the books, in the Sutraswhich, according to the doubletest imony of the tradition and the monumentalments, this title applies of Mahâyâna? And this reconciliation does he explainnot to a certain extent opinion of Csoma de Côrôs, for whom Mandjuçriis amythological character, the kind and thebeautiful ideal of thewisdom (2)? All usdoortherefore to recognize that hethere is some relation between this perringtone and partof the collection known Buddhist under the title of PradjndParramila atwhich hemust jointhose of the sūtrasdevelopedwhere his name isfind cited, not for diiethat hebe the author of these books, but simplyto establishthat they have been written since the timewhere wehad started toattribute to this charactera role, either real or imaginary. This is not here the Instead of searching that the could be this role; this point will find its place in the sketch that I will draw of the history of Indian Buddhism. Heenough for me in thistime to have shown that the Simple sutras donever speak of a-Bôdhi-sattva named Manclivçrî, Bôdhisattva who, on the contrary, playsin the sūtrasdevelopeda role very important and have added this feature back to featuresalready numerous which distinguish the vâipulyas sūtrasof those that other cluesurge me to look at it as anterior. What ijust to say to Manjuśri apphque is not lessrigorously to the second of the Bôdhisattvas of which Iwanted to talk, to the one we call Avalô-kilêçvara. This name is not mentioned single time in the Sutras, or in the Legends of the avadāna çataka, nor in thoseofDivya avadâna, while hefigure infirst rank in our Lotus of the good law. He is named the second, at onceafter Mandjuçrî, infenumeration of the Bôdhisattvas who serveintroduction to this work; and in addition, a chapterwhole, thexxiv®, havingfor title:" The perfectly happy story, "Is devoted toutentier to theglory of this holy personage. Hemust agree that this story appears well m-(1) Divya avadâna, î. 275 b, man. Soc. As.(2) Tibet. Gramm., P, 193. Already M. Schmidt, prior to Csoma, considered Mandjuçrîas the source of divine inspiration . {Geschichteder Ost-Mongol, p. 310.} Since then hehas moreneUement still marked its role in the cosmogony metaphysics of Buddhism septen-trional. {Ueber einige Grundlehr. of Buddh., in Mém. of Acad. of Sciences of St. Petersburg, t. I, p. 100.)f

Page 8

i02INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYdioere, even in the midst of mediocrities that fill the last chaptersof the Lotus of the good law ;and thepresence of suchpiece in a book wherenothing does the ad does notitself a facteasy to explain. Everything becomesclear, if we thinkto the high role assigned to thisBôJhisattva theBuddhistsfrom the North. The Tibetans it look like the boss of their country; the Mon-gols adopted the legends that celebratehis facultiessupernatural, andtheChinese himalso make a cultspecial.Mr. Schmidt has cleverlyinsisted on the role that this Bôdhisatlvajoue in the history of Buddhism septen-trional, in particular in the Tibetan and in the Mongolian (1). Mr. A. RémusatTowritten, from various Chinese texts, a curious note on this great Bôdhi-satlva, and hehas shown the influence thatexercises, according to the Buddhists of the North, on the preservation and perpetuityof their faith {%. I will have occasion, in myHistorical

sketch, to come back to this famous character; I noticed out ONLYlie herethat in us therepresentative as associated with Mandjuçiî in the cultthat it makes thefollowers of the Abhidharma, Fa hian authorizes us to shoot of the presence of his name in the Sutras developed onkids cons-quences than those which have just been explained earlier in relation to Mandjucri. The names of these two Bôdhisaitvas, in thelegends of whichdominate the elements in some close exclusively fabulous, lead me naturally Toreportone anotherset of designs in one ordersimilar, of which the absence takes placealso noticein the Sutras the simplest, butwhich is seized of many footsteps in the developed sūtras. I wantspeakof this system of superhuman Buddhas and Bôdhisaltvas, called DhyûiiiBuddhas and Dhyâni Bôdhisaltvas ^ which was not very generallyknown beforethe research of M. Hodgson (3). I could refer to first Memory of this scholar for what looks this part of Buddhism north; it's(1) See the observations of this author at the end of his History of the Eastern Mongols(p. 424), and especially those he hasrecorded in his first memoir on a few pointsfundamentals of Buddhism. {Same. by fAcad. des sciences de S.-Pélersbourg, t.1,p. 110 sqq )The only point on which I would move away from his feeling is the opinion where heis that Ava-lôkitêçvara must have been one of the listeners of Sakyamuni. (Ibd., T.I,p. 244; tII,p. 13.) Theremarks developed in my text tend to prove that this name is all in factforeigner the Sutras that I appear emanated the most direct of the preaching of Cakya, and that Ibelieve to be the oldest. (2) Foe Jioue ki, p. 117. (3) Mr. Schmidt states that they are very often mentioned by the Buddhists of Mongolia; and inthe fact Pallas {Sammlung. hist. Nachricht., T.He, p. 86 and 87) and M. Schmidt {Geschichle der Ost-Mongol, p, 473) had quoted, although with some alterations, the names of the five Buddhassuperhuman. {Same. of Acad. studies of St. Petersburg, t. I, p. 95, note 7.)

Page 9

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .backin this Memoir, ifstill nine, despite everythingwhat we have gatheredsince, that the reader will find the clarify the more specific about the theory ofBuddhas andcelestial Bodhisattvas, suchthat hear theNepalese (1). It is however essential that I present herethemain features of this system, in order to put the reader in stateto appreciate the difference, to myvery deep sense, which distinguishes the books in which heto show those whence itborndoes not appear. In the Memory that I just to mention, after having askeduntil whatpoint the four great sects between which is currently divided the Bud-dhism of Nepal, and of which it will be spoken more late adopted the divisions many of the popular Pantheon, Mr. Hodgson establishes that the religion pratick of this country distinguishes clearly thewise men of human origin, who have acquired by their efforts and their virtues the rank of Buddha, of another classmore raisedof Buddhas including the kind and originare purely intangible. The first, we called Mâmtchi Buddhas or Buddhas humans are atnumber of seven; it is these characters, celebrated in the legends, which Câkyamuni is the last(2). The second are called Anupapâdakas, that issay " without parents," And Dhyâni Buddhas, that is to say " Buddhas of the contemplation." The school theistic of Nepal assumed that Adi Buddha, or Buddhaessential, existing by itself, Intini and omniscient, created, by cinquites of his contemplative power, these five Buddhas, collectively named PantchaDhyâni Buddhas. Each of these divine Buddhasreceived at birth the double energy of science and of contemplation to which heaved existence; and by This dual power each of them gave the dayto a Dhyâni Bôdhisattva, whois with regard to the generator Buddha as a sonTowith

regard to hisfather. ThoseBôdhisattvas pass to be the true onesauthors of the created world; but theworks they produce are perishable. Three of these creations have already ceased(t) Asiatr Researches, t.XVI, p. 440 sqcf. It is purpose that I limit and this summary; we knowthat Mr. Schmidt has expressed a different opinion concerning the Dhyâni Buddhas, on which Iwill come back in time. (2) The seven Buddhas, three former belong to the earlier ages to the welet's live; the next four have appeared in our present system; Câkyamuni is the fourth, and Màir éya must succeed him. {Sapta Buddha dôlra, in Asiat. Bes., T.XVI, p. 453 sqq .; corap.with Schmidt, Mém. of Acad. des sciences de S.-Pétersbonrg, t. I,p. 105 and 106.) M, Schmidt isof opinion that these three Buddlias may have appeared in the period of increase of this system. {Mem., Etc., t.II, p.65.} Wil ^ we have shown (Asiut. Bes., T.XVI, p. 455) that the worshipspecial returned to seven Buddhas taken from the innumerable crowd of the ancient figures of thisname was not a feature of Nepalese Buddhism. I added that we thewill find also in the Buddhism of the South; but I have to adjourn this that I have to say on this point tomoroeat where I will deal with the predecessors of Çâkya, for the historical sketch of Buddhism.

Page 10

404INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY"to exist ; one of which we are part of is the fourth, that is to say, whatis the work of the fourth Bôdhisattva, named Avalôkitêç vara orPadmapâni (I). This is what explains the particular cult of which this Bôdhisattva is the object of the from Nepaleseand Tibetans, who goquelque foisjusqu'à the to concernlike the Supreme and unique God. Finally, and for short, here is the double list of these Budclhas and of these Bôdhisaltvas divine, fruit of the contemplation of a primitive and ideal Âdibuddha.

Page 11

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .105gnageof this text is atmydecisive eyes ,andI do n't hesitate to believe that the system discussed earlier can exist as well with the design of the Nature with that of God, especially when given in the first unp part the attributes that recognizes the other. The Lotus of the good Law provides, infavor of this opinion, moreof an argument of great weight. Hemust firstadmitthat it is a book thatnot contain anythingthat don'tmayconfess schoolnaturalist suchwe therepresent the excerpts and theanalyzesofMr. Hodgson (1). We do not find the slightest traces of the idea of God, orof aAny higher buddhato the last of the human Buddhas, at Çàkyamuni. There, as in the simple Sutras, it is Çâkya which is themore importantly, thefirst of beings; and although the imagination of garlic compiler gifted with all the perfectious of science and of under admitted in theBuddhists; thoughSakya revealed alreadya mythological character, when itdeclares that hethere is for a long time that he fulfills the duties of a Buddha, and he must themfill long-still time, despite his deathnext, which onedo not destroy his eternity ;quoiqu'enfin it the represents creatingof his body the Buddhas who are likethe images and ideal reproductions of his mortal person, nothinggoCàkyamuni is notnamed God; nowhere henot receiving the title of Adibuddha; nothinggohisworks and hisacts of heroism, as wellthat thecall, do not offer the slightestrelationship with these developments through which, following schooltheist, the five named BuddhasDhyâni come outof an eternal Buddha andabsolute. Well, this book where the idea of God, and to speak like the Buddhists of the Nepal, the idea of an Adibuddha is sounknown ('2), offers the traces clear ofbelongs to a separate world like her. The first year is that of abstraction, of the absolute eiat, of being in oneself; it exists as such only in the first world, in that of the void :is

Buddha in the Nirvana. The second kind is the manifestation of the Buddha to the breast of the power and of the sanctity; it appears in the second world: it is the Dhyâni Buddha. The third is its manifestation in a form human; it appears in the third world: it is the Mànuchi Buddha. In this way the Buddha belongs to both the three worlds, forheis essentially unlimited. M. Schmidt supports this theory with a remarkable passage fromSuvarna prabhâsa, which I do not have unfortunately not thetext, but who must, I do not no doubt that it was originally composed in saijscrit. I have so far met, in the books that are at my disposal, no text that has direct relation to this doctrine, on which I will come back to when I speak of the Buddhas prior to Çâkya. I can however alreadysay that it is, according to me, go a little further, that deprésenter this theory as the expression of pure Buddhism and as clean in all the schools, except that of Nepal. I do n't fearto claim that she is unknown, as well as the Buddhas whom shetakes care, to the Buddhists of Ceylon, and the oldest form of Northern Buddhism .(1)What, from orig. Sanscr. Author., In Journ. Asian girl. Soc. ofBengal, t.V, p. 71 sqq.(2) Schmidt we learn, in addition to a passage from his memoirs that in must sayso many booksMongols, where the existence of the five superhuman Buddhas isfrequently

Page 12

iO \INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY system of Buddhas superhuman in this way of the xxii "chapter, where welet's learn that the Buddha Amilâbha, that is to say the fourth of the Buddhas of Jacontemplation is contemporary, in one another universe however, of Çàkyamuni, the one and only Buddha of our world (i). And as forcomplete the notion expressed by this passage, a couplet from the xxiv "chapterrepresents us Avalôkitêçvara, the Bôdhisattva reputed to be a sonof this Amilâbha, standing beside the Buddha 's father, who is ù the West theruler of aideal world like him(2). I still recognize one another trace the beginning of xxvi "chapterwhere the Bôdhisattva Samanta bhadra miraculously comes to attend the assemblychaired byÇàkyamuni, for himtestify hissatisfaction. Car Samantabhadra is none other than the first of the BoJhisaltvas, or the sonoffirst of Divine buddhas of the islandcited above. These texts, I therepeat, support this opinion, that the theory of the five superhuman Buddhascan belong to different sect than that of the ists, in other words, that this theory is not necessarily related to the design of a Ādibuddha, such as the admet-tent these. Cornwhatever heincan be of Celtic opinion which Ido not hesitate to stop me, the Point principal of the present discussion does is no less firmly established; and this point is that one of the developed sutras, themost estimated of Nepal, bears the manifest imprint of the ideas to which missstain this system. 1 Now heis good time of thesay nothing of all that I am to describedoes not exist in the simple sutras of Divyaavadana. The idea of an or of several Buddhas superhuman, one of Bôdhisattvascréés by them are conceptualIt is as foreign to these books as that of Im dibuddha or of a God.Mr. Hodgson, heis vrar, has cited two pieces very curious extracts ofDivyaavadàna, whoestablishpositively the existence of Adibuddha, supreme type andrecalled, and that one of the Ādibuddha of Nepal is cited no part. (Mem. Of Acad.des sciences de S.-Péter & bourg, t. I.p. 97 sqq. and 2i2 ff.) This author has well since thenoiionof a supreme God represented by Adibuddha was foreign to primitive Buddhism; and he hasrefuted with success, although a little severely, the theory that Mr. Ab. Rémusat was established on he existence of this notion borrowed from theistic Buddhism of Nepal. {Ueber einige Grundlehr.of the Buddhs, in Mém. of Acad. of the hereemes of S.-Pétenbutirg, I. II,p. 3 ff.) I do n't think hecan no longer be doubted on this

point since Csoma de Cijros established, by the auloril ofbooks libél.iins, that the i croy; ince to a Ādibuddha not aviiil not been introduced to; ins India v "nlr; .thebefore the tenth century of our era. {Note on the Au / a chakia, d.inà Jonrn. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t. II,p. 57 ff. Anal, of the Slwrchin, etc., in Asiat. Researches, t.XX, p. 488.)(1) The Lotus of the Good Law, ch. xxu, f. 220 has the text; p. 251 of the translation.(2) i, ôLotus of thegood law,ch.xxiv, f.233 b and 234 a of the text; p.267 of thetra-dviGlioiii. Page 13

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .I0fideal of the human Buddha Çàkyamuni (I), and who would thus bring upuntilSutras and the avadānas that I examine the concepts thatin my eyes, do not appearfeels that in other works of which hewill be discussed later. But I have in vainlooked for these two passages in the of them copies of Divyaavadana who are h my disposal.I conclude from there, or that the manuscripts consulted by Mr. Hodgson are more complete than theours, andthat they may contain some works of a character different from thosewho occupy the mostbig place, Wherethat the title taken from the Divya avadāna has been applied to these two fragments, by one of those typographical transpositions of which M.Hodgson gotalready complained on the occasion of the memory even when it the inserted a. In any waythat this difficulty is explained, I persist to say that the reported designsjust now are all in fact foreign to the Sutras of the aforementioned collection. Someattention that I broughtto the reading of these treaties, I would not have been able to discover the slightest trace of this vast mythological apparatus where the imagmalion to play inthrough the spaces infinite, the medium forms and of numbers gigantic. IThere have never met that the Buddhas, renowned human, which Śākyamuni isthe last; and I haven't even seen anywhere thatthey would give Celtic qualification of human Buddhas, both the conception of aBuddha who does would not upstart mantomore high degree of holinessis out of the circleof ideaswhich constitute the fund even the Sutras simple. In a word, theBuddhasprior to Çâkya do not in any way have the divine character of the Buddhas of the contemplation; they are like him the men of sonof Brahmans or of kings; and stories which they appear have a suchresemblance to those where Câkya plays the first role, in the hearing, if ever it 's atold, his disciples could have told him, like the Latin stove, mutalo nominede te fabula narralnr. Of all the traits that I havereported in the during this discussion, the onethat ijust developed earlier is undoubtedly themore important, because hekey to fund kid of the doctrine. Whatever interpretation we ingives it differs from the way the more settled the SutrasdevelopedothersSûlras, and heis added to the various clues that allowed me to dothe secondacategory of books very different from the class ofFirst, despite the com-munity of tilre. Other details could undoubtedly be gathered hereinfavor of the distinction on which I insist; but none will be one toogreater value than those that I viensd'exposer. I am Suffice to indi-quera single, which I do not attach noof great importance, because it(1)What, from orig. Sanscr. Auihor., In Journ. Asiat., Soc. of Bengal, t. V, p. 72 and 82.

Page 14

108INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYcan, as I will say, be the result of interpolation. I wantspeakthe formulas or magical spells, called Manlras or Dhâranîs, whobelong in own to thepartof theliteratureBuddhist namedTantra, of which hewill be spoken in a sectionspecial. These formulas, where what-quesmeaningful words are lost among a crowdof syllables unintelligible, have found a place in the developed sūtras, and the Lotus of the good law in particularment to a chapterdevoted to the charms that Bôdhisattvas

promise 'to the one who will have the Lotus itself (1). We design easily a time accepted the beliefat the ease of such formulas, hehas been able to get introduced after blowin the books also estimated that the Sutrasdeveloped of the Mahâyâna.But heisallowed to wonder why these formulas were notalso slipped into the Sûtras which I call simple. Now I have examined with a very close attention all the treaties of two collections of Divya avadànaand of the avadāna çalaka; and the most striking traceof Dhâranî or of formulamagical that I am therehave met is found in thelegend of Çàrdûla karna, legend from which I will borrow some relative passagesto castes, and that I suspect to be moremodernthan many other legends of these two collections (2). We must therefore look as established that the Mantras andtheDhāran.īs are All in factforeignersthe simple Sutras, while that in REN-against more tracesor fewer in the Developed sutras. What that is the cause of this fact, it is to him only a significant difference it is important to jointo the other characters that I havegathered higher. Thus, and for me summarize the Sutras that I look as primitive, that isie as the most rapprochesed the preaching of Sakya have stayed insheltered from the double influence exerted on the developed sūtras by the systemthe Buddhas and the Bodhisattvas celestial, and the class of Tanlras, ormore spec-cially of dhāran.īs, that is to say the formulas which belong to this class of books. How is it possiblenow to understand the existence of these two categories of sūtras? It seems to me that the aforementioned passageby Fa hian and theresults of my research on the old schools between which is sharedthe Buddhism of the North provide an explanation very satisfying of this difficulty. Fa hian attests in twenty places of his relationship that heexisted of histime of many schools, peacefully living the with each other under masters distinct, and usually in the monasteries separated. The followers of the Mahayana are among others frequently mentioned, and distinguished by(1) The Lotus of the Good Law, ch. xxi, f. 207 b of the text, p. 238 sqq. of the translation.(2)Çârdûla karna, in Divya avadâna, fol. 218 a, man. Soc. Asian girl.

Page 15

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .109there even of the Religious given over to the study of the sūtras, or, as it istranslatedaccording to Fahian, precepts. There is in fact more easy to understandexistencesimultaneous of several Buddhist schools, andthetestimony ofchinese traveler is herefully confirmed by that of the philosophical textswhat welet's talk morelower in the section devoted to the metaphysical of Buddhism, and where we will see a sect of Sautrantika, or Cultistof the Sûlras. But one time recognized this as the SutrasSimple have belonged at a school, theySûlrasdeveloped at a time, for example at schoolofMahayana, so numerous in IV® century of our era, itstill remains to be researchedif these two schools are also old, that is to say if they are due to only fact of the writing of the Buddhist scriptures in three large classes, made that we the will say more later, belongs to the first time in the history of Buddhism. It is there, is thesees, thereal point of the question, the point Actually historical. Because if we succeed in establishing that the developed sūtrasare contemporaries of the sūtrassimple, and then hewill have to put them some andthe others in the same rank, among the sources who's heis allowed totap the knowledge of Buddhism primitive. Let it become possible at the con-milking to show that these two classes of booksbelong to the agesdifferent, and heis hardly necessary to say that one of them will be placed greater distance than the other of the timewhere was for thefirst timewritten by writing the doctrine of Qâkya. If among thebooksofExisting Nepaltoday in France, hethere was a history of Buddhism, or onlya

summarychronologicalfrommain events thathaveMarkthe origin and development, thequestion that Ijust asked couldwithoutdoubt to beresolved bydirect way .Cornthe storyof Buddhismwe lack so far to little closecompletely ;and when heis aboutdetermine, as ishere the place,the relative epoch of two works ortwo schools, it is upin a sortin a vicious circle , followingdeduce some historical data from the analysis of works includingweignorethe story. The study of textsthemselves, however, is the only guide that wewe had to follow to get out of these obscurities; andwe know what lights haveoftenthrown on factsentirelyignored from historyexaminationcomparative of ancient texts . Also, despite theSilence that keep the booksbuddhists that I haveconsulted on thedifferences that distinguish the sūtrasdeveloped simpler sutras, andon thequestionto know if theyhave beenthe one and the other written at the same time ;despite even the presumptionthat this silence creates in favor ofthe opinion that represents thesetwo speciesofbooks as belonging also to the first period of thedrafting of Page 16

410INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYBuddhist scriptures, I do not hesitate to believe that the Vâipulya surlras are posterior to others, or in other words, that the simple Sûlras are more close of the preaching of Cakyamuni that the Sutras developed. The reasons that Ithengive in favor of this sense are of twokinds: the one, which are intrinsic, resultingof the study even of Sûlrasordinary, compared to developed sutras; the others, who are extrinsic, are provided to me by some facts belonging to the general history of the Bud-Indian dhism; IreturnsI exhibit the second in history of the collection Nepal and to the comparison that I will do with that of Geylon, and I attach myselfhere only of raw. I will start by answeringan objectionyou may want to take to the classification ant of times cited the writingsBuddhist in three great classes, the Sûlras orprecepts, the Vinaya or the discipline and the Abhidharma or the metaphysical. Why, one might say, Developed sutras, which already carry of the confession of all theworld, the titleofMahayana (great vehicle), not apparliendraient-ilspas to the class of books con-sacred to themetaphysical? Whyno -one would not Sûlras the mostsim-ples the class of true sūtras, the first class of scriptures inspired? In a word, what reason prevents watch these booksas emanated fromsame degree of the preaching of the last Buddha, and as written allalso at the same time? The reason I do not fear no advance it, is that who in the silence of history, would prevent placing on thekidrank the Letters of Saint Augustine and the Epistles of Saint Paul; and if we are auto-laughed, to reject this comparison which does not crossthat in the form of thisthat Saint Augustine quotes Saint Paul at all times, andit does us leavenota single moment in doubt on the milk of anteriority of the apostle in his regard, Iwould say that the Christianity of Saint Augustine is much more the Christianity of Saint Paul that the Buddhism of the Sûlrasdeveloped is that of the Sutrasordinary. I pray in addition the player of carefully weigh the eigenvalue of this liter of Vâipuhia snlra, or Sûlradeveloped, in opposition to that of Sutraproperly speaking, from Sûira, in a word, without any epilhete. If the Sutras of this last species were called somewhere abbreviated Sûlras, I would conceive that we could pretend that they suppose an earlier class of similar books, which they will be that the extract. Cornwho would ever dare to advance, after havingread the Sutras of Dyvia avadàna and such developed sūtras that we will choose, only one of Safethat is the extractof a Sutravaipulva? Hemelooks goodmorenaturalto conclude fromthisqualificationeven fromdeveloped than the treaties

which the bear is distinguished from other Sutras byte development of matièresqui there are contenues. Rien is more accurate in

Page 17

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .Meffect as this title; nothing does that better know the true nature of these works, which are in a way doubled by Celticexposurepoetic, or ratherby this paraphrase in verse which extends the fund. I already haverecognized in his circumstance a clear signofposteriority; II refer to this as NSI said above, in speaking of the outward form of our two classes of Sû-tras. I only repeat herethat this character seems to me to give a greatweightin my opinion on the posteriority the developed sūtras againstOrdinary sutras .But the fact of a poetic paraphrase which is the simple repetition the textis not the only one Index of development that is possible to report in the vâipulyas sutras. I leave of side the various editions of the Prajñā para-milâ, these almost monstrous sutras, where heseems we have takento taskachieve the idealof the distribution; i'm therewill come backmore later. I takeone another Developed Sutra, the Gandavyûha, which doespart of the nine Dharmas, that istell about those books that are inN \(^\) pale the objectof a veneration particular. Then I propose to a reader versed in theknowledge of Sanskrit, and gifted with garlictheir onesturdy patience, to readthefirst fifty leaves of this Treaty, and to say then if himseems that such work be a bookpri-mitif, a Hvre old, one of those books by which the religions are based, as a cred code, in a nutshell; if heit recognizes the character of a doctrine that does is still in its early stages; if heit grabsthe tracethe efforts of prosély-tism; if hethere meets the strugglesof a new belief against an orderprevious ideas; if hediscover the society in the midst of whichtry itpreaching. Where I myselfgravemant trunk, orafterone such reading, one of which I invoke the testimony will trouvédans this book another thing that the develop-elements of a doctrinecomplete, triumphant and who thinks without rival; something other than the peaceful and monotonous conceptions of the life of the cloisters; something other than the vague images of an ideal existence which with calmin the regions of the absolute perfection, far from the hustle and bustlenoisy and not-sionnée the world. Now that that I say the Ganda vyuha applies almost rigou-owing to the other great sūtras, to Samādhi rādja, to Daçabhûmîçvara, for example. And in the other developed sutras, such as the Lalita vistara andthe Lotus of the Good Laworseems something moreseizable and tomorereal that the virtues ideal for BôJhisatlvas, which is traced the life of Śākyamuniand which are reported to beautiful parablesgive so high idea of thepreaching of the lastBuddha, inthoseSûtras, I say, thetraces of de-development are so often recognized that we areany moment trained tosuppose that thesebooks will do that work at leisure on a theme already existing.

#### Page 18

112INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYOh well, it is here that seemsclearly the difference and anteriority of the Sû-simple tras on the vâipulyas sūtras; all that lack in the second tolocated in the first. The ordinary Sûlras we show the BuddhaÇâkyamuni preachinghis doctrine in the middle of a Companywho, to judge by the legends in which heplays a role, was deeply corrupted. Herpreaching is above all moral; and although the metaphysical are that is not forgotten, it will certainly occupies less great site that the theory of virtues imposed by the law of the Buddha, virtues between which the charity, the patience and the chastity are unquestionably in the first row. The law, like Çâkya calls him, is not in these books dogmatically exposed; she is the rejust indicated, the more often unemanière wave,

and presented ratherin its applications that in its principles. To infer from suchworksa systematic exposition of the belief of the Buddhists, itshould in pos-to seduce a very large number; still is henot sure that we could get todraw by this means a tableComplete themorality and of the philosophybuddhist; because the beliefs appear there for virtually into action, cer-some points of doctrine are reminded of each page, and that others are are hardly mentioned, or do thearenotat all. But this circumstance, whichis for us an imperfection true, was well as its benefitsunder the pointhistorical perspective a sure indication of the authenticity of thesebooks, and it proves that no work routine has tried to the complete after blow, nor of the set, with additions later, the level of pro-sands tone that certainly made the Buddhism in, the course of time. The sūtrasdeveloped have, as in the doctrine, and vantage marked on the sutras sim-ples; because the theory it shows more advanced in the double report the dogmaand of themetaphysics; but it is precisely this particularity that makes mebelieve that the Vâipulyas sutras are subsequent to the simple sutras. These lastNiers we are attending to the birth andto the first developments ofBuddhism; and if they do not contemporaries of Sakya itself, they we have at least very faithfully preserved thetradition of his teaching. Fromtreaties of this kind have no doubt could be imitated and compounds after strokeinthe silence of the monasteries; but even admitting that we do have more than the imitation of books original, any reader of good faithwho thewill studyin the Sanskrit manuscripts of Nepal will be forced to agree that theyare stillmore closer to the preaching of Çàkya that the Sutras developed. It is therethe point of even that I want to establish in this moment thathematter fromput in shelterof any dispute; How that is the date on which from Research subsequent need a day set the Sutras the simpler than they

Page 19

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .113back to the time of the first disciples of Sakya, or they descen-tooth as low as the timethe last Council of the North, no matter; thereport that seems to meexist between them and the developed sutras will not change not; the distance between theeach other alone will be able to increase ordecrease. If, as I have Instead of the believe the comments above are based, I'm in right to say that what he isade common among the developed sūtrasand simple Sutras, is theframework, action, theory of moral virtues, one of the transmigration of rewards and punishments, of causes andeffects, subjects that also belong to all theschools; but these various points are treated, in the one and in the other, with differences of proportionentirely made features. I have shown how the framework of the Sutras developedwas larger than that of the simple sutras; that of the first is almost without terminals; that of the second is restricted to limits of the probable. The action, though the same of hand and on the other, not is not accomplished in the Sû-very developed for the same listeners as in the simple sutras ;it'salways Câkyamuni who teaches ;but instead of these Brahmins and of thesemerchants heconverts in the Sutrassimple, these arein the Sutras developed, Bôdhis attvas fabulous like the worlds from which they come out, whojust attend to his teaching. The scene of the first is India, theplayers are the men and some lower deities; and except the powerto do the miracles that have Sakya and his first disciples, which it pass seems natural and plausible. On the contrary, all that the imaginationmaydesign huge inspace and in the time is still too re-tight for the sūtras scenedeveloped. The actors are there these Bôdhisattvasimaginary, the virtues endless, the names without end and thatborncan pronounce, with bizarre and almost ridiculous titles,

where theoceans, the rivers,the waves,the rays, thesunsmateof theso the more childish and thelessinstructive to the qualities of aperfection without merit, because it is there withouteffort. HethereToover there nobody to convert; while the world believes, and each isof course to become one daya Buddha, in a world of diamonds orlapis lazuli. Herésulle of all this that over theSutras are developed, the morethey are poor in historical details; and that the more theyenter before inthe doctrine metaphysics more they move away from the company and become foreign beware of what is happening there. Do is it not enough for us to believe that thesebooks have been written in the countries and to the époquesoù theBuddhism hadreached to all its developments, and to ensure all the plausibility desiredrable to the opinion that I have sought to establish, namely anticipation of Sutras ordi-8

Page 20

414INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYnaires, whowe reportentà of time and in countries where the Buddhismencountered his adversaries at all times, and was obliged to contend with them by the preaching and by the practice of virtues moral? I agree that in order to share knowingly thisopinion, thereader wouldneed to compare definite number of Sutrassimple toother developed sutras, such what is the Lotus of the good law; cornthe timeis notdistant perhapswhere these monuments curious appear in theread-miere. In the meantime, I believed that Ihad to expose the results that gave methe perusal of six hundred sixty and fourteen pagesofDivya avadana. I do n't really believecome forward saying that if we are should not find a Exposure entirely made full of Buddhism, there will be at least the storyfaithful to his early efforts, and as the exact picture of his establishmentthe breast of the company Brahmin. It is there, if I do notmistaken, which givesto the sūtras andto legendsan interest that would not haveof bookswhere thebeliefs would be more fixed and exposed more dogmatically. In such Sutrasshed light on an important strong point in the history of Buddhism, namely, itsport with the Brahmanism, point on which thepurely speculative treatieskeep a silent almost full. And this circumstance is enoughto it alone to establish that these Sutras have been written when these two religions lived one close to each other; all of the same as the presence of some religious bud-dhistes in several dramas Brahmanical proves that these dramas have beenwritingsToa time when heexistedAgainin Indiacultists ofBuddha. We see it, the study of the Sutras, considered under this point of view par-culier, brings a new confirmation in favor ofopinionwhich to me thedid look like the monuments themore rapprochésde thepreaching of Cakyamuni. She sliced addition of a so definitive an issue that has renewed recently, that of .; .see what is themoreelder of Brahmanismor Buddhism, and one has wanted to resolve in favor of the latter worshipby the reason that themonuments epigraphic the oldest that one is REN-against in India belongto Buddhism and not to Brahmanism. Withoutenter at this hour into the examination of each of these monuments, which have notyet been studied, according to me, with a focus or asufficient criticism, Iwill say that of the existence of ancient Buddhist inscriptions written inpaled, and even from the anteriority of these inscriptions Torespect of monuments brahmins of the same order, written in Sanskrit, we should have concluded, nonot that the Pali is prior to Sanskrit, which is impossible, no not that the Buddhismis prior to Brahmanism, which does is not less, but that the

Page 21

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .dl 5sentiment and the processes of history occurred andrather applied at the Buddhists than among the Brahmans. It would still be necessary to recognize that these processes have not taken from them for many major developments sincewedo not have the continued history of Buddhist India any more than that of Indiabrahmanic. Cornwhat to say now in presence of formal testimony of sacred texts of Nepal, which seems the company Brahmanical allwhole, with its religion, its castes and its laws ' \times Will we pretend that the company including its booksattest the existence was originally Buddhist, and that the Brahmans, wholater on becamethemasters, inhave borrowed someelements to which they have given the form belowwhichwe themfound in lawsofManu, and in the epics of Bâmâyana and Mahâbhârata ?Or much imaginationNera are we that thenames ofGods and soon caste Brahmin, including theSutras North are filled, there have been introduced after stroke? Andby whom? For the Buddhists, without doubt, to be given thehonors of asuperiority, or at least of an equality with regard to the Brahmans, that theywould have been con-server in India; Whereperhaps by the Brahmans, to doreassemble themexistence at a time most highthan that where they have seemed really? As if, of ahand, the editors of Hvres Buddhist had had interest to show the Buddhism being detached from Brahmanism, if the Brahmanism would not have existed in factof their time; and as if, on the other, they would have permitthe Brahmins to come after stroke drag their name odious amongthenames of Sakya and of its disciples. We don'tmayin effectget out of thisalternative :theSutraswho note the existence of Brahmanic societywere written or around the time of Çàkya, orvery long after him. If they arecontemporaries of Câkya, the society they describe existed then, because we do notcould conceive why theywould have spoken with both of details of a so-a place which would not have been the one where Çâkya appeared. If they have been written for a very long timeafter Çàkya, we do includes not more how the gods and personalmedley Brahmanical are involvedone ifbig place, since long afterthe Buddha, the Brahmanism was deeply separated from Buddhism, and that these two cults had only one piece of land onwhich they could be REN-counter, that of the controversy and of the war. But it's enough, I think, reason on simple hypotheses, especially since themonuments which give rise to these different assumptions will soon be in my hand the subject of aspecial examination. With a small number of facts and a great emploide the dialecticaltick itis easyto arrive at the most bizarre and most con-milking in common sense; what ifi couldconvince me that the controversy serve in General to highlight something other than the passions or the vanity

Page 22

416INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY of the one who gets drunk, Ifind in the subject that I key in this timethe subject of a long and laborious but the reader will preferwithout doubt that I it shows by some lines on how to view the Sùtras, and I add the legends, we are considering the company in the middle of which was born and spread on Buddliism. He cannot enter into the plan of my work to raise one by one all the indications which attest that at the time when Çâkyamuni was traveling India for there enseigners a law, the company Brahmin was reached in hismore high degree of development. It might as well translate in full the Divya avadâna and the one hundred legends of Avadâna çataka, so many are the proofs of the factas I advance, so many times they are repeated in the sūtras and in the legends of these voluminous collections. But he is always possible, and it is herenecessary to point out a few of traitscharacteristics of the

company in the middle of which Sakya is shown completing its mission. I will therefore attach myself inthrough-ticular to twopoint which is the known, is afflicting very close in India, reli-gion and political organization; and I will showwith some extracts that that theeditors of Snlras and Buddhist legends from the north uslearn about these two major elements of thecompany, as it existed in IndiatoCâkya time. The Deities which the names appearin the Sutras of the Nepalese collectionease are :Narayana (1), Siva, Varuna, KUVERA, Brahma (2) or Pilâmahâ (3), Cakra or Vâsava(4), Hari(5) Where Djanârdana (6), Çamkara (7) who is only oneanother name of Çiva, and Viçvakarman (8). After these Gods, well known in the Brahmanic Pantheon, comes the rank of lower Deities, such as theDevas, the Nàgas, the Asuras, theYakchas, the Garuda, the Kinnaras, the Ma-hôragas, the Gandharvas, the Piçatchas, the Dànavas and other bonsiou genius esevil which the names will meet at toutinstant in the legends and in the sermons of Çâkyamuni (9). At the head of these secondary Deitiesfigure Indra, usually named Cakra or Catchîpati, the husbandfrom Çatchî (10).(1) Avadâna çataka, f. 53 a.(2) Kôtikarna, in Divya avad., F.1. Pûrna, ibid.,f.20 6.Mditrakanyaka, f.327 b.Passedpraddna, f.178 a. Avad. thatL, f.6 b, 31 b, 49 6, 55 b, 80 b, 112 b, 169 b, 242 b.(3) Mdilrakanyaka, in Divya avadâna, fol. 327 b.(4) Avadâna çataka, f.31 b.(5) Pûrna, in Divya avad., F.20 b.(6) Mâitrakanyaka, ibid., F.327 b.t(7) Pûrna, ibid., F. 20 b. Mâitrakanyaka, ibid., F.327 b.(8) Mâilrêya, ibid., F. 28 b.(9) Pûrna, ibid., F. 20 b. Açôka, ibid., F. 66 o. Prdtihârya, ibid., F. 69 b and pass.(10) Pûrna, ibid., F, 20 b.

Page 23

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .1170f all the gods, it's the one that the name comes the most souventdans the Su-tras and in the legends. HeUsually appears there at Gàkyamuni, withwhich hehas frequent links, and hey receives liter of Kàuçika, titlehe wearsinthe Brahmanic Upanichads dos Yêdas. His name appears with that of Upendra, one of the oldest epitheles of Vishnu, in theformulakid bywhich the legends express that a Religious has reached the rank known as the oneof the Arhats, formula which is thus conceived:" Hebecomes one of those who deserve"that the Dêvas, with Indra and Upêndra, therespect, thehonor and the" Greet(1)."All these Deities are those of thepeoplein the middle of which lives Câkya withits religious, they are, of the handof all the castes, the object of a cult constantand exclusive; is their demand children(2); the browsersthreatened withperishthe crave to get out of risk (3). But their power is not recognized as absolute by the Buddhists, and he is less than that of the Buddha. Çàkya, in fact, is represented saving the naufr.igc of merchants whohave vain-is lyinginvoked these Gods (4); and as to the power that the people they suppose give Desenfants, here as the editors of the Sutras in disputeexistence: <tIt is an accepted maximin theworld, that these are the Toprayers addressed to the godswhichborn the son or the girls ;but this"is not ;because otherwise everyone would have a hundredson, all monarchs Sou" Verains (5). "The subordination of the Gods AGAINST the Ruddha is expressed and in a way regularized in the following passage:g:It is a rule that((when the blessed Buddhas conceive aworldly thought, to the same"instant Çakra, Rrahmâ and theother devas are aware of the thought"fromBlessed (6).y>Also we see,inmoreof apassage,Câkra,rindra des Devas, as it is usually called,come and assistÇâkyamuniin its businesses (7). The legend of Çâkyamuni, which is found drowned among the diffuse developments of Lalita vistara, recounts that when theyoung sonofKing Çuddhôdana, who had not yet assumed the religious character, was ledat the temple of the Gods in Kapilavastu, theinsensible statues of Civa, Skanda, Nàrâyana,

Kuvêra, Tchandra, Sûrya, Vâiçravana, Çakra, and thoseof Lôka-(1) Svpriya, in Divya avad., F.46 a. Avad. çat., f. 39 h, 148 h, 150 o.(2) Kôtikarna, ibid., F.1 a. Mditralcanyaka, ibid., F.327 b.(3) Pûrna, ibid., F. 20 b.Dharma rutchi, ibid., F.114 (?. Samudra, in Avad. Çat., F.190 b.(4) Dliarmarutchi, ibid., F. 114 b.(5) Kôtikarna, ibid., F.1. Avad. çat., f.6 b, 49 b.(6)Mâitrêya, ibid., F.30 b.(7) Açôka, ibid., F.67 a. Prdtihârya, ibid., F.79 a and b. Avad. çat., f.14 b.Kapphina, inAvad. çat., f.211 a. Page 24

118INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYPalas is leverenttoutes of their seat, to gobow to the youngmale (1). And it 's not just to the superiority of the Buddhathat the gods are forced to pay homage ;a simple Religious, Pûrna, madealso feelits puissanceà a Yakcha, who was watching in the care of a forest of wood of sandalwood(5). One other Religious, Upagupta, contemporaryof king Açôka (3), triumphed by hispower irresistible Mara, the sin incarnate, who fled to Brahmato implore his help; Brahmâ answers him:" Without a doubt my strength is" Immense, but it does not equal that of a sonof the Tathâgata;" And the God con-seille for Mara to make an act of faith in Buddha (4). Finally, theworship that wereturns to the Gods is less meritorious toeyes of Çâkya that thepractice ofmoral virtues. I find, at this subject, in an Avadàna, apassage that places fulfillment des devoirs that the morality imposed over the objects the most revered of Brahmins and the people, namely, Brahma, the sacrifice, the fireand the Domestic Gods, and which at the same time shows the nature of the attackswhich the Gods of India werethe object of the share of Sakya." One day when Bhagavat was in Çrâvastî, in Djêtavana, in thegardenfrom Anâtha pindika, headdressed the Religious thus: Brahma, O Religious, iswith the families in which the father andthe mother are perfectly honored, perfectly revered, served with happinessperfect. Why is that? Is that, for a sonof family, a father and a mother are, according to the law, Brahmâ him-same. The preceptor, O Religious, is with the families inthat the fatherand mother are perfectly honored[etc. as above]. Why is that? It is that, for a son of a family, afather and mother are, according to the law, thetutor himself. The fire of sacrifice, O monks, is with the families in(i) Lalita vistara, ch. viii, f.68 b of my manuscript.(2) Pûrna, in Divya avad., F.20 a sqq.(3) I say Açôka, without distinguishing whetheris of Kâlàçôlia or of Dharmâçôka do not wantgive to the. tradition of the North more of precision she did was truly. I will establish in effectin my Historical Sketch which the texts of the North generally confuse in a singlecharacter the two Açôkas that distinguish the texts faded the South. See, meanwhile, aproof of this factin the collection by M. Schmidt. {Der ^ Weise und der Thor, transl.,p. 218.)I only add here that, for the Singhalese, the Açôka of which heis question in the text would beKàlàçôka.(4)Pâmçu pradâna, in Dkya avad., F.178 a and h. The same fact is told, althoughterms a little different, with a legend of the Uligerun Dalai, which is identical to the funds to he one from which I am extracting this passage, and which M. Schmidt translated. {Same. of Acad. SCIENCE of S.-Petersburg, t. II,p. 28.) This legend is located more complete and with more in detail in the collection of Tibetan legends {DerWeise und der Thor, p. 386 ff), that is, so we hadlong since learned from Mr. Schmidt, the Tibetan original of the Mongolian Uligerun Dalai . (Forschung. Mongol, und Tibet., P. 175.) Page 25

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .ii9which the father and the mother are perfectly honored [etc. as above]. Why is that ?Is that, for a sonof family, a father and a mother are, according to the law, the fire of the sacrifice itself. the fire[domestic], O Religious, is with the families

in which the father and themother are perfectly honored [etc. as above]. Why is that ? Is that, for a sonoffamily, a father and a mother are, according to the law, the fire household itself. The Deva [withoutdoubt Indra], O Religious, is with the families inwhich the father and themother are perfectly honored [etc.as above]. For-what that? It is that, for a son of family, afather and a mother are, according the law the Deva itself (1). "The testimonials that Ijust to summarize mark clearly thereport of Gods Popular from India with the founder of Buddhism. 11is obviousthat Gàkyamuni found their cult already existing, andhe did was not invented. Hehas been said, and the authors of legends have been able to believe that Buddha was SUPREMElaughing, in this very life, to the greatest of the recognized Gods of his timein India, to Brahma and to Indra; but hehas not created these gods, not more than Civa and theothers, for the pleasureto make them the ministers of his will. The power supernatural which hesaid he was gifted enough certainly to the execution tion of all that hewas doingperformed by Indra andby the other deities lower; and I havetheconvictionintimate that if Çâkya would not have metaround him a Pantheon any populated gods which I have given them names, hewould have had no need to invent it to assure to his mission the authority that thepeople could refuse to a man. Because, this is goodimportant to note, Çâkya does not come, like the Brahmanic incarnations of Vishnu, to show the people a God eternal and infinite, down on the earth and preserving, in the mortal, the power irresistible to the Divinity. This is the son of a kingwhich is actually religious, and who has, to be recommended toof the people, that the superiority of virtue and of his science. The belief universally admitted in India, that a great holiness isnecessarily accompanied by supernatural faculties, this is the only support hewas to find in the minds (2); but this was an emergency huge, and that it gave the means to be creating a past trials and of virtues for justi-proud of its mission. This past, however, was not exclusively divine; the buddhahad, as well as all the beings, rolled in the circle eternally mobile thetransmigration; hehad gone through several existences in theanimal bodies,(1) Avadâna çataka, f.79 6.(2)Benfey, Indian, p. 200 and 201, taken from the Encyclopédie d'Ersch and Gruber.

Page 26

120INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY of the damned, of men and of Gods, by turns virtuous and riminal rewardthought and punished, but little accumulating in some of themerits that were the makingpleasantto the Buddhas under which helived, and himassure their blessing. In this system, you see, Sakya no reports of any God; hebind all ofhimself and from the grace of an earlier Buddha, whose originis not moreDivine as the own. The Gods have nothing to do here ;theynot creating morethe Buddha they did prevent themselves form, since it is in the practice of the virtue and to his elForlspersonal heowes its more than divine character. Far from there, the gods will be that of beings endowed with ainfinitely greater powerlaughing at that of man, but like himsubject to the law fatal to the trans-migration; and their existence does not seem to have any other reasonthat theneedthat the imagination feels to explain the creation of the universe, and to populate theinfinite spacesit designs to beyond the world visible. Hethere is thereforeno place to put the issueto know if the Gods mentioned intheSutras andin thelegends of Nepal are prior to Buddhism, orif they have been invented by the founder of this doctrine. Four whoever reads aonly of these treaties, the fact that the Indian Pantheon existed at the time of Cakyawill not have tonot be the subject of any doubt. Hewill no longer be allowed to say thatthe Brahmins have borrowed auxBuddhistes their

deities, and that excluding the only Buddha, they have admitted all the other characters of which is composed the Pantheon Buddhist, because the opposite is the truth. This is Câkyamuni, or if you will, are the editors of the legends that have found and accepted, almost entirely, the Brahmanic Gods, with this only difference (differencecapital, itistrue)that they the submitted alue Buddha, that is to say the most wise of men. That is, I the repeat, a point that can not longer be disputed. This which remains to be studied, it is firstly the extent andthenature ofborrowings made by the Buddhists from the Brahmans, secondly thereport of these Brahmanic Gods with thosewho ownto cultistsof Çâkya, and that we see staggered in a way in the various worldsinhabited by the intelligences greater than man. Heit will be necessary to note, by theperusal of all the materials Buddhistfrom the North, if the legendson à Çiva and to Vichnu, for example, were all also widespread, Tothe time of the first establishment, or at least in the first centuries of Buddhism. Weincludes, without that Iinsist more, the importance of thisresearch; shemust throw a daynew on thehistorical succession of Brahmanic beliefs, at the same time as itmust be used to fix, of aso more precise, the time at whichhave been written the légendesbuddhi-queswhere we in draws the elements. I will only cite, as an examplefrom

### Page 27

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .121 results we should wait for the study of the Sutrasconsidered under this point ofvue, a fact that deserves to be verified on textsmore numerousthanthosethat we own; it'sthat no hand in the treaties of Divyaavadana, I did not find the name of Krichna. Does this mean that the legends relating totives to this character, now so famous in India, were not yetwidespread among thepeople, or that his name had not yet taken placewith other Brahmanic Gods? I would certainly not dare to affirm it, but the subject is well worthy of all the attention of the critical; because of twothings one :where Krishna was worshiped in India with the character almostdivine that it assigns the Mahâbhàrata, when Câkyamuni appeared and when his sermons were written ;or his divinity was not yet universal-ment recognized at time of Sakya and the first apostles of Buddhism. In the first case, it will need explain the silence, that keep the Buddhists tohis regard; in the second, he will recognize that the monuments literary of the Brahmans or Krichnaplaya so large role are after the prédi-cation of Śākya and to the writing of books that has the right to look likethe written authorities themost ancient of Buddhism (1).But in one in the other case, it must have acquired the certainty that no workbud-Dethics does not cite Krichna among the Divinities, in my opinion, Brahmanics, admittedby Câkya himself. What hecan be of thegeneral solution of the problem indicated at time this circumstance that the name of Krishna missing in all the Suretrasthat i have readagrees with other indices, to represent us the Indian religion, such that these treaties offer it to us, in a day a little different from the one under which we the show the Puranas Brahman. Inot hesitate not to say that the Brahmanism door therea more ancient character andmore simply asin the collections that I just to mention. This difference should-it be attributed to the action of Buddhism which would have achoice among the Deities worshiped by the Brahmans? or is it for this that the Sutrasrepro-dued a tradition anterior to that of the Purânas ?I confessthat between thesetwo assumptions, it is the second which seems to me to be by far the most true.similar. The Sutras seem to me to be contemporaneous with a time when the Vêdas(i) I have no way of expressing

myself more precisely on this curious question . Irecall only that the high reason Colebrooke he had already inspired doubtsthe antiquity of the cult of Krïchna, and which this scholar was very close to declaring posterior to thement of Buddhism the development of fables and legends that have made a God da sonof Dêvakî. {Miscell. Essays, 1.11 ^ p. 197.) It may be found later that the extension consideredmaple that the cult of Krichiia took was only a popular reaction against that of the Buddha,reaction which has been directed or fully accepted by the Brahmins. Page 28

422INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY and the legends thereattached constituted the fund ofIndian beliefs .I do not leanonly on these mentions of the Vêdas, which one notices almost on each page there are simple sutras; because this fi ^ it only proves the antérioiilé of one against the other. I am much more struck by the role thanplays in theBuddhist sûlras a famous deityalso in theVedas and in the Puranas, but that certainly encounter less of rivalsin the first thatin the second. I wanttalk about Indra or Çakra, as he is called, of this God, hero of the Vêdas, who appears to himalonemoreoften in the sūtras that all the other Gods gathered together. I do notdo n't wantconclude that the Buddhist Sûlras are contemporaneous with the VêdasBrahminists; well in hand, he will have, according to me, a distance enormous betweenthese two classes of books. I only mean that the Brahmanism, suchit seems in the Sûlras, offers certainly a statesmen middle of reli-Indian gion, state whichis approaching moreof thesomewhat bare simplicity of Vedic beliefs that of exuberance developpennenls which override the Purânas. I don't help thinking that at time when have been written the Su-tras, orto express myself in a wayless exclusive, at the time of which the Sutraswe have preserved the memory, the mythologieindienne not had not yet enrich-shit about this luxury of fables that sometimestheir pointofdeparture from the Vêdas, but who do will have however found so far in whole as <jans the Puranas. The details that the Sutras give us on the status of the company Indian intime of the preaching of Gâkya are much more many and more important than thosewhoconcerning the religion and cettedifférence is easy tounderstand. In fact, the drafters of these treaties did not have to talk about beliefs popular accidentally, and still more for the refute thatfor the display; while they could not go under Silence the company tomiddle ofwhich had appeared Çàkyamuni, andhemet at every step. Underin this report the sūtras are almost all of remarkable interest, and it would be not extract anything that renfermenten this genre the most curious these treaties, without the result entirely. However, I will report here the most common features more characteristics, those which express the best of form true of a society. India was subject to the regime of castes, and these castes were those of Brahmins of Kchaltriyas, the Vaisyas, the Sudras and the Tchàndàlas, withouttalk about some other sub-divisions of the lower classes. This is a pointthat, according to theremark from Mr Hodgson, noBuddhist author has nevercontested (1). The names of these castes are mentioned at all times, and their existence(1) Quot. front orig. Sanscr. Auth., In Joum. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.V, p. 31. From 1830,

Page 29

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .423is so goodestablished, that it is admitted by Çâkya himself, as well asby her disciples, and that shedoes not become the objectspecial observations that whenit is an obstacle to the preaching of the Buddha. The Brahmins are those whosethe name will represent the most often; they appear in almost all the Sutras, and

always their superiority on the other castes is undisputed (1). They are distinguished by their knowledge and by their love for the virtue. One in sees that,reached the rank of Richis or ofwise, live in the middle of forests (2), Wherein the mountain caves (3). They there in dulge in roughpenances, the one lying on bedsbristling with sharp points, or on of theash; the others holding, during their whole life, the arms raised above their head; a few seated, in full sun, in the middle of four fiery blazes(4). They recite the Brahmanic Mantras and theteach aLUES disciples (5). This is their noblest function, that which is proper to their caste. The The sūtras offer us several examples of Brahmans educated in the sciences. Indian, and they thus teach us what these sciences were. I will not quoteonly one of these passages, because it is themore characteristicof all. aBrahmin of Çrâvastî had raised his sonelder in theknowledge and inthe practices Brahman. Hehimhad taught the four Vedas, the Ritch, the Yadjus, the Saman and the Atharvan (6); hehe had learned the practice of sacrificed fices that we famous for one self, or that we docelebrate to others, as well as thereading of the Veda, that one studies oneself, or that one makes study with a disciple; and thanks to this teaching, the young man wasbecome a BrahminM. Schmidt had, according to Mongolian writers, established this point as a fact henceforth sheltered any dispute. {Same. of Acad. of Sciences of St. Petersburg, t. I,p. 119.)(1) I will cite among others the Sutras and Avadanas entitled :Çârdûla karna, Brahmana Darika, Stvti Brahmana, Indra Brahmana, Dharma rutchi, Djîjôtichka, Sahasôdgata, Chandra prabha, Saîhgha rakchita, Naga Kumara Pâiîiçu Pradana, Rûpavalî, Mâkandika, Chandra, and in i'Avad.that.Upôchadha, Sôma, Râchfra pala, Subhûti.(2) Pûrna, in Divya avad., F.23 a and 24 a. Rûpavatî, ibid., F.215 a. Subhûti, âdJUsAvad.çat.,f.221 a.(3) Prâtihârya, in Divya avad., F.74 a.(4) Pâmçu pradâna, ibid., F.174 o. Vîtâçôha, ibid., F. 205 a. Rilpavatî, ibid., F.215 a.(5) Mâitrêya, ibid., f.29 a. Thatispositively affirmed from Çàriputlra, sonfrom Tichya, Brahmin, living in Nàlanda near Râdjagriha: Gurukulê Vêdamantrân adhîyati, "hebedthe" Mantras of Vêdas in the house of his spiritual tutor . " {Mahdvastu, f. 264 of myman}. We can see from this example (and I could cite many others like it) that it is not ot correct to say, as did Mr. Schmidt, that the former Sfitras Buddhist does cite notthe Vêdas, and do not even make the slightest allusion to them. {Same. of the Academy of Sciences of Saint-Pétersbonrg, t.U, p.43.)But this assertion can be true when talking about the sūtrasdeveloped, which, according to the remarks set out above, are much more empty of detailshistorical. See also a further indication of the Vedas in the analysis of the traducUon Tibetandu Vinaya by Csoma. {Asian girl. Res., T.XX, p. 85.)(6)Tchûdâ pakcha, in Div. avad., f. 276 b. Page 30

124INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYaccomplished. The father wanted in doing so of his second son; but the child does could learn or to readnor to write. His father, renouncing to give him these firstmiers elements of any instruction, the set between thehands of a Brahminresponsible for making him learn the Veda by heart. "But the child does not succeed either under this new master. When we Om said to him, he forgot Dhûh; when we told him Bhûh, he forgot Ôm. themaster says so at father: I have a lot of children to educate, I don't then oc-cuper exclusively from your son Panthaka. When it tell him Ùm, he forgets Bhûh; and when I tell him Bhûh, he forget Om. The father then made this reflection: The Brahmins do not all know the Veda by heart, nor do they all knowread and write; my sonwill therefore be a simple Brahmin by birth(1). "These last months are very remarkable; the Text uses of expression Djâti Brâhmana ^ a Brahmin by birth," opposed to

that of Vêda Brâhmana"Brahmin of Vêda; »And this expression is all the more worthy of attention, it shows the true role of Brahmins in the company Indian; it was really a castewhois perpetuated by the birth, and that the birthwas enough to place above of all the others. The Sûlras show ustherefore the Brahmans under the are the monuments of the Htlé-Brahmanic erasure ;and the correctness of the Buddhist treatises on this important point so much extendsup to from minute details in appearance, right down to the costumesame; because it sees in a legend, the God Indra is hiding underappearance of a Brahmin at the waisthigh, which leads to the hand the baton religious andthe vase to draw in water(2). At the moment when the Buddha, who is still onlyBôdhisaltva, will descend on the earth, for itborn in the family of the kingCuddhôdana, the legend tells us that "of the sons of the Devas, of the troopClof Çuddhâvâsas, is rendered in the Jambudvīpa, andthat hiding their(fdivine form, they took thecostume of the Brahmans and began to study" The Brahmanic Mantras (3). "We find in the Sûlras, as well asin the poems étran-Indiangers in Buddhism, the Brahminsfillingat thekings themfunctions of Purôhitas or of priests servants, as the Brahmin Brahmàyus at theking Cagka (4). Others do the job ofpanegyrists and praise the kings forto obtain in return gifts." Hethere was at Bénârôs, during the reign of Brahmadatla, a Brahmin who(1)Tchûdâ pakcha, in Div. avad., f. 277 a.(2) Rûpavatî, in Divya avad., F. 213 a.(3) Lalita vistara, f. 9 & from my man.(4) Mâilrêya, in Divya avad., F. 29 o. Page 31

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .125was a poet. The Brâhmanî his wife said to him one day: Here the time cold arrived; will tell the king something which it is pleasant, so to get what weguarantee against the cold. The Brahmin went into effect in this design, and foundthe king who went out mounted on hiselephant. The poet says to himself: whoof the two shall I praise, from the king or from his elephant? Then headded: This elephantisdear andpleasanttopeople; leave, theretheKing,I'm goingsing the el-phant (1).D And hepronounces in honor of this digneanimal a stance which theking is so satisfied that grants the Brahmin the property offive villages. A few do theprofession of astrologer, and predict the future of children according to the theme of their nativity (2); they are even the Brahmins who attendat the birth of Siddhârta, sonof Cuddhôdana (3), and it is a great Bïchi,named Asita, who predicts to the king that his sonwill be or a sovereign monarch, or a blessed Buddha (4); so much heis true that the Buddhists recognize of the way the more formal anticipation of the caste Brahmin to regard of the foundereven of their belief, by CâkvamuniBuddha, A fewBrahmins, in the time of distress, indulge inagriculture and lead theplow (5). Finally we in see abignumber which, similar to the Beligieux Buddhist and other beggars, support their life in way of almsdistributed to them by the heads of the family(6). It is impossible tobornnotrecognize these traits the Brahmanic cast esuch that the law of Manu describes it; but these traits, whichin the summary that Jiust to make are emaciated and without life form with the détails variés who the accompany in the Sutras an animated picture of the firstfromIndian castes. Heis not allowed to doubt that, of the confession of Buddhiststhemselves, this caste was not constituted with its prerogatives and its power.ciency, before that Sakyamuni had begun to spread in India his doctoraltrines of reform. To the testimony alleged earlierin favor of celtic(1) Stuti Brâhmana, MA., F. 35.(2) Rûpavalî, ibid., F. 214 a. Lêkuntchika, danslrad. çat., f. 234 a.(3) Lalila vistara, L 56 o and 57 a from my man. Divya avadâna, i. 193 o.(4) Lalita vistara, i. 58 a sqq. from my man. I have no doubt that this Asita not be the wiseBrahmin

of whom Fa hian speaks and whom he calls A i. {Foe koue ki,p.198, and Klaprotli,ibid.,p. 208 ff) Without theLalila vistara, hewould have been much difficult of find under thetranscriptioncliiQoise A i the Sanskrit Asita. We know, in the lists of ancient Brahmanic sages, a Riehifrom the name to 'Asita; but also that I have so far found no renseignementqui us the makepositively know, I 'm out of state to say if it is the same as that which pailent theBuddhists. I only meet his name in the Bhàgavata Puràna. (L. VI, ch. Xv, st.12 o.)It does not not more likely that the Asita mentioned by the Lalitavistara is the genius who, according to theBrahmins preside at the planet Saturn.(5) Indra Brdhmana, in Div. avad., f. 36 a.(6) Kôtikarna, ibid., F.7 a. Page 32

126INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY assertion it in will be addedstill more as we goin our research, and that is to design that I the leave out in time.It in is howeverone that Ibornthen go here in silence, because he meseemsone of the most convincing proofs of the anteriority of the Brahmans torespectdes Buddhisles. This is the job that make all the textessanscrits of Nepal, and in particular the Sutras (i.e. thosethat i havereasons for declaring the most ancient), the word of Brahma tcharya, to designate a wayGeneral the duties of the viereligieuse a Buddhist, and in particular thechastity. If this term were rarely employee, it will be not even thing easier than to explain the presence of texts Buddhistwhere we expectDrait to place Buddha tcharya, expression exists also, but thatmeans exactly the Buddhism, and that is to just close synonym of Buddhamârgja ^ cethe path of the Buddha. "But no term is also common in the Sūtras; heeven appears in the most important of the formulas, in thephrasingby which one who is feeling the provisionsto be doing Buddhist expressesbefore Çàkya, or before one of his disciples, the vow he madeentering into thereligious life: "May we, O Bhagavat, under the discipline of thelaw good"fame, come into lifereligious, receive the investiture and become Reli-"gious !May we, Lord, accomplish under Bhagavat theduties of < LBrahma charya! Then Bhagavat their réponditavec his Voice of Brahma: "Come, children, fulfill the duties of Brahma charya (1)."This term gets no doubt a sensealittle more extensive insentences as thefollowing: "Theywill spread my lawreligious [Brahma"tchanja], Said the Buddha; to my adversary, who is thesin, answerwith the same formula: "Your lawreligiousis widespread, itisadmitted by a lot of people, sheisbecome immense. "Yâistârikam té Brahmatcharyam, bhiidjanyam, prithubhûtam (2). I would say much of this formula: "So that the religious law (Brahma tcharya) subsists for a long time (3)."In all these passages andin many other similar ones that I couldquote here it is evident that the term Brahma charya is taken in a sense(1) Supriya, in Divya avad., F.46 a. Prâtihàrya, ibid., F.77 and 78 a.Djyôlichka, ibid.,L Xkdb. Kanàkavarm, ibid.,f.U9 a. Sahasôdgata, Ma., F.151 a. Saihgha rakchita, ibid., f. 169 a and b. Nàga kumâra, ibid., F. 172 a. Vitàçôka, ibid., F. 207 o. Càrdida karna, ibid., F, 119 ".Chûdd pakcha, ibid., F. 277 b. It sees in noiretexte the sound of the voice of Śākyamuni signed df by the end of voixde Brahma, that which is a proof new the fact that I plan to establish. A Celtic evidence doil to join one that furnishes theBrahmapatha word kôcida, "skilled in the"way of Brahma, "that theLalita visfara gives toÇàkyamuni when hewas still onlyBôdhisallva. {Lalita vistara, f.6 a from my man.)(2) Mdndhàtri, in Divya avad., F. 99 6.(3) Id. Ibid., F. 102 a. Page 33

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .127special,in that of "life" or " lawnun, "meaning that does not exclude, Iadmits it, that of " chastity, " but which is more comprehensive. Now, to

beadmitted with this meaning by the Buddhists, it had to he would have lost its meaningprimitive cation, the one it has in the monumentsBrahminists, "the state of Bra-"matchârin, or of Brahman completing his novitiate; "hewas that the Buddhists would have forgotten the value of this title of Brahmatcharin, which meansand don't can mean that "he who walks in the Veda. "Than a Brahmindesignates by this liter his son or his pupil; that the law of Manu devoted Celtic de-nomination and outlines at length the duties of the novitiate, including the first andthemore severe is in effect the vow of chastity, nothing is more easy to understand. But for the founders of Buddhism to adopt this term ithad tothat theydo fissent more attention to its meaning first, that of Brahman novice andthat the word could be used with impunity with the meaning of "onewho undertakesthisa religious novitiate .» It was finally necessaryhewas almost popularin celticacceptancebefore Çàkyamuni, so that the latter could, without fear of confusingits law with that of the Brahmans, to make use of itexpanded and all in fact remark-quable that I come from report. Let us move on to the second caste, to that of Kchattriyas It also existed fromtime from Çakyamuni, and it was from her that the kings came. The Sûlras, okaywith the Brahmanic authorities, call king aKchatlriyaon the forehead whichhas been made the royal consecration (1). Càkyamunihimself was aKchatlriya,because hewas sonof Çuddhôdana, king of Kapilavastu i2). When the future Buddha, who are not |still that Bôdhisaltva, examines with the Gods in what time, inwhatworld inwhich country andinwhatfamily heis it appropriate that hedescends on the earth to fulfill his last mortal existence, the author(1) Lalita vistara, f.10 sqq from my man.(2) This city is certainly themost famous of all those who are mentioned in the Sutrasof the North, and in general in the Buddhist books of all schools. She was the residence of Cuddhodana, king of the Càkyas; and it is in a garden of pleasure that in dependent as Siddhàrlha, from Càkyamuni, came into the world. Klaproih, in a very substantial and strong noteinteresting, has determined that it would be located on the banks of the Rohini River, one of alfluentsof the Raplî, and not far from the mountains that separate the Nepal the district Gorakpour of. {Foe koueki, p. 199 sqq. Wilson, Journ. Roy. Asian girl. Soc, t.V, p. 123.) When our legends (and this isquite rare) speak of the position of this city, they the are in vague terms; so the legendof Rudràyana says of Càkyamuni " that he was born on the side of Himavat, on the edge of theriver"Bhâgîralhi, not far from the hermitage of Richi Kapila. "{Dùya avad .. L 411 b of my man.) TheBliâgîrothi being the Ganges in themost large part of his course, heshould look for Kapi-lavastu much more to the west, or more to the south than the place the routes of travelersChinese : the expression of the legend does must therefore be taken as an indication approximate. Fa hian teaches us that time his trip to India, this town was deserted and nohad more than ten houses. (Foe koue ki, p.198.) Page 34

128INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY of the legend of Çâkyamuni succinctly sets out the reasons for his choice, andhere's what he tells us in what affects the family.((Why, O monks, the Rôdhisattva is it considering the family inwhich hemust be born? It is that the Rôdhisattvas not born not in the breast of abject families, like those of Tchândalas, the players flute, the manu-facturers of tanks, and the Puchkasas. Hethere are only two races in the middle of whichtheyare born, the race of the Brahmans and that of the Kchaltriyas. When it's springmainly to the Brahmans whom the world bears witnessof respect, it is in afamily of Brahminsthat the Bodhisattvas descend to the earth. When aton the contrary, it is mainly in the Kchattriyas that theworld testifies to the res-pect, then they are born into

a family of Kchattriyas. Today, O Reli-gieux, the Kchattriyasobtain all the respect of the people ;it is for thisthat the Bôdhisattvas are born among the Kchaltriyas (I)."Here are the shows, the existence and the superiority of the first two castes is wellclearly admitted, and that in what book? In one of the nine canonical booksquesthe north, in the lives even of Sakyamuni Buddha. And this kind ofthemeby whichare determined in advance the limits betweenwhich ones should beinclude the choice of Bôdhisattva is applied with rigorto all the Buddhasfabulous or real who preceded Sakyamuni, since in is very little that thelegends give birth in a caste other than thatthe Brahmins and the Kchattriyas. I do not insist on this point that in the most general of conse-resulting quences of this text, that of the existence of twofirst castes, and in particular of that of ks. atriyas; i'm therewill come back to the time when I will examine the political influence of the preachingby Çàkya onorganizationof the company Indian. The Sûlras give us less do detail? ies on ks.atriyas that on the Brahmans, by a double reason. The first is than the Brahmans are the real opponents of the Buddhists, andthat it isto the convert thatâkyamuni attaches himself; the second is that the Kchattriyas seem to have favoredlaughed at in a special way an ascetic who came out of the same castethan them. The Sutras and legends are filled with the marks of benevolence that Câkyamunireceived from Bimbisàrâ (2), king ofMagadlia, from Prasênadjit, king of Kôçala, and(1) Lalita vistara, f.13 6 of my man. I will note in the Historical Sketch the names of Kings contemporaries of Sakyamuni in there adding details which these names are found accompanied in the legends. The combination of these details forms a unique picture in the history of rinde old, to the seventh or the sixth century before our era.(2) It is not easy to determine, from our manuscripts, what should be the spelling ofthis name clean, which plays a large role in the legends on thelife and preaching from Cakya. We could bring together as many authorities for the spelling Bimbasdra as for Page 35

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .129of Rudrâyana, king of Rôruka. One day when Çàkya was going to a cemeteryto save by a miracle the fruit of a woman that her husband had been dyingat the instigation of the Brahmans, "hewas in Rajagriha twoyouth((people, onesonof Brahmin, the other sonfrom Kchattriya, whoweregone out "outside to play together. The young Kchattriya had a faithdeep,"but hewas notnoeven of the young Brahmin (1)."Not all the kings of central India were equally favorable, however.in Çâkyamuni, and telui of Râdjagrïha, Adjâtaçatru, for a long time persecuted the Reli-gieux, and fitall his efforts for the drive out of his kingdom, defending to his subjects to have no relation with him(2). In the rest, what hehad either of reasons for which the Kchattriyas appearless of tenthat the Brahmins in the Nepalese Sutras, these books do we have no less con-served some specific features not only to establish the existence of the secondcaste, but do know some of his prejudices and of his habits. The kings, who came from the Kchattriyas caste, were inpossession of aunlimited power, and heborndoes not seem that their will met other obstackle that the privileges of caste. One in sees that the ministers encouraged the despotism by the advice themore violent. The King of Rôruka (3) hadneed money; his twoprime ministers told him aday: "Hein is" A country as of the seedsesame, which does not give its oil, to "less thando the press, we do the cutting, wenot the burns, orthat wenot the" Grinds (4).» I will quote below, inspeaking of the struggles of Çâkyamuni againstthat of Bimbisâra. I consulted, in order to get out of this small difficulty, the Tibetan versionsof Kah-gyur, and they seemed to me to settle the question in favor of the spelling of

Bimbisâra. This name it is translated gzugs-tchanshing-po "the essence of the being who has a body. » This title, littleLight itself, was given to the young prince by his father Mahapadma, in memory of this atmoment where the child came to the world, the body of the queen his mother shines as the disk of sun at its rising. {Hdulva, vol. ka or i, fol. 5 a.) The use of the suffix tchan after gzugs indicates apossessive; It is therefore Bimbi and not Bimba that the Tibetan interpreters have had in front of them. I add that the spelling of Bimbisara is that adopted by the Buddhists of the South, thusthat it can see in the Mahâvailisa Mr Turnour.(1)Djyôtichka, in Divya avad., F.134 a.(2) Avadâna rat., F. 36 a.(3) So far I have not found any precise information on the position of this town. The legendof Rudrâyana, who was converted to Buddhism by the influence of Bimbisâra, king of Râdjagrïha, tells us that Rôfuka was to the east of this last town, and that it rivaled by itsriches with the famous Pàtaliputtra, the Palibothra of the Greeks, after the invasion of Alexander. (Div. avad., f.306 a.) It does should not êtrefort away from Rājagr.ha, and it isprobably in the eastern part of Bihar that should the look; but I can't find any-Jourd'hui on our cards that row with thename offers some analogy with that of Rouka. Ido not have any information on this locality.(4) Rudrâyana, in Divya avad., F. 315 a.9 Page 36

dSOINTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthe Brahmans, an act of this violent despotism, of which the king of Kôçala is the author. It is the order that, on a mere suspicion, he gives to mutilate hisown brother in him being cut off the feet and hands (1). We can assumethat the king had the right of life and of death on their subjects, or at least that heenough of their decision for that the culprit was at the time running. I'm goingquote, to Celtic occasion, an example which proves that, in the case even of ajustly punishable crime, their will alone was consulted. The text that goes to follow will also have the advantage of making us appreciate the true character of the Buddhist legends." It is had to Mathura (2) a courtesan named Vasavadatta. Her maidbe made aday with Upagupta to buy himperfumes. VåsavadattåhimsaidTohis return: Heseems, my dear, that this merchant perfume youpleases, since you always buy from him. The servant he replied: Daughter of mymaster Upagupla, the son merchant, who is gifted with beauty of talentandof sweetness, spent his lifeto observe thelaw. In hearing theselyrics, Vàsava-dapla conceived love for Upagupta, and finally she sent him her maidto tell him: My intention is to go find you; I want to indulge in the pleasure with law. The maid acquitted from his commission to Upagupta; but theyoung man the charge of responding to his mistress: My sister, heis not timefor lawof me see. Now hehad to, to obtain the favors of Vâsavadattâ, to givefive hundred Purânas (3). So the courtesan imagined that [iftherefused, is that] heborncould not give the five centsPurânas. This is for -What it it sent to new his servant, so for him to say :I don't asknot to the sonfrom my master a single Kàrchàpana ;Ijust want to deliver meto pleasure with him. The maidacquitted again from this new commission, and Upagupta himreplied to the same : My sister, heis not time for lawofsee me ."However the sonof a master craftsman wascame to settleat Vâsava-dattâ, when a merchant, whobrought five hundred horses from the north that hewanted to sell, entered in the city of Mathura, and asked what was the mostbeautifulcourtesan; he was told that it was Vâsavadattâ. Immediately taking five hundred Purâças and a large quantity of presents, heis made in the(1) Prâlihârya, in Divya avad., F.75 o.(2) Maihurâ TST almost as famous in the legends of Buddhists that in the books of Brahmins. This city, which is located on theright bank of the Yamuna, was visited at the comcommencement of the fifth century by

Fa hian, which are found on Buddhism flourishing. (Foe koue ki, p. 99and 102.)(3)See, on this word and on that of Kârchâpam which comes below, a note which has been rejected ©at the end of the volume, Appendix, no III.

Page 37

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .131courtesan. So Vasavadatta, driven by the greed, murdered the sonfrom the chefof craftsmen, who was at home, threw his body in the middle of the garbage and delivered to the merchant. At the end of some days, the young man was taken to des-under the rubbish by his parents, who denounce the assassination. The king gaveimmediately order the executors to go cut to Vâsavadattâ themhands, thefeet, ears and thenose, and to the left in the graveyard. The executioners executed the order of the king, and abandoned the courtesan in theplace indicated."However, Upagupta heard of the torment which had been inflicted on Vâ-savadattâ, andimmediately this reflectionhe came to the mind: This woman has long agodesired to see me for a sensual purpose and I did notconsented to what she melives]. But now that thehands, feet, the nose andears it has beencut it is time that my way, and it pronounced these stanzas: "When her body was covered with beautiful ornaments, that she shone with ornaments of various species, the best for thosewho aspire to emancipation and whowant to escape to the law of the Renaissance was to do not go see this woman." Today that shehas lost hispride, his love and hisjoy it has been mutilated by the edge of the sword, that its body is reduced to its own nature, heis time to see it. "So, sheltered under aparasol carried by ayoung man who accompanied himin quality of servant, heis returned to the cemetery with a move collected. The maid of Vasavadatta had remained with his mistress by attachment for her old kindnesses, and shekept the crows from approaching herbody. [Seeing Upagupta] shetold him: Daughter of my master, the one towhich yougot meSent several times, Upagupta advances in this direction. Heis without doubt attracted by dying of pleasure.But Vâsavadattâ hearing thesewords answered him: "When hemy private will of my beauty, torn by the pain, jettyToearth, all soiled with blood, how will he be able to experience the lovethe fun?" Then she said to her maid: Friend, pick up the limbs thathave been separated of my body. The servant 's meets immediately and hid under a piece of cloth. At the moment Upagupta arose, and heis placed standing before Vasavadatta. The courtesan the seeing and standing in front of her, said: Son of my master, whenmy body was whole, that itwas done for the pleasure, i have sent to several took my servant back to you, and you answered me: My sister, heis not timefor Law of me see. Today that the sword took away my hands, my feet, the nose and the ears, that I am thrown in the mud and in the blood, why are you coming? And she pronounced the following stanzas:

# Page 38

132INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY"When my body was sweet as the flower of the lotus, he was decorated withornaments and clothing precious, it had everything that attracts thelooks, I havebeen unhappy enough that she could n't see you ." Today why are you comingcontempler- here a body including the eyescan withstand theview, what left the game, the pleasure, the joyand thebeauty, inspiring terror and who is defiled with blood and with mud?((Upagupta he replied: I not am not comenear law, my sister, attractedby loveofpleasure; but I have come to see the true nature of mid-serablesobjects of enjoyment of human (1)."Upagupta then adds some other maxims on the vanity of pleasures andthe corruption ofbody; his speeches are the calm in the soul of Vàsava-dattâ,

who diesafter having done an act of faithin Duddha, and who will be rebornimmediately among the Gods. I have quoted this whole piece, although it does not relate to the previous discussion.feel that by a single stroke, the punishment of Vâsavadattâ condemned by thesovereign will of the king. I will addonly here that thelegend is notcontemporary with Çakyamuni, because it is found in a text which, as I'll see another hand,is certainly posterior to the time of Açôka (Kâ-lâçôka). For the traits that I 've to mention, I enajouterai two others that we are pe-enter into the habits of the royal caste long enough .€A Brahmin of Tchampâ (2)had a daughterof great beauty. The Astrologers he predicted it would inday two sons, one of whom would be asovereign monarch, the other a religious eminent by his holiness. Emboldenedthroughthis prediction, the Brahmin went to present his daughter to Bindusâra, king of Pâtali-puttra, who accepted it and made itenter in the appartementdeswomen. At theseenof the young girl, the wives of the kingdreading the empire that its beautycouldhe give on the mind (I Bindusāra, resolved by theto dopass for onewife of the casteabject barbers, and taught him to take care of the beard andthe hair of the king."Theyoung girlsoon became skilled in this trade, and each time she(1) Pâmm pradàna, in Divya avad., F. 175 b. The collection of Tibetan legends published re-recently by M. Schmidt reproduces the contents of this story; but in abridging many, he himself takes offa portion of its interest. {Der Weise und der Thor, p. 385, trad. garlic.)(2)Tchampâ is a formerly famous town which already plays an important role in the Editions of Mahabharata. Fa hian the visited at the beginning of v ^ century. {Foe koue M, p. 328 and 329.) It is probable that it was situated, if not on the site of Tchampapour or Tchampe-nagar, a neighboring town of Bhâgalpour, at least not far from there. (Wilson, Jomn. Roy. Asiat. Soc,t.V, p. 134.) Page 39

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .d33began to fulfill its office withof the king,this lastwas going to bed. aking day ,who was happy with her,it offered to him to grant the grace thatwould like, and asked him: What favor do you want? Lord, replied the younggirl, may the king consent to unite with me. You are from the barber caste, himsays Bindusàra, andme i ama king of the race of ks. atrivas who havereceived the anointing royal; how is it possible, that thou hast trade with me? I dontam not of the barber caste, she continued; I amthe daughter of a Brahmin who gave megiven to the king for he made of me his wife. -Who hath solearned the trade ofbarber? said the king. - They are the women of the interior apartments. - Idon't wantmore, saidBindusàra, that you do this job in the future. And. The Kingdeclared the young girl the first of his wives (1). "An another legend, that of Aśoka, son and successor of Bindusara, we offeran example no less curious about the power of the prejudices created by the castes. Tichya rakchitâ, one of the king's wives, had conceived an incestuous passionfor Kunâla, sonthe king and of another of his women; but she had been re-push. Determined to beavenge, she takes advantage of a serious illness and reputedly incurable, which threatened the daysof the king, to seize without reserve of hisspirit, and get a few days useexclusive of the power Royal. I extractnow from this legend what affects ourtopic." Açôka, seeing that his illness was incurable, gave the following order: Donecome Kunâla; Iwant the place on the throne; what do I need from the life? CornTichya rakchitâhaving heard the wordsof the king, madethis reflection: If Kunâlaup on the throne, I am lost. She therefore said to King Açôka: I myself supportof you make the health; but hebe that you interdises doctors hushed thepalace. The king forbade we should leave enter any doctor. For her part, the

queenthey said to all :If he shows up atyou a man or a woman who is at-complexion of the same evil king, take care of me the to see.<r.Now hehappened that a man of the caste of the Abhîras (the pastors) was reachedof this same disease. His wife went to find f condition of his husband to adoctor, which he answered :May the sick come and find me ;when I have re-Known his condition, I himwill indicate the suitable remedy . The Abhira be made inConsequently at the doctor, who thedrove in the presence of Tichya rakchitâ. The queen introduced him to a secret place and fthere was put to death. When the Abhîrahad been killed, she made himopen thebelly, looked there and saw in his stomacha huge worm . When the worm went back, the excrement of sick he camethrough the mouth; when hedescended, these impure matters took their course(1) Pâmçu pradma, daas Div. avad., f.183 6.

## Page 40

134INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYby in low. The queen was present at the worm of pepper crushed, and he did died not; it it was given to even unsuccessful the pepper long and ginger. At lastis the touch with of onion; immediately the worm died, and it descended by the wayslower. The queen then went to say to the king: Lord eats of onion, and youwill be restored. - Queen him réponditleroi, jesuisun Kshattriya, how pourrais-I eat of onion?-Lord said the queen, it's like medicinethat you have to take this substance in order to save your life. The jroi ate ofthe onion, and the worm died, and itwent out through the lower lanes (1). "I do n't haveneed to donotice that the scruple which prevented the kingAśoka to eat ofonion, thoughalso dominant among the Buddhists, has itssource in the Brahmanic defense formulated by the law of Manu (2). But heis important to note that the fact narrated everything to time happens after thelegend, at a time when King Açôka wasalready fully converted to the Bud-dhism; and though the established prejudiceon the existence of the caste still exercised on his mind a too powerful empire! The passages that Icome from report are sufficient to make known the truetable position offirst two classes, that of the Brahmans and that from Kchattriyas, in the Indian company. Other texts fix, with a préci-sion little near equal, the positiondes lower caste, that one sees delivered to Trade in agriculture and finally the professions servile. I will not stopnot reported herethe names of all thecastes cited in the sūtras; goldpolitical organization of the company Indian, in the time of Śākyamuni, is sufficients ufficiently determined by the names of celies that have appeared in thepassagescited above. I 'm content withrecall here, according to the Sutras, the doubleprinciple on which rested the existenceet theperpetuity of castes. The first of these principles was the obligation which each one found not to marrythat with a woman of her own class. This rule wasifuniversally allowed the time of the predication of Cikya, that the spots applied to each moment in the sūtras and in the legends of Divya avadàna. All the timesthat it is about a marriage, the text adds theordinary formula:" Hetooka woman in a family equal to the own (3)» The story of Càkyamuniprovides us with a very curious example. The young prince, who was pressed toto marry, had declared that the cousideration of the caste would not stop itnot, and he would indifferently take a wife from among the Brahmans, the Kchat-triyas, the Yàiçyas or the Sudras, if in was a one answered in kindof(1) Kundla, in Divya aiaddna, f. 200 b.(2) Mânava dhanna çâstra, l.v, if. 5.(3)Tchûdd pakcha, in Divya avad., F. 281 het pass. Page 41

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .435perfectionheis silent(elm.The Brahmin who exercised the functions of priest of families with of King Çuddhôdana was in charge of looking for the wifeaccomplished that wished the prince, and hethe found in the home of a craftsman of Kapilavastu, named Dandapàni. As a result, theking Cuddhôdana himdidto ask her daughter for the young Câkya. But what does Dandapàni answer? "Sei-"gneur, the princehas been brought up in his house in happiness; and ofmore,"It's a lawof family among us, that our fdles will be data"marriage to one who knows the business, and not at a time. But the prince does not "knowsnoprofession; hedo not knowhandle neither the sword,nor the bow,orthebecause-"quois,etc. (1)."The king stops before this objection, and Gàkya is obligedto show the knowledge he possesses in all the arts, knowledge atnumber which sontcomprises those that relate to the liberal arts, asthe study of ancient vocabularies (Nighantu), thereading booksHoly,fromVedas, the Puranas, the Itihasas,the treaties of grammar, explanation of terms obsolete, the reading, the metric, the ritual, astronomy (2). The second principle of the conservation of castes was the inheritance of profes-sions, and this principle was no less generally respected than the first.Lq son of the merchant followed the profession of his father (3); the son of the butcherwas a butcher, because that his father andhis ancestors had been before him(4). Respected by all the classes from the Brahmin to chandala, the twoprinciples that Ijust to remind formed the basis onwhich was the building of the society of which the Mânava dharma çâstra has preserved for us the plan and the picture. It was in the midst of a society thus constituted that was born, in a family of ks. atriyas, one of Sakyas of Kapilavastu, which is claimed to result from the an-tick breedsolar power of India, a young prince who, renouncing the world toageof twenty-neufans, is madeReligious under the name of Çâhjamuni ^ or ofCramana Gâutama. His doctrine, which according toSutras wasmore moral thanmetaphysical, at least in hisprinciple (5), was based on accepted opinionas a fact, and on a hope presented as a certainty. Thisopi-nion is that theworld visible is in a constant change; that the death succeeded to the life, and the life to the dead; that man, like all that(1)Lalita vistara, c. xii, f.79 b, and 80 a of my manuscript. A similar circumstance occursfound reported in one of the Tibetan legends of the collection recently published by M. Schmidt. (Der Weise und der Thor, p. 334 and 335, transl. garlic.)(2)Lalila vistara, c. xii, f. 87 a.(3) Kôtikarna, in Divya avad., F.i and pass.(4) Id. Ibid., F. 5 6.(5) This fact has not escaped to Mr. Benfey. {Indian, p. 201, extract from the Encyclopédie d'Ersch anderubate.)

#### Page 42

iSQINTRODUCTION TO HISTORY surrounds, rolls in the eternal circle of the transmigration; he will passsively by all the forms of life, from the most basic to themore perfect; that the place it occupies in the vast scale of living beingsdepends ondeserves actions which he accomplishes in this world; and that thus the manvirtuous must, after this life, to be reborn with a divine body, and the culprit with a body of damned; that therewards from heavenand the punishments of hellhave a time limited, as all that is in the world; that the time depletes the merit of virtuous actions, all of the same that clears the fault of bad; and that the law fatal change back on the land and the God and the damned, for the set of the new one and the other to the test and they dobrowse the following new of transformations. The hope that Çâkyamunibrought to men, it was the opportunity to escape the law of the transmi-gration, by entering in this hecalled the Nirvana, that is to say, annihilate is lying. The definitive sign of this annihilation was death; but one pre-sign the

cursor announced from this life the man predestined to this supreme deliverance; it was the possession of unlimited science, that he gave the order net ofworld, as it is, that is to say the knowledge of physical and moral laws; and for all say in a word, it was the practice of sixtranscendent perfectionsdantes: the alms of the morality of thescience, of energy, of the Patience and of the charity. The autoritésur which the Religious of thebreed of Çâkya supported his teaching was all personal; shewas formed from two elements, one real and the other ideal. The first wastheregularity andholiness of his conduct, which the chastity of patience, and charity formed themain features. The second was the claim that hehad to be Duddha, that isto sayenlightened, and, as such, to have a scienceandapowersuperhuman. With his power heworked miracles; with his science, heserepresented, in a clear and complete form, thepast and future. By there he could relate all that every man had done in his previous lives.laughing; and hethus affirmed that an infinite number very hadlong agoachieved like him, by the practice of the same virtues, to the dignity of Buddha, beforeto enter into complete annihilation. Hesepresented finally to menas their savior, and hepromised them that his death would not wipe out his doc-trine, butthat this doctrine was last after him a great number of centuries, and that when his salutary action had ceased, hea new one would come into the worldBuddha, which he announced by name, and that beforedown on the land he had, say the legends, sacred himself in the sky, in quality of future Buddha (1).(1) Lalita vistara, î.25 a from my man. Csoma, Life of ShaUya, in Asiat. Res., T. XX, p. 287. Page 43

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .137This is that we learn the Sutras of the position and designs of Sakyamuni in the middle of the company Indian; and it is there, if I'm not mistaken, theform the most simplest and most primitive underwhich presents his doctrine, as long as it is still, as in these treatises, only in the stateofpreaching. Than other books from Nepal, suchthat the various editions of the Prajñā PA-ramitâ, offer us amore regular system and whichkiss a lot more bignumber of questions than those indicated in the Sûtras, that do must notsurprise us; but it 's not herethe place to compare the Budrdhisme developed the Prajñā with the Sutras; what weimported in this moment is to fix, according to this last class of treaties, the positionin which Sakyamuni was found in the middle of the Brahmins of ks. atriyasand other castes. It is clearthat he presented himself as one of those ascetics whofrom the time themore anciensparcourent India preaching the moralitythe more respected of the companythey affect to the despise more; it is even by placing oneselfunder the tutelage of the Brahmans whom hewas entered into the religious life. The Lalitavistara us the watch in effect be making, to get out of the paternal house, with the most famous Brahmans, to drawtheir school the science he seeks (1). When hehas obtained his masters thatthat they can teach him, when the most skilful has himeven associated with exercise of his duties astutor, Câkya surrenders, as all theascetics in toharsh mortifications, to along and rigorousabstinence; and the Lalita vis-tara, who recounts all the details of this part of his life, naively endshis account by this' instructive reflection: "It was to show theworld the "spectacleamazing actions (2)." Çâkyamuni, or the lonely of the race of Cakya, does not stand out , To the origin, other solitary race Brahmalunch; and we'll see later, when Igather the evidence of struggleshewas obliged of support against the other ascetics its rivals, that the people, surprised persecution which hewasthe object, sometimes askedto its adver-sary what reasons they had for the bothto hate, since he was only oneBeggarlike them. Heis no less evident than the philosophical

opinion by which hejusti-trusted his task was shared by all the classes of the society:Brahmins,Kchattriyas, Vâiçyas and Çûdras, all also believed in the fatality of the trans-(1) Lalita vistara, ch. xvi, f.125 b sqq. of my manuscript. It is put first in the disciplineof Alara Kalama, and then under that of Rudraka, son of Rama, who lived close to Rajagriha. The Pali books name the first of these Brahmans Alâra Kdlâma. (Turnour, Journ. Asiat.Soc. of Bengal, t.VII, p. 1004.)(2) Lolita vistara, f.135 & of my man. Page 44

i38INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYmigration, the breakdownthe rewards and thepenalties, to the need and at the sametime to the difficulty of definitively escaping the perpetual conditions.slightly changing of a very relative existence. Until then the lonely of the racede Çâkya was notin oppositionavec the company Brahmin. Kchattriya bythe birth, hewasbecame an ascetic, like some others, and in particular Viçvâmitra, had done before him (1). Heeven kept, in one of the namesthat he wore, the trace of the essentially religious bond which linkedhis familyto the Brahmanic caste; hewas called the Cramana Gâutama, or the ascetic Gàu-acetamide, probably because that Gautama was the name of family priestly ofthe military race of Çâkyas, whoin quality of Kchattriyas did not have an-Cêtre neither of tutelary saint in the way of the Brahmins, butwho had been abletake, as well as the law Indian permits, the name of the ancient wiseto the racewhose director belongedspiritual(2). Philosopher and moralist, he believed for the most truths accepted by the Brahmins; but he was separating them the time he was to take the consequence of these truthsandofdetermine the condition of salvation, purpose of the efforts of man, since it substitutedwas killing the annihilation and thevacuum at Brahma unique in the which substancehis adversaries brought in the world and man. I will now extract from the Sûlras the passages which seemed to me to be natural to throw the most day on the following points :the position of Çâkya and of his followers towards the Brahmins and other ascetics in general; the goalthanŚākya and Religious is proposedin common; the struggles that the leader suffersheld out against his adversaries; the average conversion ofthat he employed, and the action which his teaching was to exercise in the long run on the Brahmanic systemof castes. These various topics are often mixed between them in the same (1) In addition Vishvamitra, including thelegend is well known by the Ràmâyaija, the ancient Itihàsasthat cite commentators des Vêdas, or the processed form of appendages species in theseold books, speak of a warrior of the race of the Kurus became Brahmin. {How, on the Nirukta, ire, pt. 49 b of my manuscript.)(2)Look in the Foe koue ki, p. 309, a memo in which I myself have tried to explainthis difficulty. The analysis of Csoma we learn that Maudgalyayana in addressing the Câkyas de Kapilavastu, told them "Gàutamàh" or " Gâutamides. » {Asian girl. Res., T. XX, p. 74; and Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t. II, p. 386 ff.) Mws this only proves that the akyas pre-born the name of Gâutamas. The origin of this title is still unknown, and the explanation that I propose is still only a conjecture. A curious fact, although it does not advance us much on theissue of urigine is that our days yet, it is in the district of Gonikpour, that is to say in the same country where Çàkyanmni was born, a branch of therace of Radipouts, whotakes the name of Gâutamides. {History, etc., of East. India, t. II, p. 458.) Bro. Hamilton, to whom weshould the Knowledge of this fact, has gathered regarding these Radipouts Gâutamides the details alittle confused. He does not explain how a family of Kchattriyas can claim to come from a saintbrahmanic.

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .IS9passage, and we do not expectwithout doubt to in find here a classification Me-thodic; the point that heimportant to establish, it is the impression that results, forany impartial reader of the study of the Sûlras, considered under these various points of view. One of the facts that thereading of the Sutras and the legends of the Divya avadâna metthe best in light isthat Câkyamuni and his Religious were placed atmiddle of the company Indian, on the same level as the ascetics of anotherorigin. This assertion, for being not expressed in a way as if so, do is notless at bottom does itdemonstrates that the most obviously the studyof the Sutras. I recalled earlier to what discipline Câkya had submittedto penetrate themysteries the most secret of the science Brahmin. Noof teachers in the teaching which heis placed successively does not findhis insubstantial claims, and thelegend of Lalita vistara even teaches usthat one of these Brahmans shares with him his title of tutor (i). Fivesome disciples of this Brahmin are so struck by the progress of Çâkya, they leave theirold master to attach himself to the new ascetic (2). Heistrue that when, exhausted by an abstinence excessive Śākya is obliged to takesomefood andto give upfasts too prolonged, thefive disci-ples, shocked by this infractionà the rule abandon to go alone withof Benares continue their life of mortifications (3); but Sakya 's found(1) Lalita vistara, L 129 a and 6 of my man.(2)Lalita vistara, (.139 6 of my man. The Mahâvastu cites the names of these first fivedisciples who are called "of good caste." It is not without interest for the comparison with the transcripts that give the Chinese. (Foe koue ki, p. 310.) The first quotedis ÀdjnâtaKàuijdinya: the Chinese the call A jo tchhinju chiao, and very bieo say that A jo (Âdjiiàta)gigcitie knowing, and that Kdundinya is the name of family of the Brahmin; we know in fact aBrahmanic family of Kaundinyas. The second is Açvadjit, among the Chinesepi, or according to Hiuanthsang, A chyplio chy. {Foe koue ki, p.267.) His name is exactly as "master of the horse." "This religious was of the Çakya's family. The third is Bhadraka or Bhadrika, among the Chinese Po thi. Some éloigaée that this transcript appears to be of the original, without doubtbecause it goes to through the middle of the pale, she does is not less made very probable bythe translation of "little sage "that give the Chinese. The concept of small is in effect in the Bhadruka ka suffix. It says that this character was also of the family of Śākya, and itfind the legend of his conversion auBuddhisme in the avadāna çalaka. (f. 214 b.) The fourthis Vâchpa, that the Chinese know under the name of Daçabala Kdçyapa; but they him givealso the name of Pho crazy, who do can Eire other thing that Vdclipa, of aulantplus that Pho crazy istranslated into Tibetan as Rlangs-pa, which is exactly the meaning of Sanskrit vdchpa (steam); this Religious held to Càkya by his maternal uncles. The fifth is Muliâràta, or rather Mahâ-nàma, as Csuma writes . {Asian girl. Reseurches, t. XX, p. 293.} The Chinese transcribe exactlythis noui Ma ha nan {Foe koue ki, p. 203); theylai still give that of Keou li that tseu, "the iroyal prince Keou li." Mahanama was the son eldest of the king Amitôdana and cousin -german ofÇàkya. {Mahdvasta, f. 356 a from my man. Csoma, Asian. Researches, t. XX, p. 293.)(3) Lalita vistara, i.139 & of my man. Page 46

140INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYmore later, and for his perfections physical and moral the nou- keycalf and the converts for ever to his law(i).Hetherehas in all thisnothingwhich could not also have happened to an asceticbrahmanic, andÇâkya , all Kchattriya that hewas,is put by the legendexactly on the same footing as a Brahmin. Other texts we do seehis disciples barely distinguished from thosewho later becametheir

adver-violent saries. Betweenseveral passages that I could invoke, I will quotea single, which shows us a more zealous supportersof Çâkyamuni distributingalso his alms to Buddhists and Brahmans, and saying to the guardian from the door from his house:" Do not give entryto the Tîrthyas (2) (these are the" Asceticsbrahmanic), during the time quel'Assemblée of religious, "havingin his head the Buddha, will be busy in taking his meals; my intention((is not to receive the Tîrthyas until after the Assembly (3).» And the differencethat exists between these two species of ascetics, the Brahmin and the Buddhist, ispretty little trench for only the view of Kassapa, that is to say of one of the pre-(1) Mahdvastu, f. 356 a from my man. The place where Cakya found his first five disciplesis very famous in the legends; is the called R / chipatana Mrigadàva, "the place where are fallen"the Richis in the Wood of antelopes. » Here is how the Lalita vistara exposes the origin ofthis denomination: tIn this time it is had to Yârài.iasi, in the wood of antelopes in place"named Richipatana, five hundred Pratyêka Buddhas who lived there. Having heard the news, they "arose in the air to a height of seven spans, and entering in the item dice thelight, they"vanished similar to meteors. That it there was in their body bile, phlegm, "of flesh, bones, muscles and of blood, all this was consumed by thefire, and their pure bodies"fell to the ground. We then say: The Richis have fallen here; from there then came â what place the name of Richipatana, the fall of the Richis. » (Lalita vistara, f.12 bet 13 a.) The same text gives a poor explanation of the name of Mrigadàva, < Antelope wood. "The here: Abhaya-datlàrtcha tasmin mrigiih prativasanti, "the gazelles will live in possession of these curity, "as if mrigadava were formed from the elements that are found in datta, namely dd, and invasanti, know how. Fa hian, at the beginning of the fifth century of our era, visited this famous place; hethe names in the relationship "the Park deer of the Immortal. "{Foe hoe ki,p.304.) By the Immortal we must understand a Pratyêka Buddha, who on learning that the son of King Çuddhôdanawould become Buddha, entered himself in the Nirvana. This is, as we see, our legendvery-slightly transformed.(2) The termede Tîrthya, or Tîrthika, or even Tirthakara, means literally "the one who<made the pilgrimage to the sacred ponds. » This is thetitle by which the Buddhist books designatein a manner generally the ascetics and the Religious Brahman. I am afraid that Mr. Schmidthas confused the word with one of Târkika, v. reasoner, sophist, "when hewas believed toclaim that the Sanskrit word Tdrkika was written by the Mongols Tirtika. {Same. of Acad. fromSt. Petersburg sciences, t. II, p. 44 and note.) I don't see why the Mongolian Tirtika newould not be simply the transcription of Sanskrit Tîrthika. Mr. Schmidt is, I think, more happywhen he reduces the Mongolian word Tars or Ters to being only an alteration of these two words without-writings; only, it is from Tîrthika that it must be drawn. This remark seems to me to nullifyall the hyjpothèses by which it has wanted to find the Parsees in the Ters authorsMongols.(3) Nâgara avalambikâ, in Divya avaddna, f. 38 o. Svâgata, ibid., F. 86 b. Page 47

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .141my dearest and most fervent disciples of Çâkya, thekeeper thetake forabrahmin beggar and himclose the door (1). This equalityalmost complete the two orders is expressed in the way the more clearby the formula whichreturns to each line of Primitive sutras: Çramana Brâhmana ^ that is to say the Çramanas and the Brahmans, formula according to which theonly advantage thatto give the Buddhists, is to be appointed the first (2). Çâkya is under-wind represented traversing the country, surrounded by the Assembly of Religious, and followed a crowd of Brahmans,

of merchants and of masters house (3). Aformula often repeated, and that has to be to express the extent of the science of the Buddha, contains these months: "Knowing thecreatures, including the Cramanas and the Brahmins (4). "These facts and others semblablesprouvent thatthe Buddhists and Brahmins lived together in the same country; they callpartiennent, this title to the story of Indian Buddhism, and are certainly previous of many centuries to the separation violent that Toforced out of the Hin-DOSLAN the beliefs that are rattachaientà the preaching of Çâkyamuni. The goal that was proposed the lonely of the race of Sakya does notless clearsurely étabU by the Sutras. He wanted to save the men in the detaching ofworld and in their teaching the practice of the virtue. For this purpose, itwas looking to the convert to his doctrine, and to get to the disciples who could the pread and perpetuate it after him. Encouraged by example of its virtues andby theremember thetrials that he told them he had gone through in previousheartedly, his disciples imposed themselves the mosthard sacrifices to arrive, likehim to the perfection of the sanctity. It is not uncommon to see whorenounce to thelife, in the desire and the firm hope to arrive one day \* at the statesupreme of Buddha perfectly accomph. Their dedication, however, is more selflessthan that of the Brahmans, who indulged in harshpenances, to sharein a different life the residence of Indra or that of Brahma, because the perfectionTo(1) Nâgara avalambikâ, in Divya avadâna, f.38 b. Klaproth has already found that it exists at time of Sakyamuni several Brahmins the name of Kâryapa, which are often cited in thelegends, knowledge, Makà kàçyapa, Uruvilvà kâçyapa, Gayà kàçyapa and Nadî kâçyapa. Following thetexts consulted by Klaproth, these last three Kàçyapas were brothers, and we must distinguish themof Mahâ kâçyapa. {Foe koue ki, p. 292.) It is necessary to add the Daçabala Kassapa, otherwise namedVdchpa, which we have parlétout to time by listing the five first disciples of Sakya, of which he was a part. At the death of Śākya this last Kassapa was one of the four most majorlisteners of Sakya which existed in India. (Gsoma, Asiatic Researches, t.XX, p. 315.)(2) Supriya, in Divya avacL, f.U a. Prâtihârya, ibid., F. 74 a. Dliarma rutchi, ibid., F.113 a.Djyôtichka, ibid., F.137 a.(3) Supriya, in Divya avad., F.U a. Kanaka varm, ibid., F.U6 b. Avad. cat., L 81 b, 101 a, 106 b, 120 b, 122 a, 127 b.(4) Rûpavatî, in Divya avad., F. 212 a.

# Page 48

d42INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY which aspires the ascetic buddhisle do should not raise him alone, and that is for in doingshare the benefit with other men that hethe research environment desplusdifficult trials. The Sutras and the legendsoffer us more than one example of this tendency of Buddhist conversions, a tendency which places almost on the same rank, except the goal, the followers of Buddha and the worshipers of Brahma. When the dying Açôka leaves the empire of the earth, of which hesebelieved the master, to the Assembly of Religious of the Buddha, heexclaims that he does not perform this actof generosity for in gathering the fruit, orin Indra's sky, either in the world of Brahma, butto get the reward deserves his faith in Bha-gavat (1). A young Brahmin, who retired at the end of a forest, to be deliver, in the interest of living beings, to aextraordinary penance, give hisbodying azea tigress starved, who had to put down. At the time to consume this heroic sacrifice, heexclaims: "As he is it true that I abandons the life, nor for the monarchy, or for the enjoyment of pleasure, or "to the rank of Indra, nor for that of monarch sovereign, but good for ((to arrive at the supreme state of Buddha perfectly accomph (2).) We findin a different Sutra, one of Chandra prabha, an allusion

to a legendlike, one of the female of the tiger, of which there must a dual translation toM. Schmidt, executed after two Mongolian works, Uligerûn dalai and Altangerel (8). In this same Sutra, the king, at the time of abandoning thelife, takethe Gods to witness that heaccomplishes a also great sacrifice, not not for obtain the rewards that are usually expected, rewards which are the state of Brahmâ, that of Cakra, or that of sovereign monarch, butto becomeone day a perfect Buddha. Here, as in many other texts to show to both the likeness andthe difference of Buddhismcompared to Brahmanism. Thebelief in the sanctity of suicide for a goal religion is theeven from share and else, by let her reston this antiquesentence of reprobation, brought against the bodyby oriental asceticism. And the fact, if the life is a state of pain andofsin, if the body is one prison where the soul languishes captive and miserable, whatbetteruse can we makethan to get rid of it yourself? And withwhat ardor the ascetic only should it not be porterà this sacrifice, if hebelieves himselfrap-Procher and more quickly the goal high promised to sesefforts !It is there, we can not {{}} Açôka, à ans Divya avad., {. 'I \ i a.(2) Rûpaiali, in Divya avad., F.115 6.(3) Mongolian. Gramm., P. 192 sqq. The story of Uligerûn dalai is naturally reproduced in the German translation of the original Tibetan collection published by M. Schmidt. {Der Weite undder Thor, ^. 21 ff)

Page 49

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .145Undoubtedly, the meaning of these immolations volunteers to consume yetourdays under the chariot of Djagannâtha. TheBuddhist legends where Ifindthe examples are reported, it is true, to the times very factmythologiques ;and heis allowed to suppose that only the place has in these times distanttain that because it would have been difficult to rencontrerde the same during the first centuries of the establishment of Buddhism. However, what he canbe the facts themselves, the trend oflegends of this kind do is notless identical with one of the ideas that grow fanatic sectarians has totorture and to be killed for Vichnu thebenevolent, or for the implacable Devi.In our legends, the goal is different; hebe even the state, this difference is all full for the benefit of Buddhists, since the sacrifice that is required the asceticis still for the benefit of all mankind (i). But this difference could easily disappear of eyes of the people before the indenlity of the mindand the means; and zeal withwherein the Buddhist monks exalted of such sacrifices were enoughfor them to share with the other ascetics that the pratiquaientaussithe respects of the multitude that which seems to support this supposition, it is the nature of reproaches that, following our Sutras, the Brahmans addressed to Sakvamuni and to his disciples. I know that these accusations are reported by the Buddhists who have been able to choosebetween those to whom hethey were the more easyto answer, while they had to silence themobjections purely philosophical objections well otherwiseserious, that the commentators of systems Brahmanical duSâmkhya and the Nyayado to the followers of Câkyamuni. But I the repeat, ithere is question of legends relating tofirst sermons of Câkya, and not of a systemOrder which is defended with the weapons similar to those with which we the at-tackle. Also, wheneven the drafters of the sūtras would purposelypassin silence the controversyincluding the Çâkyamuni's opinions must havesummerTobjet of the share of Brahmins, thereproachesless seriousthat they put in the mouths of their opponents may always have been their sfacts, a bit philosophical ineither the reason. One of the grievances that usually animated the Brahmanic caste against the Bud-dhistes is that these, Hvrés like herat theascetic life, and signaling in respects of the people by the regularity of their conduct, removed to

Religious from. other sects a part of tributes and of their profits were coming back before. We will see later six Brahmans, who wanted try their powers upernatural against that of Çâkya, to complain loudly(1) This distinction has not escaped to Mr. Benfey. {Indian, p. 199, col. 2.}

Page 50

144INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthe wrong thatthey did since he had embraced life religious. one otherlegend, that of Dharma rutchi, reproduces these complaints; but she in"postpones the subject and the authors at a very mythological period, under Kchêraamkara, one of the fabulous Buddhas prior to Çàkyàmuni. A merchant, who hadamassed in vast wealth in aTravel on sea, wouldenlarge and adornthe Stupa, or the monument of this Buddha. <r.But the Brahmans who inhabited"the city, beingall together, be surrendered to the great merchant and her" Said : You know, merchant, at a time when the Buddha Kchêmamkara had 'not yet appeared in the world, we were so for the people one"object ofrespect, and that when hewas born, it's himwho got thehom-" Magi of the Multitude. Today heis entered in the annihilation "complete, it is to us that the world owes its respect; this gold comes back to us" Therefore of right(i). "From such wordsmust have been pronounced since the death of Çâkyamuni; and this is because they have been in effectthat the legend of the reports, in the placing in apast prior to historical times. They don't showNot less, in our opinion, one of the points of view from whichthe ascetics of all theordersenvisioned the appearance and development of thenew sect, which was comingcompete with them for the material advantages of a profession iflucrative in India. One other criticism plusgrave withoutdoubt because hecame from the classes the morerespectable of the society, it was the blame with which we welcomed the mostconversionsoperated by Çâkyamuni.He was criticized for admitting parnvihis followers of repulsed menof all for their rimes or for their misery. But I must content myself with indicating herethat kind of blame; I will haveopportunity to talk about it in detail later, when I examine then ature conversions effected by Çâkya and the effectproduced by these very conversions. If the objectionsque, following the Sutras, the Brahmins opposed to Sakyaand to his disciples were not very philosophical, the struggle they supported against her will was no more; because the legend us the showd is pu-as with him who would operate the miracles the more convincing. I think neces-sary oftranslate, for the most big party, a sutra relating to this subject, who will understand, better than anything that I could say, on what ground the Brahmins, following the tradition Buddhist, struggling with Sakyamuni and with itsfirst sectarians." At that time resided in the city of Râdjagrïha six masters who did notdidn't know everything, butwho imagined they knew everything; they were: PerranaKâcyapa, (1) Dharma rutchi, in Divya avadâna, i. 120 a and h.

Page 51

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .145Maskarin son of Gôçâli, Saiîidjayin son of Vâirattî, Adjila Kêçakambala, KakudaKâtyâyana, Nirgranlha son of Djfiàti (1). Now these six Tîrthyas, gathered and seatedin a room of recreation, had all the talk and maintenance sui-vants: You certainly know, lords, that when theÇramana Gâutamahad not yet appeared in the world, we were honored, respected, revered, adored by thekings, by theministers of kings, by the Brahmans, by thehouseholders, by theresidentsof citiesand by thosefromcampagnes, by the heads of trades and by themerchants ;andthat wereceived variousshake, like thegarment, thefood, the bed, the seat, the medicines for the sick and other things. But after

that the Cramana Gâutama appeared in the world, it is he who is honored, respected, revered, worshiped by kings, by the Brahmans, by theministers of kings, through the masters of the house, by the inhabitants of the cities and the countryside, by therich, by the heads of trades, and by the first among themerchants; it's the Cramana Gâutama who, with the Assembly of its Auditors, receives various emergency, such as the clothing, the food, the bed,the seat, the drugs of-(1) This piece curious to is reproduced with some variations in the Tibetan collectionincluding Mr. Schmidt has to publish a translation German. {Der Weise und der Thor, p. 71 ff)See again the names of these six Brahmin ascetics cited by Csoma de Coros in his noteson the life of Śākya. {Asian girl. Res., T. XX, p. 298 and 299.) 11 is very interesting to compare this that M. Rémusat tells us about these heretics; we will see by then how the texts Buddhist chi-nois contain materials precious, and with what care this orientalist prominent had stu-dies. {Foe koue Here, p. 149.) The first is called, according to the Chinese, Fou lan na kie cha; it's exactly Pûrai.ia Kàçyapa; it was from his mother that he got his second name, which means "the"descendant of Kàcyapa. "The second Brahmin is Mo Ma li kiu che U; it is Maskarin, son ofGôçàli :it is likely that this name has gone through a form faded; otherwise we could not ex-plicate the absence of the s in the Chinese transcription. The third is Chan tche ye pi lotchi>it is Saiïidjayin, son of Vâirattî: Mr. Remusat has approached very close to these two names. thefourth is A khi to Mue che khin pho lo; is Adjita Kêçakambala, or Adjita, which had toclothing than his hair. Mr. Remusat has guessed Kambala. The fifth is Kia lokieoutho kia tchin yan; it is Kakuda, of the Kâtyâyana family. The sixth is Ni kian tho jo thi tseu; it is Nirgrantha, son of Difiàli : here again I find a trace of pale origin in the absence oftwo r. Mr. Rémusat explains this proper name perfectly: "Ni kian tho means free of ties: f.it is the common title of heterodox religious; this one got the name of Jo thi from his mother . >This legend is famous in all the schools Buddhist, and we found an extract in the exposition of the barman religion given by Bro. Buchanan, after San Germano {Asiat. Res.,t.VI, p. 267 ff.) M. Schmidt believes that there is no doubt that these six masters represent thesix main philosophical schools of the Brahmans. {Same. of Acad. of Science S. of Peters-town, t. II, p. 44.) But nothing proves that this coincidence between thenumber of six masters andthe existence of six sects Indian or other chosequ'un report accidental. I only have toadd avantde conclude that the memory of Pūran, a and the other master has lefta fewtraces in the Buddhist tradition; because on the occasion of the word preceptor, the Dharma kôça vyàkhyâis expressed as follows:" There are two kinds of masters, the false and the true; the false like Pùraiia and the "Tothers, the real one, that is to say the Tathâgata. > {Dharma kôça vyâkhyâ, f.6 6 man. Soc. As.)10

Page 52

146INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYted to the sick and other things as well; ourprofits and our honors weare entirely and completely removed. However, we are endowed with apower supernatural, we know talk about the science. The Çramana Gâu-tama also claims to be gifted with a supernatural power, heclaims to know dis-cuter on the science. Heagrees that the one who knows how to discuss struggles with the one whoto know as much as him,inoperating, the means of its power supernatural ofmiracles superior to what that man can do(1). If the Çramana Gâulama operates, the means of its power supernatural, a single miracle higher than that the mancan do, we in do two; if in operates two, we in do four; if heoperates in four, we as will eight; if in operating eight, we in willsixteen; if

heoperate sixteen, we will do thirty-two. Finally, we will do twotimes, three times as much of miracles that the śraman.a Gautama to be operated inby means of his supernatural power. May the Cramana Gâutama only advance tohalfway, we will not move forwardonly halfway not more. Come therefore Wrestlewith the Cramana Gâutama inartto operate, by means of asupernatural power, miraclessuperiors Tothisthat manmayto do." HoweverMàra the sinner made the following reflection: More than once, morea time I myself was attacked at śraman.a Gautama maisjamais I have been the(1) The term which is used here The text belongs to own the Sanskrit Buddhist; themanuscripts to give with some variations: Ullarê manuchyadharmê riddhiprâdhâryam vi-darçayilum, or well Vltarimanuchyadharme, etc., or even Anuttarimanuchya .. .etc. If we readultarê, it will be necessary to translate word for word: "to show a transformation supernatural in the€ superior law of man; "if we read nltari (an unusual form), we will say :t make it appear€re a supernatural transformation in the law of a superior man, > and I add that theanuitari lesson will change little in this last sense; it will only be "a man without a superior "that we will have to say. The lesson the more ordin, iire in our manuscripts is that à'uttari; it is alsothose that follow the text faded in Cojlan. The first of the two translations that I come fromto propose seems to me to be confirmed by the Tibetan words of theversion of this text: Mihi tchhos-bla-mahi rzu-hpliruli n miracles of the higher law of man. » This meaning is expressed in other terms in the caption published by M. Schmidt: Miki bla-mahi tchhos-kyi tchho-liphrul-lahdjug-go, ^ nivunl M. Schmidt; inder magischen Verwandlnngskunst aus der Lehre des Lama(Oberhauptes) der Menschen. {Der Weise und der Thor, Tibetan text, p. 58, and trad. garlic.,p. 71.)This translation seems to me to introduce wrongly theterm Lama, who is a design enoughmodern and specific to Tibetans. It is true that the word Lama (bla-ma) means superior, as the Sanskrit M / fan he replaces: this is a point that I do not dispute; i only askqiîe in a legend that the elements are contemporary Śākyamuni, we do not replacethe superior vno by that of Lama. The Tibetan expression, interpreted literally, seems to megive this meaning: "Entered into a metamorphosis of the law of the superior of man," meaning thatreturns without doubt in it: "Entered a legal metamorphosis (i.e. who is the" Condition) of one who is superior to man. "I followed thelast sense, some wavethat it is still, because it is approaching themore of the original expression; but I myself amallowed in my translation a bit of freedom to make the most thought clear. (Cf. Spiegel, Kammavak. p. 38.)

Page 53

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .147destroy (1). Whynot me I would note weapon of the Tîrthyas ?Having takenthis resolution itput on thefigureof Purâna, and having soared into the air,heproduced apparitionsmagic flames, light, ofrainandlightning; and hespoke thus to Maskarin son of Gôçâli :Know, O Maskarin, that I amgifted with a power supernatural, that I know talk about the science. The Çramana Gâulama claims that heis endowed with supernatural power, that heknows discuss the science. Heagrees that thewho knows talk about the sciencestruggle with the one whoto know as much as him[etc.as above, up to :]So let's go and fight with the Çramana Gâutama in artto operate,by meansa power supernatural of miracles superior to thatthe manmayto do." Mâra the sinner tookthen the figure of Maskarin and held theeven lan-pledge to Samdjayin, sonof Vâirattî.(^); this is howthat theywere abused one by onethe other. "This is whyeach of them is said to himself: I got the powersupernatural. Purana and the five other masters, who imagined

they knew everything be surrendered to Bimbisara, nicknamed Crênya (3), the king of Magadha ;andhaving approached him, they spoke to him thus :Know, O king, that weare gifted of a supernatural power, which we know how to discuss on the science. the Cramana Gâutama also claims that heis gifted with supernatural power, and he can talk about the science. Hebe that one who knows discuss the science fight with one who in knowso muchthan him[etc.as above,until:]So let's fight with the Cramana Gâutama in artto operate atmeans a power supernatural miracles superior to that the mancan do. "That said, Bimbisâra Çrênya, the king of Magadha, spoke thus to the Tîrthyas: Yesyou want to become corpses, you just have to strive for supernatural powerwith Bhagavat. [Some time later, Pûrana and the five other masters, whonot knowing everything imagined they knew everything, having encountered on the wayBimbisâra Çrênya, the king of Magadha, repeated to him what they already had him(1) The text says: Na kadâtchid avatârô labdah; it can also be translated: "I have never been able to find the opportunity." The premiersens me seems preferable; it is the one that the Pradjnâ paramitâgives the terms avatâra and avatârana :we could very well justify it by authoritiesBrahminicals.(2) I have abbreviated this passage, which in the text is the literal reproduction of the previous paragraph.give way, except the names own.(3) The Tibetan translation of the legends relating to the Discipline teaches us that the kingBimbisara had received the title of Crênya or of Crênika, because he was expert in all the arts "(Csoma, Anahjs. Of the Dulva, in Asiat. Researches, t.XX, p. 46.)

Page 54

148INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYsaid; but Rimbisâra answeredin these terms to beggarsTîrlhyas: If yourepeat mea third timesame thing, I will kick you out ofcountry. The Tîrthyas then madecelticreflection: The king Bimbisara is an auditor of Cramaiia Gàutama, let's leave Bimbisâra there ;cornPrasênadjit, kingof the Kôçala, isimpartial; when the Cramana Gàutama goes to the city of Cravasli, we willwill go there, and we will be therewill provoke to operate, by means of his power over-natural, of miracles superior to what can be the man. Having said thesewords, they withdrew."Then Bimbisâra said to one of his people :Go and splintquickly a goodchar; I will go up, because that i want to see Bhagavat, in order to make it hon-neur. Yes, lord, replied the servant; andhaving promptly harnessed agood tank, it is made to Bimbisara, and having approached, hesaid to him :here isharnessed the good chariot of the king ;the time set for this that theking wants to doiscome. So Bimbisāra being mounted on this great chariot pulled out of Rajagr.ha and isdirected Bhagavat in intent to see, so for himto dohonor. As long as the terrain allowed him to use his chariot, hestepped forward from this way; then in being lowered, it went walking in the hermitage and there lives Bhaghavat. Being immediately stripped of five badges of the power Royal, that is to say the turban, the parasol, thedagger, a fly swatter made of atail yak and of the shoe various colors, it walked towards Bha-gavat, and having approached him, he bowed his feet? in the touching of the head andsat downof side. Bhagavat seeing the king Bimbisara sitting of hand, began to instruct by a speech relating to the law; hemade him receive it, heexcited his zeal, hethe rem-fold ofjoy; and after having it frommore than an educated waythroughspeechesrelating to the law, after himhave been received, after having excited the zeal andhaving filled him with joy, hekept the silence. So Bimbisara, after having rentedBhagavat and showing his assent to him, greeted his feetin the touching with his head and withdrew from his presence." Then this reflection came in the spirit of

Blessed: In what place an-ciens buddhasperfectly accomplished have they operated largemiracles for themany creatures? The Deities responded well to Bhagavat: Formerly, se-gneur, theancient Buddhas perfectlyaccomplished operated largemiracles for the good of creatures. Bhagavat has the view of the science; is to Cravasti that the ancient Buddhas perfectly made have operated ongreat miracles for the good of creatures. So Bhagavat spoke thus to the res-pectable Ananda: Go, O Ananda, and announces the following to the Religious: the Tathâgata must go and browse the Kôçala campaigns; that who ever wants the rego with the Tathâgata lava, couse and ringwormhis clothes. Yes, venerable! Page 55

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .149Thus replied the respectable Ananda to Bhagavat ;and heannounced to the Religious what that Bhagavat he had said, and in the same terms. The Promised Religiousrent atrespectablexlnanda to do so." Then Bhagavat, whomaster of himself, calm, freed, consoled, disciplined, venerable, devoid of passion, benevolent, was surrounded by aprocession of wise menwho sharedwith him these same merits; who was like the bull surrounded aherd of cows; like the elephantin the midst of her young; like the lionamong the animals of the woods; like the Râdjahamsa in the midst of swans; like Suparna (Garuda) among the birds; like a Brahmin inmiddle of his followers; like a good doctor in the midst of his patients; like a brave man in the midst of his soldiers; as the guide among the travelers; as the head of thecaravan among the merchants; like a bosstrades among the inhabitants of a city; like theking of a fort in the middle of hisadvisers; like a sovereign monarch in the midst of histhousandchildren; like the moon in the midst of the Nakchatras (lunar mansions); likethe sun surrounded by its thousands ofrays; like Virûdhaka (1)in the middleof the Kumbhândas ;like Virûpâkcha (2) in the midst of the Nâgas; like Dha-nada(3) in the midst of the Yakchas; like Dhrïtarâchtra (4) in the midst of the Gan-dharvas; as Vêmatchitra the mid Asuras; like Çâkra in the middleof the Gods; as Brahmâ in the midst of Brahma kâyikas; which looked likethe Ocean in motion, to a lake full of water, to the king of the elephants who would bepeaceful; Bhagavat, I said, stepping forward with a gait which hisfeel goodcontrolled did not disturb the calm(5), and with themany attributes of a(1)This is the God whom the Chinese transcribe thus the name; Pi leou le tcha or Pi lieou H; they theresee with reason the meaning of "increased size, "but this is probably the magnitude physi-sique that it is a question here; because we know that the Kumbhandas are deformed gods. This God residesin the fourth heaven floors on the Mount Meru, the side of noon. (Rémusat, Foe koue U,p. 139 and 140.)(2) The Chinese transcribe this name as follows: Pi lieou po tcha or Pi lieou pho tcha; but the drowningMA Rémusat, which I provide these transcripts do give not thereal meaning. WordVirûpâkcha means "the one who has eyes misshapen. "This God resides in the Fourth Heaven of MountMeru, the side of the West. (Rémusat, Foe koue ki, p.140.)(3) Dhanada, or the God of wealth, has also a different name, that of Vai? Vana, which seemsoften in the Buddhist legends, and that the Chinese transcribe Pi cha men, "the glorious. "This God resides in fourth heaven of Mount Meru, the side of the north. (Rémusat, Foe koue ki, p.139.)(4) This name is thus transcribed by the Chinese: Thi thf. Or laï to, or Thi ta lo tho, "the protector" of the Kingdom. y> Heseems that the Chinese transcription leave an original pale and not Sanskrit. This God resides in quatrièmedes heavens story on the Mount Meru, the side of the east. (Remusat, Foe kove M, p. 139.)(5) The expression used here in the text is still special in Buddhist Sanskrit: Sudântâirindiiyâir asamkchôbhitêryâpathapratchârah, literally "advancing into

theway of a de-f walks unmoved by his well-mastered senses. " Wilson gives however Iryn with the direction

Page 56

150INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYBuddha whodo not merge (i), walked, followed by a large assemblyof Religious, towards the town of Çrâvaslî. Accompanied by hundreds ofthousand of deities, hereached the end of his journey at Çrâvaslî, where heis fixed, settling in Djêtavana, in the garden of Anâtha pindika." The tīrthyas learned that the śraman.a Gâulama had made to Cravasti; and to this news theyalso went to this city. When theyyhad arrived, theyspoke thus to Prasênadjit, king of Kôçala :Know, O king, than we have a supernatural power, which we know how to discuss on Science. The Cramana Gàutama also claims to beendowed with a power sur-wandering about, in speaking of a Religieuxmendiant; but this term has certainly, in theBuddhist Sanskrit, a more extensive significance, for example that of "way of being," Posture. î We see in effectmore low that it has four îryû patha or waysto be, and that these ways are thewalking, the action to be taken up, to besit and belayer. It in factin the legends a particular merit to Çàkyamuni, and themol înjâ formthe main element of two epilheles which appear in the series of titles of the Tatliagata: Pracdn-terydpatha, " which is the way of approach calm, " and Sandinjâpata tcharyâviçêcha mmanvâgaia,€endowed with the practice of various kinds of postures. >{Lolita vistaia, f.222 a from my man.)The Chinese also know thevalue of this term, which is otherwise transcribed, at least defined in a passage from a note by Mr. A. Rémusat, relating to the discipline. {Foe Jcom M, p. 60.) The Singhalese also know this expression, and Clough ladefines as follows: "T A bother"terra expressing existence, either sitling, standing, reclining or walking. {Singhal. Diction., t. II, p. 70, col. 2.) The texts pale we apprennent qu'Ai; and a came to the perfectiond'Arhatat a time when he was not practicing any of the four îryd patha, that is to saythat he was neitherlying, neither sitting, nor standing, nor walking. (Turnour, Examin. Of Pali Buddh. Ann., In Jonrn. Asian girl. Soc. of Beng., t.VI, p. 517.) The translation that I give here does not prejudge anything on the meaningthat may be in other passages this term, including the present grade fixed sufficiently to signifi-general fication. I find some in the Mahavastu (f.265 a from my man.) An example that provesit applies to other characters as the Buddha, and that in fact a job quite common. The first time that Càriputtra, who is not yet a convert to Buddhism, meets aReligious, he exclaims: Kalyânâ pnnar iyam pravradjilasya îryû, "She is beautiful in fact, de-twalk of the Religienx. "As the Religious in question is represented walking through Râdjagrïha, the translation of this word by step is certainly the most exact here. (See the additions, at the end of the volume.)(1) We still have here a term difficult all tomadespecific to Buddhists, it is the term avenika, which is commonly found attached to Dharma. I have so far not met anywherethe explanation of this word and this is conjecture that I translate as I do, the takingto a derivative of the word AVENI, c which does not form a braid, or who do are confused not to the "how many rivers are uniting in a single. > That which to me suggests that inter-tion, that is a portion of avadāna çataka (f. 4 a), where it is matter of three spare thememory that do not merge. These reliefs are probably the superior means thathas the Buddha to remember thepast, to know the present and plan for the future; and no doubt that by smrlti (memory) hemust be understood the spirit in general, as well as themakeusually the Buddhists. The Buddha, in fact, has a distinct knowledge of the threeparts of the period, including the show will be confused

not in his mind. In a different place of Avadàna çataka (f. 7 a), it speaks of the five terms distinct {dvênika} which will meetin a woman of an enlightened nature; this second passage does not present anything that contradicts thesense that I think I can deduce from the first.

Page 57

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .i51natural, itclaims to know discuss the science. Hesuitable that the one whoknows how to discuss struggle with the one whoto know as much as him, inoperating, by means of its power supernatural miracles superior to that man canto do [etc. as above, up to :]Let us be soallowed to fightwith the Cramana Gâutama in Taitto operate, by means of a powersupernatural miracles superior to what man can do." That said, Prasênadjit, the king of the Kôçala, thus spoke to the Tîrtliyas: Go andwait until I have seen Bhagavat. Then Prasenadjit said to one of his people: Go, and splint promptly a good chariot; i'm therewill go upto gosee todaysame Bhagavat, in order to make himhonor. Yes, lord, replied thedomes-tick; and having promptly harnessed a good chariot, heis made from Pra-sênadjit ;andhaving approached him, hesaid to him :Here is harnessed the good chariot of the king ;the time set for this that the king wants to arrived. ThenPrasenadjit, king ofKosala, being mounted on this great chariot pulled out of Çràvaslî and headedBhagavat, with the intention of seeing it, in order to do him honor. As long as the ground himpermit to douse of his chariot, hestepped forward in this way îthenin being lowered, itentered tofootin the hermitage. Sethen ruler of side which is found Bhagavat, heapproached it; and having greeted his feetin themtouching his head, hesat to side. There Prasenadjit, the king of Kôçala, spokethus to Bhagavat: The Tîrthyas, lord, provoke Bhagavat tooperate, tomeans of its power supernatural, the upper signs in this thatthe mancan do. That Bhagavatagrees to demonstrate, by means of hispoweron-natural miracles superior to thatthe mancan do, in the interestcreatures; that Bhagavatconfuse the Tîrthyas; hesatisfy the Devasand men; that rejoice the hearts and the souls of people of good!"Having said that, Bhagavat spoke thus to Prasenadjit, king of Kôçala: Great king, I do n't teach the lawto my listeners by telling them :Go, oh Beligieux, and operate before the Brahmins andthemasters of the house that you meettrerez, with the help of supernatural power, miraclessuperiors in this that the mancan do; but here's how I teach thelaw to my Auditors: Live, oh Religious, in hiding yourgood works and by showing yoursins." Twice and three times Prasenadjit, king of Kôçala, madeto Bhagavat the samepray, by sending it to him in the same terms. But it is a law, that the Blessed Buddhas must, while they live, that they exist, that they are and that they are found in life, perform ten essential actions. The buddhablessed does not enter into complete annihilation as long as another hasnot learnedfrom his mouth that he must one day be a Buddha; as long as he does not have

Page 58

152INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY inspired one another be a penséecapable of bornnot turn away from the statesupreme of a perfectly accomplished Buddha; as long as all thosewho mustbe converted by him will have not been; as long as hedid not exceed three quarters for the duration of its existence; as long as hedid not confide [to others] the deposit of homework; as long as he hasnot designated two of his Auditors as the first of all; so muchit does has not been seen falling from the sky of devas in the town of Sâmkâçyua (1); as long as, gathered to his Auditors by the great lake Anavatapta (2), it has not developed the fabric of its previous actions; as long as has not established in the truths

his father and his mother (3); so muchthat he did not make agreat miracle in Çrâvastî. So Bhagavat madethis reflection: Here is an action that the Tathagata must necessarily accomplish. Convinced of this truth, hespoke thus to Prasenadjit, king of Kôcala: Go, O great king; inseven days from here inpresence of a large crowd of people, the Tathagata will operate, by means of its power supernatural miracles superior to what mancan do, andthis for the sake of creatures.€ Then the king Prasenajit spoke thus to Baghavat :If Bhagavat consents, i will dobuild an edict so that the Blessedthere operates its signs. However Bhagavat made this reflection: In what place are the perfect Buddhasment made have they donegreat miracles for the good of creatures? The Deities replied to Bhagavat :Between Çrâvastî andDjêtavana ;it's in oneplace between these two places which the ancient Buddhas perfectly accom-foldshaveperformed great miracles for thegoodfromcreatures.Bhagavat(1) The traveler Chinese Fa hian recounts in detail the legend to which he is actually referring here, and A. Rémusat the growing in of excellentesnotes. {Foe koue there, p. 124 ff) SâiTikàçya is acity formerly known to Brahmanic authors. The Râmàyaua (liv.I,ch. lxx, st.3 b,Schlegel; and ch. lxxii, st. 3 b, Gorresio) cites this name as it is written here, and Wilson thinks hetakes the restore in the Vichnu Purdna. (p. 390, note 5.) The Buddhists of Ceylon callthis city Samkassa, as a result of an alteration peculiar to Pali. (Clough, Pali Gramm. And Vocab., p. 24, st. 4 b.) At the beginning of \ f > century of our era, Fa hian extended this name to the kingdom, or more exactly in the district of which Sàilikâçya was thecapital; but in the century view, this district, following Hiuan Ihsang, had already changed his name. A. Rémusat places Sàilikàçya near Far-rakhabad, and Wilson close to Manpury. {Journ. Roy. Asian girl. Soc, t. V, p. 12a.) The rains of thiscity once famous have been found in 184 \(^2\) by Mr. Al. Cunningham, the location of village of Saiïikassa, which is located on the northern bank of the Kàlînadî. {Journ. Roy. Asian girl.Soc, t. VII, p. 241.) The name and Conditions geographical are here agree.(2) This lake is, as has been established Klaproth, the same as the Ravana brada {Foe koue ki, p. 37), andthe name that it gives our legends confirms the explanation that I had already proposed the namewhich he wears in Pali, Anavatatta, and among the Chinese, A neou tha. The legend of this miraculous Çâkya's journey is recounted in detail in the Tibetan dul-vaanalyzed by Csoma de Coros. (Asiat. Res., T. XX, p. 65.)(3) F hian made allusion to this legend fabulous. {Foe koue ki,p. 124 and 171. In Rémusat, ibid., p. 129.) It is also reported in substance historian Mongolian SsanangSetzen. (Schmidt, Geschichte der Ost-Mongolen, p. 15) Page 59

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .155thus welcomed by keeping theSilence theproposalof Prasênadjit,king ofKôçala. Then the king seeing that Baghavat himgave his assent, hespoke thus :In what place, lord, should I dobuild the buildingfrommiracles? Between Çràvastî and Djêtavana, O great king.So Prasênadjit, king ofKôçala, having praised and approved the words of Bhagavat, greeted his feetin themtouching his head, and withdrew." Then the kingPrasênadjit thus spoke toTîrlhyas :Know, lords,thaninseven days by the śraman.a Gautama must, to help in its power over-natural, operate miracles superior to what man can do.So theTîrthyas made this reflection :Is thisthat for seven days the Çramana Gâutama iscapable of acquiring faculties that he does not possess? or well he flee? or elsedoes he want to tryof himself to a party? Then this thought they came tothe mind :Cer-tainly the śraman.a Gautama does not leak, and certainly as hewill not acquirenot the faculties which it does not yet possess ;the Çramana Gâutama wants to

tryof himself to a party; and we, for our part, we try to us to be one. So decided, they called the beggar named Raktakcha, who was skillfulin themagic, and him told the storyin detail, in him saying, Know, ORaktâkcha, that we provoked the Cramana Gâutama to make use of hispowersupernatural; or hesays that inseven days from nowhewill operate, by means of its power supernatural miracles superior in this thatman canto do. Certainly the śraman.a Gautama wants to try to be to a party. You,however, also seeks to make us supporters among thosewho follow the same religious rule as us. The beggar they promised to do thisthat theyhimwere asking. Heis made so in a place where there were a lot of Tîrthikas, of śraman.as, of Brahmins, ascetics and of beggars; and when he There arrived, hethey told the matter in detail, in their saying :Know, lords,that we provoked theŚraman.a Gautama to make use of its powersupernatural. Now hesays that in seven days from now hewill operate, by means of hispower supernatural miracles superior to thatthe mancan do. Certainly the śraman.a Gautama wants to try to be to a party; you, however, you must also doalliance with those who follow the same rulereligiousthan you; heneed thatin seven days from here you would go outout ofÇràvastî. The meeting he promised to do what he asked."Now hethere were five hundred Richis who resided on certain mountain. The Raktâkcha beggar is Rendita the place where is cesRichis were ;andwhen heThere arrived, hethey told the matterin detail[etc.as in para-previous graph, up to:]Heneed thatins even days from here you were going to Cràvastî. The Richis promised him to do thishe them asked. Page 60

154INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY" It is had in this timea Religious, named Subhadra, who possessed the five knowledge supernatural; helived in the city of Kuçinagarî, and passedthe day with the great lake Anavatapla (1). The beggar Raklâkchawent to the place where Subhadra was; andwhen heThere arrived, hehimtold the storyin detail[etc.as above, up to : It is necessary that inseven days from nowyou the Rendes to Çrâvaslî. But Subhadra replied: Heis notwell you have caused the Gramana Gautama to make use of its powersupernatural. Why is that ?The following :my residence is atKucinagarî, andIspend the day withfrom the great lake Anavatapta. However, the Gramana Gâutama has adisciple, named Càriputtra, whoa novice named Tchunda ("2), andthis Càri-puttra passes also the day with the great lake Anavatapta. Mais the Deitiesthemselves who inhabit this lac do not believe they have to testify [to me] so much respect only to this Religious. in herean example. When I walked through Kuçina-Gari for there to collect the alms, and that Ireceived enough to make my meal, I myself go tooflarge lake Anavatapta. But the deities of the lake do not gonot draw it out of the water for me anddo not come to offer me. Tchunda, obeying the orders of the master, takes the rags which itgets covered, andsereturns to the great lake Anavatapta. So the Divinities who inhabit it, after having washedthese rags, sprinkle their bodies with the water which they used for this purpose. Ge wise, which the disciple has one disciple of whom we are not even, the equal is the one you have provoked to work miracles superior to what mancan do. You do not have well done of the cause to show his powersupernatural; because I know well that the Gramana Gâutama is endowed with greatsuperhuman faculties andit has a great power. Raktakcha answered him: So you take the side of Gramana Gâutama; hewill be not that you to come. Also, said Subhadra, I account notnot go to Grâvastî. "Prasônadjit, king of the Koçala, had a brother named Kâla, handsome, pleasant tosee, gracious, full of faith[in the Buddhal, good and endowed with a virtuous heart. One day he went out by the door of the palaceofPrasênadjit, one of the womenlocked up in the houseRoyal, who is trouvaitsur the terrace, havingseen theyoung prince, threw in the bottom onegarland of flowers, which fell on him. The worldis made up of friends, enemies and the indifferent. We wentso tell Prasê-(1) This does could have held that under a miracle if Lelac Anavatapta is in reality theRàvana brada.(2) The word that I translate by novice is Çrâmanêra; I will come back to this title in the sectionto read discipline. Tchunda was one of the first disciples of Śākya; at the time of his death, hegoing for a of his four listeners the most educated. (Csoma, Asiat. Res., T.XX, p. 315.) Onfinds its name cited by the Pentaglotte Vocabulary, in thelistingold characters res-pectables, sect. XXI. Page 61

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .155nadjit :Know, O king, Kala that comes to seducing a woman of apartmentsinteriors. The king of Kôça.la was violent, angry, cruel: withoutmore extensive re-seeks it gave immediately to his guards the order following: Go fast, cut to Kala the feet and the hands. The king will be obeyed, replied the guards; and soonafter they cut off the feet and the hands- the prince, in the middle same of the street. Kalauttered the cries violent, and hefelt a pain cruel, stinging, de-boring and terrible. InSeeing Kala, the brother of the king, ainsimaltraité, the crowd ofpeople are put to cry. Pûrana andtheother ascetics also came in thisplace, and friends ofyoung man said to them: Here is the time to act, sei-generators; make call to the truth of your belief, to restore Kâla, thebrotherof the king, in its first state. But Pûranaanswered: This one is an Audi-teur of Çramana Gâulama; it'sin Gâulama de lerestore as itwaspreviously, invirtue of thelawfromCramanas.So Kâla,thebrotherofking, fitthis reflection: In the misfortune and in the cruel distress where Iamfallen, Bhagavat must help me; then hepronounced the following slance:" Why the master of worlds not knoweth not the state heath in whichI fell? Adoration to this being devoid of passion, which is full of mercyfor all the creatures!"Nothingescapes to theknowledge of the blessed Buddhas (i); it'swhy Bhagavat thus addressed therespectable Ananda: Go, ô Ananda, takeyour clothes, and making youaccompany by Religious inquality of Servi-tor, go to the place where Kala is, thebrother of the king; thenremitting toplace thefeet and the hands of young man, say these words: Between all the beings as thosewho have no feet that those who have words, both thosewho have a shape than those who don'tdo n't have ,so many who havea consciousness that those who do not have it, or who do notorconsciousness or absence of consciousness, the Venerable Tathagata, perfectly and completely Buddha, is called the first being. Between all thelaws, so much those that are accomplished than those that not thearenot, the detachment is called the first law. Between all the assemblies, the troops, the crowds, themeetings, the Assembly of Auditors of the Tathagata iscalled thefirstAssembly. Now that your body, by the effectof this truth of Celtic Decla-ration of truth, become again as itwas before. The respectable Anandahaving answered: Lord hewill be made well, took his garments, and making ac-to accompany by a Religious, in quality of servant, hewent to the place where is(1) The text is here an expression special in Sanskrit Buddhist: AsammôchadharmânoBuddhâh. It is only by conjecture that I give this translation.

Page 62

456INTRQDUCTION TO HISTORY was Kala, the brother of the king; then when hethere was come, heput the feetand the hands of the young prince, and uttered these words: Between all the beings [etc. as above, up to:] the venerable Talhagata, perfectly

and completely Buddha, is called the first being. Between all the laws [etc. as above, up to:]thedetachment is called the first law. Among all the assemblies [etc. as above, up to: Assembly of Hearers of the Tathagata is called the first Assembly. Now that your body, by the effect of this truth, of this declaration of the truth, becomes again suchhewas before. A penaltythese wordswere they pronounced, that the body of the prince resumed his original form; and this was of such a kind, that by the powerful proper session of the Buddha and by the divine power of the Devas, the young Kâla livesat the same time the reward of the state of Anàgàmin, and manifested facultiessupernatural. Heretired then in the hermitage deBhagavat, and hewas put to himmake the duties of the servants. And since his body had been put to deathceaux, his name was changed to that of Gandaka, the servant of the hermitage. Pra-sênadjit, the king of Kośala, sought by all the means possible to make it back ;but Kâla himsays :You need not to me ;I do not want to serve as Bhagavat." But the king Prasenajit had doneto build between Çrâvastî and Djêtavanaa building, for Bhagavat to makehis miracles; it was a Mandapa, including thefoursides were a hundred thousand cubits long; a throne there wasprepared for Bhagavat. The auditors Tîrthyasavaient also made constantbuild an edifice for each of the other ascetics. When theseventh day wascame, the king madeclean up the land that separated Djêtavana from the building dedicated toBhagavat, by removing the stones, the gravel and the garbage. We therespread a cloud of incense and of perfumed powders; weset up umbrellas thereof flags and banners; we watered the ground water of scent, is thesemaof various flowers, and it rose toplace inplace of stands made of flowers."Now on the seventh day Bhagavat having dressed himself towards the beginning of theday, tookhis coat and his vessel, and went in Crâvastî- to be collected of alms. When hehad, while traveling the city, gathered food, hemade hismeals; and having stopped to pick up the alms heput away his vase and his man-water; havingthen washed his feet outside the Yihàra, he entered it to them to bed." Then the king Prasenajit, accompanied with a following of several hundred, ofmany thousands of hundreds of thousand of people are made toplace where the building dedicated to Bhagavat was built; and when hegot there, hesat on the seat which hewas intended. The Tirthyas, also accompanied alarge crowd ofpeople are surrendered to their side in their building; and whenthey there were arrived, they sat each on his seat, and thus spoke to Prasê-

Page 63

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .157nadjit,theking of Kôçala :Know, O king,that we have arrived ;where isnow the Çramana Gâutama? Wait a moment, replied the king ;Bhagavat will come soon . Then Prasênadjit calleda young man who was NOMmait Uttara :Go, he said, find Bhagavat ;and when you have approached him, say helloin our name, in the touching of the head,thefeetof Bhagavat ;wish himlittleofpain, few illnesses ;wish him the ease in effort, resources,the strength, thepleasure,the absence of any criticism and the contactspleasant, andtalk to him like this :Here is, lord, what he saidPrasênadjit, the king of Kôçala :TheTîrthyas, lord, have arrived; the time set for this that wants to Bhagavat iscame. Uttara promised the king to obey ;and having returned to the place where is was Bha-gavat itapproached him, and after having exchanged with himthepleasant wordsandbenevolent of the conversation, hesat of side ;then hespoke thus, of hissquare,to Bhagavat :Prasênadjit, theKing of Kośala, welcomes, in the touching of thehead, feet of Bhagavat. Hehe wants little ofpenalties, few illnesses ;hewishes him ease in the effort, the means, the strength, the pleasure, the absence ofall reproach and pleasant

contacts.- What the king Prasenajit be happy, O young man; and be it as yourself!-Behold, Lord, what said Prasê-nadjit, the king of Kôçala: The Tirthyas, lord, arearrived; the fixed time for this that wants to Bhagavat is come. "Gela said, Bhagavat responded well to young Uttara: Young man, I'm theream going toon the field. And hebless Uttarade suchso, that the young man, rising of the spot even where itwas, leftin through the air, as if directing the side whereis found Prasenajit. The king saw the young Uttara who was coming in through thetunes; and fromhehad seen it, headdressed thus toTîrthyas: Here is Bhagavat whovientd'opérer a greater miracle that thatthe mancan do ;operate-in soone also in your turn. But the Tîrthyas replied :Great king,heyhere has aimmense crowd of people; how will you know if I miracle is operated byweor by the Çramana Gâutama?" Then Bhagavat entered into a meditation such, as soon as itsmind therewas delivered, we saw come out of the hole in which to spot the lock [of the door aflame that going to fall on the building intended to Baghaval, the put in fire allwhole. The Tîrthyas saw the building of Bhagavat which wastheprey toflame Si and at this sight theysaid to Prasênadjit, the king of Kôçala: The building where Bhagavat must dohismiracles, O great king, is all around the prey offlames; will therefore turn it off. But the fire before that water would have moved, diedof himself without having burned the building; and this took place in the own power of the Buddha and by the divine power of the Devas. By this time the king Prasê-nadjit said to the Tîrtlîias: Bhagavat is comingto operate, to the help of his power supernatants Page 64

158INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYturelle, a miracle superior to what man can do ;operate-in therefore aalso in your turn. But the Tîrthyas replied :Great king, hethere is a crowd hereimmense number of people; how will you know if the miracle is operated by us orby the śraman.aGâutama?" Then Bhagavat made appeara bright light like gold, which fillsthe whole world of noble splendor. Prasênadjit, the king of Kôçala, lives the universewhole illuminated by this noble splendor, and at this sight hesaid even a timeto the Tîrthyas: Bhagavat just operated, to the help of his power supernatural, a midsqueegee higher than thatman can do ;so also operate one in your turn. But the Tîrthyas replied: Great king, heyhere has an immense crowd of people; how will you know if the miracle is operated byus or by the Cramana Gàutama?"Gandaka, the servant of the hermitage, having broughtof the named continentUtlarakuru one footdeKarnikâra (i), came the placein front of the oif Bha-buildinggavat had toto dohismiracles. Ratnaka, theservantofthe hermitage, having brought the Gandhamadana a foot of Aśoka (2), came to the place behind the buildingwhere Bhagavat was to perform his miracles. So Prasênadjit, theking of Kôcala, spokeso to Tîrthyas: Bhagavat has just operated to help of his supernatural powertural, a greater miracle that that man can do ;operate-in therefore atooin your turn. But the tīrthyas he gave the same answer that hehadalready given." Then Bhagavat put his two feet on theland with intention; and immediately took place a great earthquake of land. This great thousand of the threethousand worlds (3), this great land was shaken in six different ways :she stirred and trembled, she fidgeted and shaken, she leaped and jumped. Theparteasternstooped down, andthe western woman rose up; the twelve o'clock islifted, the Northstooped; then the opposite movement took place. The center is raised, the extré-moths sank ;the center is lowered, the ends are lifted. The sun andthe moonshone, shone, lighted up. Fromvarious appearances and wonderThe girls were seen. The Divinities of the atmosphere spread over Bhagavat from(1) Pterospermum acerifolium. This is again a miraculous journey, as well as that of Rat-naka. We know that Utlarakiiru isa four Dvîpas or continents shaped islands thatrecognize the Buddhists; Ultarakuru is to the north. The Baddhistes have certainemeut em-borrowed from the mythical geography of the Brahmans. (Lassen, Ind. Aller., T. I, p. 511.)(2) Jonesia Asoka. The Gandhamadana is a mountain located south of Meru, or even aof the septchaîiies of Bhàrata varcha. We can see at the Table of Vichiju puràija Wilson 'svarious applications of this ethnic. It's still a loan that the Buddhists have made to Brahmins.(6) See, on this expression and on the system of the world among the Buddhists, the scholarsclarification from Mr Schmidt. (Mem. Of the Academy of Sciences of St. Petersburg, t. II,p. 53 ff) and the Special Report by Mr. A. Rémusat. {Journ. des Savants, year 1831, p. 670 sqq.) Page 65

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .159divine lotus, blue, red, white, and that the powders of Aguru (i), Sandalwood, of Tagara (2) of sheets of tamala (3), and of flowers divine of Mandarava (4). They made the heavenly instruments resound, and caused a rain of clothes to fall." Then the Richis made this reflection: Why has held this large tremorsment of earth? This idea occurred to themthe mind: Without doubt thosewho follow thesame religious rule that we will have provoked today by the Cramana Gâu-tama to douse of its supernatural power. Convinced of this, the five Hundred Richis set off for Çrâvastî. When they are put in the way, Bhagavatblessed the way of how they acheverent the same time thetravel (5). Theysaw from afar Bhagavat adorned with thirty-twocharacteristic signs of a greatman, who looked like the law clothed in a body; in the fire of the sacrifice that wewould have sprinkled with butter; to the wick of a lamp placed in a golden vase; to a mountain of gold that would have walked ;to a pillar of gold that would be enhanced withvarious gems; they saw, in a word, the Blessed Buddha, including the large and High intefligence, pure and without blemish, is manifested in the outside; andhaving seen it, they were filled with joy. In eflect, the possession of the peaced not talk to the man who practices the Yoga sincetwelve years a happiness tooperfect; the possession of a child does not givenot that muchto him who has no son; the viewof a treasure does not provide as much to a poor person, the anointingroyal does not given not as much to the who desires the throne, that does provide the first sight from a Buddha to being at that the Buddhas past have donegrowfromroots of virtue. While these rsis be surrendered to the place where is was Bhagavat; and when they were there arrived, having welcomed by the touching of the head the feetofBhagavat, theyis held to side; and from the site where theyis standing upright, they himspoke thus: May we, Lord, under the disciplined of thelawgoodfame, embrace the life rengieuse and receive the investiture and therank of Religious! May we, having become beggars in the presence of Bhagavat, fulfill the duties of the old religious! Bhagavat then said to them, of his voicewhohas the sound of that of Brahmâ : Approach, O Religious, accomplish theduties of the religious life ! And atscarcely had he uttered these words, when theysefound them shaved, covered with religious clothing, carrying in their hands the vase which is Ends beak of a bird, havinga beard and a hair ofseven days, and(1) Aquilaria agallocha.(2)Tabernœmontana coronaria.(3)Xanthocymus pictorius.(4) Enjlhrina fulgens? It is the Mandara to which this name is given .(5) I do 'm not sure I grasped the meaning of this phrase : Ekâyanô mârgô'dhichthital) ..Should we translate more simply: € Bhagavat blessed the wheel on which theyall were advancing < together? "

leOINTRODUCTION TO HISTORY with a decent interior of Religious who would have received the investiture for a hundredyears. Come closer, they said [again] the Tathàgata; and shaved, the body covered withreligious clothing, feeling the truths carry thecalm in all theirsense, they sekept standing then sat downwith the permission of the Buddha (1).€ Then Bhagavat, honored, respected, revered, adored with such homagethat inmake the men and gods; surrounded by venerable figureslike him; followed by seven kinds of troops and a large crowd of people; Bhagavat, I say, is returned to the place where is found the buildinghigh for him; andwhen hethere was come, hesat in front of the Assembly of Religious, on the seatwhich it was intended. As soon as the body deBhagavat is échappèrentdes rayswholit all of the buildinga color light golden.In thismoment Lûha sudatla, the master of the house, having risen from his seat, havingrejectedon one shoulder hisupper garment and placed on the ground his right knee ,pointed his hands together in sign to Bhagavatofrespect, and spoke to him thus: That Bhagavatmoderates his ardor; I will fightwith the Tîrthyas in artto operate, using apower supernatural miracles superior to thatman can do; Iconfound the tīrthyas by the law; I will satisfy the Devasand men; I will fill with joythe hearts and souls of good people. -It 's not yournaster of the house, who hassummerprovoked by them to dofrommiracles, but well mewho have been. It's me who have, to the help of my powersupernatural, work superior miraclesto what that mancan do. Hebornwould n't besuitable that the Tîrtliyas could say: The Çramana Gâutama hasnot to operate miracles superior to what man can do, the powerfulciency supernatural that has one of its Auditors, a householder, whodoora white garment (2). Go sit down, master of the house, on your seat îLuha Sudatta be sat in effect on itsseat. The prayer he hadexpressed onwas also by Kala, the brother of the king ; by Rambhaka, the servant of the hermit(1) I do 'm not sure to hear this passage, which is located a denial that was not in the Version Tibetan, such as the give a passage history of Purna, which will be translated below: Naiva sthitd Buddhamanôrathèna, which seems to mean: "They only remained not standing"in accordance with the desire of the Buddha. "The release Tibetanis expressed as follows: Sangs-rgyas-dgungs-pas lus-gzugs-bkab-par-g> jur, \ e which seems to mean: "With the permission of the Buddha, they"covered their bodies. "(2) It is there an expression while in fact characteristic, and who do may well understand that if one is reminded that the Ueligieux Buddhists had to wear a garment dyed in yellow atmeans of an ocher earth. This detail is exhibited with great interest in the famous dramaof Mrttchtchjiakan. (Act. VIII, p. 213 and 216, ed. Cale.) The text designates the color in questionby the word kachdya, "yellow brown, "the same qu'emploient our Buddhist legends. We seeby our sutra that the white was the color of the clothing of people lay, compared to thedes Rehgieux, which was yellow; and this transition throws the light on a story of the history Sinhalese, according to which a king who wants to degrade the Religious' guilty the remains of their coat Page 67

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .161floor; by the mother of Riddhila (1), devole who served a Çramanâ; by Tchunda, the servant of a Çramana, and by the Nun Utpalavarnà (2)."Then the respectable MahâMâudgalyâyana (3)having risen from his seat, having rejected on ashoulders his upper garment, and resting his knee on the groundstraight, directed towards Bhagavat his hands united insign of respect, and spoke to himthus: May Bhagavat moderate his ardor; I will fight with the Tîrthyas in artto work, by means of a

supernatural power, miracles superior to thatthat mancan do; I will confound the Tîrthyas by the law; I will satisfy themDevas and men; I will fill the hearts and souls of the people ofyellow and their orders to be vêlir fabrics white. (Turnour, Journ. Asiat. Soc. Of Bengal,t.Vf, p. 737. Upham, The Mahâvansa, etc.,t.II,p. 91.) MG de Humboldt had already donethesame remark on the occasion of some monuments of Javanese Buddhism; and he had very-judiciously conjectured that thewhite was to be the color of the laity, as opposed to theyellow color, which is that of the Religious. {Ueber die Kawi-Sprache, t.1,p. 250.)(1) I have not come across this name elsewhere; my two manuscripts are soat fault, that I would betempted to suppose that RMdhila is a vicious spelling of Mhula, the son of Yaçôdharâ. Weknows in fact that this fat one of the first women who embraced the religious life. {Asian girl.Res., T.XX, p. 308, note 21.) However, I did not think it necessary to change the text.(2)This name means: "The one that has the color of the blue lotus. "It is probably the same as the Nun of whom Fa hian speaks, and whom he calls Yeou pho lo. MA Rémusat was well recognized in this transcription the Sanskrit vtpala. {Foe koue ki, p. 124 and 131.) The recently published collectionment by M. Schmidt contains a curious legend about this Religious. (Der Weise und der Thor, p. 206 ff.) H would seem, from a note by Csoma {Asiat. Res., T.XX, p. 308, note 21),qu'Utpalavarna before being Nun, was the third wife of Śākyamuni. But ina different location of the Life Śākya Csoma designates his third wife with a name Tibetanwhich means: "Born of the gazelle. » {Ibid., P. 290.) The Singhalese also know this Religious and Dipavamsa in quotes two of this name among the women ^ which were converted thefirst to Buddhism. (Turnour, Journ. Asiat. Soc. Of Beng., T.VII, p. 933.) One of these twowomen may have been Çàkya's wife, when he had not yet left the world.(3) This Religious is, with Càriputtra, the first of Càkyamuni's disciples. I write his nameMâudgalymjana, contrary to the authority of the Tibetan version of Saddharma puntlarîka, which read this word with an nga instead of a dga, and also contrary to the opinion of Csoma, who believes, I do not know on what basis, that this term means the Mongolian, thus making up the existence of this name of people until the sixth century at least before our era. {Asian girl. Researches, t.XX,p. 49.) Lassen has already sufficiently done justice to this singular hypothesis. {Zeilschrift fur die Kunde des Morgenland., T. III, p. 158.) The authority of the Tibetan version of Saddharma and the opi-nion Csoma of CoriJs sontdéjà contradicted by this one fact, that in the Tibetan version of Vinaya vastu, I find this proper name written in Tibetan from theas follows: Mohu-dgal-gyidrank, "the sonfrom Mohudgal, "that that is a transcript sufficiently accurate to Mudhala. {Hdul-va, t.kha or ii, f.64; t.da or xr,f.55.) I met a spelling still meil-theirs in the Tibetan legends published by M. Schmidt: Mdudgal-ya-na. {Der Weise und derThor, text, p. 92.) Let us add that the spelling of text faded supports one that I prefer. InIndeed, the name faded from Jlfo ^^ I / ana result of the contraction of DGA in gga. If the original had had nga, the Pali would have had nothing to change, and he would have written Mongalldna. It is however fair to say that the DGA confusion and to nga is extremely easy, as for the pronunciation only for writingture. B. Hamilton has already noticed that the current name of the town of Monghir, which he transcribes, from the natives, Mungger, is written Mudga giri in an ancient inscription found at the scene.11

Page 68

j62INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYgood. - You are able, O Maudgalyayana, to confuse the tīrthyas by thelaw ;but it 's not you whohave been provoked by themto work

miracles, it is myself who havesummer. It is I who must, by means of my powersupernatural, to work miracles superior to what man can do, and this in the interest of creatures; it's me who owesconfuse the Tîrthyas, meet the devas and the men, filled with joythe hearts and souls of People of good. Go Maudgalyayana, you sit on your seat !And MahâMâudga-lyâyana wenttheresit down indeed." Then Rhagavat addressed himself to the king of Kôçala, Prasênadjit, and said to him: whorequest, O great king, that the Tathagata operates miracles superior to thatcan man do, and this in the interest of creatures? Immediately Prasênadjit, the king of Kośala, he rose from his seat, having rejected on ashoulder hisupper garment, and resting his right knee on the ground, directed towards Rhagavat hishands united in signof respect, and he spoke thus: It is I, lord, who prays Rhagavat to work, by means of his supernatural power, miracleshigher than what that man can do; Rhagavat that operates wonders in the interest of creatures; he confused the Tîrthyas; hesatisfythe Devasand the men; that he fills withjoy themhearts and souls of people well!" So Rhagavat entered into a meditation such, as soon as his mind to itwas delivered, it disappeared from the place where he sitting, and that rushing is in the air of side of the west, itthere appeared in the four attitudes of the decency that is âto saythat he walked, that he stood, that he sat down, that heto lay down. Hereachedthen the region of the light; and henot therewas no sooner reunited than glimmers various escaped from hisbody, blue, yellow, red, white glow{History, Antiquities, etc., of Eastern India, t.II, p. 45.) Our Religious is the same sage as the Chinese name Mou kian lian, following the spelling of Mr. A. Rémusat. (Foe koue ki, p. 32.) Hepass for having been one of the disciples of Śākya who had acquired the more high strength surnatu-real. {Sumàgadhd avad., F.6 a.) The great geographer Ritter in has donea craftsman, and was appointed" The Maze of the high antiquity Indian; "I do and not believe that this reconciliation isserious. {Erdkunde, t.V, p. 821.) Klaproth is mistaken like Csoma, when headvance that the Sanskrit form of this proper name is Manggalyam; but hehas the merit of approaching, with the Chinese aid, of the true meaning of the name that was the Brahmin, author of therace which was from Maudgalyayana. {Foe koue ki, p. 68, notes a.) It patronymic derived in effect A & Mudgala, where Von recognizes mudga, the phaseolus Mungo; hereagain, in this popular name, nga replaces the Sanskrit dgâ. The Harivainça quotes a Mudgala, sonof Viçvâmitra, who may have been thehead of the familleà which belonged Maudgalyayana (Langlois, Harivamm, 1. 1, p. 123 and U8); and in the list of the twenty-four Gôtras, or Brahmanic families, which gives the great Diction-naire of Ràdhâ kant deb, we find thename of Màiidgaltja, that is to say "the descendant of "Mudgala. » {Cabda kalpa drnma, t.I,p. 813 and 814.) When the legends speak of this person-swimming, they are always precede the name of the epithet honor of Maha, "great; > butwhen it is Sakyamuni that it address the word, it will actually ever use that title. I noticed the same distinction in what key the name of Kassapa. Page 69

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .163tasks, and others having the bend "beautiful skin tones of the crystal. Hefitappearinbesides numerous miracles; of the partieinférieure her body gushed fromflames, and of theupper escaped a water raincold. What hehadmade in the West, itthe opera also at noon; hethe repeatedin the four pointsof space; and when, by these four miracles, hehad testified to his powersupernatural itcame back to sit on hisseat; and as soon as he was seated there he addressedthus to Prasênadjit, the king of Kôçala: This supernatural power, O great king, is common to all the listeners

ofTathâgata." A second time again, Bhagavat thus addressed himself toPrasênadjit, the Kingof the Kôçala: Who asks, O great king, that the Tathāgata operate, the medium of the power supernatural that heis clean, miracleshigher than what that man can do, and that in the interest of creatures? Then the king Prasê-nadjithaving risen from his seat [etc. as above, up to : spoke to him thus : It is I, Lord, who make the Bhagavat operate, by means of the powersupernatural that it is clean, miracles superior to what manmaydo, and it is in the best interests of the creatures. That Bhagavatconfuse the Tîrthyas; he would satisfy the Devas and the men; he fills with joy thehearts and the souls of people well!" At this moment Bhagavat conceived athoughtsocialite. But it is arule that when the blessed Buddhas conceive of a worldly thought, allbeings, to the ants and the other insects are familiar with theirspiritthe thought of the Blessed; but when they conceive a higher thoughttoworld, this thought © is inaccessible toPratyêkaBuddhas themselves, atmorefor good reason it is in the Crâvakas. Now Cakra, Brahmâ and the other Gods madethen this reflection: In what intention Bhagavat he hasconceived a thoughtsocialite? And immediately this idea occurred to themthe mind: It is because he wants to operate of great miracles in Çrâvastî, in the interest of creatures. So Çakra, Brahmâ andthe other Gods, as well as several hundred of thousand of Gods, knowing with their minds the thought of Bhagavat, disappeared from the world of the Devas withas much ease as it would puta man strong in étendreson closed arms, or toclose his arms extended, and came to be placed in front of the Bhagavat. There having donethree times the turn of the Tathagata, in the letting to their right, Brahma and otherGods saluted his feet by the touching of the head; and going to move to hisright, theytheresat down. Cakra andother Gods, after himhave witnessed thesame respects, wentseplace to his left and theresat down. The twokings of Nâgas, Nanda and Upananda, created a lotus with a thousand leaves, of the size of thewheel of a chariot, entirely of gold, whose stem was ofdiamond, and came to the show to Bhagavat. And Bhagavat sat downon theperi-Page 70

iUINTRODUCTION TO HISTORY carp of the lotus, the legs crossed, the body right, and replacing the memoryin front of his mind. Above this lotus hein created a another; and on this lotusRhagavat also appeared to be seated. And the same in front of him, behind him, aroundhim, appeared the masses of blessed Ruddhas, created by him, which amounting to the sky of the Akanichthas (1), formed an assembly of Ruddhas, allcreated by the Happy Nothing. Some of these Ruddhasmagic walked, others are standing upright; the former were seated, the latter lying; somereached the region of the light, and producing miraculous appearances of flames, of light, of rain and lightning; several were asking questions, others answered and repeated these two stanzas: "Begin, get out [of the house], apply yourself to the law of the Ruddha; aneanweave the army of the dead, as aelephant knocks down a hut of reeds." The one who will walk without distraction under the discipline of this law, escaping to the birth and to the revolution of the world, will put a term to the pain(2)." Rhagavat arranged everythingof suchso that thethe whole world could seewithout veilthis crown of Ruddhas, all the world, since thesky of Aka-nichthas to the little oneschildren; and it was held by the own power of Ruddha and by the divine power of the Devas." At this moment Rhagavat addressed himself thus to the Religious :Be aware of itconquered, O monks, the miracle of this mass of Ruddhas which amounted regularlarly theone above the other will disappearin an instant. And inIndeed, the Ruddhas immediately disappeared. After

having thus testified to his powersupernatural session, it found himself on theseathepreviously occupied, and immediately hepronounced the following stanzas:" The insect is shining so that nodoes not appear the sun; but as soon as the sun israised, the insect is confused by its rays and no longer shines (3).(1) This is the name of the eighth of the superimposed heavens of the fourth contemplation. This word, which should read like this, and not Aglianichta, as we havewritten according to the PentagloUe Vocabulaire, literally means "one that does not the more small," that is to say "the greatest. » {Foe koueU, p. 146. Schraidt, Mem. of Acad. of Sciences of St. Petersburg, t. I, p. 103.)(2) These two maxims are famous in all the schools Buddhist, and we the retrou-let's see word for word in that of the South among the Singhalese; I will then seek to establish that it "have been primitivement conçues in Pali, and of the retranslated into Sanskrit. Csoma in has given the La-duction after a Tibetan version, which differs from themine for the second line: Dhunîtamrityunah sâinyam nadâgâram iya kundjarah. "Defeat of the army of the master of the dead (the "passions), which resemble to an elephant in the remains of mud (the body). "Or well: "Conquer your passions, like an elephant tramples everything under its feet in a muddy lake . » Inot think not that the original Sanskrit to lend to any of these two translations, and I think evenwe could find one that I proposed in the release Tibetan. (Csoma, Asiat. Res.,t. XX, p. 79.)(3) My two manuscripts are very faulty here; I looked for the meaning the most likely. Page 71

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .165€ From even these sophists spoke, during which the Tathàgatanot saying anything; but nowthan the perfect buddha Tospoken on sophistnot said anythingin theworld, and his listener is silent like him."NextPrasenadjit, the king of Kôçala, thus spoke toTîrlhyas:Bhagavathas just performed, by means of his supernatural power, superior miraclesthis that the mancan do ; operate also your turn. But the Tirthyaskept the silent at these words, not thinking to leave. Two times the king Prasênadjitthey held the same language. Thenthe tīrthyas is pushing the some theothers, said to each other: Get up, it's up to you to get up; but none of themdid not get up. "But in this time is found in this Pantchika assembly(1), the big onegeneral of Yakchas. This reflection himcame to the mind: Here are impostors who will torment a long time again Bhagavat and the Assembly of Religious. Fullof this idea, hearoused agreat storm accompanied by wind and ofrain, which madedisappear the building intended for the Tîrthyas. These, affected by the storm and bytherainbegan to escape in all the directions. Several hundreds of thousand of living beings, driven by the rain violent, is rendered in place where if Trouvillesaw Bhagavat; and when they there were arrived, having saluted his feet in the touching with their heads, they sat down to the side. CornBhagavat disposes of all thingsit does fell not even a drop of water on this meeting. So these Many hundreds of thousands of beings living did hear these words of praise: Ah Buddha! ah laLaw! ah the Assembly !ah what the lawis goodfame !And Pântchika, the general of the Yakchas, said to Tirthyas: And you, impostors, take refuges owith Bhagavat, with the Law, with the Assembly of Rehgieux! But they cried out as they fled: We aretake refugein the mountains; we seek an asylum with Desarbres, the walls andhermitages." Then Bhagavat pronounced, on this occasion, the following stanzas:" Many men, driven out by thefear, seek an asyluminin the mountains and in the woods, in thehermitages. andwithtrees con-Holy." But it's not the best of asylums; this is not the best refuge, and this is pasdans this asylum that is déUvré of all the pain."The one, on the contrary,

whoseeks a refuge to the Buddha, of the Law and of the Assembly, when heseeswiththewisdom themfour truthssublime,(1)See. the additions at the end of the volume.

## Page 72

166INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY"Who are the pain, the production of the pain, the annihilation of thepain andwalk that leads to it, and the track formed by eightparts, waysublime, salutary, whichleads to Nirvana," This one knows the best of asylums, thebest refuge; fromthat there is upstart, heis issued to all the pain." Then Pûrana made the following reflection: The Cramana Gâutama will take me awaymy Auditors. Full of this idea, hefled in saying: I will expose youthe substance of the law, and itis startedrepeatthoseproposalsheterodox: The worldis perishable; heis eternal; heis perishable at thetimesandeternal; heis neitherperishableneither eternal; the soul is the body; Another thing is the soul, the other thing is the body. Such were, for thesay in a word, the hetero- proposalsdoxes which he communicated [to his disciples]. So one began to say: The worldis perishable. A second resumed: Heis eternal and perishable; the soul is thebody; Another thing is the soul, the other thing is the body. So that deliveredthe discussions, the quarrels, divided opinions, they are set to play betweenthem. Pûrana himself was afraid, and hetookthe escape. At the time when hewas going away ,hewas met byaeunuch, whoin the light recited this stanza: (ToWhere do you come from, thehands and pendulous, similar to a ramBlack which we would have broken the horn? Ignoring the law promulgated by the Djina, readpitches as the ass of Kola(Kalinga?). Pûrana answered him: The moment ofdeparture has comeforme; my body has no morestrength or vigor. I have knownthe beings; they have insharing thepleasure andthesadness. The science of the Arhats is[single]in this world, without veils; I'm ingooddistant. Darkness is pro-founds ;one which the dissipated falls in thedesire(I). Tell me then, to be vile, or is located the pond with water cold? The eunuch resumed in its turn: Here, O thelast of Cramanas, the cold pond, which is filledwater and covered with lotus; Is it that you do the see not, wicked man? You, you are neither a man, nor awoman, resumed Pûrana; you have neither beard nor udders; your voice is choppylike that of ayoung Tchakravâka; also youdo we call Vâtahata (beatenby the wind) (2).(iThen thebeggar Pûrana, having tied a full jar to his neckof(1) This last sentence is certainly altered; I myself am attached to the translating all in factliterally. This affects fortunately pa" the general meaning of the words that say Pūran.aat the time when it is decided to leave the life. I think that the pond to water cooler is a formerpressure similar to that of the forest cold, as we see still cited in the legends, whenthere is talk of carrying a dead person to the cemetery. This pond is the one in which Pûrana wants to drown, project that it is indeed running .(2) I admit that I do not understand this allusion; the word Vâtahata Isan epithet from Tcha-kravâka, name of VAnas casarca? Page 73

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .167sand, rushed into the cold lake and there found the death. However the othersbeggars, which it sought, having rencontrédans thea courtesan path ,he addressed this issue :Woman, have n't you seen, coming this way,a certaintain Pūran.a wearing of the belt of the lawand carrying a vase, according to the custom ofthosewhich is held in the cemeteries ?The courtesananswered :Sentencedto the abode of torments, condemned to Hell, your Pūrana, which runs its handsdangling, perishwith his feet and his white hands(1). Woman, said thebeggars don'tdo not speakso ;this is not

well said to you :hefulfill the lawthe loner who is dressed in the belt of the law. How can he be wise, resumed the courtesan, the one who, bearing the signs of virility, to walk naked in the villages, of eyes of people? Whoever follows the law covers the front of his body of a garment; [if] the roidoit him cut the ear with thesword (2). this Then the beggars are headed for the pond to water cooler; and there theysaw PûranaKâcyapa dead and having had its day. They took it out, and having its quarein a different place, they went away."However Bhagavat produceda magical figure of Buddha, who worethethirty-two characteristic signs of a great man, who was shaved and covered with religious clothing. Now it is a rule that the blessed Buddhasdiscuss with the figure magic they have created. But if it is a Crâvaka whoproducta magical figure, this figure speaks when the Crâvaka speaks, and it is silent when he is silent. When only one speaks, all the magical figures createdby him speakat the same time. When a single guard the silence, all the guardalso. Bhagavat on the contrary makes aquestion to his magic figure, and this figure gives the solution; because this is arule for the Venetian Tathāgatasrable, perfectly and completely Buddhas." When this great crowd of people had been thus favorablywilling, Bhagavat, who knew the mind, the provisions, the nature and the nature of all those who surrounded him, they made a show of law proper to them topenetrate the four sublime truths, so that among these many hundredtain of thousands of living beings, each received eagerly andunderstood therefuge formulas and teaching precepts (3), the others saw faceface to face the reward of the state of Crôta âpatti, thatof the Agamin Sakrïd and that(1) Is here an allusion to the pallor of those members in the dead?(2) Pûrana was therefore a naked beggar, and the words "clothed with the belt of thelaw" are amystical expression indicating her nakedness.(3) There is a here four words that I have omitted, because they break obviously the sentence, which they pa-raissent have introduced as a gloss in a text; here they are: Mûrdhânah kchdntayôlâukikâ agradharmâh. Page 74

168INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY of the Anagamins. Somebeing entered in the religious life, obtained the stateof Arhat, by annihilation of all the corruptions; others did croUrethe seeds that were toone day to produce the Intelligence of the Crâvakas, or that of the PratyêkaRuddhas. Finally, this meeting all entièrefut absorbedin theRuddha, diving into the Law, drawn into the xvth Assembly. When Rhagavat was so disposed this meeting of men, hegot up from hisseat andis retired." They are happy themen who in theworld, seeking a refugewithof the Ruddha; theywill succeed to Nirvana, for the price of the respect theyhim have testified."Those who will return,do were it only a few honors inDjina (1), chiefof men, after having lived in the various heavens, will get the stay imdeadly (2). ))The success of Sakya excited however the jealousy of his opponents, andone finds in the Divya avadàna more than a trace of feelings of joywith which the Rrâhmans welcomed hopeof thesee defeated. Alegend already mentioned provide mean example of which I havemademore top hint, but only in passing. Càkya had predicted toa merchant that he would have ason who devaitse to Religious Buddhist. A Rrâhmane, whom the merchant knowsSult, interpret this prediction in an insidious way; and the trader, scratchedof the future, wants to abort his wife, who dies as a result of his attempts. When the Rrâhmans of Râdjagrïha learn that this woman is dead, they are spread through the city and go into the streets and on the squares, recalling the prediction made by Càkya, accusing him of lying andhelplessnesssession, and thus ending their speeches :vsNow, there you gothiswomen" dead: that's one the transportsat the cemetery of the cold forest;

the one who" Does not even have the root of the tree, how could hehave branches,"the leaves and the flowers ?(3)"This does not prevent the Ruddha from savingthe child as the mothercarried in its bosom; but for us tosuch de-(1) See . additions to the fm the volume.(2) Prâtihârya sûtra , in Divya avad., F. 69 b sqq. man. Soc. Asian., F. 88 a sqq. from my man.Bkah-hgyur, sect. Hdul-va, vol. da or xi, p. 230 sqq. Csoma, Asian. Res., T. XX, p. 90. The versionTibetan differs notably from the Sanskrit text ,Ei for more copy of Kah-gyur that thecontained here is very badly printed and almost illegible. This difficulty, joined to the fact that the TibetanI was less familiar than the Sanskrit me deprived of the use of Celtic release. The end of this pieceis obviously altered in our two manuscripts; in addition, it contains references to theideas that do not reappear elsewhere: it is, of all the sūtras, the most difficult that I still havemeet.(3) Djyôtichlca, in Divya avad., F. 131 o. Page 75

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .169tails are approof of the kind of hostility with whichtheBrahmans and ingeneral the Beligieux of the other sects welcomed the pretensions of Çâkyamuni. At this useful texteje think to add an another that shows ushow far wentsometimes the resentment of the Brahmans against the lonely of the Sâkya race." When," says the Legend Mêndhaka, Bhagavat had made greatmiracles in the city of Çrâvastî, the Devas and the men werefilled withjoy, the hearts of good people were filled with satisfaction. So the Shoot-thyas, of which the power was broken, seretirerent in the regions neighboring the [central India]; some are rendered in the city of Bhadramkara (1) andthereestablished. There these Beligious learned that the Cramana Gautama was headingtowards the city; and troubled by this news, they are saying the each other: Wehave been driven out once the Madhyadêça by the Çramana Gautama; if hecomenow here hewe in chase certainly same; let's look for away to avoid this misfortune. Beingrendered in theroomwhere thepeople goask for help, they themselves began to shout: Justice !justice !Immediately the people is said :What is that it ? Let's see what is happening; and hesaid to the Tîrthyas: Why these cries? We see you perfectly happy, and welet's not seenot what misfortune you can complain about. Lords, replied the Tîr-thyas, heisof a misfortunewhowill swoop down on us. The Cramana Gautamawalks, striking the edge of the lightning, and depriving the fathersof their children, and the wives of their husbands. Now, lords, if he comes here, he will have towe were leaving the place at the moment even where ittherewill establish. Bestez, cried thepeople, you must not go away. But the Tîrthyas replied : No, we do not stay, because what you do not want us to hear. Speak, said the people, we will listen. Hemust, then said the Tirthyas, that afterhaving brought out all the people from the country of Bhadramkara, we abandon the city, we pass the plow over the pastures, we overturn themfield limits, we cut themtrees and flowers to fruit, and we poison themfountains. Sei-gneurs, cried the people, stay, we will carry out all thatyou order. The Tirthyas seretirerent, and soon we hadtake out all the peopleofcountry of(i) I have so far not found anything in the legends which indicates in which part of Indiayou have to look for this city; it's probably north or west of Kôçala. I do not knowpositively what is the old form of the current name of Bahrain, which is that of a districtor a town north of Aoude and east of the Devha (Dvivâha) river; maybe she is not anotherthan Bhadramkara or Bhadrakara, of which Bahraitch may well be a provincial alteration. Atremains, the name of Bhadrakara is already known in thegeographical nomenclature of India; Wilford has itextract a list of names of people who madepart of Brahmâi.uia puràna. TheBhadrakàras there are included the number of

the tribes inhabiting the Madhyadêça or India plant. {Asian girl. Res., T. VIII, p. 336, ed. Hold.)

Page 76

i70INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYBhadramkara; thetown was abandoned; the plow was dragged over thepastryrages, the terminals of the field reversed, the tree flowers and to fruitscut, and poisoned waters." In this moment Indra, the Indra of the devas, wasthis reflection: Henewould benotsuitable for me to souflrir that we do should render not theduties of the hospitaltality to Bhagavat, to the one who during three Asamkhyêyas ofkalpashas been able, inthrough a hundred thousand difficult works, fulfill the duties of the six perfections, and which is managed by the science supreme. Bhagavat, which is superior to all theworlds, which is universally winner will therefore well go a con-very deserted !Why do n't I deploymy zeal, so that Bhagavat, accom-wrapped in the assembly of his disciples, experience the touch of happiness? Right awayhegives the son of devas, masters winds, the order that follows: Go to the countrywhere is located the city of Bhadramkara, anddry them outwaterspoisonsounded. Hethen give the sonsof the Devas, masters of the rain, the following orderbefore: Fill the springs with life-giving water. He said to the Devaswhich formthe following of the four great kings [of heaven]: Go and settle in the countryside of Bhadramkara. And immediately the sons of the Devas, masters of the winds, witheredthe poisoned water; the sons Devas, masters of the rain, filled withinvigorating waterthehollow, the fountains, thewell, the ponds and the lakes. The Devas who form the suite of the four great kings [of heaven]settled in all the country where the city of Bhadramkara is located, and the countryside becamerich and flourishing. However, the Tîrlhyas, united with the inhabitants of thecity, sent spies into the country: Come on, they said to them, and see what is the state of the countryside. Arrived close to Bhadramkara, the spies saw the cam-extraordinarily flourishing loincloths; and on their return they told the Tirthyas: Lords, we havenever seen them campaigns as rich, not so floristhealth. The Tirthyas direntalors to the people: Lords, he who changes like thisfor you the objectsmaterial change much as your provisions.- For-what that ? -Be completely devoted to us, or else you willsee forthe last time, we are leaving, thepeople they answered Stay, lords; thanyou madeso the Cramana Gâutama? It is a Religious beggar, and youare also Religious who live on alms. Does hewill kidnap youthealms which you sontdestinées? The Tîrthyas replied: We will stay atcondition that it will be agreed that no one will see the Cramana Gâutama, andas one who is rendraauprès of śraman.a be sentenced to a fine ofsixtyKârchâpanas (4), thepeople consented to it andaccepted the convention. (1) It is possible, from the observations made in the rejected note to Appendix No. III, assess

Page 77

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .171Some time after, Bhagavat having crossed the country, came into the cityof Bhadramkara, and hethereestablished in the pavilion of the south." Now hethere was at that time in Bhadramkara the daughter of a Brahmin fromKapilavastu, which was married to a man ofcountry. From the top of the enclosure[who surroundedthecity],she saw Bhagavat in the dark; and she didthisreflection: The here this blessed joy of the family ofÇâkyas, who, afterhaving abandoned his house ^ andthe royalty is, entered in the lifereligious; thehere today in the darkness! If hethere was a ladder here, I would take alamp and iwould go down. At this moment Bhagavat, knowing the thought thatstood in the mind of this woman, created

miraculously a scale. Aus-so earlythewomen, satisfied, joyful, delighted, having takena lamp and being down by the ladder, went to the place where is was Bhagavat. When she therehad arrived, having placed his lamp in front of Bhagavat and havinggreeted his feetinthe touching of the head, she satto hear thelaw. So Bhagavat, con-born what were the spirit, the provisions, the nature and natural of this woman, him fit the exhibition of thelaw own to make penetrate thefourtruths sublime, of such kind that it is felt of the faithin the formula bywhich is seeking a refuge to the Buddha. Bhagavat then added: Go, young woman, make yourself at the place where remains Mêndhaka, the master house; and when thou shalt find, announces him that you come to my hand, andpostponehim these words: Master house, it is to your intention that Icome here; and you, you firm the door of your home !Is it appropriate to receive a hostlike you do? And if he answers you: I am held back by the agreement drawn up between thepeopleof the country, you will tell him: Your son' door attachéesur the kidneys a purse whichcontains one hundred gold coins; if hein taking a hundred or a thousandrooms, the scholarship is replacedalways folds ;it never runs out ;and you cannot give sixty Kârchâ-panas to come and see me!" The young woman who responded to Bhagavat it wouldwhat heordered, left immediately and went to the place wheresomeone he had indicated that Mêndhaka remained. When she was in his presence, she told himspoke thus: Bhagavat send metowards you. The merchant replied immediately: I greet the blessed Buddha. Mansion, takes the young woman, here's what thatsaid Bhagavat: It is to your intention that I came here; and you, you firm the door from your house! Is it appropriate to receive a hostlike youdo? Young woman said the householder, thepeople is agreed that nothis amount to about sixty pennies, weighing each 11.375 grams, that is to say to little closeCHF 3 40 cents, with a light fraction in more.

# Page 78

472INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY would not go to see the Cramana Gâutama; the one who will go to him will be condemned to afine of sixty Kârchàpanas. Master of the house, replied the young woman, here's what that says Bhagavat: Your soncarries attached to the loins a purse which contains one hundred coins gold; if it takes a hundred or a thousandrooms, the purse is rewardedfoldalways; it never runs out; and you don't can give sixty Kârchà-panas forcome see me !The master of house is said then to himself :No on knows because Bhagavat only knows everything: I am going to gothesee. Havingtherefore left sixty Kârchàpanas at thedoorfrom his house, hewent down bythe scale that it had indicated the daughter of the Brahman, and he is headed for the placewhere Bhagavat was. When hethere was come, who praised his feetin the alwaysedge of the head, itsat down across from him to hear the law. So Bhagavat, knowing what had in mind, the provisions, the nature and the naturalness of Mêndhaka the master 's house, it was exposure of the proper lawto do pene-trer themfour truthssublime, of suchso that after having heard themaster of the house livesface to face the reward of the statefrom Crôta topatti. When hehad seen the truth, hesaid to Bhagavat: Lord, the bodyofpeople wholive the city of Bhadramkara he will receive the laws as those that I have just hear? Master of the house, replied Bhagavat, the wholeof the people, afterhave gathered in crowds to you, the will. So Mêndhaka themaster ofhouse left Bhagavat, after having saluted his feet by the touching of the head, and went to his home. Having then donestand in the middle of the cityaheap of Kârchàpanas, itrecited this stanza: "That the one who can see the Djina victorious of the passion of thesin, freedof all

bond, incomparable, merciful and pure,run quicklywith a heartconstant and well established; I will give him the necessary money. ((At these words the people cried out: Master of the house, it is therefore a happinessthan the sight of Çramana Gâutama? Yes, a happiness, repliedMêndhaka. Yesit is, said the crowd, thepeople alonehas made an agreement, that the people ofnow break: who can the in prevent? Having thus declared non avenue theconvention, the inhabitants began to leave [thecity]. But as they is pressed the one against the other, they could not go out. So the Yakchabringing the lightning, taking pity on the crowd intended to be converted, cast the thunder and shot down aportion of rampart. Several hundreds of thousand inhabitants then came out, some urged on by a natural eagerness, theother excited by the ancient roots of virtue that were in them. Beingmade from Bhagavat, they saluted his feet and sat down in front of him (i). "(1) Mêndhaka, in Divya avadâna, f. 61 a sqq.

Page 79

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .173thepass that I come to mention the last I naturally leads to talkof the means which Çâkya employed to convert the people to his doctrine. Thoseways were the preaching, and, according to the legends, the miracles. Let us for a moment of side the miracles that does not equal better than those that he opposed the Brahmins. But the preaching is a means everything to factworthy of attention, and which, if I am not mistaken, wasunheard of in India before thecoming from Câkya. I have already insisted, in the first section of this Memoir, onthe difference of Buddhist teaching compared with that of the Brahmans. This difference is entirely in the sermon, which was to effect ofput to the scope of all truths that were previously the sharing of privileged castes. It gives Buddhism a character of simplicity, and, in the report literary mediocrity that the stand of the way the moredepth of Brahmanism. She explains how Çakyamuni was trained toreceive the number of its listeners men that rejected the classesthe highest in society. It gives an account of its successes, that is to say of thefacilitated withwhichto spread his doctrine and is multiplied his disciples. Finally shegives the secret of changes capitals that the spreadofBuddhism was to bring to the Brahmanic constitution, and persecutionsthat the fear of change could fail to draw on the Bud-dhistes, from day when they would have become strong enoughto put in jeopardyapolitical systemmainly based on existence and theperpetuity from castes. These facts are so closely related to each other, it Simply that the first isthat isproduct, so that theothers are, with the time, developed amanneralmost necessary. But the external circumstances have been promotingthis development; spirits have been able to be more or less happilyprepared; the moral state of India, in a word, may have seconded the eagerness of thepeopleto listen to the teachings of Câkya. This is what the Sûlras who we make to assist of first time in the preaching of Buddhism can only uslearn, andit's the subject on which heimportant to stop in this moment our attention. I said earlier that themeans employed by Câkya to convert the People Asa doctrine was also the superiority of his teaching, the brightness of its miracles. The proofs of this assertion are found on every page of Sûtras, and I often seerepeated this species of maxim:" The miracles"operated by asupernatural power attractivery quickly the computer men((naries (1)." In this way meet always the feelings of benevolence(I) Sahasôdgata, daM Divya avadâna, f.156 a....^

Page 80

174INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY and from faith aroused among those who come to listen, or only to see the Buddha, the influence of virtuous actions that they were

completed in theprevious existences. This is one of the favorite themes of legendaries; hethereTonot,indeed say, a single conversion which will be prepared by thekindnessas the auditor of the Buddha himself feels for him and for his doctrine; and Câkya ispleasesto recount at length in front of his disciples the actions they had done in the pastto have deserved to be reborn of his time attending to his preaching and to befeel touched with benevolence in his favor. Thisbenevolence, or for thesay more clearly, this speciesplease, is the big onemobile of conversions the most inexplicable, moreover; it is the link by which Çâkya connects the pre-feels new that his doctrine introducesa pastunknown that heexplains in he interest of his preaching. We understandwithouthardly the action that should be exercised similar means on the mind of a people in whom the beliefto the lawof thetransmigration was also generally accepted. By starting from thisbelief, on which hewas leaning to authorize his mission, Çâkya appearedrather to expose the past than to change the present ;and there is no doubt that he doesget is used to justify the conversions that condemned the prejudices from high castes to which heavned by the birth. But this motive of the grace is primarily religious, and it is for those the legendary have been and without doubt have had exaggerate the job after blow and when the Buddhism wasacquired an importance that hecertainly had not yet at the time ofÇâkya.Some more reasons humans have been likely to act on thespirits, and encourage the spread of a belief that the debut announce only one of these sects which have of all time was sonumerous in India and of whichthe Brahmanism tolerate the existence in the scornful. These patterns are individual Wheregenerals; NSwill bring back some that are borrowed from the sutrasand tolegends from the collection often cited in this research.I spoke above about the sona Brahmin whom his father had wanted, but in vain, to give an education in accordance with his birth, and which had not been able toeven learn to read or to write. This young Brahmin, remarkable thing, is located great to be a Buddhist, and helearn much faster a reli-gious follower of Sakya this as are the ways of virtuous actions, andthat the theory of the origin of the destruction of causessuccessives of existence. Thisteaching is enough to inspire him with the desire to embrace lifenun desireit expresses the formula reported above. The only one precaution that takes the young man is to do not take the suit of Buddhists in the cityeven where heis known as Brahmin; but heasked his master to retire in the country, andit's herehe will deliver Page 81

^OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .475the dual exercisespiritual who must give him the science of the Law, know themeditation and reading(1). A conversion of this kind is perfectly natural.real,and heseems he hasalways been more easyin India to kiss the convenient role and independent of the ascetic than to remain in the company, where the heavy yoke of the caste connects man during all themoments of his life. Also I looked at the confession of the legend as very valuable for the history of early days Buddhism; he has proven to us that the doctrine of Çâkya was become, probably fast enough, a kind of easy devotion who recruited among those who frightened the difficulties of the science brah-pot holder. At the same time that the Buddhism attracted to him the Brahmin ignorant, he greeted with an eagerness equal the poor and the unhappy of all the conditions. The curious legend of Pûrna, which he will be discussed below, in provides an example. Pûrna, son of a merchant and a slave, returned from his seventh trip on sea; he had a massed the wealth immense, and his brotherelder, wanting to establish it, himspeaks thus: "My brother, tell mea" man rich or a merchant that I could ask the girl for you." Pûrna answers him: I do not desire

the happiness of the senses; but if you me"give your permission, I will embrace the religious life. Blur ?resumes"his brother, when we had to the house no means of existence, you have "not thought of kissing herlifereligious; why would you go in today-€today(2)? » HeIt was therefore accepted that the poor and those who had noway of life could beto beggars, andtheBuddhism, for increase the number of its followers, had only to take advantage of this provision from spirits. Here is yet a further proof of this fact. An ascetic of the castebrahmanic, explaining Asa way the prediction that Câkya had made on a child who was not yet born, expresses himself thus: "When Gâutama said it: "The child will embrace the religious life in my lawhehas said true ;because when" Your son will have more or what to eat, or what will clothehewill go nearof" Śraman.a Gautama to be done beggar (3).» This passagedon't remind us Does shovel not the player unhappy with the comedy Indian who, disgusted with the Gameby the bad fortune that it continues, will decide arenoncer the worldforto become a Buddhist Beligious, and who exclaims: "SoI will walk head up onthe main road(4)? " This sort of predestination of pauvresà adoption(1)Tchûda pakcha, in Divija avadâna ^ L 277 a.(2) Pûrna, in Divya avadâna, f.17 6.\*(3) Djyôtichka, ibid., F.id a.(4) Mritch tchhakati, act II, p. 83 the text of Calcutta. Wilson, Hindu Theater, t. I, p. 56.

Page 82

476INTRODUCTION TO HISTOIKE of the new doctrine is represented at every moment in the Sutras and in the legends. One of the Tibetan stories translated into German by Mr. Schmidt, but originally composed on Sanskrit originals, shows us a Godwho aspiresto himselfmake Buddhist religious, and who complains that his conditionhigh it makes it difficultfulfillment of his desires." I want to make myself "Religious, he said, and practice theholy doctrine; but heis difficultem-" Stir the lifereligious, if wereborn in a high raceandillustrates; "this is easy, on the contrary, when one is of a poor and low extrac-. (tion (1)."A great and sudden misfortune is often also, for those who experience it,a pattern deciding to leave the world and of themselves do Religious Buddhist. Whenthe young Kala, brother of Prasenajit, king of Kośala, was mutilated by orderof the king, and that he is miraculously healed by Ananda, hereread in the hermitageofRhagavat and destined to the serve (2). We have a consecrated legendall whole the story of woes Svagata, the sonof a merchant, who, after being dropped to the last degree of the lower and of the misery is con-turns to Ruddhism inpresence from Çakyamuni (3). The ease with which this last admitted to many of his disciples therepulsed menby the first class of the society India was of the share of Rrâhmanes and theother ascetics, one frequent subject of reproaches; andwe see, in thelegendeven who vientd'être cited the tīrthyas to laugh bitterly of Rhagavat, the subject of the conversion Syugata. CornŚākya be happy to answer: "RSamantaprâstMikam me {• àsanam. "My lawis a lawthanks for" All (4); and what is a law of grace for all? It is the law underwhich(1) Der Wehe imd der Thor, p. 40 and -il, trad. German.(2) Prâtihârya, in Divya avad., F. 75 b.(3) Svâgata, Mi., î.SS b.(4) I believe to translate by using the word prasûda because that the idea of grace is the one whoresponds the best to one of the jobs the most ordinary of Sanskrit prasûda and of its derivatives. Thisterm means in general: favor, benevolence, approval; the Tibetans the make ordinaryby a word that wants to say faith. I would not have hesitated to keep this interpretation, if it did not did not leave in the shade the very remarkable meaning which I assign, according to a great number oftexts, to prasada. The Buddhist books have, moreover, to express the idea of faith, a wordclean, that of rraddhd. The term prasdda

seems to me to have a double meaning, according to the subject to which it applies. Absolutely speaking, it means benevolence, favor. Relativelyand seen in the men who come to meet the Buddha, Prasada expresses this feelingof benevolence they feel for him; in this case itseems to me necessary to keep theword of benevolence; CARCE is not yet faith, this in not is that the beginning. Considered in the Buddha, prasada is the favor with which he welcomes those who come to him, and from the recomes that the Buddha is called prdsadika, "gracious, favorable." "The remarkable formula thathas given rise to this note should therefore be translated as:" My lawis favorable, gracious for" All, "which is exactly the direction that gives my release. This special meaning of the word Page 83

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .177" such miserable beggars as Duragata and others make themselves Religious (1)."Lyrics remarkable that the spirit has supported and spread the Buddhism, hestill animated in Ceylon at the beginning of our century, when a Reli-gieux, disgracedby the king for having preached before themiserable caste anddespised ofRhodias, himwould answer, almost as he would have donetheBuddhaÇâkyamuni himself:" The religion should be the very common of all(2)."We can still count among the number of causes who were to bring to Câkyaof many proselytes the despotism of kings and the craintequ'inspiraient theirviolence. The legend of Djyôtichka provides a striking example. Djyôtichkawasa rich character andthat onesupernatural power filled withinexhaustible prosperity. The king Adjâtaçatru madeseveral attempts to be em-adorn his possessions, but none succeeds. These were so many warningsfor Djyôtichka, whoformed soon at the project to be to Religious in the following of the Buddha, a project he carried out by distributing topoor all hisgoods(3). At last, if heyou have to believe thelegends, the greatness of the rewardsÇâkya promised for the future to those whowere embracing his doctrine was thecausepowerful conversion themoremany andthefaster. theprasada is expressed, if I am not mistaken, in a perfectly clear way in the passageNext: "The king, in themselves walking in the garden, livesthe Blessed Prabôdhana, this Buddha"perfectly accomplished, favorable, and made to seek hisfavor, etc. " (Avad. Çat.,f.41 b.) The words of the text are prâsâdikam, prasâdanîyam, to which the two words would respond. Latin propitium, propiliandum, and of which my translation gives only a very weak comment toaside from the beautiful conciseness of the original. I believe that the Tibetan translation mdzes-ching, dgah barmdzad, that is to say "graceful, made to rejoice, " only makes a way imperfect the 'meaningwhich results from the bringing together of the two derivatives of this single term prasada. {Bkah-hgyur, sect.Mdo, vol. ha or xxix, f. 68 b.) Would it not seem that the Tibetan translator derived prasadaniyaae prasâdana, "Action to testify his favor? » But this derivation seems less regular to methan that which derives prasadaniya from the causal form of pra-sad.(1) Svâgata, in Divya avad., F. 89 a. The text plays here on the term of Svågata, "the welcome, \*which is the name of the hero of the legend, in the changing in one of Durâgata ^ " the unwelcome, " namethat it gives frequently in the course of the story, each time that it happens to be sharedhis misfortune to those in the midst of whom hemeets. The term I translate as miserablebeggar, is krôdamallaka; I did not find the other direction for this compound as one of "who"carries a bag in side "of Kroda (flank) and malla, quidans the Sanskrit of Ceylon meansbag, satchel (Clough, Singh. Dict., t. II,p. 524, col. i), or even the Sanskrit mallaka (pot, vase). The meaning of the most ordinary pork (krôila) and luUeur (malla) does not have me provided of translationsatisfactory. In another legend of Avadana

çataka, glue of Bhadrika, this term is writtenkôttamallika (f.216 a), which means maybe beggar of town. The Tibetan the translated byspravg-bo (beggar). This version, without giving us the meaning of krôda, justifies my interpretation. tation. {Mdo, vol. ha or xxix, f. 363 b.)(2) Davy, Account of theinler. of Ceylon, p. 131, and Forbes, Elev. years in Ceylon, t.I, p. 75,note.(3) Djyôtichka, in Divya avad., F.140 b.12 Page 84

178INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY collection titled Avadâna çâtaka, to which I havealready donemore loans, iscomposed exclusively of captions, written aftera modelunique, and of whichthe objectisto promise the dignity of Buddha perfectly accomplished themen whohad testified at Sakya as the respects the most vulgar. I am going to quote one which will suffice to make others judge."The blessed Buddha wasthe objectfromrespects, the tributes of worship and the worship of kings, the ministers kings of men rich, theinhabitants of the cities, the leaders of trades, leaders of dealers, the devas, the Nagas, the Yakchas, Asura, the Garuda, the Gandharvas, the Kinnarasandof the Mahôragas. Honored by the Devas and by theother beingswho cometo be listed, theblessed Buddha, fullof wisdom, endowed with greatvirtues, which received the religious garment, the vase with alms, the bed, the seat, the medicines for the sick and other things necessary for life, andwho now had to teach in a perfect waythe men and devas, which, taking advantage of the appearance Recent Buddha, seized the opportunity ofdrink the essence of the meals ;theblessed, I say, found withthe Assembly of its Auditors in Çràvastî, in Djôlavana, in the garden of Anāthapindika. Attime when Bhagavat had not yet appeared in the world, the kingPrasenajit honored the demigods in their offering of flowers, of incense, the guir-moors, of perfumes and of substances creamy. But when Bhagayat hadappeared in theworld, the King Prasenadjit, converted by the preaching of the Sutratitled Dahara Sutra (î), had faithin the teaching of Bhagavat. So theheart full of joy and contentment, having approached Bhagavat three times, he Thonorain his offering of lamps, incense ofperfumes, garlands and the subs-creamy tances.a Now it happened one day that the gardener of the hermitage, having taken a lotus whichhad to open, went in Çrâvaslî for the wearto King Prasênadjit. aa man who followed the opinions of the Tîrlhikas saw him, and himsays: Hey! this Lotus is it for sale? Yes, replied the gardener. This response inspired thenot-sant the desire to buy it; but at this moment Anâtha arose in this placepindika, the master 's house, who offered to the lotus the dual the price inwas requested. The two buyers are set to bid one on the other, telle-ment that finally they went up to a hundred thousand pieces. So the gardener did thisreflection: The master of the house Anatha pindika isnota light man; it is aserious character: heshouldthat there is herea motive [so that heinsists-(1) The term Dahara Sutra, which I thought necessary to keep, seems to mean "the Sutra" Of the child; "Only would it not a mistake to Dahra Sutra, "the Sutra of the fire? »See . the additions, at the end of the volume.

## Page 85

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .179so much]. That is why, feeling a cloute rise in his mind, heasked tothe man who was following the viewsdesTîrthikas: For whomso do you bidso? For theBlessed Nârâyana, replied the passer-by. And I bidfor the blessed Buddha, resumedthe master of the house. What is it that youcall Buddha? saidthe gardener. The master house isthen put to himexplain in detail the qualities of the Buddha. The gardener then said to him: Master ofhome, and I too will goaddress my homage to Bhagavat. The

master of home takingso with himthe gardener, is returned to the place where Blessed isfound. The gardenerlivesthe blessed Buddha, adorned with the thirty-two signscharacteristicsof a bigman and including themembers were ready from eighty secondary marks, surrounded by a splendor that extended to the distance of a breaststroke, spreading a radiance that surpassed that of a thousand suns, like a mountain of jewels that would be in motion, fullyperfect; and to No sooner had he seen it than he threw his lotus in front of Bhagavat. The lotus doeswas not quite thrown that taking once the size of the wheel of a chariot, hestopped above Bhahavat. At the sight of this wonder, the gardener, like atree that we could cut the root, fell tofeet of Bhagavat; thenputting his hands together in signof respect, after having thought carefully, heis set to decide this prayer: May I, by the effect of this principle of virtue, of the conception of this thought, of the offering that I havemade of this present, may I, in the world blind, private conductor and ofguide, become adaya Buddha, to become the one who does to cross [the world] to beings who do nothave not crossed it, which delivers those who have not beendelivered, which consoles the afflicted, wholeads to complete Nirvana those who have not reached it! Then Bhagavat, knowing the succession of works and that of the motives which directed thegardener, let see a smile. this But it is a rule that when the Blessed Buddhas come to su-to laugh, then escape from their mouths ofblue, yellow rays of light, red and white ;the one descend down theothers go up in top. Those whodown into low, returning to the depths of HellSaiîidjîva, Kâla-Sutra, Samghâta, Bâurava, Mahârâurava, Tapana, Pratâpana, Avîtchi, Arbuda, Nirarbuda, Atata, Hahava, Huhava, Utpala, Padma, Mahâpadma (1), fallcold in those of these Hellswhich are scorching, and hot in thosewhich are(1) It must compare this list of sixteen Underworld, which the eight first are hot and eightlast frozen, with the list given by Mr. Landresse according to the Chinese. The names of the eightthe former are very likely translated, and not transcribed; at least it is according to the definition that accompanies each of these names that I believe can propose the synonymynext: Samdjîva is the Siang ti yo, Kâlasûtra is He ching ti yo, Samghâta isTouy ya tiyo,

Page 86

180INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYcold. By this are calmed the various cash of pain suffered byinhabitants ofthosepremises of misery. Theythen dothereflections following: Could it be, friends, that we came out of the Underworld to be reborn elsewhere? To be born in them the grace Bhagavat operates a miracle; and to the sawof this miracle, the inhabitants of Hell say among themselves :No, friends, we don'tare not out of this place to be reborn elsewhere; 'but here is a being that we had not seen before; it is by its power that the various species of pain that we tormented are allayed. Feeling the calcne rebornin their souls at the sight of this miracle, these beings, completing atone for the action of whichtheyhad to be punished in the Hell, are metamorphosedin Dêvas andinmen conditionsin whichtheybecome vessels capable ofreceive the truths. Those of these rays which amounted to above, is making homelos Dêvas Tchâturmahârâdjikas, Trayastrimças, Yàmas, Tuchitas, Nirmânaratis, Paranirmita vaçavartins, Brahma kàyikas, Brahma purôhitas, Mahâbrahmâs, Parîtlâbhas, Apramânâbhas, Âbhâsvaras, Parîttaçubhas, Apramâna gubhas, Çubliakrïtsnas, Anabhrakas, Punyaprasavas, Yrïhalphalas, Avrïhas, Atapas, Sudrïças, Sudarçanas, Akanichthas (1), make these resonatelyrics: This is Râurava is Kiao wen tiyo, Mahàràurava is Ta kiao iven tiyo, Tapana is Tchao tchy tiyo, Pralàpana is Ta chao tchy ti

yo Avîichi is Wu Kian ti yo. The eight names following are thetranscriptions; I complete here the synonymy started in the note of Foe koue ki, to which I send back. Arbuda isfcou to, Nirarbuda is Ny lay feou to, Atata isicha tcha, Ilahava isHiao Mao po, Huliava is 'Eou heou, IJtpala is Yo po lo, Padma is Po teou ma; in Mahapadmaanswers Fen to li, transcription of Pundarîka, "great white lotus." (Foe koue ki, p. 298 and 299.)(1) On the. twenty-three orders of Divinities inhabiting the celestial stages which, starting from the Fourgreat kings and the gods who are their subject, amounted to above theearth, see the re-searches of MM. Schmidt and A. Rémusat. {Same. of Acad. des sciences de S.-Pélersbourg, t.II,p. 24 sqq. A- Rémusat, Essay on the cosmogr. for Buddhists, in / our ". des Savants, year 1831,p. 609 and 610, and p. 668 ff.) But it is especially interesting to compare this list to the one that Mr. Hodgson has long been exhibiting, according to Buddhists in Nepal. {Sketch of Buddhism,in Transact. of the Roy. Asian girl. Soc, t.H, p. 233 and 234.) The list of Mr. Hodgson up between the Akanichthas, that is to say the highest of all the Gods, and the Sudarçanas, ten, or according toothers, thirteen floors, of which I have not found the slightest trace in the Sutras that I look atlike the older ones. These are the skies of Bôdhisattvas which seem to me to be an inventionmodern analogous to that of the Adibuddha, or perhaps even a special product of BuddhismNepalese. That it there was for certain is that the list of vocabulary Pentaglotte not know anything of EUCE addition of ten or of thirteen heavens, and it embraces, from the section XLIX to the section HIM inclusively, the same series given by our SiJtra, except thelast article (thestay of Mahêçvara) added by Vocabulary. Heyou just have to dosuffer the most largemany of the articles in these five sections have very considerable corrections, the Sanskrit words and being, as usual, reproduced with extreme inaccuracy. That which ends ensureall the desirable authenticity at the list of our Sùira is that it is, except a fewdifferent names, and apart from a single item in the list Sinhalese such as the giving Uphamaccording to the authorities for the most spoken. {The Mahâvansi, etc., t. III, p. 135 ff) See onthe names of these Gods a special note, Appendix, no IV. Page 87

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .481 passenger, that is misery, that is empty; and they make these two hearstanzas: "Start, get out [of the house]; apply yourself to the law of the Buddha; annihilate the arméede the death as an elephant overturns a hut ofreeds." The one who will walk without distraction under the disciplined of Celticlaw, échappant à the birth and to the revolution of the world, will put an end to thepain.ttThen these rays, after having enveloped the universe formed by the great thousand of the three athousand worlds, come back behind Bhagavat. If the Buddha desiresto explainan action accomplished inatime past, therays come dis-to appearbehind his back. If it's a future action that he wants to predict, they disappeargrow in his chest. If hewants to predict a birth in Hell, they disappear under the plant of his feet; if it's a birth among the animals, they disappear in his heel; if it is a birth among the Prêtas (souls of the dead), they disappear in the thumb of his foot; if it is a birth among themen, they disappear in his knee; if hewants to predict a Balatchakravartin royalty, they disappear in the palmwith his left hand; if it is a royalty of Tchakravartin, they disappear in the palm of his right hand; if it is a birth among the Devas, they disappear in his navel. If he wants to tell someone that he will have the Intel-ligence of a CrâvaKa, theydisappear in his mouth; if it is the intelligence of aPratyéka Buddha, they disappear in his ears; if it's the science supreme of a Buddhaper fectly accomplished, they disappear into the protuberancerancid who rown his head."Now therays [which had just

appeared], after having madethreetimesthetower of Bhagavat, disappeared in the protuberance which crowns his head. Thenthe respectableAnanda bringing together thehands insign ofrespect, spokeso toBhagavat:"A mass radii varied, mixed with a thousand colors, just to get out of themouth of Bhagavat, and it was lit completely all the items ofspace,as the sun would do when it rises.((Then headded the following stanzas:" No, it is not without reason that the Djinas, who triumphed over the enemy,which are free from lightness, which haveup topride and discouragement,and who are the cause of the happiness of the world, let see a smile similar toyellow filaments of the lotus.€hero !O law whichwith your intelligence know the right moment,

182INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYdai <^ ne, oh Gramana, oh youIndra des Djinas, deignwith the farms, excellentand nice wordsthe hero of Solitary dispel the doubts that are are highin the mindof your Hearers given over to uncertainty. ((No, it 's not withoutmotive that the perfect Ruddhas, that these chiefs ofworld, who are also full of strength as the ocean, or the King of MON-tagnes, let see a smile. But for what reason these sages full of constancy they let see that smile? It is there that that wants to hear yourmouth this great crowd of creatures." Bhagavat then said to Ananda: It is good that, O Ananda :it is this same ;it is not without motive, O Ananda, that the venerable Tathāgatas, perfectlyand completely Ruddhas, let see a smile. Do you see, O Ananda, the manmage that this gardener has just addressed to mefullof benevolence? - Yes,Lord.-Well, O Ananda, this gardener, by the effect of this principle of virtue of the design of this thought of the offering that was made of this pre-feels, afterhave practiced the intelligence of the Bôdhi, in which hemustto practice during three Asamkhyôyas of Kalpas aprèsavoir accomplished entirelyment the six perfections that are made manifest by thegreat mercy, this gardener, I say, will become in the world a perfectly accomplished Buddhaunder the name of Padmôtlama a Ruddha gifted of ten forces of the four intré-pidities, of the three supporters of thememory that do not merge, and finally of the great mercy. Now what is herethe offering of a present is the benevolence that this gardener has tried for me. "So that spoke Rhagavat, and Religious transportedof joy approvevèrent this that Rhagavat had said(i)."the subject than the previous excerpt shave made known touches so closely to thequestion of the influence exerted by the preaching of Çàkya on the ystem of caste, we have seen already in mind Brahmin reproach to Sakyamuni of cher-dear too low to his disciples. A similar reproach wasinspired, without any doubt, by the feeling of hurt pride; hein cost to the first caste ofsee the men of low birthelevated to the rank of ascetics that shewas, legally speaking, the privilege to little near exclusive of oflVir the tributes and to the admiration of the multitude. The expression of this feeling would prove, if hewas still in need of the make, what roots deep the division of the people in neverseparated castes had thrown into India, at the time whenappeared Câkya. Forwe, who have not ever been a moment in doubt anticipation from Rrâhmanism towith regard to Ruddhism, thereproaches that the Rrâhmans(1) Avadâna çataica, f.16 a sqq. Page 89

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .183addressed [at Sakya we learn at once and how it is conduis in the presence of principle absolute caste, and how its opponents were welcoming its usurpations. This double instruction is found, under a form perfectly clear, in a legend that I will analyze and of which Itranslate the parts the more features. One day Ananda, the servant of Sakyamuni, after having traveled long the campaign, meet ayoung FDLE

Matangi, that is to say, of the tribe of Tchân-dâlas, who drewof water, andheasks him for a drink. But the young girl, fearing thesoil with his touch, warns himthat she was born in the casteMâtanga, andhe does it is not allowed to approachaReligious. Ananda himthen responds: "I am not asking you, my sister, neither your caste nor your family; I you" Just ask for water, if you cangive me(1).» Prakriti is thename of the young girl, who according to legend wasdestined to convert to the doctrine of the Buddha, isimmediately feels in love with Ananda, and shetold his mother the desire she has to become his wife. The mother, who foresees the obstacle that the difference of the castes must put to this union(because Anandawas of the military race of the Çâkyas and cousin of the Buddha), the mother, I say, hasuse themagic to attracttheReligiousin his house, where awaits himPrakriti adorned with hermore beautiful clothes. Ananda, driven by the force of charms the Mastangiput into use, is made in effectin this house; but recognizing the threat that the threat itremembers Bhagavat and invokes himin tears. As soon as the Buddha, which the science is irresistible, destroyed by thecharms contrary thecharms of the Tchândâlî, and Ananda quietly comes out of hands of two women. Prakriti however not be discouraged not; she thinks about address himself to Çâkyamuni, and will wait for him under a tree, near afromdoorsof the city by which hemust come out after begging to gethismeal. Sakyamuni is presentin fact, and helearns from the mouth of theyoung girl the love she feels for Ananda and herdetermining where she sof the track. Taking advantage of this passion to convert Prakriti, the Buddha, by a series of questions that Prakriti can take in the sense of his love, but what he does knowingly in a way all religious ends by opening to the light the eyes of the young girl and by him inspire the desire to embrace the lifeascetic. This is howheask him ifshe agrees to follow Ananda, that isthat is, to imitate him in his conduct; if she wants to wear the same clothesthanhe, that is to say, the clothing of religious persons; if it is authorized by his parents: issues that the law of the discipline requires that we address those(1) Çârdûla karna, in Divya avadâna, f. 217 a. Page 90

184INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY who wantwill make beggars Buddhist. The young girlresponds to any affir-matively. Sakyamuni requires in addition theformal consent of the father andmother, who comein effect telling her that they approve of whatever she wishes; and it is then that distinguishing thetrue object of his love, the young girlrecognizes his first mistake, anddeclares that she isdecided to enter into the lifereligious. While Sakya, for the preparation to receive the Law, sesert of the for-magic mule (Dhâranî) which purifiesman of all his sins and of souil-lureshecontracted in the miserable lives to which he was condemned the law of the transmigration (1). I now let the legend speak." The Rrâhmans and themasters of the house of Crâvaslî learned that ayoung girl of the Tchândâla caste had just been admitted by Bhagavat to liferelideuse, and they are set to do between them the reflections following: How? 'Or' Whatthis girlof Tchândâla will be able to complete theduties imposed on the Reli-gious and to those who the following? How does the daughter of a Tchândâlacouldsheenter into the houses of Brahmins, of Kchatlriyas, heads offamilyandthe men rich (2)? Prasênadjit, theking of Kôçala, also learnedLEMENT this news, and having made the same reflections as theinhabitants of Crâvastî, heis made harnessed a good char surlequel he went; and surrounded by a largenumber of Brahmins and of masters house, all inhabitants of Cravasti itwent outof thecityand thisdirectedtowardDjêtavana,thewhere islocatedthe hermitageAnâthapindika (3).» The text usthen represents the King entering in the

hermitage with the Brahmins, the ks. atrivas and masters house, and issurrendering to Bhagavat. Each one, when approaching him, told him the name and thefamily of his father and of his mother. So Bhagavat knowing the thoughts thathad arisen in the mind of the king and of his suite, called the Assembly of itsReligious, and mita them tell a the former existences of the Lille 'sTchândâla. Hethen expose the storyof a kingof this caste, named Tri-çangku (4), who lived in a thick forest located on the edge of the Ganges. "This"King,O Rehgieux, remembered the Vêdas, thatin a previous existence he" Had read with the Angas, the Upângas, the Rahasyas, with the Nighantus, the (1) Çârdûla Tcarna, in Divya avadâna, f. 219 a.(2) The collection Tibetan to Mr. Schmidt contains a caption extremely curious where thereproaches of the same kind are made by the high castes on the occasion of the investiture that Çâkya-provided grants to of miserable beggars. {Der Weise und der Thor, p. 283, transl. garlic.)(3) Divya avadâna, f. 220 a.(4) The name of Triśangku us is already known by the traditions Brahmin, and in particularby the beautiful episode of Ràmàyana. (Ed. Schlegel, 1. I, ch. Lvii sqq., And transl. Lat., T.I, p. 175 sqq.,ed. Gorresio, ch. lix sqq., t. I, p. 231 ff.) The legend of the prince is, also reported bythe Vichnu purâna (Wilson, p. 371, note 7) and by the Bhâgavala purâna. (L. IX, ch. Vu.) Despite the

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .185" Kàitabhas, with the differences that distinguish the letters from each other,"finally with the Itihâsas who form afifth Veda (1)." This king had ason named Çârdûla karna, to whom hetaught everythinghe had learned himselfin a previous existence. When hesaw itperfectly skilled in allthe cérérémonies, master of the mantras of the Veda that he had read in full, he thoughtto the marry ayoung, virtuous, educated girlbeautiful. HeThere was then inUtkatâ, capitalfrom a district north of the forest of Triçangku, a Brahmin namedPuchkarasârin, who enjoyedthe income of this district, which had himbeen conceded by the king Agnidatta. He was from a noble family of Brahmans, and couldsay the name of his father and mother until the seventh generation. Hepossessed the Mantras and had read thethree Vêdas with all that depends on them, and the Itihâsaswhoform a fifth Veda. This Brahmin had a daughter named Prakriti. Triśangku formed the purpose of the requestfor his son Çârdûla karna, and it iswent to a wood, sotherewait for the Brahmin who was to come there forrecite the Brahmanic Mantras. <Triçangku, the king of the Tchândàlas, soon lives"the Brahmin Puchkarasârin, who</p> resembledat the rising sun, that shone with < fsplendor as the fire, which was like a sacrifice that surround the Brâh-"manes, like Dakcha surroundedof his daughters, like Cakra in the middle ofthecrowd Devas, like, the Hiinavat with its medicinal plants, like the Ocean with its jewels, like the moon with its Nakchatras, like"Vâicravana among the troopof Yakchas, as Brahma, finally, to miheu" of the Devas and the Devarchis (2).>He immediately came forward to meet her and said :€It is I, Lord Puchkarasârin ;Be the welcome. I will tell youwhat€bring me, listen. With these words the Brahmin Puchkarasârinanswered thus To" Triçangku, theking of the Tchândàlas: Heis not younot allowed, O Triçangku,"to employwith a Brahmin thesalvation from Lord. Lord Puchkara-"Sarin said Triśangku, I can use with a Brahmin this species"Hello. "Then herequest to Puchkarasârin his daughter Prakriti for the young Car-duela karna. The Brahmin did had not quite heard thisproposal, than transported of fury, the eyebrows furrowed, the neck swelled by anger, theeyesout of thehead itreplied to Triçangku:"Out of heremiserable Tchândâla.differences which are noticeable between these three accounts, a common

tradition serves as their basis; this tradition is that Triśangku which appartenaità the family of Ikchvakides, was deprived of theroyal dignity by the curse Vaçichlhides or of their father, and changed in chandala. It isalso the only point by which the legendary Buddhist is linked to the story of the Brahmins. TheBuddhists made Triçangku a king of the Tchândàlas; it's still there a loan made to theBrahmanic tradition .(1) Divya avadâna, f. 220 b.(2)Çârdûla karna, in Divya avadâna, f. 221 b. Page 92

186INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYiHow one who eats the dog, like you, dare he speak so to a"Brahmin who hasread the Veda? Insane !you don't know Prakriti, and you have(of yourself mêmeune very high opinion !Do not rest no more time here,ifvsyou don't want to bring yourself bad luck. You 're a chandala, and me I am from jla"caste of Dvidjas. How dare you, miserable, ask for the union of the most "noble with beingthemore vile? The good, in this world, unite with the good, the Brahmans with the Brahmans. You ask for one thingimpos-"sible by wanting to ally it with us, youwho are despised in the world, you"the last of men. The Tchândâlas unite here below with the Tchândâlas,"the Puchkasas with the Puchkasas, and so do the Brahmans, the Kchat-"triyas,the Vâiçyas and the Cûdras, each in their caste; but noshare itidoes not see the Brahmans allying themselves with the Tchândâlas. >To this speech, which in the beginningginal isin verse, and that I havesomewhat abbreviated, Triçangku answered thus:"He<are not between a Brahmin and a man of another caste the difference"which exists between the stone and gold, between the darkness and light. The Brâh-tmane in effect is released or of the ether or of the wind; hedid not split the earth"to appear atday as the fire which escapes of the wood of the Arani(1).<The Brahmin was born from a woman's womb, just like the Tchândâla. Or"see-read therefore the case that would make that one must be noble and the other vile? the "Brahmin himself, when heis dead, is abandoned like a vile objectandIimpure; heit is with him as with other castes: where is the difference then? "Triçangku then continues, reproaching the Brahmans for their vices andtheir passions; heblame with strength the ways they employ for the satisfaction do, and among othershypocrisywith which they dare to claim to be pure, incommitting themshares themore black,"When theywant to eat from the meat, here is the way they use :theykill the animals pronon-thisusing Manlras, because ,they saythe sheep as wellimmolated go"straight to heaven. But if it is therethe way to heaven, whyso these Brâh-4manes do they immolate not also with Mantras them and their women, their father and their mother, their brothers and their sisters, their sonand their daughters (2)?"No heit is not true that the holy water and that the Mantras make up the "skythe goats and sheep; all these inventions are em-\*ployed by these wicked Brahmins to satisfy their desire to eat of the "meat (3). "(1) Premna spinosa.(2) This argument seems familiar to opponents of Brahmins, because there the finds reported by the Vichnu purâna, in the chapter relating to the heresy of the Djàinas. (Wilson, Vichmpur., P. 340.)(3) Divya avadâna, f.122 6. Page 93

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .187The Brahmin seeks to defend recounting the myth of the origin offour castes, that thetradition madeborn from four partsof the bodyofBrahmâ; and when the chandala he has responded, Puchkarasârin he asks if is versed in the Brahminical sciences. So theking Triçangku thesatisfiedon this point by a list detailed of the Vedas, of their divisions of sacrifices and other objects which the knowledge is usually reserved foronly Brahmins. While this piece is a great interest, and itproves that the Buddhists knew

nothing of this who was doing the education background Indian. For by fire, however some consequences historical, itshouldknow exactly the timeto which hehas been drafted ; because if heis posterior the events that have forced the Buddhists to leave India, heno longeramazing it contains, touching the httérature and science Brahman, of such diverse information and alsoprecise. But heis not not at this time to gather thelights that canto throwon this particular object the legend of which Ijust to make a few extracts ;hematter fromshow howÇâkyamuni ^ freedof obstaclesthat raisedin front of him the divisions of the Indian society divided into casteshier archically distributed. Its avowed aim was to save themen of miserable conditions of existence that they hang in this world, and of the sub-milk at the Law fatal to the transmigration Jl fitting that the practice of the Under secured for the future to human well the stay of the sky and the enjoyment of a better existence. But this happiness did not pass in the eyes of anyone for final :to become God was to be reborn in order to diegone day; and it's at the necessity of the revival and of the deadthat he had to escape forever. As to the distinction of caste, she was in the eyes of Sakyamuni an ace -tooth of the existence of man here below, an accident that he recognized, but which could n't stop it. This is why the castesappearin all the sūtrasand in all the legends that I read, as a factestablished, againstwhoSakya not donenota single objection policy. This is so true, ique when aman attached to the service of a prince wanted to embrace lifereligious, Sakya do thereceived that afterthat the prince yhad given his assent-is lying. A legend of Avadâna çatakawe provide an example just madecharacteristic :<tGo, ô Ananda, said Çâkya to his servant, and say to king Pra-" Sênadjit: Grant me this man who is at your service; I will make him kiss" Religious life. Ananda sewent accordingly to the place where is found" Prasenadjit, king of Kôçala; and when hehad arrived, hespoke to himso in the name" DeBhagavat: Grant, Lord, to Bhagavat the permission to receive this" Man in religious life! When the king knew that he was of Bhavyarûpa,

Page 94

188INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY" Hegranted the Religious what heasked him (1)."This respectofCâkyafor the royal powerhas even left its traces in the modern Buddhism; andit's one of the rules fondamentales de ordination of monks, heanswer with then egative to this question: "Are you in the service of the king(2)? "In a further légendeon sees the King Prasênadjit of Kôçala, who sends to Rajagrihaa messenger, to invite Bhagavat to come in the midst ofhispeople toGrâvastî. Here is theresponse queÇâkyamuni made tothe sent ;v If the((King Bimbisara me the permits II will go to Prasênadjit (3)."Câkya therefore admitted the hierarchy of castes; heeven explained it, aswere doing the Brahmans, by thetheory of punishment and of reward; and every time he educated a man in a vile condition, hebornwas not missingto attribute the baseness of his birth to the sinful actions that this manhad committed in a previous life. Convert a man, whoever he was, it was thus for Sakya him give the means to escape to the law of the transmi-gration; it was the face of the vice of his birth, absolutely and relatively :absolutely, in the putting on the way to one day achieve annihilation final, OII, as the say the text, stops the law of the Renaissance; relative-ment, by making him a Religious, like Çâkyamuni himself, whowas comingto take rank, according to his age, in the assembly of the Auditors of the Buddha. Sakya opened so indiscriminately to all thecastes the way of salvation, that the birth previously closed to the largestnumber; and hethe equal madebetween them and before him, in their conferring the nomination with

therank of Reli-gious. In this last respect hewas going overfar than the philosophers Kapila andPatafidjali, who had started a work in little close similar to that qu'ac-later complained the Buddhists. By attacking as useless theworksordered by the Veda, and by replacing them with thepracticeof asceticismindividual, Kapila was put to the scopeof all, inprinciple at least, if not inreality, the title of ascetic, which until then wasthe complement andtheprivilege topretty much exclusive of lifeof Brahmin. Çâkya did more :hewas able to give toisolated philosophers the organization of a religious body. Here is locatedthe explanationof these two facts,ease withwhich has been in the principle to spread theBuddhism, and the opposition that the Brahmanism has naturally made to its pro-sandstone. The Brahmans had no objection to address to him, so muchhebe confinedborn to work inphilosopher to the future deliverance of man, to himto assurethe postage that I referred to earlier as absolute. But theyborncould(1) Avadâna çatàka, f.42 b.(2) Kammavâkya, p. 6 and 17, eds. Spiegel.(3) Avadâna çataka, f. 1 35 a. Page 95

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .d89admit the possibility of this current deliverance, of this emancipationrelative, who did tended to nothing less than destroy, in agiven time, the subordination of castes, in which squinted the religion. This is how Çâkyaprovidedattacked in his base the Indian system, and why it had to happen a moment when the Brahmans, placed at the head of this system, would feel the needcare of proscribe a doctrine which the consequences could they escape. I do not believe that this moment had yet arrived at the time when the Sutrasthat I analyzed moretop have been written; or rather I think that these sūtras, towhatever time theyhave been written, we have preserved an earlier tradition.greater than the violent separation of the Buddhists from the Brahmans. These treaties show us Çâkya exclusively occupied in forming disciples, adepts, of imitators finally of his lifemoral and exemplary. What hesearch beforeeverything is to surround oneself with disciples who spread his doctrine and who convertfeel themmen to lifereligious, just like hetheconvertedhim-same. These disciples hethe take, or rather itthe receiving of all thecastes: Brahmins, warriors, merchants, slaves, all are equally eligible forhiseyes, and the birth also keeps well be a merit that being a titleexclusion. We see now, if I'm not mistaken, how heyou have to kill this famous axiom of Oriental history, that the Buddhism has erased any distinction of caste. The writers whohaverepeated this assertion have seen checked by the constitutionPoliticsfromnations among which prevails today the Buddhism. This verificationhowever, meets a capital exception, Towhichwe did not do asufficient attention; because ifthe distinction of castes is unknown to nations Buddhists of Tibet, the Barma and Siam, she does is not less very solid-ment established in thepeople whowas the first adopted on Buddhism, in the Singhalese. I me refer to this testimony also unanimous qu'irré-cusable of travelers (1). Gela not want not say that there is the caste buddhi-ques divided into Brahmans, Kchattriyas, Yàicyas and Cûdras; the number of original classes Indian is notably reduced to Ceylon; the mosthigh yare to some close unknown, and there, as in India, there is or Brahmana orBuddha; it is may be one and the other to thetimes. It does is not less truethat the existence of castes among a Buddhist people is a factvery-remar-quable, one of those who, as has just indicated Tolfrey (2), show(1) Valentia, Voyages and Travels, t. I, p. 488, in-4o. Davy ^ Ace. of the inter. oflCeylon, p. IH.Forbes, Eleven yearsin Ceylon, t. I,p. 70 and 72. Upham, Maliâvansi, etc., t. III, p. 331. We findin these authors lists of castes still existing in Ceylon.(2) Valentia, Voyages, etc., t. 1, p. 496.

### Page 96

190INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthe mostobviously that the Buddhism andBrahmanism have an origincommon, in other words that the doctrine of Çâkya was born in the midst of asociety including the principle policywas ladistinction of castes. But howThis principle has he reconciled with the spirit of the doctrine of the Buddha, that is to say, what concession one he has made to the other? This is how it must have happened-Sees the things, judging at leastby theeffects. The priesthood has ceased to behereditary, andthemonopoly of religious things is out of hands of a privileged caste. The body in charge of teaching the law ceased to be per-pétuer by the birth; hehas been replaced by an assembly of Religious doomedin celibacy, which is recruiting indiscriminately in all the classes. The Religious Buddhist, finally, who takes everything from teaching and of a sort of investiture, has replaced the Brahmin, who does owed nothing to the birth, that is to say to thenobility of its origin. This is undoubtedly a fundamental change, andthis is enough to explain the opposition that the Brahmins have made at the spread and to application of the principles of Buddhism. It is that ineffect the Brahmans were disappearing into the new order of things created by Gâkya. Ofmoment that the birth does enough more to the place above the othercastes, the moment that, to exercise an Actionreligious on the people, hetheirhad to submit to a novitiate, receiving a nomination that do their givingno moreof rights at the last of the slaves, and be placed in a hierarchybased on ageand theknow, alongside the most despised men, the Brah-manes did not exist most of it. On the contrary, the existence of otherscastes was by no means compromised by the Buddhism. Based on a division of job, that perpetuated the birth, they could survive under the protection of the priesthood Buddhist, to which they all indiscriminately providedReligious ei of ascetics. As much as the Brahmans must have felt aversionfor the doctrine ofHere, bothmen of the lower classes had toto welcome him with eagerness and favor ; because if this doctrine lowered the firstmiers, sherelieved the latter, and she assured from this life to the poor and tothe slave this that the Brâhmanism does it promised even not to each other, the advan-stage of weaning, in the religious relation, the equal of his master. The preceding observations sufficiently explain the remarkable fact of the coexistence of Indian castes and of Buddhism on the soil of Ceylon. Hedoes not need to suppose, as didthe illustrious G. de Humboldt, that the distinction of castes had on the character of the Sinhala one share lessdeeper than on the Indians of the continent (1); because we would not miss(1)Ueber die Kawi-Sprache ^ t. Ij p. 87. Page 97

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .491no evidence to establish that the military caste is also jealous in Geylonthan elsewhereof qu'elledoit privilegesto the birth, andthe singhalese kingshaveshown, inmoreon one occasion, that they had little understanding of the principles of equalityto whom the Buddhist priesthood owes its existence, and to which itattaches to conserver thedeposit. Hethere is more :themilitary caste ,thatof the Kchattriyas, isalways,in thelistsSinghalese, called thefirst, even before the oneof the Brahmins. There will recognize the influence of Buddhism, which, in removing tothe Brahmanic caste the superiority it heldof the birth, has naturallyment leftthe field open to the military caste .But this influence, which couldpromote the movement of major divisions of the company, suchthat hadorganized the Brahmans, did notannihilated these divisions, nor completely destroyedthe mindon which they are based. The castes continued to exist ;single-ment the divisions thatin are eff ^ andhave become purely

political of reli-gious that theywere previously. The example of the island of Geylan allows us to suppose that the phenomenon of the coexistence of Buddhism and the caste was also produced in India toof times ancient, and read the full Sûtrasconfirme this SUPposition. To accredit his doctrine, Çâkyamuni did not needto docall for a principle of equality, little understood in general by Asian peoples. The germ of an immense change was to be found in the constitution of this Assembly of Beligieux, out of all the castes, who renounced the worldhad to live in monasteries, under the direction of a chiefspiritual and underempire of a hierarchy based on age and the know. the people received from their mouths an entirely moral instruction, and hethere was no longer a single manthat his birth condemned for ever to ignore the truths spread by the preaching of more enlightened of all thebeings, of the Buddha perfectly accomplished (i). Also, by rereading with attention to thepreviously analyzed legendofTriçangku, I see in the formcontroversy of this piecea fewgrounds of suspect he does should not be ranked among the productions the most ancient of Northern Buddhism. The partfrom this legend that reports to Beligious Ananda reminds us of a tradition that is certainly ancient. The storyof Triśangku, in contrast, has had to be added, or all at least developed after the fact .the large number of bits written in to which is composed of legend is still in my eyesan index of posteriority; in this respect, this(1) See on this subject the excellent observations of M. Schmidt. {Same. from Acad. the science of S.-Petersburg, 1. 1, p. 252.) Page 98

192INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYtreated looks a lot more likea Sutra developed onlyan ordinary legend .So I would be inclined to believe that he does not belong,in full at least, at the pre-dication of Çâkyamuni, but that heis the number of these bookswhohave beenRedif ^ Gs in the restof the cloister, at a time when the Buddhists enjoyed quitefrom leisure to gatherand comment on their religious traditions. What hemaybe one of these observations, Ido not think not minus our previous legendin Yadjraçutchi, treatedpure controversy, directed against the institution of castes and composed by a Buddhist scholar, named Acvaghôcha. We owe MM. Wilkinson and Hodgson the publication and translation of text of this little book, to which is attached a defense of castes by a Brahmin whowas still living in 1839 (1). Acvaghôcha is it the famous Religious including the name is translated in Chinese by Ma Ming (voice of horse), and which, according falist of the Japanese Encyclopedia, was the twelfthpatriarchbuddhistsince thedeadby Çâkyamuni (2)? or good is it a more ascetic modern bearing thesame name as him? It is this that I do not know decide. All that we inlearnMr.Hodgson is that he is cited inNepal as a Mahà paridita, andheis the author of two strong worksestimated, the Buddha tcharîla kâvya, and the Nandimukha sughôchaavadâna (3). It is enough for us that the treatise on controversywhich it says the author is attributed to aReligious known, so that he ort of the category of canonical books, to which belongs the legend analyzed morehigh, and so that it is placed in the class of works bearing the names of otherteurs, more modern class ingeneral than that of treaties we supposeemanated from the preaching even of Sakya. In this respect I wouldcould dispense meto talk about here, since I have to take care later treated with the authorsare known. I believed, however, that the advantageto dokiss with acutlook it that we know of the objections that the Buddhists addressed the Brahminsagainst the system of caste made up for the lack of order, relatively few serious inreality, that I allow myself here. The objections of Acvaghôcha are of two kinds :the one areborrowed(1)The Wyira soochi or

Refutation of the arguments upon which the Brahmanical institution ofcaste is founded, hy the learned Boodhist Ashwa Ghochu; also the Tunku by Soobojee Bapoo being aReplyto the W% IJRA soochi, 1839. 8vo% printed in India, but without name of place. the translationand the warning occupy 100 pages; the text, ilihographed in rather large characters devanâ-garis, in a GO. The translation of the Treaty of Açvaghôeha had already appeared in the t.III of the Trans. of theRoy. Asian girl. Soc, p. 160. The use of the word Vadjra me gives to think that this treaty is modern \*(2) A. Rémusat, Mél. Asian., t.I,p. 120 sqq. Everything that was said in the £ ssa on the Pali (p. 55)of possible identity of the name Chinese Ma Ming with the name Sinhala the prince Mahindu Kumara,do can subsist today, one knows whether positively that the word of Bôdhisatlva is notnot a proper name, but the title of a living Buddha .(3)Transact. of the Roy. Asian girl. Soc, t. III, p. 161, and Wujra soochi, p. 6, note. Page 99

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .193to the most revered texts of the Brahmans themselves ;the others leanon the principle of equal natural of all the men. The author showsthrough of quotations from of the Veda, of Manu and of the Mahâbhârata, that thequality of Brahmin is neither inherent in the principle that lives in us, nor in the body in which lies this principle, and it does not follow either of the birth, nor of the knowledge or practices religious, nor to the observation of duties moral, nor of the con-birth of the Yêdas. Since this quality is neither inherent noracquired, shedoes not exist; or rather all themenmay the have :because for him thequality of Brahmin, it is a state of purity similar to dazzling whiteness.cheur de la fleur dujasmine. Heinsistson the absurdity of the law which denies the Will have the right to embrace the religious virgin, underpretext that his religion, to him, it is to serve the Brahmins. Finally his philosophical arguments are directed mainly against the myth that represents the four outgoing castessively of the four parts of thebody of Brahma, in his head, in his arms, hisbelly and of itsfeet." The Udumbara (1) and Panasa (2), he said, produce" The fruitthat are born from the branches, from therod, from joints and "roots; and though these fruits will benot separate them one from another, and "we cannot say: This is the Brahmin fruit, this is the fruit Kchattriya, ((this one the Vâiçya, that one the Çûdra, for all are born from the same tree. He((does not haveso not four classes, itthere is only one (3)."Between the legendof Triçangku and the Treaty of Açvaghôcha, hethere is, as we can see, a notable difference. In the second, the subject is considered under apointview as philosophicalthat it can design a man from the East; in the first, heis indicated in a waygeneral rather than dogmatic. In one and in the otherHowever, the point of capital is the appeal made to all the Classes by the Buddhism, that the admitted all also in the viereligieuse or, in terms more general, to the cultivation the highest of the spirit, and that briseainsi the real barrier thatin the system brahmanic, the holding all under the voke of the caste to whichthe privilege of the birth ensured that the knowledge and of teaching. I looked through the observations previous to make appreciate thetruecharacter of the sūtras that I believethe oldest. After having given someplausibility to this opinion, that those of these treatises which bear the title of Vâipulya are posterior to thosewho do the not carry, that is to say to the sūtrassame as I have just analyzed, I tried to establish the seniority and the authenticity simple sutras by examining the various facts that they reveal to us about the state(i) Ficus glomerata.(2) Artocarpm integrifolia.(3) Wyjra soochi, p. 11 and 12 of the translation, p. 10 of the text.13

### Page 100

\ UINTRODUCTION TO HISTORY of the company Indian in the midst of which they have been written. Inignorancewhere we are still on the date of the various partsfrom the Nepa- collectionlaise, celticmethod isthealone who cangive ussome notionsapproximatetouching agerelative of these many works. Heit is hand-taking to make the application to the particular class of simple sutras, which is, according to me, prior to the developed sūtras, and to look if the treaties included in this class belong all at the same time. I said, in the first section of this Memoir, that all the Sutraswere passing to emanatedirectly to the preachingfrom Çâkyamuni :from where heresults that atstick to the testimony of the tradition and to the form even of these treaties, which is that of a conversation between the Buddha and his disciples, he should them look all as equally old. Examining the Sutras and Legendsof two large collections of Divyaavadana and Avadanacataka, who com-take more of hundred fifty different treaties, not justify not this suppositiontion. It has seenmoretop that Sakyamuni himself boasted of knowing thepassandthe future as well as the present, andhe loomed of this supernatural scienceto instruct his Auditors what they had done in their previous lives EXTERIOR and the fate that thewaiting in the lives to which the future thestill condaninated. As long as heis content to predict to them that they will become (AES Religious distinguished by their holiness, or even the Buddhas as perfect that he, his predictions are 4) hadinstructive for us, and they did us pro-provide no help for criticism and examination of 'traditionwho attributesall the sūtras indiscriminately to the founder of Buddhism. But when hespeaks of people who are really historical, when heset the date of their future appearance, his predictions acquirea new value, andtheyweprove that the Sutras where the meeting are after, to the fundas for the form, to the events which are announced in advance byadivination which thecritic does not recognize not the authority. This remark is based onpUque several treated of the collection from Nepal, notably to a Sutrawhich it will be subject to all the time, and which face the name of a king famous in the story of Buddhism. This king is Açôka, including Çâkyamuni, inmore than oneplace and especially in some Avadânas, speaks as if hewas to be bornlong after him. I the repeat, of a like predictions we learn at Two indisputable facts: is that the book where the meeting is posteriorlaughing not only at Sakyamuni, but the events and thecharactersof which Câkya predicts future existence. Thus, without prejudging anything about the timewhich have beenwritten the Sutras, and as we entenant | to a description generalizedrale of this class of books, it is evident that it must be divided into sūtras where it

#### Page 101

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .195is only a question of contemporary characters of Çâkya , and of the Sutras where heis spoken of characters who appeared more or less long after him, eitherthat the date could in êtrefixée with precision, is that happens to knowonly this unique point, to know that they are posterior to Çâkya. This is an elementhistory of which weappreciate the importance, when I have gathered allthat my readingsprovided meon historyof thecollectionofNepal.However, it should be noted right now that indications of this kind areGères the developed sūtras, which does not prove that these Sutras havebeen written before the time of the characters recalled by the mentions of which Icome fromspeak,but what is exclusively due to the character of the great sūtras,where heno longer a questionno human event, andwhich are filled bythe fabulous story of these

gigantic and wonderfulBôdhisaltvas, in the contemplation and the description desquels be lost are and the simple primitive and the practical good sense of ancient Buddhism .But it is notall still, and heremains to be seen if of what a bookbears this title of Sûtrciy heas a result that it must be classified fromfull rights in category that the research that precede have demonstrated the existence, 1° that of the Sutras where theevents are contemporaries of Çâkyamuni ;2 ° that of the Sutras where heis spoken characters that itare posterior ;3 ^ finally that of the sūtras of great development where heis almost more ques-tion of human events. Heisobvious topriori that thetitlealone of awork is not, in the eyes of thecriticism, a guarantee sufficient of hisauthenticity; because weunderstands without difficulty that a forger could have imitated theform of books canonical, to take the fruit of his designs personalnelles. I do not intend, however, to speak here of these falsificationsthat the criticalis, in my opinion, too inclined to assume, although she has nooften otherproof that the possibility of their existence. I have only in sight, in thismoment of bookswhere thechanges that the passage of time brings to all thethings human have been successively toto glide. It would be to denyall the likelihoods that of support that the Buddhism has remained at the shelterchanges of this kind. Well atcontrary, I dareassert that the studythoroughand compared to this belief, such that it exists among the variouspeoples of Asia who have adopted prove it haspast, like all the religions by desrévolutions who have modified and sometimes altered theprimitive character. But ifthe Buddhism (and I mean herespecially designate that of the North) has developed, extended, regularized; if he even admitted to hisin ideas and thebeliefs that is in law deregarder as étran-manageto its primitive institution, it is allowed to believe that some of Page 102

496INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYworks placedour days among books canonical bear the traces moreor less recognizable changes which I assumed earlier the possibility. From the commencement of this study, and when Mr. Hodgson hadat its disposal that the renseignementsoiaux and traditionalhedid not havenotanother opportunity to compare with the original texts, the judgment if sure that the heading in his research he had indicated the precautions that the critique devait take to arrive at the assessment complete and just aa belief so ancient and so vast. Such wise warnings can notbe lost to the criticism, and they need to put in guard against the con-sequences that one would be tempted to draw from the existence of a titleantique, foundon a book that can be modern. I the repeat title learns absolutely nothing to drive regarding the authenticity of the book that the door; because of two things one: where the book was intended to highlight some-Some of these ideas that will have to undergo asystem that changes littleimportant; where thebeliefs which heused expression were of nature to seriously change the character of the system. Gold in one as in the other case, the author had to give to his work the form of books whose self-authority was universally and since long recognized. These reflections, that it is enough for meto indicate briefly, apply from amannerrigorous to some of the books in the Nepalese collection. I amjustified in believing that the reading and, Ishould say, the exact translation of this collection while whole, give the medium of the extend to a number of or-more considerable than those that Iwill indicate.But hewould have toof years and as a great fund of patience to run properly a review of this kind. I therefore preferred to a review fast and necessarily superficial of multiple volumes analysis accurate and sufficiently detailed of a limited number of treaties that the first blow of eyeseemed to mesuspects. Among the treaties that I come from designate it in is two to which the title of

Sutra was probably only applied after the fact, or, which amounts tothe same, which, despite its title of Mahayana sûlra, or Sutra serving of largevehicle not peuventprétendre to be classified in many Sutrasprimitive,nor even the Sutrasdeveloped. They all carrytwo the As of Gima karandavyûha or Kârmida vyûlia,that is to say,"Construction of the basket of "qualities" of the saint Avalôkitêçvara ;but one is written inprose, and the otherin verse. The editorial staffcomposed inproseforms a manuscript of sixty sevenslips, where hundredthirty-four pages ;the poem has a hundredninety fiveleaves, or three hundredninety pages, of a lesser extent than that Page 103

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .-197of the other manuscript (1). Heseems obvious to me that, despite the differences that exist between the two books, one is only the development and the paraphrase of the other, and I think that the moreformer of the two is the writing in prose. It is this that -we learned already the first of listings reported by Hodgsonin his Memoir on the Literature of Nepal. This list defines as it follows these two treaties:" Karanda vyûha, of the species of Gâthâs, history of Lôkêçvara" Padmapani in prose; and Guna karanda vyûha gâthâ, developmentfrom the pre-"cede treaty to (2).» I will givethe analysis of the most extensive, that issay the poem; then I will indicate thepassages where hediffers from the othertreaty. As, with a few exceptions, ittherenothing in the Sutra inprose whoborneither in the poem, the analysis of one necessarily includes that of the other. Besides, the Karanda prose manuscript is so incorrect that it would have been much more difficult to give a perfectly exact extract, thandon't meit would be to translate fully the poem. The book opens with a dialogue between a scholar Buddhist Djayaçrî and the King Djinaçrî who questions him. Djayaçrî announces that this that he is going to expose to him has beentaught by his master, the Religious Upagupta. Hesaid that the great king Açôkais being made inthe hermitageof Kukkuta ârâma, asked the sage Upaguptathisthat should be understood by the Triratna, or the Threeprecious objects. Upaguptaresponds by exposing to him the perfection of the Maha Buddha, or the great Buddha, which was born from a portion of each of the five DhyâniBuddhas (3); that of the Prajñā, called the Mother of all the Buddhas, and nicknamed Dharma, or the Law; and finally that of the Samgha, or of the Assembly, considered under a point of quite mythological view, and named theown sonof the Buddha. This is whatwe called the Threeprecious objects, objects' which deserve a special worship, at length exposed. Upagupta goes on to say that once theblessed Çàkya-muni taughtto the two BôdhisattvasMailrêya andSarvanivarana vichkambhinthe perfectionsof St. Avalokitesvara, in starting by themiracles that ac-complete the latter, when it came down to the underworld to be convert the sinners, them in to come out and carryin the universeSukhavatî, including Amitâbhais the Buddha.Gâkyamuni exposes that being born formerly as a merchant, (1) The manuscript of Guna karandayyûha, composed in prose, belongs to the Libraryroyal: that of the poem is part of the library of the Asian Society.(2) Hodgson, Notices of the languages, etc., in Asiat. Res., T. XVI, p. 428.(3) In this passage, the great Buddha is represented as arising from the meeting of the fiveBuddhas, who do can be here than in the contemplation: this is the least the only wayof which I can hear the stanza where this Supreme Buddha is defined as follows: Tat yatliâdi-samudbhûtô dharmadhâtusvarûpakah pantchabuddhàniçasamdjâto djagadtçasTaiMgatah. {Guvakaranda vyûha, f.3 b, man. Soc. Aslat.}

Page 104

198INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYunder the empire of the oldBuddha Vipaçyin, heheard of themouth of this Blessed is the account of the qualities of Avalokileçvara. Hesaid connment, to the origin of things, appeared under the form of a flame Adibuddha, the primary Buddhamitif, nicknamed Svalnjamhhû, c:being existing by itself,»And Adinàihay" The firstsovereign. " It is the is engaged in the meditation calledthe Creation of the universe. Of hisspirit is born Avalôkilêçvara, which is also absorbedin a meditation -like and creating of its twoeyes the moon and the sun, of his Mahôçvara forehead, of his Brahmà shoulders, of his heartNarayana, and ofhis teeth Sarasvalî. Avalôkilêçvara track then each of the gods he has created the limits of his authority, and entrusts them inparticular the Defense and the protection of the buddhist faith. The narrator infers from this story the great superiority of Avalo-kileçvara; hein fact the first of all beings except Adibuddha, andgo memoup tosay that " all theBuddhas themselves take refuge with faithwith" From him.))Çâkyamuni then relates that under the ancient Buddha Çikhin hehas been aBôdhisaltva named Dànaçûra, andhe has learnedof themouth of the blessedwhat are themerits of Avalôkileçvara. The long enumeration of its virtuesbringsome passages similar to those that contain the chapter xxiv of Lotus of the good law, to those in particular where are indicated the various roles thattakes Avalôkilêçvara in the plan to convert the beings, appearing for theone in the Figure of the sun, to the other under that of the moon, and thus ofmain deities(1). The saint is shown teaching the law to the Asura, in a cave of Djambudvîpa, called Vadjra kukchi, and their re-controlling the reading and study from Karandavyûha, of which he exalted the efficacitô.Çâkyamuni continues his story by saying that under the ancient Buddha Viçvabhûit has been a Richi having the name of Kchântivâdin, and he heard from the mouthof this Buddha all that is to himask today. In <this story is foundinserted the story of Bah, that mighty king who was relegated in Hell by Yichnu, and who will repent of having followed the law of Brahmins. Avalôkilêçvara himlists the benefits guaranteed to that who has faith in the Three Precious Objects; hehimmake known the rewards promised to the faithfuland the sorrows that awaitthe one who does not believe. Hesettles downbetween him and Bali a dialogue where the Holyattaches to illuminate and toto lead his new faith; hehe announced at lasthemustbe adaya Buddha. Avalôkilêçvara then extends his teaching to(1) The lotus of the good law, ch. xxiv, f. 230 b ff., P. 263 sqq. Page 105

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .199Râkchasas, andis therepresent himselfgoing to the islandfrom Simhala (Geylan), where hepreachto the female demons who inhabit this islandthe necessity of fasting and ofthe confession. Once the Râkchasîs converted to Buddhism, heis transported benârès, to return thesame services in thebeingsthan their niauyaisesactions had reduced to the condition of miserable insects and of worms. Hegothen in theMagadha, where hemiraculously saves theminhabitants of aterrible famine. Then hecomes to attend the assembly of the Auditors of Yiçvabhû,held at Djêtârâma, and they taught the ways of airriver to the knowledge ofthe state of Buddhaperfectly accomplished.Çâkyamuni exposes while it is in his meditationsthat Avalôkitêçvara owes itability to accomplish such great things, and that he himself in particular has beenonce saved from dangerimminentthroughthis holy Bôdhisattva. To thissubject itrecounthistory of Simhala, son of merchant Simha, which is being boarded to goat thesearch for gems on an islandremote, isassailed inapproaching Tâmradvîpa (the same as Tâmraparna, the Taprobane of the an-ciens), by astorm that raise theRâkchasîs,

Gods evilwhoinhabit this island. He is shipwrecked with his companions, and arrives inswimmingto the shore, where the Râkchasîs appear, whounder the figure of beautiful womenlead the merchant to selivrer topleasure with them. Simhala, after havingoassé the night in the arms of one of these women, learns of the lamp that the^ clear that heis dropped from the hands of an ogress that it serves thepleasures andwhich is the devouring. He is warned that other merchantscastaways like \\\ lhad been, since his arrival, thrown into ajail where the Râkchasîs the draweach day to be feastof their flesh. Instructed by therevelations of the lamp it is making with its companions on the shore, where it appears a horsemiraculousthat should the transport horsde the island. But heshouldhe would beware of return the head in rear; the onewho, letting himself be touched by the tears ofRâkchasîs, will take a single glance at the shore, isdoomed to fall intoOcean, where await the ogresses for the put to death. The companions of Simhala agree to bigheart toleave the islandwith him ;but unfaithful totheir promises, theylend ear to the complaints of women they abandonedarise, and disappear one after the other, devoured by the Râkchasîs. Simhalaonly one escapes ;and despite the prosecution of the woman he has left (IANS Island, thewonderful horse the transports in India. This part of the work, of which I did not give than a very succinct analysis, is from much higher than the rest, but the bottom inbelongs to othersBuddhist legends; and I do n't need to call the attention of readersto whichare familiar with the oriental tales of the ogres and the horse sea-Page 106

200INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY old man, fictions already known in Europe, and very frequently told bythe editors of the legends of Nepal (1). The Rakshasi the hands of whichSimhala just escaped seduces the kingSimhakêçarin, and penetratesin its interior apartments. Secondedby theother demons she callsof the islandTâmradvîpa, shedevours theking and hisfamily. Simhala, who alone knows how to explain this disaster, is proclaimed king; and hetakes the resolution to go destroy the Râkchasîs of the island, for there spread thecult of the Three Precious Objects. The demons retreat into a forest; and togoof this event, the country formerly called Tâmradyîpa takes the name of Simhaladyîpa. Sakyamuni then making the application of this story tocharacters who are his contemporaries, exhibits to his listeners that it's himwho was the king Simhala, and that the horse miraculous which hehad his salvation wasthe saint Avalôkitêcvara. Sakyamuni continue in making the exposédes qualities body of Bodhisattva, exposed who ispurely mythological. In each of its poresrise of the mountains and the woods where live the gods and from wise, excluded sively delivered to the practice of the religion. It is, says Câkya, for this reasonlet it be called Dharmakâya ^ «which has as its body theLaw."The BôdhisattvaVishkambhin, with whom maintainsCâkyamuni, expresses the desire to see this wonderful show of body Avalokita. But this is himanswer that everything it is invisible, and he himself has been reach contemplating and the holyonly after infinitely prolonged efforts. This lord of the world, he said, islike a magical apparition; its form is subtle ;hedidn't even reallyneither attributes, nor form ;but when hein is of, isan immense shape, multiple and more large of all; so hesewatch with eleven heads, hundred a thousand hands, a hundred timesten million eyes, etc. Vishkambhin then expresses the desire to know themagic formulaof six letters, Vidyâ chadakcharî, of which Çâkya exaltswonderful efficiency (2). Sakya returns Vichkambhin to Benares, where Avalôkitêçvara himappearsmiraculously in the midst of the air, ordering the tutor that he has chosen to communicate to his student the formula of six letters. Avalokite svara be done to see then a

way supernatural inr(1) The legend analyzed in my text retrouvedans Hsuan thsang, where it has been extracted M. Landresse. {Foe koue M, p. 338 and 339.)(2) This is the famous formula ^ÔiTi mani padmé hûiîi," Which the holy Avalokitesvara is deemed the author. Also do the Did you meet or in ies works, nor in the people to whom Avalôkitêçvara is unknown, that is to say neither in the simple sūtras of the North, nor in those of Ceylon.M. Schmidt saw clearly that it should not belong to primitive Buddhism, since itsauthor the Bôdhisattva Avalôkitêçvara. {Geschichte der Ost-Mongol, p. 319.) But later it seemshave wanted to attribute, at least by conjecture, some influences to this saint on the Buddhism

Page 107

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .201the assembly of Çâkyamuni, in Djêtavana ;then, after havinginterviewed with the Buddlia overdiverse religious subjects, it is making to Sukhavati, to visit AMItala, the Buddha of this universe. The wise Vishkarnbhin, who hasthus had the opportunityto contemplate Avalôkiteçvara, returns again to itsfavorite subject, which is the enumerated ration of qualities of this divine being. Sakyamuni he tells then that timeof ancient Buddha Krakutchanda, hewas born, heÇàkya, like Bôdhisattva, under the name of Dânaçûra, and that in this time Avalôkiteç vara obtained thepos-Session modes of meditation themore high. Çâkya then learns to Yichkambhin the existence of two Tîrthas, orsacred ponds ,located on thesidesouth of Mont Mêru, which have the property to bring all the itemsthat we throwin their waters; and hecompare to their virtue that of Karandavyûha, which is the very sutra that he exposes. One of the main interlocutors of dialogue changes in this place; and it is Ananda, one of the first disciples of Câkya, who talks with his masteron various pointsof thedisciplined.Çâkyamuni predicts, on the occasion of this interview, that three hundred years after its entry into the Nirvanacomplete, that is that is to say after his death, will appear in the monasteriesBuddhist desReligieuxwho will violate the rules imposed by him on his Auditors, and which will lead tobreast of the life ascetic the conduct of men come to the world. Câkya takes advantage ofthis circumstance to expose the principlesdemorale and the rules of conductthat must observe the religious, and it is through this exhibition that concludes hisinterview with Ananda. Here ends, to properly speak the book; but hebe that the various narrators in the mouth of which was successively placed this account reappearseach feels in turn. Thus Upagupta, which has exposed the king Aśoka, resumesthe word for him to recommend thecult of the Three Precious Objects. Açôka himresponds to its turn in it requesting the reason for which the bodhisattva whichheiust to celebrate the merits supreme is called Avalokitesvara. Upaguptareplies that it is because he looks with compassion atbeingssuffering from vils of existence (1). Headdto celticexplanation of new opinion on the Ceylon and of the transgangélique India. {Same. of Acad. des sciences de S.-Pétersb., t. I, p. HO.)I will show more later, by examining the books of the Buddhists of the South, that none of those who are at my disposal not speak even a single time of Avalokitesvara or of its formula. 11 must see for this prayer the work of scientists who have the most busy of Buddhism, and in parli-culier de Klaproth [Nouv. Journ. Asian., T.VII, p. 185 ff), of Schmidt {Mém. of Acad. fromsciences of S.-Pétersb., t. I, p. 112 ff), by Rémusat {Foe koue M, p. 118} and of Hodgson {Journ.Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t,IV, p. 196 ff).(1)We see by then that the Buddhists of Northern consider the name â ' Avalokitesvara asformed of two words, a participle and a substantive, namely, içvara, "the lord, "and avalôkita.

#### Page 108

202INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYworship heis necessary to return to the saint Avalôkita, andon theadvantageswhat ensures thiscuUe to those which the practice. The first of all the narrators, Djayaçrî, afterhaving finished this exhibition that he held by his master, adds a few more stanzas on thebenefits that awaitthe one who readsWherewholisten to recite this Sutra of Karanda vyûha, and King Djinaçrî expresses hisbation for everything hejust heard. The volume was finished in sheet 495,by the title thus conceived:" End of the King of the Sutras, named the Composition of the "basket of qualities of Avalôkitêçvara, exhibited by Djaysicrîto the kingDjinaçrî" Who questioned him. y>This subjectquite poor is exposed in verse of the Anuchtubh meter, and in aSanskrit which is striking for its extreme resemblance tothat of the Puranas brahpotholders. The language is correct, and I do have noticed that two words that attest the presence from a vulgar dialect derived from Sanskrit. These words, that Icitedin note (1), are such that theymay have beenborrowed to othersworks, from where theywill have spent in the Karanda vyûha; theynot enough notto characterize the language of this poem and to make it into a dialect, orunless a mixture of Sanskrit and of pracrit, similar to the one that notices in the developed sūtras. These are simple loans, which can be explained by extremely use often we doof these words in the booksreputed canonical. We can therefore take for certain that the Karanda vyûha is acomposition we must, as for thelanguage, call classic, in opposition to other books with which heshares the title of Sutra; and it 's not athe slightest differences that distinguish this work from others. sutras devel-t who has looked in there. » It is obvious that they give the participle non the passive meaning (watched), but the active sense (who has looked). I do not believe that this use of the participle in / a, which is po-tively authorized by Panini, when hethis is a starting action (1.III,vs. iv, p. 71 and 1"!),can be admitted in the classical Sanskrit for the radical Lok. But this does is not the firstAfter that the language of books Buddhist would move away from the Brahmanical compositions. Hethere is no doubt that the oriental peoples, who knew thename & ' Avalôkitècvara and who havegot to the lead in their idioms, do not have assigned ALA first of the parts of which it is composed the active meaning that I point out here. Klaproth a, in a special essay, put the factout ofdoubts in relation to the Tibetans and the Mongols {\(^\) op. Journ. Asian., T. YII, p. 190}, and M. Ré-musat has also established more of a time in which key the Chinese. {Foe koue ki, p 56, he 7and 119.)(I) These word ^ are the following forms of the adjective toyin (protector) for the Sanskrit irâyin, which has lost its raccording to the principle of Pali; to know, tàyinê, dat. sing. f.19 a; tdijindm, gen.plur. f. 80 a and 179 a; then the term pôchadka for upôchatha, end all in fact Pali, which designates in both the fast imposed to Religious Buddhist and the six days that follow the new moon. This term, which recalls the Sanskrit vpôcham (fasting), is even more altered than Pali, since it has lost its vowel original, which is preserved forever, so that I and the believe in the pale of Ceylon. The frequent repetition of this term is sufficient to explain how it ismay have suffered amodification as strong. Page 109

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .205loppés. I would n't dare to be tooexplicit with regard to the writing prose; themanuscript is so incorrect that I can notthen say if the shocking faults of whichheis marred does not hide some forms faded orpre-written. The truth isthat I have recognizedplus four, which I report in note (1); but these shapesare entirely made features, and they belonghas the same influence asthosewhich will make out, for example,

in the Lotus of the good law. However, they do not seem to me to be numerous enough, nor important enough ,to mark the Karanda vyûha's place among the Buddhist worksto which themixed of Pali and the Sanskrit gives a character so recognizables and. If, as other indices that will be raised all at the time we selfrisent to the belief, the Karanda inprose belongs not to the preaching evenofCâkyamuni, these forms, which are moreover few in number, lose a great deal oftheir importance; and if they are shown in a book that other charactersaway from thecategory of Sutrasprimitive, it is only by influence has been exerted on the author of this book the reading of the treaties where similar forms are employed almost on every line. At the same time that the style of poetic Karanda is thatthe Puranas, the exterior shape and the frame of the work also recall the compiled tions Indian than I am to quote. Here, as in the Purânas, the story does not not present itself to the reader directly and without preamble; hedoes not happen to himcontrary than by means of narrators many, that the hold one on the other ; and it is only after having crossed these intermediaries that one arrives until Çâkyamuni, the primitive narrator, or rather the sacred revealer. It's hereone of the most important features that distinguish the poem from the writing inprose. The latter begins as all theSutras:" Here is what has beeni <understoodby me :One day Blessed be found in the great city of Crâ-" Vasti, in Djêtavana, in the garden Anâtha pindika, with a large assem-" Wheat of Religious, with twelve hundred and fifty religious and many of Bôdhisattvas, etc. " I conclude from this difference that the poem is postedlaughter at the Sutra in prose; because although nothing teaches us thereasons whichhave committed the narrator to make precede the Sutra properly said by these twodialoguesbetween Açôka and Upagupta on the one hand, and Djayacrî andDjinacrî ofthe other, the addition of all this imitated deviceof thetraditionis even more easier to understand than the would be the entrenchment of these dialogues, if they(1) Here are the only traces of faded shapes that I have encountered in the Karaijfja vyûha enprose : sântahpura parivârêhi in place of parivârâih, f. '20 a; paramânuradjasya instead of rad-jasah, f. 23 a; djîvanta for djîvan ^ f. 25 b; vichkaihbhim (name clean), the location of vichkambhi-nam, {. H b.

Page 110

204INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYwould have had a real existence. We do not knownothing yet, heistrue, of Djayaçrînor of Djinaçrî, the last narrators of the poem; but we have already been remarked that the king Asoka and wise Upagupta, which are very cele-bers in the tradition of the North, have lived long after Śākyamuni. I addeven though by announcing the apparition future of perverse religious, who must, three hundred years after Càkya, altering the purity of the law, our poem makes aprediction whose effect is to the seein a tempsoù the Ruddhisme wason its decline. The drafting, moreover, is neither simple enough, nor sufficiently clear of all mythological development, so that thesquarethe rank ofbooks custodians of the tradition of theolder. The saint Avalôkitêçvara, atthe praise which hehas been written, is, and that I haveshown above, entirelyquite unknown to the writers of the sūtras and primitive legends. Hein needsay as much of the magic formula of six letters, which is nothing else than thephrase so often quoted, Oiïi mani padmê hum! This formula, which does not givenot our poem, but who will read two times in the writing in prose, is everythingin fact foreign to Sutras primitive. The presence of this singular phrase, whose existence is linked so intimately, as the Tibetans, to that of their Saint Avalôkitêç vara, is an indication of the same order as the development of thismythological system, foundedon the assumptiona Ruddha intangible

and pri-mordial, which I mentioned above. All this clearly distinguishes the Karandavyûha, not only primitive sūtras, but even developed sūtras; and yet the argument I draw today from these various elements, for establish the posteriority from this poem to towards the monuments of the literatureBuddhist examined so far, does not present itselfin this moment with all thestrength that should receive more later in the comparison that I account to of the Sanskrit collection from the North with the Pali collection from the South. The manner in which the author of Karan.d. avyūha was appropriate the legend onto the first settlement of Indians on the islandby Simhala, previouslynamed Tâmradvîpa or Tâmraparm, is still a sign that the writing inprose itself ismodern. The Sinhalese legendthe Mahavamsa there is modified in a purpose purely special and quite ridiculous, the one to dopass the leader of Indian emigrants, Simhala, for an ancient form ofÇàkyamuni, and to exalt the power supernatural Avalokite śvara of which are concealed under the appearance of a horse in order for theto save. If this childish legend wasold and common to all theschools of Ruddhisme it 's end upwithout any doubt in the Mahavamsa, this precious collectionfromtraditionsSinhalese.But although thedetails of arrivalfrom the IndianSimhala on the coast of Tamraparna be, in the collection Singapore an aforementioned, mixed with

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM.-^ 05some fables, their sethowever still present, of eyes of aimpartial reader, most of characters likelihoodthat the story of Karandavyûha. In the rest, what that is age of this composition, its anteriority to the poem does not seem to meless sufficiently established. The shape of the twoworks only in it only fordecide thequestion; but it issad-sure thereakind of evidence thatonly addresses that small number of per-ringto which thetwo original texts are available. By comparing these twoworks, we remain intimately convinced that the Karanda vyûha in prose is the germ of Karanda vyûhaen vers. I could still producein favor of my feeling the argument provided by themention that the poemmade of Adibuddha, this supreme Buddha, invention of the theistic school, of which we do notis of record that in thetreatedto which other clues force usto assign a modern date, and that Gsoma de Côrôs has reason to believeafter the tenth century of our era (1). As the writing prose doesspeakno Adibuddha, while heis positively named in the drafting intowards, we could say that thethe first is earlier than the other. But it would be may be tie too much of value to an argument negative; and besides the from-cription of body of Avalokite śvara, that the wording in prosegiven in the same termsthat the poem is a caractèreassez mythological to dosuppose that thenotion of a divine buddhaandsupreme, indispensable complementthinkable of the Theistic Pantheon ofBuddhists, was also well in thethoughtfrom the authorof Karanda in prose than in that of the author of Karandain verse. I will add again, in favor of my opinion on the anteriority of theeditortion inprose, she isto my knowledge the only one of the twowhich has been translatedpicked up by the performerstibetanto whichwe owe the Kah-gyur. The versionTibetan, he would be essential to consult, if he became necessary to translate the Karanda, is located in the volume even that contains the translationstion of the Lotus of the Good Law(2). The names of the translators that are indicated to the FM of this versionsont Sakya prabha and Ratna rakchita; but nothing willtells us the precise date of these two authors; and like thetranslations the Kah-gyur have been executed, according Gsoma, the vii " in xiii ' century(3),it'sin the interval included between the year 600 and the year 1200 of our era itshouldplace the version of the Karanda vyûha in prose. The text

Sanskrit is necessarily(1)Analysis of the Sher-chin, etc., in Asiat. Researches, t.XX, p. 488.^ (2) Csoma, Analysis of the Sher-chin, etc., in an Asian. Uesearches, t. XX, p. 440.(3) Analysis of the Dul-va, in Asiat. Res., T. XX, p. 42. Page 112

206INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYment prior to this last limit; but we can neither affirm nordeny that hethe either to the first. As to there writing in verse, or it existed before the vii ^century, or it was not composed until after the thirteenth. If wewantswhathad existed before the year 600, hemust recognize that shedid n't have enoughof authority to be admitted into the, collection of the Kali-gyur, where have howevertook up many works, the age of which can be justly disputed. If shewas only composed after the thirteenth century, itgoes without saying that she could notto be included in acollection that passes for having been arrested in a big waypartaround this time. I admit that if the presence in the Kah-gyur of a tra-duction of Karandayyuha enprose is a proofcertain that the original without-crit existed before the thirteenth century, and even a fairly strong presumption that he hadwas written before the VU®, it is that the Kah-gyur does not contain to release the Karandapoetic is for me a positive proof of the posteriority of this Writing regard to the first, and a presumption of great weightinfavor of the opinion which tends to represent the Karanda in verse like moremodern than thexiii ^century.I add, to finish, that inopinion ofMr.Ilodgson (1),the Karanda vyûha is one of the books that belongs to its owntoNepal. This authorcan not explain, heTrue, on the issue of knowing ifshould be understood here as the work in prose or the work in verse, but the quoteshein factallow me to believe he has for the poem. Assertion of Mr. Ilodgson fits perfectly with the inductions exposed in the discus-previous edition. I incline strongly to the reg \* arder as founded; and soon atdisappear themdifficulties that arose and the existence of a book toomodern among the Nepalese sources of Buddhism, and the absence of a La-Tibetan duction of this poem. The Karanda vyûha in verse is no longer a bookCanonical, is to hand a book written horsde India laterAt the time when the Buddhism was driven out of his native land. I believed that this pointdeserved to be discussed withsome attention not to cause the value of the bookenlui himself, but to show with the help of what clueswecan recognize if a given work is or is not old and authentic. Henow important to sum up in few of words the results of this long discussion. Starting from the description of the sūtras, such that the tradition we had preserved and such that the can do after the two sutras that Itranslated, likespecimens of thiskind of treaties, I sought to establish: 1 ° That there are twokinds of sūtras which differ from each other in this way(1) Sketch of Buddhism., In Transact. Roy. Asidt. Soc, t. II, p. 250.

Page 113

OF INDIAN BtJDDHISM .2Ô ^form as by thebackground, know :theSûtras that I callsimple,andtheSure that the Nepalese themselves, in agreement with our manuscripts, calldeveloped ;2 \*\*That this difference, marked by modificationsimportant in thedoctrine, announces that these twokinds of sūtras have beendraftedto theepo-different ques ;30 What the Sutras simple are more ancient than theSutrasdeveloped,also sometimes called Sûlras serving as a large vehicle, that is to saythat theyare closer to the preaching of Çàkyamuni ;40 That between the simple Sutras, hestill needdistinguish themwhich remindof eventscontemporaries of Çàkyamuni, and thosewhich tell the factsor cite the obvious characters posterior At the time of the founding ofBuddhism ;5 ^ Finally, as all

the works that carry the title of Sutra will havenot, by that alone, be stowed right in the three categories precedingdentes, namely in the two classes of the simple sutras, andin the class of Sutrasdeveloped, but that there are still more modern sūtras, notablysūtras in verse, whichnot have that cash to other amplifications Sutrasin prose, moreor older. SECTION III. VINAYA OR DISCIPLINE. By giving, at the beginning of this memoir, the general description of the Nepalese collection, Isaid we could n't find ita class of books carryingliter general de Vinaya or of Discipline, as it in is ahavingthat of Sutra, and I havemadesee that it was the Avadanas, or legends, whore presented the Vinaya, or the secondcategory of Baddhist scriptures. I have then showed the striking analogy that exists between the Sutras and the Avadànas, treated whichnot differ the one of other than bya formula of little im-lift; and celticanalogy felt even quite strong to allow me todraw indiscriminatelymy examples in the Avadànas and in the Sutras, when I had to describe the state of the society in the midst of which Çàkya appeared provided. What i have said of the sūtras in the previous section appliesso

Page 114

208INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY.exactly to the Avadânas; heyhas Avadânas whonot speak NEQ of Sakyaprovided and of its first followers; these are undoubtedly the oldest ofall, for the bottom at least; hethere in has that the narrative of events related to Buddha mix up the names of characters who havelived that long afterhim, like that of Açôka, for example, andeven of kings much later than thismonarch. There are somefinally who are written in verse and who, similar to Gunakaranda vyuha, that I analyzed everything in time, will have that amplifications fairly modern works or only more or less ancient tradit ons ^An other analogy that approximates the avadānas sūtras, is that the dis-cipline there is not more dogmatically exposed than is in the sūtras themorality and metaphysics. It's there, I hardly need of the state, a conse-natural sequence of analogies that Icome from report. If the moral and metaphysics are not systematically presented in the sūtras, it is that these treatises date back to a time when these two elements of all religionhad not vetacquired their entire pleinet development, or to the meanin a waymore general, it is that they reproduce the teaching fiberandvaried from Câkya, who preached but did not profess. And if the discipline is notmore regularly formulated in the Avadânas, it isthat these treaties are theat the same time as the sūtras, and that ākya, to establish a point of discipline, not be imposed, could more than to the moral and the metaphysical, thewalksevere of aeducational exhibition. It would therefore also be difficult to trace from the reading of avadanas the full picture of the discipline Buddhist, that he is to extract from the Sutras onesystem absolutely regular of philosophy and ofmoral. The Buddhist monks have been engaging with success in a work ofthis kind, not onlybecause they had many works that we re lacking, but still because the discipline involved to formulate wasliving in the midst of them, andthat the practice, which is good as an authority, supplied the to silent or in the dark words of the Master. But wewhodo not have the same resources, we must be satisfied to note, extent that they are present, the point the most important the system that for-mait of Religious followers of There is a regularly organized body. It is this that Itried to do in the course of the readings which I will prefeel here the summary, bringing together the most general features of the organizationtion towhich were submitted the Religious of the time of Sakva and of its premiersdisciples. To be doing Religious Buddhist, hewas enough, and that I havesaid

above, of feeling of the faith in the Buddha and of him declaring the farm will wewas of theto follow. So the Buddha was shaving the hair and beard of Page 115

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .209neophyte, who took for clothing a kind of tunic and a cloak formedto shreds rapiécetés and dyed in yellow, and that wasusually put under the direction of an older religious who took charge of his education. Cornin the beginning of the preaching of Gâkya, and when the number of hisdisciples was small, it was he who directly instructed the neophyte; and the captions are full of. stories which include the Brahmins and the mar-chands, which will present themselves to the Buddha, he made their statement reli-gious, and to receive the knowledge of truths moral andmetaphysics, very few, who formed the partessential of the doctrine. Thiseducationfruitedusuallypretty fastso that those to whom headdressed immediately cross thedegrees which separate ordinary mannaire of the most accomplished Religious .In the sects animated by the spirit of pros-lytism, itmust go fast ;also the captions offer us on each pagethe proof that the faith was on the first disciples of Śākyamuni more for-ment even as his teaching. A side of these conversions operated by Sākyamuni it in spotsothers who don'tare accomplished only with the helpan intermediary, which is aReligious, or only a famous manby hisfavorable provisions Towith regard to the Buddha. These various methods are found exhibited in the legendof Pûrna; and I prefer to represent them here in their true form thanto make a dryanalysis of this legend. I will therefore translate this story to which I have already made more than one allusion, and which seems to me to offer a good specimen of aAvadâna.LEGEND OF PÛRNA (1)." Blessed be found at Gravaslî, toDjêtavana, in the garden of Antha piii-dika. Now at that time resided in the cityofSurpâraka (2)a master of(1) Man. Soc. Asian., F.12 a; from my manuscript f.14 a.Bkah-hgijur, sect.Hdul-va, t.khaor II, p. 37-69. Asian girl. Res., T.XX, p. 61.(2) The legend does not precisely determine the situation of this town; she usonly learns that it was a seaport, since we embarked there to make experiments.distant editions, probably as far as the islandsof the Indian Archipelago. She places it at adistance of more than a hundred Yôdjanas from Çrâvastî, which we seek with Wilson not far fromFyzabad. This statement tells us nothing good positive; we know that there are several evaluations of the Yôdiana, of which the first two would give, one nine hundred miles, and the otherfive hundred English miles for one hundred Indian Yôdjanas. The Buddhist books of the Singhalese con-this city is also born; the Mahâvaihsa the names Syppâraka Patana, and indicated asa point where Vidjaya, the founder of the Sinhalese civilization, landed during his trip Page 116

210INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYhouse, named Bhava, wealthy, having great wealth, enjoying agreat fortune, havinga large and extended entourage, capableto struggle withopulenceof Vâiçravana (1). Hechooses a woman from a family equal to thehis; then hehave fun with it, with her heis delivered to the pleasureand at thevoluptuousness. When hewas entertained themselves with it, his wife became pregnant at boutd'unsome time. She gave birth to the term of eight to nine months and put the worlda son. Three timessevenor twentyandone dayafter childbirth, wecelebrated in a brilliant way thecelebration of the birth, and we took care of himgive a name. What name will the child have? [saidthe father.] The parent répondi-rent: This child is the sonof the master of the house Bhava; heis called Bha-vila. Bhavila was therefore the name he received. ((The

master house is entertains with new avecsa woman; with her heis delivered to the pleasureand to the pleasure, and in had a second son, to which we gave the name of Bhavatrâta. Hein was still a third son, who received the name of Bhavan and in. "However, at the end of some years, themaster of the house Bhavafell ill. Erase it is leftcarry away with words of an extremeviolence itbegan to be neglected by his wife and by his very children .He had ayoung slave ;this girlfitthe following reflection :My master has,by a hundred kinds of means, amassedabundant riches; the here aujour-from yesterday sick, and heis abandoned by his wife and by his children; hewould not not convenable que me as my going to abandon my master. It is madeso with adoctor and said to him: Lord, do you knowBhava themaster ofHouse? - I the know; what should be done for him? - Heit is occurred adisease of such and such a species, and heis neglected by his wife and by his childrensame; show me a remedy for his ailment. The doctor continued: Young girl, you tell me that he is neglected by his wife and by his children; whoso will takecare of him? Theyoung girl replied: It's me that it will care. But that's enough; sea to Ceylon. {Mahâvamso, ch. \ i, p.46. Tarnour, ihid . Index, p. 25.) Vidjaya was fromcountry of Banga (Bengal), from where hehad been hunted: it was therefore in the Bay of Bengal that heyou have to look for the town of Sûrpâraka, in Syppâraka Pali. I do not hesitate to identify this name with theimnipa. of Ptolemy, whom M. Gosselin believed, by very ingenious calculations, to be able to recognize in the modern Sipeler, on one of the mouths of the Krichija. {Rech. on the geography ofold, t. 111, p. 253.) Perhaps this is to place a little low the city named Sippâra by Ptolemy; but the denominations agree very well, and if one has no objections against the identification of Sippara and Sipeler, we do is not in having more against the rapprochement that I proposebetween the Greek Sippâra and the Pali Suppura; the final ka doesn't matter enough to be countedhere.11 does not need to remarquerque routes which Ptolemy borrowed its ma-terials had transcribed this name in its popular form .(1)It is one of the Brahmanic names of Kuvera, the God of riches.

### Page 117

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .214tell me about drugs that not cost cheap (4). The doctor himindicated what was necessary, in saying: Here is the right medicine for the sick. The young girl, taking some "thing about his personal provisions and making some thefts in the house of his master, was put to the treatment. Bhavareturned to the health and made this reflection: I have been neglected by my fertility and bymy very children; if I live, Ithehave to this young girl; hebe that I express my gratitude to my slave for this service. Hehe said thus : Young girl, I have been abandoned by my wife and by my children themselves; all this that Iof life, I the have in your care; I want to give you a gift. The youngdaughter replied: Master, if you are satisfied, agree to trade with me.-Why, saysthe master, desire that I havetrade with you? I give youfive hundred Kârchâpanas, and I set you free (21.The young girl replied: Son ofmy master, when I should live yet longer (3) I do would neverthan a slave; but if the sonof my master has trade with me, I stopto be a slave immediately. Seeing that the determination of the young girlwas irrevocable, the master of the house himanswered: When you are in these as on suitable, you will let me know. At the end of some time the .jeune girlhavinghad her months, warns her master that she wasin the suitable season. Bhavathe master of the house therefore had commerce with her, and shebecame pregnant. Gold from the daywhere sheconceived, all the companies and all the affairs of Bhava the master of the house succeeded perfectly." At

the end of eight or nine months the slave put to the world a son, beautiful, nice to see, lovely, with the skin white and skin color of gold; his headhad the form of an umbrella; his arms were long, his foreheadwide, his eyebrowsgathered, his nose prominent. The daywhere this child came to the world, the enterprises and business of Bhava the master house managed a way extraor-dinaire. The parent is being assembled at the end of threetimesseven or twenty and a(1)I translate ainsila lesson which the Tibetan version madesuppose the existence :alpamûlydnibhâichadjyâni because this release makes so this way: "of drugs to good market. » Ourtwo manuscripts read asya meulyâni bhàkhadjyâni vyapadiça, which means: "indicate to me for" Him of drugs precious. » I do not hesitate to prefer the Tibetan version, especially since"^^ (^ alpa has been very easily be confused with AEF ^ asya.(2) The five hundred Kârchâpanas, at the rate of i 1 grams 375 milligrams of copper the kar-châpaiia, represent about 28 francs 45 centimes.(3) The manuscritde the Asiatic Society said with a little more than words :Dûram api param apigaivâ dâsyêvâham. "Let me go even further, even I also do 'm a slave. » Mymanuscript reads only DURAM API gatvâ, " when I should go much further. » The Tibetan versionStarting perhaps from an original where we read duraw apîpdram ^ aitJd, these last two words meaning :" Having crossed the shore ;» Because this version thus conceived: Bdag rgyangs bkumpar mtchhis, seemsmean: "When I should only die from herefor a long time. "It is in this sense that I havetranslated, but by following the lesson of my manuscript.

Page 118

212INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY days celebrated in a brilliant way the feast of the birth of the child, andgave him the name of Pûrna(The Accomplished). The little onePûrna was entrusted to eightnurses, who were charged two to d'ow of him to the careparticu-bind, so much that he quickly believed, like a lotus in the middle of a lake. When hehad grown up, we made himlearn to write, Arithmetic, the accounts, the palmistry, what concerns the shares, lesi pledges and deposits, the art of judging the fabrics, the land, the precious stones of trees, of elephants, horses, young people, young girls, of the eightobjects, in a word, inappreciation of which he becames killful, talkative, wise and practitioner experimented. "Then Bhava the master of the house successively married Bhavila and histwo other sons. These young people, in love of a passionexcessive for their women engaged in inaction and no thought was to adorn their persons. This is why Bhava the master of the house, holding his head in his hands, wasceaselessly absorbed in his thoughts. His son get noticed and hesaid: Why, dear father, do you keep your head between yourhands, absorbed in yourthoughts? Bhavaanswered: My children, I did not get marriedbefore havingamassed a Lak of Suvarnas (1d;but you who despise work,you havefor your wives oneexcessive passion, and you're thinking that youparry. When I am dead, the house will be filled with misery; how then notwould I not be absorbed in my thoughts? € Bhavilawearing the pendant earrings diamond; hethe detached, andreplacing the rings indrink itpronounced this wish: I dontwill carrymore dependents ears of diamondthat I have not wona Lak of Suvarnas. The second son, in doing so, took of pendant ear of lacquer, and thethird in took lead. The names of Bhavila, of Bhavatrâta and of Bhava-nandin theyworeceasedto be in use and were replaced bythose of Dârukarnin, Stavakarnin and Trapukarnin (2). Having gathered the mar-(1) The comments that I laites in a notespéciale on thevalue of the silver Purânaalso apply to the gold currency known as Snvarna, literally gold. In here

evaluationafter Colebrooke. 11 five Kriohnalas or black seeds of Vabrus precatorius are needed to make oneMâcha of gold, and sixteen Mâchas to make a Suvarpa. {Asian girl. Res., T.V, p. 93, ed. In-S ".) Or theKrïchnala worth 2 ~English troy grains , the golden Mâcha , which is equal to five Krichnalas, isexactly 10 i ^ troy grains . Sixteen Mâchas making a Suvari.ia, this last coin equals 175 English troy grains , that is to say 11.375 grammes, which makes 35 fr. 26 cent, and a very lightfraction.Now, as a Lak (in Sanskrit lakchd) equals one hundred thousand, the Lak of Suvariiascomes down to 3,526,200. That is, in the Buddhist legends, thefigure of immense fortune.See still other evaluations from Wilson. {Hindu Theater, t. I, p. 47, note \*.)(2) These three names mean respectively: "who haspendant earrings of wood,of"lacquer, lead. "Page 119

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .213candles, they left for the great ocean. Pûrna then said :my father, Ialso wants to go to the great ocean !But Bhava answered him :You are not yetthan a child, O my son ;stay here ;take care of businessof the store. Purna remained so to the house."However itsbrotherscame back, bringing theirhealthy vessel and except. After having rested the hardships of trip, they said to Bhava: Evaluate, dear father, our goods. the father fitevaluation, and hese found that hereturned to each unLak of Suvarnas. Purna had also led the businessof the house with order and probity; also, had heamassedmoreof a Lak of Suvarnas. If ELANT so prostrated offeet from his father, hesaid to him: Evaluate, O my father, the amount of it that I have won in the shop. Bhava replied: You stayed here, my child; what is thereto evaluate for you? Pûrnaanswered: Always evaluate, O my father; we know well that in is. The father made the evaluation; and besides the values in Suvarnas, product of ordinary gain, we foundeven in excess of a Lak. Bhava the master of the house, full of satisfaction and of joy, is set to consider: It is distinguished, if it is, and has the magnitude (1), the being who, without being sortidici, has won as many gold."However hecame atime when Bhava came to weaken; hefitthen this reflection; When I am dead, my children will divide; hemust findsome means [to prevent their disunity]. Hethey said so :My children, bringof wood. When the wood was brought: Put it on fire, they said he; and they put it there. Bhava they said then: Let each of you remove the embers; they the all withdrew, and the fire was extinguished. Bhava they said then :Have you understood,my children? -Yes dearfather, we got it. Bhava immediately recited thenext stanza: "It is united that the coals burn; in the same way the union of the brothers makes their strength; and like the coals too, it is in itself separating that the mengo out. {]) The expression used here in the text is, as far as I can believe, specially properin Buddhist Sanskrit. It is punya mahêcâkhya, term forméde the meeting punya and ofmahêcûkhya, which is often found alone, and which is the opposite of 'alpêçâkhya. This last termis explained by the way following in the comment of Abhidharmakośa: Alpêçâkhyaanudarô hînadjâlîya ity arthah; ichia itîçah; alpa îçô alpêçah; alpêça ity âkhyâ yasya, sô 'Ipêçâkhyah, viparyayât mahêçûkhyah. (F. 202 bof my manuscript.) That is: "Alpêçâkhya means whoa is not noble, which isof a low extraction'; ka is synonymous with ichta (desired); gathered at"the adjective alpa, it means little desired, and with akhya (name) there form the compound alpêçâkhya, that is"To- say that that the name is somewhat desired. We say in the opposite sense mahêcâkhya, or the one whose"the name is greatly desired. » Perhaps we would arrive more directly and more quickly at the realmeaning, keeping in Ica the

meaning of master, and in explaining and these two words :"The one who has"the name of a master of little, or of a great master. "

Page 120

244INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY"When I am dead, my children, hewill require not écoutervos women; in fact, the family is divided by the women; the things confused divide(sedistinguished) by the words; a spell cast wrongly is destroyed; the pleasure isdestroyed by the greed." The sonswithdrew; Rhavila was left alone with Rhâva who said to him: my son, never abandon Pûrna, because it is a man who is madeto knowas distinguished and as having the magnitude. {(Everything that is amassed ends up being destroyed; what is high ends upto fall; which is united ends by themselves dissolve; what lives ends up dying." After having thus spoken, Rhava undergoes the lawof time. His sonsparing alitter of blue, yellow, red and white fabrics, the carried at the cemetery ingreat pomp, and younsumed hisbody at the stake. When then their grief began to dissipate, they are saying: From the time that our father lived, we were subject to his authority; but ifNow we give up tobusiness, thehouse will fallin decline; shebornwill be more flourishing. Why do n't we takegoods ,andwon't we gonotinforeign country? Purna they said then: If it is so, I also go with you. His brothers answered him: Rather stay herefor thebusiness from the shop; we will go alone. They gathered thus the goods and left forone anothercountry. Pûrna, to whom all affairs had been entrusted ,kept the House. It is a rule that in the homes of rich people, what isnecessary for the expenditure of the day is distributed [every evil one]. Thewives of the brothers [who had left] sent their maids to fetch the ar-gent of the expense. But Purna was surrounded by people rich, to chiefs oftrades of leaders merchants, and other people who lived in their trade; so the maids could not find the moment [to approach her]. When those whosurrounded himself were raised and qu'ds were gone, Purna gave the maidsthe money needed for the day. These not returned that very late atof their mistresses, who reproached them.But thegirlstheirtold in detail (I) what had happened, and added: Thus it inhappens to those in the family where the son of a slave exerts his will the commandment. The wife of Rhavila said to his servant: It takes that you spying on(1) The word that I translate by in detail is in the text vistarêna. This term is used, inBuddhist Sanskrit, each time we shorten a discourse or an enumeration of which the terms are known from the above. For in to understand the job, it should therefore, in place of the translation literal with wide, use a form like this one, for thesay in a nutshell. This is because basically this phrase means:" We repeat herethat which is said elsewhere in detail. » The Tibetan version fills the ellipseand resumes the narrative in there adding some expressions that are missing in my two manuscripts. " Puma, surrounded by people rich, to chiefs of trades. Page 121

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .'215the time suitable to go [look what me back]. This one havingwatched the moment suitable, is made fromPurna and received very fast [thatwho himwas coming back]. The other girls were always wasting their time waiting ;they questioned the first servant, who they told how she was doing. So they left with her, and then received as quickly as the first this that was theirs .So their mistresses asked them :Where does that you come back now so quickly? The maids answered :You must this happiness to your elder sister-in-law; his maid receives what himcome back soon as she arrives ;so we always gowith it. The two sisters said with a feeling of jealousy: It is as well as heit comes to those in whose family the sona slave shall exercise

its discretion oncommanddementingly."However, when a some time is was passed, Bhavila, Bhavatrâta and Bhavan and in, still together and living in perfect intelligence, came backofgreat Ocean by bringing their ship safe and sound. Bhavila asked tohis wife: Have you beentreatyhonorably by Pûrna? Sheanswered: As pera brother orthrough a son. The other women, questioned by theirhusbands, answered them: This is howheit comes to those in the family from which the son of a slave exercise at his will the commandment. Thetwo brothers made this reflection: The women sow the division between the friends. "In process of time, weexhibited in the fabric shopofBenares; Tohardly were these fabricsexposed, as the son of Bhavila came tothere enter. Purna gave to this child a couple pieces of these fabrics for he covered himself with it. The other women the saw; they sent their children[Tothe shop]. However, it is had exposed the fabrics Varanasi and the fabrics acoarse fabric. The chance wanted that when children came, it was from these last that Pûrna clothed them.the twomothers said to their husbands :See !some of the fabrics of Benares are given; is in giving to others acoarse fabric. The two brothers replied with thisobservation: How? 'Or' Whatcould this have been done? We had certainly exhibited in the shop for fabrics Varanasi and the fabrics a fabric rough (1)." A different timeweexposed of sugar in thereshop. The lilby Bhavila" of heads of merchants and other people who live in their trade, sits, shining a"splendor that matches the brightness of the sun. This is when those who surrounded himself were raised and they" Were gone, that we have given it that we came back. " At rest, the release Tibetan containsstill other additions which did not seem to me to be important enough to be introduced in the story.(1) The Tibetan version adds:"It can not be an oversight." Page 122

216INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthere came and in full tooka vase. The other two mothers having seen it, sent theretheir children. The chance would they should come to the point where in the molasses was exposed in the shop; also is it of the molasses they took. Theirmothers noticed this, and they annoyed their husbands so much that they began tocried to thinkto make the sharing of the establishment. The two brothers hadbetween them of interviews this topic: We are milkedwrong in everything, they said to each other; hemust do the sharing. One said: Let us warn our elder brother; the other answer-dait: Let however how we will do the sharing. Theydivided therefore the establishment at their discretion :to one what the house contains and whatwhat does the land produce; on the other this that contains the store, and the goodslocated outside the country; at the third Pûrna. If our elder takes the house andland, we will be able to subsist with the shop and with the goods located outside the country. If, on the contrary, he takes the store and the goods located outside the country, we will still be able to subsist with the house andland, andkeep Pûrna[formake it work(1)]."After having surrenderedto of suchinterviews, theybe surrenderednearBhavila. Brother, himthey said, we are madewrong ;execute the share of the House. Bhavilaleur says: It is only necessary to act after having thought carefully; thewomen cause the division of families. The two brothers replied: We are therehave thought enough; do the share. Bhavilar esumed: If he is so, call the people of our caste [as arbitrators (2)]. We have already doneourselves the sharing, he told his two brothers; we have assigned to oneit contained the house and that that produce the land ;to another the shopand property located outside of the country ;at the third Pûrna. Do n't you doofgo to Pûrna? said Bhavila. He is the son of a slave, replied the two brothers; could he give a hand? On the contrary even, we have made of himaobject

ofshare; if it the appropriate, you can the take.Bhavila fitsothis reflection: My father told me: Give up, if hetheshould, all your good, andtake Pûrna; then having resolved to keep this last, hesaid to his brothers: That heeither so; I take Pûrna for myself. Whoever had the house and the land is(1) I thus conjecturally translate thesentence of the text which seems obscure to me: Pûrmkasyatcha maryâdâ bandhanam karium, "and Pûn.iam intra cohibere limits. "The Tibetan translates:" And make Pûnia suffer." "(2) This is a conjecture that I translate as well [âhvayanlârftkulâni; Tibetan uses of the formerpression gzo-bo mi-lta, which perhaps means " the meeting of the craftsmen. " The artisans heremustto be the cast of merchants to which belonged the sons of Bhava. The way the broader ofMla is that of family, troop; but this effect will be to determine that by the context. Thereby,in the Mrîtch tchhakatî, we see a religious Buddhist appointed to the dignity of chief of monasticland of Udjain; and this dignity is called that of Kulapati, that is to say " the chief of the troops ofReligious. » {Mrîtch tchhakatî, p. 342, ed. Hold. Wilson, Hindu Theater, t. I, p. 179, note ^.)

Page 123

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .217should render in all haste to the house and said :Woman of my older brother get out of here. She went out, and the brother added : You won't come in here anymore. - AndWhy?-It's that we have made the sharing. The one who had the shop and the property located out of countries is made in any hurry to the store and said : Pûrna, come down. Pûrna came down, and the brother added: You will not go upmore here.-Why ?- It's that we have made the sharing our good."But the wife of Bhavila be retired, as well as Purna, in the houseof aparent. Sesenfants were hungry, is set tocry. The mother then saysin Pûrna: Give to these children their first meal. Give me oneKârchâpana, resumed Pûrna. How, saidthemother, you who have suggested so many Laks toSuvarnas, you do n't even have onefirst meal to give to these children !Is thisthat I knew, continued Pûriia, that your house was going to be divided in this way ?If I had known, I would n't have missed taking severalLaks of Suvarnas. It is the use that the women attach to the end of their garment of kar-brazen chapanas(I). The mother gave to Purna a Machaka brazen and he said:Go find something to make the first meal.Pūrn.a taking the piece of money, is returned to the market. There it found a man who, wearing a load of woodjetty by the sea on the edge of theshore, had beenseized by the cold and went awayall shaking. Pûrna saw him and asked him: Hey! friend, why are you trembling? The man continued: I do n't know; only, hardly had I loaded this far-of water on my shoulders, that I myself amfelt in this state. Pûrna, who was expert to recognize the woods, began to consider that that was the man, andrecognized that it was the wood of sandalwood of the species named Gôcîrcha (2). He says then to the bearer : iVmi, for what pricewould you give this piece of wood? Forfive hundred Karchapanas, he continued (3). Pûrna took his charge from him for this price; and having carried it away, heget went to the market and to cut with a saw fourparts. Hethethen sold a thousand Kârchâpanas (4) to a buyer whoinwould make of the powder fragrant. Out of these thousand Kârchâpanas, heremittedfive hundredtocarrier, andhesaid to him: The wife of Bhavila resides in asuch house ;go take him this piece of wood andtell him :That's as Purna(1) It is in here from the Sanskrit text and the Tibetan version a discrepancy it must be noted; toplace of:" Of the Kârchâpanas of brass, "the Tibetan says: "false Màchakas." This version has I benefit from being more consistent; because if these are the Machakas that the women attach to the end of their garment, it is natural for the mother to give one of these pieces to Pûrna; Ihowever did not want to change anything in the wording of the Sanskrit text, because it indicates themetalcoarse from which the room was formed, (2)Literally "head of cow. » See a note on this denomination at the end of this volume, Appendix No. V.(3)That is to say for about 28 francs 45 centimes. (4) Around 56 francs 90 centimes. Page 124

218INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY send you. The man went to the woman of Bhavila, andtold himthisthat had happened is strikingthen the chest, she cried: After having losthis fortune, would he have also lost the reason? I himsaid to bringsome cooked thing, and itWhat sends me to the fire; but what to cook iswhat hedoes not give. However, with what heremained of Kàrcliapanas, Purna was acquired two slaves of the one and of the other sex, a beef and a cow, clothing and other means of subsistence; then taking thecooked rice, itbe surrendered to thehome and served his brother and to his wife. The Family in was filled with joy." At some time in there, the king of Sûrpâraka fell ill with a feverhot. Doctors prescribed him for medicinethe sandal of the speciesknown as Gôçîrcha. The ministers of the kingis set so to pick up the sandal ofthis species. Theylearned step by step what had happened the market. Having traveled in Purna, they himsaid: You have the wood of sandalwood from the species we called Gôçîrcha. - Yes, he replied.- At what price it would you give? -For a thousand Kârchâpanas. The ministers ontook for this sum. on thegave to the king in the form of ointment, and herecovered the health (1). The king then madethis reflection: What is a king than the one in the housefrom which hethere is notof sandalwood from the Gôçîrcha species? Then heasked: Where does this one come from? - From homePûrna. - That we call thisPûrna. A messenger was dispatched to the merchant and aid to him: Pûrna, the king asks you. Purna is started then to think about :Why ishe said, the Kinghe calls me? This is undoubtedly because he owes his healing tosandalwood Gôcîrcha that I did call; hemust that I go The find, incarrying all my sandalwood with me. So wrapping three pieces of sandalwood in hisgarment, and taking one in his hand, heis made in the presence of the king. This onehe asked, Purna, are you still of sandalwood? -Yes, sir, in here. -What price do you want? - A Lak from Suvarnas. - As did you even more? -0) The opinion expressed here concerning the cooling properties of sandalwood was very general.LEMENT prevalent in the early days of Buddhism, and legends by offering frequenttestimonials. When the king Adjâtaçatru fell without knowledge of the story of thedeath of Çàkya-provided, that is with the sandalwood that he maderegain his senses. (Csoma, Asiat. Res., T.XX, p. 310.) A Tibetan legend translated from Mongolian by M. Schmidt, and relating to the discovery of a statue of Avalôkii êçvara, expresses the same belief in this way:" The places where to find trees of sandalwood are noted for their freshness; the elephants are looking for them and" Come to take refuge there against thethe consuming heat of the sun. " (Schmidt, Geschichie der Ost-Mongolian, p. 332.) The ancient authors who will be busy with the botany of India also domention of this belief, which still exists today. (Rumphius, Herbar. Amboinense, t.II, p. 45, ed. Burman. Garcias ab Horto, Aromat., 1.I,vs. xvii.) We know enfln that the qualityrefreshing the sandalwood is for the poets Brahmanical a subject comparisons frequentlyment employee; it suffices for me to recall here a few well-known verses from Gita gôvinda.

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .219Yes, lord, resumed Pûrna, and heshowed him the threeother pieces. The kingordered to his ministers to rely on PurnafourLaks of Suvarnas. Pûrnaresumed :Do me do give than three, Lord ;one of the four piecesis offered inhereto the king. We did it gave so that three Laks; but the kingsaid to him: Pûrna, I amhappy; tell me, what favor can I give you? If the King is pleased with me, said Purna, hepromises me that I will live to shelter of any insult in his domain. The king immediately ordered the following to hisministers: You will give, to From today, your orders to all the youngpeople, except at ^ ûrna." However five hundredmerchants landed at Sûrpâraka, returning from atripon the great Ocean and bringing their ship safe and sound. The body ofnegotia) its [of thecity]agreed to this settlement: Hemust always remain united, and none of us will has to separate from the others to go find only the mar-chands [newly arrived]. If someone is going, hewill pay [as a fine] sixty Kârchâpanas, and the bodyof tradersreunited will seize the merchant-say. Some said: warn Purna of of this arrangement ]. Otherssaid: To whatgood warn this wretch?" In this time Purna was out of his house. Helearned that five hundredmerchants had arrived to Sûrpâraka, back from a trip on the bigOcean, bringing their ship safe and sound. Without back in the city, hesereturned to them and they said: Lords, what is this object? The merchantsanswered him: It is such and such a thing. - What is theprice? The mar ^chands answered him: Head of merchants, it is to you, who are gone away and inforeign country, that heyou have to ask for the price. It can be; however sayalways your price. The merchants fixed the priceto eighteen Laks of Suvarnas. Pûrna resumed: Lords take to deposit cestrois Laks, and give me these goods; I you will pay the stay [when I amreturned to town].It isagreed, said the strangers. So he gave the three Laks that hehad brought; and after leaving on the goods imprint of its stamp, he went away .ft The body of tradersthecitythen sentfromdomestic workers, responsible forrecognize the goods[strangers]. These men having returned to them, said to them: What is this object? -It is suchandsuchthing. - We also we have attics and the shops full of [merchandise].- That they are fullor empty, this is sold. - To whom?-In Pûrna. - Youwill lose big with Pûrna; we are bidding on it. Themerchants continued: You do not give even for full price that he has given for deposit. - What did he give? - Three Laks of Suvarnas. The Page 2

220INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY two brothers [inhearing these words] felt full of envy. Theyis REN-said toof the corps of traders and told them what had happened. Thegoods are sold. - To whom ? - In Pûrna. - Theywill lose big withPûrna; we are bidding on it. - You do not give the same for pricearound what he has given to the deposit. - What did he give ? - Three Laksof Suvarnas. All, Ace story, felt full of envy. They didto callPûrna and said to him :The body of traders is agreed to this settlement :Nobody should go buy only the goods ^ otherwise the bodytraders will seize the objectpurchased. Why did you go shopping alone ?Lords, said Purna, when you have stopped this settlement you,me have vousprévenu, me or my brother ? You havemade this order betweenyou alone; therefore observe it alone also. But the merchants, full of envy, thestood exposed to the heat of the sun, for the force topay sixty Kârchàpanas. The '^ ens of the kingsaw what was happening and came to tell him again .That we docome these traders, saidthe king. When theywere arrived, the king they said :Forwhatright hold on Pûrnaexposed to ardor; from the sun? Lords, answerthey said, the body ofmerchants is agreed the settlement following :Anybodynot should go buy only the goods ;it there is ,

however, wentalone. Pûrna then said: King, deign their request if, when they have stoppedtheirregulation, they in have warnedme or my brother. No, they have not been pre-come, continued the merchants. The king then said :Pûrna speaks well. Also themerchants fullof shame, the they relaxed."At some time in there, hehappened that the king had besoinid'un certain object. He called the body of traders and they said: Merchants I need of suchobject ;get it for me. It's Purna which theowns, said the merchants. Ihave nothing to order from Pûrna, resumedtheKing; it's up to you to buy him thisobject, then after you me it will provide. They sent accordingly a mes-Wise to Pûrna to tell him: Pûrna, thebody of traders desirestalk to you. Pûrna replied: I wo n't go. The traders having all met inbody, iswent to the house of Purna, and is holding to itsdoor, they sent him amessenger to tell him: Come out, Pûrna; the body of traders is at your doorstep. So Pûrna, who isfelt proud, went out, proud not to dothat thishewanted to. thebody of traders himthen said: Head of merchants, give-us yourmerchandise atprice that you havepurchased. I would be a skilled mar-chand, resumed Pûrna, if I gave my merchandise for the price that she has mecost! Give us the double, said the merchants; the negotiating bodyciants isrespected. Pûrna reflects :The body of traders is honorable ; firthe they give to this price. Purna them therefore abandoned its goodsfor the

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .221double what hehad itpurchased. Thenbearing toforeigners fifteen Laks, hehuddled the rest in his house. 11 fitthen this reflection: Is it possible to fill a vase with adrop of dew? Hebe that I embarked me on thegreat Ocean. So he proclaimed at the sound of a bell in the cityby Sûrpârakathe following: Listen, merchants ofSûrpâraka: Purna the head of the merchants willembark on the great ocean. That one of youwho wants to embarkwith Pûrna, under theguaranteeof acomplete tax exemption, taxinput and ofpassage price for his merchandise, prepare thishe intended to this trip on the Grand Océau. To this news five hundred merchants ras-seemed thegoods they intended for this trip. So Pûrna theHead of merchants, after having called on hisenterprise the blessings and thefor the skyembarked on thegreat Ocean, with this suite offive hundredtraders. Hethen returned, bringing his ship safe and sound, andrecomthreatened his travels up to six times. Also this noise ishe spilled on all sides: That Purna who was embedded six times on the great ocean, and that has broughtevery timehis vaissseau Sainet exception." Merchants of Qravastî having gathered acargo, came adayat Sûrpâraka. When theyhave relaxedfatigue oftravel, they are returned the place where was Purna the chief of the merchants, and being therearrived, they himsaid: Head of merchants, let's embark onthe big oneOcean. Purna them said :Have you ever seen, [lords, or have you heardquote a man who, after being returned six timesof the great Ocean, by bringinghis ship safe and sound, has embarkedaseventh time? It's for you Pûrna, they continued, that we are coming from a distant country. If read not getting yourself into not, you alone in 're responsible." Pûrna then made this reflection :I have no need of riches for myself; however I will embarkfor the sake of those people. He went therefore with themon the great Ocean. These merchants the night and in the morning, read in high voice thehymns, the prayers that lead to the other shore, the texts that discover the Indeed, the stanzas of Sthaviras, those that are related to various science, those of solitaries, as well as the sūtras containing sections relating totemporal interests. Purna, who 's heard, they said: Lords, what are these beautiful poems that you sing? - Supper arenot of poems, chef des mar-chands; these are the Buddha's own words. Purna, who had never

heardpronounce this until thenname of Buddha, felt his hairs hérissersur all hisbody, and he asked for fullof respect :Lords, who is it that you nameBuddha? The merchants replied :The GramanaGautama, born of the familyof the Çâkyas, who after having shavedhis hair and beard, after having put on Page 4

222INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY clothes yellow color, has left his home with a faith perfect to enterin life religious, and which is managed to the supreme state of Ruddha perfectly .accomplished, it is there, O chief of the merchants, one that we call the Ruddha. -In what place, lords, is he now? - A Crâvastî, head ofmerchants, in the woods ofDjêtavana,in the garden of Anâtha pindika." Pûrna having engraved thesewords in his heart, sailed on the great oceanwith these men of Cravasti, and returned in bringing his ship safe and sound. His brother Rhavila then made this reflection: Pûrna is tired of traveling on the great ocean; hehe mustget married. Hetherefore said to him: Tell me, my brother, towhatman rich, or ofwhatchief of merchants will I ask for the girl for you? Ido not want thempleasures of the senses, resumed Pûrna; but ifyou give me yourpermission, I will embrace the religious life. How? 'Or' What?resumes Rhavila, whenhethere was nothing in the house, you did not think of embracing religious life; why there would enter you today [quenous arerich]? Thatdo n't talk to medid not come then, said Pûrna; now that looks good to me. Rhavila seeing bythere that his resolve was unshakeable, gave him his authorization. Pûrna tells himthen: My brother, the great Ocean has many miseries and few sweets; many them embark, but little in return; do you there embarks never underno pretext. You have great riches which have been earned honestly; but the fortune of your brothers is the well unjustly acquired. If they ever cometo tell you :Let's live together itbe their answer: No." After he had given this advice, he tooka servant and set out for Çrâvastî. When he There arrived, hestopped in the garden and sent his messenger to Anathapindika the master of the house. The messenger having presented himself before themaster, said to him: Pûrna the chief merchant is in the garden, eager to see youthemaster of the house. Anaihapindika made this reflection: It is undoubtedlythan, tired of hismaritime shipments, it now makes land trips. Thenhe asked the messenger: How is considerable the cargo he has made?'- Heit is indeed goods! He is coming only with me, who am his Servi-tor. Anathapindika then made this reflection: Heit would n't be good for me not tonot receive in my house, with the honors of hospitality, a man of this importance. Purna was therefore introduced with a large pump; is theperfumed, they gave him the bath, they offered him a meal. While they are conversing-are born of pleasantpropos, Anathapindika fitto Pûrna the following question: Head ofmerchants, what is the purpose of your trip?- I suddenly wanted, O master of the house, embrace the religious lifeunder the discipline of the Law which is well renowned; I desire the investiture and the rank of Religious. So Anathapindika the master of the house, straightening the upper part of herbody stretch Page 5

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .223ing the arm right, uttered these words with emphasis on the joy :Ah Buddha !ah the law! ah the Assembly! Whether your reputation is well spread, for qu'au-Jourd'hui a man of Celtic importanceleaving the crowd large ofhis friends and of his people, as well as its rich stores, wants to embrace the liferengiousunder the discipline of the well- known Law , and asks for the investiture andthe rank of Beligieux!Then Anâthapindika the master of the house, taking with himPurna, are made

in place which was located Bhagavat." Now, at this time Bhagavat, seated in the presence of an assembly made up of several hundred of Religious, taught the Law. He saw Anathapindika themaster of the house, who came forward with the present [that heintended]; and when hehad seen it, hespoke of again in these terms to Religious: Here, O Religious, Anathapindika the master of the house, who comes forward with ahere. Hethere is notfor the Tathagata of present as pleasant as that which is given to him in him-nant a man to be converted. Then Anathapindika the master of the househavingwelcomed by the touching of the headthe feet deBhagavat, is placed to side withPurna the head of merchants; thenof the place where hewas headdressed himself toBhagavat: Here is Pûrna the chief of the merchants, who wishes to embrace the religious life.gious under the discipline of the well- renowned Law, and who asks for the investitureandtherank of Religious. Well wishes, by compassionpour himohBhagavat,to admit and receive him as a Religious. Bhagavat received with his silence thewords of Anâtha pindika the master of the house (1). Then headdressed and to PurnatheHead of merchants: Approach, O Religious, embrace herliferengious. Bhagavat did was not more pronounced thesewords that Purna wasfound shaved, clad in the religious mantle, and that provided with potthe alms and the vessel which the end is the beak of a bird, having a beard and a hairseven days, heappeared with the decent exterior of aReligious who would have received the investiture sincehundred years. Approach, said the Tathagata to him again; and Pûrna shaved, covered withcoat religious feeling the truths bring the calm in all its senses, heldstanding, then sat down, with the permission of the Buddha.((At the end of some time the venerable Purna is returned to the place where is found Bhagavat; and when heit arrived, having welcomed by the touching of the headthe feet of the blessed, it is held in hand and spoke to him in these terms :thatBhagavat consents to teach me the lawinAbridged, for after having in-outstretched thus from the mouth of Bhagavat, I may, live alone, withdrawn to a place(1) The text is "ert hereof a Buddhist expression: Adhivâsayati Bhagavân Andthapinda-Dasya gnhapatês tûchnîbhâvênaj following Tibetan: a No word no fat granted by Bhagavat" To the master of the house Anâtha piijdada."Thisexpression is no less familiar toBuddhists South who use the pale. (Tumor, Mahâvanso ^ p. 6,1.9 and pass.)

Page 6

2.24INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYr desert, to the shelter of distraction, attentive, applied and collected mind. WhenI will havelived withdrawn in the loneliness, to the shelter of any distraction, attentive, appliedandthe collected spirit,may I, after havingrecognized immediately byme-even afterhave seen face to face this goal supreme of the religious life, which is thatthe sonsof family shaving their hair and their beards and donning clothesyellow, leaving the house with a faith perfectand embrace the life ofbeggars; may I, said I, after receiving the nomination, make theothers the old religious! The birth is annihilated for me; I havefulfilled the de-Also see beyond religious life; I have done this that Ito do; i do n't knowother state than the one where I am located."Having said that, Bhagavat thus spoke to therespectable Purna: Good, good, Pùrna; heis good that thou hast said, as you come to thedo: May Bhagavat consent toteach me the Fa byabbreviated, up to: I don't know of any other state than thatwhere I am. Listen, O Pûrna, and engrave well and completely in yourspiritthis that I say. Heexists, O Purna, the shapes made to be seenby the view formswho are desired, sought after, loved, who are lovely, who give rise to the passion that excites the desires. If a

Religious, at the sight of theseforms in is met, itthe research, it feels to the inclination for them, if he takes pleasure in it, then the result of these various movements ishehas the pleasure. As soon as the pleasure exists, immediately appears with the pleasure the satisfaction of the heart. From that with the pleasure is the satisfaction of the heart, immediately seems the passion. When with pleasure is the passion soonappearwith them the enjoyment. The Religious, O Pûrna, who feels the fun, passion and the enjoyment is toldvery distantof Nirvana. There are, O Pûrna, sounds made to be perceived byhearing, the smell made to be perceived by smell, flavors made forbe perceived by the taste of touches made to be perceived by the bodylaws (or conditions) made to be perceived by the Manas (the heart or organinternal), all attributes which are desired, sought after, loved, which are lovely, which create the passion (Jun excite desires. If a religious, sending theseattributes, as is satisfied, and thus to result as above, it is very far from Nirvana. On the other hand, O Pûrna, hethere are forms made to be perceived by the views, forms that are desired, sought, loved, which are lovely, who give rise to the passion that excite the desires. If a Religious, at the sight of theseforms, is not satisfied, if hedo the research not, if only to sentpas of inclinednation for them, if he does it delights not, then theresult is it has not topleasure. When the fun does not exist, then there is neither the content, nor the his-tisfaction the heart. When hethere is no contentment or satisfaction of the heart, the passion does not exist not. When there are no passion, the enjoyment does not exist. Page 7

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .225 . When the enjoyment exists not, the Religious, O Puma, whonot feeling orpleasure, no passion, or jouissance, is said to be very close of Nirvana. Heexists, O Pûrna, the sounds made to be perceived by the ear, the smell made to be by odoral, flavors made to be by the taste of touches made to be bythe body of laws made to be by the Manas, all attributes that are desired, wanted, loved, which are lovely, that are born of passion that excite themdesires. If a religious, seeing these attributes do is not satisfied, etainsi of continued as above, it is very close to Nirvana(i)." For this exhibition, O Purna, I come to you educate a way abridged. Ordo you now want to live? Where do you want to fix your stay?- Through this exhibition, Lord, said Purna, Bhagavat comes to instruire me a way abridged; I want to live, I want to fix my stay in the country of Çrônâparàntakas (2). They are violent, O Pûrna, the men of the rônâparânta; they are carried away, cruel, anger, furious, insolent. When the men of the Crônaparânta, oh Pûrna, will address you in the face of mean, rude and insolent, when they is will in anger against you and qu'ilst'iniurieront, what will you think of that? - If the men of the Crônaparanta, lord, address me in front ofwords nasty, rude and insolent, if they are put in anger against meand they insult me, here's this that I think about itof that: These are certainly the men good as the Crônaparàntakas, what are the men gentle, them who address me in front of the wordsmean, rude and insolent, themwho isget angry with me and who curse me but whodon't mestrike neither of thehand niToblowsofstones.- They are violent, O Pûrna,themen from Çrônâparânta, [etc. as above, up to:] they are insolent. If the men of the Crônaparanta hit youwith the hand or with blows (1) I have completed the translation of this passage from the Tibetan version. The Sanskrit text of two manuscripts that I have under the eyes is conterfte of Aire: pûrvavat çuMapakchê, what that means: Toas above, in the favorable scenario. "(2) This people's name is made up of two words: one is Aparânta, which means " country located on" The border, but in short, "as opposed to Parânta, "countries located on the other side of the frontier." This sense has been well

established by Wilson. {Vichnu purâna, p. 189, note 60.}Wilford quote, from the Varaha Samhita of Aparântikas, which are placed in the west, without othersmore precise designation. {Asian girl.Researches, t.VIII,p.339, ed. Cale.} The other word, formingthe ethnicity of our text, is Çrôna, which I do not remember having seen quoted so far asname of people. I do find that Croni, given to a river which thecourse is not indicated. {Vichnu purâna, p. 185, note 80.} We meet theterm of Apardnta city in the most ancientBuddhist monuments, especially in the so-called Açôka inscriptions {Journ.Asiat. Soc. ofBengal, t.VII, p. 244 and 267), and in theMahâvamsa (ch. Xii,p.73, ed. in-4".) Mr. Wilson has Rap-Near the name of aparanta the Aparitæ Herodotus, including the position also does not bienpré-decidedly determined. The Sundparânta term exists in the geography barmane, but it is aname imitated from India.15 Page 8

226INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY of stones, what will you think of that? - If themen from Crônaparanta, oh Lord, hit meof the hand or in shots stones, here it that I think about itof that: This is certainly the men good as the Crônaparantakas, this are gentle men, they who strike meof the hand or in shots stones, but whodo n't hit meneither with the staff nor with the sword.- They are violent, O Pûrna, the men of Çrônâparânta, [etc. as above, up to:] they are insolent. Yesthemen of Crônaparanta teknockthe stick or by the sword, thatwill you thinkof that? - If the men of rônaparanta, O lord, strike methe stick or by the sword, herethis that I think about it of that: This is certainly themen good as the Çrônâparântakas, these are men soft, them that Iknockthe stick or by the sword, but who do I deny not completely in thelife. - Theyare violent, O Pûrna, themen of Çrônâparânta, [etc.likeabove,until:]theyare insolent.If themen from Crônaparanta tecompletely deprive of life, what will you think of that? - If the menthe Crônaparanta, O Lord, I deprived completely of life, here it that Iwill thinkof that. It is the Listeners Bhagavat that, because of this body filled with garbage, are tormented, covered in confusion, despised, beatensword blows, which take poison, which die of torture of the rope, that are thrown in the precipices. They are certainly good menthe Crônaparantakas, they are gentle men, they who deliver me with solittle pain of this full bodygarbage. -Good, good, Pûrna; you can, with the perfection of patiencethat you are good at, yes you can live, fix yourstayin the country of Crônaparantakas. Go, Pûrna; delivered, delivered; arrived at the other side, do itget the others ;consoled, console ;managed to Nirvanacomplete, make the others happen." Then the respectable Pûrna, having welcomed with assent andpleasurethe words of Bhagavat, bowed to his feetin the touching of thehead, and left the place where hewas then when the night was at its end, Pûrna having dressed inbeginning ofday and having takenhis vessel and his coat, entered in Cravaslî to collect the alms. When hehad gone through Crâvastî in thispurpose, hefithismeals; then then hestopped to eat and to collect thealms in his vase. Having then put away what he had, his bed and his seat, and having taken his alms vase with his garment, heseheaded to the countryfromCrônaparantakas and ends with them coming. Having dressed at the beginning of theday, and having taken his vase, heentered into Çrônâparânta to collect thealms." Now a hunter holding his bow in his hand was going out at this moment to gochase awaythe antelope. Hesaw Pûrna and madethis reflection: It is an object of bad Page 9

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .227omen that this śraman.a shaved that I come to see. Then having reflected thus, hebent his bow with all his might, and ran towards the place where

Pûrna was .As soon as the venerable Purna the saw, he threw his robe higher and he said : You whose the face announces the goodness, I 've to accomplish this difficult sacrifice; knock here. And he recited this stanza: "This fmfor which thebirds cross thetunes, for which theanimals wild fall in the traps, for which the men perishincessantly in the fighting, struck by thearrow or by the spear towhich the unfortunate hungry fish devour the iron hook; this end, it is for her that midst of this crowd of sins that produced the belly Icome here from far away." The hunter, hearing thesewords, made this reflection: Here is a men-god blessed with great perfection of patience; why the I kill? \* This PenSée her inspiration from sentiments de benevolence. This is why Pûrna taught him the Law; hetaught him the formulas of refuge and the precepts of teaching. And heforma still five hundred novices of one and of the other sex; he was raising fivehundred Vihâras, and put beds there by hundreds ,the seats of carpet, the cushions decorated with figures, and square pedestals. Finally, after three months, the chas-sister lives face to face with the collection that contains the three sciences, and hebecame aArhat. So receiving the name of "He who is freed from the passions of the threeworlds," hebecame of those whom the Devas, accompanied by Indra and Upêndra, respect, honor and greet." However littleof time had elapsed, and thefortune of the two brothers of Dàrukarnin had diminished, had diminished, had been dispelled. So they wentevery two say [to their eldest]: NowWhat came out of our house one wholooks like Kâlakarnin (1),come,let's all live together. So what is ,replied Bhavila, the one who looks like Kâlakarnin? It is Pûrna, they continued. This is the prosperity even that is out of my house, said Bhavila; it is nota man wholooks like Kâlakarnin. - Let it be prosperityor Kâlakar-nin, little matter; come, and let's live together. Bhavilar eplied: Your fortune has been unfairly won, the mine has been honest; no, I do n't livewo n't shut up withyou. It isthis son of a slave, resumed the two brothers, who Tostrength to navigate on the great ocean has earned the fortune which you yourself lowing(1)This name of Kâlakarnin is a contemptuous epithet given by the last two sonsofBhava in Pùrija thesonof the slave. As the brothers were named after their counterpartsears, which were of wood, to lead and to lacquer \(^\) to inflict Purna a name of evilomen, they call it " The one who ala death during ear. " That's why the brother elderwhich it defends, responds that contrary PûriiD is the prosperity same. Page 10

228INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY to enjoy. Where would you have taken the courage to embark yourself on the bigOcean?"These words stung the self-esteem of Bhavila and he inspired this reflection: I will also embark on the great Ocean. The things are passedlike hehas been said above, until finally itembarked on the bigOcean, and that his ship was driven by the wind towards the forest which produces the wood of sandal wood of the species known as Gôçîrcha (1). The pilotthen said: Here, lords, the place known as thename of Forest sandal of the species known as Gôçîrcha; Go for ittake the product they are located. 4 Now, in this time the wood of sandal wood Gôçîrcha was a possession of Mahêçvara the Yakcha (2). The Yakchas had left by this time to be return to their assembly. This is why the merchants began to slaughter the forest with five hundred axes. The Yakcha named Apriya lives these axes which felled the timber; and having acknowledged the fact, hewent to the place whence was the Yakcha Mahêçvara; and when hethere was arrrive, he spoke to himin these terms: here is that that should know the chief. Five hundred axes cut down the forest of sandal wood Gôçîrcha; doing now that that you must do, or what he suits you to do. Then the Yakcha Mahêçvara, after having dismissed the

assembly, lifted ablack hurricane andterrible, and leftto the place where was located the forest of sandalwood. Listen, cried thepilot, O you merchants of Djambudvîpa: here is whatwe callablack and terrible hurricane. What do you say about this? To thesewords, thefrightened, frightened, beaten merchantsof terror, smelling their hairsbristling all over their body, began to invoke the Gods:youÇiva, Varuna, Kuvêra, Çakra, Brahmà, and you chiefs of the Asuras, of the Mahôragas, (1) Heit is possible that this place is the islanddesignated by the English charts in thename of Sandelwood Island (Island of the wood of sandalwood), located by IO 'latitude north and 1200 longitude is of Greenwich, or better still Timor, an island known for the large quantity of sandalwood exportednow for Java and for the China. (Ritter, Erdkunde, t.V, p. 816.) It is important, however, tonoted that, as the sandalwood which he is here matter is certainemelit the best, and that thefirst quality of this wood will be found that in the mountains Malaya, and we are learningthe legends North (Schmidt, Geschichte der Ost-Mongolian, p. 332), which are in cepointagree with the testimony of naturalists, it may be we should n't go looking so farthe place where our navigators stop to collect the Gôçîrcha tchanduna. Who knows if it is notnot just by traveling the along the coast of Malabar, and a shipping on the landthe Wynad or Coduga?(2) The Yakchas under the protection of which the legend up the forest of sandalwood, may bethe wild inhabitants of the Island of sandalwood. These islanders of all time treated as enemies thebrowsers that theor trade the chance brought on their coasts, and Walter Hamilton uslearns that the Dutch, to the beginning of our century, lost the possession of thisbind, because they were cutting the trees of sandalwood. The inhabitants, convinced that each of thesetrees was tied to the existence of one of their own, rose up against the Dutch merchantsand drove them from the island. {East India Gazetteer, t. II, p. 500.)

## Page 11

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .229of Yakchas, the Danavas, we here fell in thedanger themore redou-table.Ah!may thosewho are safe fromdanger today be ourprotectors!"Some invoke the bridegroomof Çatchî, other Brahmâ, other Hariand Çamkara, serushing against the ground, taking refuge neartrees and in theForest;these unfortunate people, carried away by thewind andby the Piçatchas, implorethe help [of the Gods]," However Dârukarnin wasmotionless of

discouragement; thepassengershimasked: Head of dealers we here fell in a hazardformidable and to which heis hard to escape. Why do you stay so deepin the discouragement? Lords, he continued, my brother warned me, in mesaying: The great ocean has little toenjoyments and many miseries; well of people, blinded by the greed, it embarked, but fewin return. Ouch care to doyou embark, under any pretense that it is, on the great Ocean. Without keep account of his words, I myself am said: Hebe that I embarked me, and I myself amembedded in etfet; what can I therefore do now? Who isyour brother? said the merchants, ``Purna, "said their chief. Lords, cried the merchants is that Pūrn.a Similarly, the Arya, one which possede the magnitude and the virtue; let us hasten to implore his help. And immediately all with one voice unanimously, made it clear at the same time this prayer: Adoration at Pūrnathe Arya! Adoration, adoration in Pūrnathe Arya! So the Deities who were favorable to respectable Purna is rendered in place where it be found; and when they there were arrived, they addressed themselves to him in these terms: Arya, your brother is dropped in a formidable danger and to which heis difficult to escape; think about it. Purna is set to reflect; and heis delivered to a meditation

such, thatas soon as his thought there was diving, hedisappeared fromcountryof Çrônâparàntakas, andis found in the middle of the great ocean, sitting the legs crossed on the edge ofvessel. Immediately the black storm subsided, as ifshe would have been arrested by theSumêru. So Mahêçvara the Yakcha is set to consider :Once a ship,whoever he was, who wasassailedby a black girlstorm waslaunched and destroyed,similar to a cotton wick ;but today what is the cause forwhich the dark storm subsides, as ifwas she stopped by the Sumêru ?He began therefore to look aside andelse, until at last he sawtherespectable Purna sitting the legs crossed on theedge of the vessel ;andwhen hehad seen it, heshouted at him :Oh! PûrnaArya, why are you braving me?youwhich are subject to the requirement of theold age,said Purna, is it me that youbrave?It's rather youwho insult me. If I had n't acquired the crowd ofqualities that I have, hewill remain more, thanks to you, from my brother that Page 12

230INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYvain name. Maliêçvara the Yakcha answered him: This forest of sandalwood Gôçîrcha, Orespectable, is reserved for a king Tchakravartin. Which do you think that is thebetter, resumed Pûrna, of a kingTchakravartin, or a perfectly TathâgataandcompletelyBuddha? Could it be,O Arya, that Bhagavat was born in theworld? If heis so, that this who was not not accomplished either !So themerchants covering the life that was on the spotof the drop, after havingdirected with faith their thoughts on the respectable Pûrna, filled their vessel withsandal of the species Gôçîrcha, and resumed their journey. They finally returned to thetown of Sûrpâraka." There the respectable Pûrna said to his brother: All this must come back to the one at thename which tonvaisseau has been brought healthy and except; so share these gemsamong the merchants; me, with this sandalwood, I will build for use of Bhagavat a palace adorned with garlands of wood of sandalwood. The older brother madeaccordingly the sharing of its jewels between themerchants; then therespectTable Purna is set to make build a Vihara with the wood of sandalwood. Havingso called themarchitects, hethey said: Which do you prefer, lords, of receive per day five hundred Kârchâpanas, or one Karcha of sandalwood powderGôçîrcha (1)? We prefer a Karcha of powder of sandalwood, answered thearchitects. The palacedecorated with garlands of wood of sandalwood was completed in verylittle time. The king then said [to the architects]: Here is a beautiful palace (2). We cleaned the building in all its parts. The splinters of sandalwood (3) [that hadnot employed], and what was left of the powder [that we haddone], waspounded and givenin the Vihâra, to serve as an ointment." However thebrothers had finishedby will ask forgiveness themsome toothers. [Purna their say:]It must that you take your meals, after to haveinvited the Buddha, the Assembly of Religious and the other [worthy characters(1) The Tibetan, in place of a Karcha, said phosum-khangi " full three Pho; » But thename of this last measure is found neither in Csoma nor in Schmidt. Could this be the abbreviation ofmol phon, "package?" What he in any case, as a Karcha equal 16 machas which everyone is five Krichnalas, or 10 if English troy grains, the Karcha represents 175 troy grains, that is to say11.375 French grams. We can see by then what price is attached to the wood of sandalwood, since thearchitects preferred to receive a little more than eleven grams of it than an equivalent sumapproximately at 28 fr. 45 cents. In assuming the two payments to some near equal, the gram of sandal wood would have been worth 2 francs 50 centimes. (2) This sentence is missing in the Tibetan version, and it could be that it was an interpolation due to the

copyist, who will have taken, a few lines below, the words râdjâkathayati bhavanta / içôbhhanam. However, as this sentence is found in my two manuscripts, I do not believehave to omit it.(3) The text uses a word that I have not seen elsewhere, yat silent samkalikâ. The meaning of thisterm is thus expressed by the Tibetan version :jogs in-nama, which means Fulani-ur < theerubbish that was there . " Page 13

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .231ofrespect].- Where is the Blessed, O Arya ?- In Crâvastî.-At whatIs Çrâvastî distancefrom here? - A onelittle more than a hundred Yôdjanas.- In this case let's invite the king.- Yes, do so. The brothers therefore went topresence of the king ;and after avoirincliné the head before him, theyspoke to himinthese terms :Lord, we desire to have our meal, after having invited the Buddha, the Assembly of Religious andtheother characters [worthy ofrespect]. May the king deign to honor us with his presence. That's good, said the king; so be it, I will be with you." Then the respectable Pûrna being mounted on the top of the building, the face turned on the side of Djêtavana, put to earth thetwo knees; and afterhave thrown the flowers, burning of incense, hefitto hold the vase by a servant of gold that isends in a bird's beak, andheis set to pronounce the prayernext :((you, that the conduct is perfectly pure, whose intelligence by-quite pure too; you that, at the time of taking tonrepas, did not inview that the need, throwing a glance surces beingsprivateofprotector, testify-their your compassion, o be excellent, and come here." Immediately, thanks to the own power of the Buddha and to that of the Divinities, these flowers are turning into a canopy were brought à Djêtavana and went to itto placeto their rank; the offered incense appeared there in the form of a big cloud, andthe escaping waterof the naked forma of needles of lapis lazuli. therespect Table Ananda, who was clever to recognize the signs, clasping the handsinsignof respect, addressed this question to Bhagavat: From what place, ohBhagavat, comes this invitation? - From the cityof Sûrpâraka, ô Ananda. -At what distance from here, sir, is the city of Sûrpâraka? - A little moreof a hundred Yôdjanas, O Ananda.Let's go, resumedthis last.-So announceofReligious the following: May those of you who want to surrender tomorrowto the cityof Sûrpâraka for there to his meal takes his wand (1). Yes,(1) The text uses the word çalâkâ ^ " luster of wood thin. " The Tibetan version translates this wordby tshul-tching, which I do not find in our lexicons, but which, by substituting ching for tching, would mean " the tree of the rule. " Nothing indicates that he must understand by the wand that distributes here to Religious :only a way that the legend puts more down in the mouth of Sakya shows that the names as thewand of Tchàitya; but in this passage, expression tchàitya calâkâ (wand of monument) should perhaps be translated "wand taken" to a consecrated tree, " or more generally still, "religious wand . » The Buddhistsfrom the South fortunately come here to our aid, and MM. Turnour and Clough teach uswe called calâkâ of small chips of bamboo serving of tickets for those which werebe distributed in alms. The name of the Religious was inscribed on these small sticks, whichwere then thrown into a vase and drawn at random :one of which thestrip out the firstreceived the first alms. (Turnour, Mahâvanso, Index, page 22. Clough, Singhal. Dict.) t. Il,p. 719.)

Page 14

232INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYLord,resumedAnanda to express hisassent toRhagavat;andhaving takena wand, heis kept standing \ ing him.Rhagavat and the ReligiouswhowereSthavirasamongtheSthavirasintookeach one too." By this time

therespectable Pûrna, the Sthavira of Kundopadhâna (1), who was freed by the science, was sitting in the Assembly. Hewill putSo in able to take as a wand; but therespectable Anandahe spoke this stanza:" It is not, oh respectable, in the abode of the king of Kôçala, nor inthehouse of Sudjâta, nor in the Mrigâra palace that we owetake themeal. The city of Sûrpâraka is far from here to more than a hundred Yôdjanas ;it's through the means supernatural thatmust go there ;guard so the silence, O Purna. VPûrna, who was freed by thescience, had done until then nomiracle which attested to his supernatural power. So this reflection he came to the mind: I who rejected, pushed back, abandoned, drove the crowd completelyfull of corruption, would I therefore unableto make use of a powersupernatural that is common among the Tîrthikas? Developing accordinglyhis energy and unfolding his supernatural power, hestretched out a similar armat thetrunk of aelephant, to reach up to the placeof the thirdSthavira [sitting in fronthim], which Ananda gave no wand, and he intook one himself; then hepronounced this stanza:"It is neither by thefame, nor by the knowledge nor by thequalities of thegreatness, nor by energetic desires that weget in this world, oh Gau-tama, the possession of the sixsupernatural knowledge. Of beings like me, of beingsperfect, in that age has consumed the youth get these connais-sessions by the energy of the quietude of themoral of thewisdom, and by thevarious energies of the contemplation." So Rhagavat addressed the Religious thus: The one who is the leader amongmy Religious is the first to take the wand of the Tchâitya (2) which belongs tokeen on my Auditors. This is why, between those which thetake isthe(1) I consider theword Kuwlôpadhûniyaka, epithet of this Punia (which was not that of thelegend), as an ethnic intended for the distinguish Pûrna from the other. I do not know where to find theplace so named; the elements of this proper name are kwuja (water source) and upadhâna (orupadhânîya), which usually means couasin, pillow, and which, according to the etymology, must ex-to give precedence in a very general manner to all that sustains and supports. If, as I the think \(^K\)Undôpadhâna is a name of place, it must mean "the country that contains sources. >The Tibetan version favors this interpretation, since it translates the epithet kmdopadhânîyaka byyul tchhu-mig-tchan-na gnas-pa; which means ,if I am not mistaken, "residing in the country whichIt contains sources. » In the Tibetan version of the story of Sumagadha, this word is simply transcribed in this way: Km da ud pa da na.(2) See . above, p. 231, note 1. Page 15

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .233SlhaviraPûnia of Kundopadhâna whichisthefirst.NextBhagavataddressed himself to therespectable Ananda :Go, Ananda, and tell theReligious :Bornyou have I not said,O Religious, that you should live by hiding your maidsworks and in showing your sins ? As for you, O Tîrthikas, inhabit thiscity ;cornthat each of you, O Religious,making use of the kind ofsupernatural meansthat he owns, is transported in the cityof Sûrpàraka for ytake his meal. Yes, lord, replied the respectable Ananda.to expresshis assent to Bhagavat ;then hetell theReligious :Here, O respectable,thiswhat saidBaghavat :Do i have younot said, O Religious :that you had tolive by hiding your good works ?[andand to result as above, JUSthan :]that each of you willtransport in the cityofSûrpàraka for ytake his meal."However theKingofSûrpàraka fitremove fromthecitythestones, thegravel and garbage ;hefit therespilling water of sandalwood, placerdes vasesofvarious species in which exquisite perfumes burned , lay out linesto guir-moors madewith the fabrics of silk,

sowing of various flowers; finally hein madea lovely city. Sûrpàraka hadeighteendoors,

andtheking was seventeenson. Heplaced one of his sonswith a magnificent train at each of these doors. At the main door is held the king of Sûrpàraka in the middle of the deviceof theroyal power accompanied by the respectable Pûrna, Dàrukarnin and thetwo other brothers.; (However we saw advance, with the helpof supernatural means, of the Religious of which the one is usedwings, the others were worn by the lions, and others in vases(1). The king said in themseeing: Respectable Pûrna, is it Bhagavat coming forward? Great king, replied Pûrna, they are Reli-gieux of which the one is used wings, the autressont worn by the lions, andothers infromvases; it is notnotagain Bhagavat. Then we liveadvance the Beligious who were Sthaviras among the Sthaviras, with the help of Many and various perfections of the contemplation they were gifted with. The king repeated again his question: Respectable Pûrna, is it Bhagavat who come forward? Great king, replied Pûrna, it is not Bhagavat, butthese are theReligious who are Sthaviras among the Sthaviras. So a certain devotee [to Buddha] at this time pronounced the following stanzas: (1) I translate only according to the etymology these obscure terms of the text: patratchdrika, haritatchârika and bhâdjanatchârika. The Tibetan them replaced as :lo-ma-hdri-ma, ching-tshe-hdri-ma, snang-spyad-hdri-ma, "who questions the leaves, the Tshe tree, the lamps? "He ispossible that the monosyllable ma which ends each of these expressions is intended to designate of Religious: it is however unlikely after all the text.

### Page 16

234INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY" Some advance, mounted on of beautiful forms of lions, fromtigers, elephants, of horses, of snakes, of buffalo; others worn on palacesdivine of stones, on mountains, on trees, on painted tanks of various colors and resplendent. Some come forward in the middle of the atmosphere, similar to clouds trimmed with a trail of lightning. Theyem-eager to arrive to help of their supernatural power, full of joy, as if they were going to the cityof the Devas.((Some spring up from the bosom of the half-open earth ;others | des-ash from the top of the atmosphere ; finally others miraculously come out of their seats; see the energy of beings endowed with supernatural power!"However Bhagavat having washed his feetoutside the monastery, enteredin the Vihâra; and having saton the seat that was it for, itheld hisperfectly straight body, and brought his memory back to his mind. NextBhagavat intentionally posed hisfootin the hall of perfumes (1), and forthwiththeearth shook in six different ways. The great earth stirred and trembled, she was agitated and shaken, she jumped and jumped. The east rose up, the weststooped; the west rose up, the east sank ;the twelve o'clock is lifted, the Northstooped; the north is lifted, the twelve o'clock humbled; the ends are lifted, themiddle sank; the medium is lifted, the ends were lowered."The king accordingly asked the respectable Pûrna: Pûrna the Aria, What as this? Great king, continued Pûrna, Bhagavat comes from pose with intentiontion soundwalk in the room of perfumes; this is why the earth has trembledsix different ways. Then Bhagavat produced asplendor that had the color of light gold, and whose effect was to give the Jambudvīpa sparkleof goldmolten. Opening my eyes in surprise, the King asked for again in Purna: What as this, O Pûrna l'Arya? Great king, resumed Pûrna, it is Bhagavat who produces asplendor that has the color of a golden mirage."Then Bhagavat, disciplined, with a series of disciplined characterslike him; calm, with a series of calm characters like him, accompany(1) I literally translate the compound Gandha kûtl; the Tibetan version replaces this word withdri-gtsang-khang, expression which, according to Csoma ^ means a holy place, a chapel, and which translates literally

"the pure house of smells." > Heit is probable that it is the chapel where one burnsof honoring flavors of Buddha, as it takes place a long time in China. (A. Re-musat, Foe koue M, p. 41.) But that which is true of time subsequent to the establishment of worship of Çâkyamuni Buddha is perhaps less accurate for the time when helived. I guess sothat the room named in the Vihâras Gandha kûU was, while Çâkya was alive, the one where hetook his lodgings; and I am confirmed in this opinion by the translation given by Cloughof this term: "The residence of the Buddha." {Singh. Dict., T.II, p. 165, col. 2.) After thedead of Çâkya, we had to place in the room where hestood usually a statue that the represented, and before that there was burning of perfumes. It is to this room that it is here question.

Page 17

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .235gné (^ 'a meeting offive hundred Arhats, with his face turned towards Surparaka. So the Deity who inhabited the wood of Diêtayana, taking a branch of Vakula (1), is put in walking behind it for the in house. Bhagavat know-sant mind the provisions, the nature and the nature of the Godhead, explained teaching the Law madeto give intelligence of the fourtruths sublime, of such so that this Divinity, as soon as she 's had heard FENing with the lightning of the science the mountain where it is believed see that it is thebody that exists, mountain that rises with twenty peaks (2), lives face to face thereward do statefrom Crôta âpatti."There resided in a certain place five hundred widowed women ;they sawthe blessed Buddha adorned with the thirty-two signs which characterize abigman, and that themembers were readyofeighty marks secondarydaires, surrounded by a splendor that extended to the distance of a breaststrokespreading a brightness that surpassed that of a thousand suns, like a MONtagne of jewels that would be in motion, andhaving the outsideperfectly beautiful. As soon as they saw it, theyfelt born in them a large well-watchfulness for Bhagavat. In fact, and this is a rule recognized the possession of the tranquility does not cause to the man who {) ractical the Yoga for twelve yearshappiness tooperfect, the possession of a child does not givenot as much athe who has no son, the sight of a treasure does not procure anyas much to one poor, the royal anointing does not give not so much to the one who wants the throne, that doesassures the first sight of a Buddha to the being in whom the cause of the accumulation exists.(1) Mimusops elenghi.(2) I have translated as literally, and I should say also vaguely that it me was pos-sible, this obscure expression of the text, that I turned around in many senses before discovering the bit of clarity that I perceive. Here is the original that I give to the readers quivoudront ormay find better: Vimçati çikhara samudgatam satJcâya dnchti çâilam djnâna vadjrênabhittvd. The really difficult term is satkâya dnchti; by the word dnchti (view) we mean gen-Rally, in the Buddhist style, an erroneous opinion: this must be the proper meaning here, since This is an opinion that the Godhead, instructed by Sakya, contrasts with the lightning of thescience. This opinion is that of sat Mya, a term which must mean the existing body, or themeeting of this that exists. A passage from the Abhidharma kôça vyâkhyà (f.474 b of my man.) Relating to me, thatthe Buddhists call pudgala, or the person who transmigrates, and they distinguish fiveimmaterial attributes of existence (Skandha), gives me to believe that satkâya means the bodyexisting, and that the compound satkâya drichti comes back tothis:" The view that the body is that which<cexists, "that is to say, it is the ego which alone exists, since it transmigrates in bodies which perish successively. Following this passage, hethere are four ways of looking at theme, inidentifying it more or less completely with one of the

five attributes of existence. So we say: "the form is the ego, or the ego has a form, or the form is the essence of the ego, or the essence of the I is in the form." What we say of the form can be repeated four other attributes of existence, of which hewill issue more low: to ensure that these four items of views attributed to five attributes of existence form twenty erroneous opinions, the sum of which is compared to amountain that rises with twenty peaks.

Page 18

236INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYlation of the roots of virtue. This is why Bhagavat, recognizing that the time of their conversion had come, sat down, in the presence of the Assembly of Religious, on the seat that was her intended. The widows, after having adoréen the touchingto head the feet of the Blessed One, sat to side. Bhagavat knowing the mind, the provisions, the nature and the nature of these women, they explained the teachingment of the Act as hewas said above, so they saw face to face the reward of the state of Crôta âpatti. As soon as they had seen the truth, they sang three times these actions ofthanks:"No, we did not receive, Lord, neither from our mother, nor from our father, norof a king, nor of the crowd of our parents or those who are dear to us, nor of Deities, neither of thosewhich are dead since long, neither of śraman.as, neither of Brahmins, we have not received them, we say, nothing that equals what that is for us Bhagavat. <t The oceans of blood and tears are withered; the mountains of bonesare crossed; the doors ofbad lanes are closed(1); we are established at middle of devas and of men; we have reached the eminence, to the superiority." We seek a refuge from 'Bhagavat, with the Act, to the Assembly of Religious, with the faithful; Bhagavat that want well were ceive in quality of disciples. Then having risen from their seats, directing their hands united in signofrespect for the side where Bhagavat was, they spoke to him thus: Ah! than Bhagavat deign to give us one thingwhat it is, that we were going to hispresent the tribute that it is due! So Bhagavat decided by his powerciency surnaturellesa hair and her nails, and they gave. And immediately thewidows drew up aStûpa for the hair and for the nails of Bhagavat. Then the Divinity who lived in the wood of Diêtavana plantain the form of a post, close of this Stûpa (2), the branch of Vakula she was holding in the hand, and said toBhagavat: And I, Bhagavat, I will make to the Stupa the tributes to himare due; also she stopped in this place. From there comes that the one NOMment c the Widows Stupa, " and the others "the stupaofpoleVakula "thismonumentthat the monks who worship thebuildings erected in Veneto Bhagavatwind still today.(1) The Tibetan version adds: "The doors of emancipation and of heaven are open.".(2) I literally translate yachtyâm; but Tibetan on the replaced with the two words hkhor-sa, to which Schruter gives themeaning of courtyard, and which literally mean "theearth which in-etoure." According to this interpretation it would be necessary to translate: " planted in the enclosure which surrounded"the Stûpa. "It is still traces of walls near several Stupas.

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .237€Bhagavat then left this place. He met soon a new hermitage, where five hundred Richis resided. This hermitage was abundant with flowers in fruit andin water. Intoxicated with the well-being of which theyit enjoyed, these Richis do notwere thinking of What that this barrel. Also Bhagavat, recognizing that the time to themconverthad arrived, headedtowardthe hermitage; and when hewas near, hedestroyed there by its power supernatural the flowers and the fruit; he'ydried up the water, he fit the redarken the green and fresh grass, and yoverturned the seats. Also the Richis,

holdingtheir heads in their hands, remained absorbed in their reflections. But Bha-gavat said to them: Why, O great Richis, do you remain so absorbed in yourthoughts?-Bhagavat! you did not rather put the foot here, in this land of purity, that we have fallen into the state in which you see us . Why? saidBhagavat. Hermitage, they said, which was full of flowers in fruit and inwater, is destroyed; may it again become suchheonce was !That hebecome againas before saidBhagavat; and after hehaddeployed his powersupernatural, the hermitage became again ^ as itonce was . Also the Richis weretheyhitof extreme astonishment, andtheyéprouvèrent for Bhagavat offeelings of benevolence. Bhagavat knowingthe mind, the provisions, the character and the naturalness of these five hundred Richis, explained to them the teaching of the law, made to give the intelligence of four sublime truths; of such kindthat as soon as they heard it, they saw face to face the reward of the stateof i \ n\hat{a}g\hat{a}min, and acquired a powersupernatural. Then directing their handsgathered insign of respect of / removed which was located Bhagavat, they himspoke inthese terms: May we, lord, get inin thereligious life, under the discipline of lawwhich is well known, andto becomereligious !May weaccompHr, in the presence of Bhagavat, thehomework of the life D-religious! Bhagavat they said then: Come closer, Religious ;embrace the life reli-gious. Bhagavat had not ratherpronounced thesewords, that they foundshaved, covered with the coatreligious, and that, with thepot for alms and thevase with the extrémitéest in beak of a bird, with a beard and a hair ofseven days, theyappeared with the decent exterior of Religious who would have received the investiture for a hundred years. Come, they told of new the Tathagata; and shaved, covered mantle religieux, feeling at once the calm down in all their senses, they is tinrentdebout, then sat with the permission of Buddha. After long efforts, afterstudies and adeep application, rsis these [who acknowledged that that is what thewheel of thetransmigration], whichdoor five brands, [which is at oncemobile andmotionless; having triumphed of all the ways of existence, in thembreaking, in theflipping, in the dissipating, in the destroying, became of those who are worthy of res-Page 20

238INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYpect (4). The Richi who had been their master then said: Under this costume, oh Rha-gavat, I haveinduced in error a large number of people; I will start withthey do conceive of the benevolence for you, then I will comethen in thereligious life." Then Rliagavat, surrounded by his five hundred Richis and the five hundred firstReligious miers, [who were deployed around him]like thetwo endsthe crescent of the moon, is put into road by the way of the atmosphere, by virtue of his supernatural power, and soon came to the mountain of Musalaka. Goldat that time resided on this mountain a Richi named Vakkalin (2). This Richi saw Rhagavat from afar, adorned with the thirty-two signs which characterize agreat man, [etc.as above until :] having the exterior perfectly beautiful. A barely had he insight that sentitnaître in his heart the feelings ofbenevolence for Rhagavat. Under the influence of this benevolence hefitthefollowing reflection: If I walked down the top of Celtic mountain, and if I am REN-canopy with Rhagavat for thesee? Rhagavat, no doubt, will have come herewith the intention of converting me. And why don'twould I not jump off the top of this mountain? Nothing escapes to theknowledge of the blessed Ruddhas .Rhagavat received the Richi for helpof its supernatural power; then con-birthing the spirit, the provisions, the nature and the nature of this Richi, ithimexposed the teaching of the Law; in such a way that after having heard Vakka-Lin saw face to face the reward of the state of Anagamin, and

acquired apowersupernatural. Then the Richi said to the Happy Nothing: May I,O Rhagavat, enterin lifereligious, under the disciplineof the well- renowned Law !may ibecome a Religious ![etc. as above until:] Rhagavat said to him: Approach, Religious; and heentered in the religious life, as hehas been said more high; and hesat down with the permission Rhagavat. So Rhagavat addressed theReligious(1) This passage is only expressed in abbreviated form in our two manuscripts of the Divya avadâna, ofthis way: Idam êva pahtchagandakam pûrvavad yâvad abhivâdyârtcha samvrïttâk. It is clearthat the words " as above, until, " announce an entrenchment. I have filled the vacuum, for the more high part of the least with the avadāna çataka. (Fol. 21 b.) I say for themost large part because that the main proposal that ends this period, "theybecame" Of those, etc. "is more developed elsewhere, in particular in a passage from the same collection as I quoted previously, Sect. II,p. 117. I do 'm not well sûrde that he must understand bythe expression "which bears five marks; » Because hethere has in the Buddhism lot of categories which are designated by the number five. 11 is here or the five corruptions of evil, paîitcha klêca, which are the sharing of any man entering into the circle of the transmigration; or the five senses with which man perceives the sensations and performs activities that the condemn to be reborn; or perhaps of five objects of sense or of five sensations that man collectsduring his journey around the world.(2) This name means " one who wears a garment made of bark." "It's the Sanskrit term thoughknown valkaline, modified by the popular influence of Pali.

# Page 21

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .239in cesj: ermes: The first of myReligious whohave faith and trust in me (1), it is the Religious Vakkalin." Then Bhagavat surrounded by his thousand Religious reached the cityofSûrpàraka, performing miracles of various kinds. This thought occurred to himthen to the mind: YesI enterthrough a door, the other will think différemraent[of the one I would have preferred]; why do n't I enter in a miraculous wayleuse? Immediately rising in the airby means of his supernatural power he des-ash from the sky in the middle of the city of Sûrpàraka. So the king, ruler of the city, the respectable Purna, Dârukarnin, his two brothers, and the seventeen sonsof the king, each with their suite, went to the place where is was Bhagavat, andthat several hundredof thousands of creatures. Then Bhagavat, escorted by namebreuses hundreds of thousands of living beings, walked to the place where washigh the palacedecorated with sandalwood garlands and when hethere was come hesat downin front of the Assembly desReligieux, on the seat that was it intended; cornthe huge crowd of people, who could not see Bhagavat, triedto overthrowthe palacedecorated with sandalwood. Bhagavat fitthen this reflection: If the palace isof-destroyed, those who have given will destroy their good work; why does the trans-would I not trainin a palaceof crystal rock? Bhagavat accordinglyinmade apalaceof crystal (2). So knowingthe mind, the provisions, the characterand the naturalness of this assembly, Bhagavat expounded the teaching of the Law; of such so that after having heard hundreds of miles to be aliveunderstood the great distinction; heThere in was that produced desracines of virtue, made to become among the some of the parts of postage, at the house of the .other of parts of the science, which clearly distinguished. These sawface \(^{\}\) face the award of the state of Crota apalti, or deSakrïd ageâmin, orof Anâgâmin; these came to the state of Arhat by the annihilation of allthecorruption the evil. There areas were those who understood that that is what

Intelligenceof the Çrâvakas, or that of the Pratyêka Buddhas, or thatof a perfect Buddhafully accomplished. Finally this meeting men whole was absorbed in the Buddha, immersed in the Fa, trainedin the Assembly." Then Dârukarnin and his two brothers having prepared apure wayfood and the food enjoyable, and having prepared the seats (3), announcedby a message to Bhagavat ontime [of the meal]. Here is the hour[midday],(1) The Tibetan version translates: "who are completely freed by the faith "craddhâvimukta; but our two manuscripts read craddhàdhimukta: or adhimukti is usuallytranslated into Tibetan by inclination, confidence.(2) The Tibetan version adds: "So that the crowd of people could clearly see the body of the Buddha. "(3) The Tibetan version adds: "Having placed a vase with water is a precious stone."

240INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYO Bhagavat; the meal is prepared; that the Blessed deign to consider that the convenient time has come." At that time Krichna and Gâutamaka, kings of the Nàgas, resided in the great Ocean. They made all two of reflection following: Bhagavat teaches the Act in the city die Sûrpâraka: let's go to the hearing. Immediately, accompanied by five hundred Nàgas, they gave birth to five hundred rivers anddirectedtowardthetown of Sûrpâraka. Nothing escapes theknowledge of Buddhasblessed; also Bhagavat he saidthefollowing reflection: If <ies two kings of Nāgas, Krishna and Gâutamaka, come into the city, they are going to destroy backgroundin height. Hetherefore addressed himself in these terms to therespectable MahaMâudgalyâyana: Receive alms quickly collected from the Talhagata(1). Why is that? It is, O Mâha Mâudgalyâyana, may heare five alms quickly collected. And what are they? These are the alms of the one who arrives unexpectedly, almsof the traveler, alms of the sick, alms of the one who cares for the sick, the alms of the guardian [of the Yihâra (2)]. Now in this circumstance Bhagavat thinksknows the guardof the Vihàra. Then accompanied by Mahà Mâudgalyâyana, hesewent to the place where is found the two kings of nagas; and when hewas therecome, hethey spoke and cTake care, O kings of the Nàgas, that the cityofSûrpâraka not be reversed to fonden height. We have come, lord,in such provisions of benevolence, replied the Nagas, that we is impossible to do harm to any living being, even to an insect, or toan ant, tomore strong reason for the crowd ofpeople who live in the city of Sur-paraka. So Bhagavat stated to the two kings of the Nàgas, Krichna and Gâutamaka, teaching the Law; in such a way that after having heard, if refugewith the Buddha, of the Act and of the Assembly, they seized the precepts ofteaching."So Blessed is set to take his meal. Each of the Nàgas madethisreflection: Ah lif Bhagavat drank from my water 1Bhagavat then said to himself: If Idrink the water from one of them, the others will think differently [from the one I have prefer]; hebe that I haveresort to someother way. So Bhagavataddressed himself thustorespectable Mahâ Mâudgalyâyana :Go, Mâudgalyâyana, tothe place where was held the meeting of these five hundred rivers, and reports-in of waterfull my vase. Yes, replied the respectableMahà Mâudgalyâyana pourtémoi-to gain assent to Bhagavat; then is being made at the place where was held the(1) The expression of the text is atyayika pindapâta, which the Tibetan version translates as follows: rings-pahi bsod-shoms, t quick alms." (Voy. The additions at the end of the volume.)(2) The word which uses the original is upayi-tchârïka, according to the Tibetan, "Beadle, guardian of Vihàra." Page 23

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .24imeeting of the five hundred rivers, he drewof water in the vase; then returning to the place011 was Bhagavat, he himself presented the vasefull of water. Bhagavat it tookand the goal."The respectable MahàMàudgalyâyana fitthen this reflection: Le Bienheu-ous has said précédemnnent :Theydo, ôBeligious, a very difficult thing fortheir child, " iefather and mother that the feed that the amount, which themakegrow up, who himgive their milk to drink ,which make him seetheshowsvariety of Djambudvîpa. Suppose, on one side, a sonwhich passes a hundred yearswhole to wear hismother on her shoulders, or which ensures him pleasures that provide the power andthedomination, in himgiving all kinds of goods, for example all that the great earth contains of jewels, of pearls of lapis lazuli, conch, of crystal, of corals ilver, gold, emeralds, diamonds, rubies, of stones collected in the Dakchinàvarta (s); this sonthus will not have done anything, will not have returned anything to his father and mother. But from anotherside, that an initiated son ,disciplined, introduce, establish in the perfection of the faith his father and his mother who have not the faith; it gives the perfection of the moral to the parents who have bad morals, that of the releasable to parentsstingy, that of thescience to ignorant parents; this sonwill be thus made of good to his father and to his mother; he will have returned this hethey had to. This is what what Bhagavat said. And I, I haverendered no service to my mother; henced thatme think to discover in what place it has resumed a new existence." Hebe delivered inconsequence of this research, andhesaw that she was born fromnew in the Marîtchika universe. He didthen this reflection :By whom shouldshe be converted? He recognized that it was through Bhagavat, and this idea occurred to himTothe mind: We are here very far from this world; why would n't i doknow this topicto Bhagavat? In consequence he himself spoke in these terms: Bha-Gavat has said once: Theydoing a thing although difficult the father and mother who feed their child. Now my mother has resumed a new existencein the universeMarîtchika, and it isby Bhagavat that shemust be converted ;that the Blessed, by compassion for her, consents therefore to the converted. Bhagavat answered him: By the power of that will we [in thisuniverse], O Màudgalyâyana? For the mine, replied the latter. So Bhagavat and therespectable MahàMàudgalyâyana, placing their foot on the summit of Sumêru, is set enchemin; at the end of seventh day theyreachedthe universeMarîtchika. Bhadra kanyà (2) saw the respectable MahàMàudgalyâyana ;and from(1) This is an allusion clear the mines of precious stones and of metals precious that makehas celebrated for centuries the province of Golconda, which has always been included in thea vast country called Dekhan, that is to say "the land of the south." "(2) This is the new name of the mother of Maudgalyayana.16

242INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYmore far that thelives, she ran to his meeting witheagerness, and exclaimed: Ah! here is my son who comes from far away. But the crowd of beings [composingthis universe] said to himself: This beggar isold, and thiswoman is young; comment can she be hismother? The respectable Mahà Mâudgalyâyana leui then said: The elements are composed my body have ele products by this woman; it is for this that she is my mother. So Bhagavat knowing the mind, the dis-positions, the character and nature of Bhadrakanyâ, exposed the teaching of the Law made to give the understanding of the four sublime truths; of such kindas soon as Bhadra Kanya 's was heard, splitting with the lightning of the sciencethe mountain from which one believes to see that it is the body which exists, and which rises

withtwenty summits, she lives face to face the reward of the statefrom Çrôla âpatti.Right awaythat she had seen the truth, she sang three times the share of Thanksgiving[reported] aboveup]: We are established in the middle of Devas and themen. And she added:"It is closedby your power the dreadful way of evil existen-these, that fill of many miseries. She opened the pure way of Heaven, and I entered into the path of Nirvana.tStripped of my sins, O you that the view is so pure, because what I amhave taken refuge with you,I acquired today thepurity; I have obtained the desired dignity sought by the Aryas; I reached â the other side of the oceanpains."you who in the world are the object of the homage of Dâilyas, of menand the immortal; youwho are freed from the birth of theold age of disease and of the death; you that the view is difficult to obtain, even at the end of a thousand births, oh lonely!thehappiness that i havefor you see porteraujourd'huiits fruits.vsI arrived atthe eminence, oh lord; I arrived to the superiority; Imy refuge from Bhagavat, with the Act, with the assembly of Re-religious; receive me so the number of thy faithful, me who to go today, as long as I live, as long as I will keep thebreath of life, will look for aasylum with you, and who try them for yourself the feelings of benevolence. that Bhagavat grant me now the favor of accepting the meal of alms, with the Arya MahaMâudgalyâyana !Bhagavat welcomed byhis silence the words of Bha-dra kanyâ. So this one, seeing that Bhagavat and thatMahaMâudgalyâyana wereconveniently seated, satisfies them, by presenting them with his own hands the food and of foodpleasant, purely prepared, of whichshe listed thequalities. When she saw that Bhagavat had eaten, that he had washed his hands andthat her vase was removed, she took a seatvery low and sat down in front of Bhaga-

Page 25

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM . .243vat to hear the Law. As a result Bhagaval taught him. The res-pectableMaha Maudgalyayana, who took the vase of Blessed be started the wash. Then Bhagavat said to him: Let us go, MahàMàudgalyâyana. Let's go, Bha-gavat, replied the Religious. And whose power spoke? said Bhagavat. By that ofBlessed Talhàgala, said the Religious. If it is thus reflected in the placeNSis Djètavana, says Bhagavat; and at the same moment the Religious exclaimed :Bha-gavat, is that we have arrived? Shocked by the surprise, hesaid aus-soon: What is therefore the name, O Bhagavat, of this power supernatural? - Sheis called, O Màudgalyâyana, "Quick as a thought;"I did the not knownyself distinctly, so profound are the laws of the Buddhas. If that [me]was known, my thoughtwould no longer turn away from the supreme state of Buddhaperfectly accomplished, had tomy body to be crushed as small as the seed of sesame. Today what would I have to do, nowthat the wood is burnt(1)?"But the Religious, in the spirit which had risen doubts, if adres-SERENT and the Blessed Buddha, which range all the uncertainties: Whataction had therefore made therespectable Pûrna, O Lord, to be born in arich, wealthy family enjoying great wealth? What action did he havemade yet to be born in thebreasta slave and to obtain then when he was entered in the lifenun, to see face to face the state of Arhat, afterhave destroyed all the corruption of evil? Bhagavat themanswered: Purna, O monks, has done and accumulates inquality of Religious, actions thathave reached their completion, the causes of which have reached maturity, whichhave accompanied as the light [accompanies the body that the produced], which must necessarily have a term. What other[that I] will know separately in particular the actions made and accumulated by Pûrna? The stock made and accumu-lated, O monks, do not arrive at their maturitédans the exterior elements is of the earth, or of water, or the fire, is the wind;

but it's onlyin the [five] intellectual attributes,in the [six] constituent parts of bodyand in the [five] organsthe sense true elements of any individual {%that the shares made and accumulated, the good as the bad, happenat full maturity." The works will not be destroyed, were it even by hundreds of kalpas; but when they have reached their perfection and their time, they relate to fruits for creatures endowed with a body. "Formerly, O monks, in this Bhadra Kalpa even where nous sommes, when (1) I literally translates these words, which I did before not well the meaning énlgmatique. ('2) The text here reads api bhûpântêchcêva, of which I can do nothing, and which I replace by apibhutânîêchvêva, "which only lead to one being individual, that is to say that it is." Page 26

244INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthe creatures had an existence of twenty thousand years, itappeared to the worlda venerable Tathàgata ,perfectlyandcompletely Ruddha, namedKâcyapa, gifted of science and of conduct, well come, knowing the world, withoutsuperior, leading man as oneyoung bull, tutor of menand of the Gods, blessed, Ruddlia. This Tathagata is being removed close to the city of Benares, there stared at his residence. Pûrna, who had entered under his teachingin the religious life, had three sacred books, and filled withof the Assembly the duties of servant of the Law (1). One daycame the domes-tick of some Arhat, which is set àbalayer the Vihara; but thewind chasedthe waste of side and the other. He then made this reflection: Let us wait a little, thatthe windcalms down. The servant of the Law having arisen, saw that the Vihāra was notnot yet swept. Blinded then by a violent outburst, he pronounced the serude words: This is the home of a sonslave. The Arhat en-stretched out and madethis reflection: Thisman is blinded by his anger; let's wait for alittle; I the repeat just now. When the outburst ofservant of the Law was calmed, the Arhat is presented before him, and spoke to him in these terms: Do you know who I am? I know you, replied the servant of the Law; you and me we 're entering into lifereligious under the teaching of Kâçyapa, the perfectly accomplished Ruddha. It can be, replied the Arhat. As toto me, I filledall the duties imposed on it which is entered in the life reli-gious, and I am free of all the links; but law, you spoke wordscoarse. A cause of this fault, confess that you have sinned, and by then this Actionwill be diminished, it will be destroyed, it will be forgiven."InTherefore the servant beyond Loiconfessa he had sinned; and likeheshould haveresume a new existence in Hell, then be reborn in qua-bedof sonof a slave, henot returned not to life in Hell, but hewas born fromnew, for five hundred generations, in thebreast of a slave. Finally healso reappeared in this world, in his last existence, with this quality of son of a female slave. Because hehad servedthe assembly, it was born in the bosom of a wealthy, wealthy family enjoying great wealth; because in the serving it had read, that he had studied, that he had acquired of skill in theknowledge of accumulation of the constituent elements ofexistence], hegetthe happiness of entering into religious life under my teaching, and of seeing faceface to face with the state of Arhat, after having annihilated all the corruption of evil. It is thus, ôReligious, for entirely black actions is reserved a reward(1) The text makes use of the expression rffearmo "% dm <î / year" Karoti, that I have yet seen thatin this style; the Tibetan 's makes for jal-ta-pa-do vyed. 11 should probably hear by there onewho serves the Assembly of Religious as a servant of the monastery. Page 27

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .245thinks entirely black too; for all white actions is reserved an all-white award too; for mixed shares is reserved a mixed result like them.

This is why, ôReligious, hemust in this world avoid the Shares entirely black, as well as the shares mixed, anddo not have in sightonly all white actions. This, O monks, that thatyou must learn." So that spoke Bhagavat, and Religious transported of joy louè-rent it as Bhagavat had said. "It has been seen, by the legend above, that the nomination fairly expeditious by which Sakyamuni is created of disciples gave to those that the receiving the nature of Religious beggars; because this is the meaning of the word Bhikchu, which means exactly "the one who lives on alms (1).» After the obligation to observe the rules of the chastity [Brahma tcharya) ^ ittherein was not, forthe Religious, of more compelling than that of living the only relief he rece-Vait of the public charity. As he ceased to be a partthe world, the re-sources that the company offers to work it were forbidden, and itdoes it remained other means of existence that the beggars. The life ofdeprivation to whichsecondemned the Religious made themgive again the name of Cramams, "ascetics who tame their senses (2)."They had taken this title in imitation of Sakyamuni their master, who was madecall Cramana Gâutama, the ascetic Gâulamide. But this title, in what regardsthe Religious, is much less common in thelegends than that of Bhikchu, of same as it doesnever applies, as far as I know, to Çâkyamuni, without(1) I thought I could translate this title by the term a little more general than religious, in order to avoid the confusion that the use of the word mendiant would have caused, which must necessarily be preservedi ^ onr parivrâdjaka and for some other similar terms .(2) I kept this name without thetranslate to even that one keeps one of Brahmanbecause it is thetitle which Çâkyamuni himself carried since the moment he had withdrawn fromworld. The Chinese did not ignore themeaning true of this term, and that thecan see by a note from M. Rémusat. {Foe koue / d, p. 13.) I recall in my text that this title belongs as much to Brahmanism as to Buddhism; but like, in all the books that I have had occasion to read or to translate, the liter of śraman.a is consistently distinguished from those of Brahman,that he regularly precedes, "the Cramanas and the Brahmans," hecertainly not a Indian ascetic in general, but a Buddhist in particular, and heit is taken in the sensespecial that Clough gives him, "a Buddhist ascetic, a beggar, thereligious beggar, a"Buddhist priest. {Singh. Dict., T. He, p. 778.) Colebrooke {Miscell. Ess., 1.11, p. 203) and Rémusat^ Foekoue ki, p.13) have already noticed that the name of śraman.a was formerly known soonGreeks; May, "it's still a question of knowing whether, for the former, that name meant the asceticsIndians in general or Buddhists in particular. Heyou have to go down to Porphyry to find the name of Samanite applied to a sectthat one can conjecture to be that ofBuddhists.

#### Page 28

246INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYbe preceded by the epithet of Mahâ, "the great Religious." As well as the termfrom Bhikchu, that of Çramona belongs to the Brahmanic language; but the Buddhists in doing an all applications Special to the ascetics of their belief, and the Indian dramas prove us by moreof an example that the Brahmansthemselves recognized the legitimacy of this application (1). He happened sometimes that the conversions were not all too fast than that of Pûrna; then the one who wanted to embrace the aesthetic vision, and who did not satisfy not to the various required conditions, was not not for that rejected from the meeting of the Religious where hewanted to enter. Without doing yet part of the Assembly of Belikchus, hewas under the direction of a Reli-gieux and took the title of Çrâmanêra, that is to say small Çramana or asceticnovice. As soon as he hadreceived the nomination, he substituted for this title that

of Cramana or Bhikchu. These two degrees of Religious appearin the northern texts; that of Çrâmanêra is there howeverless often used thanthat of Çramana. I do not fear to say that it comes from that at timeor U.Spostpone these texts, the novitiate was a state much rarer thanthat of Religious. The ease with which the conversions were taking place gaveto thosewho wanted to follow Çàkya the means of quickly crossing the firstmiersdegreesof initiation.I havespeakallat the time of conditions imposed by Çàkyamuni on thosewho wanted to become hisdisciples; this point needs some lightning-cries. The first of all the circumstances was the faithandwecan believethan thosewho satisfied themhad to bedispensedof alltheothers. But heis also easyofto know that 'Càkya or his firstjuicecessors havesoon recognized theneedtherejoina fewothersobHgalions of a somewhat less easy execution. This necessity had to same seto doall the more quickly to feel, as thenew beliefVait more of favor with thepeople. The legends that took placein thesection of the Discipline give us on this subject theinformation themoreinformative. We will see that Śākya receives all those who are present to him, cornthat as soon as an admission gives rise to some difficulty, it looks forward to the annu-ler by a decision that was to become a rule for hissuccessors. It is(1) I could quote here the words of this player of Mrïtchtchhakatî, who, sued for many, ii do other resource that to be f; ITRIdisciple of Cakya; because the pracrit term which heis used, Çiikka çavanake, is certainly the Iranscr'plion of Sanskrit Çdktja çrumnnaka, diminutive ofÇàkya çramana, qui & tà ironvii d ^ ns liiComment of the edition of Calcutta. (xW / ï / c / ttchhakuli,p. 82, edil. Hold. Wilson, Hindu Theater, 1.I, p. 5H, 2nd ed.) That which does leaves this subject nodoubt, it is that the same Religious is designated elsewhere by his real title of Çamanaka forÇramanaka. {Mrttch tchhakatî, p. 213 and 329.) Page 29

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .247as well as the nomination conferred to men affected by certain diseases re-incurable putées (1), Wherehaving some serious flawsconformation (2), such as the leper and the hermaphrodite (3), or to great criminals, such as the parricide (4), themurderer of his mother (5) and an Arhat ^ 6 ^, the man who sowedthe division among the Religious (7), the one who is guilty of one of the big fourcrimes condemned by the Brahmans (8), is declared not valid, and than Sakya excludes the Assembly one who is suffering from these vices moral and physi-sics. The rules no less natural and no less easy to understand is op-pose for the admission of the one whohas less than twentyyears (9), and of the one who does not can justify of the authorization of his father and mother (10). The slave that sonmaîtrehas the right to claim (the)and the debtor sued for debts (12) are alsoment excluded. Finally no onecan be admitted through an isolated Rsligious, and hemust, forto take rank in the Assemblyfromdisciples of Çâkya, having been examined andreceived in the eyes of all (13). Thelegends nousapprennent same as Sakyamuni hadconferred on sembled body of Religious the right to receivenovices and to give the investiture to thosewho wouldrecognized as capable (14). So we their shown establishing two heads of the Assembly (15). Nothing isin fact more easy to understand: all the Religious received by Śākya the are atmoment when hespeak in the presence of a meeting more or less numerous, alreadyconverted, or aspiring to be; the institution of a or of two leaders of the As-wheat has obviouslyin order to continue after themaster a state of affairswho could disappearupon his death. If it does n't belong to him, historically speaking, it is certainly the work of his first successors. prior togo further itit is important to enumerate the various classes ofthose who attended with the Religious

his listeners at the Assembly of which hewas thechief; this is essential, ifwewants to follow the history of this institution(1) Csoma, Analysis of the Dul-va, in Asiat, Researches, t.XX,(2) lbid., 1 ^. 57 and 58.(3) lbid., P.55.p. 53.(4) lbid., P. 57.(5) lbid., P. 56.(6) Jbid., P. 57.(7) lbid., P. 57.(8) lbid., P. 57.(9) lbid., P.53.(10) lbid., P. 54.(li) lbid., p. 53.(12) lbid., P. 53.(13) lbid., P. 54.(14) lbid., P. 52.(15) lbid., P. 52. Page 30

248INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY and to understand the scope. To the body of religious beggarsreplied the oneMendicant Nuns, for whose admission we observed the samerules only for those of Religious; they were called Bhikchuîiis {\\).It does not appearnot just the institutiona body nuns has been originally in thethought of Çâkya. Thefirst woman who has achieved itthe permission to embrace the ascetic life is MahaPradjâpatî, the Gâutamide his aunt, thatthere even who had high(2). StillDid she only succeed after long moments? these, and the Master only yielded qua the prayer Ananda his cousin(3). The legendensures that Celtic conversion led theof five hundred other women of theracefromÇâkyas (4); thelegend loves themround numbers, and his storymight just be a pure invention the compilers of Vinaya. Whatthat in any case, the same authority tells us that Maha Prajapati is joigni-soon rent thethree women from Câkya, whom Csoma calls Gopa, Yaçôdharâ andand Utpalavariia (5). The law of the discipline imposed on women the same obliga-general gations than to religious, namely the observation of a perpetual chastitytuality and the need to beg in order to live ;also we give them the title gêïi ^ -risk of B / nkchiuii. the monumentsBrahminists show us that by speakingof a Nun, a BhikchuCalled him" Sister in the Law, "Dharma bhagini (61.We find in the legends of the North several Examples of women con-verties to Buddhism. We have seen somesome cited in thethe-gende of the struggle of Cakya against the Brahmans; heymust join the young girlof thecaste Tchàndàla of which I havespoke to theendofthesectionprev-toothed, and with most of textegthat we don't have, one inwould findstill others. A side of the Religious of both sexes, or to speak more exactly, over andbelow of these two orders are the funds of the Assembly of Sakya, the legends place the Upâsakas and the Upâsikâs, that is to say the devotees and thedevotees, and in a waymore general, thefaithfulwho made profession to believe in the truths revealed by Çâkya, without however adopting the lifeasc-(1) Csoma, A & iat. lies., t.XX, p. 84.(2) LaWa vutara, f. 58a of my manuscript. Csoma, Asian. Res., T.XX, p. 308, note 21. A.Rémusat, Foe koue M, p. 111.(3) Csoma, Asiat. Res., T. XX, p. 90. Rémusat, Foe koue ki, p.111.(4) Csoma ^ Asiat. Res., T.XX, p. 90.(5) Asian girl. Res., T.XX, p. 308, footnote 21. The two first names are in effectthose of twowomen of Cakya; as the third, see that in has been said above, Sect.II,p. 161,note 2. Georgi cites only two women of Çàkya, one whom he calls Grags-hdzon-ma (Use /Grugs-hdjin-ma), it's Yuqùàharà; the other that he calls Sa-tsho-ma: if we read £ a- (s / K) -ma, this would be Gopa. (Tibetan alphabet., P.34.)(6) MrUch tchhakafi, p. 258, ed. Hold. Wilson, Hindu Theater, t.I, p. 142, note f-

Page 31

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .249tick. Legends nowhere explain the termof Upasaka, and heis initself vague enough for one to doubt whether it would not be preferable of the translate by servant ^ and see therea name of the novice under the direction of a Religious, to whom hereturns insome so the duties of the domesticity. This interpretation would greatly facilitate the ideawe should sefaire of the composition of the Çâkya

Assembly, whichsewould thus represent asformed of Religious and of novices both sexes, in a word offour reunionswe are talking aboutour Sanskrit texts (i). Let us add that the, so that theauthors of our Tibetan dictionaries translate dge-hsnen (which replaces the Sanskrit upasaka) (2), would favor this interpretation, since according to Csoma thisword means CATEC / mmène, and according to Mr. Schmidt, " student, novice, secular replacedpuckeringreligious duties. "But the reading careful of texts, and SOMEONEcal authorities no less respectable in my eyes than those that I come fromquote, I decided in favor of the meaning of devout or faithful. I note first that the classical Sanskrit takes too often thewordupasakain the sense of worshiper thatin that of servant; this relates toelements themselves which is made up this word, which means "to sit nearWherebelow. "Secondly, the Buddhists of the South, that is to saythose of Ceylon and Ava, don't hear it from oneother way; and one of the authorities the most imposing, when it is the proper meaning of Buddhist terms, Mr Turnour, the results just did n this sense:for himupâsaka means" Devotee, he who lives nearof the Buddha, or with theBuddha (3)."Judson, the author of the Dictionary bartender, goes even a little further away, a bit too farmaybe, when hetranslate this wordby layman (4); but heshouldserecallhespeaks of a people completely converted to Buddhism, and among whomone that does not religious can not be a secular, especially in the eyes of European. Finally the Chinese, who, as I haveoften noticed, follow ingeneral the tradition North, is doing exactly the same idea of the wordupasakathat the Buddhists of the South. "The term Yeou pho se ^according to Mr. A. Rémusat, means pure, and indicates that, while that those who thewear remainin theirhouse, that is to say lead a secular life, theyobserve the five precepts andkeep a drivingpure. It makes also their behalf bymen who are approaching of duty, to express that by fulfilling the precepts, heto make pro-ready to receive the lawof the Buddhas (5)."In an enumeration of the various(1)Tchatasrmâm parchadâm, in Avad. çat., f. 77 b, 88 a, 101 h.(2) Avad. çat., f. 121 o ^ compared to Bkahhgyur, sect. Mdo, vol. ha or xxix, f. 207 b.(3) Mahdvanso, Index, p. 27, ed. in-4.o.^(4) Barman Diction., V » Ufâsaka, p. 45.(5) Foe hoe M, p. 180. Page 32

250INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYkind of Religious as thesame scholar borrowedto Chinese sources, the Upâsakasof both sexes are designated as staying in the house, byopposition to other classes which, according to the Buddhist expression, are exits for enterin the lifereligious (i). And the learned author to which we owe these curious extracts ends his note with this summary: "The word Upasaka applies properly to Buddhistswho lead thelifesecular, while observing the precepts of the religion, and keeping a con-regular pick and -without wetness (2).>To these authorities I will joinnow various expressions that needed-care, to be heard as I propose to do, to be preceded byclarification that I just gave. I find, for example, the term of Biiddhopâsalca, "devotee to Buddha(3), "opposed tothat of Tirthikopasaka, (Vdevotee to Tîrthikas, >that is, to the Brahmanic ascetics (4). This termBuddhopasaka is taken in exactly the same sense by a Brahmani text.that of incontestable authority, the drama of Mritch tchhakali. A ReligiousBuddhist had addressed to a prince the title of 'Upasaka; this title, who lends to a game of words, because of its double meaning of devout and of barber is explainedthrougha different character as a synonym of Buddhôpâsaka, "devotee toBuddha (15). "In another passage, heroin of the piece, which is a Cour-herbal tea, is called Buddhopâsikâ, that is to say devoted to the Buddha (6), whichsufficiently

demonstrates that thetitleof Upusikâ (feminine in 'Upâsaka) expressesnothing ofthe sameto that of novice or catechumen. A legend of Avadanaçataka says of an Upàsaka that heis skilled in the law of the Buddha (7); from another, hehas included the formulas refuge and theprecepts of teaching (8); still others, that they know the truths (9). And when heis aboutdesignatea servant, that's the wordUpasthâyaka that we areserves, for example in thistext: <Forwe, who are the servants of Bhagavat, desire to beconstantly employees sweeping Djôtavana (10). >Finally, the two titles Bhikchu,(1) Foe praises ki,p.18f. The consecrated expression is agdrâd anagârikâm pravradjitah. {Divyaavad., f.4H b from my man.)(2) Foe koue ki, p. 182 and 183.(3)Avad. çat., f.140 b.(4) Avad. çat., f.16 6, 20 &, 21 o and 6.(5) Mritch tchhakatj, p. 214, ed. Hold. The translation deWilson do is perhaps not sufficientlyment to bring out the proper meaning of this term. {Hindu Theater, 1. 1,p. 123.)(6) Mr) tch tchhakatfi, p. 255 sqq., 322 sqq., And 329. Here Wilson has perfectly rendered this title bythe devotedworshipper of Buddha. {Hindu Theater, t. I, p. 141.)(7) Avad. çat., f. 29 &, 31 fe.(8) Ibid., F.121 a.(9) Ibid., F. 36 a.(10) Id. Ibid. Page 33

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .^251ReligiousBeggar, and Upasaka, devotee, areopposites inthis passage: tWhat is thereto do in the state of beggar? Heneed for its entire lifeobserve the rules of the chastity {Brahma tchanja).- This is not possible; there he was not a different way?- Heyin has a another friend; it is to be devout[Upâsaka),- What should be done in this state? - Hetakes during his whole liferefrain from any inclination to murder, to theft, to pleasure, to lie and to the use of intoxicating liquors (1). "I do my hidden not that Hodgson has, in a memory filled with indications Precious tions (2), disputed the legitimacy of the distinction which is located wellestablished between the Bhikchus orReligious, and the Upâsakas or devotees. Leaningon considerationsborrowed from the history of the beginnings of the ChurchChristian, hecannot admit that it existed from theearly days of Bud-dhism one body of the faithful separated from the Religious. I do not believenot that hethat ispossible to oppose nothing in principle of Remarks by Mr Hodgson; and if it isfromfirst attempts made by Gâkya to have disciples, I recognize, with this ingenious author, that there were originally in India no other Bud-dhistes thatthose who, renouncing the world, had made a vow to follow Câkya and ofto practice, after his example, the duties of the ascetic life .But if hein needbelieve the legends, this state was short of time; and of the momentthat Câkyamuniis set to preach themultitude, those who, without being disciples, were coming However, hear, furentdes upasakas, that is to say of assistants. From the titlefrom assistant to that of devotee hethere is only one step; because no doubt these men andthose women who were seated in crowds near therecognized disciples of Cakyawere not in general animated desentiments of malice against the newascetic. I am therefore far from believing that Câkyamuni has, from the combeginnings of his preaching, constituted an Assembly of Religious, divided intoBhikchus andinUpasakas of one and of the other sex. Far from it, the organization exterior of Buddhism has, like its methaphysics, passed throughof degreesmany, before reaching the statewe theshow arrival thepeoplesthat the Buddhism has since long converted. The books of Nepalmake useven attend the progress of this organization begins with the most lowbeginnings, since it sees Sakya followed first of five disciples who abandon much faster, because as their master, exhausted by Jongs fasts, hasbroke the vow of abstinence to which hehad chained himself in front of them. Little by littlethe number of its followers increases; the kings of the Brahmins, the

merchantswill jointhemto hear the wordof the Master. This soni / there the Upâsakas,(1) Sahasôdgata, in Divya avad., F.151 a.(2) Quotat. from orig. Sanscr. Author., In Journ. As. Soc. ofBengal, t.V, p. 33 ff.

Page 34

252INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthe assistants, and later the true devotees, they outweigh the preaching of Sakya the gerncies virtues that his teaching aims to spread. This explanation so natural was also for her the facts of a great value; we will soon see that the views of Çâkya, or perhaps of his firstsuccessors are carried to beyond the circle of his disciples, andthat in proputting for the future of rewards ' of various orders to thosewho, without be his followers, is penetrated moreor less intimately of hissay itsecreated among those of his listeners who could not or would not become Religious supporters and true devotees. The Religious only not enformaient least, so that I havealready indicated the Assembly of Auditors of Cakya; this is why we find in the textsthis Assembly called Bhikchu saiiigha (1)," The meeting of beggars. "(1) 11 can not now remain no doubt as to the spelling of this term; of in-criptions, as one of Amaravali and of Sanchi {Journ.As. Soc. of Bengal, t. VI, p. 222 and 455), and our manuscripts, thealways represent as I do: Samgha (ouT ^), word which has thesense of multitude, crowd of people. This meaning is perfectly suited to the nature of As-sembly of Religious Śākya, which was composed of men out of all castes. Ido not believe that the saniga onhograph is very frequent, if even it is never used in our manuscripts. Mr. G. de Humboldt has preferred one of Sanga ( T ^ \* )that had adopted Hodgsonand Remusat to that of Sangha (B ^) that give Schmidt and Wilson {Ueber die Kawi-Sprache, 1. 1, p. 273, note 1); but at the time when this scholar wrote, it is owned not encoreen Europethe manuscripts that are in my hands. We will see enough by my text why I do notthen admit the philosophical explanations with which this scholar accompanies the Samgha's term."The meeting called Sanga, he said, is not in the principle no land, and it com-"takes the Bodhisattvas, the Pratyêkas and the Cràvakas, who have already left the world. This meeting, however, by following in the application ordinary of things heavenly to things ter-" Rests, has become thebase of thebuddhist hierarchyFinally this term was clearly applied to the meeting of the followers of the Bnddha, living together and with their master in the < cloisters called Vihdras. " {Ueber die Kawi-Sprache, 1. 1,p. 273.) For my part, I think thatthe things have had to spend in the order reversed; that the primitive meaning of the word Samgha haswas that of "meeting of the Auditors; "that this meeting has been perfectly réelleet human, as human as any other assembly of disciples following a master; that when the ideasmystics of the triad, of the sexes and others, whom I regard as inspired by the Buddhists of the North by the proximity of the Brahmins, is are introduced in the system originally very single founded by Śākya it has made of this term perfectly historic one implementation idéaleà thecelestial reunion of the highest personages in thephilosophical and moral hierarchy of 'Buddhism. It is there, at least that that the reading of Sutras and legends authorizes me to believe; but I am afraid that this view finds little favor with people who on the originand the development of religious beliefs in general and of Buddhism in particular of ideaswhich I confess humbly Nepas enter all to makes sense. At rest, this observation, which is mesuggested by the sense of confidence that I feel every time that I am away from opinions of a man like M. de Humboldt, applies to many other ideas and many other termsthan the one that occupies us. The present volume all around is devoted toto terrain thepurely human character of

Buddhism; I will then therefore believe here, no more than anywhere else, that this belief is the expression of I do not know what divine types that I can not find anywhere, of theleast in the texts that I hold for the more closer to the preaching of Sakya. Page 35

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .253In the sūtras and in thelegends of Nepal, the term Samgha does not haveother meaning, and it is also the one it keeps in the Buddha formula ,Dharma, Samgha, "the Buddha, the Law, the Assembly, i>and that was well done seeM. Hodgson (1); the senses more or lessphilosophy that has sought in this formula certainly does not belong to early Buddhism (2). WordSamgha expresses a dual ratio, firstly that of all the religious with the Buddha, then that of the Religious among themselves. In the principle, the onlylinkthat the connected to the Master and the meet between them is, following the now legendarydes, a common submission to his word. The rest, out all of the various classes of the society to be delivered at the religious life, when theyreceived from This is the knowledge of fundamental truths and the liter ofReligious, theygoing live, the one in the loneliness of the forests and the mountains, the other in he houses abandoned in woods with the villages and the cities; and they not out for themselves get into begging their food. I quoted everything to time the legend of Purna, we see ceReligieux, tohardly converted toBuddhism, ask to Sakya 's permission to withdraw in acountry bar-bare; and I could report here agreat numbersimilar examples em-loanSutras andto legends. That it is enough for meremember one, whoshows how important Sakyamuni attached to the solitary life. Hehad, by hispreaching, attracted to himayoungmerchant who had kissed thereli-gious, or to talk more exactly who took thetitle of Religious; but the youngman did not continueless to livein the Housepaternal. Çâkyamuni represented himhow much less was the life of the worldat the retreat, which heexalted the advantages before him. The exhortations of the Masterdo were not sterile; the merchant leftthe world to go and live in theloneliness, where making of Sakya his \*friend of the virtue >that is to say its direc-spiritual torment, hemanaged by the knowledge full of the world tomore topdegree of perfection (3). On the shows, in the origin of the disciplesofÇâkya are not(1)Quot. frontorig. Sanscr. Author., In Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.V, p. 37.(2) A. Rémusat {Observ. out of three Mem. by de Guignes, in Nouv. Journ. Asian., T."VII, p, 264sqq.) and Schmidt {Mém. of Acad. of Sciences of St. Petersburg, t.\,p. U4. sqq.) are the auto-authorities to consult for the high sense has taken this formula in the relatively schools mo-last of Buddhism. He will be joined Hodgson for what concerns the Nepal, including the religionis dealt with in depth in a special thesis{Sketch of Buddhism, inTransact. of the Roy. Asian girl. Soc, t.He, p. 246 and 247); and Benfey (Indian, p. 201), which was thought that the buddhist triad of Buddha, Dharma and Samgha was a iiiiitalionof Brahmanism. According tome, it is all in fact essential to distinguish theitself formulates applications more orless varied than we have made. The formula to me seems to be old, and the way it has been inthe principle must have been very simple; but nothing will prove that the applications will be notModern, or any at least invented after shot, at various times.(3) Avadâna çat., F.85 and 86 6. The expression of "friend of the virtue "Kalyâna mitra, is a Page 36

254INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthat of ascetic isolated, and we do not yet suspect ,to getstick to the textof the oldest legends, the possibility of an organization that was to bring together Between them by a linklasting all those Religious, who did not gather what to

hearthe word of the Master. Various circumstances reported by thelegends and by the Sûtras, we however allow grasp the beginnings of this organization. So much than lived Càkya, hewas natural that those hehad converted attach themselves tohis person to benefit from his teaching. All the Religious do not staring not for ever in the loneliness; and those same who had chosenthis kind oflife the abandonnaieot from time to time in order to come hear theBuddha. Also the legendsdo they show us Çàkya always followed by a name-ber more or less considerable of Religious, which accompanied him and men-stood behind him. When was the season ofrains, that is, when the Communications between the campaigns and the cities were, if not completely done interrupted, at least more difficult, the Religious could cease the va-beggars 'gabonde. Hethey were allowed to retire in the mansionsfixed; and then they are dispersedand were each in turn, reside attheRrâhmans or the masters of the house that theyknew how to be favorable to them. Here they were busy répandreparla word the knowledge of the truth which iscomposed theirbelief, or even to meditate and to study thepoints of the doctrine which were less well known to them. It was called "staying fortheVarcha, Varcha vasana, that is to say during the fourmonththat lasts inIndia the rainy season (1). When the Varcha had expired, they had to be of the most remarkable of Buddhism; I do not doubt that it does not belong to the first ages of this belief. The Friend of the virtue is the one who introduced thefuture disciple with the Master; it is also the Religious accomplished that gives the novice the instruction that he misses again, that is Similarly, for a Religious, any respectable ascetic whom hemust research the company. We find This liter frequently cited in the legends with Celtic last acceptation {Avad. cat., f. 34 b,87 a and b); on the spots even opposite to that of PDPA Mitra, "friend of sin." {Ibid., F.87 a and b.Bkahhgijur, sect. Mdo, vol. ha or xxix, f.155 a.) This term provides a further example of the inconvenience that there would sometimes be in sticking to the statements of the Tibetan versions, withoutgo back to the Sanskrit originals. The Tibetans translate exactly Kalijdna mitra by DGEbahi bches-ghen, according to Csoma, "a frieud lovirtue, a priest;"it is also exactly thetranslation given by M. Schmidtj "ein Freund der Tugend, ein Priester. "Csoma thetranslatedagain by " a doclor, a learned priest, iand M. Schmidt by <ein geistlicher Ralh. "I hesitatenot to prefer this latter translation to all theothers; Friend of the virtue is certainly a true spiritual director; but it is not for that a priest; on the contrary, any priest(if however that term is correct) can be a virtuous friend; in a nutshell, hedoes not exist in thehierarchy Buddhist one order deKalyâna mitras, as it is in has one of Bhih'hus.(1) Celtic institution of Varcha is certainly one of the most ancient of Buddhism; because wethe found among all the peoples who have adopted this belief, in the South as inthose of the North. M. Turnour defines the word vassa (Pali for varcha) as follows:" The four months of the Page 37

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .•255together with new ;andthen, forminga realReligious assembly ,theywonderedmutually on the variouspointsofdoctrine that theyhadmeditated duringthissortof retirement. Any door to believe thatthis use wasintroducedby Çàkya himself, or most certainly by hisfirst disciples;but still hewould not have been yetpracticeof living of the Master, itdo is not less sofrequently reported inthecaptions exclusively relating toSakya, that I hesitate not to the holdfor very old.It is there,if I do me wrong, the circumstances that had to promote themore the organization of the Religious in a regular body .One of the firstresults it had produced was the establishment viharas, kinds of monasticters, located in desbois or in the gardens, which are gathered

the Religiousto attend the teaching of the Master. Hewill need not believe, however, that the Viharas were, in the principle of the establishments where the Religiouslocked themselves up for their whole life; theywere so little obliged to settle there forstill, they in went out, as I the saidall at the time, the time of the rainy season, that is to say at the time of the year when itseems they dussentcome and gather there, as the custom is establishedlater at thepeoplesconverted to Buddhism. Also the Were n't Yihàras, at the beginning, that the places of stay temporarily; it was, according to the etymology of the word, theplaces where they are found; and the origin of this term is shown in the formmule even whoopens each Sutra: "One day Gâkya was found (viharatisma) in a such a location(i). "The first destination of the Vihâras, after that ofserve as an asylumto the Religious, wasto open the ascetics travelers and theforeigners comingin the country. "Is there nonot in yourcountry, saidthe "season of rains since the full lunede July until CELB of November. "During thispart of the year, the Religious had to cease their pilgrimages andengaging in the practices religious in fixed places. {Mahâvauso, Index, p.28. Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Beng., t.SEEN,p. 1000. Clough, Singh Diction., T.IF, p. 632, col. 2) But this island ischanged with theprogress of Buddhism; and for example today, among the Buddhists of Ava, it is in theirmonasteries, and not by individuals, that the religious spend the time of theseason ofrains. (Sangermano, Descript. Of the Burm. Emp., P. 92, ed. Tandy.) (See the additions, at the endof the volume.)(1) We can see in Georgi {Alphab. Tib., P. 407) therepresentation and the description of a Complete Vihâra, such as one could have built in the most flourishing periods of Buddhism, and such that in built again in Tibet. I think it will be not possible to find autoday in the more or less perfect models of these buildings, which we have preserved the famous caves of Guzurale and the country Mahralte. So I do then erapêcher me to believethat the Magatania cave described by Sait is a Vihàra dug in therock. (Transact. of thebed. Soc. of Bombay, t. I,p. 44.) Erskiiie did not hesitate to express the same opinion. {Trans.of the lit. Soc. of Bombay, t. 111,p. 527.) This wise author has long been noticed in the occasion of the caves of Elephanta, one must ordinarily find attached to temples Buddhist Page 38

256'INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYRuddha to an anchorite, some Vihâra in which the Religious who travelare at their arrival of what will accommodate (1)? >When the Religious weretees pretty long ina country, they were leavingtheir Vihâra to go to aanother province, where they chose a new domicile to which theyno re-were not more long attached at first. All this is understandable withouthardly, when you thinkto the easy life of India, o \ i the ascetics spend the dayunder thetrees and at night in huts of leaves, or under these shelterscovered, but not closed, that the charity of the rich has raised from time im-memorial on all the roads. Hethere is withoutdoubt far from this almost nomadic state of Ruddhism at the situation flourishing where he was the iv \(^\) century of our era, the breast of rich Viharas and the happy hermitages that describes the author 's Foe koue ki :but between the time when Fa hian visitedIndia and the one we know about the Avadânas and the Sutras, ithad spent more than nine centuries in next to the death of Çâkya, theSinghalese comput .However, some difference whichexists between these two states of Ruddhism, taken at times also distantone of the other, we can clearly see that thesecond had to quickly enoughresult of the first. In fact, a times that the Religious had from places still where they could live in common, the link that the rattachait thesomethe others had to tighten up more; and the effect only of this meeting

the con-titua in a body much better organized, and by following moredurable than that what could train the mascetics, usually isolated, who belonged exclusively to vement to the Brahmanic caste .To this factall material came to joininfluence that had exercised for goodhour on the organization of the Religious Ruddhisles the necessity where they is Trouvillewere to resist the attacks of their opponents. This need them made sen-shootthe need to unitebetween them andto form an association that couldvery easily to change into a monastic institution. There, and that wasmadenotea wise author, will find the véritabledifférence distinguishestheReligious Ruddhists of asceticsolder, such as the Sannyâsins anddug in the mountains a number more or less considerable of cells destined toto serve as a home for the Religious who lived there together. (Erskine, ibid.,t.I,p.202.) Thesecells are the most characteristic of a Viliàra. He in need direautant of beautiful cavesde Baug on the road to Oudjain, of which the same author has perfectly pointed out the exclusionary character of sively Buddhist. {Ibid., T.II, p. 202 ) This observation would undoubtedly apply with exactitude titude to several other caves of India, if we in had the most accurate descriptions andespecially less mixed interpretations mythological and historical, as those that have dataa few travelers. (See again on the word Vihâra, the Foe koue ki, p. 19 and 352.)(I) Avad. cat., f. 35 b.

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .257the Vanaprasthas (i). The latter who, far awayto dooppositionat thei: eligionpopular, were on the contrary authorized by the law of Manu, did not haveneed to create regularly organized religious associations. If they reuniteseemed around themsome disciples, hein result of meetingsaccidents that did not surviveto the Master. But the isolation in which E iswere placed the Buddhists, the breast of the company Indian, not could missof them felt the benefits of the life common; and a time these benefits appreciated, it was not difficult to ensure the conservation, by giving the head of the association a successor who continued the work of the one who had itfounded.one timeAssembly ofReligiousformed from themeetingof all theBhikchus ordained by Càkyamuni, hehad to quicklythereestablish a hierarchy•able to maintain order there. Also we see, in all the legends, the Bhikchus arranged according to their age and according to their merit. It is according to agethat they took rank in the Assembly, and the first received there the name of Sthaviras, old menor old. The Sthaviras in turn stood outinAncients of the Ancients, Sthavlrâh slhavirâmm (2);but inever havefindin the texts of namecorresponding to that of old, likewould be that of young or new. The Sthaviras occupied in the Assemblythefirst row after Çâkya; and it iswhich explains the translation remar-quable that the Tibetan interpreters give of their name. The word Slhavira isregularly replaced in their versions by thetwo monosyllables gnas-brtaUi thatour Tibetan dictionaries all render by vicar, substitute, Tothe exception of Schroter, which hegives themeaning of "very good priest, very-excellent monk (3)."The etymology of the word Slhavira {sthâ, to stand)on the one hand,and on the other the role that play usually in the legends the Sthaviras, auxWhat Śākyamuni says the soind'enseigner the Act, when itdo n't talk to him-even, undoubtedly justify the version of the Tibetan interpreters. Only would it notHowevergive the reader a singular idea of the original, as translated andasentence that is present in every page of the PradiM paaramitaa:" So thevicar Subhûtithus spoke to Bhagavat.» I do not hesitate to pretend that it would betranslate too much ;tooI thought having to keep the term even of Sthavira inmy French translation of the Lotus de la bonne loi. Mr Turnour has also keptthe title of Thera, pale form of

Sthavira, in the English translation which heTogiven from the Pali Mahàvamsa ;and though the Theras Sinhala, which have on all {{} ^ OchmgQT, the life contemplative among the Hindus, T ^.\ ^ &.(2) Puma, in Divya avad., F.22 o. Sumâgadhd avadâna, i. 4 a, manuscript in the Libraryroyal.(3) Bhotanta Diction., P. 38, col. 1.17 Page 40

258INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthe more religious the privilege of teaching the law, are in reality for the Sinhala as for the Tibetans, species of vicars, who replace au Jourd'hui Śākya their master, as they the replaced sometimes during its life. The merit also used to mark the ranks; and I even think hehad toto jointo privilegeof seniority, to ensure aReligious onesuperiorriorityindisputable. We have seen, in the legend of Pûrna, aevidencethat a Religious can, by his personal qualities, rise to a higher rankhigher than that which it holds of age. HeHowever, it is good to note that it isthere from supernatural power, from where heresult that the principle of the de seniority was going to be ingeneral superior to that of merit, since he yielded only toof (acuitysuperhuman We don'tmay believe, however, that theknow and thevirtue havecould sometimes be used to mark theranks, especially in the early days of Ruddhism. Heis certain, according to the legends, that thethe teaching of Câkya acted in a waymore or less fast, depending on whetherthose which the receivingwere more or less thereprepared ;to ensure a reli-gieux could acquirein bit of time a more science deep and aholiness more perfect than such other of those who had longpreceded in the Assembly. The knowledge of the truths taught by Sakyahad also its degrees, and without doubt as one that the had crossed all wasregarded as superior to the one who had stopped in his walk. These various degrees come up so often in our legends, that I think it is necessary to quotea text OII they themselves are listed according to their position relative. This text will have the advantage of present in shortened all of this that the avadānas uslearn aboutdistinctions between the Câkya listeners based on merit and science. "Rhagavat knowing the mind, the provisions, the character and naturalness boatmen who were listening, they explained the teaching of the Law, intended to them to enter the four truths sublime in such manner that after having Naturally, the one obtained fruits of the state of Crota apanna, the other ones of the state of Sakrid ageâmin, others still those of the state of Anâgâmin. A few-somehaving embraced the religious life reached, bythe annihilation of allthe corruption of evil, to see the state of Arhat face to face. They understood this that it is than Intelligence (Rôdhi), to whichreach them Crâvakas; thoseunderstood this what is that of the Pratyeka Ruddhas, others whatthat of a Ruddhaperfectly accomplished. Finally thewhole meeting wasabsorbed in the Ruddha, immersed in the Law, drawn into the Assembly (1)."(1) Avad. cat., î. 26 b, Pûrt ^ a, in Divya avad., F. 24 6. Sumâgadhâ avad., F. 18 a. Page 41

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .Ô59Let us now examine the various terms of this enumeration; they designate, as we will see, various degrees in the hierarchy that I will call moralandscientistof the Auditors of Çâkya. First, the four sublime truthsmy are the axioms fundamental quiservent basic to the doctrine bud-dhique, knowing that the pain exists, it is the sharing of whatever comes The world, it is important to break free, finally, that it is by the science alonewe can do so to obtain the delivery (1). Thosewho understandthese truths and yin accordance with their conduct are appointed Arya or venerable, byoppositionto ordinary men (Prithag djana), who have not yet thought abouton these important topics. However, we would only have a faint idea of the value of

this title, if we are seeing an epithet of one- direction opposite to that of manyulgar. The quality of Arya- seems to me, on the contrary, one of the highest in the-what can achieveone who is not Buddha; it assumes even Ordinarilyof course, in addition to the knowledge of the truths stated earlier, the possession of supernatural faculties. It is gives to first and themost eminent disciplinesples of the Buddha; the characters divine, as Avalokiteśvara and Mañjuśri, to receive in all thebooks, and the copyistsof Nepal even apply itto works which pass for emanating from the teaching of Cakyamuni ;inthis last job, this term amounts to little close to that of saint (^). These Arya or Venerable does not thus named after age, as the Sthaviras; they have this as their virtues to their faculties higher and theperfections that the freed more or less completely the conditions of existenceto whichremain submissive oncommon of men. According to the Bartenders, including I borrow here the witness, because that securities from listingwho weoccupies will meet also orin the Buddhism of the Souththan in that of North, the title ^ Ârya applies tofour orders which appear to be the first in the text cited more high, the Crôta âpannas, the Sakrid ageâmins, the Anàgâmins and the Arhats (3). Each of these orders is in turn subdivided into two classes, according as that whichin fact is or has not yet arrived to the rewards that his order entails. So we distinguishes the Crôta apattimarga sthana of with the Crota âpatti phala sthana, that is to say the action to bein the way of Crôtâ âpatti (4) from thatto be in the reward of this(i) I will come back to these axioms, already known moreover, that I will present under theas even they have in the Sanskrit texts of the North. (See the additions, at the end of the volume.)(2) On the employment of the word Arya, and on the various translations that give the Buddhistsfrom Central Asia, see M. Schmidt, Geschichte der Ost-Mongol, p. 395.(3) JudsoD, Burman Diction. ^ P. 27.(4) The diference of the two forms Crôta âpatti and Crôta âpama is that of the abstract substantive Page 42

260INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYsame stall ;and c \* esl as well as to explain these terms and other sembla-bles that we meet at all times in the legends of Nepal :vsH obtained the reward of such and such state. "H yhas therefore, strictly speaking, eight classes of characters which, according to the bartenders, should thetitlefrom Arya, well that these eight classes reduce the bottom four, as distinguished titlesthat we are going to analyze. All this, I the repeat is also commonly known Singhalese than Nepalese : it is the common heritage of the Buddhists of all the countries. The titles that follow, though also frequently employéspar theeditortors Sutras and legends, does not inat first glance so easy, and Inot have been able to findso far the positive interpretation in no text of the Nepal. The works that I puisconsulter to speak as much parfai-well known and which do not haveneed explanation. It is not, in fact, translate these words as to say, as do Judson and Clough in their dictatedservants bartender and sint ^ hclais :c sotāpatti (shape pale of Sanskrit Crotaâpattî), the first state which manages aÂrya, the state of an Ârya (1); »And so ^ iof Sakrid ageâmin which is the second state, (¡Anâgâmin which is thethird, andof Arhat who is the fourth. Fortunately the analysis of these terms, brought together Tibetan, Chinese and Sinhalese explanations, don't letno doubt about their true meaning. Let's start with the Tibetans, who are the least distant from thetraditionNepali. the first degree, that of Crôta âpafti, is represented in their versions by the wordsrgymi-dii-pa jugs, which, according to the form grammati-hold, designate the manwho has achieved this degree. This term, which is found inbeginning of a collection oflégendespubliées and translated with a lot ofcareby M.Schmidt (2), means according to this scholar: "man came into the duration,"

der in die Fortdauer Eiiigegaagene (3). In another passage the stateof suchman is called :c sustainable entry ,perpetual,» Die bestdndigeEinkehr (4). Finally, in a third, the reward for this state is named :ftthat of thosewhich persist constantly, "die Frucht derbeständig Ver-hleibenden(5j. These various interpretations do not offera meaningperfectlyclear; Mr. Schmidt seems to have adopted themin consideration of mofs rgyun-in adjeclif.Âpatti means acquisition, obtaining; this is the state.Àpanna means one who has obtained,acquired; it is the adjective.(1) Judson, Barman Diction., P. 400.(2) Der Weise und der Thor, p. 44.(3) Ibid., P. 51, note.(4) Ibid., P. 54.(5) Ibid., Text, p. 26, and transl., P.31...;

Page 43

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .261of, that Schröter, Csoma and M. Schmidt himself translate as always, subsisting perpetually. Schrôter even gives the entire expression who we occupies and the making and "the followers or the followers of Śākya (1)."This is, I believe, going too far; and while recognizing that we do not an happen to the state of Crôta âpatti only after havingheard the word of the Buddha, Idon't thinkno less than this titleit is not synonymous with that of auditor or of Religious. Schröter erases besides what it is has appeared in this title ;andall the sameit would be correct, saversion do would be not lessincomplete. Georgi, more Fortu-generous in regardof this word hedo is usually when hespeaks of Tibetan, translates this title ashefollows: "thosewho advancealways (2)."> But the Tibetan words lend themselves to an interpretation no less simple and more instructive; i'm theresee the meaning of:" The one who is entered in the current. "This is correctment interpretation from Sinhala we have sent Mr. Tumor in these terms: "This title comes from sôtâ, torrent quicoule; this is the first degree of the sanctification one that leads to other degrees the man who has reached(3)."The Buddhists Chinese do are notless explicit, at the sametime that they aremore detailed. According to them the term Crôta âpanna, which means entered into thecurrent, designates abeing who came out of the universal stream of creatures toenter into the which led to the grant. One such being, if I intend tonote if substantial and if curious about M. A. Rémusat, still has to go througheighty thousand KalpasWhereagesof the world, at the endfrom which hemust be rebornseven times among the devas and among the men, before getting the perfectionsupreme tion of the science of a Buddha (4). This notion has all the precisiondesirable; weit sees the agreement required and the elements expression originating final willcompose, and of the application that wein factin the practice. Shedesignates the first not from man to the perfection, and the made Tougly a picture simply and perfectly intelligible; to arrive to Harborof salvation, henced that man between in therunningwho is theremust drive. the titlesthat followdo are notless clear, and the note a forementioned from Mr. A. Remusat's says a manner not less satisfactory. That of Sakrid âgâmin, who wants to say " man who must return a time, "designates abe who must cross still sixty miles Kalpas to revive a once among the devas and a time among the men, before reaching to the absolute science. the(1) Bhotanta Diction., P. 328, col. i.(2) Alphab. tihet., p. 278; He wrote, however, this way at fault: rgyan Duju gas pa.(3) Turnour, Mahâvamso, Index, p. 24. Examination. of the PaliBvddhist. Annals, in Journ. Asiat. Soc. of Bengal, t. VII, p. 816.(4) Foe hoe ki, p. 94. This note contains other details to which I refer the reader. Page 44

262INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYword of Anagamin, which means" the onewho do should not come back, s>designates a beingwhono longer hasto crossthe forty thousand Kalpas the end duqueltemps it is exempt to be reborn in the world of desires and is assured ofto get to the science perfect (1).11 is to point out that these great rewards / which are the fruit of edu-of the Ruddha, are promised for afabulous future; nothing, as much as I the then discover, notcertifies the presence in our present life, for less that this will be the title of Arija, which we give, according to the Rarmans, to these three pre-my orders, as in the fourth. It is also noteworthy that they do not accompany necessarily the title of Religious, because in all the passages where i have found an enumeration similar to that that I examine, the class of Rhikchus is invariably cited after the three degrees analyzed attime. This observation has in itself agreat importance; in fact, if thesebenefits were assuredby teaching Çâkya to others than Religious, he should be recognized that the founder of Ruddhism had constituted by this fact only a kind of body of the faithful formed of all those who, withoutto adopt the religious life, had, however, to a certain extentin the knowledge of the truths he wanted to establish. I must say however thatat one of the people who have the most early adopted the Ruddhism, thethree titles previous ones are, like thosethat follow, the degrees of holinesswhoborndo not appearbe in useof asimple faithful. This is what establishes apassagethe Mahavamsa where the characters Revelus ^ of these titles themselves are understood tonumber of Religious of which the Assembly is composed (2). From same Ruddhaghosa, in hiscommentary on the Dîgha nikâya pali, teaches us that a greatnumber of Religieuxqui had yet reached that the degrees of streamwinner, Sakadâgâmi and Anâgâmi, were excluded by Kaçyapa from the firstAssemblywho took careof the drafting of Buddhist scriptures (3). It is however apoint to which I take the liberty of calling the attention of those who haveaccess to sources variety where one should draw the knowledge of Ruddhismemodern; and I ask the question as follows: the threedegrees which precede the one Arhat are they really, as I believe they are in the sūtras and in the Avadanas of Nepal, three states promised to every believing manto the wordsofRuddha andthe comprising a manner more or less complete, or are-(1) A. Rémusat / Foe koue M, p. 94.(2) Mahâvanso, c. xxvii, p. 164, ed. 4".(3) Buddha ghosa, in Turnour, Examin. of the Pali Budd. Annals, in Asiat. Journ. o {Bengal, t. VI, p. 513. The above titles are given here, according to M. Tumeur, in their formpale, which is sufficiently recognizable. Page 45

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .263this three states to which the Religiousalone can rise through the efforts of virtue and intelligence? the fourth degree or that to 'Arhat nedonnenot take place at the question that I come to report; the text city more high not allowed in this respect nodoubt, since he says in positive terms that it is only after adopting the lifereligious that one can, with the help of a superior science, become an Arhat. The Arhat or the Venerable is, on the report of knowledge, arrived at degree the most high among the religious; and the Sutras as well as the avadānas himattribute supernatural faculties, that is to say, the five Abliidjms or know-higher sessions, which are : the power of take the shape you want, the ability to hear all the sounds, some weak they are, the knowledge of thoughts of others, the past lives of all the beings, finally the faculty to see the objects at some distance as it is(1). The note of Mr. Rémusatcited more top tells us that the Arhat must still go through twenty miles Kalpas, after which heget the science Supreme (2). Besides, it is, like according to the texts of Nepal, by the annihilation of all

the corruptions of evil, that we arrive, according to the Chinese author, at the rank of Arhat ;and heprobably needseek in this circumstance the cause of the falseElymology of the name of Arhalthat offer the Buddhists of all the schools, those North as those of the South, and which consists in looking at Arhat assynonym of Annâm hattâ(pali), "The victor over enemies. "We have already, Mr. Lassen and I, reported this interpretation wrong(3) etj'ajoute here that his presence at the Bud-dhistes of all the country proves that it comes from a sourceunique and very certainment old. The Djâinas, who in India are the true heirs of Buddhists, nodo not seem to have fallen into the same error, if however wewe must relate to the testimony of VichnuPurdna, quidérive well theword Arhat de arh, "to deserve, to be worthy (4). >Some high as are the knowledge of an Arhat, heis not yetsucceeded in this that the Sutras and legends call the Bodhi or Intelligence(1) Clough, Singh. Dict., T.II, p. 39, col. 2.,(2) A. Rémusat, Foe koue M, p. 95.(3)Test on the pale, p. 203. The Tibetans not translate pasautrement this term.(4) Wilson, Vichm purân., P. 339. Bohlen has close ingeniously the motArhat the ari-tonians quoted by Nicolas of Damascus. {Das alte Indian, t. I, p. 320.) What that is the value of thisapproximation, one can assume with Lassen that the Arhats have been known to the Greeks. TheSspot or venerable, which, according to Clement of Alexandria, made a cult pyramidhigh above the relics of God, are the Arhats, including the name was translated to this ma-denied by the Greeks. (Lassen, De nom. Ind. Philosoph. ^ In Rhein. Muséum, t. I, p. 187 and 188.) We can add that Clement also speaks of dessepai or of venerable women; they are very probablement the Bhikchunîs of our texts. (Stromat., P. 539, Potter.) Page 46

264INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY of a Buddha. It is important not to confuse the word Bôdhi with that ofBuddhi. This last, which belongs to the language of the Buddhists as to c ^ daughter of Brahmins' means intelligence or the ability towhich man knows. The first, whois very rare in the Brahmanic Sanskrit, if even heis there employee, designates, according to Wilson, not only intelligence, but also"The act of keeping one's mind awake for theknowledge of the true god(1);"it's a branchof knowledge sacred. In the Buddhist style, On the contrary, Bôdhi designates both the state of a Buddha, and the intelligence of aBuddha, which moreover amounts to the same thing, since the proper state of a Buddha, that is to say of an enlightened being ^ is to be intelligent andomniscient. However as weis often busy, in the texts of Nepal, the science of Bud-dhas, Buddha djnâna, whichis only the acquired knowledgeto helpofhuman resources enlarged by the effect of a supernatural power, I believed that the term of Bôdhi was, like that of Nirvana \(^\) one of those words which heshould retain, except the cases where its meaning is perfectly determined, and have itrepresented, in the Lotus of the good law and in the present work, sometimes by" Intelligence, or the Bodhi, -"sometimes by "the state of Bôdhi." "These translations have the advantage here of being nothingprejudge on the application that wemadefrom this term to the two classes of beingswho follow the Arhats, know the Crâvakas and the Pratyêka Buddhas. The text we are examining at the momentwe watch in effect morethe assistants of the Assembly which teaches Sakya, conceiving the idea of the Bôdhi des Çrâvakas. Now as the Çrâvakas are the Audi-tors of the Buddha, and that all the religious who are part of the Assembly have towhat it seems at least, right to this liter, it as a result that a simple Religious if he is happily gifted, can achieve the Bodhi, which ishowever the share of a Buddha. It is undoubtedly to Auditors reached this highdegree of knowledge that applies the name of Maha śrāvakas or largeAuditors,

qu'emploient the Sutras andthe Avadânas ;and I add that this titlesometimes coincides with that of Sthavira or of old, when these Auditorsare actually the most âgésdethe assembly. But this that these Auditors pri-leged by the grace orby their previous virtues reach the intelligenceof a Buddha, should we conclude that therehas a class of Buddhas that we couldto name the Çrâvaka Buddhas? I do the think not,or at least thetextswhich are at my disposal do not allow a guessof this kind. According tome the Bodhi a Çrâvaka is the science the mosthigh to which an Auditorcan achieve; but this Auditor does not come outnot for that of theclass to(1) Samer. Dictionn., S. see 606, 2 »ed. Page 47

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .265which hebelongs; he is always a disciple of the Buddha, an enlightened disciple, heis true, and the most enlightened of all, but who has not yet reached at allthe perfections of the fully accomplished Buddha. This is so true, that the text that I analyze up above these Auditorsif instructed to Pratyeka Buddhas, or the Buddhas personnel, who wearreal-ment this titleof Buddha, who does not seem to me to grant our books toÇrâvakas. The PratyêkaBuddhas are Buddhas selfish, if I thenexprimer as well, which have all theperfections of the Buddhas, science, thensance and charity, less this character of saviorsquiappartient own to Perfect buddhas .Mr. Schmidt has wellexpressed their role, when hehas reported the difference that the Mongolian texts put between a true Buddha and aPratyêkaBuddha (1). When the Sutras and the legends speakof these peoplehigh swims, they are accustomed torepeat the following formula:" When heis not not born of Buddha to the world, hePratyêka appear thereBuddhas (2).» Nonehand however these Pratyeka Buddhas not represented completing theworks that mark themission of a true Buddha .But, Iowe mehasten to the mean, we go out hereof the hierarchy of classes whichform the As-sembly of Sakyamuni, andwe enter this ideal world of higher beingslaughing at man, whose inventionis notmaybe notdue any whole ^ to founder of Buddhism. Hemust, to return to the special object of the present section, donot go to beyond śrāvakas, as general, as we have said, of Listeners Buddha, and which, with the addition of Maha (large), gives place tothe distinction of two classes of Auditors, the Crâvakas and the Mahâ Crâvakas. In short, the Assembly of Çâkya, or, what amounts toat the same, the bodyfromBelieversof his doctrine is comprisedBhikchusou beggars, who were called again Cramanas or Ascetics, and among whom the old pre-are born the title of Sthaviras or Elders.the twofirst titles were of absolute denominations in a way; but considered in relation toother members of the company Indian, the Beligieux were called some-both Aryas or honorable, and in relation to their master Crâvakas or Auditors. Among the Crâvakas one distinguished Jes Mâhâ Crâvakas or the great Auditeu;? S ; this qualification their was certainly given in consideration of their deserved. In admitting that flaw, as i have proposed, applyoffaithfulthe names of Çrôta âpanna, Sakrîd ageâmin and Anâgâmin, we must believealso that the benefits promised to those that designated these securities were Paa(1)Ueber einige Grundlehren of Buddhaismm, in the Mem. of Acad. of Sciences of Saint-Petersburg, 1. 1, p. 241. See again the observations made above, sect. II, p. 83, note.(2) Nâgara avalambikâ, in Divya avadâna, f. 41 b; see again f. 64 6.

Page 48

266INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY.refused to true Religious; but these advantages, which were not to be realized will be beyond of the life present, does not constitute grades made forgive a rank in the hierarchy. The only title of this genre is that diArhator

venerable, which designated a Religious very superior to other Bhikchus and by his knowledge, and by hissupernatural faculties. In sothat basically, and except the synonyms and shadesLight reported just now, hethere was notin the Assembly of True Auditors of Càkya that two orders, the Bhikchusor Ordinary Religious, and Arhats or Senior Religious. The founder of Buddhism had himself two of these titles, the simple ascetic śraman.a ^which is almost synonymous with Bhikchu, and that of Arhat.It will be not easy to get in more great details on thetreated wherewe find indicated some of the points of the Religious discipline; I have shown that in this respect the Nepalese collection is not as rich asthis would be necessary so that we could present the complete picture of the Saycipline. The precepts of Vinaya are in effect mixed up in the storyactions of those of which the pipeseemsto Sakyamuni worthy of praise or to blame; theybornbe present incidentally, souventd'une so very concise, andundershape hint Ades regulations already practicedor at least known. Theyfocus on the garment on the food, on thehours and the number of dining on the care to be taken of the Vihara on the rules to follow for the admis-of a Religious, an important subject and which is, as we should therewait, treated a manner detailed in a lot of legends. I hesitate all the lessto refrain fromMore detailed information on these topics ifvarious, that the excellentto analyseof Dul-vawhatdata Csoma de Côrôs madeknow eijgeneral thishe has tomore curiousin this part of the Tibetan collection, whichis, as well as i have convamere me, composed of translations made on the Sanskrit texts, some of which are in our hands (i). I have already spoken of Avadanas of Purna and of Saiîigha rakchita; I havegiven the more great partofPrâtihârya surlra, which is taken from the collection of ancient legends, inti-tled Divya avadema, and I am sure that ifwe owned everything that exists or has existed in Nepal Sanskrit texts, we in would find the La-duclion in the Tibetan Dul-va. It therefore may, in what key the Disciplined, bridge, with the help of thirteen first volumesdu Kah-gyur, Jes gapswhat offersthe collection of religious books from Nepal.HeIt is important, however, to point out here a remarkable institution, which belongs to(1) Analys. of the Dul-va, in Asiat. Res., T.XX, p. 43 ff., And in / o "r". of the Asiat.Soc. of Bengal, t. ], p. 1 sqq. Page 49

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .267is certainly due to first tempsdu Buddhism, and which is even con-temporaine of Çâkya; it'sthat of the confession. On these esfirmly established in themore ancient legends, and heis easy to recognize that sheholds the bases themselves ofBuddhist beliefs. The law fatal to the transmi-gration clip, you the know ofrewards for good deeds and punishments to the bad ones; it makes even the compensation of each of theothers, in offering to guilty the way to be up by the practice of the virtue. There is the origin of the atonement, which holds as of space in the lawbrahmanic; the sinner, in fact, in addition to the interest of his rehabilitation present, must wish toto reap the fruits of his repentance in the next life. This theory is passed in the Buddhism which has received all made, with so many other elements constanttitutifs of the company Indian; but it has it takena form particular that inhas substantially modified practical application. The Buddhists continued to believe with the Brahmans in the compensation of bad action by the good, because they admitted with euxque the one were fatally punished and the others fatally rewarded. But like, from anothershare, theybornbelieved more in the moral efficacy of torture andtortures by which theguilty, according to Brahmans, could erase his crime, the atonementwas foundnaturally reduced to itsprinciple, that is, to the feeling of repentance, and theonly form she received in the practice was that of confession or of the

confession. This is the institution that we find in the legends, and that these treatiestrace back to us the first beginnings. Thelegend of Purna in giving a curious example in the history of ceReligieux who insulted by one another, Mui says: "A case of this fault, confess that you have sinned [atyayam atyayatôdêçaya); and by thenthis share will be reduced, it will be destroyed, it will be par-given. "The confession of the fault, accompanied by repentance, as was true at one ment, both for this life and for the next; andthis atonement applied to three species of mistakes that he can to commit the mistakes Pen ofsées, ofwords and actions. From this confession made to the one we had injured (1), of this purely individual confession to the public confession makes in front of the Assembly of Bhikchus, who are the custodians and the guardians of the law, it includes that the transition would be easy, and a timethis step taken, the destiny of this institution was definitively fixed among the Buddhists. The Tibetan Dul-vaus that the public confession was practiced even at the time of Sakya, and it took place in the presence of the Assembly, the day of the neward " of the full moon (2). The culprit, interviewed by Sakya on the action that it(1) Csoma, Analyze. of the Dul ^ a, in Asiat. Researches, t. XX, p. 73.(2) Id. Ibid., P. 58 and 79.

Page 50

268INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY reproached, should respond to high voice (1). All this is confirmed by the legends; only I do not find there the trace of the institution of the censor whosespeakCsoma (2). Ofin Çakya's time, it was he who was to be the censor; afterhis death, this high ministry had topass into the hands of the head of the assembly, just like hecould be delegated by him to the other religious. The institution of the confession we drove directly to asubject that holdsof the way the more intimate and quia an extreme auxyeux importance of Buddhists from all the schools :it is the distinction and classification of the variousgenresof faults, ormore generally the casuistry. But to penetrate ashortly before in this curious subject, he should have the Pratimôkcha Sutra orthe Sutra of Emancipation. This book lacks in the collection of Mr. Hodgson, and I did it know that in the very short analysis has given Csoma of the La-duction that the Tibetans have inserted in the Dul-va. According to Csoma, thistra-duction consists of two hundred and fifty-threerules divided intofiveheadsaccording to the nature of the faults that these rules have as their objectto condemn (3). Csoma does not indicate the Sanskrit titles of these divisions, northenumber ofrules contained ineach of them, except in two cases. It is however notimpossible to restore the more large part of titles Sanskrit, in comparison to an interesting note of Mr. A. Remusat on the Discipline Buddhist in the Chinese (4), the table of chapters of the Pali Phâtimokkha, suchthat my friend Mr. Lassen and I have published it long time ago, and as it was given recently M. Spiegel (5). The first section of the book, of which M. Rémusat reproduces the brief analysishas for title Pho lo ithat we translate by "corruption, extreme wickedness. "It consists offour acticles, who kiss them four more large crimes of which we can be guilty, the murder, the ft, adultery and thelie. The title of Pho lo i is certainly the Pali Phârâdjika or Pârâdjika, which Clough translatesby "unforgivable, inexpiable (6).» I do n't remembernot having never rencontréce word in the Sanskrit books of Nepal; heishowever possible that it is there in the same form of Pârâdjika, adjectivederived from parâdja, a term that I do not know more about, but that I derive frompara (retro)and adj (abjgere)." Crime, which hunt pushes in back "one who(1)Csoma, Analyz. ofthe Dul-va, in Asiat. Researches, t.XX, p. 79.(2) Id. Ibid., P.59.(3) Id. Ibid., P. 80.<sup>(4)</sup> Fo'e koue ki, p. 104

and 105.^(5) Test on the pale, p. 201, and especially Spiegel, Kammavakya, p. 35 sqq.(6) Singhal. Dict, t.II, p. 388, col. 2. Conf. Turnour, Examin. ofthe Pali Buddh. Donkeys inJourn. Asian girl. Soc. ofBengal, l.yi, 1 ^ .519. Page 51

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .269 is is madeguilty. The Pârâdjikâ dhammâ of the bookpali form four 'articles, number equal to that of the rules of Pho lo iChinese. The Religious whohad committed one of these crimes was degraded and excluded from the Assembly (4). The second section has to respect Seng My pho chi cha, we translated by " ruinofSarhgha; i>it contains thirteen articles. This title, who responds to the one\ofthesecond section of the Phâtimokkha, according to the listby M.Spiegel, is lessclear than the previous one. I'm thererecognize Seng Ma for Samgha, "the Assembly;"but the other threesyllables, pho chi cha, are probably altered. In thetitle of Samghâdisesa, which Clough makesthe second class of faults listedby the Moral Code of Religious (2) (which is in accordance with the tradition preserved in the commentary of Buddha ghosa) (3),the end of the wordwasted fear, andthe wholemeans: "CeQui must be declared to Samgha the beginning until the end. This section contains exactly thirteen articles, as the Seng Mypho chi cha of the Chinese. The errors of this kind should, according to Clough, beconfessed before a secret meeting which is not less than five Religious, and who has the right to fix the punishment. I do n't haveno more met this titlethanthat of the first section in the Sanskrit books of Nepal; I don't know untilnow if 'the Buddhists of the North to make an implementation usual. The third section is that of indeterminate rules ;we don't give itnottitle such as the transcribe the Chinese. But it is likely that this sectionresponds to the third of Phâtimokkha, which has for title Aniyaiâ DHAMMA [^] \This title in fact is prêtebienin the sense given by the list of Mr. Remusat. This which confirms me in this opinion is that she contains the same number of items as the listpale, that is to sayof them. The fourth is that ofrules of Ni sa Mii, termwhich we translate by abandoned to give; these rules relate to the love of riches, and will consist ofthirty articles. This section corresponds to the fourth of the Phâtimokkha, which Tofor title Nissaggiyâ dhammâ, and which also contains thirty articles. The Chinese transcribe and translate very exactly this titleof Nissaggiyâ, whomeans "this to what hemust be given up (5)."The fifth section has the title of Pho y thi, and containsninetyarticles; the term of Pho y thi meansto fall; and theliterof this section, (i) Csoma, Analyz. of the Dul-va, in Asiat. Researches, t.XX, p. 80.(2) Singhal. Dict., T.II, p. 688, col. 2.(3) Turnour, Examin. of the Pali Buddh. Armais ^ in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Beng., t.VI, p. 519.(4) M. Turnour gives the word Ariyatdni as the liter of this section; I do not doubt that this will be a misprint that he was not responsible. (5) Turnour, Examination, etc., in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. ofBengal, X.VI, p. 519. Page 52

270INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY closer to the previous one, wantssay that if we do not abandon the Nissaggiyâdhammâ, we fall into Hell. This section responds to the fifth of the Phâ-timokkha pali, whohas the title of Phâtchittiyâ dhammâ, and which contains fourtwenty-two articles (1). Gsoma gives, like the Chinese, ninetyarticles in the fourth Tibetan Pratimokcha section. Heis clear that the title Chinese Pho y thi is transcription by Phâtchittiyâ or of Pâtchittiya, termpaled which Clough translates as sin, and which is possibly derived from Sanskritprâyaçtchiita, cthat which we must repent. "If this explanation is notincorrect, the translation of the Chinese list is inaccurate. The sixth

section for title Pho lo thi thi che ni; it contains fourarticles. The Chinoistraduisent this title by " to repent vis-à-vis someone; "from therejust as thefaults he designates must be declared to the Assembly. This section responds to the sixth of the Pali Phâtimokkha, which has the title of Phâti-desaniyâ dhammâ, andalso contains four articles(2). This pale title isthe alteration of Sanskrit pratidêçanîya, "reportable to; "I concluded even offirst two syllables of the Chinese transcription Pho lo she leaves from an ori-ginal Sanskrit ipra], rather than a form faded, including the r would regularly deleted. The seventh section has no title transcribed in Chinese; it contains inone hundred articles the rules which prescribefor Religious to study. It is obvious-lies theseventh section of the Pali Phâtimokkha ,including thetitleis SekkhiyâDhamma, and which is made up of sixty and fifteen items(3). The title of sekkhiyâresponds to Sanskrit çâikchya, that I look like a derivative, either of çâikcha(student),or the noun çikchâ (study). Hetakes the lead in " on the students, y>or better by "relating to the study. » This explanation fits well in the interpretation of the Chinese. The eighth section is not no more of liter transcribed in Chinese; she meetscloses inseven items the rules for completing the challenges. It is manifest-ment thesame section as the eighth of the Pali Phâtimokkha, whose titleis Sattâdhikarana-samathâ [4:). We have here two words together in a singleby the laws ofspelling, know :satta, " the seven, )>and adhikarana-samathâ, "pacifications of discussions (5i.» This title fits exactly, as we see, in the Chinese definition .(1) Turnour, Examination, etc., in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t. VI, p. 520.(2) Id. Ibid.(3) Id. Ibid.(4) Id. Ibid.(5) The word adhikarana means, strictly speaking, "subject or matter which is under discussion. >

Page 53

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .271In summary, our two lists, that of Foe koue ki andthat of the PhâtimokkhaPali, only differ in what affects the titles of some sections. A differentrencewhat is more important is that the Chinese treaty contains twoone hundred and fifty rules, while that the Phâtimokkha paled do only twoone hundred and fourteen or more exactly two hundredtwenty-seven in comprising the thirteen rules of the second section called Saihghâdisesa. What hein eitherofthis point on which I propose to return later, when I will deal withthe Sinhalese collection, itwas not in vain to take this quick glance at the main of books of the Discipline, the restitution of Chinese transcriptions noises now makes this supposition very probable, that he exists in the col-election from the North a Pratimôkcha whois not essentially different fromPhâtimokkha of the Singhalese. This assumption becomes almost a certainty, when we compare the expression of Çikchâpada, that is to say "theprecepts ofteaching, y>so frequently used in the texts ofNepal, with thatofSikkhâpada, which is notless common in those ofCeylon. The curiousminutesoffirst council which have been gathered the writings canonicala commentator Buddhist we have preserved, we learn that gavethegeneric name of Sikkhâpada at themost large partrules of the Discipline (1). Now the term pd \ i sikkhâpada is the transformationregular of Sanskrit çikchâpada ^ which I do not find defined anywhere in the books of Nepal, but which I hesitateto dothe application of the meaning that the term of sikkhâpadapossesses among the Singhalese. Now like these precepts of teachingkiss atreport of the Buddhists of the South, the more large part oforders of the Discipline, I conclude that thedeliverednamed in the North Pratimôkcha contains the same materials as that which is known in Ceylon underthe title of Phâtimokkha. I would have not quitthissubject without saying a fewword " from the

extractwhatgiven Mr. A. Bémusat a book curious entitled Chi had theou tho king ^ " thesacred book of the twelve observances(2).» This bookis not,to all appearances, The sense of focus leads all right with that of hassle. (Turnour, Journ. Of the Âsiat. Soc. OfBengal, t. VI, p. 736.)(1) M. Tarnour has the merit of having given an excellent translation of this capital piece, onwhich I will come back to later when talking about the Sinhalese collection. {Examine. of the Pali Buddh.Ann., In Journ.Asiat. Soc. of Bengal, t.VI, p. 519 and 520.) When Itranslates the Sutra ofMàndhâlri (above, Sect. II, p. 65 ff. And p. 71, note 2), I was not fixed on the meaningprecise of the term çikchâpada, which I rendered by "axioms of teaching," giving hima philosophical sense. The comparisons exposed in my text tend to prove that this expression applies to the Discipline, and it is theretoday my feeling. I pray therefore the player to substitute the word dictates the word axioms in quelquespassages the Sutra cited above.(2) Foe koue ki, p. 60 sqq. Page 54

272INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthan a translation of a treaty originally written in Sanskrit; at least wefind in the words theou tho the exact transcription from Sanskrit dhuta, thatthe Chinese derive from a word meaningshake (Ij.But when eventhe original of this Chinese treaty would be paled, we have a plausible reason forbelieve that such a bookhas existed in the North under a form of Sanskrit; it's that the titles of the twelve observances of Chi had theou tho will find listedin the Buddhist Pentaglotte Vocabulary (2). They there are for the most ma-obviously corrupt; alteration howeverdon't go so far as to make it disappearcharacteristic features of primitive language. Enumeration of the Vocabularypentaglotte ayet a further interest : it is possible of the compare to a similar list which hascourse among the Singhalese (3). The list which I Parleafor title Teles dhûtangga, in Pali Terasa dhûtangga, that is to say "the thirteenrules by whichit shakes the sin-." We find here the theou tho Chinese, which I spoke all at the time; it's well the transcription of Sanskritdhûta, which should not be translated, with Clough, by messenger. Thosetwo lists, that the vocabulary and the Clough, differ without doubt a few pointsindependentlysame from the difference of the two numbers, twelve in Tune andthirteen in the other. I will report these differences by following the order of the list of Vocabulary, which agrees better with that of the Singhalese than with that of the treatyChinese. Heit is moreover here about the Sanskrit sources of Ruddhism, and it is notthat by the way that I can speak offaded forms peculiar toRuddhisme the South. The first of twelve articlesof the Vocabulary is written Sânpukulika; it is .a faulty spelling of the term Pâmçukûlikah, whichin the language of the Rud-dhistes means"wearingrags found in the dust. t>This term is regularly derived of piu Tiçulcûla, "Heap of dust; "This is in effectin the piles of garbage in the cemeteries and other places abandoned, that the Religious must pick up the rags of which their clothing is made. This prescription is the first according to the list of the Singhalese, and it responds to the ar-seventh article of the Chinese treaty, relating to the rags of which the Religious must will make the clothes patched. The injunction that contains this article is certainment of the most ancient in theRuddhistes, and legends are made ofper-petual allusions, for example when Çâkyarecommend toReligious ofsew and to wash the partsof their clothes. I add that the Tibetan part of the Pentaglotte Vocabulaire (4) justifies my reading and my interpretation; the article(1) Foe koue M, p. 60.(2) Vocab. pentagl, sect. XLV.(3) Clough, Singhal. Dkt., T. II, p. 242, col. 2.(4) I owe to the complacency of M. Foucaux the list of Tibetan titles of the twelve sections which

## Page 55

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .273that we care there istranslates Phyag-dar-khrod-pa ^ " what is found inmiddle of the garbage, "according to Schioter (1), and more exactly, "garbage heap. "It is, in fact, the translation of pamçukûla, without the adjective formthantakes this term in thelisting of the Pentaglotle Vocabulary . The name of the clothingmadeof rags found in the garbage is in Tibetan phyag-dar-khrod-kyigos, literally " garment of piles of rubbish (2)."The second article is regularly written Trâitchivarikah; hemeans "the onewhohas three clothes. "It is, like the termprevious, an adjective; heisderived from Tritchivara, "the threeclothes. » This article is also thesecondin the Singhalese list :heThere is of course written in the form faded fromtetchivarikangga; this spelling differencesufficiently shows that the Vocabulary penlaglotte was written on Sanskrit originals, as I have tried to establish it therea long time ago (3). Heresponds to the eighth injunction the treated Chinese, who directs the Religious to doownat a time that threeclothes. Here again the Tibetan portion of the Vocabularypenlaglotte translated exactly the Sanskrit: Tchhos-gos-gsum-pa, ^^the one who has themthree clothesreligious. "The third article is written Nâmatikah; this title is certainly altered, andas he is here, hemakes no sense. In the Tibetan version I find Phying-ba-tchan, which means"The one who has afelt or wool blanket. "To recognize this meaning in the titleSanskrit itshould the read kâmbalikah; but I would not dare, no more than evidence, substitutethis lesson in ortho-graph of nâmatikah, from which it is too far away. Hedo is no less truethat the Religious Buddhists are forced to wear a coat of wool adark yellow, and it is evident that the interpreters Tibetans thought that the pre-This article was related to this injunction. But I can't find anynot the leasttrace in the Singhalese list; hethere is matter neither of the garment woolnor of the color it should have the fourth article is written Pendapâtikah; it's Plndapâtikak that he should hre; this term means \* "the onewho lives on alms," and that's good as well as the in-will follow; He has tried it out for me the vocabulary penlaglotte, which was not to mydisposition. I nephews however not the blaming of interpretations that I proposed, and he better than anyone the means to rectify.(1) Dhotanta Diction., P. 191, col. 1.(2) I find a very clear example of the first three monosyllables of this compound in the Tibetan version of the Pràiihârya sûtra, which has been translated above (Sect. II,p. 154), Delà phyag-darkhrod-pa de-dag bkhrus-nas, which exactly represents the Sanskrit expression: Tasyapamçukûlân dhâvayitvâ. {Dul-va, tom. da or xr, fol. 35 b.)(3) In a footnote inserted to Mixtures Asian, 1. 1, p. 452 sqq.18 Page 56

274INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY terprète the second paragraph of the notes of Mr AbelRémusat. He is the thirdin the Singhalese list , where heis written pindapâtikangfja. But the Singhalese, orcan waxonly their interpreter B. Glough, appear to be here a confusionheis necessary to manage. They translate 'pindapâta by o:vase withalms (1) /» As if pâta weresynonym of patta ^ pale transformation from Sanskrit patra (vase). I do not believe that this interpretation is admissible, and phidapâla me seems formed of pinddy "ball of rice," Or of any otherfood substance ,and of pâta ^ "NS:" the NSa dumpling is heresynonymous with the term alms of some foods. The Tibetans do are not hereof great help forenlighten us on the etymology of the word ;but in La-reducing the termwhich occupies us by hsod-snoms-pa, "the one who livesof-mones, "they give us the general and perfectly exact meaning of indapâtika.the fifth article is written

Ékâpanikah.M.A. Rémusat has placed thequa-third on his list, and hethought hebe reported to the injunction who is made to the Religious to be satisfied with a single meal. I believe this is a mistakewho comes from the apparent analogy of panika withpasika. The comparison of thelisting Sinhalese and of the releasetibetan of the Pentaglotte Vocabularyweprovides themeans of restoring this term, which it is certainly necessary to read êkâsanikah. The Sinhala write it êkâsanikangga, and the result of "onewho employsalways thesame seatto take his meal." The Tibetansrep-feel our articleby the Stanglchig-pay words "the onewhoToa seatunique." The adjustment of êkâsanika for êkâpanika is still justified bythis observation, that in the Pentaglotte vocabulary the letter p is frequentlyment substituted for s.But hemay remain a doubt on the questionto know ifthe Buddhists have not played here on the dual meaning which is ready ceterme, following whether it is written with a ç or with an s: thus the spelling êkâsanika, in Sanskrit, can not have that this sense, "the one who has a single seat;" Gold-tographer êkâçanlka in Sanskrit can not be that this sense, "the one who does thatonly one meal." But in Pali as the difTérence of? and the s has disappeared,êkâsanika can have both meanings. We see that we would justifylinen-terpretation by Mr. A. Rémusat by reading êkâçanika; but if the testimony dou-not many of the Sinhalesenot opposed to this translation, the positive assertion of Tibetans, who see herethe sense of siege, the contradiction formally. The sixth article is written Khalupaçvâddhaktimkah; it is the fifth of the list of Mr. A. Remusat, and that learned the look like relative to the injunction that defend against Religious to eat more than two-thirds of the share of alms he To(1) Clough, Singhal. Diction., P. 394, col. 2. Page 57

INDIAN DUBUDDHISM .275collected. This barbaric term is unintelligible, and if, to explain it, hehad tostick to the meaning proposed, we would not find in thelistingSinghalese thatthe term Paltapindikangga whichdefends the religious to eat withmore of a vase, injunction comes certain ement dans the fifth section of Mr. Remusatcornwho is not not rendered by the same term. Fortunately the listing sin-ghalaise has one other item which one that weoccupies is, according to all appearances rence, that an alteration; it is Patchtchhâhhatlikangga, or the rule that ordersto the ReligiousDene make a meal per day, and to do thetake neither before noraftermidday. If in effective restore this Pali word in Sanskrit, we have pactchâd-bhaktika termwhowill appear not very far from paçvâddhakti? nka, if one ispostponeto the confusion so easy for groups lîlÇçicha or ^ CVA, and ^ DBHA or ? [ddha. Herestkhalu ^ that I admit notto be able to explain; the meaning of this wordSanskrit (in effect, to know) has nothing to do here. I am therefore still reduced to pro-to posea conjecture, and hence of the striking resemblance has the group ^sva with the aspirated consonant 1 ^ kha, Itransforms khalu into svâdu, and reuniteswith this term to the next, I readtheall svâdvapactchâd-bkhatika, that is to say€ the one that does not eatcandyafter his meal," Or after the hour of noon, which amounts to the same thing. This correction, based inparton the study of the listSinhalese, seems to meup to little close beyond doubt by Articlesixth in the list of Mr. A. Rémusat, which states that "the juice of fruits, honey and Another thing the same kind not need ever be taken by the beggarpassmidday. )>If even shewasadopted, she would give us aexpression goodpreferable to that of the listSinhalese, which in reality means "the one whoeat after, "that is to say exactly the opposite of what that defends the rule. Sheseems to me to be fully confirmed by the Tibetan translation of our article: Zas-byis mi len-pa,"The one

who takes nothing afterhis meal, "while reading, likeme the offers Mr. Foucaux, w/iyis (after) the Er of byis, whichnot donenosense. The seventh article is written Aranyakah; this spelling is correct, and theword it reproduces means "The onewho lives in the forest," as the door theparagraph premierde the list of Mr. A. Remusat. Here again the Vocabularypentaglotte visibly leaves from a Sanskrit source; because in faded this injunction is voiced by aranmkangga. The Tibetan version strongly agrees with this explanation; it represents our article by the words: Dgon-pa-pa," the one Who lives in the solitude. "In saying that the Religious must live in a place A fan jo he Chinese will make that transcribe the term Sanskrit aranya. The eighth article is written Vrikchamûlikah; it's the tenth on the list Page 58

276INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY by Mr. A. Rérausat, the one who enjoins to the Religious to sit downwith atree, and not to seek other shelter. The word vrikchamûlika means ineffect "the one who is nearof theroot of a tree.)>Here again the term ofPentaglotte vocabulary is Sanskrit, because in Palithis article is written Rukkhamulllkangga. The Tibetan version is hereagree with our explanations; shereplaces the term that weoccupies by these words: Ching-drung-pa, "the onewhois near a tree. "The ninth article is written Âbhyavakâçikah; this is theeleventh paragraphfrom the listby Mr. A. Rémusat, who enjoinsto the Religiousto sit on the floor. The Pentaglotte Vocabulary here still follows Sanskrit originals; because in palethis article is written Ahbhokâsikangga. the Sinhalagive to this term aexact interpretation, when they say that he expresses the injunction that is made to the Religious to live in the open air, without ever taking shelterunder a roofnor in house. This explanation clearly follows from the meaning of avakâça, " spaceopen. "Heit is important to bring this defense closer to theformer; andwemust conclude that theonly shelter under which could berefuge the Religious was the shadow of the trees, near the trunk of which hehe was allowed to sit down. The release Tibetan will give here a little more of latitude; in fact, expressionBlag-ba-mcd-pa means, if I the understand correctly, cthe one who does not have his comfortable. > The tenth article is written Smâçânikah; this is theninth item in the listby M. A. Rémusat, and heenjoins the Religiousto live in the midst of tombs.hereyet we have a term purely Sanskrit and easy to distinguish from thepale form, which is sosâràkangga. According to the Singhalese, this article does not ordertoReligious that the visits temporarythe places where we deposited the dead; he should that he will visit from time to time in a cemetery, in the middle of the night, for there to meditate on the instability of human things. The Tibetan version ishere perfectly exact :the words Durkhrod-pa in fact mean " he who isin the cemeteries. The eleventh article is written Nâichadikah; this is the twelfth paragraph of the list by M. A. Rémusat, the one which enjoins to the Religious of being seated and notlayer. Here again we have a perfectly recognizable Sanskrit term; the pale form of the Sinhalese list is Nesadjdjikangga. Following Glough, thisarticle enjoined on Religious sleeping in the positiona man sitting, and ofbornnot lie down. The Tibetans replace this term by the expression Tsog-pub-pa, which our dictionaries translate as: < 1 the onewho sits one legfolded under the body. "The twelfth article is writtenYâthâpamtari; heanswers the third para-

Page 59

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .•277graph of the listby Mr. A. Rémusat, to the one who enjoinsto the Religiousofkeep his rank when hebegs. The Singhalese read and interpret a

littlethis article differently: according to Clough, we write it Yathâsanthatikangga, and weit seesthe injunction made to Religious not dene chan ^^ er the position of the mator the mattress on which heis based, and of theleave suchthat he has it a timeextended. The Singhalese interpretationis strictly conforms to the etymologicallogic, since if we subtract the suffix ika, the wordyathâsanthat for yathâ-sanîhala who remains representsexactly theSanskrit yathâsamstrita, "asheis extended;" To ensure that the article entirely expected, in this case, be read in Sanskrit yâthâsamstritika,"The one who leaves hiscarpet telthat he has itatimes extended. "But considering the spelling YAT / iâpamtari any fautivequ'elleis, puts us on the path to another restitution which consists in reading yâthâsams-tarika, adjectiveformed of yathâsamstara, " as is the carpet, d The lesson of the Pentaglotte vocabulary leads more directly AThis correcting only one that suggest me the spellingof thelistingSinhalese, etje thepreferred even at thesupposed lesson yâthâsamstritika.But also, you see, one and the otherwemove awayof the Chinese interpretation, which orders the Religious of garderson rank when hebegs. The Tibetan version does not seem to me to be decidedly decided-lies the question, because the expression ICM-gee-BJI-pan is sufficiently vague to be paying to these two interpretations: "That which remains at the site where it is," or [the one whokeep his carpet,]" Such that it has a time placed."The analysis earlier we have given twelve itemspale, each of which corresponds lays in a section of the Vocabulary pentaglotte; but the Singhalesein counta thirteenth, which occupies the fourth place in their enumeration. CloughwritingSapadânatchârikangga, andthetranslated:" Order whichenjoins the"Religiousto live by begging for food from house to house. "Weexplains this term by its(for saha) " with, " padâna (for pradâna) "Don,alms, ))and chârika, "which works, " that is to say,"the onewho walks incollecting of alms. » This rule fitsifnaturally in that ofPindapâttka, that heis not difficult to understandhow it can fail toList of VocabularyPentaglotte and in that the treated Chinese city byMr. A. Rémusat.I regret to myself stopped so long on these details, if no resultwas not some consequences interesting affecting the habits and the lifereligious, in the early days of Buddhism. Heis obvious that therules that contain the twelve paragraphs explained everything to time appartien-NENT to a époqueoù organizing Religiousin one bodysubjected to asimple, but strong hierarchy, andresiding in the breast of rich monasteries in Page 60

278INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYwas still barely in its early stages. The obligation to retire in theloneliness of the forests, that of sitting down with the troncsd'arbres, that of living 'in full aii-,far from home and in any other shelter, are certainly threeprimitive rules. They are even contrary to the institution of Yihâras ormonasteries, which are however very old in theBiology, andincluding theneed began to be to feel as soon as the body became followersnumerous. One other institution no less remarkable is allrules thatto relate to the garment. The Religious must compose theroomscloth which heis covered with rags collected in the cemeteries or mediumgarbage, and heborncan't own more than three of these miserable clothespatched elements. A carpet to sit on, a vase to beg for, this is what, with these three garments, form all his wealth. The Brahmin, or more preciselyment the ascetic out of theBrahmanic caste, carried without doubtmore farstill thedetachment, when helived entirely naked, without consider covering this body hebelieved to have tamed; but hehurt a feeling who survives among all the men in the loss in evitable of their first innocence. Çâkya-muni, on the contrary, gave in his morality

agreat room for the assault; and heseems hehas wanted to make the backup of chastity that he imposed on hisdisciples. The legends are full of the reproaches he addresses to beggarswhogo naked, and the appalling spectacle of their rudeness is over a time approximationché from the chaste picture of an Assembly of Decently dressed religious. Heiseven allowed to believe that the power granted to women to enter into the lifereligiousnot was notwithout influence on the rigor of the injunctions relating toclothes. Who could have tolerated the sight of aNaked nun? Between many examples of disgust that the Buddhists of experience in the REN-against naked ascetics, I choose one of the most characteristic." One day ofbeggars naked if were assembled to take their meal in the house ofthestepmother of Sumågadhå, daughterAnåthapindika. The mother-in-law tells herdaughter-in-law: My daughter, come see somerespectable characters. Sumågadhå is said initself: Without doubt it has invited the major Auditors, as the SthaviraÇâradvatî puttra (Câriputtra), Mahâ Màudgalyâyana and others. So she went outfull of and joy of satisfaction; but shewould not rather have seen these beggarswearing their hair in the shape of pigeon's wings, dirty, having no other clothesis lyingthan the stains with which they were covered, exhaling a bad odor, nakedand like Demons, that she was seized with great discontent. Whyso are you sad his mother-in-law told him . Sumagadha replied:my mother, if the characters respectable are well made, how will so the sinners (I)? "(1) Sumâgadhâ avadâna, f. 2 b.

## Page 61

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .279These last words very happily express the true feelings of theBuddhists; they make us understand howCâyamuni could proscribethe use of live naked in in rejecting the shame on the Tîrlhikas (i). Let us add, withMr. Wilson, that the obligationwhere was the Religious of being so well covered providesToarcheology a primary character for the determination of the statues, or scenessculpted, that we sometimes hesitateto declare Djâinas orBuddhist. The scenes where the characters Religiousare coveredbelong very-presumably to Buddhism; but we do can tell autantde those wherethey appear naked. The ascetics or saints who do notwear no clothes must, in all likelihood, to be declared Djâinas; they are of these characters who, as indicated their name of Digambara, had no other clothingthanspace {%To the details that Ijust to give on those rules of the discipline thatseem to me to be the oldest, I believe it is useful toattach a text which throws theday on the life of the Religious in the Vihâras or monasteries. This text, thatI borrowtoDivya avadâna, no doubt contains some ridiculous details;but I did not want to take anything away, so that we could seeclearly fromwhatso the legends compilers will have represented the obligations imposed to the Religious reunited in the Vihâras. Wewill judge by thatofthe importancethanthese institutions had toeves of Buddhists.(1) Csoma, Analyze. ofthe Dul-va, in Asiat. Researches, t.XX, p. 71.(2)Wilson, Abstract of the Dul-va, in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.I.p. 4.Mr. Remusathad already noticed the fact; but he did had not drawn the consequence that that affects the com-parison of Buddhist statues and Djâinas. (Foe koue Here, p. 62.) I do have, however, not meconceal that this distinction between clothed images of Buddhas and naked images of Djâinas is formally contradicted by M. Hodgson, in whose opinion M. G. de Humboldtgives a consent without reservation. M. Hodgson, taking the opportunity of an analysis of the Memoirsby M. Erskine on the caves of Elephanta, an analysis of which the author (who is perhaps M. Wilson)clung to put in highlight the reported character Parm. Erskine {Quart. East. Magaz., March 1824,p. 15 and 16), has positively denied that

the images of the Buddhas were always represented LYINGgreen of a garment, the difference images of Djâinas, which are usually bare. {Transact. of the Roy. Asian girl. Soc, t. II,p. 229 and 230.) In support of this assertion, it has produced drawing which represents a sage seated in the posture of a man who teaches, and in acomplete state of nudity. This evidence is, as I the indiquais all at the time, seemed sufficient toM. de Humboldt. {Ueber die Kawi-Sprache, 1.1, p. 115.) Despite the deference that I myself feel p'ortéto testify to the opinions of two such eminent men, I find that the authenticity of the drawingproduced by Mr. Hodgson has not sufficiently established, because it does based that on the authority of aBhotiya, which the English scholar says in being accountable. The testimony of the texts seems to me tomuch greater than that of an isolated drawing, the true date of which is unknown. The texts whichcondemn the nudity in the Religious abound in the legends. I am happy to addto those who are the subject of the present debate a way characteristic of a sutra city moretop (sect. 1 \,p. 167) on the miracles of Çâkya.

Page 62

280INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYLEGEND OF SAMGHA RAKCHITA (1)." It is had to Crâvasiî a master house named Buddha rakchita(2), rich, wealthy, having great wealth. This man took a woman ina family equal to his own; then hehave fun with it, with her heis delivered to ,pleasureand to the pleasure.\*When heis was entertained with her, his wife, at the end of asome time, became pregnant (3j.\*However the respectable Çàriputtra enteredin the house of thisman with the intention of the convert, and hehimlearned, as well as his wife, therefuge formulas and the precepts of the en-teaching." At the end of some time, the wife of the master house became pregnant. The respectable Càriputtra, recognizing that the moment to convert the childhad come, entered in thehouse of Buddha rakchita without being followed byper-ring. The master of the house said to him: The venerable Śāriputra did so behindhimno śraman.a that the follow (4)?master of the house, resumed Cariputtra, Is it that you think that the śraman.as thatfollow us are born forus of Kâca or Kuca plants (5) V These are the children that yourlike whobecome the śraman.as factsto follow us .venerable, says the master ofhouse Buddha rakchita, my wife is pregnant; if it is a son that she gives birth to(1) Divija amdùna, f.164 b, man. Soc. Asian., F. 207 a from my man. Bkah-hgyur, sect. Dulva,t. ka or I, f-147. Csoma, Asiat. Res., L.XX, p. 55. Celtic history is preceded by a preamblewhich is related to the legend of two nagas, or snakes fabulous named Nanda and Upananda, and which contains the defense that made Śākya to receive a teaching man whose existence is not well demonstrated. This defense is made on the occasion of a Nàga who had taken the outside of a Religious. Buddhists imagine that the nagas can be transformed'following theirdesire by some to be as it is, and they will be forced to resume their true face asto accomplish some of the acts which constitute their own individuality.(2) We know already a name like that we have been retained by thebrahmaliteraturepicnic is the Buddha rakchita, one of the followers of Kâmandakî, Celtic Nun Buddhistwhich appears in the Màlatî màdhava. With the words Buddha, Dharma and Saiïtgha, the Buddhistsform proper nouns which mean respectively: "Protected by theBuddha by the Act and by the Assembly. "(3) The sentence that I placed between two stars is located in my two manuscripts of Sanskrit; but it is lacking in the Tibetan version; it seems almost obvious to me that this is only an inter-polation of copyists.(4) This is referring to the rule that forbids to a Religious to enter into the house of a secular without

follow a different religious, is already ordered, or simply a novice; one such religious isname Paçtchât Çramana, cÇramaija coming from behind. "We have already seen a hintsimilar to this deeply moral custom in the Sutra relating to the miracles of Çâkya duringhis struggle with the Tîrthikas (Above, sect. II, p. 155.)(5) The kâça is the saccharum spontaneim, and the kuça the poa cynosnroides. Page 63

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .281 world, Iyou give this child for it becomes śraman.a and that you follow. Master of the house, resumed Cariputtra, that's a good idea." The wife of Buddha rakchita, at the end of eight or nine months, world a son, handsome, nice to see, lovely, with the complexion white, the skin ofgold color; its head had the form of a parasol; his arms were long, his foreheadbroad, his eyebrows gathered, his prominent nose. The Parents are being gathered to the end of three times sevenor twenty and a dayscelebrated a so brilliant celebration of the birth of the child, and took careto him give a name. What namewill have the child ?[said the one; others replied:] This child is the sonofmaster of the house Buddha rakchita; that he therefore receive thename ofSaiîigharakchita. The daywhere Samgha rakchita was born, five hundred merchants hadeach a sonwho came to them in the world, and to which they gave a similar nameform to that of their family, theyoung Samgha rakehita was fed and raisedwith the milk with the curd, with the butter fresh, with the butter clarified withbutter froth, and with other kinds of hot seasonings; and hegrew quickly, like a lotus in a pond. When hewas great, therespectable Çâriputtragrateful that thetime of theconvert had come, entered in the House of Buddha rakchita without being followed anyone, and com-threatened to give it a sign [ofherpresence]. The master of the house Buddha rakchitathen said to Samgha rakchita: my son, you were not yet born that I had youalready given to the venerableÇâriputtra so that you became Cramana and so thatread the followings. This young man, who was entered in his last existence, is at-tacha to notofrespectable Câriputtra that he followedconstantly. Introducedin the life religious by Śāriputra, hereceived from him the investi-e and theknow-ciency of the four collections of commandments[Agamas \€ At some time in there,the five hundred merchantshaving collected of goods intended for asea voyage, and wishing to embark on the great ocean will say: Why, friends, do not should sail with us aArya, so that when we'll be in the middle of the great ocean, itweteachesthe law? Others answered them: Friends, there you gothe Arya Samgha rakchita, which isof our age, who was born at the sametime that we, who played with us in the dust (1); it's him that you have to embark with us. They went sonear him and said to him: Samgha rakchitathe Arya, you are of our age, you areborn at the sametime that we, you 've played with us in the dust. Welet's go to the great ocean :therefore also come and embark with us ;(1) The two manuscripts Sanskrit read sahapramcukrîdanaka, which pourraitse translate to therigor by " who has size and games in common." "But i havefollowed by Tibetan, which starts from atext where we read pârfiçu, "Dust, " in place of prâmçu, " which is high waist. > Page 64

28 ^éINTRODUCTION TO HISTORYwhenwe will be in the middle of the ocean, you will teach us the law. I dontam not in control of me, replied Samgha rakchita; address to myprecepttor. The merchants are rendered so in the place whence was the respectableÇâriputtra,and when they When they got there, they said to him :Çâriputtral'Ârya !here is the Arya Samgha rakchita who is of our age, who was born

at the same timetimethan us, who played with us in the dust. We are goingleave for thebig ocean :consent to him embarking with us ;when we will be surethe great ocean, itwewill teach the Fa. Cariputtra answered them :Addressyou to Bhagavat. Theyis rendered accordingly to Bhagavat andhimsaid: Bhagavat, we are going to leave for thegreat ocean; here is Samgharakchita the Arya who is of our age, who was born at the same time as us, whoplaywith us in the dust ;consent that heembarks with us ;when we 'll be in the middle of the great ocean, itwewill teach the Fa." Bhagavat then made this reflection: What are the roots of virtue, what what are they, what do these people have? That ofwho depend on these rootsof virtue exist? Yes, it is the Religious Samgha rakchita. Inresultheaddressed and to Samgha rakchita: Go, Samgha rakchita, hewill have toyousleepersfromdangers and from formidable circumstances. The respectable Sairigha rakchita testified by her silence her assent to thewords ofBhagavat." Then the five hundred merchants, after calling on their businessthe blessings and the favor of heaven, chargedalarge quantity of mar-chandises on trolleys, on yokes, to the back of the holders (1), in the corresponding beilles on camels, on oxen, on donkeys, and set out for the greatOcean. After having successively traveled through a large number of villages, of cities of districts of hamlets of cities walled, they arrived finally on the verge ofthe sea, and having had a vessel built by a skilled worker, they embarkquarreled over the ocean, taking their riches with them. When theywere by-Having come to the middle of the great ocean, the Nâgas seized their ship. Thentheybegan to implore the Divinities: That the Godhead, they cried, that live in within the great Ocean, that this is a Deva, a Naga or Yakcha, we do know that that she wants. Immediately a voice came outfrom the middle of thegreat Ocean: Deliver to usthe Arya Samgha rakchita. The merchantsreplied: The Arya Samgha rakchita is of our age; hewas born at the same time as us ;hehas played with us in the dust ;hewe have been entrusted by therespectable Câriputtra, and yielded by Bhagavat. It would be better for us to perish with him than(1) I read ûdhâih, taken in a direction active, in place of mûdhâih (fool), that the version of Tibetantranslated by sbyangs-pa, word of which the meaning known only seems to not go here.

Page 65

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .283to give upthe Arya Samgha rakchita. The respectable Samgha rakchitâ havingheard thesewords, they said :Friends, what are you saying there ?Samgha rakchitaArya, replied the merchants, a voice came from the middle of the oceanhas said:Deliver usthe Arya Samgha rakchita. Why did thedo you delivernot? saidthe Arya. Is that, resumed the merchants, you are our age, you were bornin the same time as us, you played with us in the dust, you we havewas entrusted by the respectable Cariputtra and ceded by Bhagavat. It would be betterto perish uswith youthan to give up." The respectable Samgha rakchita madethe following reflection: Here is the accomfolding of the words that Bhagavat said to me: It will take as you cross thehazards and theformidable circumstances. Having thus taken his vessel in almsand his clothes, heis set in duty to be cast in the big ocean. He wasseen by the merchants, who exclaimed: What are you doing, oh Samgha rakchitathe Arya? what are you gonna do But as they screamed, the Arya wasalready fallenin the great Ocean. <cImmediately the vessel was delivered, and the Arya taken by the Nâgas was led bythem in theirpalace. Samgha rakchita, himthey said, here is the room "ofperfumes (1) of the perfect Buddha accompanied by Vipaçyin; here is the one from Çikin, that of Viçvabhû, the one of

Krakutchhanda, that of Kanakamuni, that of Kâçyapa; here is the one of Bhagavat. Samgha rakchitaArya, the Sûtra and theMatrika of Bhagavat sontplacés among the devas and among the men (2) ; cornwe who are not that the Nagas, we have bodies fallen. May so the Arya Samgha rakchita establish here also the four collections of commandments (Agama) !Let it be so, replied the Arya." As a result hefitchoice of three Nâga kumaras (Princes of Nagas); Toone he says: You read the Abridged Collection; to the second: You, read the Middle Collection; to the third: You, read the Long Collection. A further [Bhadra mukha] said to histower(3): As for me, I will clear uptheCollection added that theform is pure. The Nâgas therefore began to study thefirst received teaching with closed eyes; the second the received the backs; the third on(1) That is to say the room where perfumes are burned in honor of a Buddha and in front of hispicture. See above, p. 234, note 1.(2) This way I seem to prove that the Matrika responds to YAbhidarma or the metaphysical ; because the Cevlon Buddhists believe that the Abhidharma has been revealed to the gods, and the Sutrafor the men.(3) The text simply says its kathayaii, "hesaid,"what seems to relate to Samgharakchita; it is thus that I had heard in a first reading, and that I had translated intospeaking of the Agamas (above, sect. I, p. 43). But the rest of the text seems to me to prove that it is from another Nâga whose intervention is necessary for the understanding of the piece, and who isnamed a little lower Bhadra mukha. Nothing at rest is more confused no more imperfect than Page 66

284INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY received from afar. Of these Nagas, the fourth alone was respectful, full of defiance.rence, and always ready to fulfill his duties on the spot. Arise, respectable, [himsaid his master;] quits the wand to clean the teeth; scans thecircle that surrounds Bhagavat, andhonor themonument of the Buddha; eat, prepare yourlying down." At the end of some time, all the Nagas had read the books of commandements. the [fourth] Naga said to Sarhgha rakchita :Ârya, these Nâgas have readthe collections of commandments; the they retain? As they have of the Me-moire, said the Arya, they them retain; however hethere is a fault in them. - Andwhat fault, Ârya?- It is that all they have failed to compliance and of deference : the first received the teaching with his eyes closed; the second has received the back turned, and the third received it from afar. You alone have been respectful, full of deference, and always ready to fulfill àl'instant homework. - It is not, resumed the Nagas, they have failed to compliance and of deference. The one who received the teachinggnement the eyes closed has the poison in the eyes. Whoever has itreceived onbackturned to the poisonin the breath. The one who received the ens'eignement by far has the poisonin the touch. I alone have the poisonin the tooth. The scared Aryabecameblade, changed in color, weakens, lost the use DC 's forces fell into failure, and passed out. The Nâga himsaid: Arya, why are you turning pale? WhyDo you change color, do you weaken, do you lose the use of your strength, do you fallin failure and you faint you? Bhadra Mukha, resumed the Arya, I seethan I live in the midst of enemies. If hehappens that one of you is put in angeragainst one another, henothing will remain of me but a vain name. We will not donowrong to the Arya, replied the Naga; but is it that you wish to returnin the Djambudvîpa? Yes ithelonged for, resumed Samgha Rakchita. Right awaythethe ship merchants are presented beforethe Arya, and he was therethrown by the Nâgas." As soon as the merchants saw it, they said to him: Be the welcome, OSamgha rakchitathe Arya. Rejoice, friends, cried the latter, I haveestablishedamong the Nâgas the four collections of commandments. We are inlet's

rejoice,O Samgha rakchita, continued the merchants. Having therefore put their vessel inmovement, they continued their journey. After having reached the end of SOMEONEthat time the shore of the sea, all the merchants will lay down and be endormi-rent; but the respectable Samgha rakchita be put to contemplate the great ocean.the exhibition of this legend. The compiler took no care to precisely designate the characters in the dialogue; he 's calling all there, exactly as done in France a manwho has no education. It is not certain that in the midst of all these hedif I do I 'm notsometimes lost. It is perhaps in addition some gaps in the text.

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .285Bhagavat has said:Hethere are five things, O Religious, that You never tire ofwatch: they are an elephant, a Nàga, a king, the ocean and a high mytagne; we do get tired not no more to see the Buddha who is the best of Blessed. Hestayed awake for a long time, busy to watch the bigOcean; but on the last dayof thenight hefelt overwhelmed, andfell asleep with adeep sleep." The merchants on their side having risen before the end of the night, reloadedpacked up their baggage, and resumed their journey. In the morning when hethreadday, they aresaid: Where is therefore the Arya Sangha rakchila? Some answered: Hewalk ahead. Others said: Hecomes behind; others finally: Ilis atcenter of the caravan. All at the end cried out: We we're separated of the Arya Samgha rakchila; it is nota beautiful work that we have done there; we must return to ournot. Others then said: Lords, the Arya Samgharakchita is endowed with great supernatural faculties; hehas amajor power; he who falls in the middle of the ocean is notdeath could heperish todayyesterday? Heis sure hewill be gonein front ;come on, let's go. Inconsequence themerchants continued their route."However therespectable Samgha rakchita was beaten onmorning by the spokesthe sun had justto get up, and having woken up hedoes not livemore per-ring. The merchants are gone, [he said to himself;] then takinganarrow path itis set also in road. Hearrived in a forest of Çâlas, wherehesaw a Vihâra who was provided with platforms and from high seats, of balus-trades, with windows made of lattice, beef eyes; and it is realized for Religioussuitably dressed, peaceful and in calm postures and decent. The Arya is directed verseux, and soon they himsaid: Be the welcome, respectedSamgha rakchita table. They him then furnished the means to relax andwhen hewas rested, theyit did enter into the Vihara. There he saw a beautiful seat and nice bedwho himwere intended, and of food purely prepared that were served. Don't you havenot thirsty, are you not hungry, Sariigha rakchita?himsaidthe Religious. I havehungry andthirst, replied the Arya.-Eat then, respectable Samgha rakchita. I will eat in the middle of the Assembly, resumed the Arya. Eat, Samgha rakchita, said the Religious; [without it] hethere will be punishment. He ateso, and when hehadtakenhis meal, heretreated to the sidelines, and sat down. At the endfor some time, the sound of the metal plate being knocked to [call] the Religious having made himself to hear, each of them holding his vase in his hand camesit down in its row. And immediately the Vihâra vanishes; to the square vases paru-rent of hammers of iron, and with these hammers the Religious broke the skullthetogether with others in pushing the cries of pain. It lasteduntil mo-

Page 68

286INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYment where the evening came . Then the Vihâra reappeared again, and with it the Reli-gious calm and in decent positions. The respectable Samgha rakchita isintroduceddevanteux and they said :Who are you then, respectable,

religious, andas a result of what action were you born here? Respectable Samgha rakchita, they replied, the men of Djambudvîpa are difficult to persuade; you don't don't believe us . I amcontrol eye, he replied, why don't youwould I not believe? - We were, ohrespectable Samgha rakchita, of Auditorsfrom Kaçyapa, the Buddhaperfectly accomplished. A fight arose adaybetween us at the time where we réunissions for the meal. Bythat wewe 're delivered then a fight, we 're born here,in Hellwhich are renewed every day (1). It is established that when the death we willmadego out of this world, hewe will have to be reborn in thehellish regions. That is why, oh Samgha rakchita, heis only good when you are backin the Djambudvîpa, you announce to thosewho do their homework with you of the religious life: Do you deliver not of fighting in the middle of the Assembly, of a fraid that you do not experience pain and a despair similar to that to which the Auditors of Kâçyapa are condemned .« Samgha rakchita left These Religious and reached a second Vihârawhowas provided withplatforms and of high seats of railings of windowsmade of trellis, eyes-of-bulls, and itthere saw the Religious properly clothed, disciplined, peaceful, and incalm and decent postures. The Arya isdirected towards them, and immediately they said to him: Be the welcome, respectable Samgharakchita. They him then furnished the means to relax, andwhen hewas rested, they the did enter in the Yihâra. There helives a beautifulseat and a beautifulbed which it was intended, and the food purely prepared that were served; and they said to him: Eat, respectable Samgha rakchita. The Arya having reflectedtook his meal so as not to incur punishment; and when hehad eaten, heretired to the gap and sat. At the end of some time the sound of the platemetal that is struck to [call] themReligious having milkhear, everyonethem into his vase the hand sat in his place. And immediately the Vihâravanishes, and at the site of riceand of the beverage of religious appeared the ironliquid, and with this iron the Religious sprinkledthe one the other by pushingthe cries of pain. This lasted untilmoment where came theevening; then the (1) Hell which suffer these religious is likely in the case of those whose talkOf Haulesrayes, and he defines as the underworld scattered over the area of theland on the shores and in the places isolated. They are naturally separate the places of suffering that are part of each system creation, and that there appear in the same time as the sinners. {Reeh. on the Rel. de Fo, in Journ. Aûat., T.VIII, p. 82.) Page 69

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .287Yihârareappeared again, and with him the peaceful Religious, and in posturescalm and decent. The respectable Samgha rakchita is presented before them and theirsaid: Who are you, respectable religious, and by result ofwhat actionwere you born here? Respectable Samgha rakchita, they replied, the men ofDjambudvîpa are difficultTopersuade; you will go not we believe. I amwitness eye, he said, whydon't youwould I not believe? - We were, ohrespectable Samgha rakchita, Auditors ofKassapa, the Buddha perfectlyis lyingaccomplished. One day hecame as the Assembly having received oil, theReligious there came all of a sudden in as guests. Then yielding to ourgreed, we conceived the thought ofnot eat that when these new entrantswould have left, and we did as we had planned. At the end of seven dayshecame the bad weather that madeturn ourriceandourdrink. As for us, because we had applied to our use what we had togive with faith, we are born here in the underworld that is renewed eachday. Heis established that when the dead we have madeget out of this world, hewe will have to be reborn in thehellish regions. It iswhy, respectableSamgha rakchita, heis goodthat when you return to the Djambud-vîpa, you announce to thosewho fulfill with

you the duties of the religious lifegious: Do not apply to your use this as vousdevez give with faithofafraid that younot experience of pain and a despair similar to that auWhat are condemned the Brahmins of Kâçyapa." Samgha rakchitaleft these Religious, and reached a third Vihâra, which was provided of platforms and ofhigh seats of railings of windowsmade of trellis, oeil-de-boeuf, and inwhich the things are passed asin the other two. When the respectable Samgha rakchita had eaten, hesepulled to the gapand sat down. At the time when the sound of the metal plate that isstrikes to [call] the Religious is made to hear, the Vihâra caught fire, appeared inflamed, became the prey of the flames and was consumed. And the Religious pushhealth of cries of pain, were devoured by theflames until that came theevening. Then the Vihâra reappeared again, and with it the peaceful Religious, and in calm and decent postures. The respectable Samgha rakchita isintroducedbefore them and said unto them, Who are you then, respectable Rehgieux, andas a result of what action were you born here? Respectable Samgha rakchita, they replied, themen of Djambudvîpa are difficult to persuade, you do notwe won't believe I am a witnesseyepiece, he replied, why wouldn't you believeI do n't?- We were, respectable Samgha rakchita, Auditors of Kâçyapa, the Perfectly accomplished Buddha. Gum we had a bad driving, we were driven out by the Religious whoin had a good. We went

## Page 70

288INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY to establish ourselves in a deserted Vihâra . There came a daya religious who hada driving body; we then conceived this idea: If this Religious remains with us he will be enough for himonly for us to attract the alms. The Religioustherefore remained in our Vihâra. The presence of the Religious attracted to newin the monastery a large number of Religiousendowed with moral conduct. These new entrants we drove again to this place. Lost by the feelingment, we rassemblames of wood, thegrass and of the cow dung dried, andwe sat down the fire at the Vihara. There were burned a largenumber Ipeople, so many among the students than among the masters (1). And we, for having doneto perish these people by fire, we were born here in Hells that were renewedgrow every day. He isétabh that when the dead we have made out of this world it we will have to be reborn in the infernal regions. This is why re-pectable Samgha rakchita, it is good that when you 'll retournédans the Djam-budvîpa, you announce to thosewho fulfill with you the duties of lifereli-gious :Do n't design against those who fill withyou the homeworkreligious of Thoughts of malice, for fear that you will not experience the from where-theirs and one despair similar to those which are condemned the Brahmins of Kâçyapa." therespectable Samgha rakchita left these Religious. IIsoon sawof beings of which the shape resembled to that of a column, a wall, a tree, of a leaf, of a flower, of a fruit, a rope, a broom, a vase, amortar, unchaudron. therespectable Sàihgha rakchita arrived in a dis-trict. There, in ahermitage, lived five hundred Richis; from further than theysaw the respectable Samgha rakchita, they are told the one to the other: Conti-nuons of us indulge in our occupations ordinary: these Çramanas, sons' of Çâkya (2), are great talkers; hebe none of us would say a word to celui-(1) The text says Çâikchâçâikcha. It is quite clear that it is a question of both here and of those who receive the instruction and of those which the offer; the etymology of the word çâikcha and its opposite açâikchaenough for the show. But the tone precisely expresses the first of these terms do is me notperfectly known, because as I only have not met in a fairly large number of passages. The Vocabulary of Hématchandra, which in its

quality of Jain was more versed in the thingsBuddhist that only the could be a Brahmin, place the term Çâikcha immediatelyafter that of Çichya, which is the proper word to designate a pupil, a disciple. {Hêmatchandrakôça, ch. I, st.79 a.) The Çâikcha is distinguished from the Çtchya in that it is prathama kalpika, titlewhich means probably "one that in is the first instructions. "The termed'Açâikchais more easy to identify thanks to Vocabulary Pali Clough. The Abhidhâna ppadîpika makes it asynonymous with 'Arhat. {Pali Gramm. and Vocab., p. 2, 1.2.) This term means to own "one whotis not Çâikcha. > If he designates the Arhat, it is undoubtedly as having crossed all the degreesof teaching.(2) It is still a of names that we give to followers of Śākya; heis familiar to allschools, but it will be not represent very often in our legends of the North. Page 71

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .289this. As a result they continued to be delivered to their occupations ordinary. The respectable Sarhgha rakchita having introduced himselfbefore them, are set to their de-ask for hospitality; cornno one said a single word to him." It is had in the hermitage a Richi including the provisionswere virtuous: Why, he said to the Religious, do you not give hospitality [tothis Ârya]? You are committing in this apeach; you are just great talkers. I will, Religious respectable, you immediately give asylum to unless you do mecommands something else. Richi, replied the respectable Samgha rakchita, that heso be it. While the Richi is set to go alone the country, and heyfounda small hut which was vacant. He said to Samgha rakchita: Lie down inthis little hut. The respectable Samgha rakchita be put in duty watering, ofto clean, of sweephut and cover the ground with fresh cow dung.che. The more religious the saw and said among themselves: These śraman.as, sonofSakya, like the cleanliness. The respectable Samgha rakchita, after havingwashthe feetin outside the hut there came andtheresat cross-legged, holdingher upright body, and putting her memory back in front of her mind." The Divinity which resided in the hermitage surrendered around the first eve of the night in the hut of Samgha rakchita, and when it is arrived, she said: Samgha rakchitathe Arya, exposes the Law. You are happy, oh Goddess, tell herSamgha rakchita; do n't you see that ihave obtained an asylum in making myselfeven the ordinary preparations? Is it that you want me out? The goddessthinks: Hisbody is tired, let it sleep; Iwill return to the standby of the medium. She came thus to the second day before and told him: Samgha rakchita l'Ârya, exhibitsthe Law. You 're happy, O goddess, he replied Samgha rakchita; do n't you seethat I 've got an asylum in making myself the ordinary preparations? Is it that you want me out? The Goddess reflects: Hisbody is tired itsleeps; I will come back on the last day before. So she returned to the last watchand said to him: Samgha rakchitathe Arya, exposes the Law. You are happy, O Goddess, he replied Samgha rakchita; do you see not that I have obtained an asylum inmaking the preparations myselfordinary? Is it that you want me out? Arya Samgha rakchita, continued the Goddess, heis up to date now. If you you hunt, you will go away. Has n't Bhagavat got younot said: You will have to go throughhazards and theformidable circumstances? The respectable Samgha rakchita reflectedchit: She speaks well. If I hunt, I myself will go. Then hethinks again :What are the Brahminsthat these Richis :I theirwill speakaproper languageto the Brahmins.has in consequence the respectable Samgha rakchita is set to instruct themeeting of Brahmans: It is not the custom of walking bare them he said, or 19

290INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthe hair braided, or the use of clay, nor the choice of various species of a-liments, nor the habit of sleeping on the ground naked, nor the dust, nor the un-cleanliness, nor the attention to flee the shelter of a roof(1), who are able to dissi-per the turmoil in which we throwthe desire not satisfied; but that oneman master of his senses, calm, recueiUi, chaste, avoiding to do harm tono creature, fulfill the Law, and hewill be, although adorned with ornaments, aBrahmin, a Cramana, a Religious. The Brahmans heard it and madethis thought: This language is consistent with the feelings of a Brahmin; and byfollowing ita Brahmin came to him first, then two, then three, untilwhat finally heall came to him." The Goddess, however, pronounced a blessing, the effect of which wasthey will remainwere invisible to each other. Then the respectable Samgha rakchilathey taught the Sutra which is similar to a city (2), and recited this stanza: That all thebeings who are gathered here, whether they are on the Earthor in the air, witness constantly to the charity to creatures, andthey will accomplish the law day and night(3)! While hepreached this exhibition of the Law, all the Brahmins, the time when theyrecognized the truths, obtained the fruits of the state of Anagamin, and acquired supernatural faculties. All made enten-dre in a unanimous voice that exclamation: Well spoken, respectable Samgharakchila. The miracle that the Goddess had done with the help of hersupernatural powerwas annihilated, and the Brahmans began to see each other, and eachsaid to the other: Te here therefore also happened? - Yes, I came too. - This isgood. Once they had seen the truths theysaid :May we come in, O Sam-gha rakchila, in the religious life under the disciplined of the well-renowned Law! May we obtain the investiture and the rank of Religious! Let's accomplish the de-Also see to the conduct religious inpresence of Bhagavat (4). The respectable Samgharakchila then said to them: Will it be to me as you enter into the life reli-(1) I thus translate the term utkutukaprahâna; heis likely that the first is an alteration from Sanskrit kntungaka (roof), or even kutûka (parasol). The Tibetan translates this word by rtsùg-buhi-spong, which is lacking in Csoma de Côrôs; but rtsog (derived from rtseg) can mean stage, and rtsog-bu, "twostorey house ." "(2) I did not find this S say or anything like it in our collection from Nepal. This titleperhaps comes from the fact that the substance of this treatise was a similitude or a parable taken from acity. 11 there was in the Lotus of the Good Law a parable where FIGURE a caravan that is in the re-looking for the city of Diamauts.(3) The Tibetan version produces here a piece of five and a half leaves, or eleven pages, which is probably the Sutra titled in the text: "Similar to a city: "This Sutra is put in the mouth of Bhagavat.(4) The Tibetan version inserts here a long piece on the duties and rewards of lifereligious.

Page 73

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM ..291gious, or before Bhagavat? BeforeBhagavat, they replied.If so,replied the respectable Samgha rakchita, come, let's go find Bhagavat.By whichmeans we going to give in us from him? said themBrahmins. Will it be atuglyour faculties supernatural, or to helpyours? The respectableSamgha rakchita then made this reflection: Yesthese Brahmins were acquired bymy teaching this multitude of qualities, itbe that I am now myselfsimilar to a vessel [for the ship to the other side]; then hetell theBrahmins: Wait a minute. So having retired to from the trunk of atree itsat the legs crossed, holding his body right, and replacing its Me-moire in front of his mind. Bhagavat has said: Five advantages are guaranteed to thatwho has heard a lot: heis skilled in the knowledge of the elements inthat of the successive production of causes, in

that of what is established and of which not is not ; Finally his instruction and his. educationbornoutlaynot toothof another. After long efforts, after studies and an implementation deep it obtains, by annihilation of all the corruption of evil, d | Bsee the state face to faceof Arhat. Become Arhat, freed from everythingattachmentfor the three worlds it becomes, as it has been said elsewhere, worthy of being loved, revered, greeted. The respectable Samgha rakchita then said to the Brahmans: Pre-nose the end of my garment, andlet's go. The Brahmans attached themselves inconsequence at the end of the garment of Samgha rakchita. So the latter, like the king of the swans with outstretched wings, soaring through the air with the help of his supernatural power, left that place andleft."By this time the five hundred merchants [which hehas been parléplus top] were busyto unload their goods. Theysaw a shadowwhofell on them, and saw Samgha rakchita. Te heretherefore returned, Samgka rakchitathe Arya! they cried. -Yes, here I am . - where are you goingnow? These five hundred sons offamily, he said, wish to enter intopresence of Bhagavatin theold religious, under the discipline of the law wellfame; they ask contestants and the rank of Beligieux. And we too Samgha rakchita, took the dealers we enter into the religious life. Come down a little, we were unloading our cargo. The respectableSamgha rakchita came down, and the merchants unloaded their goods. So therespectable Samgha rakchita, taking with himthese thousand sons offamily walked to the place where was in this time Bhagavat." At this time Bhagavat, seated in the presence of an Assembly made up ofseveral hundredofBeligieux, taught theLaw. Bhagavat saw the respectSamgha rakchita table; and from further awayhethelives, headdressed again theword to the Religious: Here is the Religious Samgha rakchita that comes with a Page 74

292INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYhere. It is not for Rhagavat of this as valuable as thegift of a man to convert. The respectable Samgha rakchita arrived at this moment to the place where was Bhagavat ;and when hethere arrived, saluted in thetouching Bhagavat's feet with his head, he went and sat on his side, and spoke to him inthese terms: These thousandsonof family, lord, wish to enter into the lifereligious under the discipline of thelawwell- known; theyask the in-clothing andtherank of Religious. May Bhagavat, out of compassion for them, consents to the receive in the viereligieuse, to them give the nomination. Rhaga-vat therefore addressed them the formula :Approach, Religious! and as soon as he had pro-stated the words: Come closer, Religious, come inin the religious life, all isfound shaved and dressed mantle religious, having a hair and abeardseven days; and provided withput for alms and the vase is finished in bird's beak, they appeared with the decent exterior of Religious who would have received the investiture for a hundred years. Come, they told of new the Tathagata ;and then shaved, dressed in the religious mantle, immediately feeling the calm descendin all their free, they stood up, then sat down, with the permission of the Ruddha. Rhagavat they gave then teaching; and after oflongefforts after studies and adeep appHcation, they obtained, through theinvestof all the corruption of evil; to see face to face the state of Arhat. Become Arhats, \*freed from any attachment to thethree worlds, envi-with the same gaze of goldand a clod of earth, considering as equalspace and the palm of their hand, having the same feelings for the wood of sandal wood and to the ax [that the cut], having broken the medium of thewisdom theshell of the egg, having acquired the knowledge, the knowledge supernatural and thewisdom accomplished, turning theback to existence, to gain, to pleasure and hon-born, they became one of those that all the Devas accompanied by Indra

andof Upeiidra\*worship, honor andgreet (1)." The respectable Samgha rakchita addressed and to Blessed Ruddha: I haveseen, sir, in this world of beings which the shape resembled thata wall, a column, a tree, a flower, a fruit, a rope, abroom, a vase, amortar, from a cauldron; I have seen that the body sharedthrough the middle, walked not beingmore supported than by the muscles. What is, sei-gneur, actionincluding these metamorphosesare theconsequence? Rhagavat himanswered: Thosethat you saw, O Samgha rakchita, in the form of a wall, havewas the AuditeursdeKàçyapa, the Ruddha perfectly accomplished. Theyhave dirtied(1) The portion of Celtic-périodequi is comprised between two stars is borrowed to the Tibetan version. The Sanskrit original abbreviated the text by means of the formula pûrvavat, "as"above; "but it is found more or less complete in other legends.

Page 75

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .293of their snot and of their saliva the wall of the hall of Assembly. The result of this action is that they took the form of a wall. The ones you saw underthe shape of columns were thus changed for the same reason. The beings thatthou hast seen, O Samgha rakchita, in the form of a tree, have been of Auditorsof Kâçyapa, the perfectly accomplished Buddha; they have enjoyed the flowers and from fruits of the Assembly in an all-personal interest. The result of this action is that they have coated the form of a tree. The ones you saw in the formof leaves, of flowers, of fruits, were thus changed for the same reason. The onethat thou hast seen, O Samgha rakchita, having the form of a rope, has been one of Auditors of Kâçyapa, the Perfectly accomplished Buddha; hehas served on therope of the assembly in an entirely personal interest. The result of this action is that it took the form of a rope. Hein is from that that you saw in the shape of a broom like the previous one. The one that you have seen, O Samgha rakchita, under the form of a cup, has been one of the listeners of the order of novices underKâçyapa, the perfectly accomplished Buddha. One day he had been charged with net-clean the cuts andhecame from the wash, that that occur all of a suddenof Rehgieux foreigners. They asked him :novice, the Assembly she hasstill something to drink? There are a more than anything, he replied; and the Religious desperate were forced to continue their journey; and yet the Assemblyhad yet to drink. The result of this action is that it has been changedin section. The one that you have seen, O Samgha rakchita, under theform of a mortar, has been one of Auditors de Kâçyapa, the Buddha perfectly accomplished. One daythat the time to make use of his vessel had come, heapproached a novice whohad acquired themerits of an Arhat and said to him: Novice, stack a small piece of thecesame cake, and give it to me. Sthavira, he replied the novice, expecting amoment, I'm busy ;when I have finished, I you give it that you request. Full of impatience at this response, the Sthaviraresumed :Do you know that ifthat to meliked, I you throw yourself into the mortar, and I will pound you there? to strongerraison can lin doing so a piece césame cake. Goldbecause hehad proférécontre an Arhat words of violence, the result of this Actionis that he hastook the form of a mortar."Those whom you saw, O Samgha rakchita, in the form of cauldrons, havewere the servants[ofReligious] under Kâçyapa, the Buddhaperfectly accom-fold. One day theyboiled for drugs for the Religious, theybroke thecauldron, which did wrong to these Religious. The result of this actionisthat they have took the form of a cauldron. The one that you have seen, O Samgha rakehita, walking the body shared by the environment and supported only by the muscles, has been a man who isBetween

## Page 76

294INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY in the religious life under the teaching of Kassapa, the Buddha perfectlyaccomplished. Greedy for gain, hehad a habit of turning intoprovisions forin winter what he earned in summer, and inprovisions for the summerthisthat he was winning the winter. The result of this action is that he walks with the body shared by the environment andno longer supported than by its muscles (1)."The Religious feeling doubts arise in theirspirit, addressed thequestion the blessed Buddha, which range all thedoubts: Where the Naga Kumara [Bhadra mukha] he has begun to experience of the faith? Bhagavatthey replied as hefollows: Formerly, O monks, in this Bhadra Kalpa (2) evenwhence we are, when the length of the living creatures was in twenty thousand years, appeared to the world a Venerable Tathagata, perfectly and completely Buddha,named Kaçyapa, and endowed with the qualities enumerated morehigh (3). This blessedthus taught the law to his Hearers: The deserts, O Religious, thehousesabandoned, the cracks of the rocks, the caves of the mountains, theroofs ofstubble, the scene discovered the cemeteries, the pensions of forests, flankthe mountains, the bedsand the seats, these are theplaces where you have to deliver to the contemplation. Do n't be inattentive, don't youdo not prepare subjects to repent; this is the instruction that I give you. Inconsequence someReligious retired to a valley of the Sumêruto meditate there; others are stared at the pond of the Mandakini, the latter from the great lake Anava-tapta, those in the seven golden mountains; others finally settled in thetowns, the villages, the kingdoms of capitals ets'y surrendered to the meditation. Heit happened that a Nâga kumâra who wasto the worldfor a long time has beentransported by Suparnin, the king of birds, above the valley of Sumeru[inhabited by the Religious]. The Naga saw the Religious delivered to the contemplation, busy to read and to meditate; and having seen them, hefelt burning in him the feelingsments of caring for these religious. Full of these feelings heis delivered to these thoughts: These Arya are freed from themiserable condition where I myselffind. The Naga having madehis time, left the world in which he lived, and resumed anew existence in a family of Brahmans which was exact in accom-(1) This part of the legend Saiïigha rakchila is separated from the end we will see more lowby the very short legend of Nâga kumâra. I did n't think I had to delete this last one, becausethat she explains in the manner of the Buddhists how Bhadra mukha, one of the Nagas to whomSamgha rakchita taught the doctrine could soon be having faith. According to the current division of Divyaavadâna, the piece that begins as follows:" The religious feeling of doubts, etc.," is entitled: "Legend of the Naga Kumara or the Prince Naga. "(2) The Bhadra Kalpa is the Kalpa, or the period of creation in which we live. The name of this period means "the virtuous Kalpa," because that during this age of the world, it must pa-to be reborn on the earth a thousand Buddhas. (Klaproth, in the Foe koue M, p. 245.)(3) See the end of the legend of Pûrca, above, p. 243. Page 77

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .295wrinklingof the six ceremonies. There hewas fed, raised, and hegot big .AtAfter a some time, heentered into the religious life under the teaching ofKâçyapa, the Buddhaperfectly accomplished. After much effort, afterstudies and adeep application, he succeeded, by the annihilation of all thecorruptionthe evil, to see face to facethe state of Arhat.Became Arhat and havingacquired the perfections whichhave been listed elsewhere, itbecame for the Devasan object of adoration, of worship and ofrespect." One day hefitthereflectionsfollowing: In what condition am Igot out[before my present

existence]?- From that of animals. - Where was I born fromnew? - Among the men. -Where are my mother and father now? - Andimmediately hesaw them weeping in the house of the Nagas. Hewent there ,and when he got there hethey made the issue following: Why do you cryyou, my father and my mother? Arya, he answered themof themNâgas, ourNaga Kumara who was the worldlong, has been removed by Suparnin, theking of birds. It is myself who am the Naga Kumara reprille Religious (1).-Arya, he said the twoNâgas, the nature of a Nâga is so bad, that we not understand not how one such being could get in the waya happy life, more strong reason how it could be put inpos-session a requirement such that [ the Arhatship]. Cornwho by the care of their sonrecovered their memory, they fell at his feet and said to him: Is it possible, O Arya, that thou hast acquired one such meetingqualities? If you need food, wewe have need of virtue; so come here every dayeat your meal, andwhen you have taken it, go away. InAs a result, the Religious came every dayin the remains of the Nagas are fed ambrosia divine, and after it is withdrawn." It is was a novice who lived with him. The other religious said to theyoung man: Novice, where is your master going to take his meal, and from whereheafter? I do n't know, replied the novice. TheReligious then said to him: Hegoeach day to feed the divine ambrosia in the remains of Nâgas, and hethen comes back. Why don't you gonot with him? My master, resumed thenovice, has great supernatural powers, agreat power; it is forthathe goes[where hewants]; How? 'Or' Whatcould ~ I transport myself[where hego]?the Religioushimreplied: When hewill use, to leave, of hissupernatural power, take the end of his coat. And notwill I not fall ?replied the novice. Bhadra mukha, he said the Religious, when well even the(1) The Tibetan version adds:" After my death I was born in the house of a Brahmincorrect at the completion of the six ceremonies; and étantentré in the religious life in edu-development of Kâçyapa, the perfectly accomplished Buddha, I obtained, by the annihilation of allthe corruption of evil, to see the state of Arhat face to face . " Page 78

296INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYSumêru, this king of the mountains, would be suspended at the end of the mantleof your master, he would not fall; more strong reason not will fall thou not thyselfsame. It was at the place wheredisappeared his masteras the novicetook the resolve-tion to seize the moment [to accompany it]. So having gone to the place wherethe Religious ceased to be visible, the novice sat down there; and reflective that he was going disappear it grabbed the end of his garment. the two Religious is diri-manage while in through thesky, and soon they were seen by the Nâgas; we tracedtwo circles of which wecleaned the inside so that they could sit there . themaster then made this reflection: To which therefore has prepared this another seat ?Elimmediately turning his head, hesaw the novice and said to him :Bhadra mukha, you're soalso come? -Yes, master, I have come[with you]. It's good, they said to themselves-even the Nâgas: this Arya possesses great supernatural faculties, he Toa great power; hehas the right to be making use of the divine ambrosia; cornthis other Religious who accompanies him does not have that right; \*hemust therefore serve hima common food\*(1). Inconsequence the Nâgas gave to the masterof ambrosia divine, and the disciple a food ordinary."The latterwas the pot of his master; heit took and there found a smallportion of food. He 's put in his mouth; she had the flavor of the divineambrosia. The novice then made this reflection: These Nagas are stingy: weHere sat two together, and they give to one of ambrosia divine, and to me thevulgar foods. Inconsequence heis set

to pronounce the following prayer: YesI filled the duties of the religious life under the Blessed Kâçvapa, thePerfectly accomplished Buddha, who has no superior and who is grancfementworthy of homage, may I, by elîetfrom this root of virtue, bring outby the death a Naga from the remains of his fellows, and are reborn myself[in his place] !And soon the novice be put tospilling water from his hands[to destroy a Naga he haddesignated]. The latter soon felt reacheda pain in the head, and itsaid [to the master] :Ârya, this novice designed aevilthought ;diverts it [to the set àexécution]. Bhadra mukha, saysAryato the novice, the existence of the Nâgas is a life of misery ;give up yourpurpose. But the novice recited the following stanza :This thought took hold ofme, I don'tthen detaching myself from it more ;I pour, lord,of my two hands ofwater during the time that I exist in this world. When he had thus brought out bythe death the Nagas of the remains of his fellows, heit was born of new himself(1) The phrase enclosed between two stars is borrowed from the Tibetan version; she is absolutethe necessary material for the story.

Page 79

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .297even [tohersquare]. It is there, O Religious, that the Nâga kumâra [Bhadra mukha]has begun to experience of faith (1)."TheReligious, who felt doubts arise in theirspirit addressedrent the following question to the blessed Buddha, whoSlice all the doubts: What action lord had therefore made the respectable Saiîigha rakchita, forthat the result of this action was that he was born into a rich, wealthy family, enjoying a great fortune; it should enter into the religious life in the presence of Bhagavat; that by the annihilation of all the corruption of evil, helives face to face the state of Arhat, and that he thus fulfills [thatyou have itsaid] the work of theconversion? Bhagavat said: Samgha rakchita, O monks, has madeand accu-mule actions\*whohave reached their completion, including thecauses arearrived at maturity, which have accompanied as the light [accompanies the body that the product], which was necessarily have a term. What other[than me]will know distinctly the actions made and accumulated by Samgharakchita? The stock made and accumulated ôReligieux, arrive nottheirmaturity in the elements outside, either of the ground, either of water or of fire, that is the wind; but it is only in the [five] intellectual attributes, in the [six] component parts of the body, and in the [five] organs of sense, true elements of every individual, that the actions made and accumulated, the good as the bad, come to maturity."The works are not destroyed, were it even by hundreds of kalpas; but when they have reached leurperfection and their time, they relate to fruit for the creatures endowed with a body (2). Formerly, O monks, in this Bhadra Kalpa even where we are, whenthe creatures had a life oftwenty thousand years heappeared to the worlda tutornamed Kâcyapa, and endowed with the qualities listedupper. Samgha rakchita, who had entered in the religious life underteachingthis Buddha, fulfilled the duties of a servant [of the Law]. With himlived then five hundred other Religious, and the capital of the district was usually theresidence of a large crowd ofpeople. The servant of the Law had for them allgreat benevolence. In this way he accomplishes in this place, during all the duration of her existence, the duties of the religious elder; but hedid not acquire(the iHere are ends the piece entitled: "Legend of the Naga Kumara or the Prince Naga," asthat it give our manuscripts of Divyāvadāna. That which follows is the end of the story of Samgharakchita.(2) This piece, from the word marked with a star, is borrowed from the endfrom the history of Purça, above, p.243; the Sanskrit text is contented the recall by

the formula Ordinarypûrvavat, " as more high; "The Tibetan version the reproduced in full.

Page 80

298INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY[for that]the least combination of qualities. At the end of some time he fellsick. When is he was given the medicines made of roots, from stems ofleaves, of flowers and of fruit, we livehewas desperate. So at the moment ofhis death, he was set to pronounce the prayer following: Since I filled, duringthroughout the duration of my life, the duties of the religious life in the bienheu-reux Kâcyapa, the perfectly accomplished Ruddha, who is without a superior and who isgreatly worthy of tribute, without having been able to acquire theleast meeting ofqualities, may I, by the effectfrom this root of virtue, enter into the life reli-gious under the teaching of this young Rrâhmane named Uttara, to whom the Blessed Kâçyapa, the Ruddha perfectly accomplished, has predicted that in the future, when the duration of existence of creatures would be a hundred years old, he would be certainly a Ruddha (1)! May ito arrive under this Ruddha, bythe annihilation of all the corruption of evil, to see the state of Arhat face to face !"Some time after, those who lived with him came the find and itsaid: Have you, Omaster, acquired meeting of any qualities? None, replied the sick. -What prayer then have you sent? - This one and this onethe. And we too, O master, resumed the Religious, may we, after having sought the master inquality of virtuous friend, to arrive, by the annihilation of all the corruption of evil, to see face to face the state of Arhat in the presence of same blessed Ruddha! The crowd of people who resided in the capital of the districtlearned that the Arya had fallen ill; consequently all camethe find and he said: The Arva -he acquired meeting of any qualities? None, replied the sick. - What prayer then he has addressed? - This one and this one. And we too, resumed theresidents; may we, after having research Arya in quality of virtuous friend, to arrive, by the annihilation of all the corruption of evil, to see face to face the state of Arhat !"Now, O Religious, do you understand that? The one who filled theduties of servant wastheReligious Samgha rakchita himself. The fivehundred people withwhich helived, these are the five hundred richisthem-same. The crowd of people who resided in thecapital cityof the district, these are thefive hundred merchants. Because it then fills theduties of servant of the Act, the result of this conduct has been that he is born into a wealthy family, for-tuned, enjoying a great fortune. Because hepronounced at the moment of his death the prayer that I have reported, the result of this work has been (1) This Uttara is none other than Çâkyamuni himself in one of his former existences; heassumed that virtue of its power supernatural, he had memory of his existence as Brahmin, at the time that Kâçyapa was Buddha.

Page 81

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .299that after havingembraced the religious life in my presence, hearrived, through the annihilation of all the corruption of evil, to see face to face the state of Arhat, and he has done, as I have said, the work of the conversion." It is Thus, O Religious, that to all white actions is re-served an all-white reward too, like he has been saidgarlic-their (1). "The piece that comes from reading allows us to appreciate what meticulous details down sometimes treaties which are put inpractice the rules relating to the Disciplined. A complete collection of legends of this genre does would probably let us ignore any of these rules; it we would con-to be born especially with exactitude the duties to which the regime of the common life submitted the Religious. Those of these

duties will represent the most oftenin the legendsofNepal are the obligation that is imposed on every Religiousto have his mealwith thosequivivent in the same monastery and de-forbid never to refuse to a guest the help which herequires. The latterprescription is based on the beautiful ideas of the Orientals concerning theduties ofhospitality; but the Buddhisles, a result of their predilection for the feelingsments moral, have made aApplication special of these ideas, and is attached to make them enter into the practice of the religious life, they always haveas the ideal of the life of man in this world. Theseems the proper character of Buddhism, doctrine dominated the moral practice, and who is distinct and Brahmanism, where the speculative philosophical one hand, and the mythologicallogy of the other, certainly occupy amore big room (2). By there toothe Buddhism clearly reflects hisposteriority towith regard to Brahmanism. If in fact the systems moral will are nésqu'à result of systems ontologi-c, which is étabH of the way the more positive by the story of the philosophersophie Greek, the Buddhism must necessarily, andifwe can express ourselvesthus, genetically to be posterior to Brahmanism. Without doubt theelements of the science Brahmanical do are not only ontological, and the studyof human moral there seems already; but the research speculative areare notunder the principle ruling that gives to all of Brahmanism a Director-uniform tion. Hethe importance should not be exaggerated from those of (1) That is to say that the end of the speech of Sakyamuni is given in abbreviated, and it takesThe complete by the formula that ends the story of Pûrça, above, p. 244.(2) Erskine had already very judiciously recognized and expressed the character in general verylow morale of the mythology Indian, and for the say in a word, of Brahmanism considered as a popular religion. {Transact. of the lit. Soc. of Bombay, 1. 1, p. 205.) Page 82

300INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthe compositions Indian, such that the Puranas, where the body plays a roleconsiderable. Because without reminding that it would be an anachronism, or allat least arouse astrong historical questionobscure, that introduce the Purânas in a comparison of Ruddliism with the Brahmanism, we can say that the moral of the Puranas is too engaged in the external practices that these books command, to be brought up to the level of that of Buddhism, which by its principle of charity Universal won the first place among theancient religionsfrom Asia. These considerations do are not asForeign that themight believe insubject who weoccupies in the present section. Besides signaling the Bud-dhism as an essentially moral doctrine, they call for attentionphilosophers on one of the characters that the distinguish the more clearly the Brahmanism, they have are port live and a connection intimate with the matter of the discipline. What in elfet that the discipline forabody of Religious, if this is all the requirements that ensure and regulatethe practice of duties? And if these duties are in large part those thatthe moral needed, that is to say to those to which the conscious human recognizes acharacter mandatory, the Disciplinedo -it becomes not in any way theform of the morality of which it expresses the judgments? This is all the more true, that thesystems religious accord a greater part in the moraland a lesser to dogma. As of such systems, the discipline grows with thetheory of homework which she is the backup, at the same time as theworshipdecreases with the dogma of which itexpresses the designs in a form external. I did not develop here the next généralde these remarks; but it matteredof the stateinpassing, to saythat they apply with awholerigorto Buddhism. There are indeed few beliefs that reston one toosmall number of dogmas, and even which imposein

common sense less thansacrifices. I speak hereinparticular of Buddhism which seems to me to be the mostold, the Buddhism humans, if I may so call it, which is almost all aroundin the rules very simple morals, and when heenough to believe that the Buddhawas a man who came to adegree of intelligence and tlevirtue that each must be offered as the example of his life. I the distinguished design of this Another Buddhism, the Buddhas and of the Bôdhisattvas of the contemplation, and especially for those of Ādibuddha, where the theological inventions compete with it that the modern Brahmanism was designed for more complicated. In this second age of Buddhism, the dogma is growing, and moral, without disappearing entirely, is no longer the main object of the religion. The Discipline loses same time of his strength, as in Nepal, to cite just one example, where it has formed a Page 83

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .301new class of married religious, an institution which would have been impossible at the time of Sakya and of its first followers (i). We 're here naturally brought to us careof worship and objects to which heis addressed, or to talkin general, of the practice of the religion; because without this practice the Buddhism would be a simple philosophymoral sophie. It is in this point above all that the correctness of the remarks is verified given all at the time. In a religion that has little of dogmas, it enough of a cultsimple; and in the fact, nothing does is more than that which is imposed on the people by the law of the Buddha. Heis without doubt useful to distinguish here and the times and thecountry; but we in taking to Buddhism Indian, I hesitate notto say that therehgion, such that the saw in the Sûlras and in the legends, expresses notless faithfully than any other part of these books, the true spirit and the primitive character of the doctrine attributed to Çâkya. In the state where we have reached the texts usedbasic to my researchches, heis not easy to seeifÇâkyamuni looked afterof worship andif heindetermined the shapes. What we can learn from this subject them Sutras and thelegends belong effect less Aumaître that itsfirst disciples; and in him atribuant the institution of acomplete religion with a regular worship, we exposes himself toto commit a serious anachronism. It is obvious to priori that theworship had to be for Câkya an object of little importance; the sutras weeven give the direct evidence heput the fulfillment of homeworkmoral well above the practice of religious ceremonies. I have citedels ewhere onefragment o \\Ibelieveseeexpressionof histhoughttrue,vsBrahma, he cried,live in themhouses where the sonsrevere their father andtheir mother (2).dh the truth, Brahma is the God of the Brahmins, to the authority des-which Çâkya claims to escape; and this axiom of moralitycan pass for an attack directed against the Brahmanic religion in particular, and not againstany religion ingeneral. Yeshowever we reflect that Câkya could nottalk about that of the cult that existed in his time, we will recognize in this maxim(1) I want to talk des Vadjra âtchâryas which were women and children, and who do are not lessyou are dedicated to the practice of the external duties of Buddhism. {Trans. of the Roy. As. Society, t. He,p. 245.) It is to this singular class of religious that the Buddliist of Lalita patan belonged, which Mr. Hodgson had a part of its first information on the doctrine of Sakya. (Ibid., P. 231.) Mr. Hodgson is the first that is well appreciated this order weird to priests that regards with good reason as a modern invention of degenerate Buddhism . " From the de-"gradual cadence of monastic institutions today fallen entirely into disuse"in Nepal, is released on Vadira âtchârva, which is the seulministre of shrines and including the name, the ((functions and existence itself, not only do are not justified

by the writings Buddhist,"but are in direct opposition with their esprite their trend. " {Ibid., P. 256.)(2) Above, sect. He, p. 118.

Page 84

302INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthe statement clear, and we can the say courageous for Indian, from the inde-hanging from the moral to regard of the religion. I do not hesitate, for my part,to believe that Çâkya did not have the thought of substitute for objects andto forms of worship popular of objects new worship and the forms new ofworship. Helived, hetaught and it is death philosopher; and his humanity isremained a factifunquestionably recognized by all, than the legendaries, which were costing so little the miracles have not even had the thought to doa god afterdead. Hehad toof sectarian also indifferent to thetruththantheVichnuvites fortransform Çâkyain an embodiment of theirhero (1). The cult is solittledechose in the Buddhism, there arehas no drawbacksdeny inspeak beforefor having enumerated the objects to which headdressed, what-that in truth this is to reverse the logical order. Religious ceremonies consist in offerings of flowers and of perfumes that one comesthe noise(1)Bhdgavata purâna, 1.I, ch. 3, st. 24. The authority of the more ancient we can to prefeels quoted in favor of this identification of the Buddha (Çàkyamuni) with the Brahmanic God. Vichçu, is probably the inscription of 1005 of the era of Vikramaditya, or 948 of our era, found in Buddha Gayà, and published, there are already long in the searches Asians by Ch. Wilkins. {Rech. Asian., T.I, p. 308, trad. frank.) This inscription, if it is authentic, is certaintainly the result of this syncretism modern with the examples abound in India. Sincethat the Brahmanism has reconquered the Buddhism an ascending undisputed, the Brahmins wellserved in this by popular ignorance, have neglected no opportunity to relate to their belief them monuments still standing today which attest the former existence of Buddhism. The ruins of the palaces, the Topes, the caves, everything has changed names, and the heroesbrahmins of themythology like those in history were seen day by day honoredin places that had originally had a less Orthodox destination. The curious des-description of the eastern provinces of India, which have been extracted from papers of excellent observation. The author, Buchanan Hamilton, is full of facts of this kind which it would be superfluous to quote here. I myselfhappy to warn that these facts are numerous in thefirst volume of this compilation. When I assumed that the authenticity of the inscription could be challenged, it was to the point of Buddhist view that I have heard of; I do not think not least the strength of the conclusions that Mr. Wilson has already drawn from this inscription, in the learned preface to his Sanskrit Dictionary .{Sanscr. Dictionn., Pref., P. xij and xiij, ed. 1819.) This inscription can not in any waybe an authority for the Buddhism; to the contrary, it is a proof obvious to my yeuxquefrom the middle of the tenth century Brahmanism had, momentarily at least, resumed an ascendantmarked in this old and famous sanctuary of worship Śākya. At rest, Schmidt was already veryclearly explained against the theory which wants that the last Buddha be an incarnation of Vichnu; he has a thousand times right when he said it there in has not the slightest traces in the Buddhismold. {Same. of Acad. of Sciences of St. Petersburg, 1. 1, p. H8.) The wise Erskine did it wasno more deceived, and nothing is more correct or more striking than this remark, which seems to meworthy of being reproduced here verbatim: "Never the Buddha Brahmanical not be recognized by" The Buddhists true as the same as the sage who made the object of leurculte; because he must"its origin in the principles of a mythology different from the them. " {Transact. of the lit.Soc. ofBombay, t. III, p. 501.)

We can still see the excellent remarks made by M. G. de Hum-Humboldt, on enrollment that I quoted all at the time, in his great work of thekawi language. {Veher die Kawi-Sprache, 1.1, p. 175, note 1; p. 263 and 264, note 1.)
Page 85

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .303 of instruments and of the recitation of hymns and ofpious prayers. Besides, no trace of bloody sacrifice or offerings transmitted to the Divinity bythrough the fire, first because as the first despréceptes fundamentalrate of the moralBuddhist is to not kill nothing of what lives, then because, that the theory of the Veda, following which the Gods are fed to this oneoffering to fire, which is their messenger on earth, is radically incompatible with the ideas Buddhist. The cult, in fact, only addresses not in the Bud-dhists to one God, or to a host of divine beings that the imagination of Brahman glimpses, the first hidden in the world, the second scattered in the elements; hehas only two objects: the figurative representation from Çâkyamuni, the founder of the doctrine, and the buildings which contain aportion of sesos. Almage and the relics heretoutce worshiped the Buddhists; too at the house of them the cult he called Pudja or honor, while that among the Brahmins it is called Yadina or sacrifice. This so simple worship is the only one which appears in the texts of Nepal; hethere is, in this respect, almost no distinction to be made between the various classes ofbooks that I mentioned in the second part of this Memoir; onlythe Developed sutras justify their title in this as in all theothers. They relate with diffusion the pomp and the richness of the offerings; corn, except the observations that I will lower, theydo not change anything in thenatureobjects of worship that appear in the Sutras and in the legends including we are mostly busy at the moment. There, as in the treaties that Ibelieve themmore rapprochésde thepreaching of Câkya, which we adore, it is the image of the Buddha represented seated, legs crossed, in the attitude of themeditation or teaching; it is still the monument that contains apart of his relics. It is very interesting to see how the writers legends tryto do up until time of Sakya himself originally from this cult, whohas certainly taken birth after him. The worship of the visible person of Sakya is nowhere indicated as Sakya, as helives, is still that a man, even for his disciples the strongest; but that of hispicture is already showing in the legends just madecharacteristics and including the intention is evident. I havealready doneallusion tomiraculous journey that Çâkyamuni fit in heaven, and I add herethat Udâyana Vatsa, king of Kâuçambhî, prayedone of the first disciplesof Çâkya ofreproduce for himthe image of Master, who was too lateto descend on the ground (1). The disciple isreturned to(1) Geschichte der OsUMongol, p. 15. Page 86

304INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY desire of the king, and {made with the most precious wood of sandalwood a statue that represents felt the Buddha standing and the hands together in the one position manwho teaches. This legend, he is true, does not prove more thannot doneamiracle, and she is probably in part of the invention of the Mongols; but I can quote a fragment of a avadāna, including the testimony is overinstructive. Rudrâyana, king of Rôruka, had just sent to Bimbisâra, king of Rajagriha, an armor endowed with marvelous virtues and all covered with jewels." At the sight of this, the King Bimbisâra washit of surprise; he fit call Men experts judge the stones precious and they said: Secure the price of this armor. king, replied the jewelers, each of these stones is out of price; it is a rule that when one can not determine the price one thing, we fixed the value at ten million [parts]. The king Bimbisâra said then with

sorrow: What present can I send back to the king of Boruka? Then hefitthis reflection: The blessed Buddha [isnowin the kingdom]; heknows by his unparalleled sciencethat that is a kinggenerous; hehas the means supernatural; I will go[withofhim],I will find to the blessed Buddha. So having taken the armor, heis returned to the place whence is found Bhagavat; and when heywas come, who hailed in the touching theBhagavat's head and feet, King Bimbisâra spoke to him thus: In thecityofRôruka, lord, dwells a named kingRudrâyana; it'smy friend, thoughInever have itseen; hemysentinpresents an armor formed of fiveparts. What a present himwill I do in return? Make a mark on a piece of cloth, him Bhagavat replied, therepresentation of the Tathagata, andsends it to him inhere."Binbisâra fitcall painters andthey said: Paint on a roomfabric the image of Tathâgata. Blessed Lee Buddhas are not easy Toto land; it's why thepainters could not take the opportunity to [paint]Bhagavat. They therefore said to Bimbisâra: If the king gave a mealin Bhagavatin the interior of his palace, hewe would be possibleto seize the opportunity to [paint] the Blessed One. The King Bimbisara whoof which invited Bhagavat to comein the interior of his palace, he gave a meal. The Blessed Buddhasare ones that we get tired not to watch. What that was one of the mem-bers deBhagavat that watched the painters, they could get tired of the contemplate. This is why they could not grasp the time of theto paint. Bhagavat then said to the king: The painters will have the penalty, O great King; hetheiris impossible to seize the moment to [paint the Tathâgata, but bring the cloth. The king having brought it, Bhagavat projected therehis shadow and said to the painters:

# Page 87

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .805Fill this outline with colors; then you will have to write the formulas below of refuge, as well as the precepts of teaching; he will need trace there, so muchin the direct order than in the reverse order, the production of the successive causesofthe existence], which is consists of twelve terms; and we will write these twostanzas: "Start, get out[from the house]; apply yourself to the law of the Buddha; annihilate the army of thedead like an elephantknock over a hutof reeds." He will work without distraction under the disciplined of this law, beyond the birth and to the revolution of the world, will put a term to thepain."If someone asks what that is these sentences, hewill have to answer: The first is the introduction, the second education, the third the revolutiontion of the world, the fourth effort. this The painters wrote everything that Bhagavat they had dictated ;then Bhagavatsaidto the kingBimbisâra :Great king, addresses a letter to Rudràyana Thusread: Dear friend, I am sending you innow that he hasofmost valuable in thethree worlds. It takes that [to receive the gift], you fasses adorn the road inan expanse of two and a half Yôdjanas; heyou have to go out yourself with aarmy corps composed offour species of troops; hebe that you place this herein a rather wide and open, and that you do the discoverthat after having it loved and him have made great honors. The observation of this that I will re-command will assure you the possession of a large number of merits." The King Bimbisara who wrote the letter so that it wasdictated, sent itto King Rudràyana, to whom she was presented. Rudràyana having read it, inexperiencedsome impatience; and having called his advisers, hethey said: What may thereforeto be, lords, thenow that sends me Bimbisara, for that flaw that Iit makes of such honors? Equipabodyarmy composed of fourcashof troops, and will destroy herkingdom. The advisers replied: Great king, Bimbisâra passes to be amagnanimous prince; he does not can have you sent in return for your gifts an ordinary present. Execute

frompoint inpointwhat herecommend you ;if it happens that the king does is not satisfied, we will knowwell find an opportunity [of the vengeance]. That hethat is Thus, resumed Rudràyana. Inconsequence we madeadorn therolls in an expanse of two Yôdjanas andhalf; the king himself went out with an army corps composed offour species of troops; the present, brought into the city, was placed in a large place and open, and it is the discovered after having loved him and have rendered bighonors. ÎO Page 88

306INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY" It is had by this time [in thecity] traders who came withgoods they had brought from Madhyadôça. As soon as theysaw herrepresentation of the Buddha, they cried out all of a voice unanimous: Worshipto the Buddha! The king, hearing this name of Buddha, of which hehad n't heardto speak until then, felt his hairsspiky all over her body, and saysofmerchants: What is therefore the one that you name Buddha? The merchandsreplied: Great king is the prince of the race of the Çâkyas, born on the flankof Himavat, the edge of theriver Bhâgîrathî, not farofthe hermitageofRïchi Kapila. At his birth the Brahmans, who know the future, didthis prediction: If he stays in the house, as head of the family, it will be aKing Tchakravartin, who will be victorious at the head of four species of troops, who will be fair and Kingof theLaw; who will own theseven jewels, theseven thingsprecious, which are :the jewel of deschars, the jewel of the elephants, the jewel ofhorses, the jewelfor women, the jewel of heads home, the jewel of generalizedraux, which form the seventh of thingsprecious. He will have a hundred sons, brave, fullof beauty, destroyers of the armies of their enemies. Having conquered theall of the great land to the limits of the ocean, hein will dispararaîtreall the causes of tyranny and misery; he will reign there without punishment, without using the sword a manner just and peaceful. If on the contrary, shaving his hair and hisbeard and covering clothing color yellow, it comes out of the house forenter with a faithperfect in the religious life, it will be a Tathagata venerationrable, perfectly and completely Buddha. This is what we call the Bud-dha, and that the name sounds in the world; and this painting represents his image.-And what is that this? - This is the introduction. - And this? - The precepts ofteaching. - And this? - The revolution of the world. - And this ?-The effort. The kingunderstoodwell theproductionfromcauses[successiveofexistence], who was exposed so much inorderdirectthanin orderreverse(1).4 Then Rudràyana surrounded by his ministers, pushing backall businessand any otherobject, sat downthemorning the legs crossed, the body right; and putting his memory back in front of his mind, heis set to reflect on the productive(1) This enumeration of the successive causes of existence recalls the circle surrounding this Table of Heaven, of the Earth and the Underworld, has reproduced Georgi after a drawing libélain. (Alphab. tibet., p. 485.) The author of which the compilation contains curious information that deserve to êtrevérifiés and extracts of rubbish in the middle where it 's embedded in, doune the namesTibetans corresponding to the dozen that make up this circle. {Ibid., P. 499.) These names do notare something other than the Tibetan translation of the Sanskrit terms by which the Buddhists de-sign the Nidanas or causes successive of life, on which I reviendraiplus down in the section of the Metaphysics.

Page 89

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .807tion of the causes [successive ofexistence], which is composed of twelve words, by considering it as in the order Direct that in the reverse

order, of this ma-nière:" That being said, this is ;of the production of that, this is produced," and inbeginning with "the concepts have for causeignorance," Until hecame to the annihilation of what is but a great massof ailments. Pen-while he was thus reflecting on the production of causes, which consists oftwelve words, in considering the in orderdirect, splitting with the lightning of the science the mountain where it is believed see that it is the body that exists, mountain that rises withtwenty peaks, it lives face to face the rewardfrom the state of Crôta àpatti; and when hehad recognized the truths, herecitedthisstance: "The view of the science has been purified [in me] by the Buddha, which is the jewelof the world; worship to the good doctor that this healing is certainly the book (1)!"I reported this piece in its entirety, because it is about the begin-ments of worship addressedÇâkya. The legend gives us heremoresome informationgnement piécieux. I admit that shecommit this, ordinary anachronism and ifeasily explicable, which involves placing the time of Gâkya which is the factof his disciples .;but this one time granted itdo is no less truethat it reveals to us the origin and the destination of the images of Çâkya. It is on acanvas that is painted the face of the Buddha, and the canvasis sentto a king, like the mostbeautiful present as a prince friend can make him. This image is intended to awaken in him the desire to know the doctrine of the accomplished Masterwhose features it expresses. And as if to leave no doubt about this destination, Çâkya orders that the sacramental formulas be inscribed, trueact of faith of the Buddhists; the preceptsof teaching, which I have show ôlre identical with themain rules of the Discipline (2); finally thepartthe most high of the doctrine, namely the theory of the causes of the existence; the wholeaccompanied by aappeal inspired by the proselytism. We can see by this what relationintimate must have existed in the origin between thedoctrine andthe image of Çâkya. ThisThe main object of the image was to awaken the memory of the teaching of the(1) Rudrâijam, in Divya avad., F. 410 a sqq. of my manuscript.(2) See above, p. 272. It is not probable that all the rules of the Discipline were written.pliny: if even the legend rests on a fund of truth, the opposite is certain; because at the time of Câltya the precepts of the teaching should not be as numerous as they are de-come since, and they were no doubt limited to the five fundamental rules, which are: not tokill, do not steal, do not commit adultery, do not lie, do not drink of liquor eni-vrantes. (A. fiémusat, Foe houe hi, p.104.) (See the additions, at the end of the volume.) Page 90

308INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYMaster; and she could not fail to succeed, when she was, like \esaidhere the lei ^ end, accompanied by the summaryof this teaching. This alliance ofthe doctrine with the main objectthe cult has continued for all the agesof Buddhism. One in find traces not only in India, butin the countrywhere theproselytizing transportedthis belief; and among thestatuettes of Çâkya that the research of English travelers brings back everydayin the light, is in has already collected a very large numberwhich relate totheir basis thefamous axiom of metaphysics several times cited, by whichthe deep knowledge of the origin and of the end of beings is attributed toBuddha (1). It includes in the same time in there why the legends deal if SouWind of the physical beauty Śākya. All the world knows in fact that the Bud-dhists attribute to the founder of their doctrine the possession of thirty-twocharacters of beauty, and of eighty secondary signs that are knownfor a long time, andby an extractof the Vocabularypentaglotte (2), and much more exactly by a Memoir by M. Hodgson (3). Hein is fre-what questionin thebooks Buddhist of all the schools, and thelegendaries claim that this perfect beauty

was one of the ways that spoke(1) I refer to the evidence of this fact in the key Indiain the Asian Journal ofBengal, published by Prinsep. And as the country where the Baddliismc n'ci't not native, I will mentionthan an example taken in Java, because it has the merit of showing to what extent the Buddhism is left to get to this island. I want to talk to the inscription in Devanagari characters, drawn on the back of a statue bronze representing a Buddtia, which was found atof Drambanan by Crawfurd. {Hist. of the Ind. Archipelago, t.11,p. 2i2, pi. xxxi.) Celtic inscribedtion is nothing other than the famous philoiophic formula Yè dharmâ hètuprabhavâh, etc., whichto bedon thebasis and on the back of a so large number of statueUes Buddhist discoveries inIndia. This formula is written in Sanskrit, and not in Pali, that which proves that the statue, or themodel according to which it has been executed is the Indian conlinent, and non deCeylan; if she wasoriginating from this island, the formula would undoubtedly be written in Pali. From this registration and to ome other monuments of this kind, which he cites, but which he does not reproduce, Crawfurd believesto conclude that the Indians have traced came from the provinces of India West. Thethe form of the letters of his inscription does not seem to me to favor this conjecture; it is a devanâ-modern gari, which can hardly be earlier than the xii «or xiii \*century of black era, and that affects the forms Bengali reconnais subles very easily. If this writing is not from the Bengal, shecertainly comes from a neighboring province, for example from the coast of Urixa; sheeven offers a striking analogy with the alphabet which is now in use on this coast.(2) Remusat, Ulél. Adat., T. I,p. 104 and 108.(3) Qaotal. from orig. Sanscr. Authur., In Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, 1.% p. 91. At the end of Memoir of Mr. Hodgson, these physical perfections are attributed to the supreme Adibuddha; skinnyit must be a modern invention, like that of this mythological Buddha. In the Sùtrasand in the legends, where this Ādibuddha has not appointed a single time, the thirty-two charactersbeauty and the eighty secondary signs are nonetheless, and they relate to the mortal person of Cakyamuni. The Buddhists of all the schools agree on this point, andwe possess the times in Sanskrit and in Pali titles of these perfections.

## Page 91

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .309themore powerfully in the eyes of thepeople in favor of the Buddha. Celtic important ance given to the beauty human is explained in part of this that Icome fromsay representations of Çàkya, and she makes uspenetrate hardbefore in the spirit of early Buddhism. The image of the Buddha hasnot, like that of Civa and of Vichnu, an exaggerated number of attributes; it will be multiplied not by means ofthis luxury of incarnations which of the same Godproductan infinity of people all different the from each other (1). It's just that of a seated manin the attitude of the meditation, or by thegesture of teaching image except for very slight differences in thehand position, differences which perhaps would vanish even before a critical careful, is always the same. The only scenes that surround it sometimes add a luxury of all exterior decorations to the simple onelittle cloud of the main object. Now therewhere everything is so human, the legend is excusable to assume the best of the beautyhuman; and it is very curious to see withwhat scruples she stopped on he limit that separates man from God, especially when it is recalled How many little she hesitates at the crossing, each time there is issue of the science and theto be able toof the Buddha. It is nevertheless necessary to take into account here the observations which I made more than onetimeson the modifications that had to suffer the Buddhism in the course of time. The cult was little changed, because that in the

religions the form has a duration which survived many centuries at the bottom same beliefs. But new objectsworship is associated with the image of Sakya. For theancient times, these objects had to be the statues of the four Buddhas who have preceded Sakyamuni, at the beginning of the current period. For the time more modern, this were those of the five DhyâniBuddhas and Bôdhisattvas, including Mr. Hodgsonwe have doneknow therepresentations by exact drawings . However, despite some variations lightin the costume and in the position of the hands, variants which, moreover, do not carry that on the Buddhasmythological of the contemplation, the type always remains the same, and this type is a malewhomeditate orwho teaches. I am convinced that therein wasnever else; and it looks like unity and invariability of main object of worship in the(i) This character specific to the representations of the sage honored by the Buddhists has not escapedto Erskine, who was able to explain it very clearly in his remarks, ifworthy of being read, on thereligions that have turn to turn or simultaneously flourished in India. {Transact. of the lit.Soc. ofBombay, 1. 1, p. 202.) In an another memory full of observations the smarter, it is ex-prime thus: "The saints of the Buddhists are men and have a human form; the gods of Brahmans are without number; they have any deformed species and to figure ... The first system presents men who have become Gods, the second of the Gods who have become€ made men. » {Ibid., X. ill, p. 50-4.) Page 92

310INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYBuddhists, are expressed by the multitude of statues that adorn the variousfloors of the Boro Budor of Java, and which all reproduce the figure of aBuddha, either meditating orteacher (1). The image of Avalôkitêç vara, which seems to doexception to this principle, on the contrary confirms the truth. Avalôkitêçvara, inindeed, is an entirely mythological Bôdhisaltva; and it has been appreciated, by the analysis that I have given moretop of a modern Sutra (2), what influence doexaggerated designs of popular Brahmanism have exercised on thedeveloped-ment of his legend. What he was therefore surprising that therepresents in Tibetwith eleven heads and eight arms (3)? herearthas followed the march of thelegend; andas the idea we had of Avalôkitêçvara had been taken in large partin an order of beliefs foreign to Buddhism primitive, of same imageby which we wanted to express this idea must have borrowed some of itsattributes to asystem representations that claims to the gods with themonstrous and gigantic men .This respect for the human truth of Buddhism, which has prevented the disci-plesof Çàkya to transform man into God, is quite remarkable for apeople like the Indians, at that the mythology is soeasily took the placeof history. Heis shown with an equally obvious in the choice of second object worship recognized by the Buddhists of all the schools. I said that -ith the image of Câkya, what they venerate exclusively are hisrelics (4). They they give the name expressive from Canm, which means exactly body. The em-ply they make of this term in the sensespecial of relics is entirely made unknown to the Brahmans; hebelongsto the language of the Buddhists, just like the object that it designates belongs to their cult. This is the body even of Sakya we lovein the debris in still (5). These debris collected on thepyre where had(1) M. G. de Humboldt described and explained this curious monument in a piece written by hand of the master, like everything that came out of the pen of this man eminent. (Ueber dieKawi-Sprache, 1.1, p. 120 ff.) It is necessary to see again in the book of the same author 's description the differences are trouventdans the position of the hands of the many statues of Buddha.M. de Humboldt has very ingeniously related these differences to the Dhyâni Buddhas.

Javouehowever that cesvariantes of position can be deleted with the invention and to worship ofthese superhuman Buddhas . (Ibid., P. 124 ff.)(2) Above, sect. II, p. 198 sqq.(3) Pallas, Sammlung. histor. Nachricht., T. II, pi. i,fig. 3, compared with Georgi, Alphab. Tibet.,p. 176 sqq.(4) It must see to it about the remarks if accurate made by the Rev.. Hough, on the occasion of thebig bell of Rangoon; he affirms positively that there is no other object of worship inthe bartenders that the statue of Sakyamuni and that the buildings which contain his relics, Monuments that it looks like the representatives of the Buddha. {Asian girl. Researches, t.XVI,p. 280.) Although these remarks are only on the Buddhism of the South, I hesitate not tothe recall here, because they apply with an equal accuracy to Buddhism north.(5) I must say, to be exact, that it is in the plural of this word {çarîrâni} that the Buddhists

Page 93

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .311been consumed his mortal remains were locked up, according to tradition, ineight cylinders or metal boxes above which an equal number was raised of monuments named Tchâityas, or consecrated buildings (1). the monuments which still exist today in India justify the tradition of the ma-Niere the most satisfactory. I do not want to not say for this we have found theeight mausoleums in which the relics were placedfrom Çâkyamuni ;that doesn'tcan not be, since the Buddhists themselves nousapprennent that somecenturies after Sakya these eight buildings were opened, and that the relics they REN-closed were collected and distributed on other points. Ijust remindwe found in India and in the provinces located in the beyond of the Indus, where theBuddhism has been previously established, a number very considerable of these mauso-Lées appointedStupas, the shape and arrangement of whichinner respond pointinpoint to what we learn from the legends touchingthese revered monuments .SinceClement of Alexandria who speaks of these respectable sages who adorea pyramid under which rest the bones of their God, until Fa hian, thetraveler Chinese, who, at the beginning of the y \(^\) century of our era, recognized alarge number of these buildings to General Ventura, finally, that ourdays opened thefirstone of these Topes (2), as the name is the languagegive the meaning of relics; it is as if they say the bodies, thus designating the whole for theparts. This word is classic in all the schools, and its value is confirmed by the testimonythe landmarks themselves, that is to say of vases of stone and of boxes metal that has de-covered in a large number of Topes the Punjab and from Afghanistan. I find this term strongclearly written çarîrêlii (form faded in the instrumental plural) in the short inscriptionengraved on the cylinder of copper found to Hidda; there it reproduced two times. {Journ. Asian girl. Soc. ofBengal, 1.111, pi. xxii. Ariana antiqua, Antiquities ^ pi. it.) Mr. Wilson read catinikhi, what that does isno sense. {Ariana antiqva, p. 259.)(1) Asian girl. Researches, t.XVI, p. 316. The texts which are at my disposal do I provide nothe means of marking with all the desirable clarity the nuance that distinguishes the word Tchâitya ofword Stûpa. Both apply to the same species of monuments; but one is more generalthat the other, and this is perhaps in this point that lies the main difference that the dislingue. Ainsi Stûpa designates the Tope under the item of view of the building and of the shaped material; it is accumulation, as says the etymology of the word, made of stones réuniespar of the earth or thecement; in a word, it is a mound. The word Tchâitya, on the contrary, is the Tope considered as a religious monument, that is to say as consecrated by the deposit it contains. AllStupa, in as it contains the relics of the Buddha, or quelqu'undes objects that have been in hisuse, or

only even insofar as it has been raised above a place that its presence hasmade famous, is by that alone a Tchâitya, that is to say a consecrated tumulus. But the reciprocalit is also not true, and we do peutpas say that all Tchâitya is a Stupa; because a buildingcontaining a statue of a Buddha, or even a tree indicated by the presence of this preciousobject, is called a Tchâitya. I think in addition to add that the word of Tchâitya ismuch more frequently used in the old sūtras than in the developed sūtras. In the past, the word Tchâitya no means usually that temple and stupa seems reservedto denote a Tope. (See the additions, at the end of the volume.)(2) The word Tope is an example among thousands of what one might call the Italianism of Page 94

312INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY popular, and including the happiest attempts were imitated and surpassed by Honigberger and especially by Masson, a tradition nointerrupted closelyof seventeen centuries dedicated existence, and can the say ingeneral, the destination of these curious buildings. Who has not read the descriptions thathave given the antique dealerswhich I remember the names? Who does not know what glory has been achieved which includes the Prinsep, the Lassen, the Raoul-Rochetle, the Wilson and others, in explaining and in placing the medals found in the interior or in theneighborhood of the Stupas ?None of the subjects that squint at India only excited in Europe so keenly curious; none has been so fruitful in consequences positive for the history oldest in India to from the ui ^ century before our era. The beautiful work auguels I amallusion at the moment are known to allmy readers, and heis sufficient for the purpose of this book that I theremember in indicating the true destination of the monuments they describe. This destination is positively marked in the legends that try tomake up the cult of relics like all the rest, not only at the time of Çàkya, but until the time of the Buddhas, mythological in my opinion, who came before him, hearemilhards of centuries. The books of Nepal are full the account of the tributes paid to the monuments depositing the relicsof theseBuddhas; and among theSûtras, thosethat I look at as the most moderncelebrate without endthe appearance of these wonderful Slûpas, which openmiraculously, and which let the surprised spectators or aprecious relic, or the person even while full of Buddha they overlap. Wesees him therejust as in what key the image and likeness of Śākya worshipwithoutchange object, was developed on a larger theater; and the invasion of themythology in the Buddhism has given to a fact easy and natural thepropor-gigantic tions from the fable. According to the legendaries, it would be Çàkyamunihimself who would have orderedthat we should render to his remains honors that seemavailable to those to whom a sovereign monarch has a right; and it is in accordanceSanskrit; it certainly comes from the Sanskrit Stûpa, which means heap, and he passed, to takethis altered form, by the Pali Thûpa, which has the same meaning. This word is popular in the Punjaband in Afghanistan, and ithas appeared for the first time in Elphinstone's work on the Caboul. (Elphinstone, Account of Kabul, p. 78.) Since then it has continued to be applied to mo-buddhist numents in the shape of a cupola; and this application is all the more flawless, that these monuments are named Slûpas in the books of the North, and Tliûpas in those of the South. It is Mr. Masson that we owe the descriptions the most accurate andthe most detailed of the formexterior and interior layout of the Tops. {Memoir on the Topes, in Ariana anliqua,p. 55 ff.) These descriptions relate exclusively to the monuments erected to the west of Indus, and in particular to those of Afghanistan; but Mr. Wilson has been seen that the Topesof riude central and Dagobs

of Ceylon, the Pegu and Ava are, as in outside and the in-TER AL, the monuments of the same kind. (Ariana anliqua, p. 38 ff)
Page 95

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .8155 his instructions that his body should be burned, and that the remains of his bones, escaped the flames, have been shut up in the polls, which the Stupafor thereceive reproduced on a more large -scale proportions fundamental, namely a cylindertopped with a lid shaped in domeor dome (1). Csoma of Kőrös has even translated the Tibetan a description very curious of the ceremony of funeral (2), which shall, asto the cir-the most important constancies, withwhat thatMr. Tumor was extracted from bookspaleconcerning the same subject (3), and with it that Ifound in awork sin-from my collection, the Thûpavamsa, or the story of the Stupas raised either inIndia or Ceylon. But this description, except for a few circumstancesmiraculous carries the cachet beyond truth may be perfectly true, without that for this we had to admit as a facthistorical opinion of legendsDaires, who want that Śākyamuni hashimself ordered to be returned to hismortal despoils the honors due to that of a Tchakravartin monarch. I havefor my part, as to the accuracy of this assertion, the doubts that Imustexposebriefly. May Çâkya haveorderedthat we burn his body with magnificence, that is possible, although Celtic injunction is little agreement with the modesty and sim-plicity of his life as a beggar; but he wanted that we should preserve the remains of his bones found in the ashes of the pyre, this is what will appear doubtful, if we think to contempt he had for the body. How to believe that the one witheyes of which the living body was so littleof thing would have attached thelower pricea fewburnt bonesby the fire? Assimilation that the legend is the funeraryrailles of Śākyamuni with those of a monarch sovereign is also apoint a little obscure. Undoubtedly, at the time of Câkya, thetitleof king Tcha-kravartin (4)or of monarch thathas united under a single spectrum thetotalitythe known kingdoms of the Indians was to be alive in the memory of the people. The glory of the Pandus and of the great monarchy of Indrapraslha was undoubtedly already popular; and besides the tradition had alreadyimmortalized other monar-c not less glorious including the names are also found in the books of Brahmins and in those of the Buddhists. So I do not have any difficulty in ad-put that 'Câkya could have spoken of, funeral révervées to a such monarch; cornIdon't see anyshare, in the books of the Brahmins that we should preserve the bones of(i)AsiaL Res., T. XX, p. 296 and 312.(2) Ibid., P. 309 sqq.(3) Examination, etc., in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.SEEN, p. 1009 sqq.(4) See the explanations that Mr. G. of Huraboldt has given to this term. {Ueber die Kawi-Sprache, t.I, p. 276 and 277.) It is the fact sometimes precede the word bala (army). Page 96

itiINTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthese sovereigns powerful, that the shut up in the boxes of gold or other metal, and it 's covered with a mass ofstones that reproduces in great the formout of the box. The only Brahmanic use to whichwe can relate existence and maybebe Torigine of stupas is the one that has described since long Golebrooke (1) and that has left the traces visible in various parts of India(2). When theceremony of the funeral was held too far from a river to the water holy, forthat we could throw thebones and ashes collected on the pyre, is the renfer-mait in a potof land equipped with a lid and tightened with a rope (3). This vase was placed ina deep holewhere we planted a tree or well auabove whichis raised a mound of masonry (4). Prinsep was well donehighlight the similarities that exist between these requirements of the ritual Funeral at the Brahmins, and

the form of the greater number of Stupasopen until today (5); but these requirements themselves do are neither general, because it 'sapplies especially when we do are located not close of a river; nor peculiar to sovereign monarchs, because nothing is specified inthis regard in the ritual. The word Stupa, which is perfectly Sanskrit formean heap, pile, has been unquestionably apply exactly to of suchtumulus Brahminists; but no orthodox text allows us to believe that the Brahmins in have never been used to designate a of these masses of stones to which a masonry covering gives the known shape of Stupas purely Buddhist (6). I haveof moresome painto understand(1) Asian girl. Bes., T.VII, p. 256, ed. CalcuUa.(2) See in particular the Pandoo Coolies described by Babington, in the Transact. bed.Soc. ofBombay, t. III, p. 324 sqq.(3) Golebrooke in a footnote on this description, adds that it construitassez Souwind a mauso'éc in honor of a prince or an illustrious personage, and that such a monumentis called in hiudusthani Tchhetrî. {Asian girl. Bes., T.Vil, p. 256.) It seems that this name of Chhetrirecalls the multi-storey parasols which are usually surmounted by the Slûpas in the Buddhist countries .(4) Asian girl. Bes., U VII, p. 256.(5) Journ. Asian girl. Society of Bengal, t. III, p. 570 and 571.(6) In a time when the character key that distinguish the constructions bud-dhiques of those of Brahmins rî'étaient not yet fully known, we wanted that the Stupas, which will see quite frequently in the tombs temples west of India, wereof Lingams or the Phallus Śivaite. {Transact. bed. Soc. of Bombay, t.111, p. 310.) These are mainlythe caves of Ellora that need to be visited and described by travelers free of any systematic prejudice. How many Lingams would disappear to make way for piles of Stupas IErskine, however, was no more mistaken on this point than in his other observations on the Buddhism, and it was positively asserted that the Stupas only can be in any way thesymbols of Çivaism. {Transact. bed. Soc. of Bombay, t.III,p. 508.) I do then I refuse topleasure to quote on this subject the following reflections of this author :< That hethere is a connection Page 97

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .315how the Brahmins would have allowed that we vénérât of remainstoomiserable in their eyes than thebones of a corpse burnt at the stake. Weknows the invincible horror they feel for everything that had life, and the carethey take ispurify, when they meet one of these objects including the sight alone is a stain for them .The idea to keep the relics and ofto honor them with a special worship therefore does not seem to meadesignBrahma-picnic (1); and when the Buddhists we learn that this cult has been made to the remains of sovereign monarchs, and by imitation to those of the Buddha, theyin-presumably tend to speak, not of all the monarchs in general, cornof those who shared their belief. The difficulties that I just oppose to the tale of the legendaries fall from them-even if, in place to allocate Sakya the idea of doing honor itsrelics, weleaves it on the account of her first disciples, to whom she was inspired without no doubt a feeling any human to respect and to regret (2). Forgo to Sakya of honors worthy of a king, his followers will be avaientqu'à Rap-peel that their Master had been a man whom henot remained nothingfrom now onthan this faint debris. Sakya, for them, was entered in the annihilation com-plet (parinirvrîla); of someway we understood this annihilation, itwas made of her mortal person, since shewas never to return to this world. It was therefore to show oneself deeply imbued withideas of Çâkya that of re-pick piously all that was left of him, and the cult of his relicshad to result nalurellôment of the conviction that wewasthat thedeath annihilates the whole man .Does any between the cult of Dagob and one of the Lingam, is it any reason does noteestablish. These two symbols are different in their

origin as in their object. The Dagob€is the tomb or the cenotaph of a man divine :it is the place where a relic rests. Lingam"is the symbol of the organ of the generation revered in quality can manufacturer of the "nature. One is always supposed to relate to a Buddha or to a man who has become a saint; the other signifies the boundless energy of divine power acting on the outer universe. The eye the€ less exercised can not be mistaken about their respective forms . " {Ibid., P. 5i6.) If we are recalls that these excellent observations are already more than twenty-three years old, we should not than to admire more the penetration and the good sense of the skilful man to which they are due.(1) 11there was already a long time that in his comments comparative on the Buddhism and the Brahmanism, Erskine said that the Buddhists venerate the relics of their Buddhas and oftheir saints, but as the eyes of the Brahmins, the remains deadly for a man are someunclean thing. (Transact. Lit.Soc. of Bombay, t.TII, p. 506.) The existence of ancient tumuli pure-ment Indians, that is to say, Brahman, are not contradictory to this assertion, because nothing willsaid that they should render a cult; and this is precisely the worship that is Buddhist Stupas of monuments of a very special character .(2) This is what that says ^ posilivement the story of the death of Sakya, translated from the Tibetan by Csomafrom Coros; according to this account, it was Ananda who advised the Mallas of Kuçinagarî to return to thethe mortal remains of Çâkya the honors due to that of a sovereign monarch. {Asian girl.Res.,t. XX, p. 312.} Page 98

316INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYAs for the assimilation that the legends establish between Çâkyamuni Ruddhaand a sovereign monarch, she already hadbeen made, according to the same authorities, at the time of his birth; and the Buddhist books repeat every momentthe prediction that the Rrâhmans address to father of each Ruddha: Yesyour sonembrace the life of a householder, he will be a sovereign monarch; if between in the religious life, it will be a Ruddha (I). The prediction was comsummoned by the high rank where Câkya was born, sonof aKchallriya consecrated bythe royal anointing; and the comparisonofwise themore high in the religious orderwith a sovereign monarch, winner and master of all thekings, was notthat one of these inventions allowed to the pious faith of the disciples. I even believer ecognize here one of the elements of what I would callwillingly the theme of aRuddha, a theme whose invention I attribute to the first disciplesofCâkyamuni.I add that if the idea of preserving and honoring the relics of kings is, as I 've assumed everything on time, exclusivement buddhique, it should have introduced by imitation of what we had doneon the death of the Master. That we admit withme that we did not raiseusually no Slûpas above the relics of thekingsof Brahmanic belief, andhemust recognize that by recalling thehonors paid to the mortal remains of the sovereign monarchs, the Ruddhistsspeak under the impression of the memories that had leftwith them the glory of amonarch, as Aśoka, for example, who had Aiit rule their beliefs onthe more large part of India. If this assumption is not too well founded, we must admit that there is, in thelegends relating to this part of the worship of the details that can notbe prior to m® or iv " century after the death of Śākya. By there also will find explained, in part at least, the great number of Slûpasqueyour REN-against even today standing in India and in Afghanistan. Of these Slûpas, the few will have been raised over dequelque relic true or false to Hereor only in the places that his presence had made famous; the othersabove the tomb of his first disciples and heads of the Assembly who succeeded him in the direction of body of

Religious (2); the others finallyabove remains mortal of kings who had favored the doctrine Buddhist (3).(1) See above, p. 306.(2) The Liberian Dul-va speaks of a Tchâitya who was raised above the body of Çâriputtra, who died before his master. {Asian girl. Res., T. XX, p. 88.) Fa hian the site to Na lo or NALANDA, close to Ràdjagrlha. {Foe koue Here, p. 262.) 11 also speaks of two Slûpas which contained the re-liques of Ananda. These Slûpas were located on each of the two banks of the Ganges, not far from theplace where the Gandaki is fasting in this river. (Ihid., P. 250.)(3) Prinsep has already proposed an analogous reconciliation of the two opposing opinions, which want, one that the Slûpas are the buildings purely religious, the other they are only of Page 99

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .817The written authorities extend effect of all these characters thelawto beburied under aSlûpa; but thelegendswe reveal yet a anothercause of the multiplicity of these tumuli :it'shope the merits that the faithful believed to be sure by having stupas built for the intention of Buddha. These constructions, kinds of solid cenotaphs, must have been namedbreuses, both in India and in the regions neighboring; and, if the antique, by opening a fewof Topesof Afghanistan, there have could findno human debris, that's probably they were addressing Stupasofkind of those I'm talking about, and which heis a very large number in the Bartenders. Mr. G. de Humboldt surmised with a lot of reason that the Stupathe Baug temple in west of India (1), must be a building full,in whichnothing could be locked up; and this deep thinker has shown withits ordinary superiority how the idea of the sanctity of relicshad naturally LEMENT is reporterin the mindofpeople on thebuildings for the contain, and ensure thus the Stupas deprived of relics the respects they hadin originally granted only to those who contained some (5). I add that hehad to 'well as the Buddhists are contentassent of these buildings empty forcontinue to raise the Stupas at Śākya. What that was the easewith whichfaith People welcomed the multiplicationdes relics, the eight boxes primi-tivesthey were notHowevernotinexhaustible. But the onewho built aof theseEmpty stupas intended for a Buddha probably only watchednot more than the peopleat the bottom of things, and the external form sufficed for its votion. Prior to closing, I have to respond to an objection that a Buddhist doeswould not missto do in the name of his legends, if however a Buddhistcouldunmoved doubts wicked of the critical European. Why, he would say, suspect herveracity of the legends which attribute to Câkyamuni theof worshiprelics, when we seethis wise man to distribute during his lifetombs of sovereigns. He thinks that the two deslinations, that of a tomb and that of abuilding devoted to the Divinity, have been wax object common that have been in for the perpetrators of these curious monuments . {Journ. Asial. Society of Bengal, t. 111, p. 570.) Mr. Wilsonadonné of goodreasons against this feeling, and hebelieves, with Er »kine and Hodgson, that the Stupas, like the Dagobs of Ceylon, intended to contain and protect some holy relic, attributed, pro-bablement without a lot of reason nor of vniisemblance, to Çàkyasimha, or to someone of per-sonnages that the account, as a BôJhisattva or high priest revered in the country wherewas raised the Stûpa. {Ariana antiqua, p. 45.) I allow myself to add to this listlesrois favo-rables to Buddhism; and I think in addition that must be taken into account for cénotappes built in the in-tention desBuddhas. M. Masson thinks that Siûpas could have been raised above the remainsmortals of kings (Ariana antiqua, p. 78 and 79) and of holy personages. {Ibid., P-. 84.)(1)Transact. bed. Soc. of Bombay, t.II, p. 198.(2) Ueber die Kawi-Sprache, t.l, p. 163.

#### Page 100

31gINTRODUCTION TO HISTORY even to full listeners of faith memories of hisperson mortalsuch, much coarseryet that the ashes of the pyre? If Çàkyagave toof themmerchants a handfulof hishair, to otherstheclippingsof his nails, why could he not order that should render the hon-religious norsin this which was to remain in his bones (1)? The objection has certainly some value; but without having recourse to that process of easy criticism, which consiststerait to deny these distributions odd that the story estd'ordinaire mingled circumstances wonderful constancies, itseems to me that we can admit, if we wants, reality (2), and that one is not obliged for that to draw the consequence that the logic of a fervent Buddhist would oppose us. Who does not know of What the re-religious pect is capable, and who does not understand that passionate worshipershavepu of themselvespick them uphair of an almost divine master ?TheBuddhists of Tibet are gone in this direction as far as it waspos-sible; and the stupid respect they have for their Lamas them to prostrate beforethe most disgusting relics everinvented a superstitious man. We will saythat the pure andchaste Çâkyamuni invented thisworshipdespicable, and is n't itrather by a suite of pitiful analogies that the Tibetans are descended s low? The legends which relate that the disciplesdo Sakyamuni recueil-left her hair and debris even more impureare therefore explained by them-even by that fervor of worship which has never been lacking in India. Where thefacts are true, and we do may conclude that Sakyamuni them has caused, incore less than itget is used to recommend theworship of hisrelics;(1) The legend of the two merchants to whom Çâkya gave eight of his hair is nationalat the Bartenders; it is recounted in detail in a note from the Rev. Hough on the registration of the great bell of Rangoon. These merchants were from Pégu, and it is miraculously that theywere warned that Sakya was succeeded in the stateof perfect Buddha. {Asian girl. Res., T.XVI, p. 282.) We the find more low, in the legend of Asoka. Nothing is at rest more ordinary in the legends, that the story of such gifts; see among other things the story of Purija. (Above,p. 236.) A passage from the life of Sakyamuni tells that the wise took this to a man of thetribe of Sakya, in an illusory Manner, said Csoma, some hair of his head, trimmingsof her fingernails and one of her teeth. {Asian girl. Res., T.XX, p. 88.)(2) Even though I do make no difficulty to recognize quedu time even of Sakya, the dis-citizens fanatics were able to respectfully collect the hair that fell from his head, I do notthen, however, share the hope that sembleconcevoir Humboldt, when afterdescribes the boxes in which these relics are locked, and which are themselves buriedunder enormous Stupas, he expresses himself thus:" We can clearly see by this that in these senses hewould not" Not impossible that under the gigantic mass of [Stûpa] Shoe Da gon, we could find the eight" Real hair of Gautama, who, according to tradition, there sontenterrés. " {Ueber die Kawi-Sprache, t. I, p. 161. Conf. Crawfurd, Embaisy to Ava, p. 348.) I do not believe that after readingthe story of the wonderful journey of these invaluable hairs from India to Pégu, it ispossible for nobody to see anything really historical there. You might as well believe in existencethe stick, the pot and the vêtementde such predecessors of Śākya, that the Péguans claimalso own.W

Page 101

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM, 819or they have been inventésaprès blow, and we do must take one consequence: it is that the Buddhism has, like all the institutions human, suffered in the coursethe time changes easy tounderstand, and that the books that we havekept the tradition have followed this movement and will be changed under his influence. This last

remark naturally brings me back to the observation that I havemade at the beginning of the present section. This observation, is that the divisions that I had previously established in the class of sūtras applyquent also to that of the Avadânas, that is to say that all the treaties which carry this title will belong not to the same time, or a way more general, relate to events that happened in the times very remote the one of the others. I take the liberty of referring the reader toremarks that I havemade in the previous section on historical significance predictions contained in the books attributed to Çâkya. These reflections are based onrigorously comply with several treaties of Divyaavadāna and of the avadānaçalaka, where Çâkyamuni announces to his Auditors the birth of King Açôka, whomust one day dodominate its law on all over India and go to its relics aworship became famous in all the Buddhist nations. These predictions, which are usually interspersed with details curious form with little near everything thatthe collectionNepali kept us frommore precise on this great monarch, because the voluminous compilation of the Açôka avadàna, which is a kind of Purâna, adds little to what we learn from legends of divyaavadàna andofAvadâna çataka. It was not here the place to discuss the facts and thedates that we provide the legends that I am in this moment allusion; this examination will find its place in the section devoted to the sketchof the history of Buddhism; but heseems essential to me to give a specimena littleextended these legends, which bear some resemblance to those which face thename one of Sakya, and yet who are visibly subsequent to its EPO-than. I start with the Divya songavadàna whohas for title Aśokaavadāna ^ by observing that no need to confuse this treated with the large Aśokaavadàna in verse, of which I was talking later. I purposely choose this song, because it opens with a listof kings which ontrégné between Bimbisāra, the con-temporain of Çâkya, and Açôka, the hero of the legend."At that time reigned in the city of Ràdiagriha theking Bimbisâra (1).Bimbisâra had Adjâtaçatru for his son ;this last had for son Udjàyin (2); Udayi-(i) Açôka avad., in Divya avad., f.183 a, man. Soc. Asian., F. 230 a from my man.(2) We have here an example of the blatant inaccuracy of our manuscripts; the king named hereUdjdyiii is the same as Udayibhadra; this last name is the only true one; at least that's the onewhat do pale books give; Udjàyin is clearly an error of the copyist.

Page 102

320INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYbhadra had for sonMunda; Munda had for sonKâkavarnin; Kâkavarninhad for sonSaîiàlin (I); Sahâlin had for sonTiilakulchi; Tulakutchi hadfor sonsMahâmandala; Mahâmandala had for sonBindusâra. The sonPrasônadjit; Prasônadjithad for sonNanda; Nanda had for sonBindusâra. The kingBindusârareigned in iacityofPàtalipullra; hehad a sonto which we gave the noniby Susînna (2).vsBut at that time hethere was in the city of Champa a Brahmin auwhat hewas born a girlcharming, beautiful, pleasant, which was the happiness of thecountry. Some astrologers didthis prediction [at the time of itsbirth]: This girlwill be married to a king, and shewill the world's two jewelsof son: Monwill be a kingTchakravartin, master of the fourparts of theearth; the other, after having embraced the religious life, will see its good worksto succeed. "The Brahminhaving heard this prediction, was transported from ajoyextreme, because man loves always the prosperity. Having taken with himhis daughter, hebe surrendered to Pàtaliputtra. There, after having adorned with all kinds of adornments, itthe gave the king Bindusāra for it by Fithis wife: There you are, O king, a wealthy, perfect girl. Finally it was placed by the king Bindusāra in itsinterior

apartments. The women of King then made Celtic reflection: Here is a pleasant, charming woman, who is the happiness of country; if the king comes toto have trade with her, hedo we give more even a glance. Theytherefore began to teach him the barber trade; and the girlthe brah-mane is put in devoird'arranger the hair and the beard of the king, such that she became very skilled at it. Now every time she started to fill herolfice to the king, it is setting. One day the king, who was happyher, he offered to himgrant thegrace that she would desire, and himasked: What favor do you want? Lord said the young girl, may the king consent tounite with me. You 're from the caste of barbers, said Bindusāra, and me Iof the desKchattriyas race who have received the anointing Royal; how is it possiblethat read hast trade with me? I do 'm notof the caste of barbers, reprit-she, I am the daughter of a Brahmin whogave me the king for he was of me(1) Our manuscripts read Sapâlin for the first time .( '0 I liken plustard the list with the document historical conservésdans booksPalis de Ce> lan, the summary of which was given by M. Turnour in the preface to his Mahâvamsa, and discussed in depth in the Journal of Prinsep. (Journ. A & ial. Soc. Of Bengal,t. VI, p. 7>4) It can therefore at present to make an idea of the differences between the di-to Indian authorities on this important point of history, comparing with thepassage doour text the list of Mongolian Ssanang Setzen, examined by Klaproih {Foe koue ki, p.230), and, the Brahmanic tables of Wilford. iAsiat. Res., T.V, p. 286.) Page 103

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .321his wife. Who hath solearned the barber trade? said the king. - These are thewomen of the interior apartments. I don't want anymoresaidBindusâra, that youdo this job in the future. Finally it was recognized by the king for the firstof his wives (I)." The king then had commerce with the young girl; hehas fun with her, withshe heis delivered to the pleasureand to the pleasure. The queen became pregnant and gave birth toafter eight or nine months; she put the world a son. When we had celebratedmngnifiquement the partyof the birth, it was asked: What will be the name ofdenying? The queen then said: At the birth of this child, I did not experience from cliagtin (açô / ca); in consequence we gave to the child the name of Aśoka (withoutgrief). More later she put the world a second son; like hewas born withoutthat the queen was in pain, she was given the name of Yigatâçôka (the onefrom which thesorrow is far away) (2)." Aśoka had the members harsh to the touch; henot pleased not to the king bin-dusâra. One day the king wishing to put his son to the test, had come the beggarPingala vatsâJjiva and said to him: Let us put these children to the test, O master, in order toofknow which one will be able to be king when I am notmore. The beggarPingala valsàdjîva answered :Lead, O king, your sonsin the garden o \ iis the Mandapa d'oi-, and there let's put them to the ordeal. The king took his sons with him and willwent to the gardenwhere was the golden Mandapa. However the queen said to theyoung Acôka: The king, who wants to test his children, has left for the gardenhe is the Golden Mandapa; hebe that tuy Ailles too. I don't likenotto the king, resumed Açôka; hedoes not wantnot even see me; to whatgood would i gothe? Go aheadhowever, repliedmother. Açôka tells him then :Send in front of the fedture. Aśoka came therefore toPâtaliputtra. Râdhagupta, the son ofprime minister, then said to him: Açôka, where are you going? The king, answered Açôka, is going todaythrow his sons tothe ordeal in thegarden of the Golden Mandapa. It is had thereaoldelephant that had been ridden by the king(3). Aśoka made use of this oldanimal to be making the garden of Mandapa goldwent down in the middle ofchildren and sat down on the floor. We offered then to

the food to the children; the(1) A portion of this piece has already been mentioned above, Sect. II,p. 132, on the occasion of the pre-judged by the royal caste. I thought that I pourrairi without great inconvenience the reproduce here, becausethat it is essential for the understanding of the continuation of the legend.(2) We learn, for a further passage the legend Aśoka, that this child namedalso VitâçôUay name which has the same meaning as that of Vigaiâçôka.(3) The word that I translate as old is, in the text, mahallaka; it is doubtful that this termeither Sanskrit; the less the mahallaka the Dictionary of Wilson, which means eunuch, seems to beof Arab origin. That which commits me to translate the word mahallakapar vietix is that I 've foundin the Lotus of the Good Law, used as a synonym of vriddha, and being part of some enumerations of qualities related to the elderly.21\

Page 104

322INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY Queen had sent for Asoka of rice cooked mixed with the milk curd in a vaseof earth." So the kingBindusâra addressed himself thus to the beggar Pingala vatsâdjîva:Put the children to the test, O master, so that we can see which one will beable to reign when I am no more. Pingala vatsâdjîva sestarted to lookand to think about :It is Açôka who will be king ;and yet heis not pleasant to King. If I will say :. This is Aśoka to be king, I do 'm not sure to keep the life. Hespoke so well: King, I'll lar my prediction without distinction of per-ringing. Do so, said the king. The beggar then continued: The one who has abeautiful steed, lord, will be king. And each of the children conceived this thought: I have a beautiful frame, it is I who will be king. Aśoka gave his side the reflectionnext: I am come on the back of an elephant; I have a beautiful 'frame, it isme who will be king." Bindusâra then said :Continue the ordeal,O master. Pingala vatsâdjîva ex-prima thus:king, whoever has the best seat will be king. And each of the childrenconceived this thought: I have the best seat. Aśoka gave his side the reflectionnext: The earth is my seat, I will be king. After having thus takenfor purpose of his prediction the vase and the food and drink of the children, the beggar is retired. (vsThe queen saidthen to his sonAcôka: What is the one to which hehas been predicted he would be king? Açôka replied: The prediction hasbeen madewithout distinction ofpeople, in this way: He who has the mount, the seat, the vessel, the drink, the food the best, this one will be king. If I 'm not mistaken, it's mewho will be king. My mount was the back of an elephant; my seat, the earth; myvase, apotof earth; my food, the rice cooked seasoned with the milk caiUé; my drink of water. This is why the beggar Pingala vatsâdjîvahas said: It is Açôka who will be king. If I see correctly, it is I who will be king, since my horsewas the back of aelephant, and my seat the earth. [The beggar] is set to makethecourt to the mother, so that she tells him aday :master, which of my twoson will be king at the death of Bindusâra? - It will be Açôka.- Heis could thatthe kingyou question him earnestly :go away then; take refuge in the countryto beyondthe borders. When read hear say that this is Aśoka who is king, then youcan come back. Inconsequently the beggar took refuge in the country beyond the borders." Then the King Bindusâra wanted to besiege thecitynamed Takchaçilâ (i).(1) I do not need to recall that the ancient existence of this city is demonstrated by the testimony of the historians of Alexander. It does not not more heretheinstead of summarizing the namesthe many discussions that this famous name gave rise to; heI will suffice to indicate the most new

Page 105

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .82SHe sent his son Asoka, in him saying: Go, my son, put the seat in front of the cityof Takchaçilâ. He gave him an army consisting of four corps of troops, but hehe refused the tanks and the weapons. When the young Açôka came out of Patali-puttra, his people himaddressed this warning: Son of the king, we have neithersoldiers, no weapons; with what and how will we fight? So Acôkaexclaimed :If heis in me some virtuewho must matureuntil giving methe throne, it seems the soldiers and the weapons !A sentence the sonfrom the king did he havespoken, that the earth opened and that the Devatas brought him soldiers and of weapons." So the son of the king left with his armycomposed of four bodies oftroops for Takchaçilâ. Thecitizens who lived in the city having cleaned theroad in the expanse of two and a half Yôdjanas, and carrying full vases[of offerings], out to its meeting; and having come forward in his presence, theyhimsaid: We are not not the enemies of the son of the king, not morethat of the kingBindusâra; they are of bad ministers usoppress. Acôka enteredtherefore in Takchaçilâ in the midst of a great pomp. Heentered in addition to thesame way in thekingdom of the Svaças (1). Two naked giants camelook for arefuge with him(2). Theyreceived the means of subsistencetance and began to walk in front of him, dividing the mountains on hispassage; and the Devatas pronounced these words: Açôka will bea pennyverain Tchakravartin, master of the four partsof the Earth; person doresults which they produced, namely the Identity of Tan tcha chi loof the traveler Fa hian with the Takchaçilâ of the Indians, a result to which MM. Lassen and Wilson have arrived independently oneon the other, by an attentive study of the text of Fa hian. (Lassen, Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenland, t.I, p. 224. Wilson, Journ. oftlie Roy. Asiat. Soc, t.V, p. 118. Ariana antiqua,p. 196.)(1) I do not know the name of the people, and I suspect that there is here some fault in ourmanuscripts. Heis likely to be readKham in place of Svaça, the signs ' ^ sva and ^KLIA is confused, as we know ^very easily. But the presence of the Khacasnot far from Takchacilâ gives rise to a difficulty that Lassen has already pointed out, on the occasion of a stanza of Mahabharata, where Wilson was reading from his manuscript, Khaca, and where Lassen was recognized in theof Paris a different name people, one of Bacati. (From Pentapot. Indic, p. 87.) Lassen cannot findnot that the existence of the Khaças in the Punjab is justified by texts. Our legend doesShould not change in part 'the opinion, and not one could not believe that there was the Khaças in the north of this country? These nations, which it is so often mentioned in the history of Kache-target have been likely nomads; and the rare indications that we have so far ontheir former existence allow the closer the north of India. {Mdnava dharma çâstra,ch. X, st. 44.) My excellent friend, Mr. Troyer, has collected on this ethnic group a great number of curious information in his translation of the History of Kashmir. {Râdia tarangini, t. II,p. 321 ff)(2) The text used for the expression of Mahânagna; these Nagnas or naked men appearin the legend with the role of warriors who perform feats almost supernatural. Thismeaning seems to me preferable to that of Bard, which the word nagna has, according to Wilson.

Page 106

324INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYmust stand in the way . Entin the ground up jimi your Ocean, is submitted tohis orders." One day Susîma, one of the sonsof the king,came back from the garden inPâtaliputtra.Kliallâfaka,thefirstMinister of King Bindusāra, out ofPâtaliputtra.Susîma the sonof the king threw himhis gauntlet on his head, with the intention ofto play. The minister made this reflection :Today hemadedrop

hergauntlet; cornwhen hewill be king, it will be the Law that he will bring down. Iwill take my measurementsso that he does not become king. Hetherefore detached [from the prince] five hundred con-seillers, by saying: Aśoka has beendesignated as to bea Tchakravartin, master of the four parts of the earth; he will we the place ourselves on the throne." However the inhabitants of Takchaçilâ revolted, and Susîma the son ofking was sent byhis father againstthem; but he could not reduce the city. The king Bindus āra fell then in languor, and he said :Bring me my sonSusîma,i want theplace on the throne ;establish Açôka in Takchaçilâ. But the Ministers rubbed with saffron Aśoka the son of the king. After having been boiled to the lacquer in a vase of iron, and having rubbed vases of the same metal with the juiceproduced by this decoction, it 's indyed (1). Then theysaid to Bindusâra : Aśoka the son of the king is fallen in languor. But when Bindusâra was reduced to a tate such he did it remained almost more than life, then theministers having parriedAcoka of all kinds of ornaments, brought him to the king, in him saying, Placeone, in the meantime, on the throne; when Susîma is back, then wethe ywill restore to its turn. But the king was put in anger; andthen Açôka pronouncedthese words: If the throne me back to the right, that the Dôvatâs attach me theheadbandroyal; and immediately the diadem he was attached by the devatas. At the sight ofthis miracle, the king Bindusāra gave thebloodhot through the mouth anddied." When Açôka was established on thethrone, the Yakchas in proclaiming thenew at the height of a Yôdjana above the earth; the nāgas la proclaimmèrent to thedepth of a Yôdjana below. This news madego outRâdhagupta from his retirement, and heheard repeating in the surroundings: Bindusârahas had its day, and Açôka has just beenplaced on the throne. At the sound of this event is lying [Susima], full of anger, was put in road [for Pāt.aliputra] and left inin haste to the place where hefound. But the king Aśoka sets to the first door(1) I admit do not well understand the subjectof thispreparation. Here is the textsame:Lâkcham tcha lôhapdtrê kvâthayitvd, kvathiténa rasêna lôhapâtrâni mrakchayitvâ tchhôrayanti. It will be that the dye red given by the ministers of vases of iron has to aim to dobelieve that the young prince had lost a more or less large amount of blood that wasreceived in these vases.

Page 107

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .325 from the city of Pâtaliputtra agiantnaked; at the second door, a second giant ;at the third, Râdhagupta, and he himself stood at the eastern gate .Râdlia-gupta erected an elephant made of frame in front of the eastern door; and afterhave dug a pit for the greatnessofAçôka body (1) and having filled itof coal of wood of Khadira (2), it the covered with grass on which itspreadof the dust. He then said to Susîma: If you can kill Açôka, you will beKing.So Susima is headed toward the eastern gateby saying: I will fightagainst Açôka. But hefell into thea pit full of burning coals, and he will perish miserably. When Susima had thus been put to death, her giantnamed Bhadrâyudha, accompanied by a series of severalthousands of men, entered in theold religious under the lawof Bhagavat and became Arhat." When Asoka had been placed on the throne, his ministers he gave the evidence of disobedience. This is why hethey said: Make cut the trees toflowers and the trees fruit, and keep the tree thorns. His ministers himsaid: In what think the king? Heshouldrather cut themthorn trees, and conserver the trees to flowers and the trees fruit. Three times they resisted the orderthat they gave the king. So Asoka furious, pulling his sword, madedrop your headof its five hundred ministers." One other time Aśoka surrounded the women of apartment interiors,

iswent, in the days of spring, when the trees are covered with flowers and offruits in the gardento the east of thecity. While he was walking there, heaper-Cut a tree Aśoka all in flowers. Immediately hethe bowed in this reflection: Here is a tree with the same name as me. Now the king Asoka had the mem-bres harsh to the touch ;the young women had Pasde pleasureto the caress. The king came to fall asleep; then the women of the broken interior apartmentsrent to spite the flowers and the branches of the tree Aśoka. When he wakes uptheking livesthe tree in this state and asked: Who has itbroken as well? He was answered: Geare the windows of the interior apartments. Inlearning of the fact, the transkingdoorof anger was surrounded by wood(3)the five hundred women, and thefitto burn. In seeing the acts of cruelty to which heis delivered, the people will say: The kingis furious, it isTchandâçoka, Acôka the furious. So theprime ministerRādhagupta he made the following representations: king, heis not suitablethat you execute yourself from such actions that are unworthy of law. It is necessary to establishthe men responsible for putting to death those that the king to convicts, which(1) Do Would it not Susima it be read? Nothing is to remain more confused than the textin the more high part of the legend.(2) Mimosa catechu.(3) I read kâchiakâih in place of kitikâih, which I did and nothing to do.

Page 108

326INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY will execute the sentences passed by the king. Açôka therefore gave this order to hispeople: Look for mea man who runs the criminals.vsNot far from there, at the foota mountain, it is had a cottagewho liveda weaver. This weaver had a son to whomondonna the name of Girika (themountain). This child, carried away, cruel, insulted his father and his mother, and beatthe little boys and little girls; he was dying to using pins and ofnets the ants, the flies, the mouse, the birds and the fish. It was aangry child; so they gave him the name of Tchanda girika, Girika the furious. One day hewas insight, busy these evils, for the people of the king that heSaid: Can you fill the officeexecutioner for the King Açôka? The childanswered: I filled up the executioner's office for the entire Diambudvîpa. We made this response knownto the king. who said: Let him be brought. People from the king therefore went to say to the child: Come onking asks you. Chanda girikareplied: Come onalways, I go to see my father and my mother. So hewent to say tohis parents:my father and my mother, give me your permission; Iwill exercise the office of the executionerfor the king Aśoka. But his parents are looking for-chèrent to the in divert; then it 's deprived all the two of life. Howeverthepeople from the king himasked: Why then did you take so long to come? There they madeknow in detail what is silent pass. He was then drive by them before the king, to whom hesays: Make me doa house. The king himhad a house built, a very beautiful house, but which hadpleasantthat entry, and towhichwe gave thename of <The pleasant prison. "theyoung Girika then said :Do me a favor, O king :than that which will come inthis house doescan morein exit; to which the king replied: Let it be so(1).<Tchanda girika then went to the hermitage of Kukkuta ârâma(2);theReligious Bàlapandita there read a sutra. There areare beings who are reborn in the Hell, he said :the keepers of Hell's having seized and the extended with theback on the floor formed of iron burning heated, not by a single flame, theiropen their mouths with an iron spit and insert balls into itof iron Brutuslantes, heated, forming a single flame. These balls burn the lipsof these unfortunate people; and after they consumed the tongue, the throat, the leads ofthroat, the heart, the neighboring parts of the heart, the bowels, the cords of the

bowels, they escape from below. These are, O Religious, the pains of Hell.(1) This part of our legend is the subject of a chapitrespécial of travel of Fa hian; she thereis told however with some slight variations of little importance. {Foe Tcoue ki,p. 293 ff)(2) It is the famous hermitage named KuMula, or of the Rooster; hewas located in the Mountainnamed Kukkuta pada, "the foot of rooster "which, according to Fa hian, is not very far from Gayâ. (Foe hoe ki, p. 302.) Page 109

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .327" There are the ones who are rebornin the Underworld. Thekeepers of Hell thehaving entered and the spread having the back on the floor formed of iron burning heatedand not making a single flame, they open the mouth with a pinand iron are cast of copper molten burning the lipsof these unfortunate people, and who after having consumed the tongue, the palate, thethroat, the conduit of the throat, the bowels, the string of entrails' escape through in there. These are there, O Reli-holy, the pains of Hell."There are beings who are rebornin the Underworld. Thekeepers of Hell thehaving entered and the spread having the facesur the floor formed of iron burning heatedand not making a single flame, the cross with a chain of iron burning, heated and all in flames; then they the rub, therepass, the planing with a hoe of iron hot, heated and all in flames. They thus removeof their body a eighth a sixth or a shift, the planing or inlong, either in a circle, or from the top, or from the bottom, eithergently, eithervery gently. These are, O Rehgieux, the pains of Hell." There areof beings who are reborn in the Hell. The Guardians of the Underworld, after the have seized and have extended the face on the ground consisting of iron burningheated and not making a single flame, the cross with a string ofhot iron, heated and all in flames. Then theytherub themironand the planing on the floor formed of iron burning heated and not bythat onesingle flame (1). Theythus remove from theirbody one sixth, one eighth ora quarter, theplan eitherinlong, or in a circle, that is from the top or from the bottom, either slowly or very gently. These are, O Religious, the pains of Hell." There are beings, O Religious, who are reborn in the Underworld. TheguardiansHell, after the have seized and have expanded on theground formed of ironburning, heated and no . with only one flame, their inflict the tormentconsisting to be chained in five places. These unfortunates walk with their hands on two bars of iron; they walk of two feet on a bar of the same metal; they walk with a rod of iron in throughfrom the heart. Becausethe Underworld, O Religious, are filledof suffering, and these are the five sup-'accomplices that there are inflicted. Put these tortures inpractical, he saidin Tchanda girika ;and this one began toinflictto criminals these various kinds oftorments and others similar. € It is had then in the city of Cravasti a merchant who, accompanied by hiswoman, crossed the great ocean. There, on the sea, this woman, who was pregnant,(1) Here I am my manuscripts; but it is probable that this paragraph is only the repetition of the previous one, and that it should be said: "With a hoe, etc." "

Page 110

328INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY gave birth to a boy to whomthe name of Samudra waved (the ocean). Finally at the end of twelve years the merchant returned from the great sea; but hewaskidnapped by five hundred brigands and killed. So Samudra the son from the merchantentered into religious life under the law of the Bhagavat. In traversing the countrycollecting of alms, hemanaged to Pāt. aliputra. Having dressed to raise the day ittookhis vessel and his [coat, and went into the cityto collect the alms. There heen tered

without the knowledge, in the beautiful residential [the executioner]. In seeing this house whose entryalone was beautiful, but who insidewasscaryand like the dwellings of Hell, hewantedin exit; but hewas seized by Tchanda girika who had seen him, and who said to him: Hebe that youdie here. [The Religious recognized well that hewas at the end to submit. Thenpenetrated with pain, heis startedsob. The executioner tells himthen: Whyare you cryingso like a child? the Religious replied: Inot cry indeednot the loss of my body; I only cry the interruption duties of hi, that's going to take place for me as well." After having obtained the status of man ifdifficult to meet, and the reli-gious who is the source of happiness;"After having had to master Sakya simha, I go back in mywoe, give up all that.< The executioner then said to him: The king granted me as a favor [theright toput to death all those who come here]; therefore be firm; heare not of salvation for you. But the Rehgieux be put to the prayer, with lamentable words, of himgrant a month; thehangman himconceded seven nights. However, thehearttroubled by the fear of the death, the Religious felt his mind concernedthis thought: In seven nights I will no longer exist. "The seventh day the king Aśoka surpriseda woman of apartments inte-laughing, who watched and kept ayoung man whom she was in love. At this sight alone, inflamed with anger, hesent the woman and the younghonunehis executioner, that the crushed in a mortar brass with drumsticks, ofso it will remained moreof their body than bones. Moved at this show, the Religious exclaimed: "Ah! that he was right to say, the great loner, this full masterofcompassion: The shape is similar to a bubble of foam; she has no solidity nor consistency. €Where is it gone this charm face? where is shethis beauty of the body? Woe to the world that appeal to the foolish!"My stay in thehome of the executioner meprocureda help which I will use myself today to cross the ocean of existence. Page 111

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .'329vsHaving applied themselves, during that whole night, to the teaching of the Buddha, and having broken all his bonds, heacquired the supreme rank of Arhat. When the day wascame, Tchanda girika said to him: Religious, the night is over; the sun comes fromto appear; here is the moment of yourtorture. Yes, he said the Religious; sheis finished the night put an end to me in a very long life; heis uptheSunwhich marks for me themoment of the supreme favor; dosoas you like. I do n't understand you, resumed Tchanda girika; explain yourlyrics. Then the Religious answered him [with these stanzas]: "The dreaded night of error is dissipated in my soul, that nightthat the five veils thicken and that haunt thepain similar to therobbers."The sunof the science issurvey; My heart is happy in the sky, including the splendor leaves mesee thethreeworlds such that they are Actually.\* The moment of the supreme favor is for I imitation of the conductof the Master; this body has lived for a long time; doing so as you wish. < At this moment the executionerruthless, with a hard heart, who did not holdaccount of the other life, seizes the Religious and thethrew, fullof fury, in acauldron of iron filled water meleeblood from fat, urine and feceshumans. Then under the cauldron he lit a grandmother. But though he consumed a considerable mass of wood, the Religious did not experienceno pain. the executioner wanted to rekindle the fire, and the fire does not burn. While helooking for-Chait 's case, he saw the Religious sitting with legs crossed on a lotus, and aus-as soon as hehastened to warn the king of this miracle. When the king had comewith a series of various thousands of people, the Religious, seeing that the time of theconvert wascome, is set to deploy its power supernatural. From the middle of the iron cauldronwhere hebathed in water, hesprang into the air, similar to aswan, at the sight of

thecrowd that it looked; and there he was put toproduce various miraculous appearances; that's what expressesthis stanza: vsFrom the half of his body came out of the water to the other half rushed the fire; producing in turn from the rain and the flames, heshinesin thesky, like a Mountain from the top of which springs would escape from the medium of burning plants. vsAt the sight of Religious suspended in the air, the king, on the face whichwas depicted astonishment, said, in the watching, the hands clasped and with a extreme eagerness: "Your form, friend, is that of a man; but your power is superhuman.

### Page 112

880INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYI can not, lord, me make a idea of your kind; what name to give you, toyou whose essence is perfect?tTell me therefore in this moment that you are, that I may know thymajesty, and that the knowing, as I honor my strength and as adisciplethe greatness of your qualities and of your merits."At this moment the Religious recognized that the king should receive with favorteaching, that he was intended to spread the law of Bhagavat, andthat he owedto thus do the good of a great number of beings; and then hesaid to him, in him de-loppant its qualities: "I am,O king, a sonof the Buddha, of this beingfull ofmercy, that is freed of the bonds of all the dirt, and that is the most eloquent of men; I observe herLaw, and have no attachment to any kind of existence.ftTamed by theHeroes of themen who has tamed himself,calmed by this sage who is himself managed to fill in thepeace of mind, I havesummerfreed from the bonds of existence by one who is delivered from the great terrors of the world." And you, 6 great king, your coming has been foretold by the Blessed One, when hehas said: A hundred years after that I will beentered in the full Nirvana, heywill have in thecity of Pataliputtra a king named Açôka, sovereign king of the four parts of earth king just who will the distribution of my relics, and that étabhra four-twenty fourmilleédits of theLaw(1). However, oh king, you didto buildthis remains similar to Hell, where the thousands of creatures are made to death. He should that you give topeople [in thedestroying a pledge of security, and that you satisfasses the desire of Bhagavat. Then he uttered this stanza: "Give therefore, O king of men, the security to beings who crave your com-passion; so please the desire of the Master, and multiplies the edicts which recommended the Act.<Then the king, who felt for the benevolence to Bhagavat, bringing(1) The text uses the compound Dharma rddjikd that does me seems likely that these twomeaning: "monument of the Law" or c edict of the Law. " Following the first interpretation, it would be ssue here of stupas, which the tradition attributes the establishment at King Aśoka; and this interpretation tation would be confirmed by the expression of Dharma dharà, t containing the Law, "which appears in the next stanza. So Rûdjikd, venantde Rädj (shine), would hint at the brilliance that gavethe Stupas the coating stucco that the covering. Next the second explanation, râdjikd signifi-would trust " order, edict, royal command," and with dharma, "royal edict touching the Law; » Thisterm would be a different name of famous Dharma lipi, engraved on columns, or of the inscriptiontions moral ifluckily deciphered by Prinsep. 11 there was in a of these monuments, the Lath of Delhi, a word still obscure, at least to me, who may well have to analogywith therâdjikâ of our text; this is theterm which is sometimes written ladjakâ, and sometimes radiakâ. {Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t. VI, p. 578, 1. 2 and 4, and p. 585, note 1.) Prinsep attranslated this termby devotees or followers, in the deriving of the

Sanskrit randj; and hehas noticed that ifthe firstvowel would have been long, it would have made a " meeting of princes or of kings. » Prinsep must have Page 113

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .331his hands insignof respect, spoke thus to appease the Religious :Forgiven-I, O son of the Sage who possesses the ten forces, forgive me this badaction. I myself accuse today before you, and I seek a refuge from of Buddha the Richi, to the First Assemblies, to the Lawproclaimed by the Arya."And I take this determination: Today imbued with respect for the Buddha, and fullof the kindness that Ifeel for him, I will beautify the earthin the covering of Châityas of the leader of the Djinas, who will shine like the wing of theswan, like the conch and like the moon. fHowever the religious went to the house of the executioner, to help in hispowersupernatural. The kingsealso putin duty to beto withdraw; cornTchanda girika himsaidthe hands clasped :king, you granted me this favor, one man one time entered herecan no longer get out. Now what !resumed Açôka, you would therefore also me put to death? Yes, replied the executioner. And whatis, said the king, that of us twowhich is entered by the first? Me, said Tchandagirika. Hey !someone, cried the king ;and immediately Tchanda girika was seizedthroughthe executors, which the cast in the room of torture, and that 's itdidperishin the fire. The prison called the Pleasant was demolished, and security was restoredtopeople.tThen the king, wanting to make the distribution of the relics of the Blessed One, isplaced at the head of an army formed of four bodies of troops; and having opened the monument named the Stûpaof the vase, which had been built by Adjâtaçatru, hegot intopossession of relics (1). So hedistributed these relics in placefrom where hethe had learned; and above each of the portions that hein fact, heraised a Stûpa. He had to even the secondStupa, and thus of following up Sep-correct as to the spelling; and it would have been even observe that the line 12 of the same inscriptiontion this word is written lâdjakâ, undoubtedly for râdjalcâ, in this sentence :hevam marna iâdjakâkatâ, "This is how my royal order is accomplished . " I say royal order by conjecture, perhapsis this "duty of the King "that must be said. But first, as râdjakâ appears to be a derivative ofràdjan, it is necessary that the idea of king appear there; then, the content of the inscription, where this wordcomes back several times, seems to announce a command. One would almost expect to see this word defined in this monument, because the text says Tcimti lâdjukâ. "What that this command" Royal? " Unfortunately what follows is not a definition, but a result of injunctionstions of a caractèretout morale, which is no help for the determination specifies the direction of râdjakâ. In short, I prefer to translate the rûdjikâ of the text of the legend by "royal edict"; and this interpretation can be reconciled with the first, if we admit that the king ordered that we should rise to or at the occasion of each Stupa a column carrying an edict Royal touching theprinciples fundamental to the Buddhist Law. (See the additions, at the end of the volume.)(1) The Stupa of which it is spoken in this place is the one that theking Adjâtaçatru fitbuild atRājagr.ha, above the portion of relics which he was put in possession, when the sharesof the bones of Çâkyamuni Buddha. (Csoma, Asiat. Res., T. XX, p. 316.) Only the expression which isserves here the text obliges me to note a difference between the data of our legend and those

Page 114

332INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYtth, from where hetook the relics to the distribution in the [new] Stupas.Hethen moved toRâma grâma; where the Nagas it did go downtheir

palace and said to him: We will make it hereeven a cult to this Stûpa. It is Therefore the king they allowed [to the keepwithout himwas open]; and the Nâgascarried the king out of their palace. There area stanza which says on this occasion:€The eighth Stûpa is at Ràma grâma; at that time the full Nâgasof faith the guarding. That the king, [they said,] not drawnot the relics he findsclosed. Full of faith, the monarch reflects ;and are conforming to this one he de-he was callingwithdrew (1)...< The king mademake eightyfour thousand boxes of gold, money, tocrystal ef of lapis Iazuli; then hehad the relics locked up there. He then gave the Yakchas and placed in their handseighty-four thousand vases with so much of strips (2), the handing over the land all full to the shores of the Ocean, in the lower, main and middle towns, where [the fortunes ofinhabitants] amounted to a Kôti[from Suvarnas]. And hedid establishfor each of these cities, an edict of the Law. "In those days we counted in the cityby iTakchaçilâ thirty-sixKôtis[from Suvarnas]. Thecitizenssaid to the king: Give us thirty-six boxes. theking thinkshe did it could not, since the relicswere to be distributed. Here is the means he used: It must retrench, he said, thirty-five KOTIS. And headded: The cities that exceed this figure, like those whodo not reach it will not, will have nothing (3). from the Tibetan story translated by Csoma. According to the latter, the Stûpa of the vase (Drôna stupa) was raisednot by Adjàtaçatru, but by the Brahmin who, reconciling the rival claims of those whowanted to seize the relics in had donethe sharing. This story must be thetrue, because itagrees with the legend of the Southern Buddhists .(1) The text is singularly confused; even if we did not know that we must find a stanza herewhich is announced by the formula Vakchyati hi, " in effect we say, " thelegend would be unintelligentgible. H seems to use the words Vistarêna ydvat, " in detail until, " we do not havehere only an extract. I do not have no less thought necessary to translate very literally this passagewhich is probably truncated here. The general sense of what in rest fits well with the story of the visit that Açôka read to the king of the Nàgas or dragons, guardian of the eighth Stûpa, a story that wehas kept the traveler Chinese Fa hian. {Foe hoe ki, p. 227 ff} The kingdom of Lan mo duBuddhist Chinese is in effect our Rama grama, as had conjectured Klaproth, without con-birth the present legend. 11 are only in the translation of the story of Fa hian a phrasethat I do not understand, and that hedo is not found in traces in our texts; it is this one:" When the king A yu (Aśoka) came out of the century. "11 seems that these words mean: "when he isc was religious. > But if heTrue qu'Açôka are converts to Buddhism, heonly is not he haveembraced the religious life.(2) The strips which it is here questions were intended to fix the "cover the body ofvase; it 's not uncommon that in still find remnants in the stupas.(3) Here again the narrative is not perfectly intelligible also that the exaggeration of numbersit makes little probable. By assuming that the term of Koti applies to the fortune of habi-

### Page 115

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .333<However the king went to the hermitage of Kukkuta ârâma, and thus addressedat Sthavira Yaças: Here is what my desire is; Iwould like to be able to establish the same day and at the same hour the eighty-four thousand edicts of the Law. That heso be it, replied the Sthavira; I will take care, during that time, to hide the disk of the sun with my hand. The Sthavira Yasas executed in effect that he hadpromised; and the same day, at the same hour, the eighty-four thousand edicts of the Law were established. This is what this slance expresses: vsHaving removed the relics of the Richi of the seven ancient constructions, the des-Cendant of Mauryas did raise the

mêrpe dayin the world, eighty-foura thousand Slûpas, resplendent like the autumn clouds .€As the king Aśoka had established eighty-fourthousand - edits of the law,hebecame a righteous king, a king of theLaw ;also himgave are we thename ofDharmâçôka, the Açôka of the Law. It is this that said this stanza:"The respectable Mâurya, the fortunate, madeto train all these Stupas for the stage of creatures; previously hewas called on the land Tchandaçôka; thisgood work read? won the name of Dharmâçôka (1).>There there was notgood againlong as the king was favorably dis-posed for the law of the Buddha, and already, every timehe would meet sonsofHere, either in the crowd or isolated, hetouched theirfeet of his head and theadored. Hehad for minister Yaças, who was full of faith in Bhagavat; Yaçassaidto the king: Lord youdo have not you bow down well before thebeggarsof all the castes. In effect the Crâmanêras of Sakya are out of the four castesto enter into the religious life. The king did it answered nothing ;but at sometime from there, he spoke thus to all his advisers met: I want to know the value of the head of various animals; bring me so, you like head, and you likeother. Then hesaidto his minister Yacas: You bring me a human head. When all the heads were made, the king they said :Go and sell them allthese heads for a price any. All the heads were sold except thehuman head, which no one wanted. The king therefore said to his minister: If udo can have money, give it to anything to which the want ;but Yaças does not found no buyer. So the minister, ashamed of not having been able to get rid of However, we see from the previous paragraph that the principle of distribution followed by Aśokawas to give a vase of relics to each townwho had ten millions of pieces. To this account Takchaçilâ had dii receive thirty-six vases; but to get rid of this requestexaggerated, the king declares that the figure of dixmillions is of rigor, and it must reach, but not the beyond, to be entitled to a vase. (See the additions at the end of the volume.)(1) The story is interrupted here in our manuscripts by the title of Pdmçu pradâna avaddna, or" The legend of the alms of a handful of earth. "But the narrative continues regularly, and it is clear that this division is only a matter of form.m

### Page 116

334INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthis head, went to the king and told him what had happened. The heads of ows, donkeys, of rams, of gazelles, birds, he said, have been purchased by Monor by the other for money; but this human head is an objectwithoutvalue which nobody has wanted even for nothing. Then the king said to his minister: Whyso did no one want this human head? Because it is a contemptible object, replied the minister. Is it that head alone, resumed theking, who is contemptible, or else Are they all the heads human? All thehuman heads, says Yaças. Now what !said Aśoka, is it that my head is also acontemptible object? But the minister, retained by the fear, dared not tell the truth. Speak according to your conscience, said the king to him. Well! yes, replied the minister. The kinghaving in this way is to confess to his minister what he thought was expressed in these words in her addressing these stanzas: (fYes, it is as a result of a feeling of pride and intoxication, inspired by the beauty and the power that you desire me away from my bowofreligious feet." And if my head, this miserable object that no one would want for anything, meeting an opportunity to purify themselves, acquired some merit, what he hasout of hand in the order ?"You look at the caste in the Religious of Sakya, and you will see not the virtues that are hidden in them; it's Therefore, swollen with pride of theborn-sance, you forget in your error and yourself and the others. < We ask about the caste when heit is an invitation or a wedding, but no when heisof

the Law, because these are the virtues that make itfulfills the Law, and the virtues do notworry not of the caste. If the vice reached a man of high birth, this man is blamedin the world; how then are the virtues that honor man with a bassextracting only would they not a bigect of respect? It is in consideration of the spirit that the body of men is ordespised or honored. The souls of the ascetics of Çâkya must therefore be venerated, for they are purified by Çâkya. If a mannegenerated by the second birth is deprived of virtue, we says: It is a sinner, and is the mistake. We do that not even for the man born of a poor family; if he has virtues, we must honor him by prostrating oneself before him. "And the king said, Is it that you have not heard this speech of heroes com-Suffering of Çâkyas: The wise know how to find of the value to things that do have not this word of the mastertruth, that a slave would be able to understand take? And if I want to carry out these commandments, it is not a evidence of friendship on your part than to turn away from me.

Page 117

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .9SB"When my body, given up as the fragments of the cane to sugar, will sleep on the earth, hewill be unable to be given to the trouble to greet, toup, and bring the hands in a sign of respect."What virtuous action would I be then in state of exécuteravec this body? So it is not proper that I attach any priceto onebody including the termis in the cemetery; henot worth more thanhouse burned down, no more than onetreasure of lost gemsin the waters."Those who in this body doto perish, are unable toto distinguishwhich ade value, those will not recognize essentially ignore whatof the price and what does not ;these fools fall in failure at the time whenthey fall into the jaws of the monster of thedeath (i)."When we have removed from a vase what it contained in best, the milk curd, melted butter, the butter fresh, the milkor the milk acid, andthat thereremain more than of the foam, if this vase comes to breaking, hethere is no place for many iscomplain. It in is of even the body; if the good works that he give the price, in are removed, it will be not to mourn whencome toperish. Cornwhen in this world the death breeze violently the mud ofbodyofthese menproud who turn away from good works, then the fire ofgrief consumes their hearts, as when a vessel of milk is brokencurd, of which the best is thus entirely lost. < Do opposes you So no, Lord, that as Ibow to theanybody[of Religious]; as one who, without examination, to say: I am thenobler, isshrouded in the darkness of error."But the one who examines the body Torchdiscourse of the Sage who pos-seded the ten forces, this one is a sage who on ot see of difference between the body of a prince and that of a slave."The skin, the flesh, the bones, the head, liver and other organs are the same among all the men; the ornaments alone and the adornments make the superiority a body on one another. "But most in this world, that's what can be found in a bodyvile, and that the wise have the merit to acknowledge and honor."The king Asoka having well recognized that the body had less value than from shells of eggsfullof balls of sand made with the tears ser-pent, and s' is convinced that the benefits resulting from respects testified[to the Religious] prevailed over a multitude of great surviving landswith their Sumêruwhile for many kalpas, the king Aśoka, I say, wanted to(1) The text said "the Makara of the dead; » The Makara is this fabulous fish which the dolphin hasperhaps suggested the idea to the Indians. Page 118

336INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY parry to go and honor the Stupas of Bhagavat. So, surrounded by the crowd of his ministers, hewent to Kukkuta arama, and there, is taking

to the place hon-neur, he said, his hands joined together in a sign of respect : Is there a second personwho hassummer of the share of the Sage who voyaittout, the subject of aprediction similar to the one hehas done for me, when I offered him [inone anotherexistence a"handle of earth (1)? So Yaças the elder of the Assembly himanswered thus: Yes, great king, hein is a. When Bhagavat, on the point of entering into the Full Nirvana ,after converting the Nàga Apalàla (2) and the Chândàl î Gopai, wife of the potter (3) was going to Mathurâ, headdressed himself to therespect Ananda table: In this city of Mathurâ, O Ananda, a hundred years oldafter that Ibe entered in the full Nirvana, heywill have a named perfume merchantGupla.Cemarchand will have a sonnamed Upagupta (4), which will be thefirstof interpreters of the Law, and a true Buddha, minus the external signs(1) This is an allusion to the virtuous action that Açôka did, in a previous existence, aday that Śākya going close to him. Açôka wasthen a little boy named Djaya, who was playingon the highway, in the dust with one other child of his age, named Vijaya. At theseeing the perfections of the Buddha, he was touched with benevolence; and with the intention to give to theflour to the Religious, he threw a handful of soil into his vase. (Divya avad., I.228 b from myman.) The legend in which this fact is related has the title of Pâmçu pradâna, " the alms of a" Handful of earth; »This is the preamble to that of Açôka; and this is natural in the ideasBuddhist, since this legend recounts that of the ancient existences of Açôka, where he acquired the merits which were to raise later in the royalty, and in making the most glorious protector of Buddhism. It is important to bring this note closer to the beginning of the chapter where Fa hian ra-briefly tells the story of Açôka. The translation by M. A. Rémusat, corrected by Klaproth, is not sufficiently clear; she made Açôka, still a child, a contemporary of Çàkyamuni, thiswhich is an error which is further increased by a note from Klaproth. (Foe koue M, p. 293 and 295.) Allbecomes clear if one admits, as it is essential that Fa hian has wanted to say somethingsomething analogous to this:" In the time when the one who was later Açôka was a small child"contemporary of Çàkyamuni. "(2) The Nâga Apalàla was a dragon who resided in the source of the river that Fa hian namesSou pho fa sou thou, that is to say in Sanskrit Cubhavastu, and in the ancient geography Svaslus, the Sewad our cards, as well as has been seen Lassen. {Zur Geschichte der Griech. und Indoskyth.Konige, p. 135.) The legend of this Nàga, which the Chinese exactly call A po lo la, istold in great detail by Mr. Abel Rémusat. {Foe koue ki, p. 53.)(3) I have not found in our collections of legends those of the Tchâiidâlî Gôpâiî.(4) It was a general use in India in the time of Buddhism, to give to a son the namehis father, in the in distinguishing by adding the prefix upa (below), as here :Gupta the father, and Upagupta the son; Nanda and Upananda; Tichya and Upatichya. The addition of this prefix gaveto compound the sense of " one who is under Nanda, " and by extension " the little Nanda. " There are would have to do on the names own, research that will be not without interest for the his-tory of the literature Indian. Thus, Buddhist names are generally borrowed from those of constellations lunar, as Puchya, Tichya, Radha, anuradha and other; but we don't meeting no recalling the names familiar to the mythology modern, as are Krishna, Góla, Mâdhava, Râdhâ, Dêvî, Pàrvatî, Gâurî and others similar. We can say in any assu-rancid that there is, between the Buddhist proper names and those of the Purâças, the same differencethat between these and those of the Vêdas, with which the Buddhist denominations offer a Page 119

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .337laughs(1). He's the one who, a hundred, years oldafter that Ibe entered in the full Nirvanawill fulfill the role of a Buddha.\*Under his teaching many of Religious ver-RONT face to fiice status of Arhat by the destruction of all the corruption of wrong. Those Religious will fill a cave with four-finger long sticks having adepth eighteen bent on a width dedouze (2).thefirst of my Hearers, ô Ananda, among thosewho are able to inter-to preach the Law, will be the Religious Upagupta.\*Do you see there, ô Ananda, this bandof wood that is ifblue? -Yes, lord. - It is, O Ananda, the Mountain named Urumunda (3). There, when a hundred years have passed since the entry of the Tathagata in the full Nirvana, hethere will be dwelling in the woods namedNatabhatikâ(4). Of all the places made for you itsit wherewe are therelayer, and which promotes the peaceful of the contemplation, the first tomy eyesis this hermitage of Natabhatikâ.fiThe Sthavira then pronounced this stanza: The leader of the world have predicted that the glorious Upagupta, the first of the performers of the Law, would fulfill the duties of a Buddha." This perfect being, resumed the king, is heSo already born, and well is itstill atstriking analogy. This subject would provide the material for a curious monograph . I remark on ONLYLEMENT here, in passing, that the name of Tichya, which is so common in our legends of the North, is the Sanskrit original of the Pali name of Tissa, which is no less familiar to Singha Buddhistsleave.(1) We will see not clear in the text it should read alakchanakô BuddhaJi, or lahchanaîcô. The careful reading of the Upagupta legend allows me to believe that the real lesson is a lakehanaka. the textmeans that Upagupta will be a Buddha, minus the Lakcliavâni, or thethirty-two signs of the physical beauty.(2) The passage between two stars is borrowed from the legend of Upagupta, which is of avery great help for the intelligence of that of Açôka. {Divya avad., F. 173 b.) But in this placeeven the text is so altered, that without the clarifications in which the legend enters elsewhere, it would be to just about impossible to not understand. Here is the summary of the clarifications inquestion. When Upagupta had acquired the profound science which made him the first of the interpreters of the Act, it is put to preach constantly to the multitude, and converts up to eighteen milespeople, who by dint of application reached the rank of Arhat. " Now it is had in the mountainsof Urumuntla a cave eighteen cubits deep, and twelve cubits wide. The Sthavira Upaguptasaid to those of his listeners who had fulfilled their duties: The one who, as a result of my teachinggnement, will be managed to see face to face the state of Arhat by anéan'issement of all the corresponding ruptures of evil, will have to throw into this cave a wand of four fingers; and it happened that single day ten thousand Arhats threw everyone a wand in thecave. " {Divya avad.,f.181 a). It is this fact that will relate the phrase on which door the present note; but hewas dilfleile to be an idea of the direction to theway in which our two inanuscripts give thispassage. Besides they suppress theword guhûm (cave), theyread ramkâbliih in place ofçalâkdbhih, and pûdjayichyanti in place of pûrayichyanti.(3) This mountain is sometimes called Urumunda, and sometimes Rurumnnda; the first ortho-graph is the most ordinary.(4) This hermitage drew its name from one of the two brothers Nata and Bhata, which had been con-truce. {Divya avad., F.173 b.)

Page 120

338INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY to be born? The Sthavira replied: He was born, this magnanimous sage who triumphed of the corruption; he lives on the Mount Urumunda, surrounded by a crowd of Arhatsout of compassion for the world. And headded: fThis

wise perfect, which is like the game He who knows all, exposes the Actpure in the crowd of his disciples, led by thousands in the city of the issuethe Gods, the chiefs of the Asuras, the Uragas and men."But in this time Upagupta surrounded by ten-huitmille Arhats resided in the hermitage named Natabhatikâ. The king having been informed, summoned the crowdof his ministers and their words: Whether you team an elephant body of tanks and of horsemen; i want me to make quickly to the mountain Urumunda. Iwant to see from myeyes the wise namedUpagupta, who is freed from all thesoiling. But the ministers replied: Lord, we must send a mes-wise; the wise man who lives in this place will certainly come himselfwith the King. It 's not him, took Aśoka, coming in front of me, but good to meto transport me to meet him. And headded: He is, I think, made of diamonds, the body of Upagupta that resembles the Master, this body that equal, ifdon't sur-do not pass the rock[in hardness]; one suchman would push back the order that hewould address. So the king did not send a messenger to the Sthavira. Upagupta, andhesays: I will go to see the Sthavira myself. tHowever Upagupta made the following reflection: If the king comes here heas a resulttera 's wrong for a large crowd of people and for the country. This is why heis said: It is I who will go to see the king. In consequence Aśoka, thinking that the Stha-vira Upagupta would come by water, fitset of boats in allthe space thatseparates Mathûrâ fromPâtaliputtra. So Upagupta, to show his benevolencethe king Aśoka, having embarked with his result of ten-huitmille Arhats, arrived inthecityofPâtaliputtra. In thismoment thepeopleof the kingcamehiminannounce thenews. Lord, happiness to you !Upagupta, this master of histhoughts, this pilot ofteaching advancesTofoot, to show you his favor, followed bysages who have reached the shore of the ocean of existence. At these words Açokatransported to joy took from his neck a necklace of pearls worth one hundred thousand [Suvarnas], and in made donation to one that he had brought the happy news; then by calling one who sounded the bell, hehimsays: Let us ring the bellbell in Pâtaliputtra, to announce the arrival of the Sthavira Upagupta, andthat we shout: "The onewho, renouncing apoverty which has neither price nor value, desires in his world a flourishing and happy felicity, may he come and see Upagupta, this sagecompassionate, which is [for all thebeings] the cause of the issue and the sky. < That those who have not seen the first of men, the full Master of Page 121

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .339mercy, being existing by him even, come see the Sthavira Upagupla, thisnoble torch of the three worlds, so like the Master.V When the king had been spread to its bell this new in Pâta-liputtra, and hehad done adorn the city, hein went up to the distance of two Yôdjanas and a half, and advanced in front of the Sthavira Upagupta, accompaniedofnoise of all kinds of instruments, through the perfumes and the garlands offlowers, and monitoring of all its ministers and to all the inhabitants. The king saw from afar the Sthavira Upagupta in the midst of his eighteen thousand Arhats, who surrounded himas the two ends of the crescent of the moon; and henot the was not quiteoverview, that descendant of the elephant, it is returned topiedvers the bank of the river; there fixing one of his feet on the shore, heplaced the other on the edge of the boat, and taking in his arms the Sthavira Upagupta, heit carried out the Earth. When hehad deposited it on the ground, he fell to his full height at the feet of the Stha-swung, like a tree that we could cut the root, and it kissed them to him . then if falling and asking to land the two knees, he brings his hands in signofrespect, and looking at the Sthavira, he spoke to him thus: "When, after having triumphed in the crowd of my enemies, I have seen

united undermy unique power the earth with its mountains to the shoresfrom the oceanwho surrounds him, I havenot as much pleasure as seeing the Sthavira."Your eyesight doubles the provisions favorable that i have for this excel-slow act; your purifying sight makes it appear today tomy eyes, whatever it is absent, the incomparable being whohas been entirely himself. Now that the compassionate leader of Diinas is entered in the rest, fulfill for the three worlds the office of a Buddha; make, like the sun, shine the light of thescience about the universe destroyed, and that the illusion of world cloud the sight."You who are like the Master, you the unique eye of the universe and the firstinterpreters [of the Law], be my refuge, lord, and give me your orders; II will immediately hasten, accomplished sage, to obey your voice."While the Sthavira Upagupta, stroking of his hand right the head of the king, he spokethus: Remplis'avec attention to the duties beyondroyal dignity; it 's somethingdifficult to get that the three precious items; honor them constantly, lord. < great king, Bhagavat, the venerable Tathagata, perfectly and completely Buddha, entrusted to me and to you the deposit of the Law; make all our effortsforkeep this as the guide of things we had passed, when he was in middle of his disciples."The king resumed :Sthavira I myself am complied with the orders that Bhagavathad given for me. I have beautified the face of the earth with beautiful stupas,

#### Page 122

3i0INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY similar to som-net of mountains, decorated with umbrellas and of standardsraised, and adorned with various precious stones; and I have multiplied the vases which closing his relics. Women, children, houses, myself, as well as the pos-Session of the earth and of my treasures, heis nothing that I have not given up underteaching of the King of the Law. Nothing, good, replied the Slhavira Upagupta; you havewell done, great king, to execute theorders of the Ruddha. Whoever uses hisbodythe advantage of this which is really essential, and that sesert of objects mat-riels to support his life, will not lamentnot when his time is right, and hewill go to the desired abode of the Gods.<rThe king having then introduced with great pomp the Slhavira Upagupta in his de-die royal, it took between his arms, and was sitting on the seat which it was intended." The bodyof Slhavira Upagupta waspolishedand perfectly soft, also softa flake of cotton. The king having noticed it, told him thehands united insign of respect :Noble creature, Tes members are sweet like the cotton, soft as the silk of Rénàrès; but me, to be unfortunate, my limbs are rough, and my body is rough to the touch. The Slhavira replied: It is because I havemade to be withoutlike a precious gift, an incomparable present; Ido n't havemadein Tathàgata onDonate simple a handful of earth, and that thou hast doneonce. Slhavira, said the king, it is because that I waschild that once, having met acharacter withoutequal, Ihave himgave a handful of soil, action of whichtoday I collect the fruit. So the Slhavira wanting to return the joy in the heart of Açôka, answered him in these terms: Great king, see the excellence from the ground inwhoyou have sown this dust ;it is to her that you owe the brilliance ofthrone and the power supreme. In these months the king, opening theeyes of astonishmentlying, called his ministers and said to them: I havegot the empire of a Ralatchakra-vartin, only to be given a handful of earth: so much effortborndo you have tonot to do, lords, forto honor Rhagavat? Then falling tofeet of SlhaviraUpagupta, heexclaimed: Here is, O Slhavira, what is my desire: Iwant to honor all the places where hasstayed the blessed Ruddha; i want the mar-quer of a sign in favor of the lastposterity. And he pronounced the following stanza: "All theplaces where stayed

the Blessed Ruddha, i want to gothe honor and the mark of asign in favor of the lastposterity."Nothing, good, O great king, replied the Slhavira; it's beautiful thought that theyours. I will show youtoday the places where the blessed stayedRuddha; I the will honor the handsjoined, I will visit them, and I them shall markof asign, don't doubtnot (1).(1) These last words must undoubtedly be put in the mouth of the king; however ourmanuscripts do not indicate it so.

Page 123

Page 124

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .341<So the kinghaving equippedan army forméede four bodiesoftroops, took perfumes of flowers and garlands, and went alongof SlhaviraUpagupta. The latter began by leading the king into the garden of Lumbini; then extending the hand right, he said: It is in this place, O great king, whatnotBhagavat; and headded: "This is the firstMonument dedicated in honor of the Buddha of which theview is excellent. It was here that an instant after his birth, the loner made sevennoton the ground (1). "Having walked his gaze on the four issues from the horizon, hepronouncedthese words: This is my last existence; this is the last time I live in a human matrix (2)."At this moment Açôka fell from all his height at the feetof the Religious; then getting up, heputs his hands together in sign of respect, and saiding rying: They are happy, and they have performed virtuous deeds, those who haveseen thegreat lonerat the time when hewas born, and whoheard herpleasant voice. So the Slhavira wanting to increase the joy of Aśoka, he spokethus: Great king, would you like to see the deity that was present to the birthofmore eloquent of men, and who has itheard about it, whencame into the worldin this wood andthat he took three steps? - Yes, Sthavira, I would like to the see. Right awaythe Sthavira, directing the hand side of the tree which the Queen Mahamaya hada branch, spoke thus: That the divinity that resides herein this Açôka tree, this girlof the Gods who saw the perfect Buddha, is shown herein person, to increase the feelings of benevolence [to the Act] (3)in the heart(1) The wood of Lumbini is famous in all the legends relating to the life of Çàkya; seein particular the chapter of Lalita vistara devoted to the story of thebirth of the young Sidhârlha. {Lalita dstara, f.45 sqq. to my man.) This garden is located close to Kapilavaslu. Fa hian in atalked about in his trip. {Foe kove ki, p.199, and the drowning of Klaprolh, p. 219.) The Chinese traveleralso relates the story of the seven steps that the miraculous child took on the ground. {Foe koue ki, ib.Klaprolh, ib., P.220.)(2)As for the words that spoke the young prince at the time of his birth, see the le-Legend of Śākya translated from Chinese by Klaproth. (Foe koue ki,p.220 and 223.) The versions various of these words that relate the numerous authorities cited by the scientist backall, more or less exactly for the meaning, in the passage from Lalita vistara relating to this event.ment, that I citéailleurs it there is some time. {Journal des Savants, year 1837, p. 353 and 354. Lalita vistara, p. 49 b of my man.) This does should not surprise, since the tradition of various people who have adopted the Buddhism rests ultimately on the Indian authorities. But what heis more useful to notice is that the words that the legend of the North puts in the mouth of theyoung Śākya are the same as those who SOHT reported by the legends of the South. I don't have anynot the faded text, but I judge from the translation, probably very exact, that Mr Turnour has given a fragment considerable commentary composed by Buddha ghosaon the Buddha vamsa. {Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Ben gay, X.Vil, p. 801.)(3) I continue to translate prosado by benevolence; but it could very well the replacement

342INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY of King Açôka. And at the moment the Divinity appeared under his own form with the Sthavira Upagupta, and holding her hands together, she said to him: Sthavira, that medo you order? While the Sthavira are turning to Aśoka: The now, O great king, the Divinity who saw Rhagavat at the time of his birth. Reuniting immediatelythehands in signof respect, the king addressed himself thus to this Divinity: You got ittherefore saw the moment of his birth, this wise that the body was marked with signs of the beauty, and with the big eyes looked at Lotus !So you haveheard the first words of the hero of the men, the agréa- wordswoundshe will pronouncein this wood! Yes, replied the Godhead, I have seen the momentwhere hecame to be born, the first of men, which the body was brilliant asgold; I 've seen time whence ittook seven steps, and I heard the words of the Master. Tell me then, O Divinity, resumed the king, what was the splendor of Rhagavat in he moment when hecame into the world. I will then, said the Goddess, express by thewords ;but judges in by a single word :tShining with a miraculous light, resplendent like gold, pleasantofeyes, the earth, in this system of three worlds where Indra reigns, trembledas well as its mountains, to the shores of the ocean, similar to a shipworn on the great sea. tThe king, after having given one hundred miles [Suvarnas] people of the country, did raisein this place aStupa and is retired."The Sthavira Upagupta who then led the kingin Kapilavastu, himsaidin extending the hand right: It is in this place, O great king, that the Rôdhisattva hasbeen presented to King Çuddhôdana [his father]. At the sight of this body that appearthe thirty-two characteristic signs of a great man, and of which his looksborncould be off, Çuddhôdana fell to toutesa height tofeetofRôdhisattva. Behold, O great king, the Deity of the family, called Çâkyavardha(the one who doesto prosper the Çâkyas) ;it was to her that the Rôdhisattva was presentedimmediately afterhis birth, so that he would adore the God. But these were theDeitieswhoallsethrewat the feetof Rôdhisattva. Also theKingQuddhôdana he cried: This child is a God for the Divinities themselves; of their happened that he gave thename of Devâtidêva (GodsuperiorofGods) (4)."It is here, O great king, that the Rôdhisattva was presentedto the clear Rrâhmanshere by grace, and it has no trouble that the Tibetans to have taken, in such passages, for a synonym of faith.(1) This legend is again the summary summary of the corresponding chapter of Lalita vistara. (Lalita vistara, ch. Viii, f.67 sqq. from my man.) Hemust also see thestory of thebirth of Çâkya, translated from Chinese by Klaproth. {Foe koue ki, p. 221. ) Page 125

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .343seers who predict the future. It is here that the Rïchi Asita declared that the childwould be aday a Buddha in the world. Here, O great king, the child has been entrusted toMahâ Pradjâpatî, his nurse. There we himtaught [to write; here to ride aelephant and a horse(1),to lead a chariot, to wield the bow, arrow, club, the sting topracticein a word the other exercises suitable ASA birth. Here is the room where the Bodhisattva was practiced. It is in this place that surrounded by a hundredthousand deities, the bodhisattva is Uvrait the fun with its sixty thousand women. It is herethat disgusted of the world by the sight of an old man, a sick andof adead, the Bôdhisattva[lefthis remains] to be removed in the forest(2). It is Here he sat in the shade of a Djambu, and that is detached from the terms of thesin and of the misery itsucceeded, by the reflection and the judgment to first degree of Dhyâna (contemplation), which is the result of the separate view, which gives the satisfaction and the happiness, and wholooks like the statefree from any imperfection. It was then that a little after noon, the

moment when we take themeal, we saw the shadow of the other trees to project [in thesense ordinary], selead, bowtowards the east (3), while that the shadow of the tree Djambu not a-not bandage thebody of the Bôdhisattya. At this sight the king Cuddhôdana fella second time from its full height to thefeet of the Bodhisattva. It is by thisdoor that escortedof a hundred thousand Divinities, the Bôdhisattva came out of Kapilavastu atmiddle of the night. herethe Bodhisattva put his horse back andher adornments between the hands of Tchhandaka [his servant], and the dismissed. That's what that says this stance: "Tchhandaka having receivedhis ornaments and his horse, was dismissed by him; thehero alone and without a servant in the forest where hewas going to mortify himself."It is here that the Bodhisattva, exchanging with a hunter 's clothes fromsilk of Benares against yellow clothes, embraced the life of men-goddamn. Here hewas received in the hermitage of the Bhârgavides. In thisplace the King Bimbisâra invited the Bôdhisattva to share the kingship with him. It's herehe REN-contra Ârâda (4) and Udraka, as well as expresses this stance :(1) The text serves expressions features and everything Indian, "to mount on the neck" of an elephant and on the back of a horse. "(2) This part of the legend of Sakya is long known and well known law, because it expresses the ideas of compassion and of charity that pass for the first patterns of the mission that Çâkya has given himself. It 's located amply developed in the Foe koue kip. 204 sqq.(3)The text is here an expression that I've seen that in this style :prâtchîna prâg-bhâra. According to Wilson, prâgbhâra not mean that "the summit of a mountain. "By bringing of this meaning the compound of our text, one could suppose that the adjective prâgbhâra means "this" of which the weight is at the top. "(4) This Brahmin has already been named above, sect. II, p. 137, note 1.I think I recognize the Page 1

344INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY"In this hermitage lived the Richis Udraka and Ârâda; the Rôdhisatlya, this Indra among the men, this protector is made familiar with the practice of their doctrine. €Here the bodhisattva is subjected to a rudepénitence of six years. This is whatwhat does this stanza say: The great loner, after having indulged in a rudepenancefor six years, reconimt that this was not the true path, and abandoned this practice. "It is in this place that Nanda and Nandabala, the girls of the villager, presentèrent the bodhisattva an offering of honey and of milk, which is multiplied [miraculeusement] in ten offerings, and which it is fed (1). We quote on this occasionthe following stanza: €Having eaten in this place the offVande of milk and of honey that he had pre-sented Nanda, the great hero, the most eloquent of men went to sit at the om-bre of the Bôdhi tree. "It is here that Kàlika the king of the Nâgas came to find the Bôdhisattva who wassitting downcloseof the tree Bodhi, and is set to sing his louanges. Aussi say: themost eloquent of men was praised by Kàlika the king of serpents, after that, name of the first of these anchorites, Arârja, in the Chinese transcription A lan, such as the gives Klaproth, after a legend from the life of Çâkyamuni. {Foe koue M, p. 281.) I conjec-ture same as Klaproth, or the text qu'ilsuit, commits a mistake in making Kia lan one anotherBrahmin different from the first. {Ibid.) If,in fact, it brings the name Sanskrit Brahmanin question, Ârâda Kâlâma, the double Chinese name A lan Kia lan, we will naturally be inclined tobelieve that the four monosyllables Chinese are the very transcription little altered from two Iris-Sanskrit syllables. A passage from the legend of Çâkyamuni, as it was written by Buddhauhosa and translated from the Pali by Mr. Tumor seems to say qu'Ârâda resided in the Magadha, notfar from Râdjagriha. {Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.SEEN, p. 810.) But the Lalita vislara assertspositively that the Brahmin Arâda lived in the big city of Vâiçàlî. {Lalita vistava, f. 125 bof my man.) This fact is confirmed by a passage from Parinibbana sulta pali, of which M. Turnour hasgave an excellent analysis. It is, in fact, beyond Vàicâlî, after thelast visit of Çàkya in this city, that a discussion took place between a Malla and a disciple of Âlàra Kâlâma(as the Sinhala the call), on the merits relating to Śākya and Alara. {Journ. Asian girl.Soc. ofBengal, t.Vil, p. 1004.) It seems natural to conclude from this last circumstance that the residence Arada was not far from Vaisall. Quaût to Rudraka, sonde Ràma, it is good to Rajagriha that Sakyamuni was his encounter, as well as I have said above (sect. II,p. 137, note 1); the Lalitavistara affirmepositivement this fact. (Lalita vistara, f.128 bof my man.) I don't knowwhich of the two authorities hemust prefer, the Lalitavistara who called it BrahmanRudraka Rdmaputtra, or of the present legend, that the names Udraka. That it there was for certain, is that this last spelling is confirmed by the Pali commentary of Buddha ghosa, whichquotes this same Brahmin under the name of Uddakaramo. {Journ. Asian girl. Soc. ofBengal, t. VII, p. 810.) You should probably readUddaka Râma. As for the factssummarized in this passage, since themoment where Sakya sat under a tree Djambu, see theoft- cited legend from the life of Cakya. {Foe koue ki, p. 231 ff., And p. 281 ff)(1)See this part of the legend of Çàkya recounted in detail in the Foe koue ki, p. 283 and 281. Conf. Aùat. Res., T.XX, p. 165. Page 2

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .345longing for immortality(1), itwas entered in the way that it leads, on the throneof the Bodhi (2). By this time the king was prostrating offeet of the Sthavira, spoke to him thusthe hands together respectfully: If I could see this king of the Nagas whoconTemplé the Tatliâgata when possessing the force of the king of elephants furioushewalked this way !And immediately the king of the Nâgas, Kâlika, appearing with of the Sthavira Upagupta, said to him, his hands joined together with respect: Sthavira, what are you ordering from me? Then the Sthavira said to the king: Here, O great king, Kâlikathe king of the Nâgas, whosang the praises of Bhagayat, when, sitting withof the Bôdhi tree, itwas advancing in the path of salvation. Immediately the king, his handsreunited with respect, spoke thus to Kâlika the king of the Nâgas: You got itso seenone of which the equaled complexion radiance of gold melted, thou hastsaw my master misunderstoodparable, including the face looks to a moon of autumn !Show me some of thequalities of the Sageto the ten forces; tell mewhat then was the splendor of Sugata. I did then, he said the Dragon, express by desparoles; cornjudge by a single word: Touched by the plantfrom his feet the earth with its mountains shooksix different ways ;illuminated by the light of Sugata, who was getting up seemed-ble to the moon above the world of men, she appeared beautiful and more resplendent.dissante than the raysfrom the sun. After this interview, the Kingfitdraw up a Tchâitya in this place and be retired."Then the Sthavira Upagupta having led theking by the Bôdhi tree, said to him, stretching out his hand: It is here, O great king, that the Bôdhisatlva, endowed withthegreat charity, after having defeated all theforces of Mâra, succeeded in(1) There is a here still a form incorrectly, amrïtârthinah for amritârthî. See the legend ofthis dragon who was blind, in the Foe koue there, p. 285.(2)So that I translate the BôdhimamJa compound term while in fact own in Sanskritbuddhist. Interpreted literally and according to the rules of classical style, it should mean" The essence of the Bodhi or of intelligence; "and that is that I 've heard for a long time, and inespecially for that I read the Sutras

developed, such as the Lotus of thegood Law, wherenothing enlightens the reader on the special meaning of this term; but I haveacquired since the convictionthat means, especially in the old legends, thethrone or miraculous seat , which passes for having risen from the earth under the shade of the Bôdhi tree , when Çâkya had fulfilled the duties whichgave him the right to a liter of Buddha. We find on this subject in the Foe koue ki a note of Klaproth not leave any doubt about the application special all of this term. {Foe koue ki,p. 286, note, col. 1.) It should only be added that "the platform of the Bôdhi tree , "like the Chi-nois call him, is the Bôdhimanda of our legends, which I did not know before having seen severaltimes this term, either in the Avadànas, or in the Lalita vistara, and do not forget that this nameapplies even by extension to the city of Gaya, where is found the tree Bodhi, in whose shadowappeared the platform or the throne in question. This is what Csoma teaches us in his analysis of the Çâkya's life . {Asian girl.Res.,t.XX, p.292 and / i23.)This throne was still called Vadjrâsana,< The seat Diamond "{Ibid., P. 75 and 292); but this name is less common than the other. Page 3

346INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY state of perfectly accomplished Buddha (1). This is expressed by the stanzanext :vsIt is there, near the Bôdhi tree, that the hero of the lonersdissipated in a bitmomentsthe army of Namutchi humiliated; it is there that this be incomparable obtained the noble, the Supreme and the immortal state of Buddha." As a result the king gave one hundred miles [Suvarnas] for the tree Bodhi, and gave rise in this place a Tchâitya; after which heis retired."Then the Sthavira Upagupta said to King Açôka: This is where the big fourkings of Heaven ofler to Bhagavat four vases made ofrock, and that in choosing one (2). In this place hereceived the alms of a meal from the hands of the twochands Trapucha and Bhallika (3). Here Bhagavat, on the vergeto be reachBénâres, was praised by a certain Upagana (4). Finally the Sthavira having ledtheking in place named Richipatana, itsaid extending the hand right :Here,O great king, Bhagavat madeturn thelegal wheel of the Lawwho in three towers are present in twelve different ways. And hepronounced this stance:(1) There is always a question in the legends of Çâkya's stay with the Bôdhi tree ,under which he obtained the dignity of Buddha; this tree was in Gayâ. The details of the stay of Cakya in this country are amply explained and developed by the notes relating to chapter xxxi.the Foe koue Mr. (See p. 275 et seq., p. 285 and 290.) Fa hian lives of stupas high in all the in-rights to some near that means our legend. I must add here that by speaking above of rori-gine the name of Bodhi gave the fig tree of India, I forgot to say, in favor of my opinion, thateach Buddha had, according to the popular mythology, its particular Bôdhi, which was notalways! e ficus religiosa. Thus the Bôdhi tree of the first Buddha of the present time was aCirîcha, that is to say an acacia sirîsa. {Asian girl. Res., T. XVI, p. 453. Foe koue ki, p. 193. / owrn. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t. VII, p. 793. Mahâvanso, p. 90, ed. in-i ".) That of the second was a Udumbara, that is, a ficus glomerata. {Asian girl. Res., T.XVI, p. 454. Foe koue ki, p. 195. Journ. Asiat.Soc.of Bengal, t. VII, p. 794 and 795. Mahâvanso, p. 92.) That of the third was a Nyagrôdha, that isie an Indica ficus . {Foe koue ki,p. 189. Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.VII, p.796.) That proves that the name of Bôdhi is a generic term designating the tree under which a Buddhamust obtain the consecration of his task gorgeous, and not not the name clean and popular of thiskind of fig tree. It is there that that I wanted to establish above, sect. He,p. 68, note 3, and this already indicated the analogy single word Buddha and Bodhi.(2)See on this legend a rating of Klaproth, in the

Foe koue ki, p. 291. Çàkya preferredthe vessel the more simplede all those whom the gods offered him. This legend, which is part of one that will follow, is told in the Lalita Vistara, fol. 197 b and 198 a from my man. (3) This legend is still reported in the previously cited note by Klaproth, according to Sources Sinhalese, and in part from the Chinese Hsuan thsang. {Foe koue ki, p. 291. }Cornin the way that Klaproth has borrowed to Upham. {The sacred and histor. Bouks of Ceylon, t. III, p. 110 ff), the words are singularly disfigured. These two merchants are those sameof which it is spoken in the inscription of the famous bell of Rangoon, and to which I alluded above, p. 318, footnote 1. The legend that it is here matter is the subject of a chapter (the XXIV) of Lalita vistara, fol. 196 6 of my man. (4) This fact is still told by the Lalitavistara, c. xxvi, f. 209 b of my man. It is between the throne of Bôdhimaijda and the city of Gayâ that it took place. Page 4

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .S47<In this place, the Lord, to put an end to the revolution of the world, has been turning the beautiful and excellent wheel, which is the Act itself."It was here that he made adopt the life of beggar to a thousand ascetics who hadthe hair matted; here hehas taught the Law to King Bimbisara, and that the truthshave been seen by the prince, as well as by four-vingtmille Deities, and bymany thousands of Brahmans and of masters maisondu Magadha. It is herethat Bhagavat taught the Law to Çâkra, the Indra of the Devas, and that the truthshave been seen by this God, and that by eighty thousand Devatas. There hehas donea great miracle. Here Bhagavat, after having gone to the DevasTrayastrimçasthe time of Varcha, to teach the Law to his mother, to whom hewas theday, came down [from Heaven]escorted by a crowd of Gods.tFinally the Sthavira Upagupta having led the king to the city of Kucinagarî, he said, extending the hand right: It is in this place, O great king, that Bhagavat, after having fulfilled all the duties of a Buddha, is entered completely in he realm of Nirvana, where hedoes nothing left of the accumulation of elements existence. And headded this stanza: "After having submitted to the disciplined of the Lawimperishable the world with the Devas, themen, the Asuras, the Yakchas and the Nâgas, the great Bichi, this wise gifted with intel Hgence and a vast compassion, is enteredintherest, calmfrom now onbyhedid not havemoreveryToconvert."At these words the king fainted and fell by land; we threw himof water [onthe face], and hegot up. Then when hehad resumed a little his senses, hegaveone hundred thousand[Suvarnas] for the[place of] Nirvana, and was built in this placea Tchâitya. Beingthenthrown to the knees of the Sthavira, hehimsays: Here is, O Sthavira, what is my desire: Iwant to honor the relics those of Audi-teurs of Bhagavat who have been appointed [by him] as being the first. Good, good, O great king, continued the Sthavira; this is a good thought. So the Sthavira leading the king to Diêlavana, himsaidby extending theright hand :Here, O great king, the Stûpa of Sthavira Çâriputtra ;you cannow the hour-norer. What were the merits of Cariputtra? asked the [king. He was, said the Sthavira, as a second master; he was the general of the army of the law, duringing that the Buddha in doing rotate the wheel ;it was he who was designated asthe first of those who possess the wisdom, when Bhagavat has said: The sa-gesseof the universewhole, except, however, the Tathagata, does not equalthesixteenth part of the wisdomofÇâriputtra. And Upagupta uttered thisstance: "The incomparable wheel of the good Law, has been turning the Diina, the sage Page 5

348INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYŚāriputra did turn also to his example. What man, other than the Ruddha, could in this world know and expose, not in anything omit thetreasure and crowd of qualities the son of Çâradvalî?€So the Kingsatis fied, after havinggave a hundred thousand[Suvarnas] for the Slûpaof the sonof Câradvati the Sthavira, cried thehands united insign of respect: I honor with a devotion deep the sonofÇâradvati, who is freedchi of links to rexislence, Çdont the glory illuminates the world of the hero, the pre-mier of those who have the wisdom."The Sthavira Upagupta, then showing the Stupa of Sthavira Maha Màudgaly âyana, expressed himself thus :Here, O great king,the Stûpa du grand Mâud-galyâyana ;you can honor it. What were, saidthe king, the merits of this sage? Hehas been designated by Rhagavat, said the Sthavira, as the first of those whopossessasupernatural power, because with the thumb of his right foot heshook Vâidjayanla, the palace of Çakra, the Indra of the Devas; it is he who has converti Nanda and Upananda, the two kings of nagas (1). And he uttered this stance: tIt must honor of all his power, Kolita (2) the first of the Rrâhmans, who from poucede his foot right has shaken the palace of Indra. Who could, in this world, cross the ocean ofqualities of this wise Tointelligence perfect, who tamed the rulers of the serpents, those dreadful beings andifdifficultto submit ?"The king having given a hundred thousand[Suvarnas] for the Stûpa of Mahâ Màud-galyâyana, cried hands together in sign ofrespect: I honor, by bowingthe head, the famous Màudgalyâyana, thefirst drawings endowed with apowersupernatural, which was freed from the birth to old age, thegrief and of the pain."The Sthavira Upagupta showed then the king the Stupa of Sthavira MahaKâçyapa, saying to him: Honor him. What were, resumed the king, the merits of thiswise? This magnanimous sage, O great king, has been appointed by Rhagavat as thefirst of those who have littleof desires, which are satisfied, which have triumphed overthosewho talk aboutqualities; Rhagavat has itinvited to share hisseat; covered with clothing color white, compassionate for the poor and unhappy, he has kept the deposit of the Act. And he uttered this stanza: (-1) The Chinese also say that Màudgalyâyana is that of the disciples of Cakya who hadacquired the most high-powered supernatural. (A. Rémusat, Foe koue ki, p. 32.)(2) Csoma teaches us, in his analysis of the Dul-va, that Kôlita, who wasthe other name of Màudgalyâyana, means "born in the bosom. "{Asian girl. Res., T.XX, p. 49.) Klaproth has committed aslight inaccuracy in transcribing the latter name as Aa / J / Aa; but hein awell recognized themeaning after the Tibetans, the Mongols and the Manchus. {Foe koue ki, p. 68, note a.) His mistakecomes in part from the Pentaglotte Vocabulary, which writes this name Kâlitah. (Sect. Xxi, no 3.) Page 6

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .349<This noble treasure of virtue, this religious compassion for the poor and the poor, who do will never rested, who wore the costume of the Sage whoknows everything, this masterintelligent who kept the deposit of the Law, is itaa man who could fully enumerate his qualities? It is to him that the Djina benevolent sold the half of the best seats. "So the king Açôka having given a hundred thousand [Suvarnas] for the Slûpa of Maha Kâçyapa the Sthavira, thus spoke with his hands united insign of respect: I honor the Sthavira Kâçyapa who retired to the caves of the mountains, who liked neither the battle nor the hatred it wiser full of tranquility, in who the the virtue of contentment was at its height. "The Sthavira Upagupta then showed the king the Slûpa of Vakkula (1) the Sthavira, and said unto him, Behold, O great king, the Slupa of Vakkula; honor him. Whichwere, continued the king, the merits of this sage? This

magnanimous religious repliedthe Sthavira has been désignépar Bhagavat as the first of those who con-Few obstacles arise .But the Sthavira did not add for this sage the stanzaformed of two Pâdas. The king saysthen: That we give herea Kâkani (2). Why, he asked his ministers, having secured for the other Slûpasan equal sum, are you giving here a Kâkani? Here, replied theking, what ismy thought :although this sage has with the lamp of the dissipated teaching completement the darkness that obscured the house of his heart, hen / Anot, tobecause of hisbit of desires, makes many creatures as have been the other, because hehas never met obstacles. At these words the ministers were struckof astonishment, and falling at the feetof the king, theycried: Ah! the moderation the desires of this wise noble has been useless, sincedid not meet any difficulties. tThe Sthavira Upagupta, then showing the Stûpaof Sthavira Ananda, saidto the king: Here, O king, the Stupaof the Sthavira Ânanda; honor him. What were, said Açôka, themerits of this Religious? This sage, resumed the Sthavira, was the (1) The text writes the name of this Religious Vatkula; but I do not hesitate to correct this ortho-graph, and the substitute that of Vakkula, name of one of Auditors of Sakyamuni, cityin the Lotus of your good Law (fol. 114 a of the text, p. 126 of the translation) and in the Vocabulary pen-taglotle. (Sect. Xxi, n » 17.) This name would perhaps be more regularly written of one of these twoways, Vakula or Vakkula. I did not osq the ideniifier with one of Vakkalin (for Valkalin)Brahman which it was spoken, in the legend of Purua, above, p. 238.(2) I have kept this word without the translation, because as themeaning that Wil & there he gives hislexicon has nothing to do here. It is obvious that in our text it is a question of a currency, and withoutprobably a coin of little of value. As kâka is one of the synonymous words of raktikâ, it isthat is to say of the seed of Vabrus precatorius, which expresses a weight of a value of 2 ~grainsEnglish troy, it is possible to believe that kâkani is either this weight itself, or a given measure of Kakas or of Raktikâs, it seems more likely. Page 7

350INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY servant of Rhagavat; it's him who was the first of those who hadmany heard, and who understand the word [the Master]. And headdedthis stanza: "Careful to keep the vase of the lonely fullof memory, firmness and intelligence, Ananda, this ocean of knowledge, this vase of virtues, this wise, which thesweet words were clear and who, still intelligent, was adept atbolttrerthe thought of the perfect Ruddlia, Ananda finally, winner in all the struggles, and praised by the Jina, is consistently honored by the people and by the Gods."The kinggave for his Stûpa ten millions [of Suvarnas]. Why then, said the ministers, does the king honor this Stûpa more than all the others? Here is,replied the king, what is my thought?"This wise, including the name expresses the absence of sadness, deserves to be particularly proudly honored, because he supported thepure body of the most eloquent ofmasters, the body of him who was the Law itself. If the torch of the lawwho dispels the thick darkness of sorrows shinestoday among the men, it is through the power of this son of Sugata; that's why hedeserves to be particularly honored. In the samethat to have of water from the ocean, nobody does fetchesin thenot a cow; so it is after having recognized its naturalness and itsprovided that thesovereign Master consecrated this Sthavira as thedepositdemic Sutras."The king, after having made these honors to Sthaviras Stupas, threw himselfoffeet of the Sthavira Upagupta, and said to him with joy in his heart: I havegiven goal on the condition of man that we obtain by the sacrifice of the hundred offerings (1); I extracted the essence ofbenefits passengers and vain of the power Royal; II 'm sure the other world, andI adorned this one with hundreds of Tchâityas,more brilliant than the cloud to shade white; have I so not todayaccomplished theLaw, so difficult to execute, to beincomparable? Finally theKinghaving incUne in front of Upagupta, will read himself again. When the king Asoka was thus given one hundred thousand[Suvarnas]to each ofthese places, the place of the birth, the tree Rôdhi, the place where the Ruddha hadfact turn the wheel of theLaw, the one where hewas entered in theNirvana, itcarriedmainly his favor on the Rôdhi tree, thinking that it was there that Rha-gavat had achieved the fully accomplished state of Ruddha. He sentso at thistree all thishe had tomore valuable in factof gems. Thefirst of(1) It would be perhaps more in line with the ideas Buddhist to say, e that gets not ... "Our manuscripts are in this place very incorrect.

#### Page 8

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .351 wives of King Acôka was called Tichya rakchitâ. The queen, seeing thepiety of the king was the reflection follows: The king takes pleasure with me, and How-dant he sends to the Bôdhi tree thishe has tomore précieuxen made jewels! She made Then come a woman of the caste Mâtangga, and he said: Do could you notdestroy me this Bôdhi treewho is for me a kind of rival? I the thenresumed the woman; but heI need someKârchapanas. TheMâtanggî attacked the treeof his mantras, and it tied a wire; and the tree began soon to be dry. The people of the kingcame to tell him that the treeBodhi is withered, and theypronounced this stanza:•This tree in the shade of which the Tathagata came to know the worldinteger such that he is, and to obtain omniscience, this Bôdhi tree, O king of men, start towither away." At this news the king, losing consciousness, fell to the ground, but he wassprinkled the face with of water, and it came back to him. When hehad resumed a littlehissense iteried incrying: In seeing the trunk of the king of trees, I thoughtsee Svayambhû himself; but a time the tree ofLord destroy my lifeitself will also be extinguished(i)."However Tichya rakchitâ, seeing the king troubled by the grief, said:Lord, ifTree Bodhi comes to die, Iwill fill the king with happiness. Thisit is not a woman, said theking, it is the Bôdhi tree [which can make me happy-reux, this tree underwhich Bhagavat came to the supreme state of Buddhaperfectly accomplished. Tichya rakchitâ therefore said to the Mâtanggî: Can you restorethe Bôdhi tree in its first state? I the then replied the woman, hepreservesyet abit of life. So she untied thethread[who thetightened],dug the Earthall around the trunk, and watered it in adaywith a thousand vases of milk. At the end of a few days the tree returned to its first state. The .gens the king is eagernessrent to tell him this news :Lord,happiness to you :here is the returned tree in its first state. Transported with joy, Açôka, contemplating the Bôdhi tree exclaimed: What did not do Bimbisara and the other heads of shining kingssparkle, I'll do it. I will make the most major honors in the tree Bodhi inthe washing withfrom water impregnated substances fragrant, and to the Assembléedes Aryans in rempUssant to respect the duties of hospitality during the fivemonthdu Varcha (2). Then the king having made fillWater of scent thousand vases facts(1) This attempt by the queen against the Bôdhi tree is recounted in short by Fa hian {Foe koueki, p. 294); it is, with other details, in the Mahâvama, ch. xx, p. 122. A tradition com-mune forms the basis of these various reeds.(2) The text says Pantcha vdrchika; gold as the Varcha or the season of rains, that the reli-gieux are in the custom to go to the laity, lasts four or five months, Isuppose that

Page 9

352INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYgold, silver, of lapis lazuli and of crystal, collect aconsiderable amount of foods and of drinks, and gather a mass of perfumes, garlands and offlowers tooka bath is covered clothes new, not yetworn, and adornedfor long 'fringes, is submitted to the fast that is practiced under eight conditionstions; then having taken an incense vase, itclimbed onto the platform of hispalace, and cried as if turning to the four points of the horizon: That the Śrāvakas the blessed Buddha want good to come here by caring forme !And hepronounced this stanza :"That the disciples ofSugata that market in the right way, which themeaning were calm, that these sages, winners of desires and thesin, who areworthy of respect and who are honored by the gods and by the men arrivein this place out of compassion for me. "Friends of the peace, masters of themselves, free from all attachment, that these sons beloved of Sugata, of the King of the Law, these wise men who have become Aryans, that VEnèrent the asura, the Suras and men come here out of compassion for me." May the wise men full of firmness who inhabit the pleasant town of Kâçmîrapura, that the Âryas who reside in the dark forest of Mahâvana(1), in the chariot of Rêvataka (2), come here in scrubber me.it is this purpose that is referring the word above dii text. But heis could that this term israpportât to it that Mr. Abel Remusat calls, according Fa hian, "thegreat five-year assembly "nal." {Foe koue ki, p.26). As I have p; is of details sufficiently precise on the kind andthe object of this assembly, I thought I should adopt, to translate Paîucha mrchika, the meaning thatrecalls a known usage. I do have, however, not forget to point out that this large as-Fa hian's quinquennial meeting isvery likely that which was instituted by the kingBuddhist Piyadassi, in the third of the edicts of Girnar, and which had to be of recommendationmander of new the main rules of the moral Buddhist, obedience we owe to hisfather and his mother, the liberality towards the Brahmins and Cramai.ias and other principles EGA-very human. (Prinsep, in Journ. Asiat. Soc. Of Bengal, vol. VII, p. 228, 242, 250 and 439.) Inthis edict of Girnar, as on the LATHS of Delhi, Allahabad and other provinces of the North, the Brahmins are still mentioned before the Cramai.ias; but in thefourth edict, as it is reproduct to Dhauli in the Cattak, the Cramai.iasont the steps on the Brahmins, of same as in the Sanskrit texts from the North. It is a circumstance, in my opinion, very worthy of note, and which proof of the way the most obvious anticipation of Brahmanism on the Buldhismo. Heshouldadd this fact to those I have alleged above (sect. II, p. 122 ff.) in favor of the thesis that I tried to prove. In the time of Piyadassi, that is to say two centuries after Çàkya ^ the superioritypolicy of Brahmins was still quite indisputable for a king Buddhist was forced to the name in one of his edicts before the Buddhist monks themselves. But in the bookswritten, or any at least reworked more later, at the time of the predominance of Buddhism, the compilers took the same liberty with regard to their adversaries as, according to theremarkof Prinsep had already given the editor edicts of Cattak, and soon at the śraman as precedingdèrent invariably the Brahmins.(i) This is the Mahâvana monastery, thus named from the wood where hewaslocated in the country from Udyàna. {Foe koue ki, p. 54.)(2) I have not seen the indication of this locality elsewhere. The name of Rêvata, from which derives the one Page 10

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .353<That the sons of Djina who live withof Lake Anavatapta, in themountains, near rivers, and in the valleys, that these wise men, friends of the contemplation, full of perseverance, come herewith energy of the compassion. "That the son of the most eloquent of men, who reside in the excel-slow palated ivine of

Cêrîchaka (i), that theseReligious free from sorrowand that the Nature is full of mercy, come hereout of compassion for me. €That the Full religious energy that reside in the mountain of Gandhamadana (2), to make herethroughbenevolence for me, called by myinvitation. < As soon as the king had pronounced theselyrics, three one hundred thousand religious are Trouvillecame together in his presence. But between these hundreds of thousands of Arhats, of disciples and ordinary men full of virtues, hetherein was not that would present to occupy the place of honor. Whence comes, then, said the king, that theseat of the Old are not busy? So the old Yasas, who owned the sixsupernatural knowledge, answered himin these terms: Great king, it's the these atof the Old. Is there then, ôSthavira, resumed the king, an older religiousthan you? Yes, says the Sthavira, he is in has a whohas been designated by the most eloquentwise men, as the leader of thosewhichhear the roar of the lion: it's Pindôla, thedescendant of Bharadvâdja ;and this seat, the first of all is the his immediately the King, on the body of which all the hairs were growingde Rêvataka, however, is no stranger to the Buddhist tradition. The Lalitavistara named and the Brahmin, head of hermitages that visited Sakyamuni at the beginning of his life of men-goddamn. {Lalita vistara, f. 125 b of my man.) The tradition of Southern Buddhism cites a Dreammore famous still, which directed the third council, and which was contemporary of Dharmâçôka.(Turnour, in Journ. Asiat. Soc. OfBengal, t.VII, p. 791.) The latter plays a very important role.lant in the Mahâvaihsa. {Mahâvanso, p. 16 ff, ed. in-A".) Nothing tells us which of thesetwo Rêvatas has been giving his name to that the text of this legend called "the tank re-"vataka." This expressionelle own chariot seems well mythological; she recalls the wordvimâna, which designates among the Brahmans the divine chariots, or the kinds of mobile palaces that gives the Gods, and which the clouds have probably provided the first idea.(1) I can not find anything in our legends relating to this probably fabulous palace. The Southern Buddhists speak of a place called Sirisa Mālaka, in the legend of the first buddhaof the present day. {Mahâvanso, p. 90 and 93, ed. in-40.) It was the enclosure that surrounded the treeSirisa {Cirîcha in Sanskrit}, under which the Buddha had attained to his state of perfection. {Ibid.,p. 90.) I would not dare to affirm that it is this place which our legend recalls under the name of Çêrî-chaka. This word, which would be more correctly written Çâirîchaka, can however mean "the"place of Çirîcha. "\*(2) We know that the Mount Gandhamadana is a place fabulous; heas was mentioned above, sect. II, p. 158, note 2. However, the continuation of the dialogue of Pindôla and Açôka seems to place thismountain north of Lake Anavatapta. Does he not would not have existed a of this name in the land of Gandhâra?23

Page 11

354INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYas the filaments of the flower of the Kadamba, he addressed this issue: Is thisthat there isstill in the world a Religious who has seen theBuddha? Yes, answeredthe Slhavira, heyin was a whoavu the Buddha; it is Pindôla, descendant ofBharadvâdja, and helivesAgain. Is thisthat iborncould not thesee? saidAçôka.- You will see him, O great king; here is themoment of his coming. Transdoorof joy, the king exclaimed: What an advantage that would be for me, what an advantagesuperior and incomparable, if I could see this noble creature face to face, which holds by itsname to the race of Bharadvâdja! Then the king attended thehands in signofrespect, is held upright the eyes fixed on heaven. And once the Slhavira Pindola, Jedescendant deBharadvâdja, surrounded by Sev-thousandsof Arhats, who were deployed

to his right and to his left astheendsof the crescent of themoon, fellthe top of the air, semlar to Râdjahaiîisa, and came to sit in the place of honor. At theseen from Pindôla the Bharadvâdjide, these many thousands of Religious advanced to hismeet. The kinglives Pindola which thehead waswhite, including theforehead wascovered with long eyebrows quicachaient the apple of his eye, and which fexté-laughing was that of a Pratyêka Buddha; and hardly, had he seen it, that falling toearth of all its height in feet of Pindola, like a tree that wouldcut by the root, it kissed the feet of the Rehgieux; then having stood up, and havingplaced on the ground with both knees, heputs his hands together in sign ofrespect, and look atbefore the Religious, hesaid to him, shedding tears: "When afterhaving triumphed over the crowd of my enemies, I have seen together undermy unique power the earth with its mountains, to the shores of the oceanwho surrounds him, I havenot testedmuch of pleasure in seeing the Sthavira."Your sight, that in your compassion you grant me, dotoday appears be born to myeyes the Tathâgata; your sight doubles my benevolent dispositions. < rYou 've thus seen, O Slhavira, the ruler of the three worlds, my tutor, the blessed Buddha? So the Sthavira Pindôla, the descendant of Bharadvâdja, under his brows of his two hands, replied watching Aśoka: Yes, Ihave itseenmore than once, the big and incomparable Richi, including the splendorlooked like the shine of goldburning; I 've seen adorned with thirty-two signs ofbeauty, with herface like an autumn moon, with its superior voicelike that of Brâhmâ; I 've been living in the solitude. - In what place, Olonely, and how did you see Bhagavat? The Sthavira replied: When Bha-Gavat, O great king, after having put endéroute the army of Mara, went away to the first time, to spend the time of the rainy season in 'Ràdjagriha, with fivehundred Arhats, I was at that time in this city. It is there that I have seen perfectlyment that being worthy of respect. And hepronounced this stanza: Page 12

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .355"When, surrounded by religious exempt like himofpassions, the greatsolitary, the Tathagata, is surrendered to Rajagr.ha to spendthetime of Varcha; < I was in this time in this city, and I found myself in the presence of the perfect Buddha; solscrewthe Lonely like yourself you see metoday." And moreover, O great king, when in Crâvastî Bhagavat wanting to conquer the Tîrthyas, operagreat miracle in making appear this crown of Buddhas that stoodup to the skyof the Akanichlhas, Ithen found mein this city, and there I live these games of the Buddha. Then hepronounced this stanza: <r When the Tîrthyas, who were walking in the wrong way, were reduced by Bhagavat, who madeuse wassupernatural power, I saw then, O king, the noblegames from the hero toten strengths, who filled of joy the creatures (i). tAnd moreover, O great king, when after having passed the Varcha time at the Devas Trayastrimças, to teach the Law to his mother, towhich hehad totheday, Bhagavat went back down to the city of Samkaçya, followed by the crowd of Gods, I myself found in this time in this city; I attended to the brilliant Feast of the Gods and of men, and I am also the glorious metamorphosisUtpalavarna of which is transformed into King Tchakravartin (2). And hepronounced this stance: tWhen, after having passed the Yarcha in the world of the Gods, the most eloquent of men in came down [on the land], I am found in this place, and then I saw Solitaire, this first of beings. < and ofmore, O great king, when, invited by Sumâgadhâ, the daughterAnâthapindika, Blessed be returned miraculously to Pundra varddhana (3)escortoffive hundred Arhats, then seizing, by virtue of my supernatural power, thetop of a mountain, I threw myself into the air and I went to Pundra var-dhana.

El at this moment Bhagavat gave me this order :You will not enterthe Nirvanacomplete, as long as the Law has not disappeared. Then hepronounced this stance :(1) This is an allusion to the facts related in the legend of which I have translate the more largepart, above, sect. II, p. 163.(2) See more top this that has been said of the trip and of the miraculous descent of Sakyamuniin the cityof Sâiiikàcya. (Sect. II, p. 152, note.) As for the miraculous transformation of the beggar Utpalâ, Fa hian makes a short allusion to it in her visit to Sàmkâçya. (Foe hoe ki,p. 124.) It is has, at rest, in not retexte a new trace of pale or of prâcrit: it is the molsampada for the sanipad Sanskrit (prosperity).(3) See . the additions at the end of the volume.

#### Page 13

356INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY"When, by the force of his supernatural power, the guide, the tutor, on the invitation of Sumagadha, is returned [to her], then typingby mysuperhuman strength on top of a mountain, I quickly transported myself toPundra vardhana."So thewise, friend of themercy, who was born in thefamily of Sakyas, I gave the order following: You do not enter into the full Nirvanaso that the Act willnot disappeared.vsAnd more, O great king, when once, at the time where Bhagavat was enteredin Râdjagriha to beg for his meal, you threwin his vase ahandful ofground in saying with childish for your age: I 'm going to him to give to the flour, and that Râdhagupta (1) approved of you; when on this occasion Bhagavat madeon youthe following prediction: A hundred years after that I will beentered in the Nirvana complet, this child will be in the city of Pâtaliputtra onking named Açôka; it will beaTchakravartin, ruler of the four parties of the earth; he will be a righteous king, a king ruler who will the distribution of my relics, andwho will establisheighty-four thousand royal edicts of the Law ; when of all these events, II found in this town. And headded this stanza: "When you threw in the vase of Buddha ahandful of earth, wanting with child is hness of your age him witness the kindness, I myself found herein this moment."The king then resumed: Sthavira, whereare you staying now? In the north offirst of the ponds, on the Gandhain âlana mountain, replied the Sthavira; I live, O prince, with other Religious who follow the same rule as me. Whatis, says theking, the number of thosewhich surround the Sthavira? - My suite, oh kingof men, is of sixty thousand Arhats; it is with wise cases free from desires and conquerors of sin that I spend my life. But, oh great king, whywould leave I penetrate the doubt in the spirit of the Assembly of the Religious? Right awaythat the Assembly will havetook hismeal, I will satisfy her by a pleasant instruction.Let it be so that the Sthavira orders it, replied the king. As for me, recalled in memory of the Buddha, I give the bath to the tree Bodhi, and immediately after I will offer an excellent food to the Assembly for Religious. So the(1) The presence of the name of Râdhagupta could herecause an embarrassment which the continuation of theLegend nousdonne the means to get out. We have seen more high that the young child quijouaitwith Djaya, that is to say Aśoka, in one of his lives where he was a contemporary of Śākya isnamed Vidjaya. (Above, p. 336, note 1.) How then can Piiulôla say, as he doesin our text, that Râdhagupta gave his assent to the liberality of little Djaya? Is that, according to the continuation of the legend which we will see shortly, Râdhagupta, the Minister of Açôka, hadwas this Vidjaya himself, and that Piijdôla names these two characters by the name they bearat the time even where their talks. Page 14

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .357king who called the heraldSarvamitra, said to him: I will make presentof centmille[Suvarnas] to Assembléedes Aryans, and I give the bath to the tree Bodhi withthe water of a thousand vases. Proclaim in my name [that the Religious will be received bymeduring] the five months of Varcha." At that time Kunâla (1) hadalready lost the twoeyes, and hestoodto the right of the king. He stretched outtwo fingers, without uttering a word; its inten-tion was to announce that he wanted to give the fold. But at the moment where Kunālathus increased the sum with a signwith his hand, the crowd ofpeople arebegan to laugh. The king, laughing in his turn, said to Râdhagupta: Oh! which therefore has welldoubled the sum? There arehas many beings, replied Rādhagupta, who have needof the merit of good works; is a of those Laqui has doubled. Ah well, said the roi, I will make a present of three hundred thousand[Suvarnas] to the Assembly of Arya, and Igive a bath to the tree Bodhi with water of a thousand vessels. That we proclaimin my name [that the Religious will be received by me during] the fivemonth of Varcha. At this moment Kunâla raised four fingers; but the king in anger said to Râdhagupta: Who is then, Râdhagupta, the one who fights thus with me? whatis he, this ignorant of the world? At the sight of the angry king, Râdhagupta throwing himself at hisfeet, said to him: Lord, who would have the power to fight with the king of men?It is the virtuous Kunâla who playswith his father. Immediately the king will turn onthe right sawKunâla and exclaimed :Sthavira, I give to the Assembly of Arya, and before her to the Bôdhi tree, my royalty, my wives, the crowd of my councils-lers, Kunāla and my person even toexcept for my treasure; I will bathethe big oneshaft Bodhi with the milk and of water perfumed sandalwood, of saffron, ofcamphor, and contained in five thousand vessels of gold, silver, of crystal of lapislazuli, filled with various kinds of perfumes; Ihimwill offerthousands bind of flowers. That we proclaim in my name [that the Religious will be received by meduring] the five months of Varcha. And hepronounced this stance: " ^^ thriving royalty, mywomen, thewhole crowd of my advice-lers, I give it all , except my treasure, to the Assembly which is like vase of virtues; I am giving myself and 'Kunāla, which is fullofqualities." So theking having gone outin the presence of the Assembly, at the head of whichwas the Sthavira Pindôla, descendant of Bharadvàdja, had a platform builton the four sides of the Bôdhi tree ;then getting on that platform himself,(1) Kunāla is the son of Aśoka which the queen Tichya rakchitâ had actually burst the eyes, becausethat he had resisted his advances. On the named thus because of the beauty of her eyes, which re-seemed to those of a bird called Kunâla. Its name is written with an "or an n. Page 15

358INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYhebathedthe treewiththe waterof fourthousandvases. And atbarely the treehe hadwas so watered, that he again became suchheonce was .It is a textwhich says:" A sentence the king of men he had given to the tree bodhi this excellent baththat the shaft is covered with a soft and green foliage; at the sight of the leavesgreenthat the adorned, and of its tender buds, the king felta joy extreme, as well as the crowd of his ministers who surrounded him. "When the king had given the hot tree Bodhi, it is put in duty of intro-of the Assembly of Religious [in itspalace]. At this moment, the SlhaviraYaśas he addressed these words: Great King, the numerous Assembly of Arya whois gathered hereis worthy of the great respects; it must be introduced from my-deny not to do to himno wrong. This is why the king himself introduced the Religious with his own hand, and to the last (i)." It is was there two

Çrâmanêras, who indulged in a mutual exchange of goodoffices (2). If one looked at his companion of the flour, the other him in givingtoo; and they exchanged in this way the food and sweets. The king,in the light, will put in laugh: Here is,if he says, of Crâmanêras who playto onechildren's game. However, when the king had introduced the Assembly of Religious whole, hewent to sit in the square of honor. At the moment hereceived this warning of Slhavira: The king has he not committed by carelessness someinadvertently? None, replied the king. However there are two Crâmanêras therehaving fun at achildren's game, similar tosmall boys who jouentdansthe dust. These Crâmanêras fun with the flour, food and ofsweets. Enough, replied the Slhavira; are two Arhals which will yield eachtheirhand with an equal detachment. At these words, Aśoka, the heart filled with joy, conceived this thought: When I have approached these two Çrâmanêras, I will give tothe Assembly of Religious enough material for her to dress. The two Crâma-(1) I thus translate, by conjecture, the word navakdnta; it seems to me that it must be the opposite of vrMdhânta, which is located a line over there in our legend, and who will represent enough frequently elsewhere, always with themeaning of :"The place of the old, the first place. » Thevriddhânta means in fact, as I the believe, "the limit of the old man, "the term which reached the old man, and by extension "the place of honor. "The word navakânta must mean " the limit"the new n the low end.(2) Here again a phrase rather little clear: Sainrandjamyam dharmam samâddya var-talah. This passagepourrait both mean 'they are found to have received the Inspirational law" affection. "But the prefix sam of the adjective saiïirandjanîyal me seems to express an idea ofreciprocity which decides the meaning. The randi radical, as well as mud, is used in our legends of the North, as in the Pali of the South, with the special meaning of "to please, to be gracious" in amaintenance; and when two characters to meet, this is terms derivatives of these radicalsthat we use, like rahdjani and safhmôdani, to express theway they open theirmaintenance.

# Page 16

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .359Neras who guessed the intention of the king was said among them: We have to compete increase its merits. And immediately one presented himself holding scale of turtle, and the other brought colors. At this sight the king they said :Çrâmanêras, what are you goingso do? We haveguessed, they replied, that the king wantedgive to the Assembly of Religious enough cloth for it calves, andwelet's come and dye this stuff. I have done that in conceiving the thought is ditle king inhimself, and I did not pronouncea single word. So they know the thoughtsof others, these magnanimous sages? And immediately falling at their feetwith all hisheight, there they said the hands together insign of respect: "The descendant of the Mâuryas, with his servants, with his people and the inhabitantsall of his towns, reached the peak of happiness, happily celebrated allsacrifices, since the beings virtuous her testimony enough of kindnessto make it today one suchpresent (1).q:The king they said then: I want, after having approached you, to give the Assemblywheat of the Religious enough material so that each one has his three garments. In con-sequence, when the five -month Varcha were completed, the king Asoka made thisto each Religious of three clothes; and when he had given four hundred thousandcoats in the assembly, itredeemed from the [Religious] the earth, his wives, the crowdof his ministers, himself and Kunâla [his son] (2). His faith in the teaching ofBhagavat had been increasing; and heestablish eighty-four thousand edictsroyals of the Law."The daywhere the king promulgated his edicts, Queen

Padmavatî gave birth to ason, handsome, pleasant to see, graceful ;the eyes of this child shone withmore livelyshine. They went to announce the news to the king: Happiness to the king :hehe was born ason. Transported with joy, Aśoka exclaimed :A joy extreme, a joy unboundedfills my heart .; the splendor of the race of the Mâuryas is at its height; it's because I rule according to the Law, that a son was born to me; may healso doflourish the Law! This is why it was given the name of Dharma vivardhana (3). It brought then the child to the king, whoin the lamp was filled with happiness and exclaimed: (1) The text is herealtered in our two manuscripts; it lacks the latter to a syllablewhich I reestablished by guesswork.(2) It is really curious to find in the travelers Chinese the historical record of thisevent, which is shown here as a way very short. According to Fa hian, it existed in-core of his time, close to Pāt.aliputra, a column raised by Aśoka which bore this inscriptiontion :<i The King To you (Aśoka) had given the Yan mad H (Jambudvīpa) the Religious of four€sides; hethebought them for in cash, and so three times. " {Foe, koue ki,p. 255 and 261.) It is for this that in our legend is said qu'Açôka gives everything to the Assembly of Religious, except his treasure. He wanted to reserve for himself the means of repeating his liberalities.(3) See . the additions at the end of the volume.

## Page 17

360INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY. "How pure are the beautiful eyes of this child, those eyes thatlook like alolus blue well blossomed! His face adorned of beauty shines like the disk of the full moon." The king then said to his ministers: You see, lords, in whose eyeslook like the eyes of this child? We do not known oman, resumed the ministers who have the eyes like; but hethere is in Himavat, this king [ofmountains], a bird named Kunâla, witheyes of which the eyes of your son. This is what this stanza expresses: "On the top of one of the peaks of the mountain, king of the snows, which is rich in boxwoodsounds in flowers and in water, lives a bird that is called Kunāla; the eyesyour son look like those of this bird.((That we bringa Kunâla, cried theKing. Now the Yakchas heard theorders he gave at the distance of a Yôdjana in the sky, and the Nâgas themheard at the distance of a Yôdjana on the earth. Also the Yakchas him Ame-they born a Kunâla in the instant. The king, after having longexamined the eyes of the bird, could not discover any difference between its eyes and those of his son. This is why hesaid to his ministers: The prince has the same eyesto those of a Kunala; that it therefore gives the name of Kunāla. This is what ex-prime this stanza: "Struck with the charm of his eyes, the king of the earth exclaimed: My son must be peel Kunâla. So that the name of ceprince who had the virtues of an Arya, was famous on earth." When the prince fled when he grew up, he was given a young girl to wifecalled Kânlchana mâla. One day the king went with his son to the hermitage of Kukkuta. At this moment, Yaças the Sthavira of the Assembly, which possessed the five knowledge supernatural, saw that Kunāla do was not be longwithout losing theeyes, and heit was about the king. Why? [resumed Açôka.]-It is that Kunâla does not not fulfill his duties. Kunāla, said the king, have wellcare to run this as you command the Sthavira of the Assembly. Immediatelythrowing toat the foot of the Sthavira, Kunâla said to him :Lord, what are you commanding me ?-Convince yourself, O Kunala, that the eye is something perishable. And he added this stanza:" Constantly reflect, O prince, that the eye is of his natureperishable, that it is the source of a thousand evils; to become too attached to it, many ordinary menners commit actions that make their misfortune." Kunāla is set to reflect on this maxim, and he had

always present to the mind. He no longer loved that the loneliness and the rest. Sitting at the back of the palace, in a placelonely, he isrepresented as perishable the eye and the other senses. I

Page 18

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .361aTichyarakchitâ day, the firstthe women of Asoka, passed by this place ^and saw Kunâlawho was alone. Seduced by the beauty of his eyes, she squeezed him betweenhis arms and said: "At the sight of your eyes beautiful, your beautiful body and in your eyes char-gloves, my whole body burns like the Strawparched thatburn the fireof a forest. ('At these words, Kunāla is covering ears with his two hands, he replied: Do n't say such sinful wordsin front of a son, because you are for melike a mother ;renounces a deranged passion ;this love would be for you thepath to Hell. But Tichya rakchitâ, seeing it only could the seduce himsaid in anger: Since you me regrowth here in time whence transported with love Icome and offer me to you, in a short time, fool, you will have stopped to live.my mother, replied Kunâla, rather die inpersistentin the duty and inremaining pure; Idid notwhat to doa life that would be for thegood peoplean objectblame, a life which, in my closing theway of Heaven, become would be the cause of my death, and would be despised and condemned by the wise. From this time Tichya rakchitâ only thought is find an opportunity to harmKunâla.c ithappened that thecity of Takchaçilâ, which was located in the North, andwho obeyed the king Aśoka, came to serévolter. At this news, the king wantedtheremake himself; but his ministers said to him :king, send the prince there; hewill enter the city in theto have to. Inconsequence, theking having called Kunâla spoke to him thus :My dear son,go to Takchaçilâ, and submitthis city. Yes, lord, I will go, Kunâla replied. [This is what expresses this stance:]" The king had learned by then what was the desire of the one he called his son, and knowing in his heart that he could wait for his condition, gave himselfeven to travel and are destined Kunāla." Aśoka having done decorate the city and the road, and having done away the Vieilbacon, the sick and the needy, ascended in a chariot with his son, and went out of Pâtaliputtra. At the time of leaving his son to return to his feet, he threw hisarms around his neck, and gazing into his eyes, hesaid to him, bursting into tears: They are fortunate the eyes, and they have a happy view the mortalswho cansee constantly the lotus of the face of the prince.But a Brahmin astrologerpredict thatinlittle Kunâla would lose herseen. Also theking Açôka, can notbefore getting tired of contemplating theeyes of his son, exclaimed, when hethem hadwatched:" The eyes of the prince are perfect, and the king feels an attachment to him Page 19

362 ,INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY extreme; I contemplate today those eyes whose radiance is so pure, which spreadthe happiness, these eyes for perish."This city, happy as the sky itself, is filled with joy, because itsees the prince; but when hewill have lost the eyes, all the hearts beyond city willdivesin the grief."The young prince soon arrived in the neighborhood of Takchaçilâ. In the newvelle of soundapproach, the inhabitants having adorned the city and the main road untilthe distance dedeux yojanas and half out to its meeting with vases[full of offerings]. It iswhat this stanza expresses:"At this news the inhabitants of Takchaçilâ, carrying in their handsvasesfullofprecious stones, went outout of respect for the sonofKing.((When theyhad arrived in his presence, theyhimsaid themhands réu-born insignofrespect: We will have not revolted against theprince andagainst theKingAçôka; thisare bad ministers who have come to usto fill

inoutrage.Kunâla therefore entered with great pomp into the city of Takchaçilâ."However, the king Aśoka was atte ^ t of a disease terrible. His fecesit came from the mouth; an impure humor escaped from all his pores, and nothing will could the cure. He then said: We do come Kunala, i want the placeon the throne. "[Here,the legend tells how Tichya rakchitâ healed the king and seizedhis mind. I think it is useless toreproduce here this passage that I translated above, sect. II, p. 133, and I beg the reader to kindly them see, ifwants to con-born the result of the story.]"When the king was healed, heasked full of joyto Tichya rakchitâ whichfavor herwanted: What now you shall I? he said to her. That theking, replied-she gives me the royalty for seven days.- And me, what will become of me? At the endfromseven days, said the queen, the king will resume the power Royal. Açôka therefore gave inthe royalty for seven days Tichya rakchitâ. The first thingTowherein the queenthought was to satisfy his hatred against Kunala. She wrote [on behalf of Kingla Letter false quiordonnait the inhabitants of Takchacilâ to pull the eyes to Kunâla. And she added this stanza: "For Aśoka, this king strong and violent, has ordered the inhabitants of Takchaçilâto tear the eyes out of this enemy; it is the shame of the race of the Mâuryas. <• When the king Aśoka gave an order that must be executed promptlylying, hesealed it with an ivory seal. Tichyarakchitâ is said: I will sealthis letter with the ivory seal, while the king is asleep; and it is REN-Page 20

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .368said to Açôka. But at this moment the king woke everything upscared. What is there?said the queen to him. I have just had, replied the king, a sad dream; i sawof themvultures who wanted to tear the eyes Kunāla. Happiness atprince !cried herQueen. A second time again the king woke everything upscared.queen, he said, Icometo have a sad dream. Andwhat dream ?he asked the queen.Isaw, said itKing Kunāla which was entered into the city with the hair, nails and a beardlong. Happiness atprince !cried the queen. Finally, the Kingfalling asleep with Again Tichya rakchitâ sealed his letter with the seal of ivory, and was starting to the city of Takchacilâ." However, the king saw his teeth fall out in a dream. As soon as the day was come, he called the soothsayers and they said: Heralded the dreams that I viensd'avoir?king answered the soothsayers, one who die such dreams, one who sees duringhis sleep his teeth falling out anddestroy himself, will see his private sonof his eyesandwill learn of his death. To thesewords, the King Aśoka is rising in hastefrom his seat, and directing his hands together in signofrespect to the four sides of the horizon, began to beg the Divinity, and he pronounced this stance: "May the Godhead who is benevolent to the Tutor, to the Law and to the Assembly which is the first of the groups, that the Richis who are the firstin the world protect our son Kunâla!"During this time, the letter from the Queen managed to Takchaçilâ. At the sight ofthis missive, the inhabitants of Takchacilâ, those of the city and of the country, whichwere happy the many virtues of Kunāla, did not have the courage tomake her aware of the inhuman order that she contained ; cornafter a long time thoughtful, they are saying: The king is violent, he is naturally carried away; if he doesn'tthrough-givennotto his son, more strong reason not us he spare not. And they pronounce-cried this stanza: (notOne who could conceive of the hatred against a prince ifcalm, including themanners are those of a loner and whodo wish that the good of all the beings how he will be for the other?" Finally, they are determined to inform Kunāla of this news, and to luiremettrethe letter. Kunala having read it, exclaimed: The order istrustworthy; do this who is ordered from you. We

didtherefore come from the Tehândâlas, and they were givenorderto wrest theeyes to Kunâla; but the executioners, reuniting theirhands in a sign of respect, cried: We do n't have thecourage. Andwhy?" It is that foolwho would beable to remove its shine to the moon could only tear the eyes of your face, which looks at the star of the night. Page 21

364INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY" The princethey gave the hair that covered his head, and they said: Doneyour duty as a price of this present; [but they refused by saying:] Celtic actionmust necessarily lead to misfortune. So heis presented a manwith a misshapen exterior, and covered with eighteen spots of a colorrepelhealth, who offered himselfto tear off theeyestoprince.on thedrovetherefore with Kunâla. At the moment, thewords of the Sthavirasserep-sentèrent to the spirit of the young man; the prince in to the recalling uttered thesestanzas :vsIt is because they foresaw this misfortune that these wise men who know thetruth have said: Look, this world all entierestperishable; nobody stays in apermanent situation .(THEYes, it was for me the virtuous friends who were looking for my advantageand wanted my happiness, that thesewise, magnanimous, free from passions, bywhoI was taught this law." When Iconsider the fragility of all things, and that I reflect on the Seils of my masters, I would tremble more, friend, the idea of this punishment; because i knowthat myeyes are something perishable." .Qu'on me the hard or they me the preserves, according to that order theking; I haveremoved from my eyes what they could give of the best, since I have seen that the objects are perishable." Then addressing this man: Come on, he said, pluck out an eye first, and put it to me in the hand. The executioner was put in duty to perform his office; and in this time of thousands of men uttered the cries dismal :Ah !woe !" The here that falls from the sky, the moon in the pure splendor; a beautiful lotus istorn from the tuft of white nymphaeas." While this crowdof people madehear theselamentations, the eye of Kunala it was torn, and hethe received in his hand. In the taking, the prince says:"Why therefore do you see over the forms as you did just now, coarse globe of flesh? How much they abuse each other andthat they are to blame, the in-sensible people who attach themselves to you by saying: It's me!" Those who, always attentive, know how to recognize in you an organ which resemblesble to aball, which cannot be grasped, which is pure, but dependent, those will beto the shelter of misfortune." Duringthat the Prince and was reflecting on the instability of all the beings, heacquired the award of the state of Crôtà âpattiat the sight of the crowd ofpeople. ThenKunâla, who saw the truths, said to the executor: In the second eve now:

Page 22

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .365tear it off. The man tore it off, in fact, and placed it in the prince's hand . In thistime Kunāla, quivenait of losing the eyes of the flesh, but inwho those ofthe science were purified, uttered this stanza; "The eye of the flesh, though difficult to grasp, has just been taken away from me; but i haveacquired the eyes perfect and blameless for the wisdom."If I am forsaken by the king, I become the sonof the magnanimous King of the Law, whose child I am named ."If I am deprived of the supreme greatness, which leads to its result as of Cha-grins and pains, I acquired the sovereignty of the Law, which destroys the painand grief." Some time later, Kunâla knew that historture was not the work ofhis father Açôka, but that it was the effect ofintrigues of Tichya rakchita. To thisnew itexclaimed: "May she keep long the happiness, the life and power, the queenTichya rakchita, who put in use by this way that assures me one

ifbigadvantage!" However, Kântchana mâlâ learned that had ripped the eyes to Kunāla.Immediately, using her right to marry, she rushes through the crowd to gofind Kunâla, and see him privateof his eyes and the body all covered in blood. At this sight she faints and falls to the ground. One hastens to him throwing of water and of the recall it. When she had started to come to her senses she cried outin shedding tears: (notThose lovely and beloved eyes, which, looking at me, made me happy, now that they are thrownon land and private of the faculty of see, I feel the lifeabandon my body. ((Then Kunâla, wanting to console his wife, continued as follows: Truce to your lar-my; you will have to not deliver thee to grief. Everyone collects thereward ofactions he has done in this world. And he uttered this stanza :€ Recognizing that this world is the fruit of works, andthat thecreatedtures are condemned to misfortune; knowing that the men are made to besee off those who are their expensive, you do not have to, dear, spreadingtears.((Then Kunâla went out with his wife from Takchacilâ. the Prince since thewhen it was conceived in the breast of his mother, had alwayshad abodyvery delicate. Hecould nottherefore indulge in no trade, and hedidn't knowthansinging and playing of the vina. Hewent begging for his food, and shared withhis wife thishe was picking up. Kàfitchana mâlâ, taking the road by which she ^had been brought from Pàtaliputtra, thefollowed accompanied by the prince; and a time

Page 23

366INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY arrival in the city, it is put in duty of ePxtrer in the remains of Aśoka. Cornhewere arrested by theGuardianof thedoor. However, they were introduced into the placewhere we kept the chariots of the king. At the point of the dayKunâla isput his Vînâ to touch, andto sing how the eyes himhad beentorn away, and how the sight of the truths himhad appeared. And hepronounced this stance: "The wise man sees with the pure flame of the science the eye and other sensesis freed from the law of the transmigration." If your mind, given over to sin, is tormented by the pains of life, andif you desire the happiness in this world, make haste to abandon forever the objectsof the senses." The king Asoka heard the songs ofprince, and hesaid with a feeling ofjoy:"It is to me that cater the songs Kunāla and the sounds of Celtic VIIIAthat there are so manyof time that I 've heard. The prince is to return to myremains, but hedoes not want to see anyone.vsImmediately calling one of his guards, the king said to him: Is it that you will findnotof the resemblance between this song and that of Kunâla? It seems that this execution betrays some trouble. This voice strongly moved my soul; I amlike the elephant which, having lost its young, would come to hear its voice. Go thereforeand bring me Kunâla. The guard is made soon in the place whencewecontainmaster the tanks; hefound there Kunāla deprived of his eyes, and that the body was burnedby the heat of the sun and by the wind; but having Pas recognized, he returned toof King Açôka and said to him :king, it is notKunâla ;he's a blind beggarwho was with his wife in the place where it contains the chariots of the king. To thesewords, the troubled king made this reflection: This is the effect of dreams fatal that I had; certainly it is Kunāla which the eyes have been gouged out. And hepro-stated this stanza: "From the omens that I have seenonce in a dream, no, there are more of doubtthe eyes Kunāla have been uprooted."And bursting into tears heexclaimed: That we bring quickly in my presence this men-diant; because my heart doesn't can find to calm thinking of the misfortune that has beenhit my son.theguard having returned to the tank room, said to Kunâla: Ofwho are you son, and what is your name?" Açôka," continued Kunâla, " this king who

increases the gloryfrom the Mâuryas, to the empirewhich the terretout fully obeyed submissively, this King is my father, and myname is Kunâla. Corntoday i amthe son of the Buddha, this descendant of therace of the sun, which has établila Act. Kunâla was immediately taken with his wife to Page 24

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .367presence of King Açôka. InseeingKunâla who was private with his eyes, including the body, burned by the heat of the sun and by the wind wascovered with clothesmiserable man whom the water had tarnished during his journey (1), the king, to whom was unknownthe crime, contemplated his son several timeswithoutto the recognition, and notseeing before his eyes that a human form, hesays: Are you Kunâla? Yes, answersay itprince, I am Kunâla. At these words, the king fainted andfell by land. This is what this slance expresses: (fInSeeing the face of Kunāla in which the eyes had been gouged out, the King Açôka, torn by the pain, fell by land burnedby the fire of sorrowat the sight of his son's misfortune .<r We threw the king of the water, is the rose, and one the replaced it onits seat. When hehad alittle regained his senses, hehugged her son in her arms. That's what that says this stance: "The king, after a few moments, having come to himself, threwthe armaround of his neck son; and repeatedly stroking theface of Kunâla, hefithear many complaints, thevoice broken by thesobs."Formerly, at the sight of these eyes similar to those of the Kunâla, I calledmy son Kunâla; today that these eyes are extinguished, how could Igo to him give this name?" Then hesaid to him: Tell me, tell me, my dear son, how that facewith beautiful eyes has been deprived of its light and has become similar to the sky in whichthe fall of the moon would have taken away his splendor."It's a heartruthless, oh my son, than the villain who, pushed byherhatred against the man good, foreign asset feelings of hatred, adétruit theeyesfrom the best of beings, fromimage even the Solitaire, actecruel which is tome a source of evil." Tell me quickly, O you of which the face is so beautiful. Consumed by the sorrow thatme because the losswith your eyes my body perishes, similar to a forest that devoursthe lightning launched by the Nâgas." So Kunâla having thrown himself intofeethis father, spoke to him thus: "king, he will be not thus lamenting to because of a event that is past; do n't you havenot heard quote the lyricsthe Solitaire, which says that the Djinas themselves, no more than the Pratyeka Buddhas, can not escape to the inevitable influence of works ?(1) Our two manuscripts are in this place greatly altered; I translate this detail by guessworkotherwise unimportant. Page 25

368INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY" They collect, all as the men ordinary, the fruit of evilactions theyhave committed here below; it is in this world that we find the rewardthink ofwhat wehas done: How therefore can I call the work of otherthe treatment that I have experienced?"I committed [formerly]some fault, O great king, and it is under the influence ofthis fault that Icame back[in the world],me that the eyes have been the caseof my misfortune (i)." The sword, the lightning, the fire, the poison the birds, nothing will hurt the ether, whichis unalterable by its nature; it is on the body of which the souls are wrapped,O king, that fall the pain cruel that it take some sort forgoal. "But Aśoka which the heart was torn by the grief, went well: whoSo has deprived my son of his eyes? Who then has resolved to give up [for the price ofthis crime] in the life cebiensicher? The anger goes down in my heart devouredspeakfire of sorrow; tell me quickly,oh my son,on which I have to do down the chastisementis lying. Finally the king learned that this crime

was the work of Tichya rakchitâ. Right awaywho is called the Queen, hesaid to him: this How cruel, do you come home-not as earth? I will make your head fall under the sword or under the ax. I renounce you, woman covered in crimes, womanunfair, all of the same as the wise renounce to the fortune."Then thewatchingwith a face ablaze with the fire of theanger headded: '((Why it would break I not the members after it had ripped the eyeswith my nails acute Why does the shall I not stand alive on the pole? Why does it abattrais I not the nose?"Why it would cut I not the tongue with a razor, or does thewould i donot dieby the poison ?Such were the tormentsincluding the threatened the king ofmen." The magnanimous Kunâla, full of compassion, having heard these words, said to herfather: It will be not honorable for you to put to death Tichya rakchitâ; act according to honor, and do not kill a woman." There are anot, in fact, to reward topto that of the sympathetic; the patience lord, has been celebrated by the Sugata. Then if throwing of new tohis feet, the prince had heard in hisfather these true words: "king, I have no pain, and despite this treatmenteruel, I don't(1) The lack one to aThis stance, the words placed in square brackets are added to complete the senses.^ Page 26

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .369 feel not the fire of the anger; my heart is that of the benevolence Pouvmymother, who gave the order to tear out my eyes." May, in the name of the truth of thesewords, myeyes become as they arewere before !No sooner had he uttered thesewords, that his eyes reappeared with their first glow." However the King Aśoka, irritated against Tichya rakchitâ, the madethrow in aplace of torture where she died in the fire ;and hefitmassacre the inhabitants of "Takchaçilâ." The Religious, who had some doubts, thus questioned the respectTable Sthavira Upagupta that slice all the doubts: What action had therefore committed Kunāla, so that the eyes he had been ripped off? The Sthavira respondssays: Listen, respectable people. Formerly, in the time past, it is had toBenares a some hunter who was in Himavat, and there was killing of animals wild. One day, when he went to the mountain, hesurprised at the bottom of acave five hundred gazelles which it were collected, and hethe took allin a net. He made then this reflection: If I the kill, I'll be embarrassedall that meat. This is why heburst themeyes of five hundred gazelles. Those animals, private from the view, were unable to escape. This is how he diedthe eyes of hundreds of gazelles." What do you think of this, O Religious ?This hunter wasKunāla itselfsame. Bythat then heput out the eyes to hundreds of gazelles, he To suffered for the price of this action the pains of Hellfor severalhundreds of thousand years. Then, to finish expiating the rest of his fault, he hashad his eyes gouged out for five hundred lifetimesin quality of man. Cornwhat action had he done to deserve to be reborn in a high family of a-see a pleasant exterior and know thetruths? Listen, respectable characters." Formerly, in the time past, when the lives of men was forty four athousand years ago there appeared in the world a perfect Buddha named Krakutchhanda. When hehad fulfilled completely all the duties of a Buddha, he went into thedomain of Nirvana, where hewill remain nothing of the elements of existence. A kingnamed Açôka fitbuild for him aStupa madeof fourkinds ofstonesprecious.Cornafter the death of Açôka, his throne was occupied by a rulerwho had not the faith. The precious stones were stolen by thieves, not left as the earth and wood. thepeople, who had gathered in this place, Seeing the Stupa destroyed, will burst into larmes. Or, the son of a chief of artisanssefound at that time[among the people]. This youngman asked: Why do we cry? the Stupa from Krakutchhanda the Buddha, he said the

## Page 27

370INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY crowd, was made of four kinds of precious stones; it is now destroyed. The youngman [the made up]. It is had, in addition, in this place a statue of Buddha perfect Krakutchhanda, which was of magnitude natural; she had beendestroyed. The youngman the restored also and uttered this prayer: May iI make it enjoyable to a master likein Krakutchhanda !may i notnot himbe rude !" What do you think of that, respectable characters? The son of the chief of arti-without, it was Kunâla himself. It's him that in this time made up the Stupaof Krakutchhanda, and it is as a reward for this action that he was born in aillustrious family. Because he restores the statue of the Buddha, hereceived as a rewardthink of this good work to be reborn with a pleasant exterior. Because hepronounced the prayer mentioned above, hehad the advantage of appeal to a mastersimilar to Çâkyamuni the perfect Buddha, and hedid not displease him, and heknew themtruths(1)." When the king Aśoka conceived of the faith to the law of the Bhagavat, he did establisheighty-four thousand royal edicts of the Law; hefeeds for the fivementh of Varcha three hundred thousand Religious; it is to know, one hundred thousand Arhats, and two hundred thousand disciples and men regular fullof virtue. The crowd of ha-bitants who covered theearth 'tolimits of the ocean felt the feelingsments of benevolence to the law of the Bhagavat. The brother of Aśoka, which isnamed Vîlâçôka, was favorable to the Tîrlhyas. These had convinced him tothis opinion: The issue was not for the śraman.as, sonfrom Çâkya; because theylooking for pleasure and fear of pain. One day the king Aśoka said to his brother :Vîlâçôka, it will be not that you testify to the benevolence which has not to foundation; it is in the Buddha, in the Law and in the Assembly that you owe your confidence; your benevolence then will have a real object." One other day the king Asoka went out to hunt antelope. Vîtâçôka then livesin the forest a Richi who had surrounded the five fires, and which is subjected to fromharsh mortifications. the prince approached him, and having greeted his feet, hehimfitthis question: blessed, how to time there he has that you live in this forest ?Twelve years, answered the anchorite. - And what do you eat ? - From fruit ofroots. - Andwhat is your clothing? - Scraps and the leaves of Darbha.- What about your bed? - A carpet ofgrass.- Is theresome pain that bothers you[in yourpenances]? Yes, resumed the Richi; these antelopes matein these as on of rut. Now when I see their antics, then Iam consumed with desires. Yes(1) This part of the legend has for titlespecial in our manuscripts, Kundla avadâna," Legend of Kunâla. " Page 28

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .371this hermit, cried Vītāśoka, notcan by this harsh penance tame the passage-Zion, what will be the Çramanas, son of Çâkya, whoseeking the lapis and thewell-extended seats? How will they be able to triumph over passion? And heprostated this stanza: "YestheRïchis inhabitants of thisdeserted forest, which does not feedthat air, that water andthat ofroots, can not, by alsoroughausterities practiced during atime iflong to reachto master theirdesires, "How the Sakyas could they be making masters of their senses, they whoeata so large quantity of meat, and of rice well seasoned of milk curdand butter? If it were possible, the mountVindhya would be able to crossthe ocean." Yes, the king Aśoka is completely the dupe of śraman.as, sonfrom Çâkya, to which heshows respect. "Açôka heard these words; and like hisspirit was fruitful inexpedients, he said to his ministers: Vītāśoka has of benevolence for the tīrthyas; it is necessarythat byaddressI make him conceive of similar feelingsfor the Law ofBhagavat.

That control the King? replied the ministers. When I will been tered in the room of bath, said the king, after having left my hair andthe Ban-of water, symbols of the monarchy, hewill needthat, by any means, you attac-shit the hairstyle and the royal headbandto Vītāśoka, and that you are the fassiezasseoir onthe throne. It will be done so, replied the ministers. The king having left his coif-fure and the headband, symbols of the monarchy, enteredin the room of bath. The midters said then to Vītāśoka: When the king Aśoka be dead, it is you who will beking ;put on, in the meantime, these royal ornaments ;we will tie you therehair and the headband royal, and make yousit on the throne; we will see ifthoseornaments on going well or badly. As a result, the ministers parèrent Vītāśokathe marks of the dignity Royal, and placed on the throne; then theyin donationwere immediately informed to the king. This adorned Vītāśoka LED hairdressing and theheadband, symbols of the monarchy, and sitting on the throne, exclaimed: I live howeverstill, and you you do already the king!Hello! someone. At the same time appeared the covered executors of garments blue, with the hair long and wearing Tothe hand a bell; and is prostrating tofeet of the king, they said to him: What or-give the king? I am abandoning Vîtâçôka to you, he replied. So addressing the prince, the executors himsaid: We theexecutioners armed with the sword, wewe lay hold of youranybody. But theministersthrew themselves atfeetof Aśoka in the pleading :Forgive, O king !Vîtâçôka is your brother. I him par-give, answered Açôka, but for seven daysonly; he's my brother, and in

Page 29

372INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY consideration of my affection for him, I it gives the monarchy during theseseven days." Immediately hundreds of instruments were heard blaring; we greeted the princethe cries of Long live the king !of thousands of people gathered before him their hands insignof respect, andhundreds of women surrounded him. But the executorsnot left not the door of the palace. At the end of the first day they are presentedto Yîtâçôka and said to him :Here is a day passed, Vîtâçôka ;hedo you still moreonly six days. They in did so the second day and the following days; finally theseventh, Vîtâçôka adorned with royal ornaments was brought into the presence of Açôki, who said to him: Vîtâçôka, how did you find the songs, the dances and the concertof instruments? I did not see or hear anything, replied Vîtâçôka; and hepro-stated this stanza:" I have not listened to the songs, jen'ai not watched the dancing women: how he (Jun has tasted any of these pleasures Could you into giving heropinion?" Vîtâçôka," resumed the king, "I have yougranted the royalty for seven days; we havemakes sound to you hundreds of instruments; we greeted you with cries of Vive leKing! the crowd got youhonored by holding his handsgathered insignofrespectin front of you; you have been served by hundreds of women; how so can yousay: I did n't see or hear anything?"No, replied Vîtâcôka, I neither saw the dances, nor heard the sound of songs; I did not feel the smell, or taste the flavors; Idid not perceive the con-tact of gold, jewels, necklaces, or bodies that I touched; the crowd of women could not charm an unfortunate condemned to death." Women, dances, songs, palaces, beds, seats, youth, beauty, fortune, everythingthat, and even the earth with her jewels varied, has been without charms and empty forme, for that I voyaistranguillement sitting on their seats at my door theexecutors with their blue clothes." On hearing the sound of the bell of the executor to dress blue, I re-felt, oh chief of kings, fearsome terrors of the death." Surrounded by the stings of the fear, I did not hear the voice lovely, I did not see the dances, and I did not wish to take

food." Graspedby the fever of the death, Ino longer haveknown the sleep; I spent thewhole nights atconsider the death." Heywhat !resumed Açôka, if the fear of death that did was to take awaya single life has been able to stop you from enjoying the happiness of being king, with what eyeDo you believe that the Religious, frightened at the thought of the dead who must complete thehundredsexistences, considering all theplaces where we can be reborn, and the

Page 30

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM ."373evils which are attached? In Hell, the sufferings to which is condemned the body delivered to the fire; among the animals, the terrors that inspires the fearto be devoured them oneby the other; among the Prêtas, thetorments of thehunger and of thirst; among the men, the concernsof an existence of projects and effort; among the Gods, the fear of falling and losing their bliss: these are the five causes of miseries by which the three worlds are linked . Tormented by the pains of the mind and the body, they see in the attributes which is composed the existence of real executioners; in the sense organs, of villages sorry; in objects of robbers; finally, they see all of thethree worlds devoured by the fire of instability. And how then the passion could it be born in them? Then he pronounced these stanzas: 4 Well what !the fear of the death, which does must, however, take away a singlelife, preventing you from enjoying the pleasant objects made to flatter the heart, because that the terror will stop for you to trouble!"What pleased the heart of Religious it may therefore find in the food and in the other objects of sense themwho are thinkingthe terrors future of the death, repeated for several hundred lifetimes?" How the clothes, beds, the seats, the vases, could they in-worse of attachementà the of hearts that only think that thedeliverance, whosee in these objects of enemies and of murderers, for which thebody issimilar to a burnt house, and who look at the beingsas perissands?"And how thedeliverancedoes she belong notTothose whobornwant it and who are turning away from existence, to those theheart don'tattachesno more to the various causes of pleasure than water to the leaf of thelotus?"Thus favorablywilling, through the cunning of the king, for the Law of Bhagavat, Vîlâçôka said to him, holding his hands together in signof respect :Lord, I'm looking-che arefuge with the Blessed Tathagata perfectly and completely Buddha; I am looking for a refuge nearthe Law and withthe assembly. And hepronounced this stanza:" I take refugenear that including theeyes are pure as onenew lotusflourished, and that honor theGods, thewise men and menmy; I take refuge with thepure lawof the Buddha and with the As-seemed." So Açôka isthrowing on his brother's neck :No, he said to her, I didn't have younotabandoned; but it's a way that I used to inspire youof feelingsof benevolence in favor of the Law of Bhagavat. From this moment Vītāśoka is set Page 31

374INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYto honor the Tchâityas of Rhagavat in their offering of incense, garlandsof flowers, and by making resound a multitude of instruments; and heheard herLaw, and hetestifiedof respectat the assembly. One day he went to the hermitage ofKukkutâ ârâma; there is found the Sthavira named Yaśas, who was an Arhat giftedof the six supernatural knowledge. Vîtâçôka came and sat down in front of him to heardre the Law. The Sthavira be put to the look, and immediately herecognizedthat thecauses [of his conversion] were accumulated in him, that he had succeeded in his last-Niere existence, and it was in thisbody even reach the state of Arhat. This is why heis set to be praised for the life of begging, for the decision tokiss her. Vîtâçôkane had no sooner

heard it than he conceived this desire: May ibecome a beggar under the Law of Rhagavat !So rising, he spoke thus to the Stha-veered, in holding his hands together in a sign of respect: May I kiss thereligious life under the discipline of the well-renowned Law! May I get the in-clothing and become Religious! May i practice before you the duties of thereligious life !Friend, he answered the Sthavira, do know your desire to king Aśoka. Vītāśoka having thus made the place where was Laroi, it dit- the hands togetherinsignofrepect: king, give me your permission; Idesire to embrace lifeReligious under the discipline of the good Law fame, in leaving the house with faith perfect. And he uttered this stanza:" I was lost like the elephant whono longer knows the sting; cornthanks topowerful brakeof yourintelligence, I havebeen saved from my error by the ins-structions of Ruddha." So you must, O sovereign master of kings, grant me a favor; allow-me to wear the signs glad the Law perfectly, from the firstlightsof the world.((On hearing these words, Aśoka isthrew, the tears toeyes, around his neckbrother, and tells him'Vîtâçôka, renounces this resolution :in the life of a beggar, we have reports and we live with the people of lower castes; we don't have forcalving than the flaps of fabric collected in the dustwhere the havethrown themslaves; for food, than what is obtained by begging from theothers; for bed and for office, that of the grass expanse at the footof a tree. When we are sick, it has to be sleeping as the leaves; it is difficult to get hold ofdrugs; we did for food as this that the others reject (1). And youyou are delicate; you are unable to bear the pain of hunger, of the thirst of the heat and the cold :renounce, I beg you, yourpurpose. No, lord, resumed Vîtâçôka, that would be to think like the man who thirsts for objects; but the one(1) The text says: dhûH bhôdjanam; only would it not rather read: Puti bhôdjanam, " of food" Spoiled? " Page 32

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .375who wishes to embrace religious life does not suffer from the fatigue that they cause usfeels; he does n't seethe enemy will rob him of his power; heis not reduced to the indi-gence (1). In the view of the world who suffer from the pain, which is the preyof thedead, which is exhausted in powerless efforts, I havefeared to be reborn, and I formed the project to enter in the way of happiness and of security. At these words, the king Aśoka is started to pay deslarmes in wailing. But Vītāśoka wanting the consolationsler, uttered this stanza:" Since once mounted in the litteragitated the world, the men aredoomed to fall, why this emotiondoes she get hold of you? Do notare we not all made to separate one day?" Oh well !Said Açôka, start hereyour apprenticeship as a beggar. In aenclosure planted with trees, in the middle of the palace, is stretched to the prince a carpet of grass, there he gave to the food. It is started Browsebegging theminterior apartments, but theredid not receivenot very goodfood(2). The kingsaid to the women of the interior apartments: Give him similar foodslar to those that pick up the religious whobeg. As a result, the prince gathered spoiled and rotten oatmeal, and he is set in duty in the area. But Aśoka having seen the in prevented: Leads the life of a beggar because I you thereauthorizes; but when thou hast gathered the alms -shows me." Some time later, Vîtâçôka went tothe hermitageof Kukkuta ârâma. However this thinking it came tothe mind: YesI lead here the life of a beggar, Iwill be in the middle of the crowd. This is why heseretired to the countrysideofVidêha (3), and is set to beg there. Finally, after much of the enforcement efforts, heobtained the rank of Arhat. When the respectable Vîtâçôka had reached this high rank, hefelt the joy and the pleasure of the issue, and he made this reflection: I amin effect an Arhat. Thefirst thing he didwas to be make to the door of king

Açôka. Go, he said to theguardian, and announces to King Açôka that Vîtâçôka is at hisdoor, and that he wishes to see the king. theGuardian is making immediately withof the king, himsays:king, happiness to you:Vîtâçôka is at your door, and hewishes to see the king. Go fast,replied the king, and let him in. Vîtâçôka was immediately introduced into thepalace.Açôka had no sooner seen his brother, than rising from his throne, hefell fromallits height atfeetthe religious, as a tree cut by theroot; then looking at the respectable Vītāśoka, he himself said in shedding tears:(1) This passage is greatly altered; I take the sense the more likely.(2) It is necessary, for the clarity of the story, to remove this negation; I would therefore proposeto read:âhâram àlabhata, in place of âhâram na lahhatê, and I would translate as: "and he received of"very good foods. "(3) The Vidêha is, as we know, the ancient MitUla or the modern Tirhout.

Page 33

, 376INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY"Whatever he sees mehedo not feel this emotion that always feelthe men when they come to be met; no doubt heis full by the tasty food of the science that it has procured energy of the dis-extinction." Râdhagupta was the prime minister of King Açôka. He saw the garmentpatchedthe respectable Vîtâçôka anda vase of earth, and in this vase a charity of Rice that he had given Luha; and in this view s' being bowed tofeet of the king, hesaid to him, holding his hands together in a sign of respect: king, since this Reli-gieux has so littleof desires and that he is satisfied, heshould he certainly reached toits purpose. ((What could cause the fun in that which has for food thatsome alms, for clothing but rags gathered in the dust, and for dwelling only the neighborhood of trees?" The one that the vast heart has nothing that the fastener, which the healthy body is freeof disease, and which hasat his discretion of his existence, that one sees for him inthe world of men a perpetual feast .vs(The king having heard these stanzas, cried out with joy in his heart:"In light free of pride, height and of disorder thatoffspring of ourrace, which renounced the family of the Mâuryas, the city of Magadha and all its valuable assets, it me seems that my capital eager rises purified by theglory." Show ustherefore nobly the law of the Sage with ten forces. So the king preing his brother between his arms, the made asseoirjsur the seat that was it intended; thenhehimoffered of its ownhand of the whole food prepared; finally, when hesaw that he had finished hismeal, washed his hands and put aside his vase, hesat downsit in front ofrespectable Vîtâçôka forhear the law. So therespectable Religious wishing to instruct Açôka byan interview relating to the Law, said to him: Rem-bend with attention the duties of the royal power; it 's something dilfi-cile to obtain only the three precious objects; honor them constantly, lord. And when hethe was pleased with the way in a speech relating to the Act, itsewithdrew. But Acôka with folded hands, surrounded by his five hundred ministers, and accompanied by a procession of several thousand inhabitants of thecity of thecountryside that surrounded him withrespect, is set in duty to follow the respective Vîtâçôka table. This is what this stanza expresses:" The brother is followed by thehis elder king, who accompanies him with respect; this is a visible result and well worth celebrating, ofadoption of religious lifegious." Then the respectable Vîtâçôka, wanting to give an idea of his merit, rushed forward Page 34

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .377in the air by means of his supernatural power, in the sight of the crowds of the littleple.And the king Aśoka bringing his hands in a sign of respect, and surrounded byseveral hundredof a thousand inhabitants, kept his eyes fixed on the

sky ;and lookingthe respectable Yîtâçôka, hepronounced these stanzas: "Royalty any attachment to your family, you're slender like to a bird, we letting in some way bound in the bonds of the passion felt byman for thepleasure." If cesage full of calm and master of his heart seems with this power, it is the fruit of the contemplation, fruit that will be shownnotthe men blinded thedesire."This supreme supernatural power covers us with shame, swells uspride of the prosperity; this intelligence we bend the head to us exaltsthe idea of our knowledge."Thissage who has touched ^ the goal we frightens uswhoin our blindnessbelieve we have received our reward; finally a cloud of tears darkens ourface; we are not really freed." However the respectable Yîtâçôka be made in the country beyondborders, and he placed therehis seat and his bed. There hewas struck by aserious disease. The king Aśoka inhaving been informed, he sent the drugs and the servants. When the Religious suffered from this disease, his head was covered withleprosy; cornas soon as the evil was gone, his hair grew back, and hesent back the medicationments and the servants. It is put to eat especially 'of the foods in which itentered the milk, and is made enconséquence near a park in theneighborhoodfrom which helived as a beggar."He came to this sametime that in the town of Pundra vardhana, aman who was devoted to beggarsBrahminists overturned a statue of theBuddha at the feet of a beggarwho broke it. A faithful Buddhist informed theking, who immediately ordered that this man be brought to him. The Yakchas heardthis order at the distance of a Yôdjana in the sky, and the Nagas at the distance of a Yôdjana under earth to ensure that the culprit was the same moment brought beforethe king. At this sight Açôka transportedin fury cried: That we put to deathall those who live in Pundra vardhana. In accordance with this order, we mitaeighteen thousand inhabitants died in a single day ."Some time later, ToPataliputtra, one anotherdevoted manofBrahmins overthrew still a statue of Buddha at feet of men-who the helltorn to pieces. The king having learned the factsereturned to fu-reur at thehouse of the beggar, the devotee, as well as with their parents andtheir friends, andfitall consumed by the fire; then hedid proclaim this order: Page 35

878INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYWhoever brings me the head of a Brahmanic beggar will receive from me aDînâra (1)."However, the respectable Vîtâçôka had retired for a night in the hut.of an Abhîra. As hewas still suffering from his illness, his clothes were intattered, and his hair, his beard and his nails of unelongueur disproportionate. Thewife of the pastor made this reflection: He is undoubtedly a Brahmin beggarthat this man who has come into our cabin to spend the nuit. Elle says so to her husband: Son of my master, here's an opportunity degagner one Dinara; let's killthis beggar, and let's take his head to King Açôka. Immediately pulling hissword of thescabbard, the Abbîra moved towards Yitâçôka. Thisrespectable religious possessedthe science of what had happened to him once. Heliveshewas on the spot toto reap the fruits of the actions hehad performed himself formerly. Also, of course of the fact, itbe kept quiet. The Abîhra him so cut off the head withhis sword andthe bore the king Asoka, in his saying :Give me a Dînâra. At theto the head, the king thought to recognize; however this light-sown hairdid not agree with the resemblance hewas looking. We brought in the medicscians and servants, who said in the light: Lord, this is the head of Vîtâçôka. With these words the kingfell unconscious to the ground, on the fitback in her throwing of water, and then his ministers said to him: Your orders, oh king, have brought misfortuneon the head even a wise free of passion; Granted, in the revoking, the Security at all the world. The king therefore

returned theresttopeoplein defendingthat in the future no one should be put to death."However, the Religious, who had conceived doubts, thus questioned therespectable Upagupta that slice all the doubts: What actions had therefore committed the respectable Vītāśoka to have merited, as a result of his conduct of perish by the sword ?Learn, respectable personages, replied the Sthavira,(1) The use of the word Dinara, which Prinsep has positively demonstrated originally Western and the fairly recent introduction into India {Note on the facsimile, etc., in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. ofBengal, t.VI, p. 45), is a proof more convincing than all those that I could allegetouching the modern date of the legend of Açôka. This word is seldom used in the Sanskrit books of the North, and I would have never met in those of the Sutras that I looklike elders, at least for the fund. So far I can only cite two examples. The first is borrowed from the legend of Hiranya pni, which is part of Avadâna çataka. The hero of this story was named Hiranya Pain, "the one who has to goldin the hand, "because at the time of his birth we found him in the hollow of each hand, lakchanâhatamdînâradvayam, which must mean "two Dînâras marked with signs." (Avad. that L, f. 195.) The second example that I can allege of the use of this word is found in a semi-historical passageRing of Divyāvadāna, we will see more low. Puchpâmitra, this king of Magadha whom thelegend names the last of the Mâuryas, promises, in the cityof Çâkala, one hundred Dînâras foreach head of Buddhist Religious. (Divya avad., F.211 b.) In the ancient sūtras, the termwhich seems the most often is Suvama.

Page 36

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .879the actions he had done in his previous existences. Once upon a time, O Religious, in a time since long past, liveda hunter who supportedhisexistence by killingantelopes. Hewas found in the forest a well withwhich the hunter held out his nets and traps, and there he killed the anti-lope. When there is no Buddha in the world, there is there arises the Pratyeka Bud-dhas. But a sure Pratyeka Buddha had retired in the wells for there tohis meal, in came out and went to sit the legs crossed with a tree. Aver-ties of its presence by the smellthat there avaitlaissée, the antelopes did came Pasauwell. The hunter to it being made of his hand, recognized that the game did not have appeared as a regular; and closer and closer itreached the place where wasseated the Pratyêka Buddha. In the light, this idea he came to mind: This is the onewho has been missing my hunting; and drawing his sword from the scabbard, he put to death the Pratyêka Buddha." Erase you understand this, respectable characters? This hunter.it was Vîtâcôka himself. Erase ithad killed once theantelopes ithas beenaffected. by the effect of this action, of a great disease. Because he had killed the Pratyêka Buddha with his sword, heToproven, by the effect of this action, thepains of Hell for several miners of years, and hewas born again among the men for five hundred years, seeing always her life decided by the sword; finally it is to expiate the rest of this action that today, althoughreached the rank of Arhat, hehas perished by thesword.- But what action did he havecommitted to be reborn in an illustrious family and to obtain the rank of Arhat ?The Sthavira replied: Hethere was under Kâçyapa thePerfectly accomplished buddhaa certain Pradâna rutchi who came into lifereligious. Thanks to him, generous donors used their donations to feed the Assembly of Religious, in him giving a pleasant drink porridge, or inviting intheir homes. Thanks to him, umbrellas were erected above the Stupas; we themhonored in their offering offlags, banners, perfumes, garlands, of flowers, and in exécutantdes concerts. It is as a reward for this act that hewas born into a

high family. Finally, after having fulfilled the duties of lifereligious for ten thousand years, hehas expressed virtuous wish, and it is byresult of this wish that heis reached to the dignity Arhatship (1)."When the king Aśoka had, by the oflrande of the half a amalaka (2)testified to his faithfor the Law of Bhagavat (3), heover therethus to the Religious:(1) This part of the legend bears, in our two manuscripts, the title of VUâçôka avadâna ort Legend of Vîtâçôka. "(2) It is the fruit of phyllanlhus emblica, or myrobolan.(3) It will have in the beginning of this piece, which ends the legend of Açôka, a confusion that

Page 37

380INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYWhat is that which, under the Act of Bhagavat, has made the alms abundant? It is Anâtlia pindika the master of the house, replied the Religious.- At what sumare the alms he has given? - A hundred Kôtis. This answer madereflect the king: Here, he said to himself, a master of the housewho gave a hundred Kôtisfor the Law of Bhagavat! then hesaid everything above: And Ialso i want to giveone hundred Kôtis. He did, [as we know,]establish eighty-four thousand royal edicts of the Law; hegave a hundred thousand [Suvarnas] to each of the places where they wereses, and heas did much the place where was born Sakyamuni, where hehad become Buddha, where he had been turning the wheel of the law, and where itwas entered in the Nirvana com-plet. He received the Religious during the fivemonths of Varcha, and in this occasionedZion he gave four hundred thousand [Suvarnas]; it feeds three one hundred thousand monks, tonamely, one hundred thousand arhats and two hundred milledisciples and men ordinaryfullof virtues. Hefitpresent at the Assembly of the Ayras of the great land of its Women in the crowd of his ministers of Kunāla to himself finally, reservoirefore however his treasure, andhebought all these goods for four hundred thousand[Suvarnas]. Finally hehad in this way given ninety-six thousand Kôtisfor the Law of Bhagavat, whencame to fall into languor. Hethen said to himself: Soon I will be more, and this idea the castin the discouragement." Rādhagupta was the minister of the king ;it was the one withwhich, [in one ofhis existencesearlier,] hehad given a handful of earth [to Câkya]. Seeingthe king fall into the discouragement heis worshiped him, and told him thehands united insign of respect: "Why,Lord, is it flooded with tears this face, who similar to the devouring star of the day cannot be watched by the crowd of your mighty onesenemies, and which can not be detached hundreds of women in the eyes of lotus?<LRâdhagupta, replied the king, I do notweep neither the lossof my treasures, northat of my royalty, nor the misfortune of being separated from the world; I weep for what that I will be away from Arya. < (No, I will no longer see the Assembly which has allthevirtues, who will be not easy to get by, if we did not know with what negligence the legendariessew together the various episodes of the stories that they transmit the tradition. It 's not afterhave offered to the assembly of monks the half a amalaka that the king Aśoka inquired with Religious of the name of the one that they had never made the alms the most abundant. The continuation of the story proves, on the contrary, that Açôka did not bear this half of the fruit until he had exhausted histreasures, and that the heir presumptive had taken the steps to prevent abandon the Re-ligious all of which it left. The first sentence of this paragraph may therefore go to kind of title of the legend, he must listen to little close well: "How Açôka, by" The offering of the half of unÂmalaka, testified to his faith in the law of the Bhagavat. > That which follows this sentence is the

summary of the first part of thelegend of Kunâla; that of Vîtâçôkabegins with a similar summary.

Page 38

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .381is revered of men and of gods; Iwill not be able to honor himin himoffering excellent food and drink; and this thought did run mytears." Andthen, Râdhagupta, my intention was to give a hundred Kôtis for the Lawof Bhagavat, and I did not put my projectto execution. Having thus spoken, he said to himself: I'm going to meet again four KOTIS to complete my alms; and from this when it is set to send goldand of moneyTothe hermitageby Kukkuta• àrâma." At that time, it was Sampadî thesonof Kunâla who was the Yuvarâdjaor the heir apparent. The ministers said to him: Prince, the King Aśoka no longerlong to live, and hesend all his treasures to Kukkuta ârâma :or hethere are othervery rulers who ontde great riches ourselves must doncempêcher the kingto be ruined. As a result the young princess defended the treasurer to give of the money to the king]. It was the habit of his to present to eat in desvasesgold; Aśoka having taken his meal, is started to send these vases Kukkuta Arama. So we defended from her present vases of this metal, and from that moment its food himwas brought in silver vases ;but the king sent them fromeven in Kukkuta ârâma. The silver vessels were deleted in their turn, andreplaced by desvases of iron; but the king went to the send as theothers to the hermitage. Finally heliad to present him his food in vases of ar-gile. So Aśoka holding in his hand the half a fruit of amalaka, summonedhis ministers with the inhabitants, and they saidfull of sadness: Who isnow king of this country? The ministers are rising immediately from their seats, and pointing towards Açôka their hands united insign of respect, said to him: It isyou, Lord, that esroi of this country. But Açôka, her eyes darkened by a cloud of tears, said to his ministers: Why then do you say out of goodness what is not ot true? I am fallen to the kingdom; hedo me still more than the half offruit ofwhich I can haveas a sovereign." Shame on a wretched power that resembles the movement of watersof a swollen river, since despite the empire I exercise over themen, theterrible misery has also reached me!" But who couldflatter oneself to make lie these words of Bhagavat :Allthe féhcités have to end misfortune? this does not in fact amisleading languageafraid that that of Gâutama who does not lienever (1).(1) I am passing here a stanza which is absolutely unintelligible and barely readable in our twomanuscripts; it in lack even the half in the copy of Divyāvadāna that I have. This stance certainly contains a of these moral maxims on the vanity of the power humaine, as the legends of the North expressed generally in a style quite Platet quite vul-Page 39

332INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYt After having ordered at the land held under its unique power, afterhaving deletedall thefighting and all thedisorder, destroy the crowd ofhis enemies swollen with pride, and consoled thempoor and the unfortunate, the fallen Açôka king livesNow without luster in the misery. Like the flower or the leafwhowill fade when it is cutor torn off, so is des-dry Açôka." So the king Açôka having called a man who was standing near to him: Friend, he said to her, though I have fallen from my power, please, in favor of my former merits, running the last order that I give. Take celtichalf of Amalaka which belongs to me; go to the hermitage of Kukkuta ârâma, and make it present to the Assembly. Then bowing in my name the feet of As-seem, talk to him thus: Here is what is reduced now the wealth of sovereign monarch of Djambudvîpa; it sthehis last alms; he shouldeat this fruit so that the offering of the king is distributed to all the As-

seemed to which it is addressed. And hepronounced these stanzas: "Today is my last alms; my royalty and my power areparties; private of health, of doctors and medicines, Ihave no more support than the Assembly of Arya. this So eat this fruit in a waymay my last alms be distributed to the whole Assembly, to which my intention is to offer it." It will be done so," repliedman to the king; and taking this half of fruit, heis returned to the hermitage of Kukkuta Arama. There will be advanced to the site of hon-neur, heoffered, the hands together respectfully, this half of the fruit to TASseemed, and pronounced these stanzas: "The one who, commanding to the land held in its unique power, éclai-would formerly the world, similar to the sun, when hehas reached aumiUeuof its stroke, King sentantaujourd'huisa prosperity interrupted, be seenbetrayed by his works; and like the sun on theend of the day, heis deprived of his power." Bowing his head respectfully before the Assembly, hehimmadepresent of thehalf of this amalaka, sign visible from the instability of the capital." Then the Elder of the Assembly addressed himself thus to the Religious: Today, venerable characters, heyou are allowed to experience of the pain; and for-what? Because Bhagavat has said: The misfortune of another is an opportunity congaire. The end of the stanza rolls, if I do my mistake on UUE comparison borrowed in a riverwhich goes up, stopped by rocks detached from a large mountain. I wish that the readerI do n't regret the absence any more than I do. Hopefully the least that I forgive this shortcoming infor the ordeal that I myself am imposed by translating manuscripts also incorrect.

## Page 40

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .S8Svenable to grieve. Andwhat is the man having from the heart thatnot to grieve nottoday?" Açôka, theheroes ofMâuryas, this model monarch ofgenerosity, afteravoi \(^\) was the sovereign one of Jambudv\(\bar{\text{p}}\)a do have more than a halfof an Âmalaka!" Today deprived of his power by his subjects, he gives this half offruit, thus manifesting his thought to ordinary men who are puffed up with pridethe intoxication of enjoyment and of the bliss." Then we pila this half of the fruit, and we reduced it to a mass, thatit was circulated in the Assembly."However the king Aśoka said to Rādhagupta: Tell me, dear Rādhagupta, whatis now the ruler of country. So Rādhagupta is throwing at the feetfrom Açôka, himsaid the hands united insign of respect: Lord, this isyouwhoyou are the sovereign of the country. At these words, Acôka, lifting herself up a little, and walkinghis lookson thefour parts of the horizon, cried, pointing his handstogether with respect of the side of the Assembly: Today I give to the As-sembly of Listeners Bhagava the whole of thebig land, up to shores from the Ocean, to the exception, however, of my treasure. And hepronounced thesestanzas:" This land which the ocean envelops like a beautiful garment of sapphire, which the face is in some way adorned by the mining of gems various, this land which supports the creatures and the Mount Mandara, I to give to the Assembly ;may icollect the fruits of this action!" I do not wish for a price of this good work nor the possession of the palace of Indra, nor that of the world of Brahmà; with more strong reason not desired I not thehappiness of the kingdom escaping moresoon as the water that flows." What I wish for price of the perfect faithwith which I dothisdonation is to exercise over myself that empire so worthy of respect that honoringrent the Arya, and which is a good sheltered from change." After having had this donation written, hethe recovered [Tohis minister] and the fitseal with its seal. The king had no soonergave the land to the Assembly, which heis subject to the law of time. The ministers who transported his body in a litter adorned fabrics blue and yellow, it went the

last rites and took carefrom ^ himgive a successor. But- Rādhagupta them said :Aśoka, the king has giventhe big land in the Assembly. Why did he dothis donation? resumed themministers. It was his desirereplied Râdhagupta; the king saidhewanted togive a hundred Kôtis. for the Law of Bhagavat. Hecarried his gifts to thesum of ninety-six Kôtis, but the heir apparent prevented him from Page 41

384INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYtinue. Then the king made donation to the Assembly of the great earth. Inconsequence theministers paid the Assembly four Kôtis, redeemed from it the possession of the earth, and placed Sampadi on the throne. TOSampadî succeededVrihaspati soundson ;in Vrihaspati, Vrïchasêna ;in Vrïchasêna, Puchyadharman; to PuchyadharmanPuchpamitra. The latter called aday his ministers and they said: What a waywould I have to perpetuate the memory of my name for a long time? The Ministers himreplied: Lord, there are eudans your family a King named Aśoka, which Toestablished eighty-fourthousand - edits of the Law; herglory will live so manytimethat remain the Act Rhagavat. You can therefore, following his example, establish four-twenty-four thousand edicts of the Law. The king Aśoka was great and wealthy, said theking; I wishfind a different way to me make famous."The king had as a domestic priest a Rrâhman, who wasa manordinary and who had no faith in the Ruddha. This Rrâhman said to the king: Lord, hethere are two ways to make your name last. Puchpamitra having then equippedaarmy formed of four corps oftroops, left for Kukkutaarama with the project to destroy the Law Rhagavat. Arrived at the door of the hermitage, heheardthe roar of the lion (1). Terrified, the King returned in Pâtaliputtra. Asecond time, a third time, hewas thus rejected. Finally hesummoned the As-sembly of Religious and they said: I want to destroy the Law of Rhagavat: what iswhat you prefer that I destroy, the Stûpaor the chapel where resides the As-wheat? The Religious preferred to abandon the hermitage to the king. Puchpamitra thetherefore overturned from the bottom up and massacred the Religious who inhabited it. Ofthere heis returned to Câkala (2), and there was this statement: The one who will bring me thethe head of a Cramana will receive from me a hundred dinaras. Now a Religious offeredhis headto save the edicts of the Law and the lives of the Arhats (3). The king having heard this, madeslaughter the Arhats of the land. But heencountered opposition, and don't pushed pasplus away hisdestructive enterprise."So leaving this country, heis made to Kôchthaka (4). The Yakcha Damchtrâ(1) This term should be taken to figuratively: in the ancient Sutras as in the mo-last, "the roar of the lion "refers to the preaching of the law, regarded as victorious and putting in flight opponents. This figure is probably an application by the name of Sakya simha that gives to Sakyamuni; in fact, a time the sage called "the Lion of Sakya, "it is natural that his word is called "the roar of the lion." " The lion plays also an otherrole still in the Buddbisme; and the columns surmounted by a reclining lion, which we findstanding or overturned in the north of India, are an obvious allusion to the name of "lion of "Çâkyas." (2) See surce name a footnote to the end of this volume, Appendix, n " VI.(3) The text is very altered here; I interpret this sentence from the set of the story.(4) I can not find anything in our legends that allows me to determine the position of this place. But if we compare the name of the Yakcha which, according to the text, seemed theremake up residence Page 42

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .^ 85Nivasin then made the following reflection: The lawof Bhagavat goesperish; and me, whoobserves the precepts, I am unable to do harm to that as it is. Thedaughter of this Divinity was requested by Krimisêna; but the fatherdid not wantto give this Yakcha, and heanswered him: You are a sinner. Damchtrâ nivâsinconsented, however, to the give to Krimisênathat the requested, provided thatwould protect the Law of Bhagavat. The king Puchpamitra was constantly followed by a large Yakcha (1) who had mission the defense and for the protection, and it was thepower ofthis Yakcha who made the king invincible. The Yakcha Damchtrâ nivâsinhaving taken possession of the goalkeeper who was abandoning not the king went for a pil-rinsing in the mountains. However, Puchpamitra directed his march towards thegreat southern ocean. So the Yakcha Krimisêna having rolled a large Mountain Puchpamitra imprisoned, as well as its soldiers and its tanks. We gavesince when the king the name of Munihala (the one whoput to death the lonely). At the death of King Puchpamitra, the family of Maurya was extinguished.y>Prior to signal to the reader the main points of this legend whichmiM i-tempt at the moment his attention, I believenecessary from there join one another fragmentment extracted from the Avadâna çataka. I borrow it from a caption calledtheCouncil. We will soon see why this text needs to be reconciled of the previous one. ((Two hundred yearsafter that the blessed Buddha was entered in the Nir-full vana, reignedin the city of Pâtaliputtra a king named Açôka. Hiskingdom was rich, flourishing, prosperous, fertile, people, abundant in men; we are seeing neither disputes nor disputes; the attacks, the invasions and biigan-dages of thieves There were unknown; the ground there was covered with rice sticks to sugar and of cows. This righteous monarch, king of the law, rudder according to the lawhis kingdom. One day heentertained himself with the queen, that with her heis delivered topleasure and to the pleasure, she became pregnant. At the end of eight or nine months it gave birth and put the world a sonbeautiful, easyto see, graceful, with the eyessimilar to those of the Kunâla bird. Once he futné, we celebrated the feast of itsbirth, and webusied himself with giving it a name. What name will this child have? sesaid the parents to each other. Since attime of his birth his eyes were re-seemed to those of the bird Kunāla, his name will Kunāla. theyoung child wasentrusted to the care of eight nurses :two for him to give the breast, both for himname which means " one who lives close to the tooth "what ifwe remember that one of the teeth of the Buddha, the one believed to have been transported later to Ceylon, was kept in the Kalinga or the modern Orixa, perhaps it will be possible to conjecture that Kôcidhaka is one of theancient names of the modern city of Katlak. (Csoma, Life of Shakya, in Asiat. Res.,t.XX,p. 317. Turnour, Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, l.VI, p- 860 ff .; t.Vil, p. lOR.)(1) After the words Yakchô mahân, we read in our two manuscripts pramâne yûyam, of which I do notthen do nothing; should we read mahdpramânô 'bhavat ?25

Page 43

386INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY\* to drink the milk, both for the clean up, and twoto play with him. One dayhewas adorned withall its ornaments, the king the holding between his arms, put to thewatch repeatedly; and delighted with the perfection of her beauty, heexclaimed: No ido not have a son who equals it in beauty! € Now in this time lived in the province of Gandhara a man who was NOMmait Puchpa bhêrôtsa. It happened that a son was born to a certain master of the houseincluding the beauty surpassed that of men, but could exceed not the Gods. To hisbirth appeareda pond built inprecious stones and

full of divine water of scent, and a large garden full of flowers and of fruit, which walked. In some place that the child should bear hisnot, there appeared the pond and the garden. This is whyhe was given the name of Sundara (the beautiful). With the Suntimedaragrows." At some time there, Puchpa bhêrôtsa is renditavec of merchants toa certain case in the city of Pâtaliputtra. Taking with him a present of-Tiné the king, he was made to introduce in his presence; then prostrating himself at his feet, hehe offered his present and is kept standing before him. The king did see merchantshis son Kunâla. Merchants them he said, have you ever seen in the country asyou 've visited, a child gifted with beauty so perfect? The merchants reunited with their hands in a sign of respect, bowed downat the feet of the king; and afterhaving obtained the assurance that they could speak without fear, they gave him this answer: There are in our land, O king, a young man named Sundara, whosethe beautysurpasses that of man, but does not equal that of the Gods. At its birthsance itappeared a pond built instonesprecious and full of waterGod of scent, and a large garden, full of flowers and of fruit, whichwalk. Insome place that this young man carries its not, there appear the pond and the garden. € On hearing these words, the king was hit with a surprise extreme; andfull of curiosity, hesent a messenger to Sundara to give him the following notice.before: The king Aśoka wants to come to see the youngSundara ;run it asyou have to do or prepare. But the great crowd of people, frightened, madethisreflection: If the king comes here with a large procession of troops, hemayresult of large (very Jesa ^. This is whySundara having donehitch a goodchar, and is being fitted with a collar made of a thousand pearls for the give in this the king was sent to to Aśoka. Arrived at the end of histravel itarrived at the city of Pâtaliputtra; and taking the necklace made of a thousand pearls, heis made from f King Açôka. He would not rather have seen the beauty, the brilliance, the splendor and the per-fection of young Sundara, as well as the divine pond and the garden, which he was seized withextreme astonishment.

#### Page 44

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .•387"Then the king, to also excite the astonishment of the Sthavira Upagupta, firsting with him the young Sundara, is returned to Kukkuta Arama. In this gardenlived eighteen miles ARHAT having their headUpagupta, anda numberdouble of disciples and ordinary menfull of virtue. The king having greeted thefeet of Sthavira, sat down in front of him to hear the law, and Upagupta to himexposed. So the young Sundara which the provisions were reached their matu-rity perfect, after hearing the law, felt the desire to enter into the life reli-gious. After in having informed the king Aśoka, heentered into the religious life inpresence of the Sthavira Upagupta. After of long efforts, after the studies and asupported application, itrecognized that as this is that the wheel of the transmigra-tion, which carries five marks, that is to both mobile and immobile and having triumphed in all the ways by which we among in the world, in the détrui-health, in the stunning, in the dissipating, by the dashing, hearrived, by the destruction of all the corruption of evil, to see face to face the state of Arhat. Became Arhat, [etc. as above, page 292, to the fmuntil:]hewas fromthosethat all the Devas, accompanied by Indra and of Upêndra, worship, honorand greet. (vsThen the king Aśoka, feeling of doubts arise in his mind, spoke to Sthavira the following question: What actions has therefore made Sundara for pos-Seder one such beauty? What actions did he take so that [to hisbirth] havepublished pond built of stones precious and full of water divine to smell, and a large garden, full of flowers and of fruit, that walk? The Stha-vira Upagupta

replied: This Sundara, O great king once was, in other existential these, made and accumulated the actionsqui have reached to their completion, [etc. likeabove, page 243, to the end of the paragraph.]"Formerly, O great king, when Bliagavat was entered in the full Nirvana, therespectable Maha Kâçyapa, which with a series of cinquents Religious parcou-ruled the provinces of Magadha, wished to convene an Assembly of the Law. Hearrived that a poor plowman saw this great Assembly of Religious that the death of Master plunged in the pain, who were tired of browse the country, and which the body was covered with dust. At this sight heis felt touched com-passion, and heinvited the five hundred religious with Kâçyapa to come and take are ligious bath. There, when he presented them with hot water perfumed with various species of odors, the Religious is bathed and cleaned their coats. Nexthaving offered them food prepared with care, hereceived the forms of refugeas well as the precepts of teaching, and itsaid the following prayer: May I, entering into the religious life under the law of this Śākyamuni himselfget the state of Arhat!

### Page 45

388INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY"How do you understand this, O great king? The one who in thistime and at that time was apoor laborer, is the Religious Sundara. Parce itoffered the Religious a sacred bath, hegot this beauty that the distinguished andwith him is appeared that built pondin precious stones andfull of awater scented with sandalwood and a large garden, full of flowers and of fruit, that work because itthen received the forms of refuge and the precepts of teaching, he To seen face face to face in this present existence the stateof Arhat. This is howO great king, than all black actionsisreserved a black award also [etc. as above, page 244, until theend of paragraph.]"From the comparison of this fragment with the more extensive legend of which I have it hat precede it The result of course that this is theeven king which heis spoken inone and in the other ;the Açôka of the fragment entitled the Council, like the Açôka oflegends say V Alms of a handful of earth, list of Açôka, Historyof Kunāla, is the king, the father of this young man famous by its beauty and by itsmisfortunes. In one as in the other text, Aśoka is contemporary Upa-gupta. Eminent religious whohas been flourishing under the same monarch the Act ofBuddha. This is, I believe, a point thatborncan be questionable. However, in the first of our of themmoieties, the time of Aśoka is placed in thehundredth yearsince the death of Çàkyamuni Buddha. This date is repeatedmore of a time, usually in the form of prediction, only form to aidofwhich the compilers could include a later legendat thedeath "of Çâkya innumber of books emanating from his teaching and given for expression even of his word. But as if the editors of Ava-dânas would have had doubts about the credulity of the faithful, an indication of aNature apparently more historical to presentin a way to give tothis date all the desirable certainty; it is the interview of king Acôka and an old manReligious centenarian who said having seen Cakyamuni. Ce religious is without doubttoo manymiracles, to this point even that its longevity is the least incredible of all.theRehgieux, his memories and his interview with Açoka, all this is not likely.simply a pure invention of the legendaries; but these diversdétails not ac- iscord not less with the predictions which place Açôka one hundred yearsafter Çàkyamuni. Invented by the editors of the legend, where foundby them in the memories traditional, intervention of Pindola, the Centenary religious and the contemporary to the time of Śākyamuni and of

Aśoka, certainly for objectgiving to the time we assign to it the appearance of a historical eventtoric. Now in the fragment borrowed to the legend entitled the Council, this Page 46

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .389King Açôka is said to have lived two hundred yearsafter Câkyamuni. How conci-bindthese two conflicting information, if This is not to Tune of these twoways :or recognizing that hehas existed two Açôkas, combined into aonly by the tradition; or admitting that there are among the Buddhists of the Northa double tradition, or if you want twohistorical opinions on single andunique Açôka? When we compare the traditions of meritorious Buddhismdional with those of the Nepalese, we will see how much the first assumption is more likely than the other. We know, in fact, that the Buddhists from Geylonrecognize two Açôkas: Monwho haslived around the yeardoo since thedeath ofÇâkya; the other who wasruler of indiacentral, two hundred and eighteen years oldafter this same event, and to which we attribute the erection of the many Slûpasand the columns of which are found even as of debris in various provinces ofindia(1). At the moment heenough to the special object of this Memoir, which iscritical review the written authorities of Northern Buddhism, of cons-tater that the collection of avadānas contains the treaties that belongcertainly not to the preaching of Çâkyamuni. And what is more importantyet to notice, is that these treaties are involved in the works contem-rains ofSakyamuni, at least for the funds, without which nothing warn the readerwith the crucial difference that the distinguishes the one of the others. We will have to-core holding account of Celtic peculiarity when we study the collection of South, where this confusion against which the critic must be put righthour on guard, certainly did not happened. It is time to sum up in fewof words the results of the discussion to whichhas given rise to so-called collection of avadanas or legends. Itherefore believe to have establishedin the course of this discussion :1 \*\*Whether it is the Avadânas or legends who, in the Sanskrit collection of Nepal, represent the second of the three divisions of the Buddhist scriptures, called the Vinaya or of the discipline; 2. That the rules of the discipline will be no more dogmatically exposed in the avadanas that only the are those of the corporation in the Sutras, this that Idemonstrated by extensive extracts from the Avadânas; S ^ That by studying the Avadânas, wethere trouve of details ancient and contem-porains of Çaki on the ordination of Religious, on the names they bear, on the various orders of which the Buddha's Audience Assembly is composed, ontheir way of life, both the result of the Master thatin the monasteries ;on thehierarchy and on the ranks assigned to Religious based on merit; on various(1) Turnour, Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.VI, p. 714 sqq. Page 47

390INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYinstitutions, such as those of retirement, called the Varcha or for the seasonrains, and of the denomination; on the distinction and enumeration of faults; onvarious obligations imposed on ascetics regarding the clothing and food, details that I have based on extracts from various Avadânas, and that I havemade follow observations on the general character of the Buddhist discipline, on the cult and on the objects to which it is addressed, on the statues of the Buddha and on the Stupas; 40 Finally, that between the Avadânas the restill need distinguish those which remind the contemporary events of Sakyamuni, and those who tell facts or quote of personnages manifestement subsequent to the time of founding of Buddhism. SECTION IV. ABHIDHARMA OR METAPHYSICS. As much as the collection of Nepal, such has

been the gathering Mr. Hodgson REN-farm little of books with the title SpecialofVinaija, as much sheoffers us somereturning in the section of VAbhidharma or of the metaphysical. The threeSIEME of Pitakas or of collections there is amply shownin effect by thethree editions of the Pradjna pramita: the first in hundred thousand items conheld infour main sections, the second intwenty five athousand articles, and thethird in eight thousand. These voluminous collections can now be found at the Royal Library: I have more than acopy of the writing in eighta thousand articles, that Iowe to the friendship of Mr. Hodgson. The rescue is the seen, notlack not for the study of the metaphysicalof Buddhism. To these works hemust include other books including thegoal is in partana-logto that of the Pradjna pramitâ. These are several Sûlras Vdipitlyas ordeveloped, as the Samadhi raja, treated on the various species of contem-plation; the DACA bhûmîçvam, exhibition of ten degreesofperfection by whichpass a Buddha; the SaddharmaLangkâvatâra or the teaching of the goodLaw given to Langkâ, of which hewill be spoken shortly, and most likelyalso the Saddharmapundarîka or the Lotus of the good law, including the partdogmatic aims to establish that there are not three distinct means of salvation for the three classes of beings, called the Crâvakas or iVuditors, the PralyêkaBuddhas or individual Buddhas, andfuture Bôdhisaltvas or Buddhas, but

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .391that there is only one vehicle, and that if Çâkya speaks of threevehicles is only to proportion his teaching to the faculties more or lesspowerful of thosewho listen to him(1). It is true that in the most booksthat I come to mention the part speculative do not dominant, andthat she is therescrum at the subjects of another order andgenerally practical. However heexists as to the writing and the stylean indisputable analogy between the Sûlras Yâipulyas and books of the Prajñā pâramitâ. Celte analogy that Iannounced more topspeaking of the two classes of sūtras, formed one of the Simple sutras, the other of the developed sutras, relates to the preamble by whichopen the books of the Prajñā, on the number of persons who attend to the Çâkyamuni Assembly, finally on therank of these characters, who arealmost always fabulous Bôdhisattvas, miraculously come from all thepoints of the horizon. In a word, the context desdiverses editors of the Prajñais exactly that of such sūtrasdeveloped that we want to choose; and forcomplete this resemblance, the various editors of the Pradinâ bear the Title of Mahâyâna sutras or sūtras serving of large vehicle; are of Veritables Sutras, but of the species of those I call developed. It would seem, having the extent of these works, that if it must be apperation very long as to make the reading complete, it does should not be very difficult to be form an idea of their content by the extracts moreor less developed. One would be mistaken, however, to think thus; andapersonal experience, acquired by several tests, I put in right to assert that the second operation is notless difficult than the first would be tedious. It comes from theform even in these books, and of the way the about it is presented. This subject, which is essentially speculative, is exposed with themore detailed de-loppings in the Pradinâ, but nowhere explained; the psychological termsques and metaphysical which the philosophyof Buddhism is there use are listed in a certain order. Each of these terms forms a Dharma, that is that is, a Law, a condition or a thesis; because nothing is extended like themeaning of this word of Dharma. Each of these theses is posed in three forms: the first affirmative. the second negative, the third which is neither affirmative neither negative. But what that means each of these terms, thebooksdon't wenot learn it. It silently takes no doubt that

that the Assumed known in school, circumstance from which I infer that the great collections where they are Trouvillewind have been compiled at a time when the Buddhism was definitely con-(1) This is also what Mr. Schmidt establishes, according to his Mongolian and Tibetan authorities. {Same. of Acad. of Sciences of St. Petersburg, t. IV, p. 125.)

Page 49

392INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYliluted. There are also the comments that the fundamental end of eachthesis must be etymologically and philosophically analyzed, and Mr. Hodgsoncites, in one of his lists, a commentary on the Pradifia paramita in eight thousandarticles (1); but we do thedo not have in Paris, and maybe it isnoteasy to find, even atNepal I will come back to some of thesetheses, and Iput thereader in state ofJudging by himself in troublethat one experiences in forming an idea of the metaphysics of Buddhism, according tobooks where the list stands at just about exclusively the site of the explanation. These difficulties are such that they have stopped Gsoma of Kőrös itself, whichno one will suspect the knowledge and the rare patience. After having reproduced, without no comments, some of the most important series of these termsphilosophical, this learned man is to avoueincapable to say more on the doctrine of the Pradjfiù paramilà (2). The reading of a comment and studycompared to some otherstexts Buddhist he would no doubt provided the means to get in more great detail on this subject difficult. Fortunately for us, several points of the philosophy of Gâkya havealready been, in Asia and in Europe, the objectof important work. The portion the most('stretched to the first dissertation of M. Hodgson isdedicated to systemsot to metaphysical schoolsofNépfd, and the scholarly research that M. Schmidthas since long begun, and itcontinues withhard on thebooksMongolian and Tibetan, we have made about the point the most elevated of the meta-physics of Buddhism. 11is out of my planto reproduce hereall thatthese authors have taught us about the doctrine attributed to Çâkya ;their Memoirs, consignés the few in the Research Asiaof Calcutta and London, theothers in the Memoirs of the Academy of Sciences of Saint Petersburg, areeasily accessible to any reader European, and I do not have to forget that Ionly the intention to give here an introduction to the history of Buddhismand not a dogmatic exhibition of Buddhism reached atous its develop-pements. I have a reason, however, for making auseless frequent dis-sertations Mr. Schmidt and from those of Mr. Hodgson; is that the firstare written from the books that do are not at my disposal, books including Indian origin is certainlynot doubtful in my eyes, but to which I had to refrain from drawing, except in cases of absolute necessity, because mygoalSpecial is to study the Buddhism after thebooks written either by undocumentedwritten, either in aIndian language. The Memoirs of Mr. Hodgson, on the contrary,(1) Notices on the languages, etc., in Asiat. Res., T. XVI, p. 428.(2) Analysis. of the Sher-chin, in Asiat. Res., T.XX, p. 399. Page 50

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM ..393are composed with documents which owe their originat both sourcesto which I hadparticularly appeal to thewriting of my work,know, the tradition ofNepal and the books kept in this country.I propose to myselfso to summarizequickly, by attaching observations that are pro mepres, the main features of the painting that Mr. Hodgsontraced to the metaphysicalof Buddhism, and then proceed to the special examination of some points that I will study from the texts themselves. It is, to littledechose close, the walk that I havefollowed when I analyzed the Sutras.It has now to

Nép \ the four major philosophical schools, thoseof Svâbhâvikas, the Àiçvarikas, the Kàrmikas and the Yàtnikas. The school of Svâ-bhàvikas is that ofphilosophers of the Nature (1); but the word of Nature domakes that in a wayincomplete [this that the Buddhists mean by Sva ^hhâva ;they theresee bothandthe Naturewhich exists of itself, the Nature absolute, cause of the world, and Nature own each being that which the constanttitute thisheis(2). The Svâbhâvikas, whom Mr. Hodgson regards as themoreancient philosophical school of Buddhism currently known in Nepal (3), deny the existence of a spiritual principle. They will recognize that the nature taking absolutely, towhich they attribute energies to the number which is com-taking not only activity, but still intelligence. The Nature is éter-it, as well as its energies, and it has two modes, that of Pravritti or of existence.tence, and one of NirvrUti or of the termination of the rest.The powersof the Nature are in their formclean in the state of Nirvritti (4); they takea animate and material form in the state of Pravritti, statewhere the Nature betweenspontaneously, and not by the will or action of any being different from it. Theoreation and destruction of the universe are the effectof the eternal succession of two states of the Nature, and not that of the will of a creator God whodoes not exist. To the state of Pravritti or activity belong the material forms rial of the Nature : they are transient, like the other phenomenain the midst of which they appear. On the contrary, animate forms, forms of which the highest is man, are considered capable of achieve by their ownefforts in the state of Nirvritti, that is to say they can be aftVanchir of the neces-site to reappearin the midst of passing phenomena of the Pravritti(5). Arrived at this point the Svâbhâvikas divide, the one admitting that the souls whohave(1) Notices on the languages, etc., in Asiat. Res., T.XVI, p. 423.(2) See . the Additions, at the end of the volume.(3) Notices on the languages, etc., in Asiat. Res., T.XVI, p. 439.(4) Ibid., P. 435.(5) See, on this part of the doctrine of the Svåbhåvikas, the judicious observations of Benfey. {Indian, p. 197, taken from Erschfet Gruber's encyclopedia.)

Page 51

394INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY reached the Nirvrilti will retain thesense of their personality and have con-cience of restwhich they enjoy eternally (1), theothers believing thatman issued from the Prayntti and managed in the state of Nirvritti falls in the vacuumabsolute, that is to say, is annihilated for ever (2). This vacuum is this that the Buddhis-your call Çûmjatâ, "the emptiness "state, according to the Svâbhàvikas the morerigid is a good, although it is nothing; because out of there the man is condamned to spend eternity in through all the forms of the nature, provided withwhich the nothingness same is preferable. I think I have to send theluminous readerdevelopments (including Hodgson has been followed this presentation, that I reduced to its terms the more essen-tials. I do not stop notmore to report a former division of schoolSvâbhâvika, who does not bringto this doctrine other change than to put in relief, under the name of Pradina, "wisdom," the sum of all the energies Active and intelligent of the Nature, and to absorb the man in the state of nir-vrilti(3). I am looking forward to expose the principles specific to the school more directlyment opposite to that of naturalists, I want to tell the school of Âiçvarikas or thetheists, who admit a God, intelligent essence who under the name of Adibuddhais for the one the Divinity unique, and for the other the first term of aduality which the second term is the material principle that it is coexisting and coeternal (4). But here Ilet Mr. Hodgson speak himself, for fear of altering histhought: "Although the theists recognize a [immaterial essence and aGod, they deny his providence and his

empire over the world; and although they ret, ardentthe issue as the state of being absorbed in the divine essence, and they will adres-feels vaguely to God as to one who gives the property of the Pravrilti, theywatch the union of the virtue and the happiness, as we remain in this stateof pravritti, as entirely made independent of God. Theybelieve thatthe mancan it happen that by its own efforts, with the help of austerities and of themeditation; andtheybelieve that these effortscanthemake worthy of beinghonored as a Buddha on the ground, and raise it after hisdead in the sky, Tothe involvement of the attributes and happiness of the supreme Adibuddha (5). 3>on thesees, the idea of God, even in this school which Mr. Hodgson holds for more modern and less numerous than that of naturalists (6), has not thrown in very(i) Asian. Researches, t. XVI, p. 436.(2) Ibid., P. 437.(3) Hodgson, European Specul. On Buddhism, in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t. III, p. 502.(4) Notices on the languages, in Asiat. Hes., T. XVI, p. 438.(5) Asian girl. Res., T. XVI, p. 438.(6) Europ. Specul. on Buddh., in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t. III, p. 503. It's naturistshe should be able to say to the place of naturalists. Page 52

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .395deep roots. Heseems obvious to me that it was superimposed on a systemthat it was earlier and that only the unfamiliar; because remove this Adibuddha, the ontological system of Buddhism, such that the design thenaturalists, survives more or less in its entirety. It seems that the conception of an Adibuddhahas been accepted by the school theistic as provide an answer more peremptorytory and pluspopulaire to aquestion which we found that the naturalistsansweredobscurely and imperfectly. When is their asking: Where did you come from NENT the beings? they answered: Svabhavat, "of their nature own. ^)- And "Where are they going after this life?" - In other forms produced by influence" Irresistible of this same nature. - And to escape this inevitable "necessity of the revival, where does it they go? - In the vacuum. )>To theseissues the theists made the responses following which, except the names are of veri-Brahminical solutions tables: The beings come from Adibuddha or from God, whothe has created more or less directly ;and to be soustraireà fate of the transmigration it they must return to the bosom of God(1). Let us now summarize what Mr. Hodgson tells us about the other two schools.which he regards as more modern than the previous ones (2), and who gets closerchent more from the school of theists than from that of naturalists(3), that of the Kar-mikâs, or sectators of action, and that of the Yatnikas or sectarians of the effort. By action Mr. Hodgson means moral action accompanied by cons-cience {conscious moral agency), andby effort, intellectual action accom- paniespagnéedeconscience (conscious intellectualagency). The birth of these schoolsis due to the need to combat the exaggerated quietism of previous sects, whichremoved to the first cause of personality, the welfare and activity, and which deprived absolutely the man of freedom(4). Because while admitting the general principlesposed by their opponents, the founders of these two schools have sought to establishthanman canget the happiness, or by the cultivation of meaning moral (what are the Kârmikas), or by the good direction of its intelligence (this are the Yâtnikas) (5). But, Mr. Hodgson has itwisely noticed, hedo not not believe that theseschools have conceived the idea of the providence of God, nor that of free will. Thespringgeneral principles, basisother sects, which the Kàrnîikas and the Yâtnikas adoptedlike their opponents, were fundamentally opposed to these two ideas(6).(1) Asian born., T.XVI, p. 440.(2) Ibid., P. 439. Quotat. from Sanscr. anth., etc., in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t. V, p. 90.(3)

Notices on the languages, etc., in Asiat. Res., T. XVI, p. 439.(4) Asian girl. Res., P. 439, and Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.V, p. 82, note, and p. 90.(5)Notices on the languages, etc., in Asiat.Res., T. XVI, p. 439. Quotat., Etc., in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.V, p. 90.(6) Quotations from Sanscr. author., etc., in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t. V, p. 90.

Page 53

896INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYThe study of commentaries drawn up from the views of these two schools for-would only teach us how far the works belonging to the col-Election Nepal that we have in Paris in support or in contradiction the theories. Because they are in general the same texts that serve from foundationall the doctrines ; explaining one of these texts in mark thetendencynaturalist, theist, moral or intellectual. This point was put in all hisday by thequoteswhich Mr. Hodgson has taken from various budget worksethicalfrom Nepal, and he has gathered to serve of evidence tovarious exhibitions of Ruddhism that hehad doneto appearin India andinEngland (1). We can appreciate by this which parthave had to have thehow-tators in the training and the development of sects, and in the same time weseesthat we must admit that thewriting texts which they authorize themselves is tomuch earlier than the birth of the various schools which the interpret eachin his interest.hereagain we are brought back to this observation of Hodgson said that the Ruddhisme is a comprehensive system for the which training havecompeted andthetime and theeffortsof moreof aphilosopher. Distinguish betweenepochs and doctrines is without doubt a business very difficult, but it mustbe thepurpose of the criticism. Now to distinguish itnecessary to limit the scope of theresearch, examine a few selected texts, and. apply only to these texts alonethe consequences that we draw from it. It's only when all the books have been subjected to a similarexamwe will be doingan idea of their resem-larities and of their differences. Here iswhy I do not insist more nor onthe schools of the Kârmikas and the Yàtnikas, to which the Pradjnà pramita providedwithout doubt the data, without in being the pound key, or on theistic school, of opinions of wherein the Prajñāpāramitā is also foreign. CornI warnthose of readers who would like now to form an ideaexact of this that I look like therelatively modern developments of Ruddhism that the first two schools are appreciated in the Memoirsoften cited by Mr. Hodgson, and that it is in the dissertations of Mr. Schmidt, so rich in extracts from Mongolian texts, that you have to find out how the systemprimitive of the metaphysical Śākya hashigh in thenotion of a divinityabsolute and supreme, which has him under me, missed in the original. The summary of the four great philosophical schools that just to read can pass for the expression of the Nepalese tradition verified by the texts to whichhad access to M.Hodgson. But we'll see that this. summary is notcom(1) Quotations from Sanscr. author., elc, at & n & Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.V, p. 71 ff., And Journ. of the Roy. Asian girl. Soc, t.V, p. 72. Conf. Europ. Specul. on Buddh., in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, \.. m, T \. 502, drowns. Page 54

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .S91plete, and that the information drawn by Csoma to sources Tibetan us révè-slow the existence of other sects on which the Nepalese Buddhists , consultedby Hodgson, keep a profound silence. This is not all ;one of the manuscriptswritings discovered in Nepal we provides information all in fact complythe indications Tibetan. One other circumstance adds a ! new interest ininformation that I will report.Is that they assign to schoolsbuddhi-c the same names that they give

the Comments of Brahmins who have occasion to quote the Buddhists. Mr. Hodgson, bringing together thepassagesthe more specific to establish the accuracy of the statement hehad previously given of the metaphysics of Buddhism, has noticed that he did not find in thebooks from Nepal of texts that justify the classification of philosophical schoolsquesof the Bâuddhas, such as the present the Brahmins (1). Somewhat developed that are the information which will follow, theywill have a \ ilessthe advantageto fill upa certainpointthegapindicated by Mr. Hodgson. To the word Ita (doctrine, system) from his Tibetan dictionary, Csoma uslearn that therea among the Buddhistsfour theories or four systems ofphilosophy which are called in Sanskrit Vâibhâchika, Sâulrdntika, Yôgàicltâraand Madhyamika (2). Here ilets Csoma de Côros speak for himself, in addition to both the indications of his dictionary by those helooks elsewhere on the first of these four systems (3)." The first school, that of the Vàibhàchikas, comprises four main classes with their subdivisions. These four classeshad for founders four of the main disciples of Çàkya, namely Bàhula, Kâçyapa, Upâli and Kâtyàyana. Râhula was theson of Càkya: his disciplesdivided into four sections; they read the Sutra Emancipation (withoutdoubtthe Pratimôkcha Sutra)in Sanskrit, and affirmed the existence of all things. Towards the time of the third council, the school which was attached to Bàhula, and whichwas known under the generic name of Sarvâsti vâdâh or those who claimthe existence of all things, was sharedinsevensut) divisions :1 <\* Mûla sarvâstivâdâh, 2 ° Kâçyapîyâh,3 ° Mahi çâsakâh, 4 » Dharma gwptâh (4), 5 ° Bahu çru-(1) Quotatiom, etc., in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.V, p. 82.(2) Tibet. Diction., P. 276, col. 2. Notices of the diff. Systems of Buddhism, in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t. YU, ^. as.(3) Asian girl. Res., T. XX, p. 298.(4) Klaproth inserted in the Foe koue ki a note concerning a division of the scriptures bud-accepted by the Chinese, which may well contain some allusions to the enumerated sects.earned by Csoma. This note is obscure, and perhaps the texts after which it hassummerdrafted would they need to be examined again. The first of the divisions cited by Klaproth has for liter: Tan mo khieou to; it 's translated by destruction of the dark, and it sees the Sanskrit tamôghna; the title of Dharma gupta, in its pale form Dhamma gutta, would give a Page 55

398INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYtîyâh,6 ° Tâmra çâtîyâk, 7 <» Vibhâdjya vâd'mah. Kâçyapa was a Brahmin ;his disciples were divided into six classes, and they were called La grande commu-nity (the gréai comimmity). They read the Sutra Emancipation in a dia-corrupted read. At the time of the third council, we had five divisions of this school that had thetitle of Mafia sâmghikâh, Those of the great assembly; they were :1 ° Pûrva câilâh, 2 <> Avara câilâh, 3 ° Hâimavatâh, 4 <»Lôkôtiaravâdinah, 5® Pradinapti vâdinak. Upâli was a Cûdra; his disciples were dividingin three classes; they read the Emancipation Sutra in the Pâicât- dialectchika .... It 's called the class honored lot of people. This schoolhad in fact this title at the time of the Third Council; its members were calledSammalâh ^ and were divided into :1 " Kâurnkullaïcâh (!)2 \* "Âvantikâk, 3 <»Vasî-puttrîyâh. Kâtyâyana was a Çûdra ;his disciples were divided into three</p> classes; they read the Sûlra of Emancipation in the vulgar dialectOn the NOMmait The class which has fixed dwellings. They were the Sthâvirâh of the thirdcouncil,to know:1 o the Mahâ vihâra vàsinah. 2 o the Diêtavamyâh, 3 < https://witheAbhavagiri vâsinah. < In general, the Vaibhâchikas stop the degrees lower than the specularlation; they take all that contain the entries in the direction themorevulgar; they believe in everything and do not discuss

anything."The second school, that of the Sautrantikas, is made up of the followers of the Sūtras; she is Split in two sects, one who tries to prove all things by the authority of the scriptures, the other who employs argumentation for this .1The third school, that of the Yôgâtchâras, has nine subdivisions; wereports the main works of this system to the Religious Arya samgha, whoflourished towards the seventh century of our era."Thefourth school, one of Madhyamikas, is to properly talkthephilosophical system of Buddhism. It owes its origin to Nâgârdjuna, which appeared about four hundred years agoafter the death ofÇâkya (i). Its maindisciples were Arya dêva and ^ Buddha paled. These are probably the founda-teurs of the two classes in which subdivide the Madhyamikas (2)."form more closer to the Chinese transcription. The second division is that of Sopho to :it is attributed to Upâsi, bad reading for Upâli. Would it not benot daring to see hereschoolof the Sammata, who had Upàli for leader? The third division is that of the Kia se kouei; it'sprobably an altered transcription of the term Kâcyapiya. The fourth is that of Mi chahimself; is this not yet a very strong alteration of the word Mahi çdsaka? The fifth and finalDivision of the list of Klaproth is one of Pho thso crazy lo; I think I can recognize the Vâtsiputtriyas and that I ledir ii longer there. {Foe koue ki, p. 325 and 3:26.) See on the foursects, Lassen, Zeitschr. ^ etc., t. IV, p. 492 sqq. See the Additions, at the end of the volume.(1) Notices on the diff. Systems of Buddhism, in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t. VII, p. 143 ff.(2)Tibet. Dict., P. 216. A commentary on these names would be one of the most instructive books. Page 56

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .399If the Tibetansknow these names of which they can give thever-tableSanskrit form is that thesenames have existed in theBuddhismIndian; and the testimony ofinterprètestibétains, irrespective of anyother evidence, suffice already to establish the authenticity of this separation of Bud-dhism in four schools bearing the titles that Ijust listed. But wefortunately we have amore direct proof of their existence. I the finds inaa work already cited, in the commentary on the Abhidharma kôça, this inexhaustibles and mine of precious information on the speculative part of Buddhism. From the start of his work, the commentator explaining a word of little importancetance, believes that the author has used this word to express the thought follows: This is the feeling of those who follow the Abhidharma; but it 's not the one of us autresSâutrântikas. the traditiontells us in effectexistenceauthor of treaties on the Abhidharma, as by example the Arya Katyayaniputtra, author of Djhâna prasthâna; the Sthavira Vasumitra, author of Pra / ca-rana pada; the Sthavira Dêvasarman, author of Vidjmna kâya; Arya Çâri-puttra, author of Dharma skandha; the Arya Mâudgalyâyana, authorof PradjhapHcastra; Pûrna, author ofDhâtukâya: Mahâ Kâuchthila, author of Samgitiparyâya. What is the meaning of the word the Sautrāntika? We called and those whotake for authority the sūtras and not the books. But if they do n't takefor authority the books, how then do they admit the triple division of the booksin Pitaka Sutra, Vinaya pitaka and Abhidharma pitaka? We speak in eifetof Abhidharma pitakain the Sutras, in the place where heis about a Reli-gieuxknowing the three Pitakas. And this is not surprising, because itthere is SOMEONEques Sûtras, like Artha viniçtchaya and others, having the name of Abhidharma, in which is given the definition of Abhidharma. For answer celticobjection, the author says: It is that Abhidharma was exposed by Bhagavat tomedium of other materials (1). "This text is seen, not leaves no doubt about the meaning of the title of Sâutrân-tika; this title designates those who follow a doctrine, where

weadmit first of allthe authority of the sūtras. As for thedouble sect of the Sâutrântikas including Csomateaches us about existence, Inot to find it positively indicated by the com-mentary of the Abhidharma kôça. However heshould probably see an allu-sion to some division desSâutrântikas in this way where itis said of a certainauthor :<?It is neither of the school of the sūtras, nor of that of the simihtudes, na dârchtân-tikah (2)."When we allegea similarity, an example, itmust reason forto make the applicationto the thesis that we want to demonstrate."(1) Abhidharma Jcôça vyâkhyâ, f. 9 6 and 10 a, man. Soc. Asian girl.(2) Abhidharma kôça vyâkhyâ, f. 32 a and f. 36 b, man. Soc. Asian girl.

Page 57

400INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYThe titleof the yâibhâchika is no less familiar to our author, and hethethus defines /:" Those who will play, or who walk inthe alternative, "Whereagain "who know the alternative (1)." By alternative we must understand withoutdoubts the use of the dilemma, a process of which heis possible that this school has been par-larly usagepour overthrow the positions of his opponents. It is the reschool that the author of the commentary on the Abhidharma quoted more often. Heattributes to him the belief in the existence of the ether, or from space, that all the buddhist schools also do not recognize. "The Vâibhàchikas, he said, are based on this text which emanates from the teaching of Rhagavat :Onwhatrest the earth, O Gâutama? asks Kâçyapa.- The earth, oh Rrâhman, rests on the circle of water, - And the circle of water, Gautama, whereupondoes it rest? - It rests on the wind. - And the wind, Gâutama, on what rests-does he? - It rests on the ether. - And the ether, Gâutama, what is it based on ? - You are going too far, O great Rrâhman, you are going too far. Ether, oh Rrâhman, hasnothing about itrest, hehas no support (2). "The Abhidharma commentary also quotes the Yôgâtchâras, in thispassage:" By bringing together the receptacles (arraya), the things received(âçrita) and the supports (fdambana), which are each composed of sixterms, we have eighteen terms called Dhatus or containers. The collection of sixreceptacles, these are the bodies of the sight, of hearing, of smell, of taste, of alwaysdear, and the Manas (or the organ ofheart), which is the last. The collection of six things received is knowledge produced by the order and by the other sensesup to and including Manas. The collection of six supports is the formand other sensitive attributes up to inclusive Dharma (Law or Being)is lying. But, inopinion of Yôgâtchâras, heyhas a Manôdhâtu, distinct fromsix acquaintances (3),"and no doubt perceived by the Manas or the heart. Finally our authorindicates the existence of Madhyamikas (4), ^ circumstance which as interest to us in that that school of Madhyamikas is one of the fourmajor sects enumerated by him, on whichwe own themost ofconcepts positive and in detail with a real character history. Csomateaches us that it owes its origin to a famous philosopher, Nâgàrdjuna, wholived four hundred yearsafter Câkyamuni, and that itall based whole on the Prudinà paramità, which she gives (always according to Csoma) an interpretation(1) Abhidharma kôça vydkhyd, f.10 o, man. Soc. Asian(2) Abhidharma kôça vyâkhyâ, f.iZ a, man. Soc. Asian girl. All the players that are fami-relating the philosophical processes of ancient Brahmanism will notice the striking analogy of this exhibition with that of some Upanichads. (3) Abhidharma kôçd vyâkhyâ, f.32 b, man. Soc. Asian., F. 28 h of my manuscript.(4) Abhidharma kôça vyâkhyâ, f.477a of my manuscript.

Page 58

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .401equally distant (madhyama) from the two extreme opinions previously admitted, know that the soul remains eternally, or that it is entirely destroyed, without doubtafter the death (1). The Dharma kôça vyâkhyâ is not, as Iwill say it soon, a book of which heis pretty easy to make use, for I haveable to extract the opinions of Madhyamikùs, which are also rarelycited. These opinions belong Ace that I call the average ageof the Bud-dhism; and the books that the contain have so little authority, if it is not yet even the sect of Madhyamikas, which they will make no part of the collection ofworks considered to be canonical in Tibet, and that theyonly to find thatin the Stan-gyur (Bstanhgyur), that is to say in the collection of glosses and the works bedteraires(2). As for the existence of Nagardjuna, I will come back to it in my sketchhistory of Indian Buddhism; that it is important to look at this time, these are the names of these four schools, those of the Sâutrântikas, of the Vâibhâchikas, of Madhyamikas and the Yôgâtchâras. Now these four schools are exactly those which speak the Brahmins refuboth the Buddhists; are those that cite the famous Vedantist, Camkara atcharya. They are, as has been noted Golebrooke, prior to the Draftingtion of Brahma Brahmanic sutras (3). They the are also in vi ^ or7th century of our black era, since that of the Yôgâtchâras recognizes as its founderthe philosopher Ârya samgha, thatGsoma places towardsthis time. Their authenticity quoted established by the quotes that I just borrowed fromcommentator of the Abhidharma kôça is confirmed again, if it was necessary that the shaft, bythe testimony of the Brahmans themselves. No doubt hewe still still lawsuddenly Ishould say almost everything to learn about these schools; but it 's notoffirst shot heis possible to complete thetable of a doctrine toovast and as complicated as \q Buddhism. First, let's draw the frame, andhope that the devotion similar to those of MM. Hodgson and Gsomawill give to Europe learned the ways of the remphr more later. In the meantime, I see it as a very curious result of my studies to be able to observe that one of compositions the most expanses of the scholarly literature of Nepalgives us, as to the exposition of the philosophical schools, information which agree as well with those that Golebrooke borrowed, heyalready has(1) Analysis. of the Sher-chin, in Asiat. Res., T. XX, p. 400. I do me flatters not understandwhat wanted to say Gsoma in this place.(2) Gsoma, Asiat. Res., T.XX, p. 400.(3)Golebrooke, Miscell. Essays, t.I,p. 292, note. I regret deeply although not pouvoiFconsult the Gommentaire of Çaiîikara on the Brahma sùtras- It would have been for me the most largeinterest to seek if the axioms of philosophy Buddhist cited by this Gommentaire be re-found in the books of Nepal that I have under the eyes and which are the basis for my rechercijes ^26 Page 59

402INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY few years to ancient commentators Brahman, and with ceuxqueCsoma of Kőrös was found in the authors Tibetans. Heis notno lessworthy attention to see this information if not forgotten, at least omitted by the Tra-Nepalese edition. Finally heis singular that silence of the Nepalese on the four major sects that I have just enumerated according to the Abhidharma kôça and according to the Sanskrit commentators extracted by Colebrooke, answer the silence that keeps the same Abhidharma on the four Nepalese sects of the Svâbhâvikas, the Âiçva-Rikas, the Yâtnikas and the Kârmikas. I will say in the section of this Memoir devoted toworks bearing names of authors, and especially in the sketchhistory of Buddhism, what consequences seem to mere sult of this silence. That it is enough for me, for the time to sum up in few of words the results of double exposé that I justundo: \^ according to Mr.

Hoilgson, who tells us about the existence of two largesects, that of naturalistic and that of theistic, which one is earlier thanthe other, and two secondary sects, that of the moralists and that spiritualists, is related more closely to that of the theists; 2 \*\* according to the Abhidharma kôça, who weindicates four sects, one of Sàulrântikas, the Vàibhâchikas, the Yôgàtchâras and the iMadhyamikas. This exposition sums up in a very general formrale, I confess, everything that we knowjusqu'àprésent of divisions the mostimportant aspects of the metaphysics of the Bàuddhas. Heis naturally divided intoof themportions :one which draws its authority from the tradition still subsisting in the Nepal, it is the one which Mr. Hodgson provided us with the elements; the other who restson the testimony of the Abhidharma kôça, it is the one that I extracted from this bookkid. These twoportions most likely representall phasesvariety by which is spent on Buddhism. Determine to what extentthe four sects enumerated by Mr. Hodgson return in those that quotes Abhi-Dharma Koca, or show that they are the sects entirely done different that will have shared inheritance later of beliefs primitive, it's a work for which we need for new aid. Note, however, that the four Nepalese sects of Mr. Hodgson do not exist, according to Csoma, in the Tibetan books, orto speak with more of precision, bornnot based on written authorities admitted to the voluminous collection of Kah-gyur (1). It is, it seems to me, apresumption in favor of the latter case, of that whichlook at the four sects desSvâbhâvikas, the Âiçvarikas, the Kârmikas and theYâtnikas as more modern, at least in name, than those of the AbhidharmaKoca and the authors Tibetans.(1) Notices of diff. Systems of Buddhism, in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. ofBengal, t.VII, p. US. Page 60

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .403What that "n is, and until that the aid which I was talkingsee you laterbe reunited, we probably havein the current collection from Nepal, such that has gathered Hodgson, the works surlesquels has raised the vastbuilding of these developments that the previous observations have made près-feel the expanse. I already find several curious confirmations of the databrought together by Csoma touching the four sects that I have mentioned so many times. It is nothowever not herelelieu to show everything that contains interesting forthe storyprimitive period of Buddhism the exposition of ancient sects that Csoma de Côrôs borrowed from Tibetan authors; this presentation, which I havereproduced morehigh, will be considered elsewhere with the attention it deserves. I only note here, because it is ainformation that throws the dayon a more voluminous works of the collection Nepalese, that the school directed by Kâçyapa was called The Great Assembly. Now I find among thebooksdiscovered in Nepal byMr.Hodgson a treatywho obviously belongs to this school; this is the Mahâvasin or the great history, large collection of legends relating to thereligious life of Çàkya. In fact, a considerable portion of this volumewear thistitle :Àrya mahâsâmghikânâm lôkôltara vâdinâmpathêna, which means :<according to the lesson of the Lôkôltara vadins (those who areclaim superiors to "world), one of the venerable ones of the Grand Assembly. t>It is notDI) uteux that the Maha sâmghikas or venerable of the great Assemblyare the religious who acknowledged to head Kassapa ;and hedo isnotmore than the Lôkôttara vadins form the fourth of the subdivisions of this school. And that is well worthy of attention is that this volume is éci'it ina Sanskrit mixed with pale and preached forms, which is often obscure. I dontdo not mean that it iswhere the dialect corrupted which the Tibetans claimthat was using Kassapa, yet unless the Mahavastu has been written such that wehave it, from the earliest

days of Buddhism. But assuming that thestyleof this collection has been reworked, Ido not look at least this book as one of compilations of the most ancient that we havekept the collection of Nepal; and the indicational one who is contained in this title," The lesson of the Mahâsâmghikas, "closer to the Tibetan tradition on the name of the disci-ples de Kâçyapa, assure him to myeyes a large value and a indisputable; antiquity. This opinion seems to me to be further confirmed by the testimony of Fahian tou 'sing them Mo ho seng tchi. Religious who were established in Djêtavana in Je-Kôçala. Fa hian teaches us that the opinions of these Religious were celkes to which rattachait the most largenumber of the disciples of the Buddha, duringhewas in the world, and he quotes in particular Api than or Abhidhamma'y,

Page 61

404INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY who their belonged own (1). It may simply mean that the Mo ho seng chee were the subdivision the more numerous the disciples of Sakya; and it must be good as it is, since the name is The Religious of the bigAssembly. I do n't doubtin effect that the Mo ho seng chee of Fa hian not bethe Mahâ sâmghikas of Mahàvaslu ;andI look at the reconciliation of these two titles, supported and that ballast of the existence of the Mahâvastu, like much morefounded as it has suggested toMr. Wilson theBrahmanic name of Mahâsâmkhya (2). I would have liked to find the titles of other schools in the same way, andespecially of that of Katyayana, character that we thewe'll see elsewhere, has an importance considerable for history Southern Buddhism; but ihave so far encountered in thebooksSanskrit fromNepal oneone name that is rapportâtone of these titles; I will indicate it below in hisplace. Independently of these scattered indications that heimportant to collect in the interest of the story, we have the great collections of the Prâdjnà para-mitâ, to whichone of the four sectesites by the Abhidharma kôça, that of, Madhyamikas, is certainly posterior. Now this would be a result of a great interest in finding, inpart of the text, in the Pradjîià pdramitd, the tableof ontology Buddhist, such as has exposed Mr. Hodgson. But the features of this painting are scattered in such huge collections, they are marked with ahand so weak and lost under a mass of words so empty inappearance, that Ido n't hesitate to saythat they have gained a lot by going through a clear and positive mindof the learned Englishman. So if the details inwhich I will enter do not answernot completely to those has reported Mr. Hodgson after the tradition népa-easy, we should not not to conclude from there that his table is not exact, or that my details have been poorly chosen. As I will take care adessein that pointparticular and consequently very special, it is it difficult for me to meet thegeneralities resulting from the combination of a considerable number of these particular points and above all from the successive work of the centuries, favored by the mutual action of schools the one on the other. But that part of my work will be no unneces-tile, if I manage to mark the true placede la Pradjnâ pramitâ in the en-(1) A. Rémusat, Foe koue M, p. 318. The Mahavastu would it work dontM. A. Rémusat speaksand in its IIEC / terc / ies on the Tartar languages "At the same time (1332),a decree of" The Emperor ordered that write in letters of gold and in characters Uyghur a book Buddhist" In a thousand sections, on the longevity of Buddha, as well as another work of theology, entitled "The Big Story." {Research, etc.,p.21 2.) The book on the life of the Buddha he is the Suvarna prabhàsa? (2) Journ. Boy. Asian girl. Soc, t.V, p. 134.

Page 62

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .405 seems the monuments literature of Buddhismnorthern, as I have itmade for the Sutras. I said at the beginning of this Memoir, in mygeneral description of the collection Nepal, that of the confession of commentators Buddhist, the section of the Abhidharma had not been exposed directly by. Çâkyamuni, but thathad formed itaprèssa death of a definite number ofphilosophical passagesscattered throughout his teaching. Gathered like the othersparts of writingafter the death of Çâkya, the Abhidharma differs in that it does not offer usactually no book that what that say the Tibetans (1) can passin full for the word of the last Buddha, while that the Sûtras, by theirshape, by their extent, andespecially by the view that we in giving the Buddhists themselves are approaching much moreof the preaching of the Masterbe. It includes easily interest, an intelligence like that. Inpre-mier place, it is useful to know if in fact Sakya mingled with his sermons, which thethe most apparent character is that of a pure morality, the exhibition or at allminus the indication of the more general principles by which ithad to solve the great problems of the existence of God, of the nature of the mindand of the matter. I have, as for me, the conviction that he does not separated forever the metaphysics of themoral, and that he always unites in the same teaching these two parts of the ancient philosophy. But he does is not here for a demonstration 'priori : heis questionat this time of criticism; and it is by the testimony of the textshemust see if Sakyamuni has been aphilosopher, and how it has been. If, likeit seems obvious to me, the founder of Buddhism has solved the questions in his own way tions that I reportedjust now, heis by that alone placed at arank morelofty as this crowd of ascetics as holy perhaps, but less famous thanhim, who contented themselves with meditating alone on the truths admitted or concededtested by the various philosophical schoolsof the Brahmins. Heis placed immediately diatement next to Kapila, of Patamdjali. of Gautama, founder of schools phi-sophical flourishing, and it does differ from them only because his philosophy became religion. But where to find these first tests and these proposals fundamental of the metaphysics of Sakya, if it is not in the books that pass for havingkept the deposit of his word, that is to say in the Sûtras? It is therefore to Will be sure that hemust come back; it is in these books that we must study thebegin-ments of the metaphysical, everything as we will have studied the beginningsof the moral and of the Disciphne.(1) Csoma, Analy. of the Sher-chin, in Asiat. Res., T.XX, p. 339. The doctrine contained in the Prajñā is attributed in full to Śākya. Next the authors Tibetan, he has exposed this doctrineSixteen years after be became Buddha, that is to say in his 51 " year. Page 63

406INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYIn second place, ifthe books of which the Abhidharma is composed are collectionsof principles, axioms, of theses, as we want the name, borrowed toof treaties whichdo are not exclusively philosophical, the site of the Abhi-dharma is marked immediately after these treaties. But if,on the other hand,books of the Abhidharma form a whole with little nearalso considerablethat the treaties from which they are extracted, itbe that oes books were well amplyment developed, eitherthat the development hasdoes that reproduce underproportions morevast the primitive fund ,that ishehas been allied with new subjectscalves. Hecan not be assumed that this almost complete identity of volumebetween the Pradjnà pramitâ and the class of the sūtras is the result ofdeveloped-ment alone, because the metaphysics of Sakya, takinga scientific form , hasmust necessarily complement each other. Inot afraid however notto affirm that thedevelopment (and our language not of words to express the magnitudeof

this Development) has been much the more big hand in the identity that Ijust to mention. I am convinced that any reader who will have the courage to par-run one or two volumes of the Prajñāpāramitā in hundred thousand articles, and ofcompare themresults of the reading with a few portions of sūtras or oflegends that I regard as the oldest, will recognize that apart from the consequences she draws from previously stated principles, the drafting of the Pradjnà does not addoften that the words in the Sutras. These observations, which seemed to me to be essential preliminaries for thetrqueof these books, we bring, as we see, to the study of the Sutras and the Avadānas considered from the point of view of themetaphysical. I'm goingsoextract from these treatises aproper passage to establish that the commentator oftencity of Abhidharmakośa has been due to make upup to these books the originalgine of Abhidharma, and by a result of the Prajñāpāramitā which in the collection Nepalese tion represents this part of the Buddhist scriptures. The piece that I will mention is borrowed to the avadāna çataka; it is a conver-sation between Çâkya and a Brahmin: the objectin is the detachment which ishappens by the consideration that the sensation is transient. The, so we will thesee, the metaphysical and the moral are intimately united, and with little near insé-parables. This piece gives us of most the Câkya's method, and puts inaction this process of hisdialectic he applies all the arguments, the affirmativetion, negation and indifference." The Blessed Buddha (1)was with the Assembly of his Auditorsin the city of Rajagaha, in the wood of bamboo, the place named Karandaka(1) Same preamble as for the legend tFftduced above, sect. II, p. 79.

## Page 64

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .407nivâpa (1). Or in thistime ^ there lived in the village of Nâlanda a Brahminnamed Tichya, who married Çâri, daughter of the Brahmin Mâtijara. When the childof curry came in the eein of his mother, the woman who was talking avecson brotherDîrghanakha, convinced the latter of a flaw in reasoning. This is whyDîrghanakha [defeated], having withdrawn into theDakchina palha, is set to play alarge number of books. During this time the son of Cari [named Śāriputra] came into the world. At sixteen years he had read the grammar of Indra and conquered all thosewhoargued with him. It adopted then the process of time, the life reli-gious, under the teaching of Bhagavat. However this noise reached the earsof Dîrghanakha the beggar: All the Tîrthakaras have been defeated by yournephew •heis, at the moment, disciple of Çramana Gàutama. Inlearning thisnews Dîrghanakha conçutde his nephew an idea somewhat advantageous; and afterhaving traveled, [as he had donehimself,] allthescience itserepresented Câriputtra as adisciple who only stayed a limited time withof his master. That is why he is returned to Rājagr.ha."In this time Bhagavat, quivenait to be awake, taughtoffourAssemblies a Lawpleasant, sweet as thehoney from the bee andfull offlavor. Cariputtra was standing behind Bhagavat, holding in the hand a plowfly with which hefan his master. So Dîrghanakha thebeggar livesBhagavat who taught the Act, placed in the middle of a circle which is deployed from each side like the crescent of the moon, and Cariputtra who, thefly Hunterin the hand, fanning his master, and in this view itaddressed himself thusto Bhagavat :Gâutama, I do n't like all that . Bhagavat replied :Opinion, O Agni-vâiçyâyana (2), which makes yousay :All it does me please not, did she do to younot like herself?\*Gautama replied the beggar, the opinion that my milk say: I do n't like all this ,do me please notherself\*(S).-Consequently "ohAgnivâiçyâyana, if it is so that you know if it is so that you see, is it that youabandoned, as you leave, that you reject tonopinion without in accepting, without inadmit without produce one another? Yes, Gâutama, answered the beggar, knowingthus and seeing thus, I give up, I leaveandI reject my opinion, without accepting itter without into admit, without producing anyone another. Agnivâiçyâyana, replied Bha-(1) We find a mention of this place in Fa hian and in Hiuan Ihsang. {Foe koue ki, p. 272and 273.} Csoma nousapprend that there had EBIT a Vihara or monastèredont Bimbisara, king ofMagadha was presented Śākyamuni. {Asian girl. Researches, t.XX, p. 294.)(2)This is a patronymic epithet of Dîrghanakha; shemeans "the descendant of Agni» Vâiçya. "This last name is that of an old family Brahmin.(3) The passage enclosed between two stars is missing in the manuscript, which is here extremelyincorrect; i have itrestored from the rest of the text. This observation also applies tosome other passages of this Sutra, where the reader will find this same sign.-., '. Page 65

408INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY gavât, you are similar to the crowd of men; \* As you say it that said the crowdof men by following asuch opinion, your only deferred not them. Cornthat a Çramana, O Agnivâiçyâyana, or a Rrâhman whoever he may beabandonedan opinion without adopting a different,\*we say in the world that it is beingthe most subtle among the beings the most subtle. Now hethere is, oh Agnivâiçyâyana, threeopinion subjects; and what are they? Here, oh Agnivâiçyâyana, some say, invirtue of an opinion :All this to me please. On the other hand, here again, O Agni-vâiçyâyana, others say, by virtue of adifferent opinion: All this does not not like. From anotherhand, here again, O Agnivâiçyâyana, hethere by some who say, by virtue of another opinion: This to me pleaseand I do n't like that. But here the opi-nion which makes say: All this pleases me, results in attachment and does not result in the absence of attachment, results in aversion and does not result in the absence of warningunion, results in error and does not result in the absence of error, results in union anddoes not lead not to the separation, resulting in the corruption and does not result in the purity, resulting in the increase and does not lead to the reduction, leads to pleasure, toacquisition, to the greed.^" The secondopinion which makes people say: I do n't like all this not, results in the absence of attachment and does not result in attachment, results in the absenceaversion and does not lead to aversion, leads to the absence of error and does not lead to Terror not, leads to the separation and does not result in the union, resulting in thepurity and does not result in the corruption aboutitàthe decrease anddoes not succeedto the increase, results in the absence of pleasure, to the absence of acquisition, to the absence of greed.\*" Here, finally, the third opinion which says: This I like and it does me pleasenot successful, in which key the proposal. That to me please, to attachment and does not result in the absence of attachment [etc. as above, up to :] resulting to the pleasure, toacquiring, at the greed; and in what key the proposal. Thatdo me please not, it leads to the absence of attachment and does not lead to the Attament [etc. as above, up to:]results in the absence of pleasure, Tothe absence of acquisition, to the absence of greed. Between these threeopinions, aAuditor respectable and that has much studied learnsin a distinct wayand perfect the following: YesI havethe first opinion and that I say: All this to meplease, I would disagree with two other opinions, the one that says :All this does not I do n't like the one who says: This to me pleaseand I do n't like that. Of dis-cord will be born the dispute, of the dispute the hatred. Recognizing therefore clearly that This opinion carries with it the disagreement, the disputes and hatred, heygive upand not not adopt one

another. This is how we can give up, quit, rejectaopinion without inaccept without admit, without inproduceone another.

Page 66

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .409To\*Here again an Auditorrespectable and that much studied learns aso distinct and perfect what follows: YesI have the secondopinion and that Isay: I do n't like all this, I will disagree with two other opinions, the one who says: All this pleases me, and the one who says: This pleases me and it does not do not like. The disagreement will be born the dispute from the hassle of hatred. GratefulSo clearly that this opinion brings with it the disagreement, the argument andthe hatred, il'y disclaims and doesnot adopt one another. This is howwe cangive up, quit, rejecting an opinion, without inaccept, without in admitting, not produce a time. \* Here again an Auditorrespectable and who abeau-studied blow learns in a distinct and perfect way the following: YesI havethethird opinion and that I say: This I like and it does me please not, I will disagree with two other opinions, the one that says : All this to me please, and the one who says: I do n't like all this. From disagreement will arise the dispute, from the dispute thehatred. Clearly recognizing therefore that this opinion entails with herthedisagreement, the conflict and the hatred, hethere renounce and dodo not adoptone another. This is how we can give up, quit, reject an opinion, withoutinaccept, without in admitting without enproduire one another." Thismaterial and gross body, ohAgnivâiçyâyana, is formed from the reunion of the five great elements. An auditorrespectable must stop in the considerable ration that the body is constantly subjected to the birth and to the death. 11must stop in the consideration of the absence of attachment, in that of annihilation, in that of abandonment. When an Auditorrespectable stopin the consideration that thebody is constantly subjected to the birth andto the death, then what he feels in his body of love, of attachment, of affectiontion, complacency, of satisfaction, of passion for that body itself, all this, overcome by his spirit, does not subsist. "HeThere are, O Agnivâiçyâyana, three kinds of sensations; and what are these three species? They are the pleasant sensation, the unpleasant sensation and the sensa-tion which is neither pleasant nor unpleasant. In the n \(^\) iomenl where an Auditorrespecttable and who has studied a lotperceives a pleasant feeling, the other twosensations, namely the unpleasant sensation and the indifferent sensation, do not exist not for him; the respectable Auditor does not perceive at the moment that the feelingpleasant; but this sensation itself is transient and subject to annihilation.safely. In the moment when an auditor respectable perçoita feeling of disagreementgréable, the two other sensations, to know the nice feeling and the sensationindifferent, do not exist for him the respectable Auditor does not perceives in thismoment that the unpleasant sensation, but this sensation itself is passingmanages and subjects to annihilation. In the moment when a Listener respectable Page 67

410INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY perceives an indifferent sensation, the other two sensations, namely the sensationpleasant and the unpleasant sensation, exist not for him; the respective Auditortable doesperceives in this moment that the feeling indifferent; but this feelingitself is transient and subject to annihilation." So hemade this reflection: What is the cause, what is the origin, what is the birth, which is producing these sensations? It is the contact that is the cause, the origin, the birth, the production of these sensations. When takes placethe production of such and such contact, such and such sensations are produced; when has held the cessation of such and such contact,

such and such sensations ceasealso, are calmed, are cooled and disappear. What that is the sensationstion that it perceives, it is pleasant, unpleasant or indifferent, he in knownin their reality the origin, the annihilation, the decrease, the appearance andtheproduction. Knowing thus in their reality the origin, the annihilation, etc., of these sensations, when these sensations come to be produce itstop in the consideration they are transient, in the account of the destruction, in that in the absence of attachement, in one of the separation, in one of abandonment. Feeling a feeling that lasts as long as his sound lastsbody itconis born as it is this truth: I perceive a feeling that lasts as long ashard my body. Experiencing a sensation that lasts as long as his life, heknowsas it is this truth: I perceive a feeling that lasts as long as it lastsmy life. Andafter the dissolution of his body, as also when his life does not reachonly the half of its duration, all the sensations perceived by himin this worldeven stop without it in remains anything disappear, are destroyed, are annihilateParties without it for nothing left. He therefore made the following reflection: Even during that I will perceive a pleasant sensation, the dissolution of my body will take place: it's the what is the term of pleasure (1). Even for that I shall perceivea feelingunpleasant or indifferent feeling, the dissolution of my bodywill take place: it's herewhat the end of the pain. Even when heperceives a sensation pleasant, it 's perceived loose and not attached. Even when heperceives a sensa-tion unpleasant or indifferent, it the perceives detached and not attached. And whatis it detached? Heeast of attachment, of the aversion of the error; heis of the birth to old age, of the disease, to the death, the sorrow, lamentation, of the pain concerns, the despair, miseries. Here it is, ohAgnivâiçyâyana, this that I say." But at this moment the respectable Sāriputlra, who had not received the investiture that from a half months, stood behind Bhagavat, having the hand a plowCi) The text tells of the pain, but Celtic thesis comes earlier in his place, and it will bebe repeated two times.

Page 68

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .411fly with which hefan his master. This thinking it vintalors in mind: Bhagavat celebrates in this way the abandonment of these conditions; hein famous thedetachment, the cessation, the denial. Why do n't I stop in the consideration of abandonment, in the consideration of detachment, in that of the termination, in that renunciation? In consequence therespectable Caripultra having stopped in the consideration that the conditions were passed managed, that they were subject toperish; having stoppedin the consideration of detachment of the termination of the denial, rid, by not admittingnone, hismind of all its imperfections. From his side thebeggar Dîrgha-.nakha felt the pure and unblemished view of conditions dawning in him. When hehadseen, reached, known the Law; when hein had probed the protondeur, hehad crossedI doubt and uncertainty, seeking no more the help of others, considering with fearlessness laws of the doctrine where one learns oneself, Dirglia-Nakha, he rose from his seat and having rejected on his shoulder his superior garmentlaughing, directed his clasped hands insign of respect the side which was located Bha-gavat, and spoke to him thus: May I, lord, embrace the religious life under the discipline of the lawwho is well known îMay I get the iiivestilure and therank of Beligious! May I fulfill the duties of the viereligieuse in pre-sence of Bhagavat! Inconsequence Dîrghanakha the beggar embraced lifereligious under the discipline of the well-known Law :hegot the nomination and the rank of Beligieux. When it there was come, that respectable character, single, retiredin a deserted place, attentive applied, mindcollected, soon arrived to see by itself, to see face to

face the goal supreme and without equalof the old religious, which is that the sons of families shaving their hair and their beards, and putting onclothes of yellow color, leave theirhouse with a faith perfect forembrace the life of beggar. And when hehad received the investiture, hefelt inhimself this conviction: The birth is destroyed forme; I accomplished the duties of the life. religious; I did this as I hadto do; Iwill see noanew existence after this one. Having thus arrived at omniscience, this respectable per-sonnage became Arhat, and his mind was perfectly free (1). "The goalmainof the song that Ijust to translate is to establish the need the detachment, about which returns almost at every moment in the Sutras and in the legends. It is to this thesis at the times metaphysics and morality that is Rap-carry these beautiful words, which, following apassage from a legend cited above, will have heard in the sky, when it enters the raysluminous produced by the smile of Sakya: "This is passenger celaest misery, it is empty, it is(1) Avadàna çataka, i. 245 & sqq.

Page 69

412INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY" privates ubstance (1). "The last two theses are obviously meta-physical; they are the abbreviated expression of these twoproposals that occupya so large space in the Prajñā Paramita, that any phenomenon is empty, andthat no phenomenon has substanceclean, qu'onexprime by the twowords çûnya and anâtmaka. We must still attach to this order of ideas this axiom, as I have already reported more high: "Any concept or any compound is perishable. "Hemust now bring the text that I have just to mention afragmentborrowed in the Prajñā paaramitaa. But heis previously necessary to describe a rapid manner the voluminous collections which bear this title. These col-elections are distinguished from each other by the number of stanzas or articles of which they are composed. The first and the most significant is the one that wenames Cata sahasrikâ, that is to say the one who contains one hundred thousand articles. She sodivides intofour large books which are attached one another Prajñāpāramitā intwenty-five thousand articles, andtheeverything forms five Skandhas or divisions that the Nepalese name Pantcha Racha or Rakcha (2). Heis probable that the secondspelling is the best, and that Racha is a provincial alteration of the sans-wrote Rakcha (protection). All of these divisions receive the namegeneric of Rakshahhagavaû, which is an epithelis referring to as true ofthis great compilation, Pradjnâ pramitâ. Heseems very likely to me thatRakcha hhagavatî represents for the Nepalese the two words Aryâ hhagavatiwhoopen the full title of the work thus conceived :Àryâ hhagavati PradjnâParamita, "The venerable Blessed Perfection of the wisdom (3)." In sothat naming the collection Rakcha Bhagavati, the Nepalese not to designate thatby the epithets that the compliance or the superstition attached to it; they are to some closelike a christianthat the place to callHoly Rible the Old Testament is would content to say the saint. I explain this way a difficulty that has mestopped for a long time at the beginning of my Buddhist studies; it is on the one handthe frequent use which Mr. Hodgson made of this title of Rakcha bhagavatî inall his memoirs and in the other the impossibilité where I am found of the findin a one of the manuscripts of the compilation and named. It comes from this that Hodgson has always designated this collection by thetitle in use todayamong the Nepalese, and that themanuscripts copied from old originals reproduce exclusively the real title of the collection.(1) It's like a kind of act of faithphilosophical. Brahmana Darika, in Divya avad. ^f. 33 a. Açôka varna, Mi., f. 68 o. Djyôtichka, ibid./f. 133 a. Pâfhçu pradâna, ibid.,

F.182 b.Avad. çat., f. 3 a.(2) Hodgson, Notices, etc., in Asiat. Res., T. XVI, p. 423.(3) Analysis. of the Kah-gyur, in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t. I, p. 375. Page 70

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .413This title is therefore Pradjnâ paramitâ, and itmust mean "The perfection of the wisdom; but however clear the meaning of this expression seems to be, the Training does is however not regular, and I do not know not that the word para-Mita is never used in the works Brahman with thesense of per-fection. This word, in fact, is the feminine of the adjective j? ^ Rflwî7 "meaning:"the one"who went to the other side, transcendent; >but it is neither it can be asubstantive. Now it is as substantive as the use of the Buddhists, not out ONLYnot only those of the North, but also those of the South, since they have severalParamitas, that of charity, of the charity and of many other virtues which I have already given the names. Perhaps the word of paaramitaa will relate to someimplied term, like that of BuddJd ^ "Intelligence," For example, fromso that the names of the various perfections should be translated in this way:"[Intelligence] arrived at the other side of the wisdom of alms from the charity "and so on others(1). I do not givehowever this explanation that as aGuess what I didcould support testimony from no textpositive, becauseas I did at my disposal no comments from the Prajñā. I will say alone-ment that the expression of paramita once introduced into the language with the ellipsethat I suppose, was able to remain there and take there by extension the value of a subs-tantif, because of its outward resemblance to an abstract name, such as thosethat form the means of the syllable ta, well-known formative of the names of quality. The four sections of the Pradjnâ pramitâ inhundred thousand articles, and publishing(1) The Tibetans, like the Mongols, make of the term & q 'pāramitâ a signifying participle € which "has reached the other side. » (Csoma, Asiat. Res., T.XX, p. 393. Schmidt, Mem. from Acad. fromsciences of St. Petersburg, t. II, p. 14.) But in their translations they get rid of the difficultycult that gives rise to the genre of the term purimata: I believe to please the reader by transcribinghere two other explanations of this difficult word which I owe to M. Théod. Goldstuecker, with which I'd talked to this topic."The first explanation that I propose is to watchparamitâ as an abstract noun in ta, derived ie pradjnâ parami, compound tatpurucha, of whichthe last part would then be formed, with vrtddhi of thefirst syllable, by the affix i or in, wherein not used, following panini, only the bypass depatronymiques. In this case, Parami signifi-would trust a descendant of Parama; and if this last word could pass for a denomination of Buddha, the meaning of the abstract compound would be: the Pradjnâ or supreme science, which is a daughter of Buddha. However this explanation to me seems ever so slightly artificial, etje ask ratherif there would be no place to look Prajñā and paaramitaa as two words distinct: the sciencewhich is arrived above all the doubts: because I believe that there is no difficulty in using de para in this absolute way, when equivocation becomes impossible. "The latter explained cation are not very far away, as is the sees of it that Ioffers; only I under-hear Budd / II in place of Prajñā; as for the first, I think with Mr. Goldstuecker that we only could the defense. I do not think not unless Paramita is considered by the authorsBuddhist as a noun, and I find myself confirmed in this hypothesis by the word Pâ-rami, which in Pali is synonymous with Pâramitâ. Page 71

414INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYSpeed of the same book in twenty-five thousand will sontpas the only treaties phi-Philosophical to which this title of Pradjm applies . One

in quotes two moreother editors, one in eighteen thousand articles, the other in ten thousand, whichfeel the first for the abstractof the editorial staff in a hundred thousand, the second for the extract of the draft in twenty-five millearticles (1). Finally the collection endsmineby a shorter writing in eight thousand stanzas, that one even as Iquoted at the beginning, and for which the Nepalese seem to reserve special-ment the title of Pradjnâ pramitâ (2). I say shorter writing, so as not todecide nothing on the questionto know whether, as the want the Tibetans, it is as an abstract of the larger collections, or ifon the contrary this edition is, as the claim to the Nepalese, the book primitive that the others do wouldthat the developments (3). Hewill be remembered no more the Vadjra tchhêdika, which is an extract more condensed again of all the doctrine contained in the Pradina pramita; this is the book that Mr. Schmidt, as well as I 've said in the commentarycement of this volume, has translated the Tibetan and inserted in the memory of the Aca-demie of the sciences of St. Petersburg. The piecethat wewill read is borrowed from the Pradifia paramita in eight thousandarticles, that is to sayin the editorial staff that the Nepalese regard as the mostAncient. I 've extracted thefirst chapter, because it made meseemed to indicate, more clearly than any other, the general tendency of this collection. I compared this pass with the portion corresponding to the Prajñāpāramitā in hundred thousand arti-keys, and I can affirm that the doctrine is identical in these two collections. This that I say offirst chapter also applies to the whole of the two worksages. I translated, for my personal usnge, almost all the Pradjnâ in eightthousand articles, and Ihavecompared a considerable portion with the editorial staffthemoreexpansein a hundred thousandstanzas. Now I havefound in theof themcollections of the same subjects, treated in exactly the same way, often inthe same terms. The difference of the writing the greater to the Editorthe shortest is hardly more than in the development and in the fastidious repetition .god of formulas, which in the drafting of eight thousand articles are sometimes abbreviated."In this assembly, Bhagavat thus addressed therespectable Subhuti theSthavira: Deploy your strength, O Subhuti, by starting with the Perfection of thewisdom for the Bodhisattvas Mahāsattvas (4)so that the Bodhisattvas the penetrates(1) Csoma, Anal, of the Sher-chin, in Asiat. Res., T. XX ^ p. 394. Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, 1. 1.p. 376.(2) Hodgson, Notices, etc., in Asiat. Res., T.XVI, p. 4 1/7.(3) Hodgson, Notices, etc., in Asiat. Res., T.XVI, p. 424.(4) The epitliety of Mahasattva, which means "great being, or great creature, "is still Page 72

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .415trent entirely. Then this thought came to the mind of the respectable Çariputlra: Is that the respectable Slhavira Subhūtiteach the Perfection of the wisdomto the Bôdhisatlvas indeploying the strength of the energy of his own and personal, and by the blessing of the strength even, or well the he will by the powerfulsitting of the Buddha? Then the respectable Subhuti knowing with his thought, thanksto the power of the Buddha, the thought and reflection which arose in the mind of therespectable Çâradvatî putra, spoke to him in these terms: All this as the Auditorsof Bhagavat say, O Çariputtra (1), all that they show, all that they teachannoy, all theydevelop, all theyexplain, everything theyelucidate, all this must be recognized as the effect of the manly strength of the Tathâgata. Why is that? Is that when theylearn exposure of the Law which istaught by the Tathagata, theythe see face to face, theyhave with hischaracter of Law; and when theyhave seen face to face with this character andthat theyto possess, everything they say, what they show, what they TEACHINGannoy, all

theydevelop, all this that they explain, everything that they elucidate, all this is in agreement with the character of law that has edu-ginning of the Law made by the Tathāgata. Thus is explained, O Cariputlra, howheis made that these sonof family, teaching what is the character of Law, doare not in contradiction with what has this character." Then Subhuti, thanks to the power of the Buddha, spoke thus to Bhagavat :When Bhagavat has said: Deploy yourforce, O Subhuti, by starting spakePeifeclion of the wisdom for the Bôlhisaltvas, so that the Bo Ihisaltvas the penetratesthirty entirely, he'E \(^1\) l served the tarnished of Bôdhisatlva MB / iâsatfva. CornWhat, O Lord, that the name of the ctre we called Bôdhisallva Mahasattra? I do not see, O Bhagavat, be they called Bôdhisallva; i don't seeno more being that designates the name of "Perfection of the wisdom. » Doseeing therefore, OBh.igavat, neither Bôdhisattva, nor being of Bôdhisattva, necompienantnot, not grasping not it; not seeing, not including not only seizing notmore the Perfection of the wisdom, what that the Bôdhisattva that I oweeducate, and what that the Perfection of the wisdom in which I have the in-truce? However, O Bhagavat, if while we speak, that exposes and weteach like just to do it, the thought bodhisattva does is not dissolved, does not blendnot, does not sag, does not feel weak; if she doesn't back downnot, if his minddoes not retreat defeated, if it does not be frightened, if it do not fear, if henot-attached, in the collections of the Prajñā and in the developed sūtras, the title of Bodhisattva; I myself am thought exempt from the repeat in this translation.(1) This is the name the more common of the famous disciple of Śākya; that of Çâradvatî putra inis a synonym.

## Page 73

416INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYnot proveof terror, this is the Bodhisattva even he must be taught in the Perfection of the wisdom; it's herewhat it takes recognize for the Perfectionbeyond wisdomof Bôdhisattva; is there finally teaching even to the perfectiontion of the wisdom. When the Bodhisattva is thus established, then this teaching takes place.ment, this instruction.thisYet another thing, O Bhagavat.The Bôdhisattvawhowalk in the Perfection of the wisdom, who the understands, must study of such manner, that in studying henot pride himself not to have the thought of the Bodhi, or of Intelligence of a Buddha. Why is that ?Is that theneven this thought is a nonthought; thenature of thethought is that of theread-miere (?). "Then Çâriputtra spoke thus to Subhûti: But, Subhûti, is itthat there is athought that is a non-thought? Having said that, Subhuti spoke thus to Çâriputtra: But, Çâriputtra, in the state of non-thought, is that it is located, do itdoes exist reality or non-reality? Câriputtra replied: Neither reality, nor absence ofreality, O Subhuti. - Iftherefore, O Çâriputtra, in the stateof no thought heare and will be located either reality or lack of reality, do you see not the answerwhich suits the objectionwhat didthe respectable Câriputtra, when hehas said :Is he therehas athought that is a non-thought? Having said that, Câriputtra spokethus in Subhûti :But what is, O Subhuti, the state of non-thought? The state of no-thought, said Subhuti, is immutable, O Śāriputra, heis indisputable." Then Çâriputtra expressed his assent to Subhûti: Good, good, Subhûti; heis good that you have been designated by Bhagavat as the head of the Religious who live in the absence of any corruption. This is why the Bodhisattva mustto berecognized that heis unable to turn away from the statesupreme of Perfectly accomplished Buddha. The Bodhisattva must be recognized as not being not private of the Perfection of the wisdom. The very one who desires to educate to reach the rank of Auditor must listen, learn, retain, recite, understand, enact the Perfection of the wisdom

itself. Hehe mustlearn in thisPerfection of the wisdom; heshouldthat he applies his efforts to it. The very one wholonged forlearn to get torank of PratyêkaBuddha mustto listen, learn, remember, recite, understand, enact the Perfection of the wisdomsame; heshouldhewill instruct in the Perfection of the wisdom; heshouldheapplies its efforts to it. The very one who wishes to be educated to reach the rank ofBôdhisattva must listen [etc. as above, up to:]promulgate the Per-perfection of the wisdom itself. It takes only one who is endowed with the skillful use ofmeans it applies its efforts to arrive at the understanding of all theconditions of the Bôdhisattvas. Why is that? Because that is in the Perfection

Page 74

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .417 of the wisdom even that are taught with extended all the requirements that the Bodhisattva must study, to which hemust apply its efforts. The very onewho wants to learnto get to the statesupreme of Buddha perfectly accomplished must listen[etc.as above, up to :]p '^ omulpate the Perfec-tion of thewisdom itself. Hebe that one who is endowed with the skillful use of means it applies its efforts to arrive at the understanding of all the con-ditions of a Buddha. Why is that? Because it is in the Pertection of the wisdom even that are taught with extended all the conditions of aBuddha, that a Bôdhisattvamustto study,to which hemustapply itsefforts." Then Subhtiti spoke to Bhagavat thus: For me, Bhagavat, I do not knownot, I do not understand, I do not understand this very name of Bôdhisattva", I do notdo n't know, i don'tunderstand not, I do before no more the perfection of thewisdom. Now in this ignorance in which I find myself on the name of Bôdhisattva andon the Perfection of the wisdom, what is the bodhisattva that I have to learn, and What that the Perfection of the wisdom that I have himteach, that Imustteach him? It would be from me, O Bhagavat, a bad deed, ifno knownot born, not including not only seizing not the thing itself, I am con-tried to explain it by the name alone that it bears, that of Bôdhisattva. Hethere is Moreover, O Lord, this name even is neither stable nor not steady; heis neither ins-table, nor not unstable. Why csla? Because that this name has no existence. It is of this manner it is neither stable nor not stable or unstable or not in-table. - If while this profound Perfection of the wisdom is called, exposed, taught at Bôdhisattva, his thoughtdoes not dissolve, does not melt, no AF isFaisse not, do not feel noof weakness, do not retreat ;if his minddo n't back downdefeated, if heborndon't be afraid, if hedo not fear, if hedo not experience of terror, this Bôdhisattva, which owes to the practice of the reflection its favorable provisions shouldbe recognized as not being separate from the Perfection of the wisdom. Established onthe ground of an incapable Bodhisattvato turn away from his goal, heis goodestablished so as to be réellementspas." Yet another thing, O Bhagavat. The Bodhisattva who walks in the Per-perfection of the wisdom which the meditating, not should not stop at the form, not morethat the sensation, not just the idea, not more than concepts, neither to the knowledge. Why is that? It is that if he stops at the form, hewalk in the notion that the form exists, it not walk not in the perfection of the wisdom. And even if hestops at the sensation to the idea, the concepts, the knowledge, hewalks in the notion that all of this exists; henot walk notin the perfectiontion of the wisdom. Why is that? Is that the one whowalk in thenotion27'

Page 75

418'INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYonly captures not the perfection of the wisdom, are applied not his effort, not the accompaniednot fully folded. Do pasentièrement fulfilling

the Perfection of the wisdom, henot succeed not to omniscience, because it captures what was not entered. For-what that? It is that in the Perfection of the wisdom, the form does not capture, and that in is of even of the feeling of the idea, the concepts of the connaissance, all things that are not not captured in the perfection of the wisdom. Or this state of the form of being not input, it does not the form; and hein isof same to the sensation of the idea, concepts, of theawareness. The Per-fection of thewisdom itself is not grasped; because it is so that the Bodhisattva must walk in the Perfection of the wisdom. This is what we call The meditation that not seized any requirement; immense meditation, placed before[all the others], immeasurably certain, and which is neither specific toListeners, nor toPratyêka Buddhas reunited." The omniscience itself ij'est not seized; because shehas no characters by which on the can enter. If it could be grasped by any character, thebeggar carrying athe rosary would not have faith in it; because the beggarwearing acliapelet which has favorable dispositions for omniscience is, according to the degree of his faith, educated in a partial science. Once he is educated, heborncaptures not the form, not more than thesensation, the idea, the concept, theknow-session; and henot to delight not with pleasure and happiness to will see thescience. Hedoes not recognize not thescience for that of the interior form; henot the recognitiondoes not arise for that of the outer form; henot thenot recognize for that oftheexterior and interior shape; henot thenot recognize for that of anysomething other than the form. In the same way hedoes not recognize thescience to that of the sensation of the idea, the concepts of the knowledge inside, not more than one of these conditions outside, not more than thatof these internal and external conditions, no more than those of allsomething other than these conditions. - In the previous enumeration thetermof begging carrying a rosary qualified favorably disposed. Now it iswhen he has, always in proportion of his faith, taken for authority what has the character of Law, that heis said to be favorably disposed towards omniscience. By asuch a man no condition is grasped; if hehe happens to grab one, this condition whatever it is is not apparent. And henot to glorify not bearrived at Nirvana."Here, O Bhagavat, thisit must be recognized for the Perfection of the wisdomof Bôdhisattva. This Perfection consists in this thatonly captures not the shape, and for even in this he does grasps not the sensation, the idea, the concept, the connais-session. However hedid not succeed for that, in the course [of the existence

Page 76

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .419where hesefound], in Nirvanacomplete, because itn / Anot acquired the ten forcesof aTathâgata, the four intrepidities of a Tathàgata, the eighteen conditions distinct from a Buddha. Also is it there, O Bhagavat, that he must recognize for the Perfection of the wisdom of Bôdhisattva Mahâsatlva."Yet another thing, O Bhagavat. The Bodhisattva who walks in the Per-fection of thewisdom, which the meditating, must think, doitréfléchir well: What is that that this perfection of the wisdom, and who owns it? Ehwhat? is this that the perfection of the wisdom is a condition that does not exist, which do not not find? So thinking and so reflecting, the mindof Bôdhisattva does not sout not only will not melt [etc. like moreup to:] if hedoes not experience not toterror, the bodhisattva must be recognized as being not deprived of the Per-perfection of the wisdom." Then Çâriputtra spoke thus to Subhûti: Why then, Subhuti, the Bôdhi-sattva should heto be recognized as not being private of the Perfection of the wisdom, when the form is deprived of theown nature of form, and it in isof same to the

sensation of the ideo, the concepts of the knowledge that allare private of their own ; when omniscience itself is private to thenature of omniscience?" Gela said, Subhutispoke thus to Çâriputtra: That's it, Çâriputtra, it'sthat very. Yes, the form is private of the proper nature of form; andhein is the same goes for the sensation of the idea, the concepts of the knowledge, which areallprivate of its own nature. Likewise, O Câriputtra, the Perfection of the wisdom itself is private of its own nature, and it by way of the omnis-cience. The Perfection of the wisdom is deprived of Attributes of Perfection of the wisdom. The attribute itself is deprived of the proper nature of attribute. The subjecthimself is private of the proper nature of the subject. The very nature itselfisdeprived of attributes of their own nature."Having said that, Çâriputtra spokethus in Subhûti: Is that the bodhisattva, OSubhûti, who will thus studywill reach omniscience? Yes, Çâriputtra,replied Subhuti ;it is this same ;the Bodhisattva who will study this will succeed to omniscience. Why is that? It is because, O Câriputtra, all the requirements are non-produced, uncreated. The Bôdhisattva, O Câriputtra, who walks in this conviction, approachomniscience. A measure that approaching the om-niscience, then approach in proportion, for the maturity of creatures, the perfection of body and of mind, the perfection the attributes of perfection of the Earth of the Buddha, and [the state of ] Buddha himself. So it is, O Çariputtra, that the bodhisattva walking in the Perfection of the wisdom approaches of omniscience.

## Page 77

420INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYvsYet something else. Subhuti, starting with the Bôdhisattva, spoke thus: The Bodhisattva walks in the sign, if he walks in the form, if hewalkin the sign of the form, if itwalk saying: The shape is the sign, if hewalking in the production of form, if running in the separation of the form, if walking in the destruction of the form, if walking in saying: The shape is empty, if walking in saying: I walk, if hewalking in saying: I amBôdhisattva; finally in the fact even to conceive this idea: I am Bôdhi-sattva, he walks. And in the same way hewalk in the sign, if he walksin the feeling, in the idea, in the concepts, in the knowledge itMar-che in the sign of the knowledge, ifwalking in saying :the knowledge is the sign, if walking in the production of knowledge, if walking in the termination of the knowledge, if walking in the destruction of the connais-ciency, if walking in saying: The knowledge is empty, if walking in saying: I walk, if hewalking in saying: I am Bôdhisattva; finally in theeven madeto conceive this idea, hewalk. If hemadethis reflexion: The one who walksand walking definitely in the Perfection of the Wisdom, that one 's meditating, hewalk in the sign. Now this Bôdhisattva must be recognized as not possessing not the skill of the means."Then Câriputtra spoke thus to Subhûti :But how, O Subhuti, the Bôdhi-does sattva walk, when hewalking in the Perfection of the wisdom? Having said that, Subhutispoke thus to Câriputtra: If the Bôdhisattva, O Câriputtra, not walking orin the form, nor in the sign of theform, nor by saying: The shape is the sign; if henot running or in the production of form, or in the termination of the form, neither in the destruction of the form, nor by saying: The form is empty, norby saying: I walk, or in saying: I am Bôdhisattva; if finally hedon't walknot in the design even of this idea: I am Bôdhisattva; for even if hedon't walknot in the feeling, in the idea, in the concepts, in the know-session; if it does n't workin the signof the knowledge, if Does not worknot by saving: The knowledge is the sign; if henot walk notin the manufacture of the knowledge in the termination of the knowledge, in the destruction of the knowledge; if henot walk notby saying: The

knowledge is empty, insaying :Iwalking in saying :I am Bôdhisattva ;if henot made not thisreflection :The one who walks and walks certainly in the Perfection of thewisdom itto meditate, though,I say hewalking like this, hewalk in the Perfection ofthe wisdom; because by walking in this way, heborndo not pass this judgment :Iwalks, nor this one :I do n't walk , this one :I walk and I do walknot, nor this one :I don't walkno and I do 'm notnot walking ; he does not wearthis judgment :I will walk, this one :I wo n't shop , nor this one :I mar-

Page 78

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .421cheriai andI will not walknot, nor this one: I wo n't walk and I will be pa ^not walking . Why is that ?Is that all these conditions whatever they are not perceived, noaccepted by him. It is there that we called The medi-tation of the Bôdhisattva that accepts no conditions; meditationimmense, placed before [all theothers], immeasurably certain, andwho is notown or to śrāvakas, nor to the combined Pratyêka Buddhas. The Bôdhisattvawhat \*practice this meditation comes quickly to the state Supreme of Buddha par-done. So Subhuti the Sthavira, thanksto the power of the Buddha, spoke thus: Hehas heard, OBhagavat, to the mouth of the former Tathagatas venerable parfai-ment and completely Buddhas, the prediction that he announced that he will getthe supreme state of Buddhaperfectly accomplished, the Bodhisattva who will book atthis meditation. He does not realize that meditation itself, it does is not orgueilthem. I am delivered To the meditation, I get themeditation, I get themeditation, I got the meditation, are reflections that exist not forhim, not at all, in any way, in any way, absolutely not.vsHaving said that, Câriputtraspoke thus to Subhuti: Can it be shown, ohSubhuti, the meditation onwhich book the bodhisattva who has heard of the Boushe of the venerable Tathàgatas, perfectly and completely Buddhas, thepre-diction which announces to him that he will obtain the supreme state of Buddha perfectlyaccomplished? Subhutianswered :No, Çâriputtra. Why is that ?It is that this sonof family does not know that meditation itself, thatdoes not have the idea. Çâri-puttra continued: Do not you tell, Subhuti, it does the familiar no, he did not the idea? Yes, Cariputtra, I say so, replied Subhûti; henot the familiar no, itdoes not not the idea. Why is that? It is becaufe this meditation are not, it doesdo not know her, that henot in anot the idea."Then Bhagavat testified his assent to Subhuti saying: Well, well, Subhuti; that is it, Subhuti, that is it itself. Heisalthough, thanks to the powerfulciency of the Buddha, you display your power and that you teach, thanks to the bene-diction of the Tathâgata. This is what the Bôdhisattva must study in this way. Whythat? Is that the Bodhisattvawho studies thus studiesthePerfection of thewisdom." Then Çariputtra spoke to Bhagavat thus :The Bôdhisattva who studies in this way, O Bhagavat, he studied the Perfection of the wisdom ?Having said that,Bhagavat spokethus to Çâriputtra :The bodhisattva who studies and studied the Perfection of thewisdom. Having said that, Cariputtra spoke to Bhagavat thus: The Bôdhisattva who studiesso, what condition is he studying? Having said that, Bhagavat spoke to Sariputtra thus: The Bôdhisattva, O Câriputtra, who studies in this way, does not study any condition. For-Page 79

422INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYwhat that? It is that the conditions, O Çariputtra, do not exist suchthat thebelieve in it endearing, the men ordinary and ignorant who do noteducated. Çâriputtra says: How then do they exist, O Rhagavat? They existent, O Çariputtra, resumed Rhagavat, in such a way that they do not really existnot. And as they

do not exist, to cause this one 's called Avidya, that isie what does not exist, or ignorance. It is to this that attach the hom-my ordinary and ignorant who are not not educated. They are represented as Existing all the circumstances including any exists. When they are the are repre-felt thus, then chained to two limits, they do not know, they do not seenot the conditions. That is why they are represented as existing all the terms of which none exists. When they are the are represented as well, theyattach to two limitations. A once attached as well, and ayant conceived the idea of the in-chaînement the causes and the effects they will represent past conditions, future conditions and present conditions. After theyif the are repre-sented so they attach to the name and to the form. It's like this they represent all the circumstances including any exists. If representative as Existing all the conditions none of which exist, theydo not knownot, they do n't see the real way. Not knowing, not seeing the real horsemin, theydo not come out of the reunion of the three worlds; theydo not knownot thereal goal; also they belong to the number of those who are called ignorant; theyborndo n't believeto the real law. This is why, Çâriputtra, the Rôdhisattvas does not Chent in any condition." Having said that, Cariputtra spoke to Rhagavat thus: The Rôdhisattvawho learnsThus,O Rhagavat, he learnsomniscience? Rhagavat says: The Rôdhisattva, ohÇâriputtra, who learns thuslearns omniscience itself. The Rôdhisattva,ohÇâriputtra, who learns thuslearns all the conditions. The Rôdhisattva,O Śāriputra, who teaches and learns omniscience approaches of the omniscience, must reachto omniscience. ISo Subhûtispoke to Rhagavat thus : If anyone, O Rhagavat, makes thenext question: Is a homnie product of a magical illusion learndra omniscience, will approach to omniscience will reach omniscience? ofwhat manner, O Rhagavat, he will respond to this issue? Having said that, Rha-gavat spoke to Subhuti thus: lask yourself about it, O Subhuti ;says the thing as you can. Nothing, Rhagavat, replied Subhûti, whobegan to listen, and Rhagavat spoke thus: What do you think of this, O Subhuti? The he-is lusionone thing, and the form another thing? The illusion is it athing, and the feeling one another; the ideaone another, the conceptsone another, the understanding one another? Subhutianswered: No, Rhagavat; no, the illusion is Page 80

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .423not one thing, and the form another. The form even is the illusion, and illusion same is the form. No, Bhagavat, the illusion was not a thing, and thefeeling one another, the idea one another. The feeling, the idea and the concepts themselves, oh Bhagavat, are the illusion; illusion same is the feeling, the idea and the concepts. No, Bhagavat, the illusion was not a thing, and the knowledge one anotherthing. The knowledge itself, O Bhagavat, estl'illusion; illusion itself, O Bha-Gavat, is the knowledge. Bhagavat says: Subhûti, is it in the five attributes goals, causes of the conception (1), that the idea, the knowledge, the admission, thenotion of what is called Bôdhisattva?"Having said that, Subhûtispoke to Bhagavat thus: Yes, without a doubt, Bhagavat; Yes, no doubt, Sugata. It is for this, O Bhagavat, that the Bodhisattva who learnsthe Perfection of the wisdom must learn the stateSupreme of Perfect Buddha-ment accomplished, as ifwas a man produced by a magical illusion. Why is that ?It is that must be held, O Bhagavat, for the man produced by a magical illusion, what we call the five attributes the causes of thedesigntion. Andwhy is that? Bythat Bhagavathas said that the shape was similar to an illusion. Now the form is the union of the five senses and that of the five attributes (1). These five attributes are what we call the Skandhas or aggregates, namely: the form, the sen-tion, the idea, the concepts and the knowledge on which I

reviendraiplus low. I will show, in my analysis of the metaphysical terms of Buddhism, which the five Skandhas embracethe diversaccidents the fact of the knowledge developed in the manner of Buddhists, since that whichit gives opportunity to shape up made of the knowledge itself. I agree soon to presentthat the term attribute is very imperfect, and I will say more below how the means, support seemsbetter respond to a the ideas that the Buddhists to make the word Skandha. However, considerDeres a manner general, the five skandhas are the intellectual attributes of the subject that complete with the five senses and the six elements materials, as well as the shows a shift capital of the Pùrna avadâna. (Above, sect. III,p. 243.) The skandhas are in man this as I'll call the area of the knowledge or of the mind, and it is for this that I consideras the intellectual attributes. But the difficulty is not there entirely; theskandha word is used by our text in composition with that of A'upâdâna, in this way Upddâna skandha, and then we must determine :1 "the relation of these two terms to each other, 2° the meaning of that which is placed the first, that is to say à'upâddna. As for the first question, I find two solutions in the Comment of Abhidharmakośa: "It calls Upâddna Skandhas the" Sfcandftas or attributes which are produced by V Upddâna (the caption or thedesign). It is a "compound of the kind of those in which the term of medium is removed from this manner: "Upâddna [saihbhûtdh] skandJiâh, that is to say the attributes produced by the design. It is "like when we call fire grass a fire produced by the grass; fire of straw, a fire produced" By straw. » {Abhidharma kôça vydkhyd, f.18 6.) Here now the second solution, those that prefer the commentator: Upddâna skandhàh designates the attributes which are the origin or\* The cause of the caption or in the design, as when one says :a tree with flowers and to fruits." The tree is the origin or the cause of the flowers and the fruit is called tree flowering and to" Fruit. " {Ibid., F.18. B) It will result in any of there, according to me, that skandha means cause; the idea of cause is on the contrary implied between the two ideas expressed by the twoterms skandha and upddâna; it is as if one said: the Skandhas or attributes which serve orwhich lead to VUpddâna. This last term will be explained below. Page 81

424 INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY boxwood. It's that Bhagavat has said that the sensation, the ideaand the concepts were similar to an illusion. It's that Bhagavat has said that the knowledge wassimilar to an illusion. But the knowledge, it is the meeting of the five senses andthat of the five attributes. O Bhagavat, do the newly Buddhisattvasentered into their vehicle, upon hearing this demonstration, not to scarenot, will not fear, will not conceive of terror? Bhagavat replied: If the Bôdhisattvas, O Subhûti, newly entered in their vehicle, fall into the hands of a friend of sin, they will be afraid, they they will fear will experience of the terror. But if the Bodhisattvas, O Subhuti, newly entered in their vehicles fall into the hands of a friend of the virtue, theywill not be afraidnot, theythey will not fear, they will not be terrorized." That said, Subhûtispoke to Bhagavat thus: Who are those, O Bhagavat, we must recognize as the friends of virtue to the Bodhisattva? Bhagavat replied: These are those who instruct him and theform in the Perfections, and those who make him see the works of Mâra, in himsaying :So must be recognized the faults of Mâra, these are the faults of Mâra; so mustbe recognized the works of Mara, are there his works; hebe after thehave recognized you theavoid. Here, O Subhuti, those we must recognize the friends of virtue for Bodhisattva who is clothed with the greatarmor, which is entered in the large vehicle, which is mounted on the big vehicle.; ((Having said that, Subhutiover therethus to Bhagavat: When Bhagavat has said: Here is those hemust

recognize as the friends of virtue to the Bodhisattva whois coated with the high armor, which is entered in thegreat vehicle, which ismounted on the large vehicle; and when hehas pronounced the name of Bodhisattva, What is then, O Bhagavat, being named Bôdhisattvû?" Having said that, Bhagavat spoke to Subhuti thus: It is nota being, O Subhuti, that one which is called Bodhisattva. Why is that? It is because the Bôdhisattva, O Subhuti, learns to be away from all the conditions. Arrived at the detachmentment of all the conditions that result to him of what hetherecognizes, theBodhisattvareachesstatesupreme of a perfectly accomplished Buddha. Comethen to the perfection that resultsfor him of the stateof Bôdhi, heiscalled by this name of Bôdhisattva. Subhutiresumed: But Bhagavat has said: theBôdhisattva Mahâsaltva; now why do we call this being so? Bhagavatanswered: We say: Hewill get thefirst row in thebigmass ofcreatures, in the great body of creatures; it is for this thatis namedBôdhisattva Mahâsattva. ToSo Çàriputtraparla thus to Bhagavat: I will have thecourage, O Bhagavat, II

Page 82

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .425to say for what reason this being is called Bôdhisattva Mahâsattva. Bha-ga \ al replied: Have the courage O Śāriputra, retract it that you believe nowin his days. therespectable Çàriputtra continued :He will teach the Law to destroythese great doctrines and others as well, namely the doctrine of the self, that ofcreatures, that of life, that of individuality, that of the birth, thatofthe destruction, one of the interruption, that of eternity, that of body; it's for this reason that this being is called Bôdhisattva Mahâsattva." So Subhûtispoke to Bhagavat thus :I will also have the courage, O Bhaga-vat, to say for what reason wecalls this being Bôdhisattva Mahâsattva . Bhagavat replied: Have the courage O Subhuti, to say this that you believe nowin due time. Subhuti continued: Thethought of the Bôdhi who is the one of the omniscience, which is athought free from imperfections, dissimilar, dissimilarand similar, which is not peculiar to any rāvaka nor to any Pratyêka Buddha, is athought of which heis neither tied nor chained. Why is that ?It is that the thought of omniscience is free from imperfections, is absolutely deta-chée. But it is because heis neither attached nor chained to the thought of the omni-science, which is free from imperfections and absolutely detached, that heisunderstoodthe number of those who are called Bodhisattvas Mahāsattvas." So Càriputtra spoke to Subhûti thus :For what reason, O Subhuti "is he neither attached nor chained to this thought? Subhuti replied: This is because that it is ano thought, O Càriputtra, that there is notied or chained. Here-puttra continued: But, Subhûti, does heyhas athought that is a no-thought? Subhuti continued: But, Càriputtra, in the state of no-thought, does heis located, is that heare of reality or of the non-reality? Cariputtra relays: Neither reality nor absence of reality, O Subhuti. Subhutiresumed: If so,O Càriputtra, in the state of non-thought heand there will be found neither reality norunreality, how Śāriputra has he been able to say: Is he therehas a thought that either ano thought ?Càriputtra replied :It iswell, ôSubhûti, heis goodthat after being appointed by Bhagavatlike the leader of those who liveinthe absence of any corruption, you teach thus."So Pûrna, sonfrom Mâitrâyanî, spokethus to Bhagavat :The one who iscalledBodhisattva Mahasattva, O Bhagavat, is a be -coated of the large cui-rasse, it is a being entered in the large vehicle, mounted on the large vehicle. It is for this that we callMahâsattva (the great being)."So Subhûtispoke to Bhagavat thus :We call it,O Bhagavat, clothed with the great breastplate, covered with the large breastplate. But up to

what point,ohBhagavat, the bodhisattva is he dressed for the big armor? Bhagavat answer-says: It is, O Subhuti, when this reflection presents itself to the mind of the ^ Bôdhi-

Page 83

426INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY-sattva: Hebe that I lead full Nirvana the creatures which thenumber is immense, it be that I the there lead; hethere is however no creativetures which must be led there, nor creatures which lead there ;and that howeverbefore hedo not drive less all thesecreatures in nirvanafull. Now heare neither creatures that come to Nirvanacomplet, nor creatures in con-do others. Why is that? Bythat, O Subhuti, it is the character of aillusion, that the proper character which constitutes the beings what they are. It is, oh Subhuti, as if a skilled magician or the disciple of a magician was apparentraître in the crossroads offour main roads a huge crowd ofpeople, and that after having done itappear itthe FITdisappear. What do you think about itO Subhuti?is there anyone there that aAnother has killed, has made die, has annihilatedhas done away ?Subhutianswered :No, of course, Bhagavat. This is it ,O Subhuti, continued Bhagavat ;theBôdhisattva Mahâsattva leads to Nirvanafulla number huge, uncountable, infinite of creatures; and heneither exists creatures that are led there, nor creatures that lead there. If the Bôdhi-sattva Mahasattva, hearing to the exposure of the Act doesdo n't be afraidand have no fear, hemust be recopnu, O Subhuti, as clothedas much of the great breastplate.." So Subhûtipaila thus to Bhagavat :If I understand correctly the meaning of this that Bhagavat said, the Bodhisattva must be recognized as not being clothedof the great breastplate. Bhagavat continued: This is it, Subhûti isthat very. The Bodhisattva must be recognized as not being clothedof the great kitchenrasse. Why is that? Is that omniscience is not ne thing that is done, which is modified, which is composed. Etde even they do not do, theydo not change, they would have not made thecreatures in the interestwhich heis covered with the great breastplate." That said, Subhutispoke to Bhagavat thus: This is it, Bhagavat, this isthatsame. Why is that? This is because, O Bhagavat, the form is neither linked nor detached, and that in is of even of the feeling of the idea, the concepts of theknow-ciency, which will have neither related nor detached.tSo Pûrna, sonofMâitrâyanî, spoke thus to Subhûti :Don't you saynot, Subhûti: The form is neither related nor detached, and of even the feeling, the idea, theconcepts, the knowledge does are neither related nor detached? Don't you saynot, O Subhuti: The essence even of the form is not linked or detached; and of the same essence of the sensation, of the idea, the concepts of the knowledge is neither bound nor secondeddear? Thenwhat is it, ôSubhûti, that the form that you call a formwho is neither linked nor detached? Similarly, what, then, that the feeling that the idea that the concepts that the knowledge all things that you call or

Page 84

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .mlinked or detached? What then, O Subhuti, that the essence of the forme that you calls a gasoline of the form that is neither bound nor detached? Likewise, whatso that the essence of the feeling of the idea, the concepts of the knowledgethat you call neither linked nor detached?"Having said that,Subhutithus spoke to Pûrna, sonofMâitrâyanî: The shape, ohPûrna, of a man who is only the productof the magic is a formwho is notneither linked nor detached. From even the feeling, the idea, the concept, theawarenessa human product of the magic sonttoutes things thatdo are neither related nor secondedcherished. The essencede the form, O Purna, a man who is not only

the product of themagic, is neither linked nor detached. From even the essence of the feeling of the idea, concepts, to the knowledge of this man are all thingswho are notneither linked nor detached. Why is that? This is because that these things were not in exis-real strength, that they are neither linked nor detached; it is because they are iso-that theydo are neither related nor spare; it is because theydoes not pro-picks, that theydo are not relatednor detached. It is to this waythat the Bodhisattva which is coated with the great armor, which is entered in the general chariot, which is mounted on the large chariot, is really not clothed with the largebreastplate. That said, Pûrna, son of Maitrayani, kept the silence."Then Subhuti spoke to Bhagavat thus: How the Bôdhisattva, oh Bha-Gavat, which is coated to the high armor, is it entered in the big tank, mounted on the big chariot? What that this great chariot, and how should it be recognized as there is in? Whence come out this great chariot, and by what means itare wemounted? Where will he stop? Who will come out by this great chariot? Having said that, Bhagavatspoke thus to Subhuti: The expression of great chariot, O Subhuti, is a word whichmeansimmensity. It said a thing huge, because that this thing has notof measurement. As to what you say, Subhuti :How should it be recognized asmounted in this big chariot? where will this great chariot come from? by which means there is itmounted? where will this great chariot stop? who will come out by this great chariot ?I answer :Entered the middle of Perfections, hesoridra from the enclosure of the three worlds; Betweenthe means of CeQui are not apparent, itwill stop in omniscience; it's the Bôdhisattva who will come out. But deep down, Subhûti, he will not come out of nowhere, he isentered by no cause, hewon't stop anywhere. On the contrary, itstoptera in omniscience demanière to not stop really not; and nobody is released, not released, nor does fate bythis great chariot. Why is that? Is that the one who would come out, and this by what he would come out, are two beings who do not exist, whobornsee each other no moreone than the other. Comuie itthus no being exists, what is the one who would come out, and with the help of what he would come out?" That said, Subhûtispoke to Bhagavat thus :We say :What is called the great Page 85

428INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY chariot, O Rhagavat, triumphantof the world formed by themeeting of the Devas, men and the Asuras, released [the three worlds]. This chariot is large parsa res-semblance with space. From the same as inspace thereare of the site for theimmense creatures, without number and without measure, so hethere isin this tankof the site for the beings immense, without number and without measure. It is from thisso what the big tank of Rôdhisattvas. And we do not see the arrival, and wedo not see any more the start, and we do not know more than the station. It isso that we do not see O Rhagavat, the part prior to this great chariotneither is the posterior part nor the middle visible. Heis equal tothree times of the length, O Rhagavat; this is why it is called a large chariot \" So Rhagavat testified thushis assent to Subhûti: Nothing, good, Subhûli; that is it, Subhuti, that is it itself. This is howwhat is this big chariotof the Rôdhisattvas. The Rôdhisattvas who have learned this have acquired, acquire, gain the Perfection of the wisdom."Then Pûrna, son of Mâitrâyanî, spoke thus to Rhagavat :Subhuti the Sthavira, who, through the blessing he received, studied to obtain the Perfection of the wisdom, thinks that the large tank to be shown. So Subhûtispoke thusto Rhagavat :I do not believe, O Rhagavat, to have spoken of the great chariot contrary-ment to the perfection of the wisdom. Rhagavat replied: No, certainly, Subhuti; it is regularly that you define the great chariot, in accordance with the Perfection of thewisdom."Having said

that, Subhûlispoke to Rhagavat thus: It is by the favor of the Ruddha, O Rhagavat. 11 yTomore, the Rôdhisattva does not conceive from the beginning, nomore than at the end, nor by the middle. Why is that? It is that he does not conceive . As the form has no limits, the Rôdhisattva should be recognized assomething close. Similarly, as the sensation, the idea, the concept, theknowledge not of Hmites, the Rôdhisattva must be recognized as SOMEONEthat unlimited thing. He does not understand this: The Rôdhisattva is the shape, becauseit even does not, does not exist. From mêniB itdoes not design this more: the Rôdhisattva is the feeling, the idea, the concepts, the knowledge, because that even is not, does not exist. This is how ORhagavat, only meeting inno way, no way, absolutelynotof conditions (1) from Rôdhisattva, Ido not recognize being to which this name of Rôdhisattva applies. I do not recognizenais not, I do not see not to Perfection of the wisdom. I do not recognize, Iborn(1)I have already warned that the word that I translate by requirement isdharma: I choose on purposecondition because that this word gives a notion abstraile as the Sanskrit dharma itself. But I have to hardly necessary to say that the words being, realityand even individual, can, in theduring this singular exhibition, being very often substituted for that of condition. Page 86

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM., 429see no more omniscience. Not meetingnot, O Bhagavat, do not recognizehealth in no way, in no way, absolutelynot any of these conditions, what condition will I form andwill I educate? with the help of what condition, and inwhat condition thewill i do?" The name of Buddha, ohBhagavat, is just a word. The name of Bôdhisattva, O Bhagavat, is just a word. The name of Perfection of the wisdom, O Bhagavat, is just a word; and this name is unlimited, as when we say: The me; because the I, O Bhagavat, is something unlimited, because he has not to run. Of Similarly, what is that the form elusive, unlimited conditions that nodoes it have its own nature? What is that the sensation, the idea, the concepts? What is thatthat theelusive, unlimited knowledge ?In even still, the lack of aNature clean to all the circumstances, this is the state of no limitation. Goldthe status of non-limitation of all theconditions, it is not there that we called the conditions themselves. How to erase I will train, how instruirai Iin the perfection of the wisdom unlimited, themeans of the state of non-limiting? However, O Bhagavat, it does not other than in the non-limitation that is meet all the conditions, either that of Buddha or that of Bôdhisattva, conditions which work towards the state of Buddha."If while we're talking, that we teach, that we explain and that we elucidateso this subject, the thought of the Bôdhisattva does not dissolvenot, [etc. as above, until :] do not feel terror, here is whatthat must be recognized. One such Bodhisattva walking in the Perfection of the wisdom hethe comprises; hethink about the Perfection of the wisdom he 's meditating. Why is that? It is that in the timethat the bodhisattva réiléchit on these conditions from the Perfection beyond wisdomin thistime even he did not design the form, it does grasps not the form, it does recognize born not the production of form, it does not recognize the cessation of the form. Of even he did not imagine the feeling, the idea, the concepts, the knowledge; hedo not seizenot these things; he does not recognize the production, he does not recognize not the cessation. Why is that? This is because the nonproduction of the form is not the form; thenon-destruction of the form, it is not the form; the non-production and form, it will actually not two things is not a difference; the non-destruction and the form, it will actually not two things, it is not a difference. Elsego, when we pronounce the name of the form, we do is not the account of two

things. From even the failure to produce the sensation of the idea, the concepts of the conbirth, this is not thesensation, idea, the concepts, the knowledge; the Non-destruction of the knowledge, it is not the knowledge; the non-protion and knowledge, it does actually not two things, it is not a different-rence; the non-destruction and knowledge, it does actually not two things, this

Page 87

4Si3INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY is not difference. Elsehand, when we pronounce thename of know-ciency, it is actually not the account of two things. So that theBôdliisattva,O Bhagavat, who reflects in all these ways on all the conditionsance to the perfection of the wisdom, not designs pasdans this time even theform itnot seized not, itdoes not recognize the production of the form, itdon't not recognize the cessation; [etc.as above, up to : on the other hand, when we pronounce the name of knowledge, it is actually not the account of twothings. "More than one reader may find that I could have dispensed with extracting atoo long passage, and that instead of giving this fragment weird, I would have been by presenting the summary offirst shot and at some near in these terms: Thebooks of the Pradjiiâ paaramitaa are consacrésà exposure of a doctrine which the aim is to establish that the object to know or the Perfection of the wisdom does notmore real existence than the subject who must know or the Bôdhisattva, nor thatthe knowing subject or the Buddha. This is in fact the trend common toall theeditorial staff of the \* Pradjnâ; whatthat is the difference of developments loppements and circumlocutions whose envelope is the fundamental thought, all lead to the negation equal the subject and of the object. CornI pray thereadtor to point out that this is less here to exhibit in all its details la meta-of the Pradjnâ than to determine, as far as possible, the placethat this collection occupies in all the books of the Nepal. But there is no one whoafter the reading of aportion of aforementioned passage, do not can immediately appreciate the distance between the metaphysical sūtras of that of the Pradifià. Heisclear that in this last work the doctrine is reached all its developments pment, to do not back to the absurdity of its conclusions; whilethat in the sūtras the metaphysical engaged usually in the body, in isstill in its first tests. Alsodo I doubt very much that in any Sutra (I speakthose that I think the most ancient), itwas possibleto meet a proposition like this :€The name of Buddha is just a word, "and likethis one: < The Buddha himself, O venerable Subhuti, is similar to a"illusionconditionsof the Buddha themselves are similar toa<illusion,alikeToadream (1)."the speculationmay, withoutdoubt, to arrive by a series of reasoning until then egation of topic considered in its shape the more high; but heis hard to believe that Çâkya-muni would have become the leader of a meeting of ascetics which was later to form a(1)Vinaya sutra, f.136 b, according to the Prajdnâ pramitâ. Page 88

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .434body of religious, if he had débutépar of axioms such as those that I come fromrecall. Hedo is no less true that the germ negations the boldest of the Pradjnâ is already contained in the Sutras, and that the Buddha, for example, orman the more enlightened, in bothhe appears in the midst of phenomena pro-ucts by the chain of causes and the effects actually hasno more existence that these phenomena themselves. Now the theory causes

andfromeffectsistoofamiliarofSutrasoldthatthosebigSutrasdeveloped that is called the Perfection of thewisdom. She is not no more explained in the that one in the others; but she is seen and Toevery moment remembered in all. It's herethe parttrue

philosophicalment oldest of Buddhism, one that we pourrionsappeler the psychologyandontology, of samethat thetheory of four sublime truthsrepresented especially the moral; and the Rev. W. H. Mill has been very fortunately well served by the memories of his classical scholarship when, examining thefamous formulephilosophique by which it attributed to Buddha the connais-ciency of all the causes, he recalls the famous line Who potuit Rerumcognoscerecausas, and nameCàkya, the Epicurus of this great oriental system(1). It is not not to say, however, that these three parts of the speculation are clearly dis-singled out in this double theory, that of causes and effects, and that of the fourtruths. Although the contrary, the relations which unite them all thethrough-ties of the philosophy have (andthis is natural) struck the Buddhist asceticsmuch more than the differences which the separate, and their analysis did not net-clearly traced the domain of each of them. This circumstance same is whatmakes it very difficult to understand their exposure, which is found mixed the factsof all the orders, and where inespecially lacking in few almost completely the distinction of the mindand of thematter, that is to say, to express myself in amannermore in line with Buddhist ideas, which lack the distinction of phenomena which fall within the meaning of thosethat escape them and that designs intelligence. In fact, and this is an item that is important to not forget, for the most large number of Buddhists whoonly believe in the testimony of direct observation, all the phenomena, they are hardware or immaté-riels, are essentially homogeneous; they do are not fundamentally differ-ent the one of the others. Materials, is the callexterior; intellectuals, wethe appointed interiors; it is a simple difference of place, and Mr. Hodgson was able to saying that according to the most large number of Buddhists, including the naturalist(1) Journ, Asiat. Soc. of Bengal, t. IV, p. 214 and 215.

## Page 89

432INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYlists, mindis a modification of the terms, and that the order of the universe, which is one, is the physical order (1). What hein either of these difficulties, Ido 'll not least try to summarizehere that thatmy studies taught me about the important theory of causes and from effects. By browsing this part of my work, the reader will want well to Rap-peel that I have no comments at my disposal, and that I do not have forshed light on this delicate matter other than the comparison of passagesborrowed from various treatises, all of which are equally obscure, because theybornare usually that the repetition of the one of the other. People who have had the patience to read the aforementioned fragment of the PradinàParramitâ have thereseen as beings and their qualities exist not for the fact that ordinary men attribute to them. The current ones must their existence toignorance thatdo not know what they are, or rather whodo n't know they don't haveof real existence. Following this doctrine, the point of departure of all the exis-Competencies is VAvidyâ, which, as I shall indicate below, means to both non-to be and not to know. How now this non-being and of this not-knowing comes out the object which is and the subject who knows? This is what to order to show thetheory of causes or Nidâna, theory which receives the namegeneric of Pratîiyammutpâda, where the outputsuccessive causes of existence, "or the pro-duction of what is successively cause and effect(2). It is therefore important to exhibit the terms or degrees in the number of twelve, by which beingphenomenal atbottom comes out of non-being; but in place to follow the method of the Prajñā whichdescends from non-being, that is to say from ignorance, I prefer to walk in the directionreverse, and start from the current state of

being to go back to its past. I have garlic-theirs again here a Buddhist authority of great weight, that of Lalita vis-tara, which we montreÇàkyamuni rising by the meditation on the knowledgeof this truth, that everything comes from non-being, and henceof the current state of beingto find hisorigin. I will quote this piece, in which heseems to meeasy enough to grasp the progress of the philosophical thought which dominates there, itisborrowed from the chapter whereSakya, named in the text the bodhisattva passes successively by the various degreesof thecontemplation. "So heis remembered allof his many previous dwellings, and those of other creatures, in this way: One existence, two, three, five,ten, twenty, forty, fifty, a hundred, a thousand, a hundred thousand, hundreds of a thousand, several Kôtis, a hundred Kôtis, a thousand Kôtis, ten thousand Kôtis, several hundredof thousands ofKotis, hundreds of thousand myriads of kotis, a Kalpa of the(1) Europ. Specul. on Buddh., in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t. III, p. 500.^(2) See a note on this expression at the end of this volume. Appendix, No. VII.

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .433destruction, a Kalpa of the reproduction, a Kalpa of the destruction and of thereproduction, several Kalpas of the destruction and of the reproduction, as is thenumber of livesthat he remembered (1). I was in this place, I had a suchname, I was of such a lineage, I was of such a family, of such a caste; my lifelasted so manytime; I remained as of time in the world; I experienced such happiness and such misfortune; after coming out of this existence i was born againin one such location; after coming out of this existence, I was born again in one such place; finally, being out of cedernierplace, I was born here. It is from this way hebe reminded all of its old houses and of those of all the creatures, each with its own character and its description (2).vs:So the Bodhisattva, with his collected, perfect, completely pure thought, bright, free of dirt, freed of any imperfection, is based in the ease of its action and arriving at immobility (3), the Bodhisattva, I say, at the last watch of the night, at the time when the dawn is goingdawning, the time OII the sleep is the deepest, and where it is so difficult to be awake, collectedhis intelligence and the brought in himself by the contemplation direct from thescience, to help in the view of the knowledge that destroys all imperfection. Then this thought is presented in its spirit: It is certainly an evil that the existence of this world, which is born, ages, dies, falls and is reborn again. But he could not recognize the way of out of this world, which is a large accumu-mulation of pain. Alas! he said to himself, hedoes not exist of term to this great accumulation of pain that not be composed only of decay, of diseases of death and other troubles, which in is any whole formed." This reflection he brought the thought suivanteà mind: What is the thing that existing gives rise to the decay and to the death; and whatquestion have the decrepitude and death? This thinking it Vinta mind: The birth (Djâu) existing, the decre-pitude and death exist; because the decay and death were due to birth.ftThen this other reflection came to the mind of the Bôdhisattva: What is the something that exists gives er to the birth, and whatdue to the birth? Thisthinking it then came to mind: Existence or being (Bhava) being, the born-session exists; because the birth to because the existence. (1) For the explanation of these terms, "Kalpa or age of the reproduction and of the destruction, "which designate the various periods of the birth and of the annihilation of the world visible, see Turnour, Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.VII, p. 699.(2) This passage is found almost word for word in the Pali books of the Buddhists of the South; hehas been translated parTurnour. {Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.Vil, p. 690.)(3) My

manuscript is not very correctin this place, and heis could that I did would have noperfectly grasped themeaningspecial of the fifth of the epithets that characterize thethought of the Bôdhisattva; I did not, however, omit anything.28 Page 91

434INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY€ Then this other thought came to the mindof Bôdhisattva: What is thething which existing gives rise to existence, and what cause has existence ?Thisthinking it vintalors in mind :The existing conception (Upadana), the existenceis; because life has to question the design." Then this other reflection came to the mind of the Bôdhisattva: What is thethingthat existing gives rise to the design, and what cause has the design? This thinking it then came to mind: The desire (Trîchnâ) existing, the conceptiontion exists; because the design has to because the desire." Then this other thought came to mindof Bôdhisattva: What is the something that exists gives rise to desire, and what reason has the desire? This reflection himthen came to the mind: The feeling (Vêdanâ) existing, the desire exists; because the desirehas to because the sensation.vs:Then this other reflection came to the mind of the Bôdhisattva:What is thethingthat existing gives rise to the feeling, and what cause the sensation? Thisreflectionhimthen came to mind :the Contact{Sparça} existing,the feelingexist; because the feeling has to because the touch."Then this other thought came to mindof Bôdhisattva: What is the something that exists gives rise to contact, and what cause has the touch? Thisthinking it then came to mind: The sixseats [of sensitive qualities] {Cha-(lâyatana) existing, the contact exists ; because the contact has to because thesix seats[ sensitive qualities]."Then this thought came to mindof Bôdhisattva: What is the thingwhoexisting gives rise to the six sieges [ sensitive qualities], and what cause have thesixseats? This thinking it then came to mind: The name and the form {Nâma-rûpa} existing, the six seats [of sensible qualities] exist; because the six seats havefor because the name and form." Then this other thought came to mindof Bôdhisattva: What is the thing that existing gives rise to the name and to the form, and what reason have the name and the shape? This thinking it then came to mind: The knowledge (Vidjmna) existing, the name and form exist; because, the name and the form has to because theawareness." Then this another thought came to Mindof Bôdhis attva: What is thethingwhich existing gives rise to theknowledge, and what cause has the conbirth? This reflectioncame to himthen in mind rWhen theconcepts (Samskara) exist, the knowledge exists; because the knowledge has to due the concepts." Then this other thought came to the mind of Bôdhisattva: What is thething that exists gives rise to concepts, and whatcause have the concepts?

Page 92

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .435This reflection then occurred to him.the mind :Ignorance (Avidya) exists, the conceptsexist ;because the concepts are caused ignorance."Also theBôdhisattva, O Religious, he saidthese thoughts :The concepts havefor good reasonignorance ;the knowledge has to question the concepts ;the name andform have to because the knowledge ;the six seats have for cause the name andthe form ;the contact has to question the six seats ;thefeeling has to because thecontact ;thedesire has to because the feeling ;the conceptionhas to question the desire ;existence has to because the design ;the birth was' to question the existence ;thedecrepitude and death, with the sentences, the wailing, the pain, the sorrow,the despair, have to because the birth. This estainsi has held the production thisworld which is only one bigmass of pain. The

production !the produc-tion ![exclaimedtheBodhisattvaj]and as hehad considered face to face, from aso fundamental and toseveral times, these conditions which hedid not haveheard about it before itfelt to produce in it theacquaintance with theview, the science, the fullness [of knowledge] the thinking, the wisdom; the light himappeared. What is the thing that does not exist, is that the decay and deathdo not exist? Or, what is the thing by the annihilation of which one has instead the annihilation of the decrepitude and of the dead? This thought occurred to himthen to the mind: The birth does not exist not, the decrepitude and the death does exist not; of the annihilation of the birth resulting annihilation of the decrepits tudy and of the death." Then this other thought came to mindof Bôdhisattva: What is thething thatnot existing no fact that the birth are not? Or even whatthe thing by the destruction of whichhas held the destruction of the birth? This thinking it then came to mind: Existence not being, the birth therenot; of the annihilation of existence is the result of annihilation of the birth." Then this other reflection came to the mind of the Bôdhisattva: What is thething that not existing [and so on, for each of the preconditionstees, up to : fact that the concepts do not exist ; or what is the thing by the annihilation of whichhas held the annihilation of concepts? This thinking it then came to mind: Ignorance does not exist, the conceptsdo not exist; from the annihilation of ignoranceresultsannihilationfromconcepts.Of

annihilation from concepts results annihilation of the knowledge, [and and to subsequently up:] of the annihilation of the nais-session results the annihilation of the decrepitude, of the death, sorrows, lamentations of the pain, of grief and of despair. It is Thushas held the destruction of this world that is than a big one mass of pains.

Page 93

436INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY"It is thus, O Religious, that the Bôdhisattva, who had envisaged face to face, a fundamental way and many times, these conditions which he hadnever heard of it before, felt knowledge arise in him, with theview, the science, the fullness [of knowledge]the thinking, the wisdom; the light himappeared. "It is I, O Religious, who at that time recognized with certainty: That is the pain, this is the production of corruption, it is annihilation of the corruption, ceciest the degree which leads to the destruction of the corruption: these are the truths that I recognized with certainty. This isthecorrup-tion of desire; that, corruption of existence; this, that ofignorance; that, that of false doctrines. It is here that the corruption is completely destroyed.tions; it'shere that theimperfections disappear without leaving a trace, withoutleave a reflection. Here is ignorance; here is the production of ignorance; here is the annihilation of ignorance; it's herethedegree which leads to annihilationment ofignorance: these are the truths that I recognized with certainty. It ishere that ignorance disappears without leaving a trace, without leaving a reflection; and soof result for the other conditions. Here are the concepts; here is the production from concepts; here is the annihilation of concepts; it's herethedegree which con-leads to the annihilation ofconcepts: these are the truths that I recognized withcertainty. Here the knowledge; Here the production of knowledge; here is the annihilation of the knowledge; this is the degree which leads to annihilation-ment of the knowledge: these are the truths that I recognized with certainty. Here is thename and shape; here is the production the name and for theshape; here is the annihilation of the name and of the form; this is the degree which leads to the anean-invest- the name and of the form : these are the truthsthat I recognized withcertainty. Here are the six seats [of the sensible qualities]; here is the production of

the sixseats ;here is the annihilation of the six sieges ;it'sthethedegree whichleads to the annihilation of the six seats [of sensitive qualities] :these are the truths that I recognized with certainty. Here is the contact; here is the production the touch; here isannihilation of contact; this is the degree that leads to the annihilation of contact :these are the truths that I recognized with certainty. Here is the sensation; Here the production of sensation; here the annihilation of the feeling; it's there the degree that leads to the annihilation of the feeling: these are the truths which I recognized with certainty. Here is the degree that leads to annihilation the desire; these are the truths that I recognized with certainty. Here is the degree that leads to annihilation if the design; here is the annihilation of the conception; this is the degree which leads to the annihilation of thedesign: teUes Page 94

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .437 are the truths that I recognized with certainty. Here is existence; here is the production of existence; here is the annihilation of existence; this is the degree that leads to the annihilation of existence: these are the truths that I recognized with certainty. here is the birth; here is the Production of the birth; here is the annihilation of the birth; this is the degree that leads to annihilation of the birth : these are the truths that I recognized with certainty. Here is the decrepitude; Here the production of decay; here is the annihilation of the decrepitude; this is the degree which leads to the anéantissement de the decay : these are the truths that I recognized with certainty. Here the dead; here is production of the death; here is the annihilation of the dead; here is the degree whichled to the annihilation of the death :these are the truths that I recognized with certainty. Here are the sorrows, the lamentations, the pain, the sorrow, the despairpear. This is how it takes placethe production of this world which is only a greatmass of pain, [and and of following, to:] and thus has held its anéantisse-ment: these are the truths that I recognized with certainty. Here is the pain; Here the production of pain; here the annihilation of the pain; it's herethe degree thatled to the destruction of the pain: these are the truths that I recognized with certainty(1)."Let's resumeNow the result of these words, in the order in which we the pre-feel the Lalita vistara, that is to say inleaving of the current state. The one that we find the first and that in production order is the Last, it is the Djarâmarana, " the decrepitude and death. » This term cannotto dothe objectof no difficulty; only heclearly marks the pointofdeparture to all the buddhist theory; it's well from the direct observation of the great fact of the destruction by the death of everything that has life, they go to explain the generation of all things. The decay and the dead were held, following the Buddhist authors, in accordance with the fashion and at the assigned timefor each being (2). The Brahmin philosophers who, by refuting the Buddhists, cite this theory of the successive sequence of causes and effects, define of even the decay and death, after which the departure takes place for one another world, according to the law of the transmigration (3). The first part of this term compound Djaraou the decrepitude of old age, is, according to the Buddhists chi-nois (4) and the Brahmanic authorities to which I am referring, the maturity of(1) Lalita vistara, f.178 o ff. from my man.(2) Hodgson, Quelleat., Etc., in JoMrn. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.V, p. 78 sqq.(3)Colebrooke, Miscell. Essays, t. 1, p. 397.(4) Klaproth, in the Foe Jeoue ki, p. 288, note. Page 95

438INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY what are called the five Skandhas or attributes which are united by theborn-sance, and which I will speak of below. The decrepitude and

death are the product of the birth; because everything who is bornmust die, according to a maximattributed toÇâkya. "It is short, O Religious, the life of men ;the term" In is inevitable; hemust practice the virtue, because the death is the status of this" Who was born (i). 3) The decrepitude and the death are thus the effect of the birthwhoas is the case, and to which we willpass (2). The second term in back is Biâti ^ the birth whereinis the ^cause of the term previously explained. Hethere are six lanes or roads in which aplace the birth, and four ways in which it is accomplished. The six paths, of which it is frequently spoken in the texts, are the conditions of Deva, of Man, of Asura, of Prêta, of animal and inhabitant of the Underworld, Thefour ways in whichtakes place the birth are defined in accordance with the ideas Brahman, humidity, an egg, a matrix, a metamorphosis (3). Weunderstands according to this why the term of Bjâti is defined sometimes by birth, as the makethe Brahmans refuting the Buddhists; sometimes by genre, as understoodother Brahmins (4) and one of the great modern schools of Buddhism (5). In fact, since to be born theremust enter in the six paths of existence, to be born isput on one of the varietiesgender quidistinguent theone of theother 's natures animated ; from where he follows that for each given nature, the birthciency be confused with the kind. I do not think notless preferablemakeBjâti by birth, causede the closeof these two conditions, the birthsance and death, which mark the two terms of the apparent life of the individual. In addition, if we do n't see the birth in Djâli, he will have the look, (1) Abhidharma liôça vyâkhyû, f. 327 a el b.(2) I think need to add here a note of Mr. Th. Goldstuecker has liked me back onthis term, and I will do as much for those who the following. Like it's pretty much the first timethat I have the advantage of being able to consult, before printing, a judge competent in the matterswhich I 'm in charge, the player I will quote a foreign opinion, when even she doeswould not all in fact conforms to the mine. "I propose to translate djarû marana by usure anddestruction; because I believe that diard expresses all the conditions that elapse between the birthand death, not only those of the last epoch of life, but thewasting that is the consequence of every past moment. I interpret marana by destruction, because as I suppose that this term should be applied to all CeQui exist as beings animated as the inanimate beingswhich are also subject to wear and to the destruction. "Mr. Goldstuecker was perfectly righthere, and it is in the same thought of generality that I have translated diard by decrepitude. Only, as it myself manifesto seems that Sakya departed from human to construct his theory ofcauses and the effects, I do not see any downside to keep the word of old age.the Tibetanstranslate this term as rga-chi, "old and dead." "(3) Klaproth, Foe koue M, p. 288, note.(4) Colebrooke, Miscell. Essays, t.I, p. 396.(5) Hodgson, What., Etc., in Journ. Asiat. Soc. of Bengal, t.V, p. 78 sqq.

Page 96

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .439as is a schoolBuddhist, in Bhava ^ term which comes immediately-is lyingafter Djâti. But if,as everything points to thebelieve, these conditions, tomeasurethat theyrise, express notions of moreinmore general,Bhava should plutôtdésigner existence that the birth. I said on the article pre-yield at the time of the birth will bring together the five Skanclhas or attri-goals, and this would be the place to define these five attributes; but this research wewould turn away from the object that wecurrently occupies. The five Skandhas aremoreover subordinate to the condition of the birth or the gender of which they makeparty, and as such, theyborncan be properly examineduntil afterthat the relation of theborn with the conditions the previous willbeen

clearly determined. But the requirement that the birth is the effect is Bhavaor existence, atwhich i am passing now(1). Existence is the third condition on the way up. Following one of the schoolsBuddhists, Bhava is the actual physical existence, which a commentator of this school defines as follows: the birth physics (2). I saidearlier thereasons that I had to book the word birth to Diati, and by following theof existence for Bhava. This term, 'eli effect, signifies the being or the state; now this notion is more general than that of birth, the birth is not that the fashion of the outward appearance of being. The Buddhists also, and according to them the Brahmansthat the refute(3) provide for Bhava or of the existence aexplanation takenthe heart even of ideas Indian, and that adds moreofpre-decision to this idea générale. Suivant the commentators Indians, theBuddhistswould define Bhava by "the condition of Dharma (merit)or of Adharma (demerit), "and I hesitate all the less to take for authentic the explanation the Brahmans, that is that one even which is enclosed, although very obscu-recently, in apassage of a BuddhistChinese than Klaproth, for lack ofbrought together opinionthe Brahmins, has perhaps êtrepas completely understood (4). Bhava is therefore to be worthy of reward or of punishment, Yexistence morality(1) Here the notes of Mr Goldstuecker on Djati: "The termede Djati expresses Yexistence real; in the Mimamsa and Vedanta Djati means always kind; in the Mimàiusâ, it even seemsto be synonymous with the term ânantya, although I do not ignore the nuance that always separatestwo words apparently synonymous. But I do not find any incompatibility between the gender of Mîmânisakas and the birth, or the real existence of the Bâuddhas. Because for the philosophy that knowshappen to a be absolute and real, it can be to have a general infinite; while for the one who comes to nothing, this generality itself in any points of view that the look, issomething finite, consequently endowed with a perishable existence. And I believe that forthe Buddhists, it is the same thing to say general or individual, the existencegénérale being forthem as much as actual existence. "The Tibetans translate Diati by Skye-ba, the birth.(2) Hodgson, Quotat., Etc., in Journ. A & iaU Soc. of Bengal, t.V, p. 78.(3) Colebrooke, Miscell. Essays, 1. 1, p. 396.(4) Foe koue M, p. 288, note. Page 97

440INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY such that have made, according to the theory of the transmigration, the ante action laughing. It is not only material existence or spiritual existence, it is again and above all the moral being that this term designates; and this point is at-so much more necessary to establish, that it is one of those by which the theory budget-ethics of causes and effects is attached to the theory Tothetimes Brahma-fuck and Buddhist, of the transmigration. We seen ow from what way it should widen the notion of existence; and this wordcan begivenlike aexample of the difficulties we encounter in translating, in our languagesmodern, such comprehensive expressions. Existence therefore a lawwell-determined, hemust go back to its cause, which is Upâdâna or the designtion (1). This cause is the fourth condition always going up. Her name isUpâdâna, the catch, the caption, attachment, thedesign. I do n't know whyCsoma of Kőrös always written this term Apadana, in the resulting by deprivationtion, ablation (2). The interpreters Tibetan themake not not not plus bylen-pa, like the Pentaglotte Vocabulary, but by nê-bar len-pa, expressionthat i havefound in the earlier piece quoted from the Prajñā Paramita, when it has been matter of the five attributes of the design (3). These five attributesgoals are the Skandhas, which I promised to talk about soon when I haveundermined the exposure of the causes and the effects :the socket or the design is

XUpådånaeven which heis here. The expression by which the interpreters Tibetan RENtooth this difficult termmissing in the dictionaries of Csoma and to Mr. Schmidt; it will be found that in that of Schröter, which is, whatever weinmay say, very rich in valuable information. There theterm which representsfeelUpâdâna skandha, namely ner-len-gyi phung-po, is engaged in asentence that the editor of Schroter has traduiteainsi:" The disorder or the painborn-sant of the transmigration (4).» I believe that the word transmigration is notcorrect, but hecertainly leads us very close to the meaning attributed tooriginal term of very respectable Buddhist authorities. Thus a text quoted by Mr. Hodgson defines XUpâdâna as follows: "The physical existence of the embryo, "what a commentator determines as follows: "thedesignof the body (5). » TheBrahmans opponents Buddhists, define as it follows this term: (1) According to M. Goldstuecker, Bhava is virtual existence, potential existence, which is comparable to the Sûvap; of Aristotle, as Djâti is to his èvépyetx.(2) Anahisis of the Kah-gyur, in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.I, p. 377; and Analym of the Sher-chin, in Asiat. Res., T.XX, p. 398 and 399. Conf. Vocab. pent., sect. xxii, n "9.(3) Above, p. 423, note.(4) Bhotanta Diction., P. 117, col. 1.(5) Hodgson, Quotat., Etc., in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t. V, p. 78. Page 98

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .441"TefTortor the exertion ofbody or of the voice(1);"but I don't know on whatthis definition is basedwhich has only a vague memory of the meaning of Upadana(taking, accepting). Be that as it may, the Chinese Buddhist extracted by Klaproth is content withrepresent this word by decision, and in fact an accident of the existence of the man oftwenty years old, who isrushes with ardorto seize the object of his past-sion (2). I dontthen believeit either here of man is, and I guess the Chinese Buddhist will have cited as example " of thetaken, of attachment, "the ardor of young man towards the objects of his desire. Itherefore prefers the meaning of conception, and I think that it is a question here of the evolution of the being which passes through the conception to come into existence. This notion is bindingbetter with the conditions that follow, all of the same it results quite well to the condition that She goes out. Only, as the design is an act in which being designed is up to a certain passive point, it I seem to need, for good judge all theforce of the wordUpâdâna, grant to the being going through this phase which precedes the existence of a certain degree of activity, which is expressed by the termoriginal fromcaption, an activity which makes him take for himself, which makes him seize the five attributes goals of the shape of the sensation of the idea of the concepts and of theawareness, which, united with five senses and the elements coarse of which the body is composed, mark its appearance in one of the six paths of existence. That which I confirmed in this idea is that theword Upâdâna has, besides the ac-special reception that we are studying, an all moral sense, that in 's loose, adhesion, meaning that appears in these five terms :Kâm-upâdâna, " the attachment" To the pleasure;» Ditth-upâdâna, «attachment to false doctrines; "Çîlap-pat-upâdâna, "the opposite attachment or negative with respect to the corporation; » Attha-vâd-vpâdâna, " the attachment to the dispute (3).» I do not hide that these termsare borrowedin Pali, that is, to Southern Buddhism, and that we cantest the correctness of the application that I make of it here to the Sanskrit texts of the North. CornI beg the reader to admit for amoment, which will be amply proven moreLater, knowing that in fact the terms philosophy and in what key the value of these terms, the paU is used as much for the interpretation of Sanskrit texts of Nepal as the Sanskrit to that of Pali books of Ceylon (4). I add here, to finish, a(1) Colebrooke,

Miscell. Essays, 1. 1, p. 396. This meaning seems too limited.(2) Foe kone ki, p. 288, note.(3) Judson, Burman Did., P. 45. I do 'm not sure of the meaning of the third term; so that mytranslation was certain, itbe that the word original was Çîlappalchtipâdâna, for theSanskritçUa-prali-upddâna.(4) I place here before to finish, the explanation of Mr. Goldstuecker: "V Upâdâna or the Upâdânaskandhas are the cause of virtual or embryonic existence, but embryonic, I believe, in Page 99

442INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY passage which shows with some detail the way in which takes place the act that I believebe the design, or the outletof existence.vsMan, O Religious, is made up of six elements(Dhâtu). This results from this axiom, that thereunion of the six elements is the cause of the descent ofgermin the breast of the mother. Because these elements are the containers (dhâ-tu) of thebirth, because they engender, the feed and make grow. Now, here the ele-ment that generates, is one of the knowledge(Whereof the conscience Yidinâna) because he is the origin of the catchof a new body. The elements who feeds a broader meaning and not restricted to the embryonic state of man. I translate first ivpådånaby hardware cam. This term has been for me one of the most difficult; However, I believe that the places that I quote him take away a little of his obscurity. It is said in the Vêdânta sâra(ed. Frank, p. 5,1.23; and P. 6, 1.1 and 2) that Tchàitanya (Brahma) is, by its two forces, nimilta and upâdâna, and we add: like the spider, in relation to its web, is nimitta by its na-ture and upadana through his body. Windischmann, on Sankara, interpreter (p. 19, on the cloka 12 of the sixth page) vpdddna by causa materialis, by alleging other examples. In all the Mîmài Tisâ this word has the same meaning, and I am bound to mention a way that in giving a de-finishing full and very satisfactory {Mâdhaviya. Djâimini nytîya mâld vistara, f. 58 b of yourmanuscript) : Ananuchihitasya anuchthdnam upâddnam, that is :Upâdâna is the attachment tothat which is without attachment, to that which is primitive. But what can serve as a point of attachmentnot in having, without having to cause, must be, if I can express myself well, palpable, there-quent material; it is therefore the visible cause. And by excess of clarity (which does not often happento clôkas of Madhava and to comment that in a given itself), the author adds: Tatchtchaliarmavichayah purucha vyûpdrah: And where is found, sedit of an object, and becomes the work of the man. This is said in opposition to t; td / i "na which is apravfiUapravartanamel purucha vichayahrabda vydpârah. It ends with :Iti mahân bhêdah. Other places are perfectly compliant to this explanation, which removes any doubt as to the meaningat.'upddâna. The etymology of this word seem also to give, expressing the object one can enter, DDA, (and therefore is material), but who is Vupa of the senses, that is to say who is thebase, thecause; that is to say in-core, the graspable, material cause. If I keep this explanation, the word Skandha also loses of its darkness; because I would be tempted of thetake in its original sense of which the other senses of aggregate, accumulation, etc., derive. I translate Skandka by shoulder, and Upâdâna skandha isa tatpurucha of the way of those panini described (II, i,36), where the Upâdâna theme is for the dative of the word declined. The sense shoulder for the causes material, is derived: that in which lie thematerial causes, by which they become knowable. In this way, I am consistent perfectly to the commentator you quote, p. 423, note; and the explanation of the Upâdâna skandhasby rapa, etc., according as it's caught by the body or by the mind, becomes in fact clear. Iask even if this word Skandha in his acceptiond'agrégat or of causes (as saidcommentator, p. 423), does should not be

necessarily part of a compound. It is under thisonly on condition that this meaning seems to me justifiable. As for me, I do me remember noto have met him alone in this sense; and that of the verb skandh (to accumulate) is, asindicates its conjugation and the Dhâtuputha of Westergaard, most probably a formation of nominative made when the reason for the application had been forgotten. I believe therefore that the Upadanaskandhas are the bases of the visible causes which would respond to the invisible elements of the Brahmans, as the actual existence presupposes the elements visible. Thus, for the Buddhists, the Bhava hasdue to the invisible elements, or the basis of visible causes. "Being somewhat familiar with the doctrine of the Mîmàiïisâ, I do possèdepas the elements necessary to discuss this opinion; however ingenious it may seem to me, it has not yet been sufficiently demonstrated to me to commit myself to modifying my interpretation at this point.

# Page 100

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .44Sfeel, these are the elementscoarse, land, castle, fire, the wind, because ifbringing together, they constitute the body. The element that makesto grow is that of space {Âkâçd} ^because it is the onewho himgives the place of which heToneed.Here iswhy these elements have thename of Dhâtu; those areDhâtus, containers, because they contain the principle taking a newbody (1). y>It must move now to the question of which comes Yllpâdâna, word that faultmore precise expression, I translate by design. This cause, which is the fifth, is Trichnâ, the thirst or desire. The meaningcation of this term is not in doubt. The commentator quoted by Mr. Hodgsonin this regard is expressed as follows:" Then is born in the archetypal body the desire orc worldly love (2): » And the Brahmans who refute the definite Buddhists-feels this desire of this way: "The thirst is the desire to renew the sensations" Pleasant tions, and that of avoiding what is unpleasant (3). • "Here again the Chinese Buddhist a, at least after Klaproth, attributed to the youthofman this condition which arises at a time certainly more important.tive of its existence(4).If in effectI havewell determined theprevious word, if VUpâdâna is the physical conception which constitutes the existence of the individual and the prepareto the birth, the Trichnâ is a requirement of the individual prior to the design, or to be archetypal, following Mr. Hodgson; which does not remind badly the Linga çarîra, or the body composed of pure attributes, admitted by the schoolSâmkhya, and thatseems to define the aforementioned commentator. Let us see, then, that starting from desire, we enter into a series of conditions.tions which are considered independently of any material subject, and whichform the shell of a topic ideal. Heis not easy to our European minds (Iborntalk after all that for me) to befigure qualities without substance and of attributes without subject; even less easy to understandhow these qualities can forman ideal individual, who will later be real individual. But nothingno longerfamiliar to Indians that the realization and in a way the person-nification of absolute entities, detached from the being thatwe are accustomed tosee attached to these entities; and all their systemscreation will be that of Paswise moreor less direct, moreor slowerof the abstract qualitythe subject concrete. Making therefore, to the term which occupies us, the application of these remarks(1) Abhidharma kôça vyâkhyâ, f.48 a and b, raan. Soc. Asian girl. The commentator teaches usin a different location (f.55 b) that this passage is taken from the Sutra entitled Garbha avakrdnti(the descent of the fetus).(2) Quotations, etc., in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. ofBengal, t. V, p. 79.(3) Colebrooke, Miscell. Essays, t.1, p. 396.(4) Foe koue ki, p. 287, note.

### Page 101

444INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYwhich would be susceptible to longer developments, I would say that in the term of Trîchnâ, the thirst or the desire, it would be not to see a be material desires, but on ONLYat least an abstract desire, apure desire, whichends the evolution of immaterial formsrial and primitive derindividu, and produces the design, which starts theseries of its forms, material and current. The desire, although because of the conceptualtion, is thus not, according to me, the attraction felt by the two genders one tothe other; since then the subject would be changed, as cel-ui or those quidésirent doare not the one that is designed. Now in this whole series of twelve causes and effects, the subject always remains the same; at least nothing will authorize me to supposerque thelast four conditions belong to a being, and that the other eight (including seven we still have toto study) designatea different being. The desire (1), which Ibelieve to have thus determined the true character, has for cause the condition that I will examine, the sensation. This cause, which is the sixth, is the vedanā or the sensation, and one wayMore generally the sensitivity. The question was not more feasible on cetarticle thaton the previous one. The text quoted by Hodgson explains it as follows: <The feeling "is the perception defined "and the commentator adds:"Perception or con-"birth defined, as by example, it is white and this is black ;that"is good and this is bad (2).>Colebrooke defines this word in the same way :<the feeling"station beyondpain and the pleasure (3). >Welet us see by the gloss of the commenta-toraforementioned that it is not only a question here of theinner sensation, sensa-tionhemust be considered as giving a perception, that is to say as a sensation accompanied by knowledge, but which the Vêdanâ still contains the concept or the judgment moral; which will be not easy to understand, if we will be reminded that these species judgments are the work of Manas or theheart, true internal sense of which the Buddhists, as well as the Brahmans, makea member to equal of the eye, of the hand and of the other instruments of the sensa-tion. Let us add that hereagain hethe sensation must be considered in itself, independent of pendently of the subject material, as I have said everything in time for the desire, effect of the sensation. Because we are still in the abstract qualities of the ideal being, who is, in all likelihood, the primitive typeto be real,(1) Here is how Mr. Goldstuecker understands this term:" I believe that Trïchnâ expresses Vap-petiius, the desire to be active, oa lainner fermentation experienced by invisible elements to proceed to their creation of Bhava or the elements visible. So we can say that the im-drive, as essence of these elements invisible, is leurcause, is what the above virtuelle-is lying. As Bhava is the Syvap.i; of Djâti, in the same way we can suppose that Trlchnâ is the Sûvapçof the Skandhai Upâdânas. "(2)Quotat., Etc., in Journ., Etc., t.V, p. 79.(3) Colebrooke, Miscell. Essays, t.I, p. 396. Page 102

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .445which will begin at the design. This is sotrue that the feeling will appear the number of the five skandhas, or attributes qu'agrège the birth; whence it follows that there are two sensations or sensitivities, one of the ideal ire before birth, the other of being real since heisnot. That said, we can go to the because of the feeling (1) that is to say in contact. This cause is the seventh; it is called Sparça, the touch, the contact. Following the comment quoted by Mr. Hodgson, the contact takes place "when the prin-<cipe thinking endowed with abody in the form of an archetype comes to exercise on the properties of things (2) of Colebrooke gives a definition to little closes imilar according to Brahmanic authorities: "It's the feeling of the warm and

the€cold experienced by the embryo or being endowed withbody (3). >I do n't needto insist on this question, including the relationship with the sensation that in is the effect isso easy to grasp. It should only be noted that this theory reports to the EVO-lution of the archetypal bodya fact that direct observation only shows usin the material body already formed (4). The cause of contact is the meeting of Chadâyatanas, which is placed immediately above. These Chadâyatanas are therefore the eighth leading cause in back; these are thesixplacesor seatsfromqualitiessensitive and from sense. the textcitedthroughMr.Hodgsonthedefinesthus: "ThesixseatsWhereobjectsoutside".laughing at the senses,"and according to one commentator: "The six properties, which ican be feltandknown forobjectsnatural, moral and physi-"ques (5). iThe explanation taken by Colebrooke from the Brah commentators potholders is less clear: "The seats of the six organs or theplaces of the senses which are formed the feeling of elements such as the land, etc., the name and of the shape, or body, in relation to that which they are the organ-"born (6). >The commentator of the Abhidharma gives the word âijalana (place)an explanation which, grammatically speaking, is false, but that heimported to report here, to be understood that as the Buddhists mean by thisterm: "This is what quiétend (tan-OTI) the outputor the birth (ây-tts) of vsthe mindand thoughts (7). "The senses, in fact, by putting the mind in relation-(1) M. Goldstuecker defines this term as follows:" The vedanā is Virtitabilité, which, taken to clean, only applies to animate and organic beings, but which appears herein a similar sense ,although more widely "(2)Quot'itions, etc., in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.V, p. 78.(3) Colebrooke, MùcelL Esfays, t. I,p. 396.(4) According to Mr. Goldstuecker, which is therefore in its system of explanations, "the Sparca termdesignates the sensitivity also élendue to all the nature in all beings indiscriminately. "(5) Quotations, etc. in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.V, p. 78, note.(6) Colebrooke, Miscell. Essays, t. I, p. 396.(7) Abhidharma kôça vydkhyâ, f. 32 b of my manuscript.

Page 103

446INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYPort with the flood outside, extend and develop the knowledge, or even extend in some sort himself in each dessensations he perceives. The Shadâyatanas are therefore the six seats of sensitive qualities, or otherwise the six senses, namely the sight, hearing, smell, the taste, the touch and the internal senseor Manas (1). But this name of ayatanabornapplies passeulement to the eye and the othersense, v including the organinternal, sensewhoare named collectively Adhyâtmika âyatana ^ «interior seats; >is the given again, according to the aforementioned commentator, in the form and the other attributssensibles, including the Dharma, the law, the merit or the being, attributes that are named collectively Bâhya ayatana, "seatsexterior (2)." From where heresults that the wordayatanarefers to the five organs of sense, there including the body internally, and five OUAbedded sensitive, including the Act as the internal organ alone can grasp. As forthe way in which the meaning put the mind in communication with the objects exté-laughing, heyhas among the Ruddhists twoopposing views. Some believe thatthe minddo not seizethat an image, that a representation of the object; the othersbelieve in the direct perception of the object. The latter allow themselvesofpassageaccording to unSûtra that quotes one commentator :c Seeing the forms for using of 'The eye, itdo not understandasecondary representation : and because it is the eyeVwho sees, the person (Pudgala) sees through the eye (3). » The six seats ofqualitiessensitive or the senses (4) have for cause the name and the formthat the

foregoingimmediately in evolution. The name and the shape nāmarūpa, are the ninth cause; it is an expressionsion composed as Djarâmarana, the decrepitude and the death. The quoted textby M. Hodgson defines this condition: <the notions individual, "onwhatthe commentatoradds:"It is an organized and defined body, but which is still only an archetype, and which is the seatof the conscience individual (5) > which hewill betalked about earlier. The alleged brahmanic authoritiesthroughGolebrooke are expressed as: "In the meeting of feeling or of the consciousness(1) The Tibetans translate Chadâyatana as Skye-mtchhed, "the senses. "(2) Abhidharma, etc., f.48 b, man. Soc. Asian girl.(3) Abhidharma, etc., f, 67 b, man. Soc. Asian girl.(4) Here is theMr. Goldstuecker's note on the Ayatanas: "According to the commentator, the sixseats do not just express the six human bodies but also the shape and othersensitive attributes. This application proves to me even more than Sparça, Vêdanâ and allthe concepts above are the attributes of all the beings; because if they were only the attributes of man or of animate beings, it would be difficult to hear why the six seats embraceraient also the shape, etc., attributes that now may USING a metaphor, go to the organs by which the inorganic nature is susceptible to sensitivity and irritability. >(6) Quotations, etc., in Journ., Etc., t.V, p. 78.

Page 104

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .447" with the paternal semen and the uterine blood, derives the rudiment of the body, its"flesh and his blood, its name, nâman, and its form, rûpa (1).>Heis notdoubtful that he doesWhether here name \* and in the form of aideal subject orarchetype, as the say the alleged texts by Hodgson; and I will do herethe same observation that on the feeling :is that the form will appear all at the time thenumber five attributes together by the birth; from where heresults that the formis double, one that belongs to thebody ideal, one that receives the body mate-riel. The Nâmarûpa therefore represents this that there is of more exterior in the individual duality; but I there peat, this individuality is thatto be ideal, typeofbe real which will be shown outside at the time of the design (2). The name and the shape, or the sign outside of individuality, have to because theawareness. The knowledge Vidjnana, or the feeling because this term is very understanding, is the tenth cause. The text quoted by Mr. Hodgson 's defines: fthe conceptsgeneral; >on what the commentator adds: "When the Samskara or the <desire which is the cause of Vidjnana becomes excessive, the individual consciousness"duel starts atappear (3).dFollowing theauthoritiesbrahminscited by Colebrooke, "Vidjnâna is the feeling or the beginning of the consciousness (4). This term means to properly speaking, the knowledge dis-tincte, and the meaning do is not always easy to identify, even in the monumentalments of the literature Brahman. Here I believe that theknowledge word is the most suitable expression; but hemust bring together thenotion offeeling and that ofknowledge, which are given separately by the self-authorities cited just now. It seems to methat that of pure knowledge would betoo restricted, although this is the wayadopted by Gsoma, which translates this wordthrough(i) Colebrooke, Miscell. Essays, 1. 1, p. 396.(2) This is how Mr. Goldstuecker understands the Nâmarûpa:"I believe that Nâmarûpa must be oursmade by substantiality or, if we conform to Buddhist conceptions reality. Cornthe word of reality has the disadvantage of not not express quite clearly theinseparable reunion(imitated by the compound) of the essence and of the form. The meaning of nâman is essence, inall the Mimamsa. He is opposed to the guna, to the accident that perishes, and used, for example, to designate sacrifices in définissables qui

provide empowerment final, the sky, and to the consume from which other sacrifices will be like gunas. In my opinion, Nâmarûpa expresses this substantiality where gasoline is married to the form, and that is, to as it were, the last limit of bodily world. From there everything derives :and in effect the following notions rise or try toto rise above the bodily world; because the cause of the substantiality, which is already the idea itselfsame, but the idea still attached by a part of itself to the corporeal world, by rûpa, by the formej the cause of substantiality, I say, can not be that something ideal. > I have have so far been able to justify this interpretation by the texts.(3) Quotat. from origin., etc., in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.V, p. 78.(4) Colebrooke, Miscell. Essays, t.I, p. 396. Page 105

448INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY cognition (1). The interprèles Tibetans, who are as to their ordinary mate-riellement accurate, make very well the prefix vi by rnam-by, <totally,completely, >and thenoun djnâna by ches-pa, "awareness; "cornthis release do we learn anything of nine on the meaning of Vidjnana. hereAgainthe lexiconof Schroter comes to our aid in translating this termby "soul,life, reasonable soul C ^)."It ismay beaa little too much to say, because heisrather here of an abstract quality than of a concrete being; however hemust be awarecome that this interpretation, which lack the dictionaries of Gsoma and of Schmidt, leads us fairly directly to the idea of consciousnessthat also expressesthe term Vidjnâna (3). I add that the Vidjnâna or the knowledge is oftwo kinds, one (and it isthat which heishere) which is an attribute of beingideal, the other which is the fifth attribute of beingequipment. Let's move oning to the cause of the knowledge, which is called Saihskâra. This cause, which is the eleventh, is never indicated in the texts of Nepal.bya name in the plural, the Samskâras. I do not believe that this circum-tance is entirely made indifferent. She does nothowever does not seem to have hit theauthors who have spoken so farof the doctrine of the evolution of beings. the textquoted by Mr. Hodgson defines the term Samskara as "the illusory impression; "Towhat the commentator adds: "The beliefthe principle sensitive non- coated of abody, in the reality of what is only a mirage, is accompanied a desire for this mirage, and of the conviction of his merits and of his reality :o-this desire is called Samskâra (4).>According to the Brahmanic authorities citedby Colebrooke, "the Samskara is the passion, which includes the desire, aversion,(1) Arifilysis of the Sher-chin, in Asiat. Res., T. XX, p. 398; and Tib. Diction., P. ^ 55, col. i.(2) Bhotanla Diction., P. 342, col. 2.(3) Here are the observations of M. Goldsluecker on this article: tIf I believe that so far all thedevelopment of the theory Buddhist is dérouledans an order perfect and almost irrefutable, despite its enormous shortcomings and the intellectual leaps that the mind isobliged to do for theto follow, I am also convinced that it is in the last three notions, to speak of thetenth, that the abysses begin that it is no longer possible to fill. The term of Vidjnanais, it seems to me, exactly our knowledge, that is to say the amount of knowledge that aman has acquired. So that this word is constantly used in all the courses of Vêdànla, where he is also opposed to DjMna, the true knowledge. So Vidjnana is theknowledge of this which is: vi, multiple, diverse, without unity, therefore, according to the Vêdânta, false. Djnâna, aton the contrary, is the knowledge par excellence, the knowledge of what is, of Brahma \(^{\) it is the true knowledge. And I would even go so far as to say that as Chadâyatana expresses the six organs of man, and theorgans of the nature of inorganic, in a word any body in general, Vidjnânaexprime know andall that is the basis of knowledge, all world not real, full of apparitions of varieties. Vidinana therefore has this duplicity, intellectual however,

by which hebecomes thebecause of thesubstaniialité, or better to say, the notion to which that of the substantiality is subordinate. I would consequently translate Vidjnâna by the variety, known or to be known. "(4) Quotations, etc., in Journ., Etc., t.V, p. 78. Page 106

rOF INDIAN BUDDHJSME .449" The fear, the joy (1).» The notion of desire and that ofpassion seems to me alittle too small; I the think well implicitly contained in the termSamskâra, but its valueetymological reveals a nuance that maskentirelythe completely moral interpretationthat I have to

mention.PrimarilySamskarameansaccomplishment, completiontoclean, then design, apprehension at figured. The samskaras are therefore the things qua fingit animus, what that mind creates, makes, imagine (samskarôti); these are, in a word, the productsof thefacultyhehas ofto conceive, to imagine ;andif the word Samskara wasemployee singular, I hesitate not the result of imagination (2). The form the plural I decided for the meaning ofdesign; i have itreplaced bythat ofconcepts that is without doubt alittle technical, but which avoids the confu-sion that had been done in afrancis ofconceptions (Saihskâra) with the concept-tion (Upâdâna). The word ofconcept, taken in the very extended meaning of product of the ima-(1)Colebrooke, Mise. Ess., T.I,p. 394 and 396.(2) Among many passages by which I could justify the meaning that I attribute to the termof Smmkâra, I will content myself with quoting one, which I borrow from a wellrespected book, the Lalila Vistara, and that the release tibétaineest between the hands of the learned public. This passage will give mea new opportunity to support> by a direct example the general opinion that I have stated morehigh on the value of these versions. After having announced a day would come when the Religiousinfidels would refuse to believe in themiraculous birth of Bôdhisattva, Çàkyamuni adds:Poçya Ananda kiyantam têmulhapuruchd bahcapunyùbhisamsMram abhisaihskarichyanti, yêbuddhadharmdn pralikchepsyanti, lâbhafatkûraçlôkàbliibhûtâ, uichtchâralaynâh, lâbhasatkûrâbhi-bhutâ ilaradjâtiyâh; which must mean: "See ^ O Ananda, how are many the imagination€nations guilty which will deliver thefoolish men who will reject the lawsof"Buddha; these men slaves of gain, of honor and of thefame, immersed in the"mud, defeated by the gain and the love of respect, and naturally coarse. "{Lalila vist., f.51 b.) The Tibetan version hasprovided to Mr. Foucaux thefollowing translation: "These men" obscured to play in staûces of perfections acquired and worthy of respect; delivered to"impurity, trampling on what is venerable, see them, these men of low condition,"rejecting the doctrine of Sang-gyas and abandoning themselves openly and unreservedly airx iinagi-"nations without number engendered the vice." (P'oucaux, Specimen of Gya tcher king pa, p. 24, and the Tibetan text., p. 32 and 33.) I do not know how the Tibetan interpreters were able to translate so obscureelement "will play in stanzas of perfections acquired and worthy of respect, " the epithetso clear from the Sanskrit text, lâbha satkûra çlôka abhibhûtâh, "overcome by the gain by therespect< and by the fame. "The interpreter Tibetan has certainly troprestreint the meaning of molesçlôka, who wants to say not only stanza, but fame, glory, or that the glory resultsof stanzas and songs of poets is that clôka derives a transformation old andnow ignored by the raw radical (hear). What it in either, acceptance of stance will con-does not come here, and the interpretation that I am proposing can not cause difficulty. Would n't it even bepossible, in there looking around, to translate and very-literally the Tibetan passage: "Ânanda, sic homines stupidi, quœstu et veneratione et laudibus vicli, sordibus

immersi,"honorum splendore victi, ignobiles generates, hi Buddhae legem despicientes, quam multas impias"imaginations lie concipiunt empty. If this version came out, as I suppose, from the Tibetan text ,shewould have the advantage of making the original verbatim Sanskrit, including the meaning moreover, does not appear to me to be doubtful. 29

# Page 107

450INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY ginalion, seems to mestill justified by the passagefollowing from a commentatorSingaporean. The book from which I borrow it is the Bjinâlaihkâra, or the description poetics of perfections of the Djina or of the Buddha, written in Paliand accompanied of acomment made in the same language. The text is asks: a What is the one we call Buddha, Buddhô ko ti ? "and the commentator develops this question as follows: "Buddhô li ko sattô va samkhârô va. What being" Real, or what conception, is the one wename.me Buddha (1)?"In thistext, if I am not mistaken, saiïikhâra (for, theSanskrit samskâra) is opposed î \satta (for saliva) ;andas satlva means creature, to be real, heis not not doubtful that sai ii skâra does not mean conception. But, hewill be not forgotten, these designs or concepts must be taken in a very broad sense; hemust be theresee, with the commentator quoted by Mr. Hodgson, conceptions of intelligence, like that of the existence of the outside world, then of the conceptions of the heart, if I can express myself well, as the love and the hatred and theother movementsmentionspassionate people excited by the sight of this illusory world (2). Here applies Again observation already made on the form, the feeling and the consciousness, is that the Samskâras or concepts are of two kinds: the few are those Whose it just question, the other reappear all at the time the number of five attributes agré-aged by the birth. The former result from the belief in the existence of this which is not, and it is for this that wesays \* that they have their causes in VAvidyâ,ignorance or the non-being. To these observationsI would add that the term Samskâra is often translated amanner very satisfactory by compound; NSwill only give forexampleapassage that I quoted morehigh (3), and to which this meaning con-(i) DjinâlamMra, f.12 b of my manuscript. (2) Here the notes of Mr Goldstuecker relatively to this capital term: "You have already donercssortii; the importance of the plural of Samskâra, and I am convinced that this number is decisive for the interpretation of this notion. Only, I am let to me take the meaning established in, 1a Mimamsa, which, far from being repugnant to your explanation, the makes the contrast perfectly with the only difference a small nuance, which his side restores the good barmonie between your in-pretation and ordinary usnge of the word. Lemot Sainskâra expresses in this philosophy thenotion of means, in opposition to that of the goal it helps to achieve. The goal is; the medium is inva \(^\) disappears. Safiiskâra is for that the term or the notion of inferiority, because he is neveremployed only in view of the superiority of the goal. Buddhism can very well say, I suppose, that everything in this world is inferior, is pure means; and this sentence to themean, this who wants to say only to inferiority, to the degradation, or rather this inferiority herselfthen becomes the cause of variety. So many different objects, so many objects that have thenature of medium. In speaking from the views of Buddhism, I can say that the movenneté (the sumof everything that is means to question the plural) is the SUV "p; of the variety. The term " imagination, I believe, would apply only to man, while that the term indicated trouveaussi

well site the creative intellectual as in the world body. "(3) Sect. He, p. 74. The Tibetans understand this term the same, because Csoma translated by any real Page 108

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .451comes better than the concept orimagination. These two interpretations are not not indeed as distant one to the other might be the believe in the firstsuddenly look: theynot differ that following the point of view from where we stand.Do we consider the Samskaras in an abstract way? They are the imaginations, the conceptions, the creations of the mind resulting from a mistaken belief to the existence of what is not. On the contrary, do we consider them in a way concrete or in reality? the Samskaras are beings, these varied creations, which are true compounds ,not only because it is the isformed of parts (saMskrita ,confecta), but because no relative being isabsolutely simple. VAvidyâ or ignorance is the twelfth and last cause going up. It is, as it says the text often citedby Mr. Hodgson, "thefalse know"sance, " to which his commentator adds:" The existence of the world which is"in a perpetual movement derives solely from the imagination or of the beliefa in the reality of things; and this false opinion is the first€first act of sensitive principlenot yet individualized or coated of a "body (1). 5It is also in this way that, according to Colebrooke, theBrahmanic authorities:" Avidyâ ^ ignorance or error, is the mistake which€consists in looking at as durable what is only temporary (2). >He cannotremain the slightest doubt about the value of this term; however, it is important to notequer that it has a double meaning, the one objective shotof the éiymologie even the wordAvidya, that is to say avidyamânam, which will be located not, which does not exist, the non-existence; the other subjective taken from the ordinary use of the word Avidyâ, that isie a-vidya, the non-science, ignorance. The non-being and non-knowledge are thereforeidentical; and thus the existence of the object is denied in its origin.or fromworld, and to a certain extent, of the subjectessentially relative who lives inmiddle of the world (3). We see it, he will be not much press this principle toin drawtheor fancied thing \ e word Hdu-vyed, Tibetan synonym of SafnsMra. {Vocab. pentagl., secl.xxn,no 2.) See . the additions to the fm the volume.(1)Hodgson, Quolat., Etc., in Journ.'Asiat. Soc. of Bengal, t. V / p. 78.(2) Colebrooke, Miscell. Essays, 1 I, p. 396.(3) Here is the note of Mr. Goldstuecker on Avidya: "The term for" Avidya is, in my opinion, differentà 'Adjnâna, often used in the Vedanta; because I do not doubt that it would have been used of the Buddhist doctrine had not wanted to do; out a different concept, or the leastnuance of an existing notion. The word for 'ignorance or of non-science gives rise to the difficulty against which I havealready donesome observations, it is to know that it is applicable onlythe man. I think rather that the meaning that you have indicated by the etymology avidya-Mana is the one that is would link the best to the concept of samskaras such as I have explained. For avidyamâna would be just the same thing that adjnânamâna, and auraitl'emploi General

Page 109

452INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYabsolute vacuum as the Rrâhmans, opponents of the Buddhists (1), claim to be the dogma of the Madhyamika school, a school which, as we have seen, is that of the famous Religious Nâgârdjuna. But to take to the letter the définition précitée de Y Avidyâ, healways remains a principle sensitive, as says the commentator of Mr. Hodg-sound, aspirit or a soul, the subject or the person in a word, who can ignoreor know the truth concerning the things, and who, if she ignores it, falls

under the empire of the causes and the effects and rolling, until it has been able to break free, in the circle eternally mobile the transmigration. The books of the Prajñāsometimes speak of this principle which I believe to be their Tchitta (spirit) or their Pudgala (the person, the soul). But heis certain that the theory of causes and effects presuppose its existence; because it takes much that there has an intelligent subject, sincey Topossibility error Whereignorance Towith regard to the object. The existence ofthinking subject is, moreover, directly established by the fragmentfollowing from a Sûlra, which I quote from the commentator of the Abhidharma kôça."I will you teach, ôReligieux it that is that the existence (bhava), this that is that the act of receiving the existence and one of thereject it as it is asthe one who takes on existence. Listen to this, and fix it well and completely inyour mind: I will talk. What is that life? These are the five attributes, causes of the design. What is that the act of receiving existence ?This is the desire reborn without cease, who is accompanied by love and of enjoyment, which issatisfied here andthe. What that actofreject existence? It is abandonment complete, the rejection absolute expulsion, the destruction, the detachment, the is cleared sion, the termination, the disappearance of this desire that ren: IIT without ceasing, who is accompanied wrapped in love and of enjoyment, and who is satisfied here and there. What is that onewho takes on existence? It is the iper & onne (Pudgala), one should say; [butÇàkyasaid:]It is this respectable character thatyousee, whohas one such name which isof such family and of such lineage, who takes such food, who experiences such fun and such sentence has a so great age, who lives for so long, that isso respectable; this is the one who takes on existence. Now by these words, which coversexistence, Cakya hearsdesignate the person, \ e Pudgala. Existence is notthe one who clothes existence (2)."which it is needed here. However I do want not identify Avidya with the nothingness; because the pas-wise later in your Memory prove that man must destroy all these notions, andespecially their root, V Avidya, to reach the nothingness. I am therefore inclined to believe that 'Avidyâ isillusion, outside that lack of background, the same notion that Maya, with this différencetou-tefois that Maya is the reflection of the truth absolute and existing, while qn'Avidyâ is the reflection of nothing. " (Voy. The additions at the end of the volume.)(1) Colebrooke, Miscell. Essays, t. I,p. 331.(2) Abhidharma kôça vyâkhyâ, f.474 a.

Page 110

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .453I will still cite other authoritieswhich will be not less explicit. It is an admitted axiomby the Buddiiistes, no requirement is the soul or the ego, orthat all the conditions are non-moi: Sarvadharmâ anâlmânah, and the Abhidharma commentators explain this axiom thus: a The conditions "Nature did not own of soul or me, the me was not in them (1); "thenheadds: "The person is nota Dharma, acondition, its pudf / alô na€dharmah. Now the person, it is the one who in the proposal: I have in a "time spent in a form, says I or Me. This I or me (aham) is thet > nobody, the Pudgala. The me (Atman), this is not the attributes (skandha), or "these ats of qualities sensitive (Ayatana), nor the elements (Dhâtu) (2). j>That is to say, in other words, theme is not the body of the individual, whois composed of attributes intellectual, directions and the elements (3). Now this theory is based on texts that I consider as respectable, especially on a passage from Avadâna çataka, which it is important to quote here: I borrow it from the legend of some Guptika which is madeReligious. In the time of Çâkya. "The young men of his age who accompanied him were carried away by his example to enter into life

religious. Having gone to the place where wastherespectable Guptika, they spoke to himthus: Respeciable Guptika, what is itwhoin the world has the status of perishable, and what that in the worlddoes not have the condition of perishable? Respectable personages, replied Guptika, the form has the requirement of perishable; and the Nirvana, which consists in the cessation of the form, not the status of perishable. The feeling, the idea, the conceptsand knowledge have, O respectable personages, the condition of perishable, and the Nirvana, which is in the cessation of these various accidents, does not have the condition of perishable. What do you think, respectable figures, the shape is it permanent or temporary? - It is transient, O respectable Guptika.- And thiswho is a passenger, is it a bad, or is it notan evil? - It's an evil, res-pectable Guptika.- But, respectable characters, what is temporary, whatis an evil, which is abouttochange, is it likely to inspire a Audirespectable man, who is very educated, the following sentiments: This is to me; thisis me; This is my soul even? -Not at all, respectable Guptika.-What do you think, respectable Listeners, the feel, the idea, the concepts and theknowledge are they permanentor passengers?- They are passengers, respectfable Guptika.- And what is temporary, is it an evil, or is it not an evil ?(1) Abhidharma kôça vyâkhyâ, i.474 a. This is the idea expressed by VAnâtmaJca, in Tibetan Bdag-med-pa, from the Pentaglotte Vocabulary . (Sect. Xxiv, n » 4.)(2) Abhidharma kôça vyâkhyâ ^ f. 474a of my manuscript.(3) See above ^ sect. III, p. 242 ^ end of third paragraph, and p. 423, note. Page 111

454INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY- This is a bad, respectable Guptika.- But, respectable characters, which is a passenger, which is an evil, which is subject to change, is it inNature inspiring to a Listenerrespectable, who is well-educated, the feelingsfollowing: This is to me; this is me; This is my soul even? - None-ment, respectable Guptika."That is why, respectable characters, any form whatsoever, that iteither past, future orpresent, that sheeither interior orexternal, whetherrudeWheresubtle, that sheeither bad or good, it is distant or brought together, any form, I say, must be considered as it really is the help of the perfect wisdom should we do say: This is notto me; this is notme; this, it 's not my soul. Any feeling, any idea, everythingconcept, any knowledge whatsoever, whetherthat is past, future Wherepresent, it is indoor or outdoor, it is gross or subtle, it is ad or good, it is distant or close, toutesensation, say jemust be seen as it really is, with the help of the perfect wisdom whichmust make us say: This is not tome; this is not me; this, it is not my very soul. The Auditorrespectable, having learned a lot, oh respectable characters, which is considering this issue in this manner is disgusting even to the shape; heis also disgusted with the perception of the idea, of concepts and of theknowledge; and a timeheis disgusted with it all, heis detached; and when a time it is detached, itis freed. So heat the sight cleared of thesciencewhich makes him say :Existence is destroyed for me ;I haverempU the duties of thereligious life; I did this as I hadto do; I wo n't see anymorea new existencetence after this one(1). j>If I do n'tdo not deceive in doing to the theory of the causes and the effects the applicabilitytion of these texts, it would probably be seeing the origin of opinions fundamentals of the school of Yôgâtchâras, which, following the commentators Brahminists, believed that everything is empty, off the principle thinking of which they admitted existence and eternity (2). But at the same time as the enumeration the causes and the effects assumes the subject does it also assume the object? I dontthethink not, because the subject is wrong to respect of the object by granting this last an existence that heactually does not. She does not only take

care of these twoterms, the world and man :the world, which exists only in thevain existence which man attributes to him in his error; man, who are such thatwe the see that by following his ignorance of the world. It is very probable(1) Avadâna çat., F. 238 a.(2) Colebrooke, Miscell. Essays, t. 1, p. 391.

Page 112

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .455that this doctrine is that desSàutrântikas, who flatter themselves to follow exclusively the authority of the Su Iras of Çàkyamuni (1). The doctrine of the twelve causespresupposesSo, as well as I have said, one of the two tarnished, which is man; and I believe that the ancient sūtras also admitted it. La Pradifià Pàramitû, on the contrary, and in particular the Madhyamikas who take this book for authority, will in-lawshot more than that, and we can not deny that their deductions destroy also the subject and the object. It is there, if I 'm not mistaken, athe point that more detailedresearch will only confirm. But reduced even to the terms of the theory of the twelve causes, the primitive ontology of the Buddhists has a rather largelogywith that of the Brahmanic school of Sarhkhya. Buddhists recognizefeels in man an intelligent principle, a life, a soul, which transmigrates toacross the world; it is the Purucha or the spiritof the Samkhyas. In outside itprinciple, the Svâbhâvikas admit the existence of the Pradifià or of thenatureintelligent; only would this not, as hasalready conjectured Mr. Hodgson, the prin-material cipe of the Sâmkhyas (2)? Prior to makingdown thelife in within theforms coarse, they the involve coating various abstract qualities that createfor her a sort of ideal body, a type of the material and visible body; this is the Linga carîra or the body of attributes, that is to say the subtle body of Samkhyas. Well, if I judge well as of links newby which the philosophy of Buddhists are connected with one of the Brahmins; but hemust however admit that the Sâiîikhya doctrine, and in particular the section of this doctrine which denies the existence of God, is not recognized by anyone in India to be strictly Orthodox. I have more of a "once, in the course of this analysis, remembered the five Skandhasor attributes, which will meet when has held the fact of the birth. These Skan-DHEAS are of real attributessensitive and intellectuals, more intellectuals ame as sensitive; and it does is not surprising, when one thinks to the trendidealist of Buddhism, a tendency whichemerges at all times from the evolution ofproductive causes of living beings. This is to state the principle thinking and sen-sible, a time he is born, that is to say to its current state, that will relate thesefive attributes, who are: Rûpa, the form; Vêdanâ, the sensation; SafJidjhâythe idea; Sa) 7 iskâra, the concepts; and Vidjnâna, the knowledge. Of these five attributes goals, four have already appeared inenumeration from twelve causes that i havedone everything in time; I didcome back here only to say that these five attributes do notare more abstract qualities, as above, but the actual attributes of theliving subject. (1) Colebrooke, Miscell. Essays, t.l, p. 391.(2) Europ. Specul. on Buddh., in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. ofBengal, t.III, p. 428.

Page 113

456INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYThe only one who does not havenot yet published is that of the Samdjnâ or of the idea; Csoma de Côrôs ysees consciousness; but the commentatorsBrahminists, refuting theBuddhists, seem to me to better understand thevalue of thisterm, when theythetranslate by "the knowledge or opinion which result<the names of words, signs and characters (1).>The word idea meseems to render exactly that shade. But whence comes that these five attributes of living subject are called

Skan-dhas, branches oraggregates? The various peoples who have adopted the Buddhism, at least as muchthat theirworks are known to me, give uslittlelights in this regard; and to not mention that deux exemples, the Tibetans with their translation of heap, accumulation, and the Chinese, with that of clusters, teach us absolutely nothing of p'.us that the Sanskrit Skandha. Schröter, heis true, translates the Tibetan term phung-po Inga (which is the Sanskrit pahtchaskandha) by othe five bodies, that is to say the five attributespersonal com-"posed (2);>but this version is not sufficiently clear. Hein needsay much of that of bartenders who make and the pale khandha: "Body," Living animal, composed of five parts, namely :the materiality rûpakkhandha;" thesensation, vêdanakkhandha; theperception (for methe idea), sannâ-" Nakkhandha; the will (for me the concepts), saiTikhârakkhandka, and the in-d telligence (for me the knowledge) vihnânakkhanddha (3)."This inter-tation is more clear, but itexceeds the goal: Skandha cannot meanliving body; it would rather be part that it would be necessary to say, thebody produced of the birth being formed of the five Skandhas or parts. But I find in the commentary on the Abhidharma unpassage which renders account of a way very satisfactory from the use of this term, which heis so difficult to understand the meaning after theonly valueetymological. After having exposed that Skandha, mass, is synonymous with râçi, heap, heap, Yaçômitra adds:" The sensation of any kind it is, past, future, present, inter-"higher, external, considerable, subtle, distant, immediate, being reunited" In a single mass, take thename of Vêdanâ Skandha, the aggregateof the sensation; and it by way of other aggregates, up and there including one of the" Knowledge (4)." We see by then that in a translation French, through example it there has no problem omitting the word aggregate, since the expressionabstract the sensation summarized by its generality even the various accidents of(1) Colebrooke, Miscell. Essays, 1. 1, p. 394. This is also the meaning of the Tibetan Hdu-ches, idea.(2) Bhotania Diction., P. 188, col. 2.(3) Judson, Burman Diction., P. 88.(4) Abhidharma kôça vydkhyâ, î. 31 b of my manuscript. Page 114

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .457the sensation indicated in the aforementioned commentary; these are all the sensa-tions and all their cash. It is with this character ofgenerality that thewordSkandha is used in the transition according to unSùtra quoted by the author of Commentary on the Abhidharma kôça: "These conditions of the Buddhas, such as" The terms say Âvênikas and others, oh well !to causede their subtlety and" Of their excessive depth, hethereignorance to their regard for "Other than for the Buddha. This is how heis said :Do you know, ô Câri-has pultra, the mass of the body or throughout the body, cila Skandhas ^ of Tathà-"Gala, all his meditation, all his knowledge, all his liberation, all his" Science of postage(1)? "To translate this difficult term exactly, itshould thereforeuse the wordaggregate; but this term is not clearer than that of Skandha, and it would have need to be of course a perpetual commentary. I doubt besides that it was to give an ideajust of the role that this term plays inexpression composed upadana Skandhas that to say that the aggregates used in the con "ception. y>So I preferred the word attribute, because, as I havealreadysaid on the occasion of a fragment of the Pradjnâ pramità, the Skandhas which are the form, the sensation, the idea, the concepts and knowledge are of vérita-the intellectual attributes which constitute in man the domain of the intellectualligence in this that they embrace the various phases the fact of knowing. since the point to start that in is in some sense the opportunity, that is to say the form, until the last term which is knowledge itself. A perfect translation-exact ment of the word

Skandha, at least in the compound Upâdâna skandha,would be the onewho would makethis term by means, helps, in this way:" Themeans used to the design, î>with little near as hear Mr. Gold-stueker in a footnote that I transcribed everything in time. But this interpretationtion,by not showing that the special application Skandha in the compoundUpâdâna skandha, would lack generality and would leave in the shade thesensecollective that this word takes whenisattached to one or to the other of the five attributesintellectuals, like Vêdanâ skandha, the mass of sensations, to say allthe sensations, all espècede sensation. By starting the analysis of books stored in Nepal who are specialistsLEMENT related the Abhidharma or to the metaphysical, Isays that the volumi-Neuses editors of the Prajñāpāramitā were not the only ones treated where we could draw the knowledge of the party speculative of Buddhism. I quoted, among others, several sūtras, which offer a striking analogy with books (1) Abhidharma kôça vyàkhyâ, f.4 b, manuscript of the Asian Society.

Page 115

458INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY of the Prajñā, non not only for the form, butup to a certain pointpointfor the fund. I leave of side the Saddharma Pundarika, who did ladleto onespecial point, the one of the unity of the three means of transport, and I don't want toto rte only to a book enjoying an equalauthority and that the trend speculativeis indisputable. This book, which seems also felt among all the peoples who received the Buddhism Dunord of India, is already known under the title of Langkâvatâra, that is to say the teaching given to Langkâ orCeylon. that whichconfirms me in this last explanation, is that the title of the work, suchheis repeated at the end of each chapter, is SaddharmaLangkâvatâra ^ "Therevelation of the good lawin Langkâ (1)."This work, which is composed of one hundred and six sheets or two hundred and twelve very large and very full pages, is given for a Mahâyâna sutra. Heis written in prose and in to, and the portion poétiquoofTre quite a few traces of this mixed style of formspràcrites donî.I reported the existence in the Lotus of the good Law. A stanza that does not do n't holdat theoriginal drafting of the work clearly marks theaim everythingphilosophical of this treatise: "The Sutra in which heis taught by the King of the Act that the terms (Dharmas) are deprived of soul is transcribed here with "Warning. "Sakya is shown is located in Langkapuri, on the topfrom the Malaya Giri mountain. Serecalling that the ancient Tathâgatas haveexposedthe Law in this place, heis sentdisposé to the mimic; and Ravana, king of Ceylon, which penetrates his intention, experiences the desire to hear it. Ravana is made from of Câkya and sends him a few stanzas for the pray to teach his doctrine to the inhabitants of Ceylon, as have donethe earlier Buddhas .Çâkya sereturns to Ravana's wish, and out of compassion for him, heis manifest in allhis glory, surrounded by a large number of devas and of the Assembly ^ of its Audi-teurs. The dialog is established then and will continue in the following between Sakya and Mahamati, one of the Bôdhisattvas of the Assembly; and herolls over the nature of laws or of beings, and on a large number ofclean points to the Buddhist doctrine, such as the production, destruction, intelligence, the truths sublime, the void of various kinds of causes. Çâkya sometimes recalls in a waysummary the opinions of the Tîrthakaras (2), name by which he designates the ascetics(1)Mr. G. de Humboldt, who do know! = Is the book which I speak hereonly by the truncated titlede Langkâvatâra ^ had, however, recognized and explained its true meaning, except for one nuanceof little importance :iDie Schrift von dem auf Langkâ (Ceylon) offenbar Gewordnen. " {Ucberdie Kawi-Sprache, t. I, p. 268.) The derivatives

of the group sorting, preceded by ava and used in the formcausal, is very easily lend themselves to the direction of eto communicate, to transmit, "literally, " to do go down teaching. "(2) One might think that the Tîrthakaras, of whom this work speaks in more than one place, are

Page 116

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .459Brahman, and as I have said above. We see taking part in the dialogueof ôlres supernatural as Krichnapakchika, king of the Nagas, who comes underthefigureof aBrahmin, ask to Çûkya if.according tohim, heexists aother world. I addthantheSaddharma Langkàvalâra possesses, liketheSaddharmapundarîka, achapter of magic formulas, named Dha-ranîs, a circumstance which links this book to a certain extent to the class of Tantras(1). We see that there has nothing history in this book, and that we would hope towrong to use it to support this opinion of the Singhalese, that Çâkyamuni came toCeylon, as had done, they say, the Buddhas past, for there to preach theLaw (2). This meeting of Sakya with Bavana are not less fabulous thanthe existence of the king of Ceylon, which the Brahmanic tradition makes contemporary of Bâma, that is to say of a herowho, if he hasnever existed, has certainly precededseveral centuries Çâkyamuni the Buddha. The Langkàvalâra me seems a book com-asked dansu school, and at a time when the Buddhism had reached its entired evelopment. I cite as evidence the piece after which exposed the various Opinions that arewere doingthevarioussectsfromBuddhists and the Brahmins of this goal common to their efforts and for their education, the Nirvana. "Then the bodhisattva Mahasattva Mahāmati spoke of new to Bhagavat inthese terms: They said, O Bhagavat, the Nirvana, the Nirvana. What is the thing that designates this name of Nirvana ^ on which do all the Tîrthakaras reason? Bhaga-vat says: Listen, Mahâmati, and write well and completelymy words inyour mind: I you say that that is what the Nirvana, in accordance with ideas various that the Tîrthakaras make of it. Well, Bhagavat, replied the Bodhisattva Mahamati, and it is set to listen. Bhagavat spoke to him thus: "There are Tîrthakaras, Mahamati, who définissentainsi the Nirvana, in sayingthat by the deletion of intellectual attributes, the elements and the direction, bythe deified sages of the Djâinas; but although this name may be borrowed from thissect, which has been without doubt be held at a time quite modern, I believe that in ourtexts Buddhist the word of Tîrthakara is simply a synonym for Tirthika and of Tîrthya, terms by which we mean all the ascetics who do are not Buddhists, and beggarsBrahminists in particular.(1) Saddharma Langkâvatdra, f. 78 a and b.(2) After this analysis of the Langkàvalâra, I do not need to warn that I am giving up the point of view under which Mr. Lassen and I had believed each other, hethere was already a long time, having to consider this book. (Test on the pale, p. 43.) We we will do not admit more opiniona judge with feelings which I do do not difficulté of me submit; here in fact, his opinion not based any more than that I give on the review directly from the book in questiontion. (A. Rémusat, Nouv. Journ. Asiat., T. Vil, p. 295.) Page 117

460INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY indifference to respect of objects by the consideration of the perpetual con-tradiction of duties, the thoughts and which in result cease to be produced with abundance; then the termination of any exercise of the thought, produced by an annihilation of its cause, similar to that of a lamp, a germ of wind, and resulting from the oblivion of things past, futures and present, it is therethe Nirvana; it is to there that they just the idea they have of Nirvana. But these menmy, oh Mahâmati, who not see that

frustration, only manage not to Nirvana." Further the thus define: This is the issue which is the action of passin a different place as soon as the wind action that results in the termination of allexercise of the mind towards objects. Other Tîrthakaras thedefinethus: It is the deliverance resulting from the destruction of the sight of these two things, the mind that knows, and the object that needs to be known. Others will represent theissue as resulting from thecessation of any exercise of the faculty ofthink, termination qu'amène the view of what is passing and of CeQui is eternal. Further the thus define :leaving of this belief that the crowd of PenSees relating to the attributes brings with it the production of thepain, inha-biles to know the measure of the sight of their own mind, terrified by thefear of attributes, they imagine finding the Nirvana in a characteris the desire for happiness resulting from the sight of attributes. Others knowing atbackground the both characters special as general that belong to all thecircumstances, either internal, or external, will represent the Nirvana as theimperishable substance of beingspast, future and present. Others serepresent to othe Nirvanalike existenceimperishable of blade, of being, of life, of principle Foster, of the person and of all the con-editions. IOther Tîrthakaras, Mahâmati, whose spiritonly has a false penetration, is listed as the Nirvana result of the distinction they are of the Spirit of with the Nature and to share one of the successive modification of qualities. Othersto represent the Nirvana as resulting from frustration complete the virtue and the vice; others, from the science which destroys completely the pain; others, from the view that the world is the work of a creator God . From at-very claiming that the creation of the universe is the product of action mutual[of the elements], and not of a cause, do not notice, in their error, that it's still there admit a reason; it is according to this points aw that they is repre-feel the Nirvana. < Other Tîrthakaras, Mahamati, will represent the Nirvana as a resultso manyperfect intelligence of the truth and of theway. Others are Uvrant to Page 118

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .461 review of quality and the about which the supports, pull the ideasthat they are the Nirvana of these various points of view: that the qualities and the subject are one, that they are different, they are at the time one and the other, and that they are neither the one nor the otherbe at the time. Others starting from this view, that the proper nature of each being comes to him from his nature [Svabhava] passing to the stateactivity [Pravritti], likefor example the variety of colors for thepeacock, thestones precious invarious species for the mines, the property to be spicy for the thorns, serepresent the Nirvanaaccording to this idea. Further, Mahamati, will represent the Nirvana as resulting of the knowledge of twenty-five principles; and others, of the acquisition of the science that has six qualities and quiprotège the hom-my. Other starting from this view that the time is what is, to represent the Nirvanaaccording to the knowledge offollowing principle: The existence of the worldis dependent on the weather. Further, Mahamati, will represent the Nirvana by existence; others by the knowledge of the existence and of thenon-existence; others by this idea, that there is not of difference between the existenceandtheNirvana." Further to the contrary, O Mahamati, is the account as it follows: do-health hear the roar of the lionwhat pushes the one who has omniscience (theBuddha), that is to say, not recognizing anything that as thedesign of theirown mind (1), admitting neither the existence nor the non-existence of external objectslaughing; considering [Nirvana] to be an essentially private place of foursides; not fallingnotin the two extreme terms of the reflection applied towhat is visible to themmind, because they do not see orthe object to be admitted, nor the subject that admits; bornnot believing that all the evidence, whatsoever, can get a principle grasped; rejecting existence of aprinciple, because that the character illusory of any principle the lead to not admit any ;possesseding each individual the sublime Act; recognizing the double noexistence of a spiritual element (2); who did stop the two corruption of wrong; having dispelled the two species of darkness; detached from the mind, the heart and of the knowledge that gives the heart, p, arresult of the meditation deepthe image reflected by the illusory appearance which is in the role of Tathâgala, themore high of all (3);these men will represent the Nirvanaaccording to these ideas.(1) This way I seem to be explained by a different text of the same book, f. 23 6: "The three"worlds are a pure conception of the mind; they are deprived of me, of substance. "(2) Or maybe, " recognizing that there are two things that do not have me: nâirâtmtja dvaya"avabôdhât, "without doubt the soul and thebody. When one has no comments, it is neversure of being able to rigorously determine the meaning of these abstract formulas .(3)Here is a veritable philosophical galimatias, a lot of words for a few ideas. Hemeseems that it wants to say that the role, that is, the condition of Tathagata, which is the most Page 119

462INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYFrom such opinions, and other similar that support the logicians of Mauvaises schools of tīrthyas, are in because of their falsity, repousséespar the wise.[Allin fact] Mahâmali, will represent the Nirvanafrom an ideawho ar-rete in two terms. These are there, among others, Mahâmali, the idea that all the Other Tîrthakaras to make the Nirvana. But with of such opinions, we NE cannot to say that we are in action (Pravritti), or in inaction (Nirvritti). Cha-that Tîrlhakara, O Mahâmati, has his Nirvana ; examined from the ideas of theirown books, of such opinions are incoiîséquentes; they do notsupport'not, as they present them. The Nirvanane result for person of the movementment, of arrival or departure from the heart. After Thee learned of this truth, as well as the other Rôdbisattvas, you must rejectall the Nirvanas of the Tîrthakarasas of false doctrines (1)."According to the way in which this piece is finished, itseems that all theopinions he exposes on the Nirvana are also repelled by the author. Ibelieve, however, that the last is that which he admits; and this opinion, which ismoreover expressed in obscure terms, amounts to the absolute negation of subject and of the object. I am based in believing that this way considering the Nirvana isthe opinions dominant in the Ruddhisme North; that it is very pro-bably the onethe various editors of the Pradjnâ, maybe the one from Madhyamikas, and certainly that of Yôgâtchâras, to the opinions whichthe Langkâvatàra seems to me to lean (2). I still find in this workFurther details on the Nirvana returning to somewhat close to those expressed by the-last of the opinions reported in the previous piece. After describing the Nirvana as responding to absolute emptiness, in these terms singularly obscure: "the domain of the essence of theemptiness of any proper nature which belongs to Nirvana, "Rhagavat adds: "Still another thing, Mahâmati: the Nirvana, which is the domain of science as seen by each of the Aryans indi-dually, is to protect the various ideas that are in can do, know thatiseternal, that it is interrupted, that it is andthat it is not. How will he do itn is not eternal ?It is that hedoes not give rise to the idea that he hasof attributeseither proper or common [to something else]; from there comes that is not eternal. How is it that it is not interrupted? Is that all the past Arya, high of all those which an animate being can reach, really does not exist; thanit is an illusory appearance; that the image reflected by this

appearance deprived of reality, that issay, without doubt, the Buddha individual, must be for the religious subject of meditation pro-founds, etc. I would have been able to detach toutcela in shorter proposals;but I thought it was necessary,by a very literal version, to give an idea of this style.(1) Saddharma Langkâvatàra, f. 54 6 ff.;(2) Saddharma Langkâvatàra, f.3 b, 13 a, 23 b.

Page 120

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .463 present and future oninclude each individually; from therecomeheis not interrupted. Moreover, MaMmati, the great complete Nirvana is neither thedestruction or thedead. If thegreat complete Nirvana, O Mahâmati, was thedeath after her return. the chain of rebirths. If, on the other hand, it was the destruction, hewould fall under the definition of a compound being. It is forthat as the largest full Nirvana is neither destruction nor the dead. The Yôginsthe include as the undead followed thepassage into a different world (I). Yet another thing, Mahâmati :the Nh ^ vâna is calledof this name, because it is notneither removed nor acquired, neither interrupted nor eternal, neither identical nor diverse. Stillsomething else, Mahâmati :the Nirvana for the Çràvakaset the Pratyeka Buddhas, is notan idea resulting from the sight of proper or common characters [whichwould belongtoNirvana], or abstinence from all lifeactive, or of the consideration of the lack of reality of objects (2). "This passage can give an idea of the method constantly followed by theauthor of this treatise, a method which, as we have seen, isalso that of Madhyamikas. From this argument that will recognize no other authority thanthatof the logic, and that is inserves sophisticatedly to deny all that we an affirm from any thing, theyes and the no, heresults apyrrhonismwhich has no example innone of the Brahminical schools. I therepeat, andit is with this reflection that I wish to end this rapid analysis of the treatiesthe most important relating to the metaphysics of Buddhism. Ibornthenbelieve that such a book, no more than the various editors of the Pradinà, we gives the doctrine spread several centuries before our era by the solitary of the race of Gâkya. Heare not of traces of these theories radically negative in the first Sutras, or the say more exactly, these theories are not therethan in germ, and this germ is not much more developed there than it is in the schools Brahman, which, while challenging reality from the outside world, we admit its transient existence, as well as the permanent existence of a spiritsupreme, of which the universe is only a sort of visible manifestation. Some danger that it will have to formulate with precision opinions that is so difficult to grasp in through the texts still too incompletely known asthose of Nepal, I am face that Śākyamuni, by entering into the religious life, leftthe data it provided theatheistic doctrines of Saiîikhya, which werein ontology the absence of a God, the multiplicity and eternity (1) This esl to say the death real and last in the eyes of an Indian, since for him it thatwe call the death is the end of a life given, which must be followed by severalother existences and so indéflniment, according to the law of the transmigration.(2) Saddharma Langkâvalâra, f. 29 o and &. Page 121

464INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY of souls human, and physical existence of a natural eternal, endowed withqualities, transforming itself, and possessing the elements of the forms whichclothes the human soul in the During his trip to across the world. Çàkya-muni tookto this doctrine the idea that there is no God, as well as the theory of the multiplicity of souls human, that of the transmigration, and that the nir-vana or of the deliverance, which belonged in Generala all the schools Brahminicals. Only he is not easy to see today

what he hears Dait by the Nirvana, because itnot the defines nowhere. But as hedon't speaknever of God, the Nirvana for himcannot be the absorption of the individual souldual the breast of God Universal, as well as the believed the -Brahmanes orthodoxes; and as henot speak much more of the material, its Nirvana does not no more the dissolution of the human soul within the elements physical. The word of vacuum, which appears already in the monuments that everything we prove to be the oldest, induced me to penserque Sakya saw the well supreme in the annihilatefull weaveofthinking principle. Heif the represented, as well as the fact SUP-to posea repeated comparison often, such as exhaustion from the light of alamp that goes out. We have seen, by the account that I havedo moretop of the twelve causes of existence, how difficult it is to discover one's true opinion, nonot on the past of the human soul, but on its very origin. The soul of man, according to he necessarily transmigrates through an infinite number of forms; that of more great saint, that of a Buddha, which will comein the full Nirvana, hashad aspent huge misery and happiness, virtues and of crimes. Cornhencecomes this multitude of individual soulsthat the Brahmins saidoutputs of the breast of Brahma, and that the Sâmkhyas believed distinct andbe-nelles? Çâkya does not say it, much of moinsque I have the recognition; and isuppose he admitted with the Samkhyas] that they existed from all eternity. Because, 'hewill be not forgotten, Sakya has been able to separate completely from the worldin the middle of which helived; and the company Brahman, the breast from which hewas born, must have left on his mind the deep imprint of his teachingsmentions. We recognize in particular thetraces in the theory just makes orthodox of the transmigration. If therefore his doctrine seems incomplete to us, if itleaves for us in the shadows manyproblems, the solution of which shedoes not seemnot bebusy, is that these problems were not ques-tionfor him, this estqu'il not challenged not the explanation that in was givenuntil then. Seen from this point of view, his doctrine is placed inoppositionto Brahmanism, as a morality without God and as an atheism withoutNature. What hedenies, he is the eternal God of the Brahmans, and Nature eternal Page 122

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM ..465iielle of the Samkhyas ;thisthat he admits isthemultiplicity and individuality of souls human, the Sâmkhyas, and the transmigration of the Brahmans. What hewants to achieve, it is the issue or the liberation of the spirit, as well as thewanted all the world in India. But henot freed not the Spirit as FAIsaient the Sâmkhyas in the Spotter ever the Nature, noras do-saient the Brahmins in the plungingthe breast of Brahma eternal and absolute; heannihilates the terms of his existence on in the rushing into the vacuum, that is to say, to all appearance, by annihilating it. After this, that this doctrine has produced the Pyrrhonism of the Prajñā andthe Nihilism of other schools like that of Någårdjuna, ittherewas nothing there thatmust surprise. But neither this Pyrrhonism nor ceNihilisme do are written in all letters in the Sutras emanating from the preaching of Câkya, as theythe are in the paaramitaa Prajñā and in theother works that are based on this collection. It is enough to justify the view that Iadvanced in commencant this analysis, namely, that there is between the Sutras, regarded assources of the Buddhist metaphysics, and Prajñā or books that endépen-tooth, the interval of several centuries, and the difference that separates a doctrine that does is that its first beginnings of a philosophy that has reached to itslatest developments. SECTION V.TANTRAS. The partfrom the Nepalese collection to whichis dedicated this section is distinct of unemanière if cut of all those that I have discussed so far, that the Tibetans themselves the start of next in the classification the moregeneral that theymake of their books religious callingMdo or Sutra whateveris not Rgyud or Tantra (1). The Tantras, in fact, are treaties of avery special character, where the cult of Gods and Goddesses weird or terribleally withmonotheistic systemand the other developments of Buddhismnorthern, that is to say to the theory of a Supreme Buddha and to that from Superhuman Buddhas and Bôdhisattvas. All these characters are in the Tantras the object of a cult of which these books carefully trace the rules; and (1) Csoma, Analysis of the Sher-chin, in Asiat. liesearches, t. XX, p. 412.30 Page 123

466INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY various of these treaties will be that of the collections of statements madeforto managethedevotees in artto draw and toarrange the circles and the othersmagic figures (Mandala) intended to receive the images of these Divinities. Theofferings and sacrifices that lem address to be the make favorable andthat the prayers and hymns that we chanteen their honor, occupy equally ment in these books a considerable place. Finally they all contain formulasmagic or Dharanîs, true charms that Yoursuppose to have been composed by the Gods themselves, which in focususually the name, and whohave the virtue of saving more great perils that that is quite happy for theown and repeat them. This part of the Nepalese collection is not the first that discoveredHodgson, and his Buddhists do it in revealed the existence when ithadmany other works of a different character have already been obtained from them . If, likethe title of Tantrasuggests, and as the prove the analysis we will read theimpure and coarse worship of personifications of the female principle, such that he is admitted among the Civaïles, has found a place in these books, we understand that Bud-dhiste honest has hesitated to give to a stranger theevidence of an alliance toomonstrous. But the other reason is because even subtract longofHodgson's research this part of Buddhist literature: it is the idea thatseem to faireles Nepalese and Tibetans of the value and of the importance of Tantras. Nothinghand, in fact, the Buddhism is réduità proportions morehuman, and under the conditions of a practicalin general easier thanin thesebooks. Hebornis more, as well as in the ancient sutras, to be prepared, by the exercise of all the virtues, to one day fulfill the duties of a Buddha. it simplyto draw a figure, of the divide by one some number of compartments, of ydraw here the image of Amitâbha, the Buddha of a fabulous world like him ;thethat of Avalôkitôcvara, the famous Bôdhisattva, holy tutelary of Tibet; elsewherethose of a few female deities with singular names and terrible forms; and the devotee ensures the protection of those Divinities who arm him of the formula ma-cal or the charm that has each of them. For the gross minds andignorant of such books have certainly more of value that the moral legends from the early days of Buddhism. They promise the temporal advantages and immediate; theysatisfyfinallyTothisneed for superstitions,Tothis lovefromdevout practices through which expresses thereligious feelingin Asia, and which does not replied that imperfectly the simplicity of primary BuddhismmitifIt is, at rest, easy to judge the character of this part of theliteratureBuddhist by the translation of two treaties that has made Mr. Wilson aftera Page 124

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .467manuscript sent by Mr. Ilodgson to the Asian Society ofBengal (1). We can seethe mythology the more complicated and designs schools themore learned of Buddhism mixed with the names of Divinities including several belong specific to worships pecial of Çiva. So much for the general spirit of these two treaties. At these characters fundamentals, which probably form the part of the

mostimportant Tantras, the first of these two treaties inadd somewhich are cleanto Nepal, and which prove that this smallbook was written in the valley since that the Buddhism itis established(2). It is therefore a Buddhist work, that composed in Sanskrit outside India; but this fact does not havein itself onevery tallimportance, if, as established Mr. Wilson, we have reasons tobelieve that all the mythological characters in this treatywas already part of Buddhismwhen hestill flourished in northern Indiatrionale (3). Besides the treatyin honor of the Divinities Nepalese where is find this trace with one handforeign to Indiabornnot pass for a bookinspired, and hethere was no place for him to apply the rulessevere to critical of-what heis necessary to submit the books admitted in the canon of scripturessacred.Mr. Hodgson provided Mr. Wilson with a mythological commentary on these two books, which is full of essential details to know; and Mr. Wilsonhis turn them has been followed byremarks whose importance is especially appreciated ciable to the pointDevue where I believe it is necessary to place for the review of booksBuddhist.The first of these remarks isthat the Sanskrit vocabularyd'Hêmatchandra and especially the Trikanda çêcha quotes, besides the names of Çâkya-provided, those of a great number of Buddhas and other divine personages whoplay the mainrole in the Tantras of the Buddhists of Nepal. The author of Trikanda çêcha, who must have written towards the x" or at the latesttowards the eleventh century of our era (4), could not have spoken of those Divinities who areunknown to Brah-manes, if they had not already existed in the Buddhism, which did not Again this time was outlawed in all the parts from India. The second remark, is that so farnothing proves that these developments various of themytholo-gie Buddhist are known in Ceylon, in thekingdom of Ava and to Siam (5)that is to say in the countries where reignsthis that I propose to call the school of the Budsouthern dhism. It is therean important fact, and including the vérification intéresse(1) Wilson, Notice of three tracts received from Nepal, in Asiat.Res., T.XVI, p. 450 sqq.(2) Asiatic Researches, p. 470.(3) Asiatic Researches, p. 469.(4) Sanscr. Diction., Preface, p. xxvij.(5) Wilson, Notice, etc., in Asiat. Researches, 1.XVI, p. 468 and 460. Page 125

468INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY to the highest degree the ancient history of this religion. But this was not here theinstead to make the application complete and to develop the consequences. Thistopic will naturally find its place when I compare the bud collection-ethicsfrom the North to that of the South. It is enough for me to say, in the meantime, that the Tantrasare also unknown in Ceylon that the Gods many to worship desquel-the they are devoted. The Tantras belong So to the form the more complicated of Buddhismnorthern. At least we find there the traces of very diverse conceptions whichhave been able to develop that turn. Therebynext to the human Buddha, Càkyamuni, appear and the system of celestial Buddhas and Bôdhisattyas, that it isvery difficult to regard as the primitive form of Buddhism, and thenotion of an Adibuddha or a Supreme Buddha responding to the Brahma of Brahmanism concept who, according to Gsoma, would be originally foreign to Indiaand therewould not have been introduced before the x \*\*centuryof our era (1).To the fiveDhyâni Buddhas, theTantras even add a sixth, named Vadjrasaliva \(^\) which responds to the sixth sense, or the internal sense, Manas (the heart), andtosixth object sensitive, Dharma (the merits or the law corporation) that captures the Manasjust as the other five Buddhas respond, as hehas been said plushaut, of senses and to five sensitive qualities (2). All these notions, joined Toworship of the female energies of Buddhas and Bôdhisattvas, andto thatother known Deities for the most big party of Siva,

are in thesebooks related to the way the more narrow the worship which Śākyamuni is the object, as well as to the speculative doctrines which his teaching aims toriser. The founder of Buddhism there is even positively shownlikethe teacher of the ritual and the magic prayers of the Tantras- The mixture of thesetwo orders of ideas which, by their expression and their object, are almost the opposite one of the other, isso intimate in the Tantras, that if we borndid not have other specimens of Nepalese Buddhism, we would doof this beliefastrong ideafar from thatwe endonnent thetexts which I have speaks of ar. I know well that the character of inspired books is attributed tooto Tantras, since, following the example of the canonical works, these treatises are given for the wordeven of the last human Buddha. But these books provide themselves against(1) Csoma, Analyze. of the Sher-chin, in Asiat. Rei., T.XX, p. 488 and 564.(2) Hodgson, Quotat., Etc., in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.V, p. 79, note. Notice ofthreeleaflets, etc., in Asiat. Res., T. XVI, p. 458 and note 1.It is for this that Csoma, dansson ana-lysis of the Tibetan collection of Tantras, usually accompanies the name of Vadira sattva, literally "the precious being, "by this definition," the supreme intelligence." " {Analyze. of the Sherchin, in Asiat. Res., T.XX, p. 491, 496, 503 and 549.) Page 126

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .469this claim a very strong objection ,which is derived of the character of the Divinities which they recommend the worship and practices they enjoin. Nothingproves, in fact, that these Deities aientfiguré in teaching primitive ofÇàkya; theevidenceon the contrary results even from this that they are entirely unknown toSutras andto the Buddhist legends ofNepal, that Iexaminedupper. There does not appear nor thefemale energies Buddhas and of Siva nor theworshipobscene that makes them, or the formulas by which we ensure their pro-tection. To this observation which I believe to be decisive, I will add oneother, who, thoughbearing on aitem of lesser value, do not deserve less to be taken inconsideration. I wanttalk about the extreme difference that we notice between the style of Tantras and that of the Sutrasprimitive. Besides this style is sometimes obscure and incorrect to the point of barbarism, itemploys with any special acceptancecial of terms which in the ancient Sutras will be present with their meaningordinary and classic. I will mention in particular the word Vadjra (diamond, lightning), who plays agreat role in the language of Tantras, and which appears among others in the beginning of the name of Vadira sattva, that sixth superhuman Buddha who is of the invention of the Tantrists. This same word appears till in the name of Vadjraâtchârya or priest Buddhist Nepalese. The true character of this priesthas been clearly traced by Hodgson (1) and the research of this scientist welearned that the Vadjra âtchâryas were of a fairly modern date. This testimonygnage comes to support of the observation that I am in time on the use ofword Vadjra. I guess thename of Vadjra âtchârya, "thetutorof'diamond, >or "the precious tutor," Whotoreportof Mr. Hodgson does not found in no canonical book, belongsat the same time and at the same source than thatby Vadjra sattva," one who has the essence of diamonds, "Where" The precious deed. » Here, no doubt, Vadjra must have a figurative meaning, that of precious, supreme (2), like ratna, "jewel," Which appearing in expressions a cramental of Triratna, that is to say the three jewels (Buddha, the Law and theseems), has lost its proper meaning to takeacceptancegeneralofprecious, eminent. I dontthen prevent myself from believing that the use so frequent that the ancient texts make Ratna, with the meaning special of precious, has given birthciency in one of Vadira, which does not less familiar to the authors of

Tantras. Whatever it may be, the rest of the influence that has exerted employmentfrom the word Ratna ^(1)Quotations, etc.,in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.Y, p. 34 and 35. See above, sect. III, p. 301, note 1.(2) Notice of three tracts, etc., in Asiat. Res., T. XVI, p. 475 sqq. See again, for the valueapplication of this word, Schmidt, Geschichte der Ost-Mongol, p. 310.

Page 127

470INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY taken in this special sense, on the adoption of the word Yadjra, commonly usedin a wayanalogue itdo still notless certain than this latest characterized by aspecial way the Tantra style. I can therefore say to these works thatthat i havesays of the most developed sutras: they belong like them to a second age of beliefs and of the literature Buddhist; no not that I pre-tends to it they have been writtenin the same time as the Sutras the most extended and that the great collections of the Prajñā Paramita, but it is that they combine the simple notions of early Buddhism with religious practices and the names divine that cite also the great Sutras. My intention is not todwell at length on this part of the collectivetion of Nepal, which I am inclined to regard as the most modern of all, andwhose importance for historyfromhuman superstitions do not redeem themediocrity and emptiness. It is certainly not without interest to see the Buddhism, whoin his organization first had so little of what is a religion, to achieve to practice the most puerile and the superstitions of themore exaggerated. But this deplorable spectacle has vile tired of curiosity and humiliated intelligence. The ideaa God supreme there occupies without doubt an important place; and I want tobelieve that in the developments qu'apris this part of the literature buddhi-that the corporation has had to do as the own. Hebe same as all are either notas poor as this that I know of, since Gsoma of Kőrös cites severalplaces of his analysis various Tantraswho are athis opinion very beautiful(1). I amsurprised, however, that this scholar, who gave a complete analysis of the legendsthe Yinaya, where the history of the preaching of Çakyamuni issometimes tracedin a way so endearing, and that did not leave pierce the slightest feelinginterest in these curious tales, did not find words of admiration and enthusiasmsiasm that for the books which seem to me the miserable product of ignorance and of the gullibility of more coarse. But the Tantras, replacing the simple worship of Cakya by the worship of a host of fantastic Divinities, have evidently transmittedformed the Buddhism, and have by following given birth to a developing literary Special quia could have as its beautiful sides. Iregretonly or not to have themnot seen, or have perhaps lacked the fortitude necessary for the look.(1) Analysis of the Sher-chin, in Asiat. Ret., T.XX, p. 492, 496, 499, 502, 513 and 545. Ina place he expresses himself thus; "Cq Tantra and the previous are worthy to be read and studied,"because they give an idea of this that the ancients thought of the soul man and of God. "{Ibid., P. 497.) But would it not have been necessary to establish previously that these Tantras are ineffect of old productions? and was it not useful to make out that nothing of what theyteach will be located either in the Vinaya, norin the sūtras, which are on the contrary filledalmost entirely from the history of Çàkyamuni or of his first disciples, and whose anteriority relative cannot be contested by anyone?

## Page 1

OF INDIAN BUDDIJISM .471I have tohowever giveanalysis of some of these books, and I understandstarted on purposeby one who seems to be the most famous of all, at

least in the Special Rapporteur Harborof Csomade Kőrös, that is to say by the Suvarn.aprabhāsa (1). The importance that the Buddhists of the North attach to this work is proved moreover by thisonly fact, he is included in the number of new Dharmas or books sacred of Nepal. It is, as all the books considered canonical, translated into tibé-tain in the Kah-gyur collection; but I noticed that the Tibetan versionwas ingeneral more developed than the transcribed text, of which the manuscriptholds to the Asian Society. I conclude that there are two editions of this workwhich are similar in the background, but which differ one from the other by the éten-due to developments. This conclusion is supported by more than onemade. Csoma, in hisx \ nalysis of the Tibetan collection of Tantras, notesthe existence of two Suvarnaprabhâsas which also deal with the same subject and contain the same materials, but which differ as alueorigin, the pre-mier being translated from Chinese, the second from Sanskrit(2). On the other hand, in relation topeelinga passage taken by M. Schmidt from the Mongolian Suyarna prabhâsa, Iadvanced that Idid not know the Sanskrit text (3); is that indeed this step-wise not to find pasdans the Suvarna prabhâsa India that owns the CompanyAsfatic. Heto be said as a further fragment extracted by Schmidt 'ssecond chapter of his Mongolian Suvarna prabhâsa (4), with this entirelytimesthat it is the same subject who does the Background of the fragment M. Schipidt andof the second chapter of our Suvarna prabhâsa. We must therefore take for certainthat theretwo writings of this book :one that is not very extensive is the onethat the Asiatic Society is in theliberality of Mr. Hodgson; the other who isany further, which is experiencing a translation Mongolian, and towhich Mr. Schmidt hasmade two major loans. It is up to the scholars who both possess the tib-tain and the Mongolian he belongs to determine the ratio of versions of Kah-gyur and of the Altan Gerel. As for Suvarna prabhâsa Sanskrit possessed the Asian Company, Ibelieved hewas required of the distinguished clearly from the version of Mongolian, so we knew well that this that I have to say in this bookapplies exclusive mentau little volume considerably we possédonsà Paris. The title of Suvarna prabhâsa (5) that this volume bears means "The brilliance of (1) Amlys. of the Sher-chin, in Asiat. Res., T. XX, p. 515 and 516. (See the additions, at the end of the volume.)(2) Asian girl. Res., T. XX, p. 514 and 515. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, X. I, p. 388.(3) Above, sect. II, p. 104, note 1.(4) Geschichte der Ost-Mongol, p. 307 sqq.(5) The mo \ prahMsa does not, according to Wilsou, have the meaning of splendor, and it is doubtful that Page 2

472INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY"gold,"and the book passes for Sutrawhich would have been preached by Çâkya onthe mountain of Grïdhra Kuta in the Magadha (1). Ananda asks Rhagavatif he's going to teach herLaw ;and he replies that he wants to expose theKing ofSutras, theSuvarna prabhâsa, of which heis a pompous praise in to mediocrewhich fill the first chapter. The second opens with the issue that is donea Rôdhisattva, named Rutchira kêtu, on the reasons for the short duration ofthe existence of Sakya, which will be that of eighty years. Hefind thatRhagavat was given for reason of a too short life aversionthat weexperiences to deprive of the life an be any, andthelayout o \ iwe arefind to give food to thosewhich in ontbesoin, at the expense even of hisown body. At the time when he conceived this thought, there it appears a large building,made of lapis lazuli,and filledthe furniture and theobjects themmore valuable. Tothe east theresees the Tathagata Akchôbhya at twelve o'clock Ratna Ketu, in the West Amilâ-bha, and to the north Dundubhîçvara. At the sight of these wonderful apparitions, Rut-chira

kêtune can contain his astonishment; the question he had always asked himselfsong the length of the life of Càkyamuni is represented in his mind, andthen the Tathâgatas which will be shown in its eyes are directed to him in these terms :Borndo not say, oh son of the family, that the life of Cakyamuni beof short duration; becausewe don't see anyone in the universe who isable toknow the termof the life of Tathûgata Śākyamuni, as it is composed of a number incommen-surable of millions of ages and years. In this moment of gods of differentorders are assembled in large numbers in the palaceby Rutchira kêtu; and sothe Tathagatas that he had appeared to put on exhibit in stanzas measured thelength of the life of Cakyamuni the Tathagata, in the presence of the assembled Assemblyin front of them. However hethere was in the Assembly of Mount Gridhrakûta a Rrâhminnamed Vyàkarana Kâundinya, who havingheard talk of complete NirvanaÇàkyamuni, asked him, in the name of his immense mercy, to grant himthis word is classic in this sense; however he is regularly formed of bhasa, who has thismeaning.(1) Gridhra kûta mountain is the famous Vulture Peak, of which Fa hian speaks in additionfrom a place in his relationship. (Foe koue ki,p. 253 and 269.) Klaproth has fully determined the position of this mountain, which then ame has been preserved, to all appearance, in that of Giddhaur {Foe koue ki, p. 260 and 270), which currently applies to the fort located on its part thehigher. {The Hist., Etc., of East-hidia, t.II,p.51et seq.) This denomination is, the rest, in ancient India, because it 's already in the traditions collected by the Mahabharata. The Earth personified it reveals to Kashyapa that several descendants of the race of Kchaltriyas, and including Vrlhadralha, who had escaped to the revenge Paraçuràma, sonof Djamadagni, had taken refuge in Gridhra kûta. {Mahàbhârata, Çântiparvan, ch. xlix, st. 1796, t.III, p. 428, ed.Calcut.)

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .473a favor. Bhagavat kept the silence; but a young man from the tribe of Litchhavis, named Sarvasattva priya darçana, who was present, said to the Brah-mane: Why then, O great Brahmin, ask Bhagavat for a favor? I may well grant you one myself. To which Kâundinya replied: I wantown afragment of Relics of ïathâgata, did he was no bigger than agrain mustard, for by doingthe object of religious worship. But the youngLitchhavi replies to him in versethat he will see a relic of Talhâgata, not even if itthat of the size of a grain of mustard, when he will push the hairs on the backof a turtle. The Brahminunderstands the meaning of these words sufficiently and it responds by other stancesapprobatives, where itsays that indeed Bhagavat is not born like the other men, and we would seek in vainafter him arelic of the volume of a mustard seed, since its body has neither bone nor blood, and that its realbody, its real bones, it is the Law, Dharma kâya, Dharmadhâtu. This profound exhibition disposes the spiritsof a large number of Devasto understand what that is that intelligence Supreme a Buddha perfectlyaccomplished, and their inspires stanzasby which they say that aBuddha does not enternot in the Nirvanacomplete, that his Law does not perish, and that his body is a bodyéternel.'Xe chapter will end with the expression of the joy felt by Butchirakêtu.At the beginning of the third chapter, we learn that the latter lives indreama golden drum resplendent like the record of the sun, and in all the points of space of Buddhas in number infinite who taught the Law tohuge assemblies. Then hesaw a Brahmane which hit the drum, and Drum gave poetic stanzas on the Law for his sound .When he wakes up, theBodhisattva Butchira Ketu is rememberedthese stanzas. He then left Bàdjagriha; and accompanied by an innumerable crowd, heis renditsur the mountain of Gridhrakuta with

Bhagavat, andhimrecited themhe stanceshad heard indream. These stanzas, which fill the fourth chapter, are relative to the im-teaching lift of the law, and inparticular to the merit of Suvarnaprabhâsa. Kêtu butchiraat the same time announces the desire that hehas to save thecreatures in their exposing this Sutra; andhemade a long confession of hismistakes, so to will make it worthy of the task atwhich hesucks. In the fifth chapter, Bhagavat takes the word fortell the storyroof of a king named Suvarna bhudjêndra, who praisedall the Past buddhas, presentandfuture, and who asked for reward of become one day worthy of exhibiting the Suvarnaprabhâsa. At the beginning of sixth chapter, Bhagavat announces that the laws of the vacuum have been stated in a very considerable number of Sûlras, butthat forto facilitate understanding, hethe summary is in the Suvarna prabhâsa. Page 4

474INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYHe then explains in a few stanzas the action of the senses, the origin and the des-struction of the body, the emptiness of all the circumstances and in all beings, the miseryof the world and theneed to get rid of it. At the beginning ofseventhchapter, thefour great kings of the four points ofspace celebrate inprose the merits of Suvarna prabhàsa; at the same time they promise to pro-guard the creatures of the Djambudvîpa, and in particular the Religious who possessderive from this excellent Sutra. Bhagavat approves the speech of the big four Kings. These cireprennent the same subject in the still developing further, still inprose. Blessed with its turn lists the advantages andhonorspromised than that possess this sutra. I am very shortening this presentation which occupies considerable place in the work, and which is followed by pronounced stanzasin honour of Cakya by the four great Kings. In the eighth chapter, the great Goddess Sarasvatî promises her protectionand a formula magic to that which exhibit this sutra. Shey seal the descrip-tion of some superstitious practices which must accompany the recitation of this formula. Bhagavat approves of his good dispositions. The BrahminKàundinya then sings the praises of the Goddess inprose and in verse. Atbeginning of the ninth chapter appears Mahàdêvi, which gives presence of Bhagavat the same assurances of protection to possessor of this Sutra. The Goddess draws at the same time the rules of the worship of which she must be the object of thepart of the one who wants to acquire wealth. The tenth chapter, which has onlyfew lines, consists of invocations (Namas) to various Buddhas and Bôdhi-sattvas. In the eleventh, Drïdhà, the Goddess of the earth, promises to make fer-tile and flourishing theplace where to find theSutra of Suvarna prabhàsa, or aReligious that the possess. In the twelfth chapter, Samdjaya, the chief of armies of the Yakchas, made similar promises in favor of the interpreterof the Sutra. The authors of this long and tedious dialogue change in the thirteenthchapter. The son of a king named Raja Balendra Kotu are pleased to have aBook Royal having for titleDevêndra samaya. On this occasion the Lô kapâlasor Guardians of the world will gather around Brahma, and heaskhow heis donethat a mortal king can become themonarch of the Gods. Brahma their replies that is when, after having ruled withjustice on the earth, heis reborn among the Devas. Brahmà then exposes theduties of a good kingandthevices of an unjust monarch. At the beginning of the fourteenth chapter, weis the story of King Susambhava, who lived during that the Tathagata Çikhinwas in the world. He saw in a dream a Religious exposing the Suvarna prabhàsa, and in its wake ithimdid eminent honors, and heard from his mouth Page 5

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .475this precious Sutra. Çâkyamuni, who tells this story, isapplicationTohimself, andlearns to his Auditeursque ishimwho was once the kingSusambhava, andthat Akchôbhya, one of the heavenly Buddhas, was the Religious who exposed to the kingthe Sutra. the chapter fifteen is devoted to the development ' thatCâkya makes in verse of this idea, that one pays a worship to all the past Buddhas, present and future by exposing the Suvarna prabhâsa. Welearns that the Bôdhisaltva Rutchira kêtu will be in the future aTathagata named Suvarna Ratnakarakêtu tchhatra. This this chapter still contains similar predictions for agreat numberotherscharacters, and in particular for the ten thousand sons of the Devaswhichpart of the assembly. Bhagavat, to which one of the Deitiespresent, namedBôdhisattvaSamutchtchayà, asks what can argue with these gods one suchhappiness, replies that these are the merits they have accumulated by listening to the Act and says in the chapter sixteen that under the old Buddha Ratna cikhin, hethere was a king named Surêçvara, who was eminent by hisjustice. Hehad askilful doctor named Djâtimdhara, to whom was borna sonnamed Djalavâhana, who was filled with all the perfectionsphysical and moral. Fromterrible diseases came over the kingdom andhit a numberimmense population. Touched with compassion, the son doctor is said to himselfsame: Yoilà a large crowd of sick people, and my father is very old, and hecan the save tous. Si I was going to ask to my fatherto communicate to mequer his knowledge of medicine? He executed hisproject and made his request intoward. His father himcommunicated various principles of medicine, based on the distinction of the six seasons, in which the twelve months of the year are divided. These principles come backat little about all the need to vary thefoodand the medicines of man according to the seasons. Djala vâhana, enougheducated, able to heal all the sick ofkingdom. In the seventeenth chapter, we learn that Djalavâhana had from his wifetwo sons,named one Djalâmbara, andthe other Djalagarbha. One day Djalavâhana, is located in a forest, aperyuta host of wild animals and birds that were running toward a pond located in the middle of the woods. Hedon'tasked hercause to itself andresolved to clear it up. Hearrived, afteralong walk, on the banks of the pond, and lives there a large amount of pois-sounds that were missing, of water. This show the emotional of pity, and soon the Godsappeared in his eyes and said to him: Well, well, sonof family, you yourself appointed Djala vâhana (the one who brings water); giving of water to the fish; actaccording to the meaning of your name. The doctor will put in duty to seek of water, but he found nowhere. Finally heimagine to stripa

Page 6

476INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYlargest tree of its branches, and to shelter the pond and fish. After goodresearch itdiscovers that the pond has been previously suppliedby a great river, including a beingmalevolent has diverted the watersto doperish themPisces.Recognizing that heit is impossible for him to return his ancestors to the river, hereturns to the city withthe king, he tells what he has seen and he asks twentyelephants; the king grants them to him. So is making to the river, it fills with waterbottles that hehad brought with him the burden of his elephants, and is makingimmediately in the pond, in which it the vacuum. There he notices that the fish are doingin crowds on the side where heis present, andguess as soon as the hunger to be thecause of this movement. He sends so his son Djalâmbara look at the house, with his grandfather, all that there was of prepared food. When her son isincome, hebreak all these

foods into small pieces and throw them in the pond. Heseremember Then he learned that one whoat the time of his deathhears the name of Buddha Ratna çikhin to be reborn one day in the world in the capacity of Buddha. As a result hehas the idea of making hear thisprecious nameto the fish hecometo save. But at that time heyhad two dominant opinions in the Djambudvîpa :one that gave faith toMahayana, the other that the rejected. Djala vâhana, who followed the first, entersin water up to his knees, and utters the formula of worship in honorof Buddha Ratna çikhin. Hethen teaches the theory of the causes of existencetence, to little closein the same terms as the Lalila Vistara; then hereturnwith his two son in the house. The next day all thefish were dead andhad resumeda new existence among the DevasTrayastrimças. There is rememberedpeeling off their past life and grateful to whom they are indebted for their good-happiness now, theytake the resolution to go testify their respect to theirbenefactor, and will make for thenight at his house, wherethey her offer ofprecious necklaces, in the midst of a rain of flowers and the sound of drumsdivine. When the day was come, the king Sûrêçvara prabhaasked the treasurer, his minister, the cause of the miracles which had taken place during the night; the Minister also learned that the doctor's son had become the owner of a largenumber of precious necklaces. The king wanted to see this wealthy man, and asked himto tell him the cause of all that washappened. Djalavâhanahaving answeredthat perhaps the fish were dead, theking wanted to checkthe fact, and the doctors ent his son Djalâmbara tothe pond to recognize what wasarrived atPisces.It 's found dead, and lives in the pond a mass ofdivine flowers of Mândâravas. So Djala vâhana be presented to the king, he stated that the fish had changed to stay, and that became devas, they had produced the miracles that astonished him.

#### Page 7

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .477This story ended, Çâkyamuni in (aitapplying to various characters hiscontemporaries. The king Surêçvara prabha, it was Dandapani theÇàkya. Djâtim-dhara was King Cuddhôdana, father of Câkyamuni; and Djaia vâhana, Câkyamunihimself. Djalâmbu garbha, the wife ofDjala vâhana, is the young Gópà, from therace of the Çâkyas; his sonDjalâmbara is Ràhula bhadra, sonfrom Çâkyamuni; Djalagarbha is Ânanda. Finally the ten thousand Devas are the ten thousand fishfrom the pond; and the Divinity of the tree that Djalavâhana spoils of his branches is the Goddess BôdhisattvaSamutchtchayâ itself, atwhich one is addressedBhagavat.In the nineteenth chapter, Çâkyamuni continuing tospeakat the sameGoddess, he learns that a Bodhisattva must be willing to make the abandoneddonation of one's own body for the benefit of others. On this occasion it he tellsthat one day hedid see SHAREHOLDERS 'MEETING of its Religious themrelics of an ancientcharacter who had accomplished this difficult sacrifice. It is the young prince Mahâ-sattva, who offered hisbody inpasture to atigress who hadto put down. Sakya is made to himselfthe application of this story by saying that it has been previously this prince, and in finding the other characters of this legend in some-some of hiscontemporaries, the King Mahâratha in Cuddhôdana, theQueenin Mâyâdêvî, and so of some others among whom hequotes Mandjuçrî andMâitrêya. This story ended, theinnumerable Bôdhisattvas of the Assembly leadtowards the TathâgataSuvarna ratnâkaratchhatra kûta, and sing her praises. This piece is by worms, as well as praise of Sakyamuni that fact then Rutchirastubborn. Finally the bookis terminepar stanzas in honor of the sameBuddha that say the Goddess Bodhisattva Samutchtchayâ, and in whichshe repeats under several forms that all the beings and all

theconditions are empty. This is the background of this book poor and empty in fact, as the things that he speaks, in spite of the great esteem with which heen joyed among the Buddhists of the North. Sure if is the comparison to some of Tantra we have in Paris, hetheir will appear superior in several points. Magic formulas and practice superstitious will occupy much less of space that in other very Tantras almost as esteemed. It is recommended even the worship of Sakya, and observation of the virtues moral esque his teaching has been topurpose of spreading; Sakya there is the character main and he is not Again replaced, as it takes place almost completely in the other books of same gender, either by the Buddhas imaginary, either by other sin-character sgular or terrible, of a less peaceful character and less pure. But despite these Page 8

478INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY benefits, how this book is of little of value to us, with legendswhere the real life of Çâkyamuni is traced, and such deep parables ofLotus of the good Law !It bears all the characters of a treatywhich does not belongnot to the preaching of Câkya, and which must have been composed at leisure in somemonastery, when the Ruddhism had fully developed. Heiswritten in prose and in verse, like all the compositions of the second age of Ruddhisme, and parts poetic bear the traces of this mixture formspreached that I reported in the developed sūtras. Then, and this goes to the very bottom, this bookis so filled and the praise that make the Ruddha or its Auditors, and the story of the benefits promised toone which the study andthe read, that these arches in vain under this mass of praise, and we come to the last page, to little by without knowing what that is that the Suvarnaprabhâsa. This feature is, in my sense, entirely made decisive. Nothing, in fact, no better illustration towhat mediocreproportions the Ruddhism wasreduced by the Tantras, that this terrible repetition the advantages and themerits assured toowner of a book which in itself, and apart from its developmentspment, reduce themselves to little close to some pages. It is the taste and the tyle of more bad of the Purânas Brahminists, those who are exclusivement devoted to defending the interests of sect. The piece the least poor of the work is the story of Mahâsattva who feeds a tigress with his bodyhungry; still doesn't this legend have more of merit than all those whoseabound the collections of Divyāvadāna, the avadāna çataka and the Mahavastu. The reader can judge for himselfby the translation given by M. l.J.Schmidt, after thetext of Altan gerel, Mongolian version of Suvarnapra-bhasa(1). The part philosophical, which appartientà school the more negativethe Ruddhisme, there is very brief and sparsely treated. Finally, we will ask what may be the reasons of the appeal that the Bud-dhistes the North have for this book. Pretend it does that it comes to this asis it a Sutra, that is to say a book attributed to Câkyamuni himself? But this circumstance is neither for the Nepalese nor for us a sufficient reason to the preference to autres Sûtras attributed also to the founder of Ruddhism. It is clear that the title of Siitra given to a book does not prove that this bookshould be placed in the class of primitive treatises. I have already shown, by analyzing(1) Mongolian. Gramm., P. 163 sqq. I compared this translation with the Sanskrit text of ourSuvarna prabhâsa, and I have itfound ^ except a small number of points, ifexact, you would thinkit was performed on the Sanskrit and not on the Mongolian. Besides that this circumstance proves the care that M. Schmidt brings to all his work, I conclude that, apart from the differences in Development reported every hour is a single and same funds that form the basis of twoeditorial staff of Suvarija prabhâsa, that of the Mongols and that of the Nepalese.

### Page 9

OF INDIAN BUDDIISM .479several sūtras, which there were in this part of theliterature sacred of EPO-various ques, easy if not to date, at least toto distinguish. The existence of the titleof Sutra given to a Tantra only proves that the Sutras pass toeyes of the Buddhists of Nepal to the word even Śākya, and we backto this result, several times indicated in the course of this research, that it isto the Sutrasheshouldalways come back, ifwewants to find either form themost ancient of his teaching is the form the most popular under whichheremains of our jotirs in the north of India. I have gone through various other Tantras; cornI feel, I admit, what-c scruples to share the reader boredom that I caused this étude. Jewill quote, among others, the Saiïwarôdaya tantra, or the rise of mystery or ofSaiîivara,ifthis last word is really a proper name. According to Csoma,Samvara is thename of one of the deities who belong especially to the followers of Tantras and the practices they exhibit. The treaty of which I speak iswritten less enl'honneur of Samvara to the glory of Heruka, another Godthe same species, possibly the same under a different name. I do not dwell notto bring the prayers, the formulas magical andthe ceremonies recommended by this book; hein is somewhere the substances that are ^ employs are collected hair in the cemeteries and the hairs of camel, donkey and of dog. The superstition the grossestdominates in this work, oi! inothingnot recall the Buddhism, ifwe did not see it appearto of rare intervals the name of the Buddha. The reward promised to those practices ridiculesest wellless the state of Buddha than a kind of perfection (Siddht), which consists in he possession of a supernatural power that serves usually of interestpurely human. This book contains a chapter on the signs that announcethe dead; the other on the four Yugas or ages of the world; the other on the four islands or continents; one on the preparation the fire to the sacrifice and on the Homa or offering to the fire, topics which some us away from Bud-dhism to bring us closerof Brahmanism.In a specially dedicated chapterin Mantras, theworship of the Deitiescivaites is positively recommended, andthefirstMantra is designed as follows: "Om! Adoration to the servant of Mahâkâla who dwellsin the cemeteries. "The Linga face among the objectsof this superstitious worship. It will give the way to get rid of an enemy by tracing his image of a certainmanner and with specific formulas. At theend of the book to find afull chapter of obscene practices whichwritten in a Sanskrit ifincor-rect and probably ifspecial, that ido I not Stop of the have allincluded; I have seen enough however for recognize that the Tantra bud-

### Page 10

480INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYdhics are not at this point below the Sivaite Tantras .thepassagewhich i doallusion is devoted to the description of the worship we must maketo a Yôginî, that is to say to a womanresponsible for representing theDivinityfemale that we adore. The book, which is composed of thirty to three chapters, has theform of a Sutra; the dialogue held between Bhagavat andtheBodhisattvaVadjrapani sonof the superhuman Buddha Akchôbhya. Heis writtenin verse of the meteranuchtubh, in a very incorrect Sanskrit and rarely mixed with pale forms (forexample, bhonti for bhavantî); but the incorrectness of the text is the most Souwind of the fault ofcopyist, who may not always have been able to read the manuscriptoriginal, which musthave been written in the old characterRandjâ. Theridiculous practices includingI pointed out the existence in the previous Tantraare found in the Mahâkâla tantra, of which hethere is a translation in the Tibetan collection of Kah-gyur (1).

Mahakala is, we know, one of the names thebetter known from Çiva; Here again the union of Sivaism with the Buddhism, expressMee by its symbols the more coarse, is manifest. We find in this treatiseaexplanation of the value mysticism of letters which are made thename of Mahâkâla; weit teaches the means to discover the treasures hidden inthrough-come the royalty, to get the woman that wants to wife; weit gives therecipe toseveral compositions, which one has the wonderful property of REN-dre invisible one who rubs the eyes. I leavefor the reader to guess fromwhat substances does this ointment consist of, in which appears in the first linethe gall of cat. A chapter contains various details given in the form of pre-dictions touching some cities and some kings of India; but the text is soconfused and the manuscript so incorrect that Inot have nothing could shoot. I still findin a different place this information enough curious, that theBodhisattvaAvalôkilêçvara remains in the land of Ultarakuru(2) :maybe we should see herean allusion to the northern origin of Avalôkitêçvara and the legends that relate to this character, a true tutelary saint of Tibet. This Tantra, which is written in a pitiful style, at theform of a Sutra anda dialogue betweenÇâkya anda Goddess whoseI could not find the name; he is inprose with what-that accidental traces of versification. I will not insist any more on this part of the literature Buddhist; this would however be to make her imperfectly known thatto doto signal utilitywhich shemay be for the literary history of Buddhism, in particular in the time modern. So helt is important to note, among the Tantras, the Kâlatchakra or the wheel oftime, of which we owe a detailed analysis to Csoma, but(1) Csoma, Ânalys. Of the Sher-chin, in Âsiat. Res., T. XX, p. 495.(2) Mahâkâla tantra, i.79 b.

# Page 11

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .481that we possess unfortunately notin Paris. The topics treated in this book are the cosmography, astronomy, the timeline forwherein is joined the description of some Gods. Wethere is an indication of various periods and the calculation time; it is spoken of the Mecca, as well as to the origin, progress and the decline Mohammedanism of. The work passes for emanating from thesupreme Adibuddha, or of this first Buddha, abstract beingwho responds, like I have said, the Brahma of the Orthodox: "It is, says Gsoma, thefirst book.original relating to Tantrika system, which hasbeen drafted in the North, inta cityprobably fabulous by the name of Shambala, near the Sihoun€(theSita).From therehewasintroducedin India atx®century,andin"the Tibet atxie (1). i>We see that this Tantra is very modern; but he meetsfarm of traditions which can Nepas have left of traces in the books moreold. The same kind of merit recommends irî / flj Mandjucrt mulla tantra, treatedwho,attributed as well as all the others to Çâkyamuni, contains in the form of pre-dictions the indication of some historical events and the names of people important swims. So that Sakya there foretold the coming future of Nāgārjuna, four hundred after him. He announces also that of Pânini, of Chandraguptaand d'Arya & amgha; the latter is the famous philosopher, head of the Yôgât- schoolChara, that Csoma up in the vr or VU® century of notreère (2). These cluesconfirm this as Isaidin the beginning, of the site that should occupy the Tantras in the whole of the Buddhist literature of which theyform mani-patently the game the more modern. However they are already enough to doappreciate the advantage that there would be in carrying out a regular counting of these books, to the effect to extract the document historic that it foundscattered. I said more high that met in the Tantras of Mantras and

dhāran.īsor magic formulas, which are toeyes of devotees one of the partsthe most important of these books. I could not discover the difference that distinguishesa mantra of Dharani, if it is that theMantra got mealways seemed to be more^ ourt that the Dharani, which is sometimes very developed. It is this that Iconcluded from the Mantras contained in the famous leaves of Ablaïkit, definitivement translated by Csoma de Côrôs; they are in généralplus short, more SEMlar to a formula of worship that theDhâranîs of which Ispeak all inthe hour (3). It should addthis difference that the term of Mantra is a name(1)Analyze. of the Sher-chin, in Asiat. Res., T. XX, p. 488 and 564.(2) Analysis. of the Sher-chin, p. 513.(3)Translat. of a Tibet. Fragm., In Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.I, p. 273 sqq. Csoma in agiven, the text lithograph.3i

482INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYalso familiar with the literature Brahman andto Buddhist literature, while that one of Dhârant appears exclusively own to the second. This word, that Wilson gives in his dictionary as belonging to the Ruddhists, means" That which contains orhas a high efficiency. "The Dhâranîsform usually a sentence intelligible, ended with the bizarre monosyllables res that usually do n't make sense. Other timestheywill consist ofwords, the one significant, the other obscure, which are almost always set torental :we find some specimens in the Lotus of the Good Law(1); hethere by some who occupy several lines. Already, in Comparing the simple sūtras the developed sūtras, I saidthat thesethe latter had been influenced by ideas familiar to the Tantras, at least in this that they have admitted Dhâranîs or magic formulas, doto ensureuntold benefits to thosewho read the books where they are found. This allianceof dhāran.īs with the sutras Mahayana deserves to be noticed as more of areport. Infirst place it exists not for the Sutrasprimitive, where I don'thave recognized only one trace. This track single is, and as I have saidmore top (2), in the legend of Çârdûla karna, where Sakyamuni reveals to Anandathe Mantra of six letters, the famous formula which Avalokiteśvara passes forthe inventor, which Mr. Hodgson found engraved in Randja and Tibetan characterson aTemple located between the Nepal and Tibet, and who has given Heu at both the indifferent interpretations(3). But I exposed thereasons I had to believe thatthis legend was not one of the oldest. In second place, the presence of Dhāran.īs in the Mahayana sutras can be explained in two ways :or else the Dhâranîs are the recontemporary of the drafting of the text, or well they havewere introduced after the fact. It is much difficult to decide between these two hypo-theses; only I notice that the most important of the Mahâyâna sutras haveeach their Dharani, and it has even made the collections. Heexists in the bibholibrary of the Asian Society a compilation of this kind, in which wemayget an idea of the composition and of the meaning of these formulas. Each of thembears a title that tells the time and its origin and its destination. So the volume opens with the Dhâranîs of several famous works, such as the Pradinaparamita in one hundred thousand stanzas, the Ganda vyûha, the Samâdhi râdja, the SàddharmaLangkâvatâra, the Sàddharmapundarîka, the Tathâgata guhyaka, the Lalita vistara, the Suvarnaprabhâsa, la Pradjnâ pramitâ in eight thousand stanzas. The existence of a(1) The Lotus of the Good Law, ch. xxi, f. 208 a sqq. the text, and p. 238 sqq. of the trad.(2) Sect. II, p. 107 and 108.(3) Remarks on an Inscript, inthe Randjâ, etc., in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.IV,p. 196 sqq. Page 13

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .483Phonecollection does décidepas, I admit, the issue that I was asking all at the time, because this collection can be modern and much later

thaninterpolations whichhave introduced Dhâranîsdans the books that I have just to mention. Ipre-however, gives the first solution to the second, andI think that the dhāran.īshave not been added after blow in the books where they havetook place. Hey Tomore: the use of these formulas should be generally at the time that have been written thesebooks; otherwise we would not have felt the need for them to admit it. This is where theremains a point on which I will come back below. We met in the middle of these forms ofworks of a character alittledifferent, for example named sūtrasMahâyânas, but in which figu-rent even for dhāran.īs oftenvery extensive, and especially Stôtras or praises, in particular the Stôtra desseven Buddhas humans, this one even has translatedMr. Wilson (1) ;that of Aryatara, nicknamed Sragdharâ, Goddess who is the bridethe Buddha superhumanAmôghasiddha; the oneof Vasudharâ, one of the ninegreat Goddesses ;that of Avalôkitêçvara, aSûrya çataka or one hundred stanzas inhonor of the sun. There it is even in the form of a dialogue between Vasich-tha and Daçaratha, afragment of Skandha purâna, this inexhaustiblecollection whichprovides literaturepopularof modern India a sibignumber of legends. Some of these treatises bear the names of authors, such as Sar-vadjfia, Arya Mâitrînâtha, Çrîvadjra datta. But of these three names, the first twomiers are, one of all Buddha and in particular from Çâkyamuni, the otherthat of Mâitrêya ;and heis likely that these names have been placedat the bottom of thesetreated by some devotee who wanted to pass them off as the work of these saintscharacters. asingular rapprochement which results, in my opinion, from an anachroism manifesto shows us Sakyamuni in the palace of Avalokiteśvara, àPôta-raka, in this city even who is the former capital of Tibet, the Potala ourdays. However, this city passes, according to the tradition to have been founded by Ava-lôkitêç vara, a character whose existence is closely linked to the firstBuddhist establishments in the Himalayas. This is a record of a fact purelyment and local ownin Tibet, whichbornmaybe contemporary of the time when a dueto live Çâkya; i'm therewill come back elsewhere on the occasion of Avalôkitêçvara. I noticeyet a different track of the same kind; it is the name of a divinity if not excluded sively own, at least very famous in Kashmir, country including the name ismoreover quoted in the text." Adoration to Blessed Mahâkâla, who has the "names of Nandikêçvara, Adhimuktika, and Who lives in the cemeteries of "Kaçmîra (2)."(1) Collection of Dhâranîs, manuscript of the Soc. Asian., F. 69 a sqq. Asian girl. Res., T.XVI, p. 453.(2) Collection of Dhâranis, l. 29 b. Page 14

484INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYThe Dhâranîs, or rather the books whichcontain the formulas thus named, appeardo not be composed exclusively of these formulas; at least Ifind in the commentary on the Vinaya Sutra a quote from a book bythis kind, which has for title: Vadjramandà dhâranl. It may bethe samework that the Vadjra hrïdaya of the Tibetan collection (1). This song is exclusively speculative, and it we offer a new preuvedethe intimate alliancethat the systemof Tantra has contracted with the philosophy Buddhist the mosthigh. I quote it, because it is apassagewhere the nihilismresulting asi have it fact view of the doctrine of the Prajñā, is pushed uphis latest limits. "It's because Youremploys astick, because that it takes a piece of wood flammable, because that humans shakehis hand is, I say, by all Therefore that is born the smoke, and then appears the fire. Now this smoke and this fire will have to be attributed exclusively or to stick, or the piece of woodflammable, or the movement of the hand of man; it's of the sameway, O Mandjuçrî, that for the soul of the man lost by the belief to this which really does not exist,

is born thefire of love, that of the hatred, one of the mistake. And this fire will be produced or to within [exclusively] nor to the exté-laughing, or independently from inside and from outside." Now, O Mafidjuçrî, whence comes that what we call the error carries thisname? It is, ôMandjuçri, that the error(AfoAa) is what is just is launched [Productthe outside, mukta \ by all the conditions; it is for this that the error appoints and Moha (2). All the conditions, O Mandjuçrî, are the door of Hell; this is an axiom of the Dhâranî. Mafidjucri continued: How com-take, O Bhagavat, this axiom of the Dhâranî? - The Underworld, O Mandjuçrî, are created by the menignorant, who are deceived by the belief in whatreally does not exist; they are the productof their imagination. Mandjuçrî continued:On what,ohBhagavat, rest the Underworld? Bhagavat replied: Theyrestonspace, O Mandjuçrî. What do you think of that, O Mandjuçrî: the underworld does exist-they only in the imagination [ofthosethat the invent]or well -exist with their own nature? Mandjucrî continued: It is by an act of their imagination, O Bhagavat, may theignorant men believein Hell, to matrices animals, toworld of Yama. It isby giving thiswho is notnota fakerealitythat they experience thesensation of the pain, that theyfeel the pain in these three stalls thattheir areinflicted as punished Ci) Csoma, Analysis of the Sher-chin, in Asiat.Re &., T. XX, p. 499.(2) That's good bad grammar to support theories that do are worth no more; the Brahmins in are often of such pitiful. Page 15

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .485tion; and the view that I have of the underworld, O Bhagavat, I have to even the painHell."It is, O Bhagavat, as if a sleeping man is coming, in the midst of adream, to believe that he has fallen into Hell; that it believed thrown into Celtic boileriron, burning, filled with men, of whom wetalk so much; that there éprouvât a sen-tion of pain cruel, bitter, acute ;he éprouvât there a failure com-pleteof the heart; that he was afraid; he felt for the eflroi. That then heexclaims, as if hewas awake :Ah! what pain! ah! what pain!let him cry,heif laments. That at this moment his friends, his parents, his acquaintances, himask: Where you just the pain you experienced? But that he responds to hisfriends and to his relatives: I experience the pains of Hell; heis put inanger against them, that he swears: I suffer the pains of hell, and you at your turn you ask me: Where you just thepain that you experienced? What thenhisfriends, his parentsandhis knowledgespeak thusto thisman: Do not be afraid, do not be afraid, oh man, for you are asleep, you are not out of your house. That then the reason returns to him: Yes iI 'msleeping; this that I imagine myself feel has no reality; and so hefindthe rest."In the same manner, 6 Bhagavat, that this man asleep, having a dream, would believe, by a false imagination, fell into Hell, for even, oh Bha-Gavat, all the men ignorant enchaînéspar the belief in what existsreally not, will represent as existing the person we namewoman; they will feel jouissantavec it. Man vulgar made this reflection: I am a man, and here is a woman; this woman is the mine. This is howthat chained to false imaginations of desire and of the passion, they will represent tent like existing the status of women; [thetext repeats the previous sentencetoothedup to: this woman is mine.] The spiritof man being so obsessed by the desire and by the passion, his thought runs in the illusion of the enjoyment. There in removed as a result of the disputes, the divisions and disputes; hisorgans are distorted, and hatred is born in him. With this false imagination that hegive these ideas, the man is believing death, imagines he feelsof the dou-their in the Underworld for severalthousands of Kalpas. Likewise, O Bhagavat, that the friends, the relatives and knowledge of human [sleepy] him say :Do n't be afraid, do n't be afraid,

ohman, you are asleep, you did not get out of yourhouse, likewise, O Bhagavat, the blessed Buddhas thus teach the Lawto creatures troubled by the four speciesof false imaginations. There are ahere, [they tell them,]ormen, no women, no creatures, no life,orspirit,ornobody; all these conditions have no reality; all these conditions are Page 16

486INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY.non- existent; all are the product of the imagination; all are similar an illusion, similar to a dream, similar to something fake, similar to the picture of themoon reflected in water. This is the development that they exhibit. The creatures, after having heard this teaching of the Lawdone by the Tathagata, see all the conditions freed from passion; they themsee unobstructed error, not having natureclean, with no link to the envelope. With their thinking is based on space, thesecreatures, as ifthey had done their time, come in a complete way in the field of Nirvana, where he will remain no traces of the aggregation of elements constitutive ofexistence (1). "To explain in few of words the result of analyses développées in thissection, I will say that the Tantra is composed of the mixture of elements the mostvarious. They initially contain the Ruddhisme, and I dare almost say all theRuddhisms each represented by their symbols the most respected: know, the Primitive Ruddhism by the name of Çâkyamuni ;that of the celestial Ruddhas bythe names of Amitâbha, othersDhyâni Ruddhas and Rôdhisattvas alsocelestial, like Avalôkitêçvara and the others; Finally one of theists by the name of Adibuddha. To these datatheology is found associated speculationMetaphysical of the order the more abstract, like thenihilism of the Pradjnâ. Finally, these elements purely Buddhist ally in the game the more shamefulthe Rrahmanisme popular; to know, to the worship of adored female divinities pal \*the sects which outputs the last of the old strain of Sivaism. InIndeed, not content with honoring with an idolatrous cult the Çaktis orfemale energies, whom they imagine to be the wives of the six divine Ruddhas and the supreme Adibud-dha, the followers of Tantra have adoptedMass all the Caktis that possé-daient the Tantra Siva, since one of Rrahmâ up the dice Shiva, the morefrequently invoked as a cause of his characterscary and bloodthirsty thatby result of the multitude of names it carries, providing to these superstitionsmiserable inexhaustible subjects of worship. If he had to express the NOMbres the proportions inwhich come into play these so diverse conceptions, we could say that the practices and the formulas specifically related to Divi-nities females occupy usually two times as much ofinstead that all theel-purely Buddhist elements reunited together; and that among these elementssame, the one who isshows the most rarely, it is the name of Câkyamuni which(1)Vinaya sutra, f.13 h sqq. This piece is certainly known to Chinese Buddhists, becauseDes Hauterayes, in his Recherches sur la religion de Fo, gives a rather extensive extract which pre-feel the analogy the more striking with our text; heattributes these views to cultists of the Inner doctrine. {Journ. Asian., T. VIII, p. 87.}

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .487is hardly cited except as a master, thusheTest in all the sūtras. In sothat if the Tantra only if were not givenfor Sutras, that is to say to thebooks emanating from the preaching ofSakyamuni, his name would have been there no pa-raitre, replaced as it would have been by those of the superhuman Buddhas whose existence andthe marvelous qualities satisfy modern superstition much better. It isenough, I think, to prove that these books are the result of sufficient syncretismrecent, and that theycan in no way pass for contemporaries of Çâkya.But this result which, presented thus in a

general way, seems to meto shelterof any objection serious, a need for ETCE admitted definitely be exa-undermined by more closely, because hekey to the difficult question of the alliance of Buddhismwith the Sivaism, a question which does not an be well resolved in a way also experi-ditive. The two scholars who have studied this subject with theknowledge the morethoroughof materials Oriental, MM. Schmidt and G. de Humboldt, will beasked why the Buddhism was rather allied to Sivaism that Vichnu-visme (1). Without looking for the reason for the preference of Buddhists for Civa, Mr. Schmidt did observe that the deities Siva, considered by the Buddhistsas protectors and guardians of their beliefs (2), are in their eyesof mindsto properly talk Buddhist {eigne Buddhaische Intelligenzen), whichhavetakenof such forms for certain purposes individuals, and that is in this way only they receive a cult. For his part, M. de Humboldt, challenging the more great partfromevidence that the pulling of templeshypogées of Western India, in favor of an old alliance between the cult of Çâkya-muni and that of Çiva (3), admits hardly any other testimony than that of the statecurrent Buddhism inNepal. Relying in particularon opinionby Wilsonwhich establishes by very specious reasonings that the Çivaism of the BuddhistsNepalese is that of the Indian sect of the Pâçupatas,or cultists of Civanicknamed Paçupati (4), heremarks, according to Colebrooke, that the Pâçupatas in (1) Schmidt, Mem. of Acad. of St. Petersburg Sciences, 1. 1,p. 119. G. de HumboldtVeber die Kawi-Sprache, 1.1, p. 281.(2) Schmidt, Geschichte der Ost-Mongolen, p. 342 and 355.(3) It is the learned Erskine who, in his often quoted Memoirs, had extended to the templeElephanta opinion that the Buddhism it was formerly associated with Sivaism. {Transact. of the lit. Soc. of Bombay, 1. 1, p. 231 ff.) M. Schlegel made against this view of objections very well-founded {Ind.Bibl., t. II, p. 447), which M. de Humboldt adopts. {Ueberdie Kawi-Sprache, 1. 1,p. 281.) But Mr. de Humboldt pushes perhaps a little too loinle doubt when headvance that, except Buddha Gayâ, the temples and figurative monuments of India do not prove demonstrati-tively the existence of an alliance between the Buddhism and the Sivaism. (Ibid., P. 283.) But the EU or a caves are of real temples Buddhist, and the travelers very attentive saythat there are statues of Siva there.(4) Asian girl. Researches, t.XVI, p. 472.

Page 18

488INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY substituting for the Brahmanic Vêdas a Castra or sacred collection which has becometheir fundamental book, come closer by this important point of the Buddhistswho, as we know, reject the authority of the Vêdas (1). Hethen look in thetendency that the Sivait sects manifest for the exaggerated practice of the Meditation an analogy secret with the Buddhism; but as ifceltic der-Niere evidence that could be argued in favor of the majority of other sectsIndian women did not satisfy him himself, heassumes that the Buddhists and the Sivaite have been able to get close lessby the funds of the doctrine asthe circumstances external, in other words, that the Sivaism was more flo-rissant that the Vichnuvisme in the provinces and in the time when the Buddhism fitalliance with him. Celtic debate is, as everything that is in there pen of Mr. de Humboldt, full of observations informative; the solution even to which heseems to ar-to stop, since exposes the last, is still the most likely of all. So it is less on the result than on the way alittle vague which heisgot what i would dare to dosome objections. I find that if never hewasnecessary toto distinguish clearly the monuments and the times, it is in aquestion too complex than that of the relations of Buddhism with the Civaism; we will see that there especially it is essential to know wellprecisely for what else is talking

about. What do we mean by the allianceof Buddhism and the Sivaism? Do we want to talkone of these intimate merger of two or of several sects, as historyreligious of India we in offerso much examples (2)? Was it in view of assi-miler this union of Buddhist beliefs and practices of the grosserCivaism with the easy syncretism of several Vichnuvites, who tookall hands are the elements the more heterogeneous amalgam they DECOrent from the name of Religion? I do not think no one could think of anything to SEMblable when heThis is the Buddhism and the Sivaism. Let us read for example the Tantrika treaty thatMr. W \(^1\) ilson has extracted and commented in the Research Asia-Ticks of Bengal, and we recognize that consists of a series of formulas and of ceremonies specific to the Çivaïtesa Nepalese Buddhistputs into practice for an all-time purpose. What Mr. Wilson proved about this treatycan also be said of all those that I have traveled in manuscript. These are whereof real Tan Iras Siva, in which the own ceremonies to adorationers of Civa are described and recommended in the name of the lastBuddha ^ orfrombooks called Mahayana sutras, because of their shape and of their ten-(1)Ueber die Kawi-Sprache, t. 1, p. 285 and 286.(2) Wilson, Notice of three tracts, etc., in Asiat. Bes., T.XVI, p. 450 and 451.

Page 19

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .489dance, books in which the Deities the more often Śivaiteappearfeels to promise the faithfulBuddhisle their sovereign protection. Here, interms very general, that we rencontredans the Sanskrit Tantras of Nepal, that is to say in those of the Buddhist books where thename of Çâkya is foundmixed with that of Çiva and with the names of the terrible or bizarre Godswho walkits result. But some general that either thisdescription, it puts usalready inpos-session of two very important points for the rest of our research. First of allher hand, as we see, the data accepted by Mr. delîumboldt, theeyes that the alliance of Buddhism with the Sivaism not be shown although clearlyment that in the current state of the Beligion of Nepal; at the same time, likeshe kisses the Suvarnaprabhâsa and other major treatises of the same kind, it brings us back to a different observation of Mr. Schmidtthat M. de Humboldtseems to have lost sight of, andonwhichwe will stop attime. So let's see what consequences result from ourdescription. The firstmiere is that there is no complete fusion of Civaism and Buddhism, butthat there is only a practice of various ceremonies and a worship of various Sivaite Deities by Buddhists who seem little concerned about the discrepancy that exists between their old faith and their new superstitions. Gela is so true, that the philosophy the mostabstract remains intact in the midst of spells, the diagrams and the posturing of tantra. These are So the Buddhists who, while keeping their beliefs and philosophies, agree to perform certain rites Sivaite which they promise the happiness in this world, and incarry the origin back to Çâkyamuni, in order toof the permitmore ;or if you like, these are the Sivites who, to give credence to their innovations from of apeople Buddhist, is résignentà believe that Sakyamuni, the apostle of the people, was the teacher of their rites. The first guessseems to me the most probable, and we will see by the historical sketch of the Buddhism that shefits better with the results of the research of Wilsononthe introductionfromTantras atNepal, that heplace between thevu® andtheXII® century of our era. This union ofcore beliefsof the Bud-dhism with the practice of a Barbaric sivaism existscurrentlytoNepal, and it is in this sense that I look at the Tantras who there commended to oth like modern. Besides the qualification from modern

isto myrelative eyes ;I hearsay by thatthat the statereligious to which sheapphqueisposteriorToone anotherstatewhich heus ispossibleofto certifyexistence. Page 20

490INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYThe observation that I just to make us leads directly to the second con-sequence which results from my general description of the books arranged under the categorygorie des Tantras. This consequence is that severalof these books, the placeto show us the Buddhistspracticing what he has in most ridiculous or ofmoremonstrous in the ceremonies who are addressed to the Sivait Deities, we present theseGods promise of charms, the formulas and the support of theirpowerformidable to the one who readssuch and suchbook, whichhonor such and suchrelics, and presents offeringsto suchor suchBuddha. Here it is, in whatkey alliance of Buddhism with the Śivaism, which seems to most clearly inthe Suvarna prabhâsa, such as we the possess in Paris. remove the bottom of this book which is composed of a discussion on the duration of the life of Sakya, and of a legend where heis supposed to have oncedelivered his body inpasture to atigress, you therewill find that the praises of Suvarna prabhâsa sungthroughthe deities of all kinds, theone Brahman in general, theotherscivaites in particular. I say as many chapters admitted in the Mahayanasutras, where there are magic formulas called Mantras or Dhâranîs ;these arethe deities Siva, usually of Deities femellesqui commit to the communicate to the worshiper of Buddha in him providing support of terrible Pousee that the superstition their attributes. It is there, as we can see, areportnew of Buddhism with the Sivaism; and if we want that there is here alliance of two cults, it will have to agree that the treaty has not been concluded on the same basis as the previous. while that in the tantra practices the Buddhist is done Shaiva so that this it ispossible, heremains Buddhist in the bookslike the Suvarna prabhâsa, do notasking the Sivait deities, as the price of his persevering faith in the Buddha, than their protection and the charms they possess. And in addition, during thatthe Tantras properly calledhave hardly kept the name of Çâkya except forin to the teacher of ritesthat they] recommend, thepartcivaite of Mahayana sutras conserveà Sakyamuni its ancient and undeniablesuperiorityon the formidable stewards of charms and of spells. Here is presented in all its correctness the observation of Mr. Schmidt touching the relationship of the Sivaite divinities with the Buddha, observation that Mr. Hodgsonhadal ready made on his side, and which Mr. Wilson had admitted without discussion(1).Mr. Schmidt was well seen that these Deities do are, in the opinion of Buddhis-your, that the beings of a power great without doubt, if it 's compared tomen, but much lower in réalté to the Buddha, who entrusts them the(I) Asian. Researches, t.XVI, p. 465, note 26.

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .401custody of herreligion. Only does he perhaps go a little too far, whencontesting these Divinities for their truly Sivait character , heit sees the Intelliments Buddhist embodied in of terrible images. Hemust herestill dis-tack with care. Without a doubt the Buddhistswho practice them Tantrashonor certain Deitieswhich are their own (1). Thosemay be the liypostases which speaks Mr. Schmidt, although Imust admit that I did not find any trace of this notion in the texts that I have traveled. But hedo still not least a number considerable of Gods and of Goddesses, like Mahâkâla, Yamantaka, Bhàirava, Durgâ, Mahâkâlî and so many others, who are of real deities Siva, of borrowing actual facts of the Buddhism to the popular religion of the Indians. If the cultists of Çàkya imagine that these large forms are animated by the Intelligences Buddhist,

according to theown wordsof Mr. Schmidt, this belief, in my eyes very suspicious, mustbe modern; because nothing will authorize me to believe that in there the slightest trace ofin the Mahâyâna sutras themselves (2). Itherefore persists to see in allthese forms of Indian Shiva that ^ revere the Buddhist Tantra, and that the Mahayana sutras accept the protection of true Gods Sivaite prior to Buddhism and adopted by it; these two characters seem to mealso obviouslyment recognizable one than the other. From all this I conclude that the transcribed texts of Nepalwepresent thereports of Buddhism with the Sivaism under adual aspect, according as the Sivaite divinities are the object of a more or less direct worship, in otherswords, according to that the honors by the practicespecial ceremonies, or according towe are glad to their request of charms and of magic formulas. Goldas this dual appearance match the books different, first the Mahayanasutras in whichthese Gods are only guardians and protectors of faith Buddhist, then the Tantras in which they walk themequal of the Buddha himself, Ifurther concluded that these two classes of works do nottake paségalementàthe same form of Buddhism, therefore theybornare not from the same period, and I do not hesitateto believe, as I it said in com-\(1) in particular see the four deities admitted speech Svâbhavika system, which are mvo-quées in the listof the Gods of Nepal. {Asian girl. Res., T.XVI, p, 465, note 25.) Wilson admitsalso the existence of Tantrikas Deities, which are the original product of the various schools of theBuddtiism. (76î (iv P- ^ 68.)^...,t ^ -(2) Here again I will repeat that it would be essential to distinguish the systems. Thus the Divi-nities of the Tantras pass, according to the Svàbhàvika system, to have been born spontaneously, whilethat among the Âiçvarikas, their genealogy, such as the give the Brahmins seem adopted without discussion {Asiat. Res., T. XVI, p.465, notes 26, and 30), or reported to Supreme Adibuddha. {Ibid., P. 468.)

Page 22

492INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthreatening, that those where the union of Çivaism with the Buddhism is the less in tinne must pass for the oldest. Finally, if continuing our research, we ask ourselvesuntil what Point this alliance of Buddhism with the Śivaism isgeneral, orup tohow much sheseems in the texts of all the times, we find that the names of Siva Deities are also foreign to the Sutras and the ava-moral and metaphysical dânas that they are familiar to the Mahâyâna sutras. II 'm already enough explained on this pointinspeaking of characters that distinguish the Sutrassimple sutras developed, and in this sectioneven, in dealing with the Dhàranîs. HeSo it is enough for me tocall back herethis result to show what the study teaches uscomparison of Buddhist textsquestions concerning the allianceof Buddhism with the Sivaism. Wecan holdfor certain that this alliance unknown to primitive Buddhism, because it is contrary to his mind, only starts to be showthan in the sūtrasdeveloped, whatthere is even at his first beginnings, and it will be consumed than in the Tantras, by means of loans manifestos what dotheBuddhiststolanguageandofpracticefromCivaites.The Sanskrit texts ofNépid are the only source of feedback and the con-above, and the reader may find that I havelong overdueconsult the ordinarily decisive authority of monuments. But hedo n't reproach mewill not seek, I hope, to imitate here the cautious reserve of M. de Humboldt, for who the alliance of Buddhism and of Civaism does not appear so clearly written on the monuments it is in the testimonies of the statereligious of Nepal. Why, instead of these descriptions where the mythological interpretationoccupies so manyplace, do n't we haveexact drawings of the templeshypogeums of Western India, where the distinctive

characters of the Deities theycontain are reproduced with a scrupulous exactness? Unhappy-ment, with very few exceptions, the Memoirs to whichhave given risethose curious temples are that of the tissue more or less ingenious hypotheses without basis. Descriptions are given in an approximate manner, and it is not uncommon to see the statues, which the determination is the most important aunt, successively receive all the attributions and taketurn to turn thenames of Buddha, Djina, Indra, Çiva and others. It is fair to say that these descriptions have been made for the most of them at a time when the study of mytho-logies Brahmanical and Buddhist was still little widespread, and by the per-ringers who did not haveq \ ie modest claims to this kind of knowledge. But this concession, which I have no regrets to make, although some Page 23

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .493Memories méritassent all the severity of the criticism does not make any betterthe position of European scholarship. I think she should be careful not to add toinadequate descriptions of the confusion of assumptions; his task would not be already not so easy, when she would own the collection full of all the editionsfices and of all the Buddhist caves of India, represented with ascrupulous and learned exactitude. There arehas however in this matter a small number of point that I wantsignal to the reader, less as a firm opinion than as aforeboding buildings that can confirm a day to study more carefully the statues of scenes that decorate the Buddhist temples of India. the first point, it is that if one approximates these monuments represented of monuments messages, this is not not the Tantras properly told they are related; in other words, the Tantra does not the comment scenes figured in the caves bud-ethical. This fact, that one can assert almost with [certainty, confirms the opinionnion that I havedeveloped touching the modern date of the Tantras. Heseems to meobvious that those oftemples tombs of India, which must in any insurance assigned to Buddhism, predate of many centuries the mixture ofbeliefs Buddhist with theridiculous or obscene practices of the Sivaites. On the other hand, I suspect that the Sivait Deities do not playin these temples a very different role from that which they fulfillin the Mahayana sutras. They are guardians, protectors, who are placed at the dooror to first avenues the temple, to dismiss the enemies of the Buddha of which the statueoccupies the most honorable place. If the images of Shiva and scenes OII it figuresometimes fill a considerable place is that they have been carved through Sivait Indians, or perhapseven added after stroke and postérieu-rement to the construction of the temple. This is a point that Itouches withreserve, because it is the one on which the descriptions current give us the least of lights. What hein any case, we are naturally brought back to opinion of M. de Humboldt's guess that the prédominancedu ŚivaisminWest of India, At the time that have been dug thebuddhist caves, Explainsufficiently the presence the statues of Shiva nearfrom those of the Buddha. Any easy it is, and to tell all my thoughts, by this same whatis very simple, this explanationseems to methe best. I don't believe in anyway to a aUiance secret of Buddhism with the Sivaism, based on the analogy of philosophical principles. The only pointsur whereinto meetthese two doctrines, it is the power that theyattribute to personal effortsof man, since, similar to the Buddha, the Yôgin civaitedo should anything Page 24

494INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthat his kid, and that it is only by the practice of an asceticism allindividual that herises above the world. But it is in this just as ifterminal the resemblance of Buddhism with the Sivaism ;we would seek in vain-ment also of

preuvesde the agreement of these two doctrines, and it must come downup to the Tantras forseeto associate in a constructive way and Unknown to all the schools Buddhist, except for that of the North.I think it would be neither beneficial nor IRES- much easier to push more farthis discussion. I will have a double opportunity to come back to it, first when Isummarize this that we know of the religious collection of Nepal, then when I will sketchthe history of Indian Buddhism .SECTION VI.WORKS BEARING THE NAMES OF AUTHORS. The works to which this section is devoted would certainly be athe parts the most curious from the Nepalese collection, if theywere dated and if there were more. Dated, theywould give us an exact history of the literature Buddhist not inspired; many, they would offer us a massmore considerable information useful for theknowledge of thedoctrine and ofits developments. But no-one not be surprised that the works concerning theauthor names do not introduce themselvesinmost large number in a collectivetion intended above all to bring together the books that are considered to be inspired, that issay foremanated from thepreachingeven the last oneBuddha. Nevertheless,though rare and in general somewhat important, the compound Sanskrit works by the buddhisles religious who are in are avowed theauthors are not, thuswe will the see or without value or without interest. A rehgion which the productions deemed sacredhave been somany hasmust necessarily have aroused a vast hither movement; and in fact, what heI was allowed to glimpse from the books that sontentre my hands, Igives the right to assert that this movement has been as varied than extended. Thoseworks, although belonging in all likelihood to the last ages of Buddhism, resume and developunder forms new the traditions and the old opinions. Legends, philosophy, religious practices, they deal of all, and set well for us in a way ultimately the framework of the httéra-Page 25

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .495sacred ture . Because if their authors were able to add to the fundprimitive ofdeveloped-foreign mentions, they have not had to innovate to the point of inventing classes and from categories whole of books; and for the religiouswritefromAvadânas that they signed, he had to he existed first of all in the canon of sacred scriptures of the Avadânas received as the work ofÇâkya. The partof literature sacred that appears to have the most inspired the authors is that of legends. I find among others, in the collection of Nepal, avolume entitled Avadâna Kalpa Lata ^ and that the true title, such as I the lilies in themanuscript itself, is Bôdhisattva avadânakalpa latâ. The author is Kchêmên-dra; this is the least the name that me seems the bestrespond to various ortho-graphs ofour manuscript, Cyômêndra, Kchyêmandra, Kchyêmôndra and Kche-mindray following the listing by M. Hodgson (1). It is a collection of legends relating to the former existences of the Buddhas and of their main disciples; these legends bear, as we know, the name of Djâtakas or births. I'm therehave counted twenty-six of, those stories which are written in Sanskrit and in verse frommeter anuchtubh; the author in a borrowed thetopicto older stories, and I found the source of some of his Djâtakasin several sūtrasor Avadânas from the great collection of legendsoften cited,theDivya avadâna. The exhibition of Kchêmêndra is extremely accurate, and sheserap-closemuch of the original text as it allows the form poetic meteranuchtubh, which is at rest the softerof all and theless far from the prose. It's still an old legend that is the bottom of the Sapta kumârikâ ava-dana, or of the history of the seven young girls, mixed composition of prose and ofverse, and contained in twenty-two leaves or forty-four pages. This little bookis given for the workof the AtchâryaBhadanta Gopadatta: this is the story of seven girlsof a

fabulous king named Krïkin, who is madelive under the oldBuddha Kâçyapa. These young girls get from their father thepermissionof-trate in the religious life under Kassapa, and triumph then in oppositionthat their was Mara. This mediocre fund is developed inworms overloaded with epi-thetes who learn nothing, and who rollin the circle of these common placesthat we find usually exposed , with much moreof talent, in the Mahâkâvyasof Brahmanic literature .We noticea little moreof merit in the Buddha tcharita, quiporte thetitleeven from Mahâkâvya or great poem, titlewho is also familiar with(1) Notices, etc., in Asiat. Researches, t.XVI, p. 431.

Page 26

496INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYBuddhists than Brahmins. The Buddha Icharita is apoetic exhibition of the life of Çâkyamuni Buddha; this poem, of a not very considerable extent(87 sheets), is attributed to Religious Açvaghôcha. It is written in verse for metersanuchtuhli and indravadjra; thestyle in is otherwisevery poetic, at least correctand perfectly intelligible. The Buddha charita is only a substantial abbreviation the Lalita yistara; and this circumstance deserves all the more to be taken into con-deterioration, which one notices in the poem of Açvaghôcha none of the particulars.authorities grammatical who belong to the Pali dialect and prâcrit. So wewe have here a work clearly posterior to the Lalita vistara, which is writtenin a language more grammatically correct than the Lalita itself, 1 \(^\) e nameto 'Açvaghôcha (the one who has the voice of ahorse) is, as we the see morelater famous in the history of migrations from Buddhism. But nothing will welearns that our author is the one whose I will have the opportunity to speak in mysummary of the external history of this belief. This name has certainly could beworn by more of a Buddhist religious, and he would need something other than identity of the name to conclude that Açvagôcha of the North with the Religious Buddhist that the Chinese call Ma mîng. It is more likely that our author is the same Religious as the author of Vadjra cutchi, of whom I have speak morehigh (1). The work of the authors has further expanded has other parts of the literature buddhist. Tantra themselves, or the say more exactly, the ouvra-ages written in honor of deities that the Tantras honor have beencommented and explained. Thus the Asian Society has a small volume calledSragdharâ stôtra, "Thepraise of Sragdharà, "that is to say of thatwho wearsa garland, volume which is nothing more than a literal commentary on apoem with the same title, which looks a lot like these small composition sengendered by the devotion of Sivaite and devoted to celebrate Ci will, Kali, andtheother Deities of this special Pantheon. The Goddess named Sragdharâ seems to mebe the samethat Àryatârâ; at least I find this last name at the margin of manuscript. In this very mediocre work, Amilabha and Avalôkitêçvara, these twofavorite characters of the Buddhists of the North, appear near the goddess Âryatàrâ. I do not know, it is true, the name of the author of this Stôtra; it must however be awriter not inspired; because besides that Sakya has been neither preach nor compose asuch a work, itnot would certainly not commented himself when welleven heto have been the author. Cornamong the authors of works relating to Tantra practices, itis not(1) Sect. II, p. 192.

Page 27

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .•four hundred ninety sevennotofmore famous than Nâgârdjuna, Religious that I already hadmore than one occa-sion to quote. I find in the collection of Mr.Ilodgson a book by this writerfamous, which is called P "^ / c ^ fl Krama, and which is related a commenthaving for title Pahlcha Kramatippani:it is a treaty drawn

up according to the principlesples of Yoga Tantra(1), and which is exclusively devoted to the exhibition ofleading practices in schoolTantrika. Weit learns to draw thefiguresmagicalcalled mandalas, which appear the image of Buddhas, Bôdhisat-vas and other fabulous characters, likeAmitàbha, Akchôbhya, Vàirôtchana, Kchitigarbha, Khagarbha, Vadirapâni, Lôkêca, Mandjughôcha, Samantabhadra, Sarvanivarana vichkambhin, characters who, as I have said, are all in factunknown to the Sutras and theancient legends, and who will appear in the Sutras developed and in the Tantras. The author notes the importance of maximslike this:" My nature proper is that of the diamond of the science of a vacuum >or €of the precious science of emptiness(2); »And it is this same maximwe must say, when we had drawn the diagram said of the truth. Each of these diagrams, one of the sun, for example, and other Divinités, should for-mulecorresponding philosophical; this formula is always borrowed from theories of the most absolute nihilism. On the shows, all the ideas are mixed in this book, which independently of the author's name that it bears, belongs by its content even to the time when allthe elements of Buddhism were fully developed. That which it dominateshowever, it is the doctrine of Tantras, with its absurd formulas and its mono-unintelligible syllables. It is difficult to express the species of discouragement that we experiences to the reading of a such composition. It is something sad that ofsee the men serious offer the syllables and the words the more bizarre as the means of salvation and ofperfection moral. And what moral than that of the in-difference and aquiélismesi exaggerated, that the distinction of the just and of the unjust, the good and the bad, there is more to it that there is achieved !This book, in fact, led by degrees the ascetic to enormities which I amdeeply convinced, are all in fact foreignto early Buddhism .I will quote a single example, borrowed from the final chapter, which deals with indifference, to the practice of which must strain all the efforts of the ascetic.":For the ascetic, an enemy or him-€Similarly, his wife or his daughter, his mother or a prostituteall this is therevssame thing (3)!" The pen refuses to transcribe doctrines as Miserables, quantto the form, that odious and degrading for the substance. At rest, all(1) Pantcha Terama, f.15 &.(2) Pantcha krama, Lia.(3) Pantcha krama, f. 33 i ".32 Page 28

498INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY in this book does is without doubt not be attribuéà Nāgārjuna, because I foundthe name of Çâkyamitra at the end of a chapter (1). Maybe also this last name is it only a title of Nagardjuna. The light that such a treaty can throw on the Other monuments of the literature Buddhist is, is it includes very low. The only information that I found is a quote from Lalitavistara, with its Title of Mahâyâna sûlra (2). As for the commentary, which is very brief and which does not extends not to all of the work, it has to author the Pandita Parahita rakchila The collection of Nepalwe offer still other traces of the hand has takenNagardjunato development of the philosophical literature of the Buddhists. Thus we have in one of the volumes of this collection the proof positiveheis composed of metaphysical books, and even that these books haveacquired enough of authority to become the object the work of commentators. Iwanna talkof a volume belonging todayat the Royal Library, andbearing the title of Vinaya patraon thefirst sheet, and that of YinayaSutra in the list of books discovered and collected by Mr. Hodgson (3). Cornnone of these titles will be found in the book even ;theonly that I RENagainst to the fm chapters is Madhyamaka vrîlti, or Explanation of the doctoraltrine Madhyamaka or Madhyamika, work composed by the Atchârya Tchandrakîrti. A few linesintroduction teach us that the Madhyamaka vrïttiis a commentary on Kârikâs or

memorial axioms including the author is Nâgârdjuna. It is very probably to these Kârikâs that applies the name of Vinaya surlra or Vinaya patra, which has remained at our volume, despite the testimony of the manuscript itself. This treaty confirms the opinion of the Tibetanson the so-called Madhyamika school, school of which they relate the originat Arya CrîNâgârdjuna ; because the commentator of the Kârikâs, after announcing that theseaxioms are from Nâgârdjuna, adds that they belongat Madhyamika school .It is even likely that we have herethe original work, or at leastone of the main treaties of Nâgârdjuna; because Csoma we learned that Rap-Tibetan port, Tchandra kîrti, of which henot told of ailleursrien of more, has written comment on the delivered main from Nâgârdjuna (4).Gold like ourmanuscript contains the axioms of Nāgārjuna, explained by Chandra kîrtiin a work that takes the form of a perpetual commentary, we have allreason to believe that this is the treaty, or if we want a treaty similar to that as indicated by the Tibetan tradition.(1) Pantcha Tcrama, i. 26 o.(2) Pantcha krama, f. 23 6..(3) Notices, etc., in Asiat. Researches, t.XVI, p. 431 .(4) Notices of diff. Systems of Buddhism, in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.VII, p. 144. Page 29

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .499The axioms of Ngàrdjunaare not generally cited by the commentator only in short; nevertheless, and although the manuscript isstrongincorrect, heiseasy to see what are the viewsfrom the authorprimitive and its commentarytor: this is the background the same theory as that of the Prajñā pdramitd, thrustmore distant still, if possible. Thus, among thetexts of the Pradifiâ, thecommentator cites those who say the more clearly itdoes not exist absolutely lie nothing; it's him who, for example, quotesthis axiomreported above:" The Buddha himself is similar to an illusion(1.)"Wecan define the doctrine of Nâgàrdjuna a nihilism scholastic. Thisphilosopher not let subsistter no theses that we pose in the various Buddhist schools, ontheworld, beings, laws and soul ; heshakes also by the doubt the affir-mation positive, negative and indifferent :everything goes, God and theBuddha,the mindandman, the kind and the world. It is probably at this pyrrho-nism which his school owes the name of Madhyamiha (intermediate); it is upin effectbetween the affirmative and thenegative, when speaking of things, sheestablishes that heis no longer possible to affirmthan to deny eternity. We hardly to understandhow this book can be given for the authorities of the doctoraltrine of Cakyamuni. Heseems that a Brahmin wanting to reduce to nothing thisdoctrine could not do better than to adopt the negative arguments of Nâgàrd-juna and his commentator. At rest, a treaty of this kind is always for us a kind particular of merit, regardless of the more or less considerable value of the londs; This merit is that he cites the Beligieux or the commentators, who otherwisewe would very fact unknown. In the meantime that other books were present their names, accompanied by some circumstancesspecific tofix moreor less rigourement the time, I believe useful to themention here :these are the Atchârya Buddha palita (2), Âryadêva (3) and the Âtchârya BHAVA VIVEKA (4). The first two are known to the Tibetans for the main disciples of Nāgārjuna, which the site quatrecentsyearsafter the Buddha, as theirmaster (5). These three authors, by this alone that Chandra kîrti quotes them in hiscomment, predate the time when hewrote. The glossby Tchandra kîrtiabounds in quotescanonical works, like the Pradinâ pramitâ and other sūtras ;but these works are those(1)Vinava sutra, f.136 b.(2) Ibid., F. 4 a, 6 6 and 10 a.•,(3) Ibid., F. 4 b.(4) Ibid., F. 10 a.(5) Csoma, Notices of diff. Systems of Buddhism, in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t. VII, p. 144.

500INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY as I reported in the second age of the literature sacred :those areMahayanasutras. Hedo not enter into my plan to reproduce herethese quotes; i believehowever useful to give two as a specimen of the doctrine which the comliar has mostly in order to support. I warnonly thereader that themanuscript I am using is extremely incorrect, and that I havesummerobligatoryto omit a word, which is it is true, in an enumeration of termsSimilar and who probably little importance." This is what is said in the book entitled Ralnalchûdâ paripritchhâ. Exami-nant the thought (or the spirit, tchitta), itlooking for in recognizing the cutting edge (1). Whence comes, he said, the origin of the idea? Here is the ideahein fact: Whenheyhas one Support [outside], the thinking seems. Cornwhat? the bracket Isathing, and the thoughtone other thing? No, what isthesupport, this is theeven thought. If, on the contrary, something else was the support, othersomething the thought, so hethere would be a double thought :so what is the Support is the thought itself. But how man can he see the thought avecsa thinking? Thethought sees not the thought. It is, for example, like a sword bladegiven who does notmayslicing this blade same ;it is like the tip of a given finger that does not can touch that same finger :in the same way a thoughtgiven nomaysee that very thought. This is how occupied with this meditation in a waythorough itreally sees in the quality that thethought of not havingplace where sherests, to be neither interrupted nor permanent, tobornnot beabsolute, not to be without cause, do not e (re stopped by a question occasionedsional (2) .... heit sees, I say, the edge of the thought, his character, hiscondition; hesees the quality she possesses, to have no place where she rests, to be transient, invisible, contained in itself. This is how hesee realitygenuine, and hedo the deletes not; hehe really knowsactually sees the character special to the thought. It is there, oh son of the family, theunder the thoughton the thought, and not an act of the presence of the memory (. ^)."I quoted this passage because it contains two of the mostcharacter-Ticks of the psychology Buddhist.thefirst is that the thoughtor the spirit(because the faculty is not here distinguished fromtopic) only appears with thesensation and does not survive him; the other, that the mindcan not be enter himself, and that with his eyes on him, henot withdrawn that the conviction jde his powerless(1) EUCE term is explained by the result of the text; it is a figure borrowed from the forma sword with the blade, as says our author, only can be trancherelle yourself. He employsthis figure to show that the thought will be to see herself.(2) I omit a few illegible syllables here .(3)Vinaya sutra, f.18 a. Page 31

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .501to see oneself other than aspassSger and as successive :two thesesof which the second is a consequence of the first, and which are radicallycontrary toopinions of the Brahmans, for whom the perpetuity ofsubject penhealth is an article of faith. The second track, which is stillshorter, is borrowed at a sutra inti-tulé :Ratnakuta sutra. I quote it, because it gives an idea of the dialectic developed sutras. "Thethought orthe spirit (tchitta), 6Kâcyapa, is taken as the object to be sought expensive ;what is not understood[by thesense] is jias perceived ;what is not perceived is neither past, future, nor present; this which has not passed, neither future norpresent, has no nature of its own ;what does not have its own nature does not original ;which has not original does not of destruction (1).3) This argument all rests fully on the thesis that the spirit will be per-ceived not by direct observation and external, the only admitted by the Biid-dhistes. From there to conclude that the mind is not, the path

is neither long nor difficult.cile. At rest, the method and thephilosophical point of view of Buddhism isleave easily recognize in this piece, as wellthat in the previous. That which seems especially to have hit the Buddhists, that which dominates all their way to philosophize isadmitted factby them that experiencedon't givenever that of knowledge particular, it does provide that the multiple, amultiple dispersed, if I can express myself well, and of facts detached from the one of others, subjectively and objectively. The consideration of this principle Towas decisive, to this heseems to me, overall of their philosophy, andit has exercised an influence of deepon the notions that they will be made ofthings. The review of Vinaya sutra, or instead of Madhyamika vritti, commentary Vinaya sutra, despite the interest heprovides for the study of the metaphysics of most developed of Buddhism, not fact yet know imperfectly, to Because of its extreme specialty, the advantage that we can withdraw from the readingthe commentators IM will be delivered to explain either the bookscanonical or of works composed by writersmodern. It is necessary to be in forman idea, browsea very voluminous compilation, which I havecited severaltimes in the course of these Memoirs, and which contains morefor details on the phi-phy Buddhist hewould not be possible for me to exhibit here without exceeding of many of the limitations of this work. I want to talkhuge volumetitled Dharma kôça vyâkhyâ, owned by the Asian Society .This book is,(1)Vinaya sutra, L il b. Page 32

502INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY as indicated by its liter, the commentaire a book oldest of which thetitle is Ahhldharma kôça ^ " The Treasure of the Higher Lawor the metaphysicallythat, 3) and the author, Vasubandhu. This work, which is composed of four hundredtwenty-four leaves, or nine hundred and sixty-eight folio pages, must have enjoyedan authority considerable among the Buddhist monks; because hepass forthe summary of a large number of glosses on themetaphysics, and its author, Vasubandhu, it receives thetitlesumptuous of "Sage similar to a second((Buddha. )>The editor of the commentary is called Yaçômitra; and the com-mentary even has the title of ^ Sphutârtha, " one of which the meaning is clear." The observations of the wider between those that suggested to me the examination of this voluminous treatise embraces three main points.thefirst concernsthedrafting and the system from the commentator; the second, the indications that looks at other works, regardless of the subject it deals with ;the third deals with the subject itself. In what key the drafting and the system of commentator, hemust recognize that it belongs to the good school of glossa-Indian hearts. Yaçômitra certainly had all the resources of the languageSanskrit, and he has done a great use for the explanation of the original text. The gloss is in both grammatical and philosophical. Hefollows, for the grammar, the Pânini school; and whento the philosophical system, itdevelops the opinions exposed or only indicated in those of the canonical books that we nameSutras. From this comes the quality of Sâutrântika or philosopher of the school of sûtras, that he takes in abignumber of passages. In this respect, the indications that contains this comment are as numerous as varied, and we meet there \*against almost at every page of fragments moreor less extensive of these treatmentstees, including several are found in the volumes we have in Paris. Examination of such a book brings to myeyes the authenticity of the sūtras safe from anydispute; and hemakes to the sacred httérature of Buddhists a department of the same such as the one that the comments philosophical the Brahmins go to the Vêdas, which they quote at all times. Yaçômitra admits the division of the Buddhist scriptures into three major classes which the meeting is called Tripitaka, "

the three baskets. »I spoke morehighof this division, andI also indicated the existence of the tradition relating toeighty-four milletexts of the Law, according to a passage borrowed from the ou-very work that I am examining at the moment (1). Heexposes in a waytooDetailed and interesting the sources different from the Abhidharraa, and made to see that thework that was extracted from the preaching of Sakyamuni all the passages relating to the(1) Above, sect. I, p. 30. Page 33

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .503metaphysical, to form a body specially distinguished by thetitleAb / ddharma, goes very high and esta little close contemporary of Sakyamuni, since severalof his first disciples are believed to have gathered under ashape science the principles of the highphilosophy (1). I aided above, in the section relating to the metaphysical, what song I look like very important for the Utterian history of the early days of Buddhism. Thoughtreaties which it gives us the titles are, as now, just fact inconnaked, I have believed it was good for the report in the chapter cited all at the time, because that if ever they succeed until Europe placesera their marked in the series of books where one should draw the knowledge of the metaphysicalofBuddhism. Now it is possible to do not give up àl'espérance of them find aday, when we think about this that there is something unexpected in the discovery that Mr. Hodg-his has made our eyes to this mass importanted ouvrages person whose before he did not suspect the existence, and when one reflects on the richness of SOMEONEc libraries of Tibet, where according Csoma of Kőrös to retain collectivewere ifconsiderable number of Sanskrit and Tibetan books. But this it is important that moment to notice, it is the great development that the study had taken of themetaphysics in the time of Câkyamuni himself; because among the authors of the treaties that cites the comment that we occupy, it is in a five, namely Kâlyâyanî puttra,Çâriputtra, Mâudgalyâyana, Pûrna, Mahâkâuchthilya, which appearin the sūtrasand in the Avadânas, among thefirst disciples of Çâkya. The worksof these authors form a body of authoritative texts forthose of Buddhists who careexclusively from Abhidharma. But this authority is not so imperative, that it not be allowed deremonter above, it's-to say to seek the principles of the philosophyin the The sutras themselves. Our commentator is of the latter sentiment, andit's which explains, and as I mentioned earlier, the title of Sâutrântika, or of philosopherof the school of sūtras, which he takes each time it is a question of a point of doctrine important and controversial. These former Religious decorated with the titleof ir ^ fl, <res-wpectables, "or Sthavira, "old men, "are in a way the apostlesand thefirst fathersof the churchbuddhist; but their authority it gives to one ofbooks inspired, that the tradition is back to teaching of the masterhimself. He would be impossible for meto note, in this quick review, all the quotesof Sutras or other treaties that enrichthe comment of Yaçômilra. Thosequotes, sometimes quite extensive, other times very brief, would not prove(1) Abhidharma kôça vyâkhyâ, î. S a. Page 34

504INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYhardly forus than the extensive reading and orthodoxy of our author. I am con-will try to addto the extracts that I have just made of thempassages that throwof the day on some parts of the Buddhist collection in general. In one of these passages the commentator speaks of sūtras known under the titled ^ Artha var-gtyas, and which are part of the Kchudraka (1). It is highly probable that these sūtras, to judge by their title, deal with temporal objects, objects which are arranged by all the schools of India under the name generic conjecture à'Artha. Celte is almost changed

into certainty by this fact, that the collection named Kchudraka ^ whichis translated in the Tibetan collection of Kah-gyur, and who thereform a section special titled Vinaya kchudraka vastu, <Small details about the religious discipline gious, >deals, among other subjects, with the customs and practices of the peoples of central india(2). It would be very interesting for us toown a treaty of this like, if however the contentrespondex actly to the description that givesCsoma. But the title alone that it bearsprovides us with data that I do not could make feel all the importance that when I compare the collection of booksPali from Ceylon to that of the Sanskrit books of the North. Let me suffice in this momentdeduce that the Singhalese also have the Kchudraka, whom they knowunder the titlePali of Khuddaka. The second passage that I wish to point to the attention of the reader is relative toof dreams that appeared, said, in a clear King Krikin, songesque Śākyamuniexplains as portents of the future destinies of his religion. The comment-tor, before recall those dreams, announcement that we find the presentation in the Vinaya. The chance me the has made discover exactly suchthat Yaçômitra therelates in the manuscript of the Sumagadha avadana, that is to say in the history of the beautiful Magadhienne. This legend which I translated from the Sanskrit text,in case of details curiousit gives on thefirst disciples of Càkya-muni, is also found in the Tibetan collection, from which I have extracted it and com-adorned wordfor word with the original Sanskrit (3). But the version of Tibetan, the placeto place this caption in the section of the Yinayaor of the Disciplinethe rowin the category of Sutras. If our commentator did was not wrong in écriefore Vinaya in place of Sutra, hewill be noted, at least for this legend, thatthe Kah-gyur compilers do notnot follow the classification very exactly Nepali. At rest, theofKah-gyur himself is not absolutely rigorous.reuse; because we find in the class of the Discipline of the legends which have more(1) Abhidharma kôça vyâkhyâ, f. 28 a.(2) Csoma, Analyz. Of the Dul-va, in Asiat. Researches, t. XX, p. 85 and 86.(3) Bkah-hgyur, sect. Mdo ^ vol, ha (xxix), p. 430.I will perhaps publish this work in aother occasion. Page 35

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .505especially relating to the morality or the philosophy, and that this title had been rather to take place among the sūtras. This that I can alwaysconclusion of this reconciliation is that I was not wrong, in analyzing the class of sūtrasand that of Yinaya, to say that the Hmites did were not very strictly marked; and this factis added to those that I have alleged in my description of the collection of Nepal, to prove that the legends that the Tibetansplacein the Yinaya took place, among the Nepalese, in the category from Sutras. Besides the old names of authors to whom I havemade allusion above, the com-tary of the Abhidharma ^ Koca in quoting others, the one old too, the otherprobably moremodern. I notice, betweenothers, the SlhaviraAzvadjit, who usually appears in the enumerations of the Auditors of Cakyawho generally open the Sûlras of Nepal (1); I suppose that this wise is the contemporary of Càkya. I still notice the Sthavira Dharma trâta (2) and the Sthavira Buddha deva (3). One more finds frequently thenames of the Âtchâ-ryasor masters Gunamali etVasumitrason follower (4), whichhave commentedthe Abhidharma kôça which he explains himself, those of the Atchârya Samgha bha-dra (5), of Bhadanta Çrîlâbha (6), probably the same as the Ârya Çrîlà-bha (7), from Bhadanta Rama (8), of Rama (9), of Bhadanta Dharma tràta (10), of the AryaDharma gupta (II), which is perhaps only the previous one, of the AtchâryaManoratha (12), of BhadantaGôchaka (13). Finding in thetext soundauthor onname of Bhadanta, whomeansin generala Buddhist, butwhich designates in particulara respectable master (14), heis

delivered, to deter-undermine whatis this Bhadanta, to a discussion that I believeuseful to translate, formake known, bya short example of how to comment on notreauteur.(1) Abhidharma kôça vyâkhyâ, f.107 b.(2) Ibid., F.32 a.(3) Ibid., F. 475 b of my manuscript(4 ^ Jbidf. 5 a, 93 b, 119 a, 147 b, 153 a, 193 b, 338 6.(5)Ibid. [ F. 22 a, 30 b, 99 b, 154 a, 163 b, 164 b, 190 a, 318 b, 345 a, 351 a, 352 b, 391 6, 448 b,462 a.(6) Ibid., F. 44 b el 88 b.(7) Ibid.(8)J6id., F. 327 6, 328 a, 409 6.(9) Ibid., F. 209 b, 210 a, 213 b, 216 a, 218 b, 221 a, m b.\\ 0) Ibid., F. 219 ft.(11) 16id., F. 375 6.(12) Ibid., F. 209 o.(U) It is to say in passing, of this liter, which is almost special in Buddhist Sanskrit ,that is derived from the pale liter of bhanta that is usually addressed to Religious. Page 36

506INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY"Rhadanta, says the text; it is a certain Sthavira of the school of the sūtras, orthat is its very name. But Rliagavad viçêcha claimed that this title meant the Slhavira Dharma trailed off. To this we will answer in turn: The SthaviraDharma trala supports the existence of things past and of things future; heis neither of the school of the Sûlras, nor of that of the Siuiilitudes. And yet the textwill say later: The respectable Dharma trâta believes in the diversity of existences, sincehas said:" For the being who transmigrated in the three ways oftime, it willTo"vsdiversity of existence and non- diversity of substance xNow thecharacter whois calledthe title of bhadanta is presented by the School of the Vibhâchâ asis related toSautrāntika opinions, and we see in various Paswise such as the following: The respectable said, etc. I add that the respectableDharma trâta is cited by name in passages like this:" The "respectable Dharma trâta said.>All this proves that here,by Bhadanta, thetext wants to designate acharacter of the school of sūtras other than Dharma trâta; hedesignates as some Sthavira, or some religious [including the name does not given] (1). Among the Religious cited in the previous discussion, hein is one in which thename suggests a remark that the application can become of some interestrêt; it is Yasumitra, the famous commentator of the Abhidharma kôça. The Mon-gols, toreport of M. Schmidt, know a contemporary Vischumitra from Kanichka, king of Kashmir, whom they place three hundred yearsafter entering the Ruddha in the Nirvana; and they in are the leader of the third and last council, who, according to the tradition of the North, looked afterthe care of writing the sacred scriptures, and whoadmitted in the religious canon the Dhâranîs or magic formulas (2). Kla-prosthesis, which does forgive little similar peccadilloes] when hethe finds in the others, replaces the Vischumitra Aq M. Schmidt. by Vichnumitra, withoutwarn if Vichmmitra is the real lesson of the Mongolian texts (3). Spellingthat prefers Klaproth has the advantage of giving a name regular, while that theof Vischumitra is clearly corrupt. But the Mongolian transcriptions are so carelessly executed, that Vischumitra can only bemau-Vaise spelling of the name Vasumitra. If this supposition came to is true-proud, the time of the Religious Vasumitra would relate to one of the largesthistory events of Ruddhism; it's a point on which I countcome back to my historical sketch. I encounter in addition two or three titles of books which the authors do not have(1) Abhidharma kôça vyâkhyâ, f.32 a; and man. Soc. Asian., F. 36 b.(2) Schmidt, Geschichte der Ost-Mongol, p. 17 and 315.(3) Foe hoe ki, p. 248. Page 37

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .507not indicated, such as Pantcha skandhaka (1)and the Nirgrantha çâstra (2).thethe first is certainly a Buddhist book, but the second is very likelylie awork foreign to the belief of the Buddha, for I find in thelegend of Sumâgadhâ

avadâna the title of Nirgrantha employed with the sense that hea in Sanskrit, to designate a beggar Brahmin. This is not the alone opposite work to Biiddhism that our author recalls; so hemadein oneplace allusion to Catarudriya, which he says to be the work of Vyâsa (3). This Cataru-drîya is probably the hymn of the hundred Rudras, a Vedic piece which appears in thenumber of Upanichads, and which is part of the translated collection of Persian by AnguPtildu Perron(4). Our commentator speaks of many Indian sects who seem to have existed in his time, like the Pandaras, the Paçupalas, the Kapalikas (5). He frequently refutes the Vâiçêchikas, a name which designates withoutdoubt the atomist philosophers of the Samkhya school, who recognize Kanada for their founder. Headmits that exists among the Buddhists a pass large vatiété of opinionson several points, and hesometimes happens to himto note various theses on the-which agree all the schools, as for example, when hesays that the ïlê-manta, winter (November, December), is the firstdessaisons for all the Buddhists (6). Those hecites mostly be for the rebuttal, either alone-ment to see the discrepancies of feeling, are the Buddhists of Kache-mire, those of Ceylon and the Vatsîputtrîyas. The Kâçmîras are named in additiona place (7); the author 's foreign appelledes (8); and refuting in a not-wise of the philosophers that hesaid modern, hethe accounts as recently out of Kachemire (9); heis true that the expressionwhich heuses :paschatyahpaçtchâdbhavâh, canbetter yet meanwesterners. Whatever sense wechoose, heis allowed toconclude from this term that the work weexam-NONS has been madein India: the latest version would doassume that ourauthor wrote in a provincelocated in the east of Kashmir. I think I recognize also born the Buddhists of Ceylon in the Tâmraparnîyasor inhabitants of Tâmraparna, the Taprobane of the ancients, who are cited in a passagewhere our(i) Abhidharma kôça vyâkhyâ, f. 224 o.(2) Ibid., L 192 a.(3) Ibid., L in a.(4) Ovpnek'hat, t. II, p. 171 sqq.(5) Abhidharma kôça vyâkhyâ, f. 217 o and 6.(6) Ibid., F.241 b.(7) Ibid., F. 61 b, 121 [a, 469a of my manuscript.(8) Ibid., F. 95 has of my manuscript.(9) Ibid., F. 115 a of my manuscript, paçtchâtyâh Kaçmira mandated paçtchâdbhavâh. Page 38

508INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY commentator puts it this way: "The Tâmraparnîyas are of the substance of<heart (Hrîdaya) asylumof theknowledge and of the intellect, Manas (1)."Elsewhere thereuses of this term outstanding: <The text says in all theysother books, it will say the books of Tâmraparnîyas and other (2);" From where I concluded that the collections (Nikâyas) of Ceylon were known to the Ruddhisles of North, and they were quite important in their eyes to be cited the pre-miers, when it was a question of collectively designating the Buddhist worksother than those whose authority was admitted in India. As for Vàtsîputtiîyas, which are often represented in this work, and whichare usually refuted there, it's a name also interesting for history of Ruddhisme than those that I mentioned earlier. I don't doubtnot that it doesbe the Religious forming the third subdivision of the school which recognized originally Upâli forits founder (3). They are without a doubt the samethat the sectarians named by the Chinese Pho thso fou lo, and onwhich Kla-prolh inserted in the Foe koue ki an unfortunately unclear note (4). Thisname, according to the Chinese, means calf and has become that of a family; that isperfectly correct, and the patronymic Valsiputtriya really has fororigin the vatsa me(calf). Klaprolh makes of this title a class of books where one supports the existence of me, contrary to the opinion of most large number of Buddhist schools. At rest, the commentator of the Abhidharma kôca talks about Vhatsîputtrîyas as characters

perfectlyknown to histime (5). Hein is the same one where it seems the assimilate intoparty to Madhyamikas, that istell the philosophers who follow the Madhyamika system, which owes its origin to Nâgârdjuna. In the passage to which I am referring, after having spoken of an opi-nion attributed to some Vatsîputtrîyas, he adds: "Thatmeans thosewho have "the ideas of the Madhyamikas (6). "It is had therefore the Vâtsîputtrîyas who followedthe school of Madhyamikas. At rest, we do n't haveno need for this passage to convince usthanour author knew Nâgârdjuna, because it isobviously himhedesignatesunder the name of Sthavira Nâgasêna which heharshly criticizes opinion in oneplace (7). We will see, inspeaking of the Sinhalese collection, that Nâgasênais famous in the Ruddhistes the South; and Renfey already correctly conjectured, (1) Abhidharma kôça vyâkhyâ, t. 28 b of my manuscript; f.32 b, man. Soc. Asian girl.(2) Ibid., F. 474a of my manuscript.(3)Csoma, Notices on the life of Shdkya, in Asiat. Researches, t.XX, p. 298.(4) Foe koue ki, p. 326.(5) Abhidharma kôçavyâkhyâ, f. 56 b, 311 b, 470 b, 471 a, 476 b, 477 a of my manuscript.(6) Ibid., F. 477a of my manuscript.(7) Ibid., F. 475 b of my manuscript.

Page 39

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .509 without have under the eyes the book that weoccupies, that the Nâgasêna, which follows the Singhalese Buddhists converts the king of Sagala, is the same as the Nâgàrd-juna of the Buddhists of the North (1). There are also a reason decisively to believe that Yaçômitra could not ignore the existence of Nàgârdjuna; he is talkingin additionfrom a place of Madhyamika system, which, according to the Vinaya commentatorSutra, owes itsorigin atNàgârdjuna. We will further conclude from all this thatour author is more modernthat this great philosopher, that is to sayheiscame after all the eventswho had influence on the destinies of Northern Buddhism . His work, heis true, doorquite a fewof tracesof these events, betweenwhich hedo not quote to my knowledge, that thethree-Sieme desconciles which were subject to a review new s% swritingsbuddhist (2). These indications do not yet sufficient to enable us to fixwith precision age andhomeland of Yacômitra; but hemust also agree that the purely philosophical subject to which his work is devoted is notofthose where the facts historical are usually place themselves. This topic even there is not easy to follow in due to the form [of commentary, which takes in isolationis lyingeachword of text and the developing or the drowning in a glosscomputervery extensive. It is only very rarely possible to distinguish the text from these comments in the midst of which heis lost. The work of Vasubandhu, that is proposed to explain Yasomitra, ishimself a composition writtenon previous sutras. This composition is probably only acomment; at least that is what it would be permissible to conclude from the words of Yacômitra, the last commentator, who put it this way: "A lot of" Sutras are omittedbecause that exposure of the text is lost; themaster here(1) Indian, p. 85, excerpt from the Encyclopédie d'Ersch and Gruber. It is probably our Nà-gârdjuna which the Cbinois or their interpreters transcribe herethe name, Nâha Kochuna. This sagewould have appeared 800 years after the Nirvàija de Çàkyamuni, and one of his disciples is said to have composed thebook entitled Pe lun or the hundred discourses. (A. Rémusat, Foe kouc ki, p. 159.) Elsewhere this sage iscalled Bôdhisattva. {Ibid., P. 152 and 177.) This nom'de NDGA Kochuna offers certainly a prettygreat resemblance to that of Ndgârdjvna, and the hundred speeches seem to recall the colelection of the Çatasahasrikà. That which is also worthy of note, is the Date 800 yearsafter Çâkya, which the Chinese assign to the coming of cesage. I conclude, as I will try to the

show in my historical sketch, that the Buddhists of Chinese who have adopted this timehave wanted to reconcile what they knew of the time real of NâgaKochuna with the dates theyhad previously admitted for the time of Çâkyamuni.(2) Abhidharma kôça vïjâkhyâ, f.197 a from my man. The text designates this council by the namesame that give to these kinds of meetings the Buddhists of the South: Tnlîyam dliarma samgîlimanvpraviçya; but nothing tells us the date of this council, and we do not know if the author wantsdesignate the one that the Singhalese Buddhists place 218 years after Çâkya, or that of the Buddhistsof the North, 400 years since the death of the Master. Page 40

510INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY"Did not doof comments (1)." The master is without doubt another that Vasubandhu, author of the Abhidtiarma kôça; and this passage, if itmust be heardas I propose to do, gives us on the nature and form of the treaty of Vasubandhu of the lights that heis very difficult to find in the other parts of the gloss of Yaçômitra. Be that as it may, this work is a compilation of texts and of interpretaphilosophical remarks. The author there treats characters general of beings, therequirements or the law, because the word Dharma means all ceschoses; of the quabedded sensitive, the sense of the elements of the feeling and of the knowledge; of the succession of effects and causes ; of the atîeclion, of the hatred of the error, and other \* moral modifications of thesubject; of the birth of man, oftheintended, the result of the works, the passing man in the '.diversesways of existence ;of various degrees of virtue and intelligence which manmayreach into this world; of the action of the sense organs in the factof theknowledge, and conditions which stop or favor Celticaction; of the man and of the woman seen in the physical relationship; passions, and of the necessity of the master; of pleasureand of the punishment of the need to be into free to reachto Nirvana, that is to say to the perfection of restabsolute; conditions of human existence and the functions of organs; of the Pravritti (action) and for the Nirvritti (the rest); degrees various of human-nity regard to education, and of the perlection relative of the senses of man; from supernatural faculties; of the passage of higher intelligences in the variousdegrees of existence; of the Devas and the many classes in which we divides them, Hell and the worlds. These subjects, none of which is examined one way followed, still less dogmatic, are intermixed the some toothers, and the same matter is represented inseveral places of the book. The doctrine inbelongs clearly to the school the most ancient of Bud-dhism, that is to say at the atheist school. I find on the question of the existence ofGod onepassing very striking, which will leave no doubt about the trendthis work, or at least on the ideas of the last commentator. I believed that this passage deserved to be translated asspecimen of the method as follows Yasomitra, when his duties of commentator himleave enough of leisure to speak inhis own name." The beings do are créésni God (Isvara) or there by the Spirit (Purucha) nor by the material {Pradhana}. Yes, in fact, God was the single cause, that this God was Mahâdêva, Vàsudêva, or any other principle, like the Spirit or the(1) Ahhidharma kôça vyâkhyâ, L 157 a of my manuscript.

Page 41

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .511Material, it would, by the mere fact of the existence of this cause, that the worldhad been created in its totality, a single time; because we cannot admit that the cause eitherwithout that the effect exists. But we see the beings come to the worldsuccessively, the one of a matrix, the other a bud; from there we must conclude that

there is a succession of causes, and that God is not the cause unique. But, it is objected, this variety of causes is the effect of the will of God, which hassays :As such be born now, to manièreque suchanother is born afterwards; it is well that explains the succession of beings, andthat it is proven that Godin is the cause. To that were ponds that to admit several acts of will inGod is to admitseveral causes, and that is to destroy thefirst thesis, that there is only one cause. Hethere is more : this plurality of causes does not may have been produced only one time, because God, the source of acts distinctof wills which have produced this variety of causes, is unique and indivisible. hereAgainreappearsthe objectiondoneallTotime,know,heshouldadmit that the world has been created in a time. But the son of Śākya Tiennent for this maxim, that therevolution the world has not to begincement (1). "This passage is remarkable in several respects, and it just the fastestexamination to recognize how the theorythat he expresses is far from the 'naturapanlheistic lism of the main ones. Brahminic schools ;but the consequences ^that we can draw from it for the history of Buddhism itself owe above all to us to occupy. It is evident that the work from which this passage is taken belongsat most ancient of the Buddhist philosophical systems, to the one who reproDuit of the way the more loyal the first attempts made by the specula-lion to regularize the purely metaphysical elements of this belief; and whento comment on this book, I the think previous to four Gran-the sects which will now share the philosophers from Nepal. I shoot celticconsequence of what Yaçômitrado not quote thema single time by their name. The absence of the title (ÏÂiçvarika (deist) seems to me conclusive, especially after thepassage that we just to read concerning the issue of the existence of God. Will saywe only if author not leaking any allusion to Ādibuddha of Âiçvarikas, heispossible to explainhis silence, admitting that exclusively occupied of system hehad adopted, hedoes not have the opportunity to deal with a theory whichdoes not the own? This explanation would in my opinion be insufficient, and Iam convinced that the system of a Ādibuddha would have been able to exist time of Yaçômitra, without itto have spokenin his comment. If so, wanting to com-(1) Abhidharma kôca vyâkhyâ, f.171a of my manuscript.

Page 42

512INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY beat the belief in the existence of God, ithas mentioned that the Mahadeva of Siva, the Vâsudêva of the Vishnuvites, the Spirit or the Matter of the schoolSamkhya ishedid not findin the Buddhism even the belief in the existence of God, hewas, however, the interest of its system to refute there where hethemeetingtrait. These considerations lead me to think that the work of Vasumitra, withthe commentary of Yaçômitra which accompanies it ', areboth earlierat the time which was established in the Buddhism the belief in a supreme God, belief that Csoma do is notgo up morehigh than theend of x® century of our era. On the other hand, as our author quotes the school of Yôgâtchàras, including the founder Arya sangha lived, following the Tibetans, the vi " to VU® centuryof our era, our commentator is necessarily posterior to this lastcharacter, and we mustput his gloss between the Vi® and the x® century of ourera, towards the end of the Middle Ages of (a Buddhist literature .SECTION VII.HISTORY OF THE COLLECTION OF NEPAL. The history of the collection sacred of Nepal is not written in any of the bookswhose collection iscompose; and this has no right to surprise, if wedo not examine than those of those books which pass for inspired, that is to say foremanated from the preaching of Çàkyamuni.In fact, of two things one: Wherethese books are in reality contemporaneous with Câkya, and so theinformationhistory that we must hope for therefind can not wear that on thesetwo points the day even the books, if it is given, and the indication ofwhat-what eventscontemporaries of thedrafting ;or well these bookshave been compounds long after Sakya and awarded by the faith Peopleto the founder Buddhism, and then heis easy to understand that we have carefully excluded all the clues that could be tray their origin modern. But as the collection of Népalren ferme other books that the books inspired ;as we there is, for example, the treated compounds by authors whose the names are famous, it is allowed to regret that one of these writers did not composed a history of the Buddhist books, a history for which the traditiontion and the knowledge of these books themselves had provided a Buddhist of mate-riaux that we may never be able to put together. Page 43

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .513Is it therefore true to say, with Mr. Wilson, that the story is more foreignagain, if this ispossible, to the books of the Buddhists than to those of the Brahma-nes (1)? This is not here theplace to discuss in detail a questionwhose examination will naturally find its place in the historical outline of Buddhism; i have tome stand here at this keyspecially to the sacred collection of Nepal, suchthat Mr. Hodgson made it known to us. However, while admitting that this collectiontion will be to boast ofownaa work as truly historical as the Mahâvamsa of the Buddhists Sinhalese, or the Bàdja taramginî of the BrahmansKashmiris, hedo is no less true to say that the books BuddhistNorthstill containmore history, or more generally, can better serve to the storythe Buddhismeque those of Brahmins will make forthat of Brahmanism. Is it not already in these books an advantage as being also decidedly later they the are to establish definitive of the companyIndian and development of the literature sacred of Brahmins ?Do we havewe do n'tseenabove, by analyzing the Sutras, how much these works containallusions to the state of the company Brahmin, in the sacred literature, finally themen in whose midst they have been preachingor written? This is even whatdistinguishes in general the compositions religiousthe Buddhists of thosefromBrahmins. While these never descend from the sky and they stayconstantly in the vague areas of the mythology, where the reader does not enterthat the forms vainhedoes it is no longer possible to set in the time in space, thebookssacred Buddhists usually present usafollowing events very facthumans, a Kshattriya that is doneascetic, who fight with the Brahmins, who instructed and converted the kings whom these books wehave kept the names (2). The only Brahmanic monuments that canstruggle in this respect with the booksthe Buddhists are the portioned them more authenticof epics old, thedramas and some collections oftales (3). For that the various indications that are present in the booksfrom Nepalwith the vividness of reality become true history, itsulfiteonly a fewthe characters mentioned in the books Buddhist are knownmoreover, and that the timewhere they have lived is determined by the average independent(1) Abstract of the contents of the Dul-va, in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, 1.1, p. 6.(2) Lassen, Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenland, t. IV, p. 503 and 504.(3) I am referring here to the collection entitled Kathâ saritsâgara, the publication of which is due tocare of Mr. H. Brockhaus. Many of the tales that contains this collection offer of analogiesstriking with some of our legends. I will mention, among others, that of Udàyana, of whichthe capital was Kàuçambhî. The traditional Buddhist themadecontemporary of Çàkyamuni. Iwill come back; in the historical sketch, on this interesting synchronism .33

514INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY during these books themselves. Where does it in effectlook for the points at-what theeditors of the Buddhist works should have linked the eventsthings of which they have preserved us the memory, if it is not in the general historyfrom India? But if this story did not yet exist in their time, can we lem \*reproach theknow less well than those who should have done it? Fartherefore to accuse the Buddhists of being more foreignto any notion of true historytable that the Brahmins themselves, it was that if hethere is no historypositive in their hares is that there is in was not in those of their adversarysaries; because if heto have existed in India a body somewhat developed, to time when appeared on Buddhism, the positive spirit of this doctrine, its materialism and its vulgarity itself, which are here of qualities its position as a reform of an order of things past, tents these circumstances in a word had committed the Draftingkeepers of the sacred texts to give all thedesirable precision to the facts of which theythought useful to retain the memory. I therefore persuade myself that incarefully noting the names of the kings who attendedteaching of Sakya, and those of the Brahmins that he resisted or who isdid his disciples inrecalling the places where hewas born and where helived, and in setting with a precision remarkable the theater of his preaching, the editorsbooks sacred have obeyed to an instinct history we seek in vain inthecompositions of the Brahmans, where the Gods hold so manyplace that man and his story will disappear complètement. Enfin it therehas a decisive factand to the advantage of the literature Buddhist, is that the history of India willbegins to clear up that at the timeof Çâkyamuni.To go to this wise, Indiacentral is covered with truly historical monuments and inscriptions; wesees settle for precious synchronism between that country and the history of peopleswesterners; finally the Buddhist books are enriched with details and indications of a truly positive character, which are still the most interesting of those that we have on the state of India since thevi \* 'century before our time. I add that, although based on personal study of Buddhist books, fappréciation that I am here not to me is special: Benfeydate of the time from Cakya to the history of India; and Lassen in his research on the antiquities of this countries, also takes this time to the point of departure assured of allthe work related to the history of India in the time prior and posterior the last Buddha (I). The remarks above have not only to be of place the books (1) BenfeV; Gôtling. gelehrt. Anzeig. May 1841, p. 746 ff., And especially p. 748 and 749. Lassen, Indische Allerthumskunde, t.I, p. 471. One can not over-study the excellent remarks of this last author; I will come back to this in my historical sketch of Indian Buddhism.

#### Page 45

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .515Buddhist in their true light; they are still intended to explain for-what heThere is no need to look for the history of the collection of which they are part. We therewill find, know I have itproved by the analysis of the Sutras, the board of the companyIndian at the time when Çâkyamuni lived, and in addition to these general notions, 'the indica-tion accurate figures whose preaching the closer. This is where thevaluable data which I will try to make use of for a historical sketchof Indian Buddhism. But they tell us nothing about the date of the bookswe the meet, since these books may have been written well long-tenrips after the events they report. The only relief thatwe pos-sedons to study the history of the sacred books of Nepal is the informationthat wehas passed the tradition, and thosethat the in-depth review provides us• of these bookssame. This is to Celticdual source that aredrawn the factswhich I will

present the table abrégédans this section, the latter which isdevoted to the study of the Buddhist collection of the North. I mean here by tradition not -only all opinions and the factsMr. Hodgson has collected atNepal, in his conversations with Buddhistseducated, or in studythat he made of their books, but the pinions and facts that are recognized by the Buddhists of the North in general, and in particular by the Tibetans. I already said how I thought I was authorized to invoke the testimony of the Tibetans, whenever it is a question of northern Buddhism, although the works which are authoritative among this people are only translationstions of Sanskrit texts, and that these translations will be not earlier to \ii ^ century of our era. I am content to add here that this date of the eighth centurykey is the last limit at which stops in the time modern historyfrom the sacred collection of the Northern Buddhists . This limit is notabso-lutely strict, since all the translations who took placein the Library Tibetan Kah-gyur of have been executed, the reportby Csoma de Coros between the vii "and the ninth century of our era, and that thework of the interpretertion iscontinued later again. But however floating she may be, sheassures the partythe more importantede the collection Nepalese few centuries existence of more that one would perhaps tried to him to grant to do con-Derer that the dates of the year 1822 when Hodgson was discovered in the valleyfrom Nepal. Who knows if any of these critics, who to judge historyof a people believe they need to know their language and their literature, do not would not be finished, after long meditations, by convincing oneself that the collection buddhist of Nepal has been made with little noise, at the beginning of the nineteenth " cen-century, -to the effect to the height to the fraud that had so much success with Brah-manes, when little time before theyhad donea literature, so Page 46

516INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY to deceive the English they saw coming, and especially to induce harm the gov-European governments whichhave the naivety to pay a few scholars to teachGNER of language that has ever spoken, and the literature that person haswritten? At the fm of the section of the Vinayaor of the Discipline, which opens the collection of Kah-gyur, we trouvedes details of a great interest on the fact if important the question which occupies us, of the writing of the depositary books of the sign-ment of Câkya. These details clearly preserved by thetradition uslearn that there were, at three different times, three successive editions of Buddhist writings, writings made by Religious gathered in council, and invested in what heseems, by public assent, of the necessary authority for this capital work. The first drafting takes place immediatelyafterthe death of Çâkyamuni, not far fromRâdjagrïha, by the care offive hundred Reli-gious who had to head Kassapa (1). The task of gathering the words of the Master was répartieentre three of his major disciples, of which one sees the namesappear at all times in thelegends. It was Kâçyapa who wrote the Abbi-dharma or the metaphysical; Ananda compiled the sūtras, and Upāli the Vinaya (2). The second writing of the sacred books took place one hundred and ten yearsafter the death of Câkya, in the time of Açôka, who reigned in Pâtaliputtra. The discord hadintro-between the Religious of Vâiçâlî, and seven hundred Arhats felt the need towill meet to prepare for new the writings canonical (3). Finally, a little moreoffour hundred yearsafter Çâkya, in the time of Kanichka, which is said to have beenking in the north of India, the Buddhists were separated into eighteen sects that were grouped under four great main divisions, and of which Csoma has told uskept themnames. These discords gave rise to a new compilation of paperwork, which was the third and the last of those whosespeak them Tibsome(4). Some brief that are these details, some difficulties they even do be born, if we them compares to those that the Singhalese have kept for us on of events analogues, they are already, taken in themselves, fruitful in consequence quences precious for the history of the Buddhist collection of the North. we inmust conclude first that the three essays that the tradition we have kept the memory, we have only the last; or to express myself with aessential reserve, given the silence of the Buddhist writers, we can say that (1) Csoma, Amlys. of the Dul-va, in Asiat. Res., T. XX, p. 41, 91 and 297. (2) Id., Ibid., P. 42, 91 and 297. (3) Id., Ibid., P. 92 and 297. (4) Id., Ibid., P. 41 and 298. Page 47

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .517booksthat we have now in theeyes are or theworksold belonging to previous editors, but revised under the influence of the latter, or the books entirely made new and out exclusively of work of the third assembly. Heis allowed to doubt, and that was judicious cieusement noticed Lassen, that the canon of scripture has been fixed in full from the first council, so as to contain, from that time, the totality of what we are has today (1). I believe that the truth will be found in adoption simultaneous of these two hypotheses, know, that we haveat the same time and old books emanated either from the first, either of these conddrafting, but modified

bytherevisionfromReligiouscontemporaryofKanichka, andof bookswhile in factnew introduced by the authoritysou-veraine of this last council, or even some influential sage, likeNâgârdjuna. Two considerations give in this way to consider the issuea very-high degree of likelihood. The first is that the authority of the last con-cile, some great one to suppose, has been going to destroy thebooksprior to them as substitute for allin factdifferent. Hewill be no lose effect in view the circumstances that made necessary the two last drafting of canonical books. These are the existence and the pretensions the sects which in the course of time, and thanksin principle of freedom that REN-closed on Buddhism, were of good time to develop the breast of school founded by Çâkya. However, these ancient sects no doubt differed from each other other than on the way to interpret the scriptures thateach of themalso relied on to support his theories. From the start, and he is allowed of the mean, in all the ages of Buddhism, have had to produce the facts thatwesee encorede our days in Nepal (2) and that Fa hian met in India at the beginning of v " century of our era. The same texts served astoritythe views themore divergent, and a différence of sect was only a difference of interpretation. Thereby, as the remark Hodgson, thetexts themselves to school of naturalists differently explained are become the basis of theistic opinions (3). Henot was is therefore not for the councils whichwere coming together for the purpose of putting an end to disastrous divisions, of drawing upof books new, but to dominate the interpretation of old booksto which the council, which was usually that the sect the most numerous, (1) Lassen, Zeitschrift fur die Kunde des Morgenlandes, t. III, p. 157. The rest of this researchwill prove the correctness of this opinion.(2) Hodgson, Quot. from orig. Sanscr. Author., In Journ. As. Soc. of Bengal, t. V, p. 72, note.(3) Europ. Specul. on Buddh., in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. ofBengal, t. III, p. 502, note.

Page 48

51 8INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYrecognized the characteristics of orthodoxy. That a few parts subject to con-troversy have been cut off from ancient scriptures; than other parts, oreven the books whole there aientétéintroduced by this worksystematic,that's what heis easyto design, and thishewill notnot impossible todemonstrate by the facts.But some

extent that issuppose in a similar job, it has never had to be a work of revision, a reorganization of earlier texts, including the form and the funds, kept by the tradition and thereligious respect, would not have to be completely changed. In summary, if heisto assume that the last council introduced the books new inthe canon of scripture recognized by the councils earlier, heis not lessnecessary to admit that he has let stand a number more or less con-maple of these writings in the changing from the ideas dominating histime. This assumption is too natural not to be admitted, even in the silence of texts. The second consideration is provided to meby the review that I is more up to the collection of the North, and it comes entirely to support of the first. I have Acquired, through the study ofmain works in this collection, a conviction that I myself have tried to make movein the mind of the reader; it's that under of forms identical, and often even under a language while in fact similar, to hide theworks very different the one from the other, and by the developgiven to previous opinions, and by the presence of opinions quitein fact new. I was even able to advance without exaggeration that, under thename of Buddha dharma, "the lawof the Buddha, "the collection of Nepalwe had con Servé several Buddhismes, three Buddhismes, if I can express myself thus :the one simple Sûlras where does seem that the Buddha human Sakyamuni ;that of Sutras developed and Mahayana, which will meet, next to the Buddha human, other fabulous Buddhas and Bôdhisattvas; that of Tantras finally, where above of these two elements has come to put the worship of the female divinities of Civaism. I probably should incount a fourth, that of Adibuddha, with the developments that he has given them Nepalese, and which are recorded in the Svayambhû purâna. Heis not at all in my thought to bring back these three great formsof Buddhism Northernto the three councils of which the Tibetan tradition speaks .I even admit that I do notcould justifythis comparison by evidence of agreat weight. What I only mean is that the fund of the various partswhich is composed the canon of scriptures Buddhist certify a result of Change-elements that coincide with if each of the councils inparticular, at leastwith the fact of the existence of councils; because if therehas been of councils, it isthat the

## Page 49

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .519doctrine was changing, and the doctrine is shown in effect changed in the threefundamental sections of the scripturesBuddhist, the Sutras, the Mahùyâna sutras3t the Tantras.But what are these modifications? I 've said, and I did not needto insist more on the resultsof the comparison that Iestablished betweenthe simple sutras and the developed sutras. These modifications are those which the character is not unrecognizable. They let us see a doctrine, simple first, which then grows and iscomplicated. They allow us to graspthe differences of wording that announce the different eras. These epo-ques are undoubtedly not dated; but they mark in the development of the literature Buddhist of cuts parfaitement tranchées, which will succeedfollowing an order traced by thelawsnecessary which theduringideashuman. Thus we have Hyres which by their content (andover there I hear the facts they report and the ideas they support), must passforof books old, for the bookscontemporaries at the bottom of the preaching of Câkya. We as have others where the speculation takes the spotof reality, and where it will remain little more books earlier than the frame and a fewproper names. We have finally where the elements the more étran-gers to the institution of Buddhism, where the practices themmore contrary to his spiritalter the simplicity of the doctrine preserved in the first, expanse and already modified in the second. Heit takes no

more, I think, to justify the assumption that I was doing everything in time regarding the existencecurrent of Works belonging to one or to the other of the first two essays, but moreor less reworked by thelast. I do n't need to add that the otherhypothesis, know that thelast council authorized booksnew, notdo n't stayless very probable. The number and importance on these books depended without doubt the mostor less high degree of nervousness which animated the Religious at the time of this council. But from what heis the last, we must boldlyconclude that it is his work that hassurvived in thethe two assemblies preceding ^toothed and of which we have in large partthe results in front of the eyes. The contrary assumption would be, according tome, much too improbable. Neither the tradition nor study of the Nepalese collection do not allow us todyed to a more rigorous precision; we can not with these help alone positively affirm that such part of the collection emanates more specifically that such other of such or such council. I do have, however, not neglectof rap-Procher preceding desobservations this thatteaches us the Mongolian traditionon the successive editions through which the books have passedreligious. Followefore Ssanang setsen, of the chronology which I did notto occupy myselfin this

Page 50

520INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYmoment, the Religious who put them first in writingteachingSakya gathered those of his discourse that is related to the first principle of the doctrine, that is to say the four truths, of which he has been so often questionels ewhere. The second editors focused on the discourses relating to the doctrinemean, that is to say to the nothingness of all that exists, and theheads of the counciljoined to the words of the Master a large number of specific subjects to build the mind. Finally the third editors, who had gathered to put endtoschism aroused by a false Religious, collected the words relating to the lastdeny principles of the doctrine, and there began the last hand. This thirdCollection includes all theDhàranîs (1). The reflections of which the authorsMongols accompany this classification the three newsrooms him kidnap to My sense a part of its historical character; we can't believe that the first collection caters exclusively to intelligence themore weak, second to middle intelligences, and the third to superior minds. These dis-tinctions are invented after the fact to give the philosophical reason for a factwhich history is very sufficient to explain. But leaving on the account of writingvain Mongols, who will be here no doubt that the copyists of the Tibetans, they explained cation of the purpose of the three councils, I am happy to report these three facts preserved without any doubt the tradition: 1° that the first council dealt with the speechesrelating to the four truths ;gold is exactly where the subject which treat the most SouWind the Sutras that I look like the most ancient; 2° that the heads of the secondthe council united to the speeches of Çàkya various subjects suitable for edifying the spirit; goldI haveconjectured that more than one bookagain was able toto glidein thedeposit ofancient traditions; 3 ° finally that the Dhâranis belong to thelastdrafting; but it comes down toopinion even as I tried to establish, when Ianalyzed some Tantras, and that I the have reported as the part the more modernfrom the Nepalese collection. Push usHoweveraa little further on these reconciliations, and let's see whathewe are allowed to conclude from this. Jô take for example the sūtras from which I have distinguishedford two classes, the simple sutras, and the more developed sutras namedMahâvânas again. I suppose that because of their simplicitywe have to watch themSutras of the first class, where he is told that of Sakya, as the work offirst council. HeA reservation must

immediately be made in favor of the second council; in fact, the Sutras and legends where Sakya alone is directed offer of traitsof resemblance so striking and so numerous with those in which appears Açôka, the kingwe make contemporaryof the second council, thatnot the in can be separated. The (1) Schmidl, Geschichte der Ost-Mongol, p. 17 and 315.

# Page 51

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .^521captions relating to Câkya may therefore have been collected by the firstcouncil; but they must also have been reworked by the second, and latereven when we wrote those that werereport to King Açôka. There are Tomore, theymust also have been done by the third; because I have already reported in the courseof myremarks on the Sutras the existence of some features that an-hundred hand more modern than the background even the books where they are located. I dontcall backat the momentthat the indication of the many sects that thetradition madecontemporaries of the lastcouncil, circumstance which places the Sutras where the notice much lower than is should thedo, if theydo not meetclosednot this index of posteriority (1). But this is not may be there that an inter-polation, and the difference between these treaties and those calledMahâyânas remainswhole. This difference is suchthat it is permissible to affirmin full assurancethat the same assembly could not write these two classes of works at the same time. At-truely the conceptions which dominate in the Mahâyânas would have crept moreoften in the simple sūtras, where the traces of their presence are on the contraryextremely rare. That being said, if the Primitive sutras are the work offirst council, successivement reworked by the two councils following, and ifreviewing their contentexclude the ideathat they have been able to be written in the same time as the Mahayana, he doeswe left that the second and the third council auxquelsnoushad to attribute the compilation of the most developed sutras. Heare unlikely to emanate of the second; the time of this council is too close to that of Sakya for that its doctrine has been the timeto undergo a transformation as considerable asthat to which the Mahâyâna sutras testify. It is therefore from the third council that theyemanate; and in effect the high esteem in which they enjoystill today in the North, where they pass, as I have said elsewhere, tocontain the word even of the Buddha, is, until certain point, an argument in favor of this sentiment. I would add that it is in these sūtras that these extensive poetic pieces are found.due, which the Sanskrit is sofaulty, circumstance which coincides in a way allin fact remarkable with the tradition that places in the Kachemire and under a kingof foreign origin the meeting and the work of the third council. It is there, it is thesees of simple rapprochenaents where the reasoning has aulani of share that the facts. I dare say, however, that the result of such research should fully the confirm. We can notsay nothing of more precisetouching the Tantras. Hethere is however Instead of believing that these books have been written either by the first, nor by the secondcouncil. Were they by the third? or already widespread in Indiathrough(1) Csoma, Notices on the life of Shalcya, in Asiat Mes., T.XX, p. 298,

### Page 52

522INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYFollowing mixture of Buddhisnie with the Sivaism, were they accepted by the troi-Sieme council which has been the push the barrel of scriptures sacred? These arethere two assumptions about the value of which we do not have a lot ofmeans for us to decide, though is possible, so that I thewill dosee morelate to bringin favor of the second of the arguments of some weight. That I can already say, it is

that here Nâgârdjuna appearsto have exerted a considerable influenceble, and that the tradition agree with the testimony of one of the books that has been discussed above, the Pafitcha Krama we the accounts as having taken aactive part in the propagation of Tantras. If now welet's tryto relate to this general overview, which bearsonly on the books considered inspired, this that welearn analysis from books which the authors are known, we find in the state of com-complete the history of the collectionNepal and of the track, at least in its springmain phases, almost up toourdays. The traditionhave we said, welearns that three councils have successively put thehand the writings bud-dhiques, and it puts these three councils in the space of time included between these two extreme epochs, the first year and the four hundredthafter the deathfrom Çàkyamuni. Thisspace time embrace this that I call the time oldof Northern Buddhism . The end of this time is found naturally mar-quated by the last council. To go to this event, the lîuddhisme not cease) have to live in the provinces of India whence ittook birth; farfrom there, heit is obvious to me that hecontinue todevelop there, andthat he takes a new boom; but the modifications that it undergoes do not receive, at least to my knowledge, the sanction of a council, and I them look like the effect of work and effortindividual. Buddhism, in a word, between in a new era that I callthe middle ages, by contrastthe time old with the traditionwe have con-served a more or less precise memory. During this second age, which is that of commentators on Buddhism northern destinies had very diverse. From aedge itis maintained, pleind'éclatand offorce in the places where itwasestablishedfor centuries; heit gave the day to systems as many as varied; cornattacked successively in all India by the Brahmanism, heends updisappear entirely from this country. His full eviction date for me la linofmiddle ages which ijust to talk, and the beginning of modern times. I do not hidenot, I admit, how vague this limit is, since share the persecution brâhfnanique has lasted many centuries (duv® auxiv® of ourera) before fully triumphing over Buddhism, and that, on the other, the proscribed cult has left that step by step the various provinces where he had thrown inso deep roots. This limit becomes more precise, however, ifwe combine

## Page 53

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .523the data relating to the proscription of Buddhism with those who are Rappor-tent to its establishment among the peoples who took it in, especially in the northfrom India. It is clear, in fact, that asthat the Buddhismmoved away from hiscradle, he lost aportion of lifehe was pullingof his long stay in the 'country where hehad flourished during both of centuries etqu'obligé to be used, forseto propagate among new peoples, of various idioms and sometimes littledocile to the expression of hisclean designs, it little by little hid its formsoriginal under a borrowed garment. The transformation will be made not everywhere in the time, but she began pretty good time, and is continued until the EPO-ques noticeably brought closer to our time. It is therethis that I call the agemodern Buddhismnorthern; it is at this age that I have to stop theseresearch, when the historical sketch that Iaccount tracing of BuddhismIndian will have succeeded. These are the main phases that I believe I can, with some confidence, report in the history of the collection Nepali; they result for me from the combination of data traditional with those that I provided the study oftexts. But all is not yet finished; we did not getso far that some dates, orrather a few epochs of which we can well indicate themutual relationship, butthat we do not yet attach to anything. We miss, in a word, fromfundamental point, from which it will need from to the place in the

annals of India and in those of the world. This initial point, the Buddhists of North us the supply: it is the death of Cakyamuni, the last Buddha; here is the capital fact which serves as the basis for all the historical development of Buddhism, in particular to this chronology of the councils of which I spoke morehigh; but thetradition and the texts we leave to little close in the dark about the date of actualof this fact, of the determination positive which depends on theof all those who thefollow. In place of a point fixed, the tradition only gives us a collection ofdates that differ the one desautres of several centuries, and which none hasobtained the consent of Buddhistesde all the schools. So we need, beforeto place definitively in history the series of events relating to the col-sacred election, having made a choice between the many dates assigned by the Buddhists from all the countries in thedeath of Çâkya. We are, we the see, natu-ACTUALLY led to the consideration of this issue difficult for the solution of whichdepends on the final determination of historical datagathered so far. We can not, howeverapproach it without having carriedour eyes onaAnother area where blooms also the Buddhism, and where itwas kept untilour daysin the books written in a language of origin Indian, and that, asthose of the North, is claiming inspired; Iwanna talkof Ceylon and of the collection Page 54

524INTRODUCTION TO THE HISTORY OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .of books pale that are authoritative for the Buddhists of this island, as well as forthose of Barma, Pégu and Siam. The study of this collection is a preparation ration indispensable to the discussion of the date of Gàkya, and to the historical of Buddhism Indian who must in be later. In fact, or the collection of Geylon is the same as that of Nepal, and then the value of this increasesespecially since the identity is more complete :hethere is only one sourceUnitedthat for the study of Buddhism; we can any security the track in one or in the other of these two currents, that of the North and that of the South, and itbornmore remains to be examined that the circumstances which separated this unique trunk into two branches now so distant one from the other. Or although the collection Ceylondiffers from that of Nepal, not onlyby the language, but also by the fund; and then these differences open up a new career for our research, and weoffer of valuable subjects of study. What are the number and the scope of these dif-rences, and at the sametime what the point deressemblance that exist betweenthe two collections? These differences are they quite significant to betwo schools, one from the North, the other from the South? and the nature of the resemblances weauthorizes she- inthink that there where they are located, there is the Buddhismprimitive? The councils have they exercised some influence on the separation of this beliefin two schools, and day and that the number of these councils are they attached to the same way in the North and in the South? These are, in a few words, the mainthe main questions that the study of the Sinhalese collection contains compared tothat of Nepal, on the assumption that these two collections will be recognized different from each other; we see that we can not pass to the historical exposition America's Buddhism India without having examined this collection Sinhalese in itselfeven and in its relations with that of the North. I am proposes therefore to analyze, so that it my be possible, like I made of that of Nepal; and this analysis completed, I will reconcile the results statements of those provided to me by examining the written Buddhist booksin Sanskritand preserved in the North. So Ihave the assurance, many facts that I do not havereported that as probablewill be recognized for some; many circums-tances on which is silent or only obscure to explain the tradition of the Northwill be placed in their true light; in a nutshell,

the history of the Nepal collectionwill be illuminated with a new light, and it will now be possible, not alone-ment to determine the reports that have between them the various parts of which it isincludes, but it mark its place in all monuments messages of Buddhism. END OF SECOND MEMORY.

Page 55

APPENDIX.NM. - FROM THE WORD NIRVANA.^. (SECOND BRIEF, SECTION II,PAGE 69.) It is here, I believe, the place to determine, according to examples taken in thetexts, the meaningfrom the word of Nirvana ; over therebetter understood com-lie helt is possible that the Buddhists divide such diverse applications of it. Recall first that in his memory on the sectsheterodox from India, Colebrooke ena given the etymological sense. "This word, he said, used in quality adjectif, means extinct, like for examplea fire that is consumed, or alight that ceases to glow; hemeans ofmore dead, as when it is applied"than to a saint who has leftthis worldfor the other. This word derives from va, souf-tproud as up, and of the preposition nîr which has a negative meaning here ;nirvana"meanstherefore :calm and not moved by the wind. The notion attached to this word"employee [substantively]in a philosophical sense, is that of apathy"complete. "Andmorefar: "It is not an annihilation, but a apa-"incessant thie that the Djainas and the Buddhists intend to designate by the Nir-.vâna, that is to say the extinction of their saints (1).» I do not know on whichself-rity is based Colebrooke to so limit the meaning of Nirvana among the Buddhists; I believewell that it must be there the feeling of some schools, but it is not menot proven that it is that of all, and in particular of the oldest. This question the rest, assuming even that the solution to be possible, will be examined only when we have compared the opinions of Buddhists of North with those who reign in the South.So I come back to the meaning of the word Nirvana, and I notice first that the acceptanceproper tionthis term is that of extinction. I find in a passagethe Divyatl) Miscell. Essays, t. I, p. 401 and 402.

Page 56

526INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYavadāna the radical where that word derives employeeverbally with this meaningspecial tion. Heis therequestion of a lamp which is offered to the Buddha by awoman, and that Ananda, herfaithful servant, can not extinguish. Here is the sentence she-same: "YesI was putting out (nirvâpayêyam) this lamp, he said to himself; and tryingof€turn it off with your hand, it ould not succeed (i). "This circumstance, for theto say in passing, is reported to Mâudgalyâyana by the editor of one of the legendsthat Mr. Schmidthas translated the Tibetan(2); and I find there a new confirmation velle this that Isaid in my first Memory (3) touching the inferiority of the Tibetan language with respect to Sanskrit. Here, in fact, the interpreterattaching tomeaning clean nirvâpay, it makes avecraison switch off, in Tibetan BsAb-pa; but we have already seen, and we will tell of new queNirvana in thephilosophical meaning is uniformly rendered in Tibetan by cexemption of thepain, "to ensure that the great analogy that exists between nirvâpay taken topro-pre and nirvana employee figuratively can not even be suspected in the release Tibetan. The meaning of extinction is so intimately own at this end of Nirvana, that it is for a fire is extinguished that it compares the Nirvana, which it says that manages aBuddha, when the death completes the mailing of links in the world. I find oneproof in this passagecurious about Avadâna çataka :YavadVipaçyî samyaksamhuddliah sakalabuddliakâryam krîtvâ indhanakchayâd ivâgnir nirupadhiçèchê ïiirvâmdhâtâu parinirvhtah (4), passage of which the Tibetan version can be read

inthe Kah-gyur (5),and which means: "Until finally Vipaçyin, the Buddha com"completely perfect, after having fulfilled all the 'duties of a Buddha, was," Similar to a
fire which the food is consumed,, completely destroyed in The element of Nirvana,
where hewill remain more nothing of what constitutes the exist-"Tence. "This sentence
contains all the expressions relating to Nirvana; heimportant therefore to examine inclose.
First, I observe that the idea of extinguishingtionit dominates, and a Buddha entering into
the Nirvana final (parinirvrïta)is compared to a firewhich goes out for lack of food.
Secondly, theterm of Nirvana isaccompanied by the word dhâtu, an element on the value
of which heimportant to be fixed. Ilookeddhâtu as one of these denominations of categories
that abound in the style Buddhists, and who hold to classifier system of their doctrines. The
element of Nirvana is certainly not(1) Divya avadâna, f. 42. (2) Ber Weise und der Thor, p.
262 of the text, and p. 328 | of the translation. (3) Above, first Memorial, p. 11 sqq. (4)
Avad. çat., f. 150 b. (5) Mdo, vol. ha (xxix), f. 254 o.
Page 57

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .527nemenl nothing ofmore than the element says Nirvana, or in-other words, than the Nirvana. The expression nirupadhiçêcha does not in many closealso easy. On thealso finds written anupadhicêcha (I), which amounts to absolutely the same thing. The Buddhists South give to this word of spellings more divergent. Thus the commentary Mahavamsa, which Mr Turnour has been run Ceylona copywhich hekindly gave me the use, quote among the epithetsof aBuddha anupâdisesa nibbâm sampâpakam (2),"The one who makes get complete-"ment the Nirvana. "The word anupadisesa, which Clough writes in the same way (3), isreproduced without is short, anupâdisesa, in a different wayof the same commentary of the Mahâvamsa. Some research I havemade in the books bud-ethics written in Sanskrit which areat my disposal, Ihave found no share offull commentary on this term. The gloss of Pafitcha krama, smalltreaty of whichwhich I spoketop (4), and whois certainly not early daysof Buddhism, istheonly book where I have encountered some trace of explanation, in the following passage :sopadhicêcham pantchaskandhamâtra cûnyam, anupa-dhicêcham, sarvacûnyam nirvânam (5). If the relationships that I suppose between these terms actually exist, therethey will have to be translated as follows: \*The sopadhiçêcka, or this€in what herest of YUpadhi, is empty only of the five Skandhas; Vanupa-vsdhicêcha, or what in which hedoes not remain of Upadhi, is empty " of everything, it is the€Nirvâiia. "What does upadhi mean now? Wilson gives this term these meaning: 1 " fraud, 2" wheel of a chariot, 3 " terror; but none of these meanings doseems to meagree here. I notice, however, that themeaning of rouenous rap-close to the explanation adopted by Clough, whoreading anupadisesa analysisThusthis term: " na (nol) upâdi (\) ro & \\ cm\(\) sesa (transmigration)," that is to say," CeQui puts an end to the transmigration, leadingto Nirvana (6)." Ilook at this explanation as any in fact unsustainable; she does not teach usthat one thing is the result of Nirvana which is to put an end to the trans-migration. In thequotinghere I have only intend to show that, if upadhi had in our Buddhist expression the meaning of wheel, we would find therethe elements of the translation given by Clough," What where hedon't staynotofwheel, - "to say, of revolution of the world. But besides the commentary of(t) Pantcha krama iippanî, f. 16 6.(2) Mahâvamsa tîkâ, f. 2 6 init.(3) Singhal. Diction., T. II, p. 30.(4) Second Dissertation, sect. VI, p. four hundred ninety seven.(5) Pantcha krama tipp., T.16 b, l. 4.(6) Singhal. Diction., T. II, p. 30, col. "2. Page 58

528INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYPancha Krama not said anything of this interpretation, it was not supported davan-tage by the authority of the Tibetan translators. In the passing quoted just now, as well as in various places of the Lotus of the good law \(^{\dagger}\) the Sanskrit formula nirii-padhiçêchê nirvânadhâtâu parinirvritah isthus translated into Tibetan :phung-pohi Ihag-ma-med-pahi mya-ngan-las-lidas-pahi dbyings-su mya-nganlas-haas-so. It's this expression hewe laut review to find the reopinion genuine Tibetans. Our Tibetan dictionaries provide us, for the explanation of this sentence, the following interpretations:" He is completely delivered from thepain in the element of the exemption of the pain, where ittherehas no restaggregate. "Expressioncomposed phung-pohi Ihagma-med-pahi, which has the form of agenitive preceding the noun which the steering, responds certainly the compoundSanskrit anupadhiçêchê; and in this expression upadhi is rendered by phung-po, and went through Ihag-ma. I hear the wordphung-po as the result the dic-Tibetan holders:" Accumulation, heap, aggregation of material elements"Riels; »And what confirms me in this view is that this wordphung-po is the ordinary translation of the Buddhist term SIcandha, aggregate and intelattributelectual; Schröter gives us this expression with this meaning. The same sentencewhich concerns us is also found in Schröter, in a verbal form, with the direction (the go to heaven. Celtic interpretation is without doubttoo restricted, and shehas a theistic tendency which is certainly not old. However, it proves dant that we must look in phungpo laconcept of skandhas, or thefive constitutive intellectual attributesof human existence. Finally, Csomade Coros understands exactly in this way the Tibetan expression which weoccupies, since the translated thus: "Entirely delivered from painwithrespect"To the five aggregates of the body (1)."All these connections carry meto believe that the termupadhi designates the collective reunion of the five Skandhas, reunionwhich is one of the main elementspal of the individuaUté human, and I propose to give Ace term a meaninganalogous to that of support, support, that is, what is based on the attributes intellectual goals of individuality, or of the lead assumption, that is, say what we suppose to be ;of kindthat Upadhi designates individuality, socketin some way subjectively and objectively. 11 will result from all this that expression anupadhiçê cham nirvâmm can be translated to this way:" The annihilation, where henot remain more nothing of individuality."This is whatthat the gloss of the Pantcha krama calls theempty of all, the emptiness complete.(1) Asîaf. iies., t. XX, p. 312. Page 59

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .529But hedo not me too easyofunderstand the way in which this glossexplains the sopadhiçêcham ,. in there seeing the empty five Skandhas alone. Heisclear that this gloss distinguishes two voids :the one where still remainsYupad / ii, orindividuality supported orsupposedly, if I can express myself well ;the other absolute,or the empty total, inwherein theTibetan interpreters teach us to \* seethe annihilation of individuality itself. Heif can doHowever, as thePantcha krama hearsby Upadhi the person himself, or what we callBesides the pudgala, of way that theempty oiîX Upadhi still remains representedfeel the human person orthe pure mind freed from all its attributes. Weare stopped here, as we can see, by the absencea special commentary on these difficult terms; however, as the Pantcha krama is certainly a bookmoremodern as the terms he uses, and as hein fact probably aApplication special, his opinion quellequ'elle be, may disadvantage without beingleft of side in a debate relating to these clear terms elders. One sees, at rest, appear in all the schools and all the ages of Bud-dhism. I meet onevery

complete examplein the Sanskrit text of Vadjra tchêdika, that we know to bea brief summary of the Pradjnà pàramità. Here is this

example: Sarve'nnpadhicêchênirvânadhâtâuparinlrvâpaijilavyâhy andin the Tibetan version: De-dag thams-tchad phung-po Uiag-ma-med-pahi mya-ngan-las-hdas-pahi dbyings-su yongs-su mya-ngan-las hzlaho. Mr.Schmidt,in its German version of Tibetan Vadjra tchhêdika, renders this passage as follows:" I have, the issuing all fully and without rest from the pain, the trans-((carryin the pain-free region (1)." I do my ilatte notofpos-Seder a pretty big habit of the tongue Tibetan to deny positivelymay this translation faithfully reproduce the text. Hehowever seems to me thatthe expression ptmng-po-lhag-ma-med-pahi, which means ,according to M, Schmidt,"completely andwithout remainder, "serelates, by its ending / w, to the termmya-ng an-las- lidas-pahi ^ literally" Of the state of the exemption of the pain "words that represent the Sanskrit Nirvana. Let us add that the likelihood isfor this feeling because if the versions Tibetan are also accurate that is thebelieve it is allowed to think that the translator Buddhist of Vadjra tchhêdikawill not havedid not want to disturb the relationship of the two Sanskrit terms anupad / iiçêchênirvânadhâtâu, which areone and the other to the rental; while that if the first of these terms is reported to sarve [all the beings in the world that we are talking aboutofsave], the in-terpreter would havetook care of himgive in his version any brand of cases.(1)Ueher das Mahâyâm, etc., in Mém. of Acad. des sciences de S. Pélersbourg, t. IV, p. 130and 187.34

Page 60

530INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYI must however point out that Mr. Schmidt has not consistently translated to his way the expression any padhicêcha, and that in a differentpassing itseems to have come in part to the meaning that I believe thetrue (1). So hesaid elsewhere: "Thebeings without the remainder of any accumulation. "This expressionis not sufficiently clear; and we do not see what hemust be understood by Anhœufun Cy siit does not the Skandhas. But this translation has yet the downside of doingreport anupadhicécha to sattvâhy while hemustdetermine nirvâmdhâtâu. Since I have had occasion to speak the word dhatu (element), that to me is allowed to add herea new example of the use of this term, where I suspect that Mr. Schmidt himhas given too much of value. Heit is a passage of Varliratchhêdika, where Rhagavat establishes that what that is the number of beings saved by a Rôdhisattva, hetherehas there not be quiréellement be saved. Here is thetextSanskrit: Yâvantah .... sattvâlisattvadhâtâu satlvasamgrahêna samgriliilâ andadjâvu djarâyudjâ va samsvêdadjâ va âupapâdakâ goes; andI the literally translateThus:"All thisthat there are beings included in thedomain of beings under the collection of beings, that they were born from an egg or a womb, or from "humidity, or in a supernatural way . " Mr. Schmidt interprets the ver-sion Tibetan of this passageway (2) of the way following: Was wings zu lebenden Wesen sich ans der Ansammlung (Materie) angesammelt hat, which amounts to little close to this:" All this that, out of the accumulation or of the material was" Accumulated as living beings, or to become living beings. » Ifirst notice that the Tibetan version of this passage, Sems-tchan dji-tsam sems-lchan-du bsdu-bas bsdtis-pa, lends itself wellin the sensethat ifindin the Sanskrit text, since, meaningliterally "everything that there has beings" Gathered by collection in beings, "we are can see, in termsmore clear," All "that it is has beings included in the collection of beings.» Then, and forme keep in Sanskrit, quia here the merit of being the original, it is clear that sattva-dhâlâu can mean the element of beings or the matter, as theoffersM. Schmidt, but that it is one of those colloquial expressions in Sanskrit bud-dhique, where dhâtu not a very great value, and where it is all the morethe result by field of beings, to say among the êtres. C'est still atraitspecific to this Sanskrit, that this tedious repetition of the word to be ^forexpress an idea as clear as thatthe text. In general, the more the idea issimple, the Ruddhistesthere insist and to develop withawide appa-ring the words, which in the final will contribute not just to obscure it.(1)Ueher das Mahâyâna, etc., in Mém. of Acad. of Sciences of St. Petersburg, t.IV, p. 202.(2) Ibid., T. IV, p. 187.

Page 61

IOF INDIAN BUDDHISM .531N " II.- OF THE SAHALÔKADHÂTIL EXPRESSION(SECOND BRIEF, SECTION II, PAGE 82.) The term which is used herethe text is Sahalôkadhâtu :it is a familiar termlink to Buddhists of all the schools to designate the universeinhabited bymen. The last word dhatu, who wants to say element, there is little qu'explétif, and headdnothing to the meaning of the word lôka. It is this that shows the wayfollowing a comment on the Diina alamkâra, Pali poem in honor of Diina (or Buddha) :tîsûpi lokadhâtusu djetthasetthattam patio,<havingïobtained excellence and superiority in the same three worlds (i). » Heshouldtherefore admit that Sahalôkadhâtu does not say more than Sahalôka. He Several explanations of this difficult term have already been proposed. When heappeared for the first time in the history of Kachemire, Mr. Wilson the translatablesant at the beginning of the second line of thestanza 172, asmin sahalôka-dhâlâu, in gave this explanationwho isat least obscure: "in this "essence of the world (2). > Later, speaking of the fabulous universe of Cukhavalî, this fortunate land that the Buddhists of the North imagine to be located in the tooth (3), it distinguished lôkadhâiu, as the special title of a division of theto; and meeting in the little one streaties sent to Calcutta by Mr. HodgsonexpressionSahalôkadhâtu, hethethus returned:"in the named Lôkadhàtu"Saha. îTo this translation Mr. Wilson added that the division named Sahaapparently designated apart of the Himalayas and embraced the Kachemire; and while still eligible dedéclarer the sense of the essence of the world thathad previously given, heare abandoned, however, because that this meaning wasnot technical in this place (4). Finally, making account in the Journal Asia-tick from bengalof the firstwork by Csoma de Côros on the Kah-gyur, hedefines a way more general the Sahalôkadhâtu, "the regionor the world of Çakya, that is to say the world of mortals which is ruled by Brahma (5)>My learned friend, Mr. Troyer, by publishing the text and the translation of the Historyfrom Kashmir by Kalhana, had under the eyes edition of Calcutta and the in manuscript(1) Djina alamkâra, f. 5 6 of my manuscript.(2) Asian girl. Researclm, t.XV, p. 111.(3) Notice of threetracts received from Nepal, dkns Asiat.Res., T.XVI, p. 471.(4) Asian girl. Res., T.XVI, p. 473 and 475./(5) Joiirn. of the Asiat. Society of Bengal, t.I, p. 384.

Page 62

532INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY written of the Compagnie des Indes n ^ 310, which also have SahalôkadhCitu (1) ;but heyielded no doubt the authority of Mr. A. Remusat, who \\% Dai Savalôka .dhâtu (2),and of Mr. Schmidt, who had aussitrouvé in the authors Mongolianknow(3). He therefore admitted in his text çavalôkadhâtâu (4) ; and starting from the meaning ofçava (corpse), itthus translated allthe expression:" In the background of this world((perishable."However, the Sahalôkadhâtâu lesson was preservedby M. Tur-Nour in his searches on the timeline Buddhist, and hethetranslatedthrough" In the whole

of the world (5).» Lassen, incriticizing with a lot of JUStesse the memory above to Tumor, saw how much was obscureexpression of Sahalôkadhâtu; and everything in asking ifbornunable to relate tothree kings Turuchkas iont it indicated the simultaneous presence in the Kache-mire, he agreed that this supposition not would not remove all the difficulties of passage where it is located (6). In this state of affairs, hematter from back to the texts themselves and of consult the peoples foreign to India which have been forced to translate the expressions ion any Buddhist. Firstly the texts give us the word saha undertwo forms: First such that Icome of the transcribe and composited with theword lôkadhâtu, in this way, SaJia lôkadhâln; then ended with along and separated vowelof the following lôkadhâlu, with which heis available: Sahalôkadhâtuh, sahâyâm lôkadhâtâu, etc.In this last form it is a truetableadjective with the noun is lokadhdtu. From the meeting of these two wordsresults aexpression similar to all those by which one designates the fabulous universe with which the Ruddhists populate the space, for example Sukhavatîlôkadhâtuh. I find atypical example of the one that weoccupies inceltic sentenceof Saddharma Langkâvatàra :Êvam êva mahâmatê aham apisahâyâm lôkadhâtâu tribhir nâmâsamkhyêya çatasahasrâir balânâm çravanâ-vabhâsam ageatchhâmi. <It is thus, O Mahàmati, that I, in the universe "Saha ^ I knew ignorant as three hundred of thousand of Asamkhyêyas(vsof names (7)."But what can saha mean, which is presented here with the role of an adjective? this word must certainly belong to the radical sah, in one of its meanings whichwill be that of shades the Some of the other, < support, endure, tolerate, (1) Eist. from Kashmir, t. I, p. 371.(2) Test on the Cosmogon. buddh., in Journal des Savants, year 1831, p. 670.(3)Geschichte der Ost-Mongol, p. 301.(4) Hist. from Kashmir, text, t.1,st.172.(5) Journ. of the Asiat. Soc. of Bengal, t.V, p. 530.(6) Zeitschrift f.d. Knnde des Morgenlandes, t.I,p. 239.(7) Saddharma Langkâvatàra, f. 57 6... Page 63

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .533<resist; "andit is without a doubtTadjective sdha, suffering, patient. "This explanation leads us directly to the translation of Chinese Buddhists, atwhich Sahalôkadhâtumeans "the stay or theworld of the patient, because<that, says DesHautesrayes, all the beings who live there are subjected to the tests" of the transmigration and to all the vicissitudes that in are the result (1). "We see that Mr. A. Bémusat knew the true meaning of this term, what-heto haveadopted aincorrect spelling; but at the same time it is necessary to abandon-n the explanations that this bad spelling suggested to M. Schmidt (2). Heimportant to bring to this phrase the title that we give to Brahmain the Buddhist books of the North as in those of the South. We find it therenamed Sahcmpati, and inPali Sahathpati (3). This title is even composed withthat of Brahmà in the following passage from Thûpavamsa, the story of the Stupas :SahampatiMahâbrahmunââyâtchita dhammadesano, "the onethat thebig" Brahmà Sahampati prayed to teach the Law (4)."I see here the meeting oftwo words pati (master, lord), and saham (paU for sahâm), genitive plurala name derived directly from the stem sah, and Ithetranslate by "the lord" of those who endure, of the patient. "Brahma is in effect the ruler of Sahalôkadhâtu, that is to say, the world of the patient. It is presumably the this which reach the Tibetans by the term mid-kyi mdjed bdag-po, whorepresents totheir eyesSahâMpati.Thefirst part, mi-mdjed, is translatedin our Tibetan dictionaries by "whois not subject, which is notsubmitted "(Csoma), and by " not subject, independent" (Schmidt). This vague expressionlack of the precision required, and the words ^whois notsubmitted "mustto be understood in the sense of " who suffers,

whoendure without giving in. "Ihave toconcluding quote here, if only so as not to omit it, the explanation of the termof Sahampati that given Mr. G. Humboldt, without the show, and as ifit was sufficiently proven by itself. This scholar believes that Sahampatiis identical with Djagat pati," The memory of the universe (5). "It is true that hehad borrowed the original termto the bad transcriptions of Upham, whichwritten Sagampati, and that the work was notas discredited as hehas becomesince the publication of the Mahavamsa.(t)Des Hauterayes, Research on the religion of Fo, in Journ. Asian., T.VIII, p. 43; and A.Rémusat, Essay on the Cosmogon. huddh., in Jonrn. des Savants, year 1831, p. 670. Jib koue% ^) " GeLichte der Ost-Mongol, p. 301, note 8. Mem. Of the St. Petersburg Academy of Sciences ,t.II,p. 23.(3) Clough, Singhal. Diction., T. II, p. 722, coi. 1.(4)Thûpavamsa, L 9 ade my manuscript..(5)Ueber die Kawi-Sprache, 1.1, p. 297. Page 64

534INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYN "III.- THE WORDS Purana AND KÂRCHÂPANA.'(second dissertation, section II,PAGE 130.)Heis not easy to arrive at a rigorous assessment of the stated sumin our text, because that the values of bases from which it is is possible from the calculate only are not known with all the precision desirable. On the one hand, in fact, these valeurs ont varied Ades times that do not deterhistorically mined; on the other hand, the Indian authors themselves give thesebases evaluations very different the one from the other, and which are notcan explainquer the divergence that Assuming they take this one has used diver-ment the same sign in the provinces varied. It is however possible to obtain a result approximate to the aid of elements comparison we provide the ^ Golebrooke memory on the weightand measures of India. It is important in the first place to point out that the Purana whichheis spokenin thetext is a currency of weight, that is to say a currency belonging to one purely Indian system, and consequently prior to the influence exerted in India the monetary system of the Greeks of the Rac-triane. Now in the system to which the Purana belongs, which is aweight of ar-gent, the unit is the Rakikâ, that is to say the weight of a ^ red seed of Gundjaor of Vabrus precatoriiis. W. Jones weighed a very large number of these seeds, and noted that their weight average equaled 1 î ^ grain troy English. But Gole-Brooke says that the Raktikà (commonly Retti) has in the practice a valueagreement that goes to the dual of the weight of the seedby Vabnis, andwhoHowever reaches not quite done 2 t grain; it's approaching (nearhj), says Gole-brooke, 2 û English troy grains (1). In admitting so thattheRaktikàequal2 TJjtroy grains, the silver machaka, whichis equalto two Raktikâs, vau-dra 4 Tiïtroy grains; and as heneed 16 of these Mâchakas to make a Purana, this last weight will be exactly equal to 70 troy grains; from where we will get35,000 troy grains for 500 of these Puranas. Finally, like the English troy grainis, according to the tables of reduction of the Directory of the Bureau des Longitudes, 0.065 French milligrams, the 500 Puranas will be worth 2.275 milligrams, or 455 francs. Weunderstands that happen to a result much more high, ifwasof agold weight; cornthe use of the word Purâna forbids usabsolutely celtic(1)On Indian Weights and Measures, in Asiat. Researches, t. V, p. 92, ed. iu-S", Page 65

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .535supposition, since the Purâna is positively givenfora weight of silver. This consideration dispenses me from seeking what the 500 Purânas would be worth.in the various following assumptions, namely: that the Mâcha equals sometimes 5 Raktikâs ou 10 TTEnglish troy grains, and in round numbers he troy

grains ,that is to say 715milligrams; sometimes four Raklikàs or 8 f grains troy, it's-ie 569 milligrams; sometimes 16 Raktikâs or 35. troy grains, that is to say2 grams275 milligrams. Colebrooke did not sayclearly if hehad toto understand by these Mâchas weights of gold or silver; but since it has positive-mentally specified the Mâchaka (diminutive of Mâcha) as a silver coin, ityhas a very high probability to believe that the Mashas properly so called areweights of gold. This consequence can also be deduced from the comparison of the two articles in the Wilson's Dictionary, Celmlôe Mâcha and that of Mâchaka. What he in any case, the terms of Masha and of Machaka belong, asone of Raktikâ, ACEsystem weights provided by the kind andvery probable-very old thing which characterizes the times of still little advanced civilization; because the Mâcha is a bean of the species known as phase ohis radiatus. The text of our legend speaks of yet another currency, the Kârchàpana, who is, following Colebrooke (1), equal to 80 Raktikâs of copper, that is to sayTo175 English troy grains, or according to Wilson (to the word Kârchàpana), at 176 grains. Although the Kârchàpana could also be aweight of gold and silver that of copper, the whole of the text seems to me to prove that it is necessary to see a Kârchàpanaof copper, as the courtesan will certainly say that it will demand not evento Upagupta the most small sum. Or like the Kârchàpana, at the rate of 175 English troy grains would equal 11 grams 375 milligrams of copper (i.e.say a coin of 5 centimes de France, with a fraction of 1.375 milligram-my, whodoes not go up to 1 cent), say:" I do n't even want a Kâr-chàpana, 3) is direà little about the equivalent of this: " I do you ask noteven a penny. "No IV. - THE NAMES OF THE GODS AMONG Buddhists.(SECOND BRIEF, SECTION II, PAGE 180, NOTE 1.) Georgihas given, according to the sources Tibetans had gathered the P. Horacede la Penna, a listsuperimposed skiesaccording to the ideas of the Tibetans, that(1) Asian girl. Researches, t. V, p. 93.

Page 66

536INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYI think it is useful to compare with that of our Sutra (1). This comparison maybe interesting undermore than one report. Infirst, like the names tib-tain of the heavens and the gods that thelive will be as translations of names originally Sanskrit, and that Georgi did not give these last namesthat it was unknown, it is necessary derapprocher the list Tibetan of theof our Satra, to provide a few more elements to this comparison of the two Buddhist literatures, that from Tibet and that of Nepal, which does can that be so profitable to one and to the other. Then under apoint of viewmore high, heis essential to know which additions the Ruddhistshave madeat the PantheonpopularfromRràhmanes that they have adopted, or while at least they have not outlawed; it is, in fact, only according to thenature ofthoseadditions that we can judgethe proper character of their mythology. The enumeration of Georgi, who follows the same course as that of our Sutra, starts by rising from the nearest floors of the Earth; but she does not understandeven in the sky of the Tuchitas, and it thus omits the threefirst orders includingour Sutra gives the names. But Georgi takes up this subject in other passages of his compilation, and heis possible, by bringing together all these passages, to presenta complete picture the number and of the formation of the stages which are composed theupper world according to the ideas of the Ruddhists. We know that it is on the slopes of Mont Mêru, that is to say of this mountainfabulouswho, according to the exact definition of Mr. Abel Rémusat, is at the same timethe part the most elevated of the world's land and the developed central of sky visible (2)that the Ruddhistsplace the

floorslower heavens that rise gradually-lying above the earth. The four continents which is composed of land that we live are arranged around this mountain, which risesaboveaddition of the surface as it dips below (3). The upper part of the Mêru is divided intoseveral floors, including the first ingoing up is inhabited bygeniuses which the Tibetans call the prince Gnod-sbyin lag-na gjong-thog (4). I don't know the Sanskrit wordcorresponding to this name, who isclearly composed. Georgi does not have one given the translation; but wemayinterpret it with the help of the dictionary of Csoma, from which Gnod-sbym means amischievous fancicd spirit, and lag-na gjong-thog (or rather thogs) denotes aimaginary being who holds a basin in his hand. To these notions M. Schmidt adds(1) Alphab. Tib., P. 182 sqq.(2) Journ. des Savants, year 1831, p. 609.(3) Georgi, Alphab. Tib., P. 480.(4) Ibid., Etip. 237. Page 67

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .537that Gnod-sbyin is synonymous with Sanskrit Yakcha(1), this that I think just madeexact, because that the Yakchas are, in the mythology Indian, geniuses whosethe stay is the atmosphere; but Mr. Schmidt does notlearn nothing frommoretouchingthe epithet added to the name of these Yakchas, "who have a vase in their hand, >All that we know is due to Georgi, who 's is busy to dipwith their vases the water that the waves of the sea makerebound on the Mount Meru. Idid notso far nothing met in the texts of the Nepal, which is related to this class of beings superior to man. But besidesthat she is not entirely of the invention of the Tibetans, since the Southern Buddhists knowsomethinganalogous, it is based on a given réellem'ent Indian andantique, because nothing is more ordinary than to see the Yakchas appear in the legends. This are geniuses of the air, endowed with a great power, which, like all theother inhabitants of the PantheonBuddhist, are subject to supreme powerBuddha, and even that of the Beligieux or a few privileged figures, like the protective kings of Buddhism. The name that they give the Tib-some remember in part the one of Kumbhanda, which designates being splaced by the Singhalese immediately above the Earth, the long from flanks of Meru (2); Imusttalk elsewhere about thesegeniuses purelyIndians. The second floor is inhabited by the beings who holdin his hand a rosary; the Prince which the rules are named after Georgi Pran thoy (3), faulty lessonwhich is rectified easily with the help of the notion that Georgi we give to thesegeniuses. If, in fact, we read Phreng thogs, this name will mean €the one who holds a" Garland."This term would translate very exactly the Sanskrit Sragdhara; cornI do not havemeetso farin the books of Nepal that a Deity of this name is the Goddess of Tantras about whom I spoke moreabove in the sectiondevoted to thesebooks(4), and which, I believe, has nothing to do here. I must not, however, forgetofsay that following Schröter the Tibetans have a word, Phreng-ltan, by which they designate Garuda, the Indian bird consecrated to Krishna (5); Phreng-ltan, whomeans" Havinga garland, "it is not very far from Phreng-thogs, expressions sion which has the same meaning. If these two words were synonymous, we could assume that the beings who inhabit the secon 1 upstairs are the Garudas, including the Buddhists make a class of divine birds. We will see, by studying the classi-(1)Tibet. Wôrierb., P. 308, col. 2.(2)The Mahâvansi, t.III, p. 51.(3) Alphab. Tib., P. 481.(4) Second Dissertation, sect. V, p. 483.(5) Bhot. Diction., P. 199, col. 1. Page 68

538INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY fication of the Southern Ruddhists, that they place the Garudas on the third floorabove the earth(1). The third floor is the living room of the beings who are called, according to Georgi, "bii-€vows and stupid, >and which have in

Tibetan the name of Riag myos (2). These twomonosyllables to translate literallythrough "continually intoxicated, "andthis interprétations'accorde well with the notion that Georgi we give to theseGods; but here again the texts of Nepal, at least those I can consult, are absolutely silent, and I do not find in our captions thename withoutwritten of these Deities. Perhaps these always drunk geniuses are the Nâgas, orHeavy dragons and stupid that the Ruddhistes the South place, heis true, at fourth degree. The fourth floor is inhabited by the beings that Georgi called the Lhasa myyin (3), that is to say, "those who do are not gods," in other words, that are Asura; because the Tibetan expression is the exact translation of the latterSanskrit name. Here again we lack the testimony of the Nepalese textsinwhich affects the determination precise of stay of the Asura; but their namedo is not lessauthentic, and their existence proved by these texts. It is, with the Yakchas, one of those old loans made by the Ruddhisme to thereli-popular gion of India. The Asuras, like thegeniuses of the threefloorsinf-laughing, don'tnot included in the classification of the Gods, inhabitants of the floorscelestial superimposed above theearth; but it does should not we wonder, for they are not Gods. The Asura are on the contrarythe enemies of Devas, or of these Deities bright with the Ruddhistes of all the schools themselves are made of ideas just did conform to designs Brahmin (4). Here is why the Sûlra which is related to this note, parlantdes raysoflight that rises in the sky and will light up the homes of the Devas, takeshispointofdepartureto the Tchaturmahârâdjikas, that is to say to the Deities who live in the last of the six superimposed skies which constitute the firstthe three regions, one of Kâmâvatcharas, or the ones delivered to the concupis-cence (5). The classification that I have just explained according to Georgi is found, minus the(1)The Mahdvansi, etc., t.III, p.51.(2) Alphab. Tib., P. 481, n » 10.(3) Alphab. Tib., P. 481, n » \\.(4) A. Rémusat, Foe koue M, p. 138 and 139.(5)See second Memorial, section II,p. 70, note 4.I myself serve to design the word region (Dhdtu), to avoid the confusion caused by the use of the word world {Lôka}, a confusion that very well done M. Schmidt. {Mem. of Acad. of Sciences of St. Petersburg, t.He,p.55,note 7.) Page 69

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .58 \(^{\text{fourth floor of the Asuras, in Pallas, including the}\) collection curious merit ingeneral a great confidence in all that concerns the Buddhism of Asiacentral. This proves that she is very familiar with Mongols; it seems to me indisthinkable to set it out in a few words. On the lower level of the floors that embreaststroke the Meru live thet, enies with a vase; these are the Yakchas of Tibetans. Above them come those whom Pallas calls free (freye); they apparently respond togeniuses wearinga Georgi garland; because they haveabove them those who are always called drunk, who are placed in the thirdfifth degree by Pallas as well as by Georgi ;but I do not know thereason for the name offree that Pallas their donne. Les Chinese, and that it will all now, havean order of geniuses they call issued ^ and which meet thefree from Pallas. Finally, above these three classes of geniuses come the Macharansa Chane, that is to say the Mahàrâdjas kings, who command to all these spiritssecondary, and including the palace watching the four points cardinals which they^ have the prolectors (1). We see that Pallas does not speak of the Asura, of which whatquesTibetans make the inhabitants of the fourth heaven; no doubt hethe confused with the geniusesstill drunk from thethird division of Meru. Mr. Schmidt, to whichwe owe themmemories of themore elaborateon thefloorscelestial from Mongolian Buddhists, place the Asura in the depths of theraines (2). The Chinese also seem to be familiar with these

various orders ofgeniuses ;ofthe less Ifound in the often- cited memoir by Mr. Abel Rémusat on the cosmo-Buddhist script somedetails that havea clear relationship with the subject who occupies us. These are the mountains arranged in a circle around the Mèru, andthat we represent rising by degrees since the chain that surround the earth, up to that which reaches the half of the height of the mount central. These mountains form and of real floors in habitésparof beings superiors Tothe man. I don't think necessary to insist on the number of these chains, which for the one is of sevenand for the others of ten; and ido n't stop me anymoreto point out the analogy strikingly offeredthis description withthe cosmological systemgeekof the Brahmins. I only observe that on one of these channels live the Yakchas, on one another 's immortal qu'onappelle Freed, and finally on that which is the most close of Meru the Asuras (3). The Chinese system, which (1) Samml hisLlNachricht., T. II,p.i6. Pallas, with his eiactitudeordinaire, has returned to the presentation of Georgi, which he noted in terms general the divergence of with the own.m Mem. of Acad. desciences de S.-Pétershourg, 1.11, p. 34.,,, ,, .3Journal des Savants, year 1831, p. 606. It will have in this part of the memory of Mr. Remusalseveral names of mountains of which it is easy to find the Sanskrit torme, under 1 alteration Page 70

540INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY consists in placing atmospheric geniuses on back chainsconcentric atMeru and rising to heights uneven the long sapente, is perhaps prefable to that of Georgi, who represents these geniuses on the sides of thismountain; at least hefits better with the Brahmanic theory of the greatchains that surround the Meru. What he in any case, over the orders of geniuses who inhabit the atmosphere, we placeat the fourth station of Meru the 'four great Kings. Georgi uslearn that there isin Tibet twoopinions on this point (1). Next, in effect, that we attribute to the Asuras the third or the fourth degree, the four greatKings go up to the fourth or go down to the third floor of Meru. I dontbalancenotto me put in the first opinion, which is that of Pallas and of Mr. A. Rémusat ;it seems to me to be based on exact documents and more so-carefully studied than thosewhat ifoften confused Georgi (2); it's herthat I followed, when I had the opportunity totalk about the four great Kings (3). The list of our Sutra begins with this order of Deities which are named the Tchaturmaharudjikas. The Tibetans, according to Georgi, the mean by thename of Rgijel-tchhen-bji (4), that hemust read Bgyal, etc., which means exact-ment < thefour great Kings." the Vocabulary pentaglotte gives their namein this way: Gyal {rgyal} -tMen-bjihi rig's,"the tribe of four greattKings (5); "it is the literal translation of the Sanskrit liter adopted by this Voca-bular: Tchatur Maharaja kâyikas. The Tibetans thestill namelldjig-rten-skyong-ba bji, < the four protectors of the universe (6),>whatis only a translation of the Brahmanic name of Lôkapâla. These kingsare frequently cited in thebooks from Nepal, and IExplaintheir names in the notes of a Sutra that I translated morehigh (7). I addonly herethat the term compound and derivative employed byour Sutra means vsthe Gods who form the following of the four great Kings. "It is exactly fromenough low of Chinese transcriptions. Thus Yeoukan tho is the Yugaindhara (Mahâvansi, t. 111,p. 67); Ni min tho lo, Nèmîndra; Ku tu mo ti,Kêtumatî. This name will ^ ignifle not, as the saidM. A. Rémusat, " vexilli perspicacitas, jbut "the region which has standards; "it seems thatthe Chinese have made a game of words on the sufflxe Maii, they have taken to mati, word meaningintelligence. The name of Kiei tho loreminds the Kedara, and tchakra is this chain that the Buddhisies name Tchakravàla and which they make the belt of the earth; hein is very .j0uventmatter

in books Buddhist of Ceylon.(1) Alphab. Ti6., P. 481 and 48iJ.(2) Journal des Savants, year 1833, p. 609. Foe koue ki, p. 139 and 140.(3) See second Memoir, sect. II, p. 149, notes 1, 2, 3, 4.(4) Alphab. Tib., P. 482, n " 12.(5) Vocab. pentagl., sect. xlix, n " 1.(6) Csoma, Tibet. Diction., P. 243, col. 2. Schmidt, Tibet. Wörterb., P. 176.(7) See second Memoir, sect. II, p. 149, notes 1, 2, 3, 4.

Page 71

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .541 celtics othat thetextspaled esignate this class of divine beings; because as they follow this name with the word Bêva(1), they do not allow us toto doubt neither the meaning nor the role of the wordTchatarmahârâdjikas. I come to saythan the Vocabularypenlaglotte gives a synonym for this term in the lessonTchatiir Maharaja kâyikâh, "thosewhich form the continuation of the big four"Kings (2) ;îthis is acollective expression of which we will soon see the analogue. The four great kings, considered independently of their court, are called Tchaturmahârâdjas; Celtic last name is the one that have translated the Tibé-tains. The idea even of the four great kings who re the guardians of the four pointscardinals, their names, and even the way one of them is placed, aredesigns purely Indian, that the Buddhists have changed very little. But what theirbelongsin its own right, it is the belief that these Gods occupythelower degree of a composite scaleof sixranks, which included under thegeneric name of Kâmâvatcharas, "Gods of desire, ">constitute the region of desires or of love, as the Buddhists of all the schoolsnameKâmadhâlu (3), by that the beings who the populate are all submitted also, althoughsousdesvarious shapes ,to effelsof the concupis-cence (4). Above the four great kings, Georgi places the Gsum-btclm-rtsa-gsumor "the thirty-three Gods (5); i>these are the Trayastrimças of black Sutra and, of the Penlaglotte Vocabulary (6). The Tibetans, so we have to believe Georgihave established between the name of the gods andtheir systemof theland a relationship which ihave found no traces in our Sanskrit texts. They assume that thethirty-three gods are like thisnamed because theyrule the universe, which iscomposed of thirty-three parts, namely :five floors, eight seas, seven mountainsgold, twelve continents andislands, and a wall of iron. This explanation meseems invented after the fact, and I am firmly convinced that the Trayastrimçasof Buddhists are exactly thethirty-three Gods of Brahmanism, onethe classifications themoreold of Deities atmospheric and elements ilence that we know in India. We know that it is Indra who, for the Buddhists as for the Brahmans, is the King of the thirty-three Gods, or more precisely, of the thirty-two, since(1) Pûrâdjika, L kha r ". Mahdvansi, t. 111, p. 135.(2)Vocab. pentagl., sect. xLix, n "1.(\) Journal des Savants, year 1831, p. 610. Des Haulesrayes, Researchon the rel. from Fo, inJourn. Asian., T.Vil, p. 315.(5) Alphab. Tib., P. 482, m 13.,.-^". ,..(6) Vocab. pentagl., sect. xlix, n » 2. The Tibetan name is a little altered in this work.

## Page 72

542INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYit is the presence of Indra which form the number of thirty-three (1). Georgi givesto Indra the name of Kia-cjin, which is writtenin Tibetan Brgya-byin; this titleseems to respond to one of Çatamanyu or of Çatakraiu, in which Indra is vul-probablyknown among the Brahmans. At rest, theBuddhists are made, from the skyof thirty-three gods and of the happiness which we are enjoys exactly the same ideathat theOrthodox Indians. Us theplaceat the top of Mêru, and thesayinhabited by the characters as their virtues or their glory there were high; onlyof course, what appears herespecific to Buddhists, it is the idea ifoftenrepeatedin the Sûlras, that the men and

animals kids may after their death, to be reborn for price of their virtue (2). Mr. Schmidt takes the liberty of this circumscription.tance to advance as the thirty-three Gods do not the only inhabitants of this land of delights (3). I dontthenneither confirm nor dispute this assertion; because books are among my hands not say anything at this égard. Je preferhowever believe that at the Buddhists, as in the Brahmins, the number of thirty-three is taken fromclean andused in a restrictive sense . The Buddhistshave even keptwith aperfectexactnessthefour categories of which these thirty-three Divinities are composed. The Eight Vasusare theeight godsproviders of allthegoods', this who is ac-cord with one of theinterpretations of the word Vasu, andwhatremind herancient formula dâtârô vasûnam, ^ an ^ pecèâwy. The eleven Rudras are theeleven Redoubtable divinities, which is very exact. The twelve Adityas are thetwelvemanifestations of the sun, and the two Açvins are the two Divinitiesalwaysyoung people :we do thedo not invokeotherwise in the Vedas or in the ZendAvesta.Immediately above the thirty-three Gods, i.e. the thirdfloor of the region of desires, come the Yâmas, whom Georgi calls Thob-hral-ha (4). Hemust read, as in the Vocabularypentaglotte (5), Hthab auplace of Tkob ^ and translate :o:those who are safe fromquarrels," which comes-Drait the meaning given to that name among the Mongols quiselon Mr. Schmidt thetra-duizeby "free from combat (6)." This explanation is also notvery far from that of the Chinese who, according to M. A. Rémusat, give to the name(1) A. Rémusat, Foe koue M, p. 64 and 65, 128 and 129, and in addition, the references to other itemsindicated in these two substantive notes. See. Journal des Savants, year 1831, p. 610; Schmidt, Mem. of Acad. of Sciences of S.-Pétersbomg, t. II, p. 30 sqg.(2) Foe koue ki, p. 144.(3) Mem. de l'Acad., etc., t. II, p. 30.(4) Al-phah. Tib., P. 483, n » 13.(5) Vocab. pentagl., 1 & ecl. xLix, n " 3.(6) Mem. of Acad. of Sciences of St. Petersburg, t. II, p. 30, and t. IV, p. 216. Page 73

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .543 of Yâmas the meaning of good time (1). According to Mr. Schmidt, the Gods of this skyhave received this name, because they are located outside the domain of good and of bad land minds which are between them in a perpetual struggle, andthat the Asura of the abyss can do nothing against them (-2). If this interpretation is really authentic, it belongs to Buddhists, as well as the place they give to these Gods in their classification of the celestial floors: But we don't can say as much of the name even of Yama, who is in factBrahmanic, and which designates, as we know, a class of gods which the role is not perfectly defined by themythology, although it is frequently cited in the Piirânas (3). The interpretation that give the Chinese the name of Yama is approaching much more than that of the Mongols of the meaningstions of the primitive Sanskrit from which this name derives. According to them, the Yâmas are thusnamed because they measure their days and nights on blossoming andthe fence of lotus flowers (4). This explanation recalls in part one of themeaning the most ordinary from Sanskrit Yâma, which means "eve of three hours. >Under this point of view, the Yamas are the protective deities of divisions of day Indian. The fourth floor from the region of desires is inhabited by the Tuchitas, that the Tibetans name Dgah-ltan (5); it's exactly the translation of withoutwrote Tuchila, "those whichare satisfied. » Georgi renders this name quite well inapplying it in the sky that these Gods inhabit, magncB IcBtitm locus. This meaning isknown for Buddhists of all the nations, Mongolians and Chinese(6); onlythe Chinese, according to M. A. Rémusat, see it as themeaning of "knowledge is enoughhealth, \* whodoes not seem to me to be justified by the etymology (7). I don't even know forwhat

reasonM. Rémusat preferred this interpretation to that of lœtus (joyful)hehad given of Tuchila in his copymanuscript of Vocabularypenta-glottis, work on which hehad undertaken a work considerably as thedeadhas unfortunately interrupted (8). The name of the Gods Tuchitas is still aloan made by theBuddhists at theBrahmanic mythology; but the Bud-dhistes, besides the place theythey have given in their classification stages(1) Foe koue M, p. 144.(2) Mem. of Acad. des sciences de S.-Pélersbourg, t.He, p. 30.(3) Wilson, Vishnu purâna, p. 54, note 10.(4) Journal des Savants, year 1831, p. 610.(5) Alphab. Tib., P. 182 and 483.(6) Schmidt, Mem. of Acad. of Sciences of St. Petersburg, t.II, p. 30. Rémusat, Journal desScholars, year 1831, p. CIO.(7) Foe koue ki, p.145.(8)Vocab. pentagl., sect. xlix, n " 4. Page 74

544INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY celestial, have made of the stay they live the privileged homewhere comes fromto be reborn, to descend aday among the men, the one who didmore than one existence to pass on the earth, and which is destined to become a Buddha par-done. It's a notion that I hadmorea timeopportunity tospeak, and which one finds at any time reproduced in the Sutras. The fifth floor of thesame region is the residence of the Godsthat Georginames Ilphrul-dgah (1), and he explains as follows: gaudium ingensexprodigiis. This is the name that Mr. Schmidt writes, from the erroneous transcription of Mon-gols, Nirmâmvati, but that hetranslates exactly this way :thisthe region fof those who find their pleasure in their own transformations or "tions" (2). "The word production seems to me less exact than that oftransformmation; because theoriginal termof Nirmâ? iarati,as writtengoodourSûlra, means "thosewhofind their delight in their miraculous transformations" Culeuses. " It is of this manner that hears Mr. A. Remusat dansson workunpublished on the Pentaglotte Vocabulary, where hethe results :spiritus gaudens in per-mutationibus (3). The translations that the same author apréférées more laterthat of "heaven of the conversion "or "joyof the conversion," have the disad-deny being obscure :we don't know if we should n'thear conversion in areligious meaning, which would be inaccurate; because Nirmâna and theterms belonging to the same family as this word, never have other meanings, in the tyle buddhique, as one of "transformation resulting from the magic. y>The name and roleof these Deities belong exclusively to Buddhists, and I can't findnothing among the Brahmins who are responsive (4). It can not be denied, however, that the idea of assigning the gods the ability to take to their will form SOMEONE conch will be old in India, as it appears already in the Vedas. It is, I believe in this notion of the powersupernatural gods that must be attributedbuei ' the idea that the Buddhists had of inventing a special sky for the Divinities whocan take all kinds of forms. M. Rémusat thought that this sky hadwas so named because the desires born from the five principles of sensations therewere converted into purely intellectual pleasures (5). The sixth floor of the Desire Region is inhabited by the Gods queGeorgiappointedGjan-hphrul-nbang 'bye, and of which hethus translates thename :prodigiorum(1) Alphab. Tib., P. 182, and p. 483, n » 15.(2) Mem. of Acad. of Sciences of St. Petersburg, t. II, p. 29, and t. IV, p. 216.(3)Vocab. pentagL, xlix, n "5.(4) In fact, the Nirmânaratis, which, according to the Vichnu purâiia, form one of the classes of godsof the eleventh Manvantara, do not seem to me to have any analogy with the Nirmàtiaratis of Buddhists. (See Vishnu purâna, p. 268.)(5) Journal des Savants, year 1831, p. 610.

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .545dominant virtute (1). Our author is correct in a different location(2) and reads, like the Vocabulary Pentaglotte, dvang in place of nbang that will give anyof our lexicons. The meaning which results from these four monosyllables is not perfect. very clear; but heis elucidated by the unpublished translation of the Vocabularypenta-glottisby M. A. Rémusat, who interprets it as follows: spiritus permutans aliena (3), and by that of M. Schmidt, who thus defines the sky inquestion:" theregion of Lone who acts according to his will on the transformations of others, "that is, say, adds the scholarinterpreter, "the region of one to the orders which are "all the forms, which is on all the forms (4). "I must however slideon the other developments in which Mr Schmidt enters on the occasion of thissky which hemade the stay Demara, the God of love and of the passion; nonotthat these developments will be full of views high on the role of Mara inthe doctrine Buddhist, but it'sthat theywould take me too far and that, exceptsome details, I can't find anynot the justification in our Sanskrit texts. I add only that the interpretations that I have just to mention are verified bythe one we can give the term also somewhat obscure notreSûtra, Paranirmita vacavartin, "those who dispose of shapes as they pleasewhat is wrong with<kill the others. "I do not need to warn that these Deities, like the pre-cedent, ownstobuddhist system. With this sixth floor is finished the first of three parts, one of desires and of the lust. I say the first, because that I am the classification of our Sutra, which proceeds up from the earth; hegoes from oneself that fauwould call this region the third if one descended from the higher spheres, as well as did Mr. Schmidt in the memoirs which Ibornthen do betterthan to resend the drive. By continuing to go up, we are entering into the second region, that of forms, inhabited by more perfect beings; they are divided into several classes that we will list in their order.Immediately above the Deities to whichwe attribute the powerofmiraculously transform and at their discretionthe other creatures, come, according toour Sûlra, theBrahma kàyikas,that is to say" Those whoform the continuation of Brahmà. "It is the name of the Gods of whom Brahma is the head, and the Buddhistsfrom the South do not nameotherwise this class of Deities. To this order answertooth, in the Pentaglotte Vocabulary, the Brahma paripalyâ, spelling fau-tive that Ido n't hesitateto be replaced byBrahma parichadyâh, leaning on the(1) Alphab. Tib., P. 182.(2) Ibid., P. 483, no.16.(3) Vocab. pentagl., sect. xlix, n "6.(4) Mem. of Acad. deslsciences de S.-Pélersbourg, t.11,p. U, and t.IV, p. 216.35 Page 76

546INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYeasy confusion of letterste ^ a andTEfcha. The Tibetan version of the Vocabularypentaglolttranslatedthis name by Tshanys-hkhor, "Rrahmâ troop, "Whereturma spirituiim Fan, as understood by Mr. A. Rémusat from the Chinese (1).the Nepaleseknow also that class of deities, although in transcri-wind the name in a way faulty, Brahmaprashâdyâ (2). I will come back loweron these naming differences .Georgi, whodoes not give the Tibetan name of this class of Gods, at least tothe place where hewould be natural to the search, the replaced by a term collectivewhoembraces four classes of Divinities, and expressed byTibetan wordsTs / iangs'phahi gnas bji (or rather pahi] (3) ;Georgi translates thisexpression bysedes quadrupUci contemplationis (jeneri vacantium, but this translation ismanifestly erroneous (4), and the fourTibetan words can only mean that" The four dwellings of Rrahmâ. "In admitting that the analogy of the listTibetan and of that of our Sutra is still here with the same regularity thatfor the previous heavens, one would be led to think that the four

dwellingsof Rrahmâ include the three terms of our Sutra where the name of Rrahmâfigure, plus the Parîttâbhas who come next; but that would be a mistake, becausewe will soon recognize that the Parîttâbhas open a new categoryof Gods. It must therefore be admitted that the four dwellingsof Rrahmâ of the Tiber-some answerto the three spheresof our Sutra, know the Rrahmâ kâyikas, "those who form the continuation of Rrahmâ;"theRrahmâ purôhitas,has thempre-"very or ministers of Rrahmâ, >in Tibetan Tshangs-'pamdim-na hdon (6); andthe Mahâbrahmâs, in Tibetan Tshangs-pa-tchhen-po (6). It would now remain to be determined which of these two enumerations is preferable, of the Tibetans who admit four heavensof Rrahmâ, and ofthat of our Sutra whichdo recognize that three. But some efforts that I havemade to find reasons decisive in favor of one or of the other, itmywas not to be parvenir. Je have the found the one and the other supported by from equally respectable authorities . So the Georgi's classification allows itself to the opinion of the Nepalese, who according to Mr. Hodgson (7) have four heavens whereRrahmâ dominates, and that the call as well:Brahma kâyikas,Brahma purôhitas,(1)Foca &. pen ^ ap'i., sect. L, no 1.(2)Transact. Roy. Asian girl. Soc, t. II, p. 233.(3) Alphah. Tib., p. 182.(4) It seems that it has been borrowed from the title that carries this section in the Vocabulary Pentaglotte: The three contemplative Gods .(5) Vocab. pentagl., sect. l, n ° 2.(6) Ibid., M 3.(7) Transact. Roy. Asian girl. Soc, t. II, p. 233.

Page 77

OF INDIAN BUDDIISM .547Brahma parichadijas and Mahàbrahmânas. But if we compare this classification to that of our Sutra, itseemsthat it results from what is divided in Nepal intotwo distinct orders the Brahmakâyikas and the Brahmaparichadyas, that ourSutra and the Vocabulary pentaglolte appear to unite in a single category. On the other hand, the classification which would recognize that the three heavens of Brahma isfirst adopted by the Sutrawhich gives rise to the present rating, then bythe Vocabularypentaglottequi to alter the names, butwho after all does not recognize born only three orders (1), by the BuddhistsSingaporean (-2), finally by the My-gols, after Pallas and M. Schmidt (3). Thus a Sanskrit Sutra of Nepal speaks of three mansions of Brahma; the Mongols, who usually follow so religiouslies the Tibetan tradition, will recognize that three houses, and Tibetansincount four. This is one of the differences that Mr. A. Rémusat pointed out .in treating the subject even that weoccupies (4), and he will not be possible to reconcile that when we will have the complete translation of the Indian authorities. Tibetan, Chinese and Mongolian on which are based these various classifications.tions; as for the present I regard that of our Sutra as more supported thanthe other. After all, these discrepancies only affect the number of heavenssuperimposed; because for the ideas expressed by the names that these heavens bear, they are exactly the same in one and in the other classification. On the one hand we havefour orders from godswhich thus raise the one above the other:\\\^\circ\$ thosewhoform the continuation of Brahma; 2 " the ministers of Brahma; 3" thosewhich com-feels the assembly of Brahmû; 4° the big onesBrahmâs. On the other side we havethree orders: the\*\*thosewhoform the continuation of Brahma; 2 ° theministers of Brahma; 3 "the great Brahmâs. It is very easy to understand than the continuation and those of the assembly could have been merged into a single class; and otherbe partit is as easily understood as the unique class of Gods named bythe one "those whoform the continuation of Brahma, "and by the other" those who "Composed his congregation,"

has been able to duplicate, ifsome reason, to us(1)Vocah. 'pentagl.,% QCi.i ..(2)The Mahdvansi, t. III,p. 136. HeThere is, however, a confusion in Upham's talk; theMahâbrahmâs are lacking and are replaced by the Brahma kâyikas. I croisqu'il there has here substitutedtution rather than omission.(3) Samml. hist. Nachricht., T. II, p. 48. Mem. of Acad. of Sciences of St. Petersburg, t.I,p. 101. It is the suppression of the Brahma kâyikas which, among the Mongols, reduces to three the numberfrom the heavens of Brahma; but this class is not, to tell the truth, suppressed, if we admit, asme, that it merges with that of the Brahma parichadyas. We will see, by studying the classification of the Buddhists of the South, that this last order is the only one that they admit, and that thus theBrahma kâyikas and Brahma parichadyas not do that.(4) Journal des Savants, year 1831, p. 610.

Page 78

548INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYunknown, demanded that the classification be completed by means of a stage of more. I therefore attach, for my part, relatively little importance to these differences, although I have believed necessary for thereport. That which to me seems plusdigne remark, it is the systematic nature of this classification, where heseems we have desired enlarge the domain of Brahma by forming two or three orders of Godsapproach him. It should then be noted that our texts, in accordance with the tra-edition Nepalese, call thethird or the fourth of these heavens the Mahabrahmâs(Mahâbrahmâmh). Hethere isso several Brahmàssuperiors who canplent the third or the fourth heaven, and these Brahmas are without doubt of beingswhom their holiness has raised to this high rank. This does not prevent that in the books of the Nepal, the existence of a Brahma single will be anytime recalled, andthanthat Brahma will be known in a liter of Brahma sahâîhpati, <Brahma the sou-" Verain of beings who suffer. î IIit is probable that the Brahmâ sahâmpati is themore high and the head of the great Brahmas who inhabit heaven aswe cometo study. What it incould be one of these explanations, on which I do not insistmore, for lack of possessing a fairly large number of texts which the confirmed positively, he I seems that the difficulties we are experiencing in our recognitionborn in this chaos Brahmas come only from this that the ideas Souput to our examination belongat various times. I am convinced, although I don't can not give to this opinion all the desirable evidence, that the notion of a Brahma single, borrowed by the Buddhists to Brahmaism, is the most ancient of all those that we offer this series of three or four heavens subordinate to Brahmâ. This notion is also shown to be pure and alsoclear that this ispossible in the Brahmâ sahâmpati, ruler of the worldinhabited by men. The creation of two or three populated heavens by the beingsserving this Brahma is a sort of homage rendered to the greatness of this God, which belonged originally to the religionIndian before the coming of Çâkya.But at the sametime is the work of a later classification, and which could notrun when the Buddhists have felt the need to incorporate into theirown system the notions which they had received from their predecessors. Finally Isay much of this multiplicationdes Brahmas, who seems in the sky ofMahâbrahmâs, admittingthat flaw, as I the offers, take itpluraltoown. If in the name of Mahâbrahmânah we did was to see a plural, called here by imitation of other heavens inhabited by troops more or lessMany of Deities of the same name, there are would only have a Mahâbrahmâ, and this concept does is that more Indian. Finally, to complete what we know of these three orders from Gods, I will add that they form the first degree of Page 79

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .549spheres of Dhyana or in the contemplation, as it 's called, and that sui-before the Vocabularypentaglotte, they belong to the first contemplation. It is a division onwhich weback, when we will be in the state of embrace it as a whole. Immediately above the Mahâbrahmâs are placed the Gods of the secondcontemplation, which, according to the Vocabularypentaglotte (1), includes threefloors, like the previous contemplation. The common character of these Godsis, and that was well done remarked Mr. A. Remusat (2)the brightness or the light atvarying degrees. the first of the floors going up, that is to say the one that isoccupied by the last class of Gods of this new sphere, is inhabited by the Parîttâbhas, which in Tibetan we call Od-hsal (3). Georgi translates this term^^ x mayni luminis atque splendoris; but this interpretation is certainlyinaccurate, because the reconciliation of the other Buddhist authorities proves that thistitle must mean "thosewhich have a light low, 3) Wherewho are deprived oflight. In our Sanskrit texts from Nepal, parîtta (participatesofpari-clâ) ausually the meaning of limited. In addition, the Pentaglotte Vocabulary translates this nameby Od-tchhung, which has the meaning that Ifound in Panttâhha. The Chinois, according toMr. A. Remusat hear of even the name of the gods; they saythan in the first degree of the secondsphere inhabitGods whose luster is FAIcorn(4); and in its translationunpublished from the Pentaglotte Vocabulary, this authortranslates the Chinese version of the barbaric spelling parîrtâbha (for par Utâ-hhâh) in this way, spiritus fulgens parvus <5). It is, I believe, a point that can't dothe object of no doubt. On top of these gods, whose luster is low, we find beings of a character-tery superior, appointed by our Sutra the Aprammiâbhas, that is to say, vsthose" Whose brilliance is immeasurable. > The Tibetans the call tshad-med-od, this as Georgi translated exactly by luce infinita micantium (6). Mr. A. Rémusatnot thereis not deceived more, when hetranslated the corresponding articleofPentaglotte vocabulary by fulgens sine fine {1). Finally above again, and on the third and last floor of this sphere, comeNENT the Âbhâsvaras, or "those who are all shine. » I could not so fardiscoverin Georgi the Tibetan name of this class of Gods; it is definitely []) Vocab. pentagl., sect. li.(2) Journal des Savants, year 1831, p. 6G8. Foe koue M, p. U5.'(3) Alphab. Tib., P. 182, and p. 484, m 21.(4) Foe koue ki, p. 145.(5) Vocab. pentagl., sect. li, n " 1. M. Schmidt understands it in the same way. {Mem., Etc., t.IV, p. 217.)(6) Alphab. Tib., P. 182, and p. 484, n » 22.(7) Vocab. pentagl., sect. u, n » 2. Foe koue ki, p.145. Schmidt, Mém., Etc., t. IV, p. 217. Page 80

550INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYnot Åsa up in any of the liststhat it gives celestial floors; this is whatof which we can be convinced and by examining these two lists(1), and by theobservations of which the following sphere will bethe object. But the Penta Vocabularyglottis to give in this form Od-gsal, "thosewhich have a resplendent glow"Rhealth (2). >Here Mr. A. Remusat has been less happyonly for articlesprecedants; it was believed that the name of Âbhâsvarasdesignated Gods to whom the Light took the place of voice (3), in the assumption that the word of âbhâsvara wasdivide as follows: Abha-svara, "which was to light the voice. >> We have translatedthis term in the decomposition as well, â-lhâsvara; and the Chinese appear in-tender in the same way, according to Mr. Remusathimself, since in hisunfinished work on the Vocabularypentaglotte, hetranslated this article by spintusfulgensclams (4). This is also the opinion by M. Schmidt. We are now entering the area of the third Dhyana, or of the troi-second contemplation, which following the division of the VocabularyPentaglotte is

com-poses, like the previous one, of three degrees (5). These gods, and that was well saidMr. A. Remusat have to attribute common the virtue and purity (6). We namethe gods of the lower floor Parîttaçubhas, "those with the purity is limited;"and in Tibetan Dgetchhung, what that Georgi makes exactly exiguarum vir -tuiîim {!). We see that this class of Gods is named according to the samesystem as the floors of the previous contemplation .Above come the Apramana çubhas, " those with the under is incommen-" Surable. "The Tibetans thecall tshad-med-dge, this that Georgi makes exactly by virtutum infmûarum regio (8) ;it is also the idea that will make the Chinese, after M. A. Rémusat (9). The remark indicated on the previous articledent also applies to this one; it matcheson the second floor of the secondcontemplation, as the previous matched to the first. I observe,inFurthermore, with Mr. Schmidt, that this class of Gods misses to thelistingNepali, but that it is known to the Mongols (10). The third and most high floors of this sphere is inhabited by the(1) Alphah. Tib., P. 182 and 484.(2)Vocab. pentagL, sect. li, n "3.(3) Foe Lîoue M, p. 145.(4) Vocab. pentagL, sect. li, n "3.(5) Ibid., Sect. read.(6) Journ. des Savants, year 1831, p. 668. Foe koue ki, p. 145.(7) Alphab. Tib., P. 182 and 484. You should read in the two places cited tchhung, and not Wmng; the Vocabulary Pentaglotte do is not that wrong. (Sect. Lir, u ° 1.)(8) Alphab. Ttb., P. 182, and 484, No. 24.(9) Journ. des Savants, year 1831, p. 668. Foe koue ki, p. 145.(10) Mém, de l'Acad, des sciences de S, -Pétersbourg. t.\, p. 102; and t. IV, p. 217, Page 81

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .551Cubhakritsnas, ^ <thosewhich are all purity. "The two lists of Georgi negivenot this phrase to the spot where it should be ;but I acquired the knowledgeviction, after a searchcareful, that the translation of the word Cubliakrilsnamust be looked for at n ^ 17 of its second list (1), whereas a result of an unexplainedble confusion itwas placed, against all the authorities that I have known. Yes, in effect, the place deGed-rgyes one bed Bge-rcjyas, as in the Pen Vocabularytaglotte (2), we will translate this compound by extended purity, that is to say " thosewho" Have aabsolute purity, " exactly like the Chinese and the Mongols enten-Cubhakritsnas tooth (3). Above this stage who ends the sphere of the third Dhyàna, welet's enter the fourth, that is to say in the contemplation than that according to our Sutra is composed of eight degrees, and according to the Penta Vocabularyglottis of nine, for some reason that Isay just now. The lower degree of this sphere is occupied by the Anabhrakas or "thosewhich are cloudless. ">We would look in vain in thehsterde Georgi the Tibetan denomination of these Gods, if we did not use alittle of this freedom that I have allowed myself with regard to from the previous article. In fact, immediately to the result of Dge-rgyas, that Ibelieve to be the Cubhakritsnas, I find the Pri-med (4), a term which in a formfaulty hides the Sprin-med compound, that is to say "which is cloudless. "It is therestill a correction that is confirmed by the Vocabularypentaglotte (5). The Chinese and the Mongols hear of even the name of these Divinities (6); and Mr. A. Rémusat adds that they arename sobythat they have more need of the support of the clouds that are necessary to the Godsplaced below f them. After the Anabhrakas come the Punyaprasavas which the name is likely of several interpretations which will be that of the nuances the one of the others. Weis the result of three ways: 1 those whose origin is in the purity, that is to say " those who quitof the purity;"2 ° " thosewho produce the "purity; "S " ' those with the productions or the descendants are pure. » The last two interpretations fit in about one in the other, and I hesitatenot to prefer the first, like more genius compliant of

the classical languagethan. The list of Georgi not put out of placethe Tibetan translation of this title; but by continuing the corrections to which this list seems to me susceptible, Ifind(1) Alphab. m., p. 484, n » 17.(2)Vocab. pentagl.jsect. lu, n " 3.(3) Foe koue ki, p.145. Schmidt, Mem. de l'Acad., etc., t. IV, p. 217.(4) Alphab. Tib., P. 484, n » 18.(5)Vocab. penlagl., sect. him,n " 1.(6) Foe koue ki, p.145. Vocab. pentagl., sect. Lin, n » 1.Schmidt, Mém., Etc., t.IV, p. 217. Page 82

552.INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYthe class of Gods that we are looking for in the expression So-rnam-rgyês (1), that I read Bsod-nams-skyes, like the Pentaglolt Vocabulary (2), andthat I translatethus: "Those whoborn of the purity. 3>Here again I present this cor-rection with even more of confidence, that the article to which it relates is,in the list of Georgiimmediately after the title that I have proven matchto the previous article, Anabhraka. M. A. Rémusat translates this wordaccording to the Chi-nois by <happy life (3),>which is a bit vague. His unpublished work on the Vocabulary pentaglotte wears spiritusnascens ex diviliis(4), expression where divitiis is certainly improper, but of a kind of impropriety which is foundin Bsod-nams, Tibetan wordswhich meanat theboth fortune, happiness andmoral merit or purity. The Sanskrit punya has fortunately not a so greatnumber of acceptances. The third floor of the sphere of the fourth contemplation is inhabited bythe Vrihalphalas," Thosewho have thegreat rewards. "By following thenew order that I propose to listby Georgi, we find the Hbres-bu-tchhe-ba (5), this that I corrected and, in agreement with the Pentaglotte vocabulary, Ilbras-bu, etc., and that Itranslate " great rewards, "that is to say" Those((who have the big rewards. "The Chinese, according to M. A. Rémusat (6), do not hear otherwise this title, which can cause no difficulty. I warnonly the people who might be tempted to grantin the Penta-Vocabularygathers a trust that this collection does not seem to me to deserve completely, thatthe name of Vrihalphalas yis altered so as to not be able to be recognized. IIIt is also necessary to note the difference offered here by the Nepalese classification, such asMr.Hodgson has received from his Ruddhiste, with thoseof ourSûlra, of the Vocabu-lar pentaglolte and the books Mongolian: is that after the sky of the Vrihalphalas, the Nepalese Arangisaltvas place of, or the ones not passionate, including our others lists dodo not speak (7). It seems that this new name is just a synonymone of the other classes already known, perhaps the Avrihas, to whom we will go, and who do are likelycalledGods free from ef-strong that because they are free from passions and from all attachment. I will notefurther down the existence of another class of Gods specific to the Nepalese, including the in-ventionwould also be explained well in this way. I do however notice-(1) Alphab. Tib., P. 484, n » 19.(2) Vocab. pentagl, sect. him, n » 2.(3) Foe hoe ki, p. 146.(4) Vocab. pentagL, sect. him, n » 2. Compare Schmidt, Mém., etc., t. IV, p. 217.(5) Alphab. Tib., P. 484, n » 20.(6) Foe koue ki, p. 146. Pentagl. Vocabulary, sect. him, n "3.(7)Transact. Roy. Asian girl. Soc, t. II, p. 234. Page 83

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .553quer that the Buddhists of Ceylon have here an order of Gods, that Upham callsAssanjasattkaya {i), and that the titlepaled,if there the bedAsanyasatla, at thesamemeaning that the Arangisattvas of the Nf ^ Palais.Atfourth degree come the Avrihas, including thename is not perfectclear; it can mean <thosewho do not grow "or tthose who do are not"Of effort. » The Chinese version of the Penlaglotte Vocabulary, such aswhat hears itMr. A. Rémusat, gives the latterinterpreting the

precision that itman-that; this learned the makes, in effect, by spiritus sine cogitationibus (2), or "the sky"where hethere apas of reflection. "I will make no difficulty to admit this sense that I determine with a little more of sharpness again, in saying:" Thosewhich will make" No effort (to think). "As for the Tibetan translation of this title, is the find, after having exhausted the transpositions that I have reported previously, under this form Medium tchhe-ha(3) composed who did me seems likely that aonly meaning,"The one who is not tall. "But this meaning will be Rap-not close enough to ^ nmiûi Avrlha, so that I do not suspect somethingerror; and I suggest to read Mi-mchhed-pa, which according to the Dictionary of Csoma could be translated as " thosewhich do not extend, "with thesamedegree of vagueness than the Sanskrit Avrîha. This is also the version adopted by M. Schmidt, according to his Mongolian authorities, who appear here to follow step by stepthe Tibetans (4). Above the Avrihas come the Atapas, "thosewho do not feelof((pains, "Georgi 's called in Tibetan Medium dnng-ba (5); but hedo not translateno more thisname than the previous ones. Ido not hesitate to re-establish here the lesson that I believe the real one, and to read Mi-gdung-ha ^ «thosewhich are free from sulfurfrance, "exactly like the Pentaglotte Vocabulary. The Chinese intendwell Atapa, and M. A. Rémusat translates their version in these two different ways annuities, spiritussine mœrore and "the sky without tiredness (6)." M. Schmidt translatedin the same way this name by "the Gods free from suffering. "Then come, in the sixth degree, the Sudrïças, "those who see well;"these are the Chin-tu-ba-mtho Georgi (7) lesson that I correct and, CJiin-u-mthong-ba, to translate ithose who see perfectly. >The Pen Vocabularytaglotte moves this interpretation, in the putting under section which follows, and re-(1)The Mahdvansi, t. III, p. 136.(2)Vocab. pentagl, sect. him, no. 4. Foe koue ki, p. U6.(3) Alphab. Tib., P. 182; and P. 485, n "25; and Mitchhe, in the Vocab. Pent., Sect. Him, n" 5.(4)Same. of Acad. of Sciences of St. Petersburg, 1. 1, p. 103; and t. IV, p. 217.(5) Alphab. Tib., P. 182 and 485, n " 26.(6) Vocab. pentagl., sect. him,n " 5. Foe koue ki, p. 146.(7) Alphab. Tib., P. 182; and P. 485, No. 27.

## Page 84

554INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY opposite; heis could hewas in this point less exact than Georgi. The explanation that I propose is also that of the Chinese, at leastaccording toM. Rémusat, who translates their version as follows :spiritîis bonus vivus, or " the sky ofto Gods who seebeautifully all the worlds (1). "Here again the Voca-pentaglotte bular greatly alters the Sanskrit term .At the seventh degree of the same sphere are established the Sudarçanas, including thename must mean" Those whose the appearance is beautiful."The Tibetans, after Georgi, they give the name of Gya-name-snang-ba (2), which may want to say" Those who have a good appearance. » The Chinese, after Mr. A. Rémusat, understand it so ; because in his translation inédile the Vocabulary Pentaglotte, hetranslated their version of the way, bonus apparent (3); but in his noteson the Foe koue kl, itreturns the name of Sudarçana by "the sky of the godsfortwhich all is present and manifest (4). "The first interpretation seems to meof much better; the second would only reproduce the idea expressed by the name of the Gods of the previous sky. Above these Gods, andbefore the Akanichthas who will follow, the listnepaliof Hodgson instead a class very fact unknown to the other self-authorities Buddhist, that of Sumukhas (5). This liter of Sumukha means"The Gods"the beautifulface (6), i>and hereminds us so well of the Sudarcanas that precede, that I amtried for the look as a mere synonymthe name of

Sudarçana. Hewill be that this term will be slipped into the listin quality of commentaryname last, we would have been without it confused with that of Sudriças.I have already made an observation analogous towith regard to the Arangisatlyas; but I admitthat this remark has for me moreof value here in the ladle the classof the Gods that I recall. I add only that means of the addition of thesetwo classes, the Nepalese Buddhists count ten heavens of the fourth con-templation, in place of eight that recognize the Chinese and the Mongols of ac-cord with our Sutra. Finally, in the eighth degree come the Akanichthas, that is to say"themorehigh, >on the name of which Ihad the opportunity to explain to me morehigh (7).(i)Vocab. pentagl., sect. him, n "6.(2) Alphab. Tib., P. 182; and P. / t85, n » 28.(3) Vocab. -pentagl., sect. him, n " 7. The original Sanskrit term is here again almost disfigured by the publisher of this work. (4) Foe koue ki, p. 146.(5)Transact. Roy. Asian girl. Soc, t. II, p. 234.(6) S, Schmidt translated this name by : höchste Vostrefflichkeit, <the most high excellence. » I don't knowon what is based this interpretation, Mr. Schmidt changes elsewhere in there adding the idea ofclarity. {Same. of Acad. des sciences de S.-PtHersbourg, t.I, p. 102; and t.IV, p. 217.)(7) Above, sect. H, p. 154, note 1.

Page 85

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .555I showed that this name meantliterally "those who do are not the most"small." The Tibetans the call Og-min "those who do not lowerlaughs, "exact translation from Sanskrit Akanichtha. Georgi makes this expressionthroughaltissimvs (1): the good one father, who has hardly any verve except to say inju-res to Beausobre, had forgotteninterpret the most large number of wordsprev-teeth; hewas remembered here that he had to translate, or sayhe did the could n't. The Chinese understand the title of these Gods in the same way; thus, in his Work unpublished on the Vocabulary pentaglotte, Mr. A. Bémusat themakesby prin-ceps supremus (2) ;but they add, if at least hehave to believe the Vocabu-lar Pentaglotte, a sky most highwhich crowns the sphereof the fourth con-templation. This is what this work writes in a barbaric manner, Mahâçva-rivasanamra, spelling that Mr. A. Bémusat has re-established by reading Mahêcvaravasanam (3), i.e. îthe home of Mahêçvara. "I think I can argue that this addition of a ninth heaven to the eight that give our Sutra is justified by any of the ancient Sanskrit books which areat my disposal. She could be at allmore by the Tantras ; because the idea of this sky of the great îçvara is clearly aloan made to Śivaism. we inmayboldly conclude that the Pentaglotte Vocabulary was compiled by from Beligious to whom the mixture of Civaism with theBuddhism wasfamiliar. Maybe this addition hasbeen favored by the necessity in which we find ourselves Vait have twenty-four heaven, since the more down, or one of the four majorWood, up to the highest. In fact, without the deineure the great Isvara, theVocapentaglotte bular, like our Sutra, has only twenty-three Gods, while that if, in place of three floors qu'assignentthese two authorities in the heaven of the Brahmàs, one in account four as are the Nepalese and the Tibetans, the number total of twenty-four is obtained, without it is necessary to countnine heavens of the third tale.-nplation in place of eight. In any waythe addition of a sky, Mahêçvara's special stay, is all the more remarkable, than the Buddhists who havegathered the materials that appearin the Vocabu-lar Pentaglotte could placeMahêçvara in theskyof the Akanichthas, and that have made the Népalaisà againstof their Adibuddha (4). She provesthat the Buddhists do not know this Ādibuddha, including the Sutras of the North, and as I 've said plusd'une time, do not speak more than books Mongolian (5).(2)Vocab. pentagL, sect. him, n "8.fi! ZlZXnl ^ i. Roy- Asiat. Soc,

t. II. p. mr. The Nepalese write thename of this skyAgnichiha. This spelling is all the more faulty as it offers asense ^(5)Schmidt, Mem. of Acad. of Sciences of St. Petersburg, t.I, p. 97. Mr. Schmidt confirms that Page 86

556INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYLet us now summarize what results from this presentation for theknowledge of the Buddhist mythology. 11 is evident that since the four great kings untilthat to the great Brahmâs, it is the Brahmanic ideas which dominate, and whichprevailin number 'and importance of theown ideasto Buddhiststes (i). What belongsto the latter, it is, in addition to the invention of two ordersof Divinities unknown to the Brahmans, the classification based on this hypothesis, that as the heavens move away from the earth, theyincrease inpower and inpurity. Above the great Brahmas to the Akanichthas, everything is buddhist, invention and disposition. These fourteen heavens, which with the four of Brahmâ constitute the world of forms divided into four spherescontemplation, leave well see, as was said Mr. A. Remusat, that istried to grade the perfection by piling upideas of purity, light and of magnitude (2). Can we say that all this is contemporary with Çâkya? It is this that Iwould not dare to affirm; heis always certain that these designs are oldin the Buddhism, because they belong to the two grandes écoles, which havebegan to be separated of the common core, threecenturies BC. Wewill recognizewithout doubt later hemust distinguish between theframe andthethe way heis filled; the framework is the belief in four degrees of con-templation, that Çâkya andhis first disciples are thought to have crossed. These degrees of contemplation are purely philosophical, and all the more perfect they are a rank most high. The inhabitants of the three Where four heaven of Brahma, as well as those of the four teen upper floors are rattaat these four degrees of Dhyâna, probably because each of these Dhyânas is thekind of speculation which these various Gods willare delivered frompreference, andwhich earned themto reside in one of the corresponding spheresdantes (3). Iprobably say, because I have to admit that Idid notfindno positive affirmation in this regard in thetexts Sanskritthat i have consulted. To complete the exhibition complete of system higher worlds, such the Ādibuddha Supreme is completely unknown to the Tibetans, and it will get not find thethe slightest trace in their books; However, the Tibetans who translated the Tantras where he in isquestion, and in particular the Kala tchakra, should theto know. Mr. Schmidt's assertion iscertainly too general, at least it does account not the Tantras the number of books cano-nics. I am very close to being of this feeling; but I do not believe itno less necessary todistinguish and to say to quelleclasse of books we speak.(1) Mr. Hodgson had already made this point. {Transact. Roy. Asian girl. Soc, t. II,p. 248, note 7.)(2) Foe koue ki, p. 146. Journ. des Savants, year 1831, p. 669.(3) A. Rémusat, Essay on the Cosmogon., In Journ. des Savants, year 1831, p. 668. Page 87

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .557that the design of the Buddhists, heshould speak of the third region, that isie of the most high of all, that under the collective name of "Begion without" Form, or of what has notof form, d comprises four heavens of which the Vopentaglotte cabular gives the names (1). I could refer to the Special Memorandumby M. Schmidt inwhich this learned author gives a philosophical theory of this immaterial region, where the infinity in space and in intelligence is crowned through a sky where hethere has neither ideas nor absence of ideas (2). Cornnot having foundinour Sanskrit texts from Nepal the confirmation of this theory, that the idea springcipalis that Buddha is

united in these heavens h the Pradjùà PARAMITA or to aNirvana perfect, apart from any relationship with the subject, Iwould beobligatory, for the chat, enter into clarifications which would increase con-terribly Celtic note already very long. I will also have a favorable opportunity rable to return to this subject in the second volume of this work, inexamining a very curious passage from the pale texts; I will then show whichide the Buddhists have of the creation of all these worlds, which is forthern the results necessary for the conduct of beings moral that the live, and not the work of unDieu creator that the Buddhism has ever known.No V. THE SANTAL NAMED GOÇÎRCHA.f(SECOND BRIEF, SECTION III, PAGE 217.) The name of this sandalwood means cow's head ;heseems that this species is themoreestiméede all, because it 's is often cited in thelegends. The Tibetans the transcribe quite exactly Celtic way gor chi cha, and rightly consider it a proper name that theykeep in their versions (3). I am convinced that it is this same name expressed by the Mon-gols by the word of Giirschoscha, species of Sandalwood who, following thelegend rela-tive to the discovery of the imagemiraculous of Avalôkiiêçvara, not growing that in the northern partfrom the Malayas Mountains (4). Well does not teach us if the Mongols know the true meaning of their Gurschoscha; but heseems to meobvious that they have known, though in the modifying a little, that of the Sanskrit term(1)Vocah. pentagL, sect. liv.(2) Mem. of Acad. of Sciences of St. Petersburg, t.I.,p. IOL and 102. See also the same collection, t. IV, p. 217.(3) Schmidt, Der Weise und der Thor, p. 282, text; and P. 353, Irad. German.(4) Jd. Geschichte der Ost-Mongolen, p. 332.

## Page 88

558INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYprimitive, Gôçîrcha. I hesitatenotto recognize the sandalwood (summer cow in the species that the Mongols call elephant head (1). This change in signi-fication comes to this that the Mongols have borrowed this name to Tibésome, in the language of which the name of the ox, glang-po, takes, with the billof tchhen (large), themeaning of elephant, that is to say big ox. The Mongols, who must to Tibetans what they know about productions natural resources of India, could well have made this mistake, which after alldid notof great importance. The name of Gôçîrcha is also classicin India; is the located in the Amara's vocabulary, and Wilson explains it thus: "species of Sandalwood of the LYING"their of copper and a smell strong (2).» Heis notless familiar withRuddhistes the South, and Clough on gives dansson Vocabulary Paliin its formsoftened Gosîsa (3). Abul-Fazel cites a different species of sandalwood which the name hasescaped to the authors of the Kurdish Studies (4); it is that of eMekasiry (5), which is certainly the same as thei  $^i$   $^i$   $^i$   $^i$   $^i$  or the Sandalwood Makassar name that theseauthorsreport according to Sprengel. The Tibetan legend of the Avalôkitêcvar statue speaks of yet anotherspecies of sandalwood which the name Mongolian means heart ofsnake, and which I havenot the way to restore the name Sanskrit; heseems, according to legend, that is a Sandalwood divine who will grow as in the most high region of the sky of the Ruddhists, among the Gods Akanichthas (6). It is however not useless to point out thatthe two heart words of snake, in Sanskrit sarpa hrldaija, could, if they were displaced, hrîdaya sarpa, take the meaning of " who has snakesin the heart. "However, we knowthan in some Indian poets, and in particular inDjayadêva, author of Gita Govinda, the trees of Sandalwood MountainsMalayas are frequently pointed out as the lairs of snakes which retreat into the cavities of their trunk. If so hewas established as the Sandalwood which speaks the legend Tibetan has been amed in Sanskrit hridaya sarpa, one would not see in

this name aSandalwood from elsewherefabulousthat a figurative expression suitable for designating anyspecies of sandalwood inGenerally, after a character common to all the varieties of this tree. It would remain to find the reason of the name of head of cow given to the pre-motherspecies of Sandalwood. Does it come from the brown color of this wood? It is this that(1) Schmidt, Geschichte der Ost-Mongolen, p. 15, 313 and 314.(2) Sanscr. Diclion., P. 302, col. 1, ed. 1832.(3) Pali Gramm. and Vocab., p. 28, st.18 b.(4) Polt and Rudiger, Kurd. Studien, in Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenîandes ^ X. V, p. 80.(5) Gladwin, Ayeen Akbery, t.I,p. 92, in-4o.(6) Schmidt, Geschichte der Ost-Mongolen, p. 330 and 332.

Page 89

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .559I can not say, because the greyish cows are ingeneral more commonrinde in that the cats. Mr. the D ^Roulin, whom I consulted on this subject, thinksas the name of head cowcould stick with the analogy that exists between odora little musky of certain sandals and that which exhalesin general the body of ox-type animals. This odor, among the species where it is the most pro-stated, exists mainly in the tuft ofhairs that covers the forehead. According to this hypothesis, then ame of Tchandana Gôçircha tantamount to saying "Sandalwood which has" The smell of the head of the ox." "Before closing, I should point out that the name of Sandalwood that I just examined is the only one, to my knowledge, that appears in the legends Buddhist. I do n't remember having met morea timethe name of the sandalwood produced by the Malayan mountains, namely Malayq tchandana. It's in, the legend of the prince who gives his body to a tigress to devourstarving, legend that is part of Suvarnaprabhàsa, whose antiquity isaccording tome very doubtful (1).NO VI. - FROM THE NAME OF ÇAKALA.(second MEMORIAL, SECTION HI, PAGE 384.) This name recalls that of the city formerly famous of Çâkala or Sâkala, who is alreadycited in the Mahabharata (2), and that the Greeks were known as thenames from 2 to 77 ") iafollowing Arrien (3), under that of y ^ ù ^ ccaccording to Ptolemy, and finally under that of È ^ OvS ^ a, name which, according to the same Ptolemy, is synonym of say ^ s and which is related, as Bayer has long said, in the name of the kingbactrian Euthydema (4). Some difficulties that still exist on the position precise of this city, because of the imperfect knowledge that we have of Current Punjab where all the criticsaccordentà is the look, rienn'est lessfabulous thatits existence. It would be very difficult to add somethingwe-veal with in- depth discussions which she has been the subject of the handdeLassen (5) and of Wilson (6). Rappelons seulement that Lassen, while in renouncing onthe authority of Droysen, at the idea that the Càkalaof the Mahàbhârata or the Sangala(1) Suvarna prabhàsa, f.HO a, man. of the Soc. Asian girl. Conf. Schmidt, Mongol Gramm., P. 161.(2) Lassen, Pentapot. Ind., P. 64.(3) Exped. Alex., 1.V, c. xxi and xxii.seen4The sen, loc. cit., p. 20 and 36. Conf. Benfey, Jndt. "p. 80 of extn. ^;(5) Lassent Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenland, t. I, p. 350, and 1.111, p. 157 ff., And p. iSU.(6) Ariam antiqua, p. 196 sqq. Page 90

560INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY of Arrien, recognizes that Çâkala is thecapital desBahîkas, and it is conse-quemment in I Punjab; and ofmore, that hedo not stray further from thisregion, by making Çâkala thecapital of Madras. I look like lessdemonstrated onreconciliation that hetry to establish between this name and the Çâkaladvîpaof the Mahâbhârata. Al. Burnes identifies Sâgala d'Arrien with Lahore (1); and Benfey without going that far, not believed not the two cities very distant one ofthe other (2). Finally

Masson (3) finds the ruins of Sâgalaou Sangalaon the Site of Harrîpa, sixty miles to the southwest of Lahore. It is to this opinion, including Lassen disputes precisely the perfect evidence (4), that seems to storeMr. Wilson (5). The name of Çâkala is cited in the legends Buddhistof the North, without itis possible to discover the realposition of the city that the door. At the end of the legend of Açôka, heis said that Puchpamitra, thefifthsuccessor of thisPrince, is surrendered to Çâkala for ydétruire the religion of Sakya, promising percentDinâras for each headof śraman.a that itwould bring (6). The name of Çâkala is also known to the Buddhists of Ceylon, in the form of Sâgala; and Mr. Tumor was given to curious extracts a faded book, the Milinda fainted, where Milinda, king of Sâgala, is represented discussing with the sage Nâgasêna on themain points of theBuddhist religion (7). I will come back, inspeaking of Nâgasêna, on this book of which I have a versionSinhalese, and who does not haveto myeyes all the importance that it attaches Mr Turnour. I am content, inwhat touches the word that webusy, to make for the moment the observations following. The difference of these two spellings, Sâgala and Sangala, cannot dono difficulty. One of Sangala is aprovincialism which is located in the Sinhalese transcriptions of a large number of Sanskrit words; so the king Nagasêna is usually called Nangasêna; Nagara is written Nangara, and the Sâgala from the Pali text of Milinda is read Sangala in the Sinhalese gloss of thisdelivered. The addition of this nasal before a guttural has usually to efTetto require the substitution of sign of the vowelshort to that of the long one, frommannerthat the changing sign, the quantityremains the same. In this respect, Ithe compared to the doubling of a consonant in the words faded, doublingwho(1)Travelsin Bokhara, t. III, p. 182.(2) Gôtting. gel. Anzeig. May 184), p. 759.(3) Suggest. on Vie site of Sangala, in Joum. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t. VI, p. 58.(4) Zeitschrift, etc., t. IH, p. 154 sqq.(5) Ariana antiqua, p. 197 and 198.(6) Divya avad., F. 21 1b. Above, second Memoir, sect. III, p. 384.(7) Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.V, p. 530 sqq.

Page 91

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .561 wants to be preceded by abbreviated vowel . Although peculiar to p: \liofCeylon, ipersuades me that the insertion of this nasal has also taken place in India atancient times. One in finds of traces in the original dialects prac-crile, and, to not of our subject, the two Greek spellings Sâgalaand Sangala cannot be explained otherwise; obviously the first reproduced the learned form, and the second the formpopular. I do n't need to warnthat this rapprochement does notrelates only to the name, andhe does not prejudge anything onthe contested identity of the Sâgala d'Arrien and the towns of Càkala, Sâgala and Sangala Indians. I added that if my analysis is correct, it puts forward to nothingall the etymology of this word, we would look at taking account of the nasal, as M. Masson tried to do (4). It 's not that I'm watching the lesson of sagala as aperfectly correct spelling: I do n't hesitateon the contrary, to see in it the alteration of Câkala ^ as a result of the substitution of thesweet to the strong, which takes place inseveral dialects of the North of India. Thetrue lesson is certainly that of the Mahâbhârata and the Buddhist legends .We do not no longer be in doubt about the kind of initial wheezing; thismust be Çâkala, as writtenLassenaccording toPànini anda manuscript of Ràmâyana (2). This spelling is the only one which lends itself to an etymological explanation .regular logic; I propose, in fact, to see there" The dwelling of the Cakas, "inby virtue of a derivation analogous to thatthanLassen given to ethnicSimhala, "the home of the lions. " The presence the Sakas or Sacae in this part of

Turkey, prior to the invasion of Alexander, however new itmay seem, is not, however, impossible. We know also that the denomination of Çaka was in antiquitythat of the generality of cavalry peoplesand nomads, in contrastofpeoples established in the cities. This interpretation name of Çâkala explain even if it could be more explicitly demonstrated, the reproaches and blame which the Mahabharata wither the morals dis-solutions of its inhabitants. N "VII. - OF THE PRATÎTYASAMUTPADA EXPRESSION .(SECOND BRIEF, SECTION IV, PAGE 432.) Here is an explanation of the sacramental term of PratUya samutpâda, that the commentator of the Abhidharma kôça attributes to the philosopher Çrîlâbha. In this(1) Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.VI, p. 60.(2) Zeitschrift, etc., t.111, p. 212.36 Page 92

562INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY composed, frati has the meaning of succession, repetition; ittia means made to go,to go away; it is the suffix? / "(1) which here gives the word itya the meaning offaifto go away, to disappear; in a nutshell, itya meansunstable. The prepositions am means together, in connection; and pad, preceded by ut, means appearance. From there heresults that the compound Pratîtya samutpâda can be translated as follows: "The < production connected with the conditions made to disappear successively; ifor, adds the commentator, no condition is ever born on its own (2).I will come back to this termimportant in my review of the singha- collectionease. I only notice herethat, although formed of elements all in factSanskrit, the word pratîtya does not exist, to my knowledge at least, in the classical language of the Brahmans; I didfinds pratyaya (3), which meanscause, origin. Such must be, I have no doubt, the meaning of Buddhist pratîtya, andif the commentator Çrilâbha prefers that of unstable in this sense, it is 1"he willholds more strictly to the etymology according to which pratîtya is aparticipates adjective; 2 <" that he only considers the philosophical sense of api es which the conditions, which are successively causes and effects, have to character instability. Cornwithout going far from the Sinhala, reflecting pratity by cause, and inus in holding the authorities of the North, we find the sense of reason giventhroughthe Tibetan interpreters, who, remarkably, waive for this word to themsystem of material literality .So theversiontibetanof Saddharmapundarîka (4) translates Pratîtya samutpâda by rten-tching-hbrel-har-hbyur-ba,"The concatenated, connected production of causes, " expression for the interpretation of which Schröter (5) provides therten-tching-hbyal-barhbyuny-ba formula," Two things united together, like thecause and effect,»And the sentence rten-tching-hbrel-bar-hbyung-ba-yan'lag-btchu'gnis-ni,"twelve rootswhich are" United with the causes and the eflect,">or perhaps that are united among themselves, in thisthey are mutually effect and because the one of the others, which is precisely the reunion of the twelve Nidânas. However, if we analyze the Tibetan version, wefound therefirst rten-tching, which Schröter translates as cause; this is the word that responds toSanskritpratîtya.Next comes hberl-bar, a word thatis present in a formadverbial, and which means"In a related way . » This adverb modifies certainnement the word which follows hbyung-ba, "production," And herepresents the prefixsam in the expression samutpâda. I go so literally that made by(1) This suffix, in Pânini, is called Kyap. (Pànini, III, i,i09.)(2) Abhidharma kôça vyâkhyâ, fol. 213 b.(3) Derived from the same radical as pratîtya.(4) Sanskrit text, ch. i,f.11 a.(5) Bhotanta Diction., P. 338, col. 2. Page 93

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .563 related production ^ which gives me for the entire expression:" The Productionyewrelated causes. "From Celtic phrase Tibetan, quijoue a so large rolein the system primitive of the philosophy Buddhist, the only part that I RENagainst in the dictionaries of Csoma and of Schmidt is rten-hbrel, <connection<fundamental, reciprocal agreement. "And as for the first monosyllable,</p> whichin the Lotus version of the good lawis followed bytching, formative of gen-rondif, we see that it translates, as I indicated at the beginning, not the meaningetymological, but the extension value of pratyaya. At rest, the translation that gives the Lotus and Schroter's composed PratUyasamutpâda is classic; becauseI the finds in the collection ofcaptions publishedrecently by M. Schmidt (1). Heis question, in the third chapter of thiswork, of a Sutra entitled: Rten-tching-hbrel-bar-hbyung-ba-btc/iu-ghis-kyiMDO, that Mr. Schmidt translated: Der years denzw'ôlf gegenseitigen Dedingungen Entstandene. The presentnotesufficiently explain why I would translate this title of the manner following: vsThe Sutra of the TwelveproductionsRelated to" Causes. >I think I remember to have seen a similar title in a collection of Sinhalese Vinaya inpaled; but the research that Imade for the backhave so far been unsuccessful; I hope to be able to come back to it in the second volume of this book. No Yin. - ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS. Page 58 fm the third paragraph. -[For clarification that I have given on the application that we make of the title of Upadêça to the books named Tantras, it is in-dispensable from the addition of the following.]Hetherehas nothing to rest, that from very natural to see the Tantras called Upadêça; because this last word, in addition to its general meaningmind, instruction, still has the meaning any special â ^ initiation, that is to say, of "communication of a mantra or formula by which the master initiates<the disciple (2)."This word is familiar to all the schools Brahman, and nothing is more common in India than to hear people say:"\J Upadêça,or the formula"initiation, of such or such sect is such or such Mantra. "It is exactly inthis last meaning that the Buddhist Tantras use the word of Upadêça, and thisis all the more natural as the Tantra does is that of books originally civaites, that is to say the works which the fund is Indian and independent of (1) Der Weue md der Thor, text, p. 26; trad., p. 30.(2) Wilson, Sanscr. Diction., P. 154, ed. 1832.

Page 94

564'INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYBuddhism, and that I try to theprove in the section V of my secondMemory.Page 66, line d 2, and notes \ of the page 67. - Thefour principles of the powersupernatural, or more exactly, the four foundations of this power are listed in a waymore complete in the Vocabularypentaglotte (1) thatin our text, where two of the names they beardo are shown in abbreviated form.I said in the footnote 4, atto which this addition relates , that without commentwe don'tcould be flattering to understand parfaitementces formulesobscures; However the comparison , in the release that give the Tibetans with the termsoriginals allows me to attempt an explanation. The fundamental term is Rîddhipada, that the Tibetans represent by Rdzu-hphrul-gyi-rkang ^ 'pa,"the foundation of miraculous transformations.>The first of these foundsments is, for the Vocabularypentaglotte, as for our text, Tchhandasamddhi prahâna satriskâra samanvâgatay compoundwhose examende the release Tibetan authorizes me to place all the terms in the following report: "Endowed with"The design of renunciation to the meditation of desire. "Where therefollows that the first foundation of the power surnaturelleconsiste in the faculty of con-to see him abandon all idea of desire, or is the

effect of this faculty. The secondfoundation, including the name is not given that in short in the text of our Sutra, is thus developed by the Pentaglotte vocabulary: Tchitta samâdhi prahânasaiïiskâra samanvâgata, andwe can theliterally translate into thesamesystem:" Endowed of the designrenunciation to the meditation of the thoughts."It follows from there that the second foundation of the power surnaturelleconsiste inthefaculty of conceiving the abandonment of any idea of thought. The third foundsment is Virya samadhi prahâna saiïiskâra samanmgata. After this that I justto say the two wordsprevious ones, I can move forward, withoutinsist more ontheLast, as the third foundation of the power supernatural consists in the faculty of conceiving the abandonment of any idea of energy, thefourthfoundation is called Mîmâmsâ samâdhi prahâna samskâra samanvâgata; heis in the Faculty of design abandoning any idea of research. Heresults from all this that the Buddhists attribute supernatural faculties to the one who has managed to imagine that hehas given up all idea of desire, of thought, effort, research or of meditation, that is to say to one who has, in somesort, detached from any internal operation. As this is hardly possible in the ordinary state of humanity, we understand that those we believed capable(1)Vocah. pentagl., sect. xxvii. Page 95

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .565of this prodigious detachment havecould pass for endowed with a powergreater than that of man, from people who believed in thepossibility of such power. Page 67, note 2. - See again, touching the title of Tatliagata, the discussion to which is delivered M. G. de Humboldt, who distinguished, as themaketheSinghalese, two meanings in TaMgata, according tothat we divide this word thus, tathâ spoiled; or so, tathâ ageata (1). Page 68, note 2, on the wordSugata. - According to the explanations that MG deHumboldt gives to this term, itseems he finds the meaning of "the one who has" Well, that happened in the future, to the perfection (2)." I do not disagreethat this meaning does not ould be preferred to that of welcome, which I admitted. Amongthe observations which the title Sugata, as one of Tathâgaia, isthe objectof the handof M. de Humboldt, I will then help noticing the astonishmenthe feels that that the titles of Sugata and of Taihagata, which assumeaidea of walking, of departure or arrival, can be applied to primitive Âdibuddha, the independent and invisible being. From my point of view, this apperroneous cation is easily explained. The titles of Sugata and Tathâgata belong tobelong to the human Buddha Çâkyamuni; but when was invented the Buddha divine Ādibuddha, it was much the leastthat we grant him the qualities superior that had possessed a mere mortal. On the decorated so of all these curities had worn Sakyamuni, some practical and material that could be themeaning; we had by devers so the resource of interpretations mystics, and we could always tell that it was only so that Mortal buddha as the Supreme Adibuddha received these various titles. Page 75, line46. -The expression that I have translated as "the four supports of the memory "isTchatuh smrityupasthânas; these smriiyupasthânasarelisted in theVocabulary Pentaglotte with thefollowing names: Kâyasmrîtyupasthânam, Vêdanâ smrîtyupasthânam, Tchittasmrïtyupasthânam, Dharma smrilyupasthânam (3). Heseemsaccording toit that the objects where the means the four smrity upas thân as are the body, the feeling, the thought and Law. The Tibetans translate that literally this term, heis quite difficult to(1) Ueber die Kawi-Sprache, t. I, p. 270 and 271.(2) Ibid., P. 270.(3) Vocab. pentagl, sect. xxv.

Page 96

566INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY to acknowledge their opinion touching hermeaningtrue. It is by themonosyllables Dran-pa-her-bdag, without doubt "the action of placersa memory "that they make smrîtywpasthâna. Wecan however conclude from this that the termoriginal upaslhana must be translated by application, in this way: "the app" Cation of the memory inbody, or to using thebody, etc. » The Chinese version, Such, at least, the interpreter Mr. A. Remusat nouspermet go alittle morefar, since it translates thewords corresponding to smrityupasthâna by cogitarerespiciendo corpus, etc. There is no longer any question here of memory; and in fact the wordsmriti (like the pali sati) has in the Buddhist style the meaning special of thought, reflection. It's probably from the way hemust hear it here; and smrity upas thâna, which literally interpreted means "The placement of the" Reflection, "should perhaps be translated as the application of the thought. "Iprefers this last explanation to that of "support of the memory " that I adopted for not having examined fairly closely the enumeration of the Vocabu-lar Pentaglotte. Page 104, line 17. - When I sought to establish that the system of Dhyâni Buddhas had to be independent of the existence of Adibuddha, I could have to riser of the feeling of M. G. de Humboldt, who recalls that Hêmalchandra quotesalreadyin the eleventh century a large number of Divinities, today worshiped by the Nepalese, and which in concludes that these deities were honored in India before that the Buddhism would have been transported toNepal (I). The truth is that Mr. de Humboldtbornexpresses not a way also so that I the am; andjedois the re-mark, so that we do not believe that I want to share my mistake with him, if I commit one. But i havethetrust that if he would have had in his hands thematerials which are at my disposal, its conclusions would not have appreciably differed from those presented in my text. Page 149, note 5.- The Religious whose exteriordecentstruck Çâri-puttra in awhen he was not yet a convert to Buddhism, is namedUpasêna by the Mahâvastu (2). Fahian, who has preserved for us the tradition of thismeet, name this Religious O'pi (3). On the other hand Hiuan thsang, to reportKlaproth, the names A chy pho chi, that is to say, as was well seenKlaproth, Açvadjit, name of a religious who is in fact famous among the first disciples(1) Veher die Kawi-Sprache, 1. 1, p. 298.(2) Mahâvastu, f. 265 a from my man.(3) Foe koue ki, p. 262. Page 97

OF INDIAN BUDDIISM .567ofÇâkya (1). Should it be concluded from this comparison that the namesfrom Upasênaand Açvadjit designate the samecharacter? This is possible, because these two names are one and the other of the titles military. Page 165, thirdparagraph, on the name i ^ tropre Pântchika. - I forgotto make out, on the occasion of the nameproper to Pantchika (which the legendmiracles gives for that of the General of the Yakchas), that it is may be the same as the Pantask, taken by Fa hian, at least according to Klaprolh, for acelestial musician, who played thelyre in honor of Çâkyamuni, not far from Nâlanda (2). Corn I must warn that Mr. A. Remusat translating otherwise it passage, andhemade of Pan task an air or an instrument (3). Now weknow that the Sanskrit numeral pahtchan applies to the fifth of the modesmusic Indian, we called Pantchama or the fifth (4); in addition, the Indians sometimes call the music "the sound of the five instruments. >It is probably between these last two meanings that must be chosen, for explain the Pan tche of Chinese traveler . Page 168, third paragraph, to the word Djina. - The name of Djina is one of synonyms of that of Buddha, or rather it is one of the many epithets that we give to a Buddha. Hemeans winner, in a moral and religious sensegious. We know it is common to Buddhists and the Djâinas (5). Page 178, note 1. - When i haveconjectured that the Sutra

named Daharain our manuscripts would probably be entitled Dahra Sutra "the Sutra" From the fire, y>my intention was to call back,in favor of this conjecture,that exists,according to the Singhalese Buddhists, a treatise called Agqikkhandhaupama, that is to say "the Sutra similar to a fire, "that preacheda Reli-gious Yonaka, or country Yona (Sanskrit Yavana) name which is one ofthe greek empireof the Bactriana (6). The existence of a Sutra says "similar to a" Mass of fire, "gives some likelihoodthe substitution that I propose ofto do from dahra (fire) to dahara (small). Princesshas appeared to believe that the name of Sutra Pali Aggikkhandha was mentioned in the fourth edict of Piyadassi To(1) Foe koue M, p. 267, note 11.•(2) Foe koue ki, p. 263 and 264.•.(3) Foe koue ki, p. 263.(4) Sanscr. Diction., P. 493, ed. 1832.(5) Sanscr. Diction., P. 250.(6) Turnour, Mahâvanso, eh. xii, p. 73, in-4".

Page 98

568INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYGirnar (1). CornI think this is a mistake; andtheAgikhmndhânî compoundof this inscription must be reflected inown " the masses of fire," to say"The fires of joy, i which are part of the demonstrations by which the king wantedthat we celebrate the establishment of the law which it protected. Page 240, note 1.-The expression of atyayika piiidapâia, which I translated as "alms quickly collected,"should probably designate rather"alms or the extraordinary meal, or at the wrong time, jof which Fa hian speaks, and on which M. A. Rémusat has a note in the Foe koue ki (2). This supposition is strongly confirmed by themeaning of the wordatyaya, from which the adjective is derived atyayika : atyaya, in fact, means "the act of going to the beyond, to cross; "And inspeaking of a rule, of "transgress. >The meal which heis spoken in the passagewhich is related to this rating is, in fact, taken out of time set bythe rule of the discipline. The excuse for this transgression is, as it issees by the examples of the text, drawn from such and such a case of force majeure. Page 254, note i. - Heis likely that it is the Varcha time that the Chinese traveler Fa hian designates by the expression of " to stay, or to sit" In summer(3) "etqu'il called in a different place," the rest of summer (4)."Page 259, note 1. - Irealize that I forgot topresent the theory offour truths sublime in their form original, according to the text of the North, though I myself Fusse engaged in the notes even inwhich refers the presentaddition. By following the presentation byapassage of the Mahâvastu. Like the latterwork is a book which is not canonical, since it belongs to the school of Maliâs amghikas, I compared this passage with a corresponding textof Lalitavistara; and having recognized that there was an identity of doctrine between of thembooks. I did not he sitate to me serve the fragment Mahavastu of that I givehere."There are in addition, O monks, four truths sublime. What are they? Thepain, the generation of the pain, the annihilation of the pain, the walkingwholed to the destruction of the pain ;each of these terms is a truthgorgeous. Now, O monks, what is it that is that the pain that is a truthsubhme? The following :the birth, old age, sickness, the death, the meeting(1) Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of \(^{\}\) engal, t. VI, p. 237, 243 and 266.,(2) Foe koue M, p. 107, note 18.(3) Foe koue ki, p. 1; and P. 4, note 8.(4) Ibid., P. 362; and P. 366, note 11.

Page 99

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .569of what we do not like not, the separation from what we love, impotence inget what you want and what you are looking for, the form, the feeling, the idea, theconcepts, the knowledge, in a word the five attributes of the design, whileit is ia pain. That, oh Rehgieux, what that is that the painwho is asublime truth. What that is

that the production of pain which is asublime truth? It is the desire without constantly renewed, accompanied by pleasure and ofpassion, whoseeks to be satisfied here and there. This, O monks, that that is what the production of the pain, which is a truth sublime. What that isthanthe destruction of the painwho is a sublime truth? This is the destructionfull of this desire without constantly renewed, accompanied by pleasure and of passion, who is looking forto be satisfied here and there; it is the detachment of this desire, it isannihilation, abandonment, annihilation; it is the complete renunciation of thisdesire. This, O monks, that that is what the truth sublime annihilation of the pain. What that is that the truth sublime of the march that leads Tothe annihilation of the pain? It is the sublime path made up of eight parts, Toknow: the right sight, the will, the effort, the action, the life, the language, the thought, the right meditation. This, O monks, that that is what the truth sublime the waywhoconduità annihilation of the pain (i). We can stillconsult Csoma de Côrôs touching the four Arya satyânior underlying truths, whichare to be listed (2). Page 307, note 2. - The observation which is the subject of this note is changedin certainty by the passagefollowing from Fa hian :.those who will have received the three" Kouéi and the five precepts (3)." In fact, the three i ^ Owei or three supports respond to the expression of Carana gamanaor of Tricarmia, "the three refuges;"and this expressionitself is the abbreviated summary of the three Buddham formulasçaranam gatchhâmi, Dharmam çaranam gatchhâmi, Samgham çaranamgatchhâmi, and that I havemadesee above (4). As for the five precepts, this are the five commandments fundamental, basic the moral Buddhist, andas indicated anote from M. Landresse on the Foe koue ki (5), exactly as I have conjectured in the note, subject of these remarks. This is whatthanour texts name Çikchâpada.(1)Mahdvastu, t 357 a of my manuscript; f. 371 b, man. Soc. Asian girl. See also Lalita vistara, f. 216 a sqq.(2) Asmf. 'iîes., T.XX, p. 294.(3) Foe koue ki, p. 352.(4) Second Memoir, sect. II, p. 71, note 2.(5) Foe koue ki, p. 358 comp. to p. 104. Page 100

570INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYPage 310, footnote 5. - To clarify that Igiven on the term of Tchâitya, I would have been able to add the information that we need to Hodgsonconcerning the use that in fact the Nepal. According to Amritananda, Tchaitya is thename of a temple dedicated to the supreme Adibuddha or to the five Dhyâni Ruddhas; and all high templeto Śākyamuni or to a different human Ruddha isappointedVihâra {\\). This very modern definition is due to the theistic system of the Reli-gious that consulted Mr. Hodgson. But this scientist gives us a more accurate idea of a Tchâitya, when speaking in his own name, hesaid that the party 's overessential of a Tchâitya is ahemisphere solid, and that the most large number of the Tchâityas of Nepal have this hemisphere surmounted [by a pyramid or acone invariably divided into thirteen stages (2). Lower itadds: Châityameans to properly speaking, atemple of the Ruddha; and Vihâra ^ the dwelling ofdisciples of the Ruddha who embraced the monastic life. In 'spacesquareleft in the middle of the Vihâra, is placeda Tchâitya. At the base ofhemisphereofall TchâityaofNepal are placed the images of the Ruddhas of the contempla-tion(8). We see that Mr. Hodgson had particularly in order to compare the Châitya au Vihâra, that is to say the residence of the dead master to that of the masterliving. It was not quite done herethe objectof the note to which this addition isrefers. I will only add to the observations it contains that i never have seen then ame of Tchâitya given to the monuments raised above therelicsof anothercharacter than a

Ruddha; fora disciple, for example, is thename of Stupa which employs. Page 330, note 1.-Since that I have written the notes on the word râdjikâ, I found in the Journal of Prinsep onepassage of the Mahâvamsa who hadescaped my memory at the moment where I was looking for the meaning of the termof râdjikâ. In here the translation literal according to M. Turnour's edition: "After he had heard the eighty-foura thousand articles of the law, the kingthis of the earth says: I will honor each of them by the consecration of a Vihâra." Having given result ninety-six money Kotis to eighty-"Four thousand cities on the earth, hefitstart with the kings of the Vihâras at Each of these places; but hewas started to raisehimself the Açôka "ârâma (4)." The text says, Tatta tattheva râdjuhivihâre âradhâpayi; and byrâdjuhi M. Turnour hears well the local kings who reigned in each of (1) Tramact. Roy. Asian girl. Soc, t. II, p. 241.(2) Id., IUd., P. 248.(3) Ib., Ibid., P. 250.(4) Mahâvanso, p. 26, ed. in-40.

Page 101

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .571these cities. Now, this text closer to the term râdjikâ does not seemnot confirm the meaning that I proposed last, in the note towhich thethis observation isrefers to namely of tduty of a king, work of a king? "which can apply just as well to an edict emanating from the sovereign power.raine only to the erection of a religious monument which is the work of a king. We seein additionby this text that the number of eighty-four thousand stup as was an allusion to that of the eighty-four thousand edicts of the Law. I don't have to-while not failing toreport aexpression that seems to usreport toone anotherinterpretation. I the finds in the translation that Mr. Tumor wasdata of apassage of the Pali Buddhavamsa; heis of the Act, which is established also solidly "that a Châitya decorated with the embellishments of the law (1). "Bornit does not seem that this expression is a commentary on that of our texts, Dharma râdjikâ? But not having the original Pali of which I am giving the translationaccording to M. Turnour, I dare not push this comparison any further .Page 332, note 3. - I'm afraid I have n'tAgainexplained quite clearly-lie on thisembarrassed passage. Going forward, agreeing with the text, that the kinghad to base its distribution the figure of ten million of gold coins, considered as the expression of the wealth of the inhabitants in each of the cities where hewanted to raise a Stupa, I said that this figure was to be achieved and not de-pass. This should be understood in this sense, that the towns where the fortunes of the inhabitantsno rose is not ten million of partswere not entitled to a vessel of relics, and that those where the public fortune exceededten million, howeverlaw that a single of these vases. This is why Açôka responds to the inhabitants of Takchaçilâ, that of their thirty-six Kôtis hein needsubtract thirty-five, byin other words, that herecognized only one.\* As for the miracle by which Yasas the Sthavira satisfied the desire of the king, whowanted to raise in the same dayhis eighty-four thousand buildings, Iremar-querai that it is an absurdity which the Buddhists of Singhalawill be sontpasmade guilty, since they say that this great operation costthree years of work to those that Aśoka in was loaded (2). Page 355, line 26.- I forgot to note, on the occasion of the name of Pundra vardhana, that this must be the same as that of Pundra, which means, according to Wilson (3), the more large part of Bengal and a portion of Bahar.(1) Examin. ofpâli Buddh. Amals, in Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.VII, p. 795.(2) Mahdvanso, p. 34, ed. in-4o.(3) Sanscr. Diction., P. 540, col. 1, ed. 1832. Page 102

57 \* 2INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYThe inhabitants, named Pundras in Manu (1), pass for Kchattriyasfallen; and Lassen (2) judiciously notices the original analogy that

exists between this name, which designates ayou can ewith brown sugar, and that of Gâuda ^another denomination of a part of Bengal, which designates the molasses extracted from the sugar cane. The same scholar has been seen by the merger of twopassages from Vichnu purâna (3) and from a verse from the Trikanda çêcha (4), that this denominationGeographic is used with a longer extension or less significant inthese various texts. I added, in which key the name of Pundra vardhana, whichmeans" Who doesprosper the Pundras, " that theword of Vardhana recallsone of Vardhamana or Bardhwân, "theprosperous country." These names are the allusions evident in the high fertility of those provinces. This part of the Bengal is named Pâmidraka in an inscriptionSanskrit from the year 1136 of our era (5). Page 359, fourth paragraph, to the word Dharma vivardhana. - It must be placed underthis word the notes following, that I omitted the impression of this part of myvolume:" The prince is the one that Fa hian called Fa i, and which we translated thename by advantage or increase of the Law. M. Rémusat was very ingenious surely conjectured that the Fa iChinese had to be in Sanskrit Dharma vardhana (6),name that is found in the lists Brahman; our text fully confirmshis guess. I would add that we have in the existence of the name of Dharmavivardhana ^ given to a prince than the legend called Kunāla, a newexample of this fact, that the Rajas, Wheremore especially the Buddhist kings, havegenerally carried two names, one which they took from their birth, the other whichwas religious or political. Thus Kunala is the name that the legend celebrates, and Dharma vivardhana is the official title; because it isunder the latterhewasyet known time of Fa hian, at V® century of our era, andhe was passingto have ruled in the Gandhara. This fact has here even less instead of ussurprise, that King Açôka, father of Kunâla, appears in the inscriptions with thename of Piyadassi. "Page 393, line15. - The second of the two meaningsfrom the word Svabhava, that I expose in my text, is perfectly indicated in apassage of (1) Mânava dharma çâstra, 1.X, st. 44.(2) Ind. Alterthumsk, t.I, p. 140 and 141.(3) Vishn. pur., p. 177, note; and 190, note.(4)Trikanda çêcha, ch. II, st.7.',(5) Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t.VII, p. 50.(6) Foe koue ki, p. 67.

Page 103

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .573Pantcha kramatippanî which I think useful to quote. The Yôgin must, according to the textof this work, pronounce the following axiom: Svabhâva çuddhâh sarvadharmâhsvahhâva çuddhô 'ham iii. < All the terms and all the beings are products€of their natureown; I myself am a product of my own nature (1)."I believe that thismeaning of Svabhava is the most ancient ;if,like thethink Hodgson, the Buddhists mean by this term the Nature abstractthis notionMetaphysics may have been added after blow to this word, including the in-natural interpretation is that which spring from the axiom that I have to mention. It is not not useless to notice the direction taken by the participating Suddha, "completed, accompanied fold; >this effect is common in the Sanskrit Buddhist. Page 397, note 4. - According to the information provided by Klaproth, that the note of thepage 397 contains, it must join those given to us by Mr. A. Rémusat in amove relative to one another text of Foe koue ki. Following a Chinese notice of Western countries, at the time of the Thang dynasty, itwas in the province of Udyâna five Buddhist sects. The first was that of Fa mi, <silence of the law. >I guess this is the fourth subdivision of Ràhula 's school the one that Gsoma calls Dharma gupta. The second was that of Houa ti,\*con"Version of the earth;"they are the Mahî çâçakas of Gsoma. The third wasthat to 'Yn kouang or Kâçyapa, "drunk light; "these are the

Kâcyapiyas ofGsoma, which belong,like the last two sects, at the school ofRâhula. The fourth was that of Clioue ithsi yeou. Mr. Rémusat does not havetranslates this title;Iconsequently missingthe means to recovertheSanskrit synonymy. The fifth was that of Ta tchomg, "the multitude, "This is most probably the Mahâsamghikas or school of Kassapa the famousdisciple of Çâkya (2).Page 450, note 3. - Itmust addin addition to these testimonies that ofMr. Turnour, who goes overstill far, at least in appearance, sincethe occasionof the last words uttered by Çâkya, hetranslates the word samkhâra (forsamskâra) per perishable thing, in this passage: "The things perishableare "Transient (3). "This is theword even as Irendered by compound inthe translation of the Sutra which are announced thelast moments of Çâkya-fitted (4).(1) Pantcha Tirana tippanî, f. 1, 1. 3.(2) A. Rémusat, Foe koue ki, p.53.(3) Journ. Asian girl. Soc. of Bengal, t. VI, p. 1051.(4) Second Dissertation, sect. II, p. 75.

Page 104

574INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYPage 451, footnote 3. - I had to, to the result of this rating, indicating by a reference in the Appendix the comments that will follow; but this reference has been forgotten, and I have more than the resource additions to repair cetoubli. These observations I have been suggested by the manner in which Mr. Schmidt, according to its authorities MON-goles, considers the theory of Nidânas or of the successive causes of existence. As this theory is found encadréedans a piece where are listedall the theses which appear in the Pradjnâ pàramità, I believe essentialofreproduce the more large part of this piece, in the accompanying shortobservations intended for the majority to restore the shape Indian of these terms, form to which hemust always return in dernière analyse, since onlyisprimitive and original. On the other hand, like the track by Mr. Schmidtis a translation of a Mongolian text, which is probably a translation of a Tibetan text, which is certainly a translation of a Sanskrit text, I have believed that I was exposing myself tobornmoregive nothing to the reader of the original, if I translate-know even in French thelast result of these successive translations. We find so here the text even of Mr. Schmidt, divided into short paragraphs and accompanied by the necessary observations." Es gibtsechs Grundursachen (Stoffe, Elemente), und fünf ausgebildete "Kategorien; sharp letzteren sind :die Farbe (die Gestalt, das Aussehen), das "Vermuthen, das Denken, das Thun (Handeln, Wirken) und das Wissen" (Erkennen). dThese six elements are the Dhâtus, one material elements of which hewill be questionmorelow; andthat as the Mongols learned their interpreter call the fiveausgebildete Kategorien, are the five Skandhas, cesi-k-diTe the five aggregates orintellectual attributes :Rûpa, the form; Vêdanâ, the sensation; Satndjm, the idea; Satnskârâhf the concepts; and Vidjnâna, the knowledge. I do not believe that theGerman word Vermuthen could be an exact translation of Vêdanâ, termwho do can express that of these three things: 1 ° the sensation restricted to the pure sensitive impression; 2 " the resulting perception of this feeling; 3" theknowledge resulting from this perception; three meanings, including the firstI seem to agree the best with the rest of the list. I do n't believemore than Samkara is action; this. translation is far too vague, at leastqu'onn'entende by this term the action of the imaginationor this Faculty has the spirit, formas efjingendi. "Die zwôlf Sinnvermôgen (Werkzeuge) nebst den Sinnen sind :die Augen, "die Ohren, die Nase, die Zunge, der Kôrper, der Wille (das Verlangen)und"demnâchst die Aeusserungen dieser Werkzeuge oder Yermôgen :das Aussehen"(die FarbC) Gestalt), die Stimme (der Laut, Ton), der Geruch, der Geschmack '

## Page 105

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .575((das Gelûhl und dieFeststellung (irgend eines Gegenstandes und dessen "Begriffes). Es gibt ein wissen (Erkennen) mittelst der Augen, eines miltelst der "Ohren, eines mittelst der Nase, eines mittelst der Zunge, eines mittelst des" Kôrpers und eines mittelst des Willens(Verlangens). Es gibtferner ein" Aufïassen(Aneignen) mittelst der Augen, einesmittelst der Ohren, eines "miltelst der Nase, eines mittelst der Zunge, eines mittelst des Korpers und eines "mittelst des .Willens. Auch gibt es ein Empfmden durch das Aufässfien der" Augen, ein Empfmden durch das Auffassen der Ohren, eines durch das Auf-" Fassender Nase, einesdurch das Auffassen der Zunge, eines durch das "Auffassen des Kôrpers und eines durch das Auffassen des Willens. oThispassing is perfectly clear, and the restitution of the terms original dowe in learning not much more than the version of German the text Mongolian. The reason in is easy to understand: these terms are those given by the ob-direct servation; theideas specific to Buddhism there occupy a spotfairly restricted. The twelve organs or instruments enumerated in the Mongolian textare a share six organs of sense, in Sanskrit Chadâyaianâni, the eyes, theears, nose, the tongue, the body,or rather the skin that envelops the body and which is the seat of tact, and finally the internal organ, in Sanskrit Manas, multi- organtiple, as moral as intellectual, of which the Mongols do notreproduce only one face, in the resulting by will, desire. In these organs, which are of real instrumentments, he must join the divested function to each of them, the sight, hearing, smell, the taste, the touch and feeling. The way the Mongols represent the latter term is without doubt not very clear; I do the indo n't believelessbased on the knowledge of the theory Buddhist, thoughdoes not express that part. The function of the Manas or the heart, as an organ, is to graspthe Dharma, which is the law morality or the duty; of the type as a member givenperceives an impression, which is a sensation determined at the time by the objectthat the given and by the organ that the receiving. The object that sends at Manas the feelingsation that heis intended to receive is every individual being; ableof merit orof demerit, in a word 'of morality. The proper namethis feeling isDharma, thelaw, theto have to, themerit, as we want to call it, in taking account of an analytical imperfection that explains sufficiently the antiquity of this theory. At the same time as the Manas receives, if I can express myself well, the sen-sation of morality, it also receives that of individuality; in other words, heperceives the being or the individual subject of Dharma; because it takes a be for that there hasmerit or demerit. It is this second species of sensation that represents the de-Mongolian finish. This way to consider the Manas, if however I to understandwell, is consistent to the psychological system of the Buddhists; because not admitting Page 106

576INTRODUCTION TO HISTORYother source of our knowledge than the seas, and convinced that the senses can notgive only notions ofisolated qualities the one of the others, hethey needed abody which might arrest the individual, about one of these qualities many, and that éprouvâtin some way feel the need, or the good and the bad. That which comesafter, in the text translated by M. Schmidt ^ is a summary of the various ways in which the senses give us the impressions. It is is said that text, a knowledge that comes from the eyes, and so the other direction, jusquds and are included the internal organ, which the Mongols continue to translate by the will; in this If the man is passive, the knowledge is offering in some way to him without it is looking for her. Heit is said even this text, a work of capture or of appropriate the knowledge by the eye, and so of the other

senses; in this second case the man isactive. Finally, hethere is a feeling, a feeling or a feeling, if I may express myselfsea so that the result of this work to enter or to appropriating the knowledgeby the eye and by each of the other senses; in the latter case the man is passive andactive all together.c Die sechs Grundstofte (Elemente) sind ihrem Declawed nach :die Erde, das<'Wasser, das Feuer, die Luft, der material Himmel, das Wissen(Erkennen)."This enumeration of the six elements is remarkable undermore than one report. First, it summarizes everything that the Ruddhistes know of the nature andis, as to its bases, the same as that of the Rrâhmans. With this enum-ration, the Ruddhists can do withoutofspeak of the subject, concept Abstractfrom which ido n't believe theywill be occupied. In addition to the four elements generally accepted in India, the land, the water, thefire, air, she in account withthe Rrâhmans a fifth which is the ether, an element whose existence is disputedby some Buddhist schools. I don't knowhow heit may be that the Mon-gols translate the original term which designates this element by "the material sky, "at least that this translation will beatoo strict interpretation of the Nam-Tibetan mkhah. That it is of positive is that the word Sanskrit Àkâça meansamong the Ruddhists the ether orspace, and more often even space than ether. As for the sixthelement, which is, according to the Mongolian enumeration, that ofknowing or of the knowledge, it is called in Sanskrit Vidjnâna, word thatin fact, the meaning that it gives Mr. Schmidt. This is a pure invention of Ruddhists, and I believe I can advance it, a fairly modern invention. There is, to my knowledge, no trace of this element in the old sūtras, where the enumeration that we encounter the most often is limited to four words: the earthwater, the fire, the air elements which are listed in the successive order of their immaterial apparent riality. The Pradjnâ pàramitâ adds an even less material element, Page 107

OF INDIAN BtJDDHISM .577space, and above, this Vidjnana orintelligence andthe mind; caria knowledgebeing a relative term, if Vidjnana were to be translated with the precision that hegive the Mongols, one would wonder where are the beings betweenwhich is happeningthis fact to the knowledge. The addition ofmind or of intelligence to ele-ments hardware does is no less a fact extremely remarkable; and likeit will be shown that in the books that I think subsequent to anciensSûtras,I haveall because of the look as a recent invention. It seems to methat tostart of their research, the Buddhists do ontenvisagé mind that under hisindividual form, and in each of the beingsthey inwere gifted. I can't findno trace of aabsolute or elementary mind, as must be this Vidjnâna orthis intelligence oflaPradifia; and if this intelligence shows itself in the theorywhich form the fund of this collection, is that it has been introduitepar the needwe felt toto regularize and complete a doctrine which, otherwise, would have appeared incomplete. In fact, when we wanted explain the man, no one knew where discover the source ofintelligent principle that animates it, while we believed ourselvesauthorized to seek in each of the material elements the origin of the various parts which is composed its body. The addition that we madefrom intelligence to fundamental elements seem to have been intended to obviate this difficulty. This addition, moreover, is, to all appearance, only an imitation of the Tchitof the Vedantists, and it's probably on herthat leaned later the Svâbhâvikasto make of intelligence one of the attributes of the natural material." Die zwôlf dazu gehôrigen und damit verbundenen Bedingungen sind ihrem"'Begrifie nach : die Thorheit (Verfinsterung), das Thun (Wirken), das Wissen" (Erkennen), die Farbe(Gestalt oder Gestaltung), die sechs Begionen (der" Wesen), das Empfinden, das

Vermuthen (Ahnen), die Begierde (Lusl),das" Nehmen (Geniessen, Aneignen), der Sansâra (Kreislauf der Geburten),das" Geborenwerden und endlich das Altern und Sterben. "What the Mongolshere name the twelve conditions are the twelve Nidànaswhich arechained them each to other as the effectto the cause. I me ambusy in the section of the Metaphysicsavecassez of detail for there notcome back here. I only recall theprecautions that I have taken to enter thetrue character of each of the terms of this enumeration. Hewill be that Ithere have not completely succeeded; but the way the Mongols the envisa-gent does not seem to mebetter achieve the goal. 11 are intheir translation ofobvious errors. We will judge by the comparison followed by each of theirinterpretations withthe original terms. The firstor the most high, Avidyâ, ignorance can to the rigor is traduireparXobscurcissementor Xdarkness; but Xaction or Xagir is a very incomplete interpretation of Sa? 7iskâra. We37

578INTRODUCTION TO HISTORY find in Vidjhâna the knowledge or the knowledge of Mongolian interpreters; but they will certainly too far when they represent Nâmarûpa, the name and the shape, the color and the figure; they more over omit the idea of name, thisnecessary element of individuality. I can even less admit the waywhich they consider the Chadâyatanas, the six sieges of meaning, where they found the six regions of beings. In addition we do we say not what that is these six areas, helt is easy to recognize where the Mongolian mistake comes from :it'sthey have taken inproper the word Ayatana ^ place, place. I pass quickly on the fourterms which follow: Sparça, the contact; Vêdanâ, thesensation; Trichnâ, thedesire; Upâdâna, the conception, of which their version gives sufficient analogues, if theywere accompanied by a commentary. But I do then omettrede report themannertoo generalwhich theytranslate Bhava, existence, which theybelievesynonymous with Sa / risâra, thecircle or the movement circular birth. The error is certainly Pastres-serious, since it is by the birth thatman between in the circle or in therevolution of the world, in other terms, that heis subject to the law of the transmigration. However the birthis just one of the acts of "thispassageto around the world, and it is not possible to identify one of the degrees of the revolution with the whole revolution. The factis that they are the twelve Nidanas, or these twelve terms successively attached to each other, as the cause to the effect, which inevitably brings the manin the circle of the transmigration. I end here this that Ito say about the way the Mongols envision the difficult theory of the twelve causes of existence; heis athardly need to addthat if, surrounded by all the aid which had at their disposal, they have notable to make more clear, itthere is there of what to justify all those who will care of same subject and which will succeed notbetter(1).Page 471. - Prior topassToanalysisof Suvarna prabhàsa, itwould havesummernecessary to indicate the subdivisions of the class of booksappointedTantras, which Gsoma tells us about. According to this author, we recognizein Tibet four classes of Tantras, namely :d TM Kriya Tantra, the Tantras of the action; 2 ° Atchâra tantra, the Tantras of practices; 3® Yoga tantra, the Tan-tras of the mystical union; \(\frac{1}{2}\) Anuttara yoga tantra \(^{\}\) the Tantras of Yoga SUPREMElaughing (2). These divisions are sufficient to show the considerable developments has taken the literature of tantra, I would say not only in Tibet, but in(1)Same. of Acad. of Sciences of St. Petersburg, t.IV, p. 215.(2) Tibet. Diction., P. 245, col. 1. Page 109

OF INDIAN BUDDHISM .579India and very probably in Kashmir; because the Sanskrit titles of these divisionsI seem to establish that the works they embrace have been primitivelycompoundsin Sanskrit. The major distinction of the Kriya (action)and Yoga (themeditation) is one the known, familiar to Brahmanism. Since I am speaking here of the Tantras admitted to Tibet, I must domention ofthe existence of a monastery of Beligious lantrists, described by Georgi inhis prudish language: iFormisanaglyplicis carnalium conjugationum duabus" Atque triginta contaminatum(1). "This monastery isappoints, according to him, Ra-mo-tchhehi. These images would they be the representations figured the rites of Tantras? This would be a the footsteps little towns in the Jnde, at least in myknowledge of the influence of Tantra on the monuments represented.(1)Alphab. Tib., P. 223.END,

Page 110

Page 111

rANALYTICAL TABLEOF TWO BRIEFS.FIRST MEMORY. General observationsp. 1. Opportunity for this research, ibid. - Discoveries of M. B. H. Hodgson, ibid. - His Memoirsin the Asiatic Researches of Calcutta, p. 2; in the Asian Transactions of Londres, ibid. - His catalog of Buddhist books, p. 3. - He sends a collection to London, p. 3; a further Ala Asiatic Society of Paris, p. 4. - It in fact copy a different collectionmore considerable for this Society, p. 4. - These works are the original texts of the bookstranslated in the most peoples Buddhists of Asia, p. 5.- Evidence of this fact in thequestion the Tibetans, p. 6; the Mongols, p. 7; the Chinese, p. 7. -Importance of translations. Tibetan, Mongolian and Chinese, p. 9. - Superiority of the Sanskrit texts, p. 11. - The tra-ductions do not take into account the varieties of dialects, p. 12. - Details drawn in the Lotus of the Good Law, p. 12. - Examples borrowed from the Tibetan versions, p. 15. - From the wordNirvana, p. 16. - The names own Indians, p. 19. - The translations systematically at fault, p. 22.- Utility indisputable of these various traduclioQS to the chronology, p. 23.—That the Sanskrit originals were, for the most part, written in India, p. 24.- Need to the study to arrive at the certainty on this point, p. 25. - General plan of the present or-vrage, p. 27.SECOND MEMORY. Description of the collection of books from Nepalp. 29Section I.General descriptionP- 29Catalogs of the Nepalese collection, by M. Hodgson, p. 29.- Scope of this collectiontion, p. 30.- The books Religious divided into three collections, p. 31.- The sūtras or firstcollection, p. 31, considered as the word of Çâkyamuni Buddha, p. 32.- The Vinaya or the Discipline, second collection, p. 33.- Absence of books so named in the collection of M. Hodgson, p. 35.- They are replaced by the Avadânas, p. 35.- VAbhidliarma or the Metaphysics is the third collection, p. 35.- Origin of Abhidharma, p. 36. - Hereturnsin the Sutras, p. 38.-These three collections attributed to the last human Buddha, p. 38. - Theyhave been collected by his disciples, and not written by the Buddha, p. 39.- From another divisionknown as Mâttikâ, p. 40. - Of the four Agamas, p. 43. - Summary of the general character of these various divisions, p. 45.- More detailed classification, common to Singhalese Buddhistsand the Chinese, p. 45. - Sutra and definition of these books, p. 45.-Gêya, p. 46.-Vyâka-rana, p. 47. - Gâthâ, p.49. - Udâna, p. 50.- Niddna, p.52.-Ityukta, p.53. -Djâtàka, p. 54.-Vâipulya, p. 55.- Adbhnta, p. 56.- Avadâna, p. 57.-Vpadêça, p. 58.-Summary of the latter classification, p. 58.- Other more general divisions in Sutra andin Tantra, p. 59.- Of the nine Dharmas of Nepal, p. 60. Section IL Sûiras or Discourse of ÇâkyaP- 62Description of a Sutra ^ p. 63. - The sūtras properly so called, of the

Mâhâvâipulya sutras, andof the Mahâyâna sutras, p. 64.- Specimens of these books; translation of the Sutra of Màndhâlri,37.

Page 112

582ANALYTICAL TABLEwith a contemporary preamble of Çâkya, p. 65. - Translation of the mythological Sutra of Kanakavarna, p. 79.- Form and object of these sutras, p. 87.-Specimen of Mahayanasutras, p.88.- Analysis of Sukhavati vyûha, p.88. - Analogy of these sūtras with the Mahâvâipulyas, p. 90 - Compare the sutras Mahayana (large vehicles) and Mahâvâipulyas(of great development) with the Simple sutras, p. 91.- Outer shape of largeSutras, ihid. - They are composed of a double wording, one in prose, the other in verse, ibid.—They are much more developed than the Sutras proper, p.92. - They in differently the nature of the language specific to the versified parts, p. 92. - This language is not the Sanskritpure nor thepale, but a Sanskrit altered, p. 93. - Character of Sanskrit in which are writtenthe Sutras and other books in general, p. 93.-Analogy of this language with the pale, p. 95. -Of some characters who appear in the preamble to the Developed Sutras, p. 96. - SomeBôdhisattvas, ibid. - De Mâitrôya, p. 97. - Other Bodhisattvas including the developed sūtrassay Auditors of Çâkya, p. 98. - De Mandjuçrî, p. 99.-D'Avalôkitêçvara, p. 101. -System of superhuman Bodhisattvas andof thecontemplation>p.102.- From SupremeAdibuddha, p. 103. - All these characters and this system are completely foreign to the Simple sutras, p. 106. - Other features of difference between the simple sūtras and the sūtrasdeveloped, p. 107.- On Mantras and Dhâranîs, p. 108. - That the simple sutras belongNEET at a different time than the developed sūtras and Mahayana, p. 109. - The simple sutrasolder feelings, p. 110. -Reasons in favor of this feeling, p. 110: the title even ofdeveloped, p. 110; the writing of the books which bear this title, p. 110; details exclusively mythological with which they are filled, p. 111. - The simple sūtras, on the contrary, representin the more general detail the state of the company which has appeared Sakya, 112. - This company is the onewe do know the monuments of the literature Brahman, p. 115. - Its organizationsation is prior to thecoming deCâkya, where it concludes that the Brâhmanism is moreold as the Buddhism, ibid. - Evidence of these assertions, p. 116. - Of Gods Brahmanics, p. 116.-Adopted by Çâkya, but subject tohis power, p.117. - Their cultlower in the practice of the moral, p. 118. - Extract from a Sutra on this subject, ibid. -IndependentDance of Buddha in respect of the Gods, p. 119. - Usefulness of Buddhist books for historyof the mythology Indian, p. 120.- Absence of the name of Krichna in these books, p. 121. -The sūtras contemporary form of Brâhmanism prior àcelle that describe the Purânas, p. 121. - From the company Indian from the Sutras, p. 122. - Some castes, and first of the Brahmans, ibid. - From the word Brahma tcharya specific to the Brahmans, and adopted by the Buddhists, p. 124. -Des Kchaltriyas, p. 127.- On kings and their absolute power, p. 129. -Details borrowed the story and the agony of Vasavadatta, p. 130. - Prejudices of theroyal caste, evidence taken from the legend of Açôka, p. 133. - From the lower castes, p. 134.- Mission that will give Sakya in the middle of the sociétéindienne, p.135. -Câkya is asimple ascetic, p. 136.- He shares the most graode part of Brahmanical opinions, p. 137. -It does differ from his opponents that by the definition he gives salvation, p. 138. - Evidence of these assertions, p. 139. - Early beginnings of Çâkya, ibid. - Equality of Çâkya Auditorsand the ascetic Brahman, p. 140. - They are engaged also in a life of penance and ofretirement, p. 141. - Voluntary sacrifices, p. 142. - Similarities and differences of Buddhismand the Brâhmanism in this particularp.142. - Grievances of the Brahmans against the Buddhists,

p. 143. - Evidence taken from the Sutras, p. 144. - Struggle of the Brahmans againstÇâkya; miracles and examples borrowed from the sūtras, ibid. - Jealousy of the Brahmans againstÇâkya, evidence borrowed from legends, ibid. - Means employed by Sakya for con-to educate the people, p. 173. - Of the preaching and novelty of this means, ibid. - Of Miraclesand of faith, p. 173. - Other means of conversion, p. 174. -Çâkya receives the ignorant, p. 175,the poor and unfortunate of all the requirements: evidence extracted Sutras and thecaptions, ibid. - Heattracts the people by the greatness of the rewards it promises, p. 177.-Evidence borrowed from a legend, p. 178. - Influence of the preaching of Çâkya on thecaste system, p. 182. - Çâkya is accused of seeking his disciples too low, p. 183.—Detailed evidence from a legend, ibid. - How Çâkya freed himself from the dis-

Page 113

OF TWO BRIEFS.583 extinction of castes, p. 187. - 11 admits, but explained by the theory of punishment and theawards, p.188.-Çâkya does more than the other Brahminical philosophers Kapila and Patandjali, ibid. - By admitting tother eligious life of the people of all castes, ijannihilates of fact the influence of the first of all, that the Brahmins ibib. -Howunderstand this axiom, that the Buddhism has cleared any distinction of caste, p. 189. -Coexistence of castes and Buddhism in Ceylon; explanation of this fact, p. 190. -Rebuttalof some opinions on this subject, ibid. -Sakya did not actually appeal to a principle of égalitéà littlenear unknown in Asia, p. 192. -Opinion of Buddhists on castes, taken from a^treatymodern Açvaghôcha,ibid.- Summary ofthediscussionrelativeto the Sutrassimple, p.194. Consideration of the issue of knowing whether the simple sutras can pass to belong to allat the same time, p. 195. - Those of Sutras where Sakya predicted the events future are Subsequent to those where itare questions that him and of his disciples, ibid.- From therethreeclasses of Sutras :! <> those where the events are contemporaneous with Çàkya; S » those where they himare posterior; 30 the sūtras of great development and Mahāyānas, where it is almost no longerquestion of human events, ibid. - Hemust be added a fourth class of sūtras, wheredominate the foreign opinions to the institution primitive of Buddhism, p. 196.- Evidencetaken from the analysis of Guna karanda vyûha, ibid. -Statement of this evidence, p. 202. -Analogyexterior of this book with an Indian Puràr.ia, p. 203. - The Sinhalese tradition is indi-quée, but altered, p. 204... - Of the two editions of this work, one in prose and the other inverse, p. 205. - The writing in prose is earlier ANOTHER, ibid. - Summary of these condsection, p. 206.E CTiON III. Vinaya or Disciplinep. 207The avadānas or legends of the collection of Nepal take place in thesocalled sectionVinayaor of theDisciplined,ibid.-Analogy of the Avadanas and the Sutras; possibility of establishingsame divisions in the first class of books as in the second, p. 208. - The disciplinethere is not dogmatically exposed, ibid. - General conditions to be fulfilled to be admitted among the Auditors of Çâkya, according to the Avadànas, ibid. -Evidence borrowed from the legendde Pûrija, and translation of this legend, p. 209. -From the title of Bhikchu or beggar givento Religious, p. 245. - From the title of Çramana or ascetic, ibid. - From Çrâmanêra or novice ^p.246.- The terms of admission into the body of the Religious, ibid. The cases exclusion, p. 247. - Constitution of the assembly desAuditeurs of Sakya and the Bhikchunîsor Religious, p. 248. - The upasakas and upasikas, or the devotees of both sexes, p. 249. - Meaning of these terms, ibid.-Difference of these terms and of the A'Upasthâyaka, p. 250. -Opinion of M. Hodgsonson the Upâsakas, p. 251. - Of the Saffigha or of the Assembly of Auditorsde Çâkya, p. 252. -

Opinion of M. de Humboldt, p. 253 and note 1.- On the meaning of this termin the formula Buddha, Dharma and Samgha, p. 253 and footnote 3. - The Religious seeking theloneliness, p. 254. - Circumstances which favored their meeting in a regular assemblyorganized, p. 255. - From Varcha or for the retirement of the season of rains, ibid. - Vihdrasor monasteries, ibid.- Almost nomadic state of Buddhism, p. 256. - The attacks and persecutions of their adversaries favor the reunion of Religious in a hierarchical body.cally organized, ibid. - Of the hierarchy, and of the Sthaviras or elders, p. 257. - Explanation of this title, ibid. - On the influence of merit on the hierarchy, p. 258. - Of various degrees of the Religious, considered according toorder of merit, ibid. - Of Aryas or venerable, p. 259. -Des Crôta âpannas, ibid. - Explanation of this term, ibid. -Translation by M. Schmidt, p. 260.- Of Sakndagamins and Anagamins, p. 261. - Des Arhats, p. 263. - Explanation of thisterm, and refutation of the opinion of the Buddhists, ibid. - From theBodhi or of the intelligence of aBuddha, p. 264. - Of the Mahâ çrâvakasor Grand Auditors, ibid. - The Pratyeka Buddhasor Individual Buddhas, p. 265. - Summary of the composition of the Auditors' meeting from Çâkya, ibid.- In some religious institutions, and in particular of the confession, p. 267. - In the distinction and of the classification of faults according lo Pratimôkcha Sutra ç.'i & S.

584ANALYTICAL TABLE- Titles of the eight sections of this work, p. 268.-Comparisons of these titles with thosethat we know from the Singhalese and the Chinese, ibid. - The Çikchâpadas or preceptsteaching, p. 271. - Examination of the titles of the book of the twelve observances, ibid. -To analyse of these titles according to the Pentaglotte vocabulary, p. 272. - Summary of the main observations Vances imposed the Religious, and in particular on the retreat, clothing and chastityp 278.- From the life of the Religious in the monasteries, according to the legend of Saiiigha rakchita, and translation of this legend, p. 280. - Of the moral character of these prescriptions and of the Buddhism in general, p. 299. -Comparison of Buddhism and of Brahmanism in this special report, p. 300. - From the nature of the discipline in the religions where it is a bit ofdogmas, p. 301. - On worship and the objects to which it is addressed among the Buddhists, ibid. -Sakya did was probably not occupied the cult, p. 302. - The ceremonial religious and of the absence of bloody sacrifices, p. 303. - Of the only two objects of worship, the statutes of Câkya and the buildings which contain its relics, ibid. -Origin of this cult exposed according to a fragment translated from the legend of Rudràyaua, p. 30i. -The image of Çâkya is accompanied summary of the faith Buddhist, p. 307. - The purpose of this image is to awaken the memorythe teaching of the Master, p. 308. -Proofs of this alliance of the doctrine with the teachinggement of the Master borrowed from figurative monuments, ibid.- Of the physical beauty of Buddha, ibid.- Changes successive apportésdans this part of worship, and worshipother characters, such as Avalôkitêçvara, p. 310. - The relics and the buildings which the contain, Tchâityas and Stupas, p. 311. - Of the antiquity of the cult of relics in the Buddhism, p. 313. - 11 will be up to Sakya, ibid. - The influence that someBrahmanic uses may have had on the erection of the Stupas, p. 314. - The cult of relicscan not come from the Brahmans, p. 315. - The worship of relics of Sakya is of the invention of his first disciples, ibid. - Why they have assimilated Sakya their master to a monarchsovereign, p. 316. - Reasons for the large number of Stupas still found in India andin Afghanistan; characters in whose honor we stood in such mausoleums, p. 317. -Observations on the legends which attribute to Câkva

himself the institution of the cult of hisrelics and other debris, p. 317. - The changes that the time was introduced in this part of worship, p. 318. Examination of the question of knowing if it is not, among the so-called inspired books, legends wherethe events are subsequent to the time of Çâkya, p. 3 ^ 19. - Existence of these legendsproven by that of King Açôka, and translation of this legend, ibid. - Translation of anotherfragment relating to this same king Açôka, p. 385. -Brief comparison of historical dataques contained in these two fragments, p. 388. - In one heis placed one hundred years, in the other two hundred years after the Buddha, p. 389. - We in conclusion that there was among the bookscanonical treatises of very different dates, ibid. - Summary of the third section, ibid. Section IV. Abhidharma or Metaphysicsp. 390Plenty of books relating to the Abhidharma, ibid. - From the Pradjm pramitâ, which represents Abhidharma in the Nepalese collection, p.390. - Other booksrelating to the meta-physical, ibid. - Analogy of the Pradjnâ books with the developed sūtras, p. 391. - From the extent and in the form of books of the Prajñā, ibid. - The work of Hodgson and of Schmidt on the philosophy Buddhist, p. 392. - Some schools philosophical current of Nepal, according to Hodgson, p. 393. - The Svâlhâvikas, the Âiçvarikaa, the Kârmikas and the Yâtnikas, ibid.- These various schools are based on the same texts, which they interpretdifferently, p. 397. -From four other philosophical sects, according to Csoma de Coros, ibid.; and according to Y Abhidharma kôça, p. 399. - These four sects are those that cite the Brahminsrefuting the Buddhists, p. 401. - Summary and comparison of these two categories of schools,p. 402. - Du Mahâvastu, book belonging to one of these schools, p. 403. - Origin of Abhidharma; it was extracted after blow to the preaching of Sakyamuni, p. 405. - The booksof the Pradifia pramita are in part the development of the doctrine of the Sutras, p. 406.-Need to go jusqu'auxSûtras for the study of the metaphysical, ibid. - Proof of Page 115

OF TWO BRIEFS.585this assertion borrowed from a fragment of a translated legend, ibid. - The various Draftingtions of the Pradjnà pàramitâ, p. 411. -Explanation of this title, p. 411. -Extracted fragment and ranslated from the Pradina pramita, p. 414. - Summary Summary of the doctrine expounded in this fragment, p. 430. - From the theory of causes and effects, known as Nidâna and Pratîtya samutpâda.p. 432.-Fragment of Lalita vistara relating to this theory, ibid. -Analysis of each of these terms, Djarâmarana, ^. 437; Djâti, p. 438; Bhava, p. 439; Upadana, p. 440; Trtchnd, p. 443; Vêdanâ,p.444; Chaddyatana, p. 445; Nâmarûpa, p. 446; Vidjhâna, p.447; Samkâras, p.448; Avidyâ, p. 451. - On the existence of the thinking subject proven by various texts, p. 45t. - Ana-lodges of themetaphysics of Buddhism with the Sâmkhya doctrine, p. 455. - Of the fiveSkandhas or intellectual attributes, p. 455. -Explanation of this term, p. 450. Some other books that can be used in the study of the metaphysics of Buddhism, and in par-particular of Saddharma Langkâvatâra, p.458. -Brief analysis of this work, ibid.-Extract from this work on Nirvana, p. 459. - Another fragment on the same subject, p. 462.- Method which dominates in this work, p. 463. ~Report of the metaphysical doctrine of Câkyamuni with some dominant views of Brahmanism, p. 464. Section V. Tantrasp. 465The Tantra form a section to share in the classification of Tibetans, ibid. - Characterfrom this part of the Nepalese collection, ibid. - Mr. Hodgson has known that the Demière; probable reasons for this, p. 466. ~ We can judge the nature of these works from the translations given by MM. Wilson and Hodgson, p. 467. - The Tantras belong to the form themore complicated of Northern Buddhism, p. 468. - We will see theworship of Câkyamuni, of the Dhyâni

Buddhas and of Adibuddha, allied to the cult of Civa and the female Deitiesdes Civaïtes, ibid.- The Tantra does peuventi be the books emanating from the teaching of Câkya, p. 469. - Proof of this factdrawn from the contents of these books and the character of theirlanguage \(^\) ibid.-General judgment on this part of the Nepalese collection, p. 470; justified by the analysis of a few books, and in; particular of Suvarna prabhâsa, p. 471. Existence of two editions of this book, ibid. - Analysis of the Sanskrit writing that wehave in Paris, p.472. - Summary " on this work, p. 477. - From Samvarôdaya tantra>p. 479. - From Mahûkâla tantra, p. 480. - Of utilityof the study of Tantras for historyLiterary of Buddhism, ibid.- From Kdla tchara, ibid. - From VArya Mandjucrt mulla tantra, p. 481. - The Mantras and Dhdraiûs or magic formulas, ibid. - Of the existence of the Dhàraijîsin the Mahâyâna sutras, p. 482.- From a compilation of the Dhâraijîs, ibid. - Of the various treaties found there, p. 483. - From the Vadjramandâ dhâranî, p. 484. -Translated fragment of thisbook, ibid. - Summary of the doctrine of Tantras, p. 486. - These books are the result of afairly modern syncretism, ibid.- Alliance of Buddhism with the Sivaism, p. 487. -Opinions of MM. Schmidt and G. de Humboldt, ^ ibid. - That he must hear a covenant of Buddhism with the Brahmanism, p. 488. - The reports of Buddhism with the Sivaism ispresent, in the books of Nepal, under a double aspect, p. 491. - To this double aspectanswer different books, the Mahâyânas and the Tantras, ibid. - One in conclusion that thesebooks do may have been written Ala same time, p. 492. - Of utility from the study of monuments for consideration of reports Buddhism's with the Sivaism, p. 493. - Insufficiencythe descriptions current, ibid. - The Tantra does not give thescene commentary figured on the monuments, ibid. - The alliance of Buddhism with the Sivaism be explained by the predominance of the latter worship in the provinces and at the time which have been raised thesemonuments, ibid. Section VI. Works bearing the names of authors'P- \* 94Usefulness of the study of these works for the history of Buddhism, ibid. - These books are enoughrare in the Nepalese collection, ibid. - They resume and develop the topics in the books canonical, ibid. - It finds a definite number of avadānas, including Avadâna kalpa latâ, p. 495.- Of Sapta kumârikâ avadâm and Buddha tchanta, tbtd. -

Page 116

586ANALYTICAL TABLE OF THE TWO MEMORIES. From Sragdharâ stôtra, p. 496. - On the Pantcha krama and its commentary, p. 497. - Doctrineimmoral of this work, ibid.- From Vinaya patra or Vinaya Sutra, attributed to Nāgārjuna,p. 498. - Of some Religious who are quoted therein, p. 499. - From the gloss of Tchandra kîrti, ibid '-Philosophical fragment taken from this gloss, p. 500. -Assessment of this fragment, ibid.-Another quote borrowed from the same work, p. 501. - True title of the Vinaya Sutra, ibid. -From the collection entitled Abhidharma kôça vyâkhyâ, ibid. - General character of this collection, p. 502.- The ancient authors of treaties méiaphysiques according to this book, ibid.- From the collectionnamed Kchudraka, and sùtras called Arthavargîyas, p. 504. -Extract from the commentary of the Abhidharma kôça, p.505. - names of several religious who are cited, ibid. - FromReligious Vasumitra, p. 506. -Books and sects cited in this commentary, p. 507, - The Kashmiri religious, those of Tamraparija, and the Vatsîputtrîyas, cited, p. 508. - 'Details onthe latter, p. 508. - Nâgârdjuna city,ibid.-Summary of the subjects covered in thisgloss, p. 510. -Philosophical fragment on the absence of a creator God, ibid. - The authorof this gloss does not quote the school of Âicvarikas, p. 511. - This work seems to have been composed between the VF and the

tenth century of our era, p. 512. Section VII. History of the collection of Nepalp. 512The history of the collection of Nepal is not written in any book of this collection, ibid. -Is it true that history is even more foreign to booksBuddhist than those -ofBrahmins? p. 513. -How the contrary can be affirmed, ibid. - Positive character of information that contain the most authentic of these books, p. 514. - It is starting tothepropagation of Buddhism that begins to clarify the history of India, ibid. - From thetradition of Buddhism north, and that the Tibetans in are depositaries as the NE-palace, p. 515. - From the date of the Tibetan translations, ibid. - Of the three councils by whichthe canonical books were written, according to the Tibetans, p. 516. - It is probably thework of the last council that we have, ibid. - The last council was able to introducein the canon some new books, but therehas had to meet the most large number of old books, p. 517. - This supposition is confirmed by the study previously made of the Sutras, p. 518. -Opinion of the Mongols on the succession of books and their triple destination, p. 519. - The Tantra have been written either by the first or by the second council, p. 521. -From the ancient times of Northern Buddhism, from the death of Çâkya to the fourthhundredth year after this event, ibid. -From the Middle Ages of Buddhism, p. 522. -The expul-The Zion of Buddhism outside India marks, for this belief, the beginning of time modern, ibid. - Character of the previous dates, which are purely relative, and necessity to fix the initial point from which they speak, p. 523. - This initial point is the death of Câkya, onthe Date from which the Buddhists do notokay, ibid.- Need to studytheBuddhism of Ceylon, which was,like that of the North, kept in original booksIndian, ibid. - Interest of this study under the points of view historical, p. 524. —Overview of results that should lead to the comparison of the two Buddhist collections, that of the North which uses the Sanskrit, and that of the South, which is used in the Pali, ibid.PIN OF THE CONTENTS SUMMARY. Page 117

ç ^ ITABLE OF CONTENTSCONTAINED IN THE VOLUME.•Pagres.Notice from Mr. Barthélémy Saint-Hilaire on the work of Mr. Eugène BurnoufseenWarning^^^FIRST MEMORY. - General observations\*SECOND MEMORY. - Description of the collection of books from Nepal? 9Section I.'General description^ " \* ^ -Section IF.Sûtras or Discourse of Çâkya^^Section III.Vinaya or Discipline^^Section IV. Abhidharma or Metaphysics^^Section V.Tantras\* ^^Section VI.Works bearing names of authors\* ^ \*Section VII. History of the collection of Nepal525Appendixj ^^^N » I.From the word Nirvana'N " II.From the expression Sahalôkadhâtu^ 'No. III.From the words Purâm and Kdrchâpana^^^No. IV.The names of gods among the Buddhists^^^No V.Of Sandalwood named Gôdrcha^^^No VI.By the name of Çâkala•^^No. VII.From the expression Pratîtya samutpâda^^^No VIII. Additions and corrections,.....580Analytical table of the two Memoirsend of table of contents.

Page 118

Page 119

Page 120

r ON SALE AT THE SAME BooksellersORIENTAL LIBRARYVOLUME I.RIG-VEDA or Book of Hymns, translated from Sanskrit by A.LANGLOISSECOND EDITION, with an analytical index, by Ph. Ed. FOUCAUX. - 620 pages, 20 fr.TOME ITSANSCRIT, PERSIAN, EGYPTIAN, ASSYRIAN AND CHINESE HYMNSTHE CHI-KING OR THE BOOK OF WORMSTranslated for the first time into French by G. PAUTHIER. - 425 pages. - Price: 15 fr.Contents of volume II.A. INDIA. - Introduction,

second part, by Max Gr. ^ zia and Jules David. - Extracts from Sama- Véda, du Yadjour-Véda, de YAlhafva-Véda, translated by Barthélemy-Saint-Hilaireand G. Pauthier. -Buddhist Hymns (Extracts from Lalita-Vislara), translation by Ph. Ed.KoucAUX. -Puranic hymns: 1 »Extracts from Vishnou-Pourana, translated from Wilsonby FouCAUx; - 2 ° a hymn to Siva, extract from the Mahdbhâratha, translated by thesame; -3 " of hymns and poems various extracts of Harivansa, translation Langlois; - 40 onehymn to Brâhmà, extract from Koumara-Sambhaia of Kalidasa, translated by Fauche.B. PERSIA. - Introduction by Eichhoff.- Zend Avesta, excerpts translated by Eichhoff, according to the versions of Spiegel and of Haug (polls by the Yaçna; choice of Prayers of Visf^ ered; legends of Vendidad; choice of Khorda prayers ).C. EGYPT. - Introduction by J. David. - Songs of triumph by Toutmès HI (unpublished);- song of triumph by Ramses H (completed) ;hymn to Osiris and Ha (unpublished), translation of M. de Bougé. -llymnes and invocations, translations by F. Chabas. -Hy.nfinesin the sun(extracts from the Funeral Ritual), translatedby A. Lefébure. - The Lamentations of IsisandofNephthys, translated by HORRAtK.D. ASSYRIA. - Introduction. - Chants and invocations - (! <> Chants casdéens; - 2 ° Invo-cations taken from the Assyrian Inscriptions ;- 3 » Babylonian Canticle); - translated by Oppert. E. CHINA. - Introduction. - Ancient folk songs. - Two songs from the Chovr-King, translation by P. Gaubil, revised, corrected and annotated by G. Pauthier. - Chi-King, orbook of verses, ancient bookcanonical of the Chinese, preceded by the great preface attributed to Confucius and of the commentator Chu-Hi, translated for the first time in French byG. Pauthier. -Hymns of Lao-Tseu and elegies of Confucius, translation by G. Pauthier. -Li Sao, translated for the first time in French by Hervey-Saint-Denys.Orleans, imp. by G. Jacob, Saint-Etienne cloister . 4.y

Page 121

Page 122

Page 123

Page 124

Page 125

Page 126

Page 127

Page 128

Page 129

Page 130

Page 131

Page 132

Page 133

Acme Library Card PocketLOWE-MARTIN CO. umited

Page 134

Google Translate

Original text

pour le fond, mais qui diffèrent l'une de l'autre par l'éten-Contribute a better translation